



CITY OF
PORTLAND, OREGON

**OFFICIAL
 MINUTES**

A REGULAR MEETING OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF PORTLAND, OREGON WAS HELD THIS **12TH DAY OF OCTOBER, 2011** AT 2:00 PM

THOSE PRESENT WERE: Mayor Adams, Presiding; Commissioners, Fritz, Leonard and Saltzman, 4.

OFFICERS IN ATTENDANCE: Karla Moore-Love, Clerk of the Council; Roland Iparraguirre Deputy City Attorney; and John Holly, Sergeant at Arms.

On a Y-4 roll call, the Consent Agenda was adopted.

<p>Due to the Portland City Council Joint Work Session with the Port of Portland Commission from 9:30 to 11:30 a.m. at the Port of Portland Offices, the 9:30 a.m. City Council Meeting was rescheduled to the 2:00 p.m. session on October 12, 2011.</p>	<p>Disposition:</p>
<p>COMMUNICATIONS</p>	
<p>1072 Request of Art Lewellan to address Council regarding Columbia River Crossing, FEIS, Max and other things (Communication)</p>	<p>PLACED ON FILE</p>
<p>1073 Request of Dan Handelman to address Council regarding Portland Bring the War \$\$ Home Resolution (Communication)</p>	<p>PLACED ON FILE</p>
<p>1074 Request of Curt Bell to address Council regarding bringing our dollars home from wars and bases abroad (Communication)</p>	<p>PLACED ON FILE</p>
<p>TIMES CERTAIN</p>	
<p>1075 TIME CERTAIN: 2:00 PM – Repeal Smith and Bybee Lakes National Resource Management Plan, including amendments to Title 33, Planning and Zoning, and Zoning Map; add three maps to Title 33 related to Airport Futures (Ordinance introduced by Mayor Adams; amend Title 33; amend Zoning Map) 45 minutes requested</p>	<p>PASSED TO SECOND READING OCTOBER 19, 2011 AT 9:30 AM</p>
<p>CONSENT AGENDA – NO DISCUSSION</p>	
<p>1076 Appoint George Bruender to the Development Review Advisory Committee for a term to expire October 11, 2014 (Report introduced by Mayor Adams and Commissioner Saltzman) (Y-4)</p>	<p>CONFIRMED</p>

October 12, 2011

Mayor Sam Adams

Bureau of Planning & Sustainability

1077 Amend contract with Northeast Coalition of Neighborhoods in the amount of \$35,000 to serve as fiscal agent to distribute funds for additional outreach services in the Portland community (Ordinance; amend Contract No. 30001236)

**PASSED TO
SECOND READING
OCTOBER 19, 2011
AT 9:30 AM**

1078 Authorize Intergovernmental Grant Agreement and accept funds from Metro in the amount of \$16,000 for the Multifamily Recycling program to support waste reduction and recycling outreach and assistance for multifamily communities in Portland (Second Reading Agenda 1048)

(Y-4)

184924

Bureau of Transportation

***1079** Extend revocable permit to Perierra Creperie on behalf of Ankeny Street businesses to close SW Ankeny St between SW 2nd Ave and SW 3rd Ave through January 31, 2012 (Ordinance)

(Y-4)

184925

1080 Designate two strips of land owned in fee title by the City and currently controlled by Portland Bureau of Transportation located a N Interstate Ave and N Killingsworth St as public right-of-way (Ordinance)

**PASSED TO
SECOND READING
OCTOBER 19, 2011
AT 9:30 AM**

Office of Emergency Management

***1081** Approve an Intergovernmental Agreement with Clackamas County for the distribution of equipment, supplies and services procured as a result of Urban Areas Security Initiative Grant funds (Ordinance)

(Y-4)

184926

Office of Management and Finance

***1082** Pay claim of Mary Leverette involving Bureau of Planning and Sustainability (Ordinance)

(Y-4)

184927

***1083** Pay claim of Catherine Schutz involving Portland Fire Bureau (Ordinance)

(Y-4)

184928

**Commissioner Nick Fish
Position No. 2**

Portland Housing Bureau

***1084** Authorize application to U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development for administration of the regional Homeless Management Information System and OTIS supportive housing programs (Ordinance)

(Y-4)

184929

Portland Parks & Recreation

October 12, 2011

<p>1085 Authorize the Director of the Bureau of Parks & Recreation to execute certain Intergovernmental Agreements or Real Property Agreements needed for Parks & Recreation purposes (Second Reading Agenda 1061) (Y-4)</p>	<p>184930</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Commissioner Dan Saltzman Position No. 3</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Bureau of Development Services</p> <p>*1086 Authorize a temporary entertainment event and site preparation work by the Cavalia (Ordinance; waive Title 33) (Y-4)</p>	<p>184931</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Bureau of Environmental Services</p> <p>1087 Authorize the Bureau of Environmental Services to acquire certain easements and other real property interests necessary for construction of the Westside Liner Sewer Rehabilitation Project No. E09121 through the exercise of the City's Eminent Domain Authority (Ordinance)</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">PASSED TO SECOND READING OCTOBER 19, 2011 AT 9:30 AM</p>
<p>REGULAR AGENDA</p> <p>Mayor Sam Adams</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Office of Management and Finance</p> <p>1088 Authorize revenue bonds for Emergency Coordination Center project (Ordinance)</p>	
<p>1089 Authorize long-term bonds for local improvement, system development charge and sidewalk repair projects (Second Reading Agenda 1067) (Y-4)</p>	<p>184933</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Portland Development Commission</p> <p>*1090 Accept and appropriate a grant in the amount of \$1,000,000 from the U.S. Economic Development Administration for the Portland Regional Clean Tech Advance Project and authorize the City to act as a fiscal agent to the Portland Development Commission (Ordinance) (Y-4)</p>	<p>184932</p>
<p>Commissioner Dan Saltzman Position No. 3</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Bureau of Development Services</p>	

