

Portland, Oregon

**FINANCIAL IMPACT and PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT STATEMENT
For Council Action Items**

(Deliver original to Financial Planning Division. Retain copy.)

1. Name of Initiator Elizabeth Gardiner	2. Telephone No. 823-0363	3. Bureau/Office/Dept. Police/Fiscal Svcs.
4a. To be filed (date): November 30, 2011	4b. Calendar (Check One) Regular <input type="checkbox"/> Consent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4/5ths <input type="checkbox"/>	5. Date Submitted to Commissioner's office and FPD Budget Analyst: November 30, 2011
6a. Financial Impact Section: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Financial impact section completed		6b. Public Involvement Section: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Public involvement section completed

1) Legislation Title:

* Amend an Intergovernmental Agreement with the Multnomah County District Attorney to reimburse the Police Bureau for overtime costs of officers assigned to the District Attorney's Office as investigators (Emergency Ordinance; amend Contract No. C52562)

2) Purpose of the Proposed Legislation:

The purpose of this legislation is to provide reimbursement to the Police Bureau for a portion of officer overtime worked while assigned to the District Attorney's Office as investigators.

3) Which area(s) of the city are affected by this Council item? (Check all that apply—areas are based on formal neighborhood coalition boundaries)?

- City-wide/Regional Northeast Northwest North
 Central Northeast Southeast Southwest East
 Central City
 Internal City Government Services

FINANCIAL IMPACT**4) Revenue: Will this legislation generate or reduce current or future revenue coming to the City? If so, by how much? If so, please identify the source.**

Multnomah County will reimburse the Police Bureau up to a maximum of \$29,488.75 for officer overtime worked while assigned to the District Attorney's Office as investigators.

5) Expense: What are the costs to the City related to this legislation? What is the source of funding for the expense? (Please include costs in the current fiscal year as well as costs in future years. If the action is related to a grant or contract please include the local contribution or match required. If there is a project estimate, please identify the level of confidence.)

This action does not impact costs.

6) Staffing Requirements:

- **Will any positions be created, eliminated or re-classified in the current year as a result of this legislation?** *(If new positions are created please include whether they will be part-time, full-time, limited term, or permanent positions. If the position is limited term please indicate the end of the term.)*

No positions will be created, eliminated or re-classified in the current year as a result of this legislation.

- **Will positions be created or eliminated in *future years* as a result of this legislation?**

No positions will be created or eliminated in future years as a result of this legislation.

(Complete the following section only if an amendment to the budget is proposed.)

7) Change in Appropriations *(If the accompanying ordinance amends the budget please reflect the dollar amount to be appropriated by this legislation. Include the appropriate cost elements that are to be loaded by accounting. Indicate "new" in Fund Center column if new center needs to be created. Use additional space if needed.)*

Fund	Fund Center	Commitment Item	Functional Area	Funded Program	Grant	Sponsored Program	Amount

[Proceed to Public Involvement Section — REQUIRED as of July 1, 2011]

PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT

8) Was public involvement included in the development of this Council item (e.g. ordinance, resolution, or report)? Please check the appropriate box below:

- YES:** Please proceed to Question #9.
 NO: Please, explain why below; and proceed to Question #10.

This action is related to an ongoing cooperative relationship between the Multnomah County District Attorney's Office and the Portland Police Bureau. The practice allows the MCDA to more effectively prosecute cases which the Police Bureau would otherwise bring to it in the normal course of operations. For this reason it is not deemed to be controversial and the bureau sought no particular public input on the practice.

9) If "YES," please answer the following questions:

a) What impacts are anticipated in the community from this proposed Council item?

b) Which community and business groups, under-represented groups, organizations, external government entities, and other interested parties were involved in this effort, and when and how were they involved?

c) How did public involvement shape the outcome of this Council item?

d) Who designed and implemented the public involvement related to this Council item?

e) Primary contact for more information on this public involvement process (name, title, phone, email):

10) Is any future public involvement anticipated or necessary for this Council item? Please describe why or why not.

Future public involvement is not anticipated to be necessary. This agreement is to the mutual benefit of Multnomah County District Attorney and the Police Bureau, and does not change the nature of their relationship as partners in law enforcement. This agreement improves the effectiveness of prosecutions, therefore reducing crime and the fear of crime in the community.



MICHAEL REESE, Chief of Police