October 12, 2011

<p>*1091 Authorize a Request for Proposal for a Permitting, Plan Review and Inspections Management Software System (Ordinance) 15 minutes requested (Y-4)</p>	<p>184934</p>
<p>City Auditor LaVonne Griffin-Valade</p> <p>1092 Revise City Elections code to update and clarify procedures for measures referred by the Charter Commission (Ordinance; amend Code Chapter 2.04)</p>	<p>PASSED TO SECOND READING OCTOBER 19, 2011 AT 9:30 AM</p>
<p>FOUR-FIFTHS AGENDA</p> <p>1093 TIME CERTAIN: 2:45 PM – Oregon University System/Oregon Health & Science University Collaborative Life Sciences Building Project progress report (Presentation introduced by Mayor Adams) 30 minutes requested</p>	<p>PLACED ON FILE</p>

At 3:44 p.m., Council adjourned.

LAVONNE GRIFFIN-VALADE
Auditor of the City of Portland

By Karla Moore-Love
Clerk of the Council

For a discussion of agenda items, please consult the following Closed Caption File.

October 12, 2011

Closed Caption File of Portland City Council Meeting

This file was produced through the closed captioning process for the televised City Council broadcast and should not be considered a verbatim transcript.

Key: ***** means unidentified speaker.

OCTOBER 12, 2011

2:00 PM

Adams: Is there anyone here associated with or on behalf of the national disability employment awareness month? Great, I just wanted to make sure folks were here. Do you want to come up, the four of you? So good afternoon everybody and welcome to the city council chambers chamber. Before I gavel us into official proceedings, I would like to proclaim the following. Whereas fair access to employment is a fundamental right of everyone, including the 17% of Portlanders who are living with disabilities, and whereas the city of Portland affirms its commitment to ensuring that our nation's promise extends to all of its citizens and whereas expanding employment opportunities and fighting false impressions and perceptions that hinder people living with disabilities from joining the work force, will uphold america's moral values, strengthen our economy, and make america a more hopeful place, and whereas the city of Portland is committed to empowering all people to reach their full educational, social, and professional goals, and whereas congress has designated october as national disability employment awareness month to recognize the contributions of americans with disabilities and encourage all citizens ensure equal opportunity in the work force, do hereby proclaim, october 2011, encourage all government officials, labor leaders, employers, all other residents to observe and celebrate the contribution of individuals with disabilities to our workplaces and communities and to promote the employment of individuals with disabilities to create a better, more inclusive city in which every person is rightly recognized for his or her ability and accomplishments. Congratulations. [applause] would you like to introduce yourselves and say a few words?

John Murphy: My name is John murphy, I'm the president of Portland habilitation center. I certainly welcome and appreciate this message by the city of Portland. And I have to say the City has been a great partner with Portland habilitation center to create opportunities for folks with disabilities by buying their services and products of people with disabilities and particularly where we can provide work that pays wages and have benefits that sustain people. I always want to remind people of the scope of this issue. When unemployment for the general population came into the 10% range, it was a public emergency. Community of people with disabilities have been living with unemployment rates in the 60% level their lives. So, when unemployment gets down to 10% range for our folks, we will be dancing in the streets celebrating. So, it is a big problem and we really appreciate the message from the city of Portland and your support.

Adams: Thank you for your work. Hi.

Therese Grayson: Good afternoon, my name is therese grayson, and I am on the city's commission on disabilities, and I'm also with PHC NW as well as the co-chair of connecting communities coalition. And this is just really a quick invitation and a reminder to everybody that we are now on our third year of the connecting communities event. This year we will have an event called adaptable at any age. It will be at the double tree hotel, october 20. That's from 5:30 to 7:30 p.m. The city has been a wonderful partner for the last three years. We again will have the great making a difference awards and commissioner Fritz will be handing out these wonderful awards to individuals, organizations, agencies, to promote inclusion of people with disabilities. So, again it is a free event, and there is a lot of wonderful technology for office, home, recreation, arts from people of all abilities, as well as food, drinks, and, again, it's free. So please join.

October 12, 2011

Adams: Hi, welcome.

Barbara Dirks: Hi, my name is barbara dirks, I'm the co-chair of the connecting communities coalition. I'm also on the board for insight which works with people with disabilities around employment and education. This is very short. I want to thank the council members for having this acknowledgment and I really appreciate your support.

Adams: You got it. Thank you very much.

Dirks: Thank you so much.

Adams: All right. (gavel) Portland city council comes to order. Today is wednesday, october 12th, 2011, it's 2:00 pm. We are in regular session. Hi Karla, are you enjoying the fall sun this afternoon.

Moore-Love: Yes.

Adams: I guess it's gone. It was out there for a minute. Can you please call the roll.

Adams: A quorum is present, we shall proceed beginning with communications. Can you please read the title to Item 1072.

Item 1072.

Adams: Mr. Lewellan. Hi. Welcome back. Please come forward.

Adams: Hi mr. Lewellan.

Art Lewellan: Hello, sam.

Adams: He always waits for you to give us -- and you wait for him to start. So why don't you push the button and give it to us and see what happens.

Lewellan: Oh I see, there is a button involved.

Adams: You have to push a button down.

Lewellan: I'm pushing. Thank you. Good afternoon, council. I am -- I have been appearing before the city council and metro regarding the columbia river crossing project as I have gotten mostly involved in it over the last year to support an alternative. Submitting materials, those that you have there before you now, on the front is the latest -- as you get towards the rear are various materials that I have already previously submitted. And I -- I have been going over the alternative proposals that have been made intent to the public view, and -- and I am on the side of the idea that the i-5 bridges are in need of being replaced. I'm on that side. But I can't support the current project as it is because it has too great of an impact on Hayden island mainly. A year ago when alternatives came up, or fell into place that I felt like I could get behind, I would make this effort to get this project going and believe that we can invest wisely and it would be worth the effort and the effort that we made so far would be worth while. And i'm not here today in any way connected with occupy Portland, but I do feel like their message is one where we have got to have greater -- greater understanding of how our government processes work and how they are our government, it's not as if i'm speaking as if i'm an antigovernment person, no. I respect the positions that you take regarding how we construct our society and deal with port of infrastructure, etc. I'm going to struggle through this as I usually do, it's kind of my nature. I find it hard to put my thoughts into make them legible. That is why I submit written materials whenever I can. But as I said, it's -- it is the hayden island interchange that to me is the sticking point on the whole project. When that came to the fore a year ago, the idea of building just a southbound only bridge alone, together they really work together well. And a way that I feel like we can reduce the cost of the project. A lot of people are for the third river -- third crossing. A lot of people are making investments in the rail corridor. I'm for -- i'm not a big fan of high-speed rail and the third bridge would cost much more expensive, and leave i-5 in its current state. Let me just finish up. Three minutes is just not long enough. I hope you will have another look at my work, and not just simply dismiss it. I think that is what people are afraid of what is happening with our government agencies. I'm not like that. I can imagine that the responsibilities that you have to fulfill are overwhelming, but I respect that you -- that you're doing your best.

October 12, 2011

Adams: Mr. Lewellan, I really appreciate the time that you take thinking about this iteration that is on the table for state and federal consideration. The footprint on Hayden Island went from -- I will get the numbers wrong -- but it went from, you know, the equivalent of 20 some lanes down to what it is now.

Lewellan: 17, I think.

Adams: So, I think it was 28 lanes to 17, and we did that by taking the clover leaf off and putting a lot more of that on to the marine drive area. And so, I would encourage you to take a look at the before and after.

Lewellan: Oh, I have, yeah.

Adams: And if there is additional ways to skinny it down -- you've got my email and keep at it.

Lewellan: I can't agree that the steps that have been taken are significant, even my argument with this material that -- and that I have made all along is that the design, the current design is not safe at all. It's not safer as the "Oregonian" article recently stated. It's much more dangerous.

Adams: I appreciate the conversation. There is a lot more time to go on this project. So thank you. Could you please read the Title for -- it looks like they're together. If that is all right with them, 1073 and 1074.

Item 1073, Item 1074.

Adams: Mr. Handelman, Welcome back.

Dan Handelman: Good morning Mayor Adams and city council, I'm Dan Handelman. Today I'm representing the peace and justice affinity group. Last week news broke that the city of Portland expects to make further cuts to its already shrinking budget due to quote global and national issues.

It seems that now would be an ideal time for the Portland city council to follow the lead of the U.S. Conference of Mayors which in June passed a resolution to bring the war dollars home.

Adams: And whose committee did that come out of?

Handelman: I'm about to say that, the resolution was afforded by Mayor Sam Adams and introduced by Mayor Kitty Piercy of Eugene. 10 years of war in Afghanistan and Iraq have contributed to the serious financial crisis facing the nation. Estimates by the National Priorities Project put the cost of the two wars at over \$1.2 trillion. This is not to mention the cost in human life for Americans, Iraqis' and Afghans. In August, Representative Earl Blumenauer signed into legislation HR 2757 which calls for the US to stop spending money on troops or contractors in Iraq after December 31. The date agreed upon for withdrawal on the 2008 status of forces agreement. Portland city council showed leadership in calling for the troops to come home from Iraq with a resolution of November of 2006. Thank you for that Commissioner Leonard. A recent poll shows that 62% of the American public wants the troops to come home from Afghanistan faster than proposed by President Obama. Since early August, Portlanders have been sending letters and emails to city council asking for a local version of the resolution, but none has been forthcoming. We present you today with the most recent batch of letters urging the council to pass a local version of the war dollars resolution, as well as propose language that you might use based in part on the one that passed the Eugene city council by a vote of 7 to 1 back in June. As the Occupy Portland movement continues to point out, American economic policies are heavily influenced by corporate money. We urge council to further connect the suffering economy to the endless warfare that has cost trillions of dollars on military contractors and otherwise occupying the nations of Iraq, and Afghanistan, not to mention bombing countries, Somalia, Pakistan, and Yemen with drone warfare. Imagine if the people occupying our downtown core were not concerned residents but armed troops from a foreign nation. It is time to bring the troops home and redirect this money to urgent human needs such as Jobs, education, housing, and health care. In addition to passing the resolution, we hope that council and members of the public will come out and join Peace and Justice and 33 other organizations this Saturday October 15th for a rally and march in the South Park blocks, at 1:00 p.m. with an educational forum at the First Unitarian Church at 2:30. I want to acknowledge that my

October 12, 2011

colleague Ann Huntwork is actually here, she tried to sign up on the council agenda, and there was a mix up with the council clerk's email address so she didn't manage to get on the agenda.

Adams: Anne could you come up for a second, I have a question for you? Would you like to go, sir? You don't have to do anything.

Curt Bell: Don't have to do anything. Thank you very much. I'm here to speak in support of city council resolution to accelerate the ending of the wars in Iraq and Afghanistan and bring our dollars home. My name is Curtis Bell, retired scientist from OHSU, I'm a member of the Peace Action Committee of the First Unitarian Church and I have been active in the peace movement largely within the faith community for -- since the Iraq war was winding out. I have been working on the same rally Dan just mentioned, this coming Saturday, 10 years in Afghanistan, end the wars, bring our dollars home. A few days ago, Mayor Adams told the Oregonian that Portland should prepare for hefty budget cuts, as much as 8%. You warned of possible closures of fire stations, recreation centers and pools, as well as the elimination of services, such as rental inspections, graffiti abatement, emergency housing assistance, all of this on top of previous deep cuts in our services. I'm reminded of a sign I saw last night at the Occupy Portland site. It said, Mr. Policeman, you're just one layoff from being one of us. People's needs are not being met in the current economic crisis and the problems are getting worse. Faith leaders have often said that a budget is about values and morality. Where are our priorities? I believe that the budget of the city of Portland is indeed a moral document. I believe the city's money goes for real human needs in general. But I do not believe the same can be said of our federal government. It is not a moral document. Over half of the discretionary budget goes for war. Wars that have killed and wounded hundreds of thousands of people, including tens of thousands of American citizens, devastated countries and made us less safe by increasing the risk of terrorism here at home. Portland alone contributed \$1.2 billion to these wars alone let alone the rest of the military spending. And no end is in sight. The wars are costing us dearly, and giving us less and nothing in return. The federal government is unresponsive in grid lock. Some might say it is almost broken. A change there from spending our money on war and militarism to spending it on what people really need, is either impossible or only possible if there are massive grass roots effort to make the change in priorities. Portland city council can and should be a part of that grass roots effort to change the priorities. Calling Mayor Sam Adams and other mayors of the U.S., were a part of that effort when they voted last June, at the US conference of Mayors for a resolution calling for the end of wars in Afghanistan and Iraq and redirecting our resources to what we really need. I'm here as a resident and a taxpayer of Portland to ask that Mayor Adams and other council members sign a similar resolution now and add their voice, the voice of the city of Portland to a demand for change in national priorities. Thank you very much.

Adams: Thank you. And Ms. Huntwork I know you're not signed up, and I understand you had a snafu and I apologize for that. Do you have three minutes worth of thought on this issue?

Ann Huntwork: I don't have a text with me now because I already had that outside. But I'm really concerned because it feels like we don't even consider sometimes that the people who live in Iraq and Afghanistan and now in Pakistan and other places are the same as us. And we're seeing a lot of people killed that were -- we're breeding more terrorists, that's one thing, and we are seeing our service people come home, committing suicide, highest rate of suicide among soldiers in the history of our country because of the things they've done and seen. And we're seeing people who are dispatching drones suffering from PTSD because they can see with those cameras that they have over the sites the bodies flying. Children and adults, people who are not terrorists. So, I just -- I just think it is time to quit this stuff frankly. It's time to quit and come home and take care of our business here at home. Our people are losing jobs. Our middle class people are suffering. And the rich people keep saying oh, we're making more jobs. But they're not. If you look at the data. The data is carefully researched, that's not true. It's going into other pockets. Thank you.

October 12, 2011

Adams: Thank you very much. Thank you all. Thank you Mr. Handelman, we will follow up with you. That gets us to the consent agenda. Does anyone have any items to pull from the consent agenda? Hearing none, Karla would you please call the vote on the consent agenda.

Adams: Consent agenda is approved. We have a Time certain, it is a nonemergency ordinance. Can you please read the title for 1075?

Item 1075.

Adams: Staff please come forward. This has a very ominous sound to the title, and -- but the briefing will show that it's, it isn't as ominous as it might appear.

Chris Scarzello: Correct, and certainly not as heavy as our last discussion. I'd like to introduce Jay Sugnet, who is going to talk to you about the airport futures maps that were forgotten about, and metro counselor Shirley Craddick is here to give a word from metro.

Adams: Great, courtesies of the house goes to the great metro councilor Craddick.

Shirley Craddick: Oh, Thank you. I'm here today. My name is Shirley Craddick, I'm a Metro counselor, I represent the east part of the metro region and I also am a counselor liaison to the intertwiner. I'm here to testify regarding the appeal of the natural resource management plan regarding the Smith and Bybee wetlands. Smith and Bybee wetlands is an extraordinary place. You would never know at this date that it was a landfill. There is over 2,100 acres of wetlands and uplands with associated wildlife. There are 17 species of Fish, more than 150 birds identified, along with mammals, insects, reptiles, and amphibians. Metro is proud to be the land manager on behalf of the partners of the Smith and Bybee wetlands advisory committee. I think the history of this is very interesting. The Natural resource management plan for the wetlands established in 1990 through a joint session between metro and the Portland city council. And we were looking at the history -- I don't know if we have had another joint session since then. So it is an interesting piece of history. That is almost 21 years ago. The plan established metro as a land manager, as a leap of faith. Because at that time Metro was not responsible for any land. And today metro has responsibility for over 12,000 acres of natural area. As a part of the safety net to make sure that metro did their job, the National Resource Management plan designated a coordinating advisory committee that brought together the city of Portland, the port of Portland, metro, community, and advocates. That committee has been meeting consistently for 20 years and will continue to meet after this natural resource management plan is repealed. For the last 20 years, together they have recently seen the city dump transform into a wildlife habitat. The canoe launch developed by the city of Portland. Wildlife habitat values increased rather than decreased, and plans for a new regional trail to take shape. It has been an extraordinary joint accomplishment. I am proud that I can say that I am now on Metro and help represent this, although I obviously didn't take part in this. But the work is not finished. The plan as a guiding document was a visionary for the time and over 20 years has served its purpose. Now it's time to move forward and have the plan reflect who we are today, which means repealing the national resource management plan, and metro supports this repeal. Knowing that a new vision has been developed that builds on the legacy of the plan, but includes the committee's vision and projects for the next 10 years. As the same strong partnerships continue to be in place, a major action that will come out of this is a trail around the landfill that creates an important link between north Portland residents as well as bicyclists around the region. The trail will fill a gap in the 40 mile loop trail connecting Willamette river greenway with the Columbia river. Some elements will be new. But the basic tenet established in 1990 still holds. Smith and Bybee is a place for native habitat and wildlife to flourish and for people to enjoy and provide stewardship of the place. Acknowledging the creativity and the strength of that 1990 plan metro encourages you to repeal the document. And we look forward to our continued partnership as we adopt a new vision. I want to thank Chris and Janet with metro who have been valued advisors on this. And I really thank you for your interest and support of this repeal. Hopefully in the near future we will have a new one put in place. Thank you very much.

Adams: Thank you counselor for being here.

October 12, 2011

Craddick: Thank you.

Fritz: Thank you.

Scarzello: Two things to keep in mind as I talk about the projects. There are no zoning changes proposed at Smith and Bybee. The environmental zones are staying in place and base zones are staying in place. Second thing, we're talking about repealing a management plan, so a new one can be adopted. The new one doesn't come through the city council. It is a land use review. So, we're just focusing on repeal of the old natural resource management plan. So, first we just want to look at some maps of where the Smith and Bybee wetlands is located in city of Portland. Up in north Portland as Shirley mentioned. And this is a view, aerial view of the area. And, then, again, this is the ownership map and I will follow it with an environmental zoning map. Yellow property on the map, private, green is metro, blue port of Portland, pink city of Portland. You might not see the purple that well, but a power line that crosses at the west end of the area. Not a lot of land. Still the federal government there. Zoning map, dark green is the environmental protection zone and the lighter green is the environmental conservation zone. That white area is the St. John's landfill. It doesn't have any environmental zoning on it yet. So -- okay. A little background on what the natural resource management plan is. It is basically a tool that allows long term product guidance for large ecosystems. Natural resource management plan provides a way to evaluate cumulative effects of development and communication over time and pre-approve projects to occur over a long time period. The natural resource management plan as I mentioned a minute ago is a legislative project, which means it needs approval by the Portland planning and sustainability commission and the city council. It also needs approval by both groups for any major document changes for repeal. So, the natural resource management plan for Smith and Bybee lakes was developed by a consortium of property owners in the mid '80s, as Shirley mentioned, and adopted by the city council in 1990. It had identified some projects, which are now completed, and actually had been done for a number of years. Metro and Smith and Bybee advisory committee are ready to use a new zoning tool that is now available. And it is -- it is a similar tool, but it is easier to update than the natural resource management plan, and that new tool is called the comprehensive natural resource plan. So, comprehensive natural resource plan is also a zoning tool that provides long-term project guidance for large ecosystems or large properties. The plan also provides need to evaluate the cumulative effects of development and mitigation over a 10 year time frame. It is similar to the comprehensive -- conditional use master plan process, if you're familiar with that. Those plans also approve development projects for 10-year time frame on institutional properties, for example. This comprehensive natural resource plan is approved through the land use review process. As I mentioned earlier. So, we have a couple of small issues here. You might say a cart before the horse kind of an issue. Comprehensive natural resource plan approval criteria requires that a new plan be in compliance with an existing plan, if there is one. The existing plan in this case is quite out of date. So, compliance is not really the desired outcome here. But if we repeal the existing plan to deal with that issue, there is a gap in time between management plans. The land use review takes about four months or longer to approve. So, if we repeal the natural resource management plan today, comprehensive natural resource plan application needs to be made and then approved, there is going to be a gap in time. We have heard some citizen concern about that gap in time. So, the planning and sustainability commission recommended that the appeal be delayed to provide enough time so that the new plan can be approved and they also recommend that the approval criteria for the comprehensive natural resource plan be slightly amended so that a new plan doesn't have to comply with the old plan if it is going to replace the old plan. And Jay is going to tell you about the airport futures map.

Jay Sugnet, Bureau of Planning and Sustainability: Very quickly, this was left out of the April proceedings of the airport futures plan. All we're doing is acknowledging that the inventory for the natural resources in the airport area was done. Recommending the map and the zoning code. It

October 12, 2011

does not change any of the regulations. It does not change any of the environment overlay mapping. All we're doing is tying up the loose ends. And that's it.

Scarzello: This is the planning and sustainability commission's recommendation. Adopt the ordinance, adopt the recommended memo from the planning and sustainability commission, amend title 33 as shown on attachment a and amend the official zoning maps as shown on memo attachment b. Any questions?

Fritz: I just have one question. Is the comprehensive natural resource management plan land use review a type three review?

Scarzello: It is.

Fritz: Could potentially get appealed to Council if people are not satisfied with it.

Scarzello: It can, correct.

Adams: Additional council discussion? Any one signed up to testify?

Moore-Love: Yes, we have seven people. The first four, please come up.

Adams: Hi, welcome to the city council. Glad you're here. Are you All city employees? Some of you are?

Dave Helzer, Bureau of Environmental Services: I'm the only one.

Adams: Let me give the standard spiel, identify your name, we don't need your address or your phone number or email address, if you are here representing another organization as a lobbyist, you are required to declare that as well. You will have three minutes. Please begin.

Helzer: O.K. Good afternoon. I'm dave helzer, on staff with environmental services. I also serve as chair of the smith and bybee wetlands advisory committee. Representing bes and the advisory committee here today. The committee has been part of the natural resource management plan and it serves as a collaborative advisory group since the plan was incepted in 1990. Committee comprised of representatives of landowners, port of Portland, metro -- additional members of committee include Oregon Fish and wildlife, friends of smith and bybee lake, neighborhood associations, Portland audubon society, and private land owners within the boundary make up the committee. The committee supports the retirement or repeal, I guess we're saying, of the natural resources management plan which will be replaced by the new plan called the comprehensive natural resource plan. The existing natural resource management plan was visionary 20 years ago and the new plan built on that vision as our understanding of the value of the tremendous resource also grown. New plan will continue the protection and improvement of this historic wetlands, supporting water quality and watershed health. New plan provides provision for the uplands on the st. John landfill, enhanced wildlife habitat and new public trail. Wetlands are a major refuge for wildlife in fact. The site has been identified in the entire state of Oregon as an important bird area by the audubon society, placing it among some of the largest wildlife refuges in the state. In the new plan -- both es and the committee support repealing the existing smith and bybee natural resource plan in favor of the new comprehensive plan.

Adams: Hi.

Katy Weil: Hi, I'm kathy weil, with the natural areas program areas from Metro. And Metro is in favor of the proposed action. And I would like to address the possible question of how management will continue in light of the current natural resources management plan appeal. Metro in the process of developing intragovernmental agreements with the city of Portland and port of Portland within the new natural resources plan area. All of these partners have a good history regarding proper planning for and implementation of necessary -- both the city and port shown support for and participated in active restoration over many years without financial benefit for their owned program. We all have a shared responsibility for this invaluable regional resource. People on the ground caring for the land know each other and work well together and we expect this to continue. These agreements will more clearly -- serve to align and support the natural resource management objective for smith and bybee. In light of the repeal of the natural resource management plan will be an important step forward. Thank you.

October 12, 2011

Adams: Hi.

Janet Bebb: Hi. I'm Janet, staff at Metro. And I'm here today on behalf of Susan Barns from the Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife who wishes she could be here but asked me to convey a couple of key issues to you. Has participated over the last 20 years on the management of the Smith and Bybee wetlands. Susan writes to you that the retirement of the 1990 NMRP and the adoption of the new plan is consistent with the Oregon conservation strategy, Oregon statewide blueprint for conserving -- the conservation strategy calls out Smith and Bybee wetlands as a unique opportunity for conservation and resource management. Retirement of the NMRP is a key first step in paving the way for updating this management plan. That is a short synopsis from Susan. Secondly I have for you a look at the new plan in executive summary format. I will pass that out for you -- thank you.

Troy Clark: Good afternoon. I'm Troy Clark. President of the Friends of Smith and Bybee Lakes and I also represent Audubon on the management committee. I have been on the management committee in a couple of different capacities for 18 years. If you have questions about the NMRP, how the decision processes have worked over the last 20 years, I can talk about a lot of it. My concern today is -- underscore something that Chris brought up in her presentation about the gap between the sunset of the NMRP or the repeal and the installation of a new document, the CRMP -- this is the first comprehensive natural resources plan being brought forward to the city. And there are questions. How long will this process take? Because this is the first one. We really urge that you approve the repeal of the NMRP but keep it binding until the CRMP is installed. Thank you.

Adams: Thank you all very much for your work.

Adams: Welcome to City Council. Glad that you're here. Who would like to go first?

Gill Williams: I will. My name is Gill Williams. I have had the pleasure of being the prime consultant working with Metro and the City of Portland on development of the new management plan for Smith and Bybee, and in doing so, have been able to review the old management plan and can attest to its age and that as Smith and Bybee has resolved, so have I think science and as well as public taste. From a natural resource stand point and recreational resource standpoint, I think it is important to acknowledge that change in taste and our change in approach to managing natural resources. It is going to be moving forward I think a very valuable tool to have the updated plan. Very pragmatic in its approach. And I think far exceeds the current management plan while it served its purpose, it is definitely outdated. Thank you.

Adams: Hi.

Emily Roth, Bureau of Parks and Recreation: Hi. Good afternoon Mayor Adams and City Commissioners. I'm Emily Roth, natural resources planner for Portland Parks and Rec. Really it's too bad we're sitting in here today and not out paddling on the lakes. You would certainly understand why it is such a valuable resource. Portland Parks and Rec supports the repeal of the natural resource management plan and future implementation of the comprehensive management plan for Smith and Bybee wetlands. As you are well aware, Portland Parks and Metro efforts in protecting and managing important natural resource areas have dovetailed across the region, through the intertwine, acquisitions, restoration work and management. We work closely with Metro -- Parks and Rec is a member of the Smith and Bybee Lakes advisory committee and the committee worked closely with Metro to provide the new comprehensive natural resource management plan. Prioritize protection and enhancement of the 2,000 acre wildlife area and modifies the allowed recreation uses to ensure that they are compatible with the ecological functions of the site. Portland Parks and Rec will take the lead as part of the North Portland Greenway trail planning effort on resigning the trail alignment on the former St. John's landfill, part of Smith and Bybee Lakes. We applaud Metro's effort in pioneering this process with the Bureau of Planning and Sustainability that allows for the repeal and consequently the update of the natural resources management plan at City of Portland. Metro has completed a rigorous

October 12, 2011

planning process, that included the public in the -- our hope that the plan is repealed, that they will use their new plan to guide their management of this important natural resource area. Thank you.

Adams: Thank you. Thank you for all of your work. Hi, welcome.

Patt Opdyke: Thank you. Mayor Adams and commissioners, my name is pat -- I have been serving since 1999 on the smith and bybee wetlands advisory committee as it is now called. Not quite as long as troy. However, most of the people have said everything that needs to be said and I want to say that I concur in their recommendations as well as the factual information and the policy information that they have provided. So, I think at this stage, all I can offer you is what does an ordinary citizen kind of thing of this? You need to know i'm a long time conservationist and activist for watershed. Some of you already know that. But when I go out there with my grandsons or I go out to the wetlands with our friends to walk, I have noticed over the last 15 years that I have been living in proximity to the wetlands what a difference the management has already made. I have noticed that the trails have improved. That we have a sedge meadow. That a lot of the invasive species around the edge of the landfill in the slews there are actually being controlled very well by the water control structure. All of these policies that were put into action under the nmrp. It really has improved the environment, no pun intended, for those of us who want to watch birds, who want to go out for -- such meditative walks, do photography or else to take family out there. Also under that nmrp, there is now -- there is a very nice rest room. Thank you very much. So that we can be comfortable out there. All really appreciated. If I look into the future, having worked with gill and others on the committee, with the cnrp that you are being asked to look at in an if you months' time, I would say that what we have seen now, to date, what I have noticed in the last 15 years, will be quadrupled in the value. Because now we have a system outlined in the new cnrp, that is identifying habitat communities, key targeted areas. We have an understanding of the components and attributes so that we can measure what works, what doesn't work, what's missing? We can also measure, you know, that's -- we're so far behind there. Why invest those resources, dollars, people, effort, education into making a change there when we can make significant change in other areas and increase the value. We also will have through the cnrp the ability to have an affected invasive species policy and plan to address, not only existing invasive species, but also ones that are -- they always show up around water. I'm out of time. But thank you very much. And please do repeal it, bind it until you get the crnp in front of you. Thank you.

Adams: Thanks for your service. Appreciate it. Unless there is additional council discussion, I will move this to a second reading next week.

Fritz: While everybody is here, may I just thank you all for your work. This is an exemplary public, private, nonprofit partnership. Multiple governments. This is not easy as it seemed in this -- coming forward with this. Usually when you see repeal natural resources management plan, both chambers filled and three hour meeting. A lot of staff work done a lot of time. I checked my email before I came in here to see if there wasn't a last-minute storm of outrage. It is obvious that the communication and partnerships have gone well. In visiting the sites, it is obvious that the previous plan has been successful. Volunteer work, government work done, leveraged a beautiful place that is part of our regional natural system. Commissioner Fish is hobnobbing with the president today so he is not able to be here. I know he would be very proud of the Portland parks and recreation work with metro --

Adams: Hobnobbing, very british. (laughter)

Fritz: (laughter) Stop it. Thank you for your work.

Adams: Anymore discussion? Thank you. I thank you for all of your staff work and all of your volunteer work. All right. Moves to a second reading for further consideration next week. I would like to, if it is okay with council, to consider item 1090. Karla can you please read the title for 1090?

October 12, 2011

Item 1090.

Adams: Joining us to tell us what we are considering is the director of the Portland development commission, Patrick Quinton. Director Quinton.

Patrick Quinton, Director, Portland Development Commission: Thank you very much. Good afternoon. I am here with good news. Asking you to authorize the city of Portland to accept a \$1 million grant from the U.S. Economic Development Administration recently awarded as part of a challenge grant program called the Jobs Innovation Accelerator Challenge Grant Program. We were one of 20 regions throughout the country that received this award out of 120 that applied. The total grant that was awarded to the region is \$2.2 million. We are sharing in that. I think it is important to note what an extraordinary regional effort this was. I think close to 40 different organizations in both the Portland region as well as southwest Washington participated in this. The application went in under our new regional economic development agency, Greater Portland, Inc, so we are going to share in the work. The grant will support in this broad region, it will support the ongoing clean tech cluster work that I think you're very familiar with. What is great about this is that -- what it is designed to do is promote supply chain work that we have been doing in clean tech, supply chain work connecting our existing manufacturing base with opportunities in the clean tech industry. Promote innovation of new products, and help commercialization of those products and tie it obviously to our local work force. Squarely within the direction we have been heading. That is why we felt confident that we would have a competitive application. And I also want to point out that our ongoing participation in an effort led by the Brookings Institution around exports in which we're one of four regions to participate in that, is also related to this effort. It is all under the same group of activities we're trying to promote our local manufacturing sector to expand exports around the world and Brookings has recognized us as well. I will just end on this quick note. By just thanking you for your continued support, general fund allocation we would not have made the progress that we have. Our cluster work, work that we can talk to folks in Washington and elsewhere about without that support. And I also want to acknowledge our federal delegation who have also been very supportive of this effort and have recognized our work and obviously tried to promote our work in Washington. We're very happy to be the recipient of this and hope that you will give us the authorization to move forward. Thanks.

Adams: This is in the last three months, announcements of two significant economic development grants that we have either been a lead partner in seeking or the recipient of on a -- but the work is on a regional basis --

Quinton: Yes.

Adams: As we have translated -- as we have developed Greater Portland, Inc, that is the amalgam of the -- public only side, we worked with everyone to put this together, new four plus county regional economic development effort. This grant and the other grant -- how much is the other grant?

Quinton: I think the grant is from the SBA. I'm -- I think it is \$500,000 a year for a few years. I don't have those facts in front of me.

Adams: So we're making -- this is a structural change. It has lasting benefit because it helps us create, strengthen, and create, and solidify the cluster work regionally. It is very exciting. I want to thank you, Director Quinton, your team Noah Siegel, Kimberly Schneider, both sort of between both teams Peter Parisot --

Quinton: And Chris Harder as well on my team.

Adams: Chris Harder, and Skip Newberry.

Quinton: I'm sorry, we should acknowledge the port dedicated significant staff time to help us pull this application together. Lise Glancy was the staff person. Obviously -- Bill Wyatt and Tom Imeson were very helpful in providing those resources.

October 12, 2011

Adams: We didn't have the staff. I called bill and tom and said can you loan us a staff person. They put lise on it along with everyone else. Thank you.

Quinton: I don't know that there has been as much -- as much of a regional effort as this one. Certainly in my time at pdc. It is quite extraordinary and hopefully a sign of things to come.

Adams: Thank you. We don't have four to -- but as soon as he comes back, we will vote on it. Anyone want to testify on this? All right. Then we will hold off on our vote, unless that is him coming through the door. Can you please read -- please call the vote. Sorry I didn't see you.

Leonard: Nice work patrick, and thank you, sam, for all of your hard work. Aye.

Fritz: Congratulations patrick and mayor Adams for bringing in this \$1 million of federal money -- in kind from the Portland development commission staff, which means like the previous example on the partnerships on the natural resource issue, that we are using our partners with economic resource issues and -- in very good ways to -- so that the sum of all is greater than the individual parts. I really appreciate this work. Obviously it is going to be good for our community, particularly in how the grant is done and the partners it will bring in as well. Thank you very much. Aye.

Saltzman: Good work Mayor and PDC. Aye.

Adams: Again I want to underscore a thanks to Noah Siegel who worked really hard on this and Chris Harder who worked really hard on this, Lise Glancy, -- aye. We're a million dollars richer in like 30 seconds. Now, related to this is a continuing to strengthen the, permanently strengthen the city's economic resiliency. Can you please read the title for report item number 1093.

Item 1093.

Adams: I'm pleased to just make a quick introductory comment for this report. To get to this point of the development, redevelopment and renewal of south waterfront and the continued improvement of ohsu, this is probably two decades in the making. My former boss, mayor at the time of the district formation, and it has been hard work, a good kind of hard work as you will hear today. First a quick overview of south waterfront and then spend the bulk of the time on the great work of ohsu.

Quinton: Thank you again, mayor Adams. And, yes, I wanted to kick off and introduce this presentation by -- I think many of you not really need a reminder, but it is always good to come back and this point and remind folks how we got here because I do think we would not be here today if it was not for the work of mayor and others. I'm joined -- ohsu does play a significant role in this, it is the ous system as well that is a big partner in all of this. Go back, you have more history than I do, in '99, urban renewal districts, north macadam, the main focus, this area of south waterfront. We started work in what we call the central district of south waterfront, and we're now looking at the north district, but I think it's worth while to remember this is all open, vacant land. Central district was where we started first. It was a master development agreement between the city of Portland, pdc, ohsu, as well as private property owners, and we accomplished a lot. If you look at -- if we think back to what was there and what is there now, significant development of housing, infrastructure development, and I want to point out if we think about what transpired during the recession and you looked around the city, there were always cranes in the air in the south waterfront, always buildings going up and still buildings going up. Affordable housing, the mirabella -- private developments which obviously we always try to encourage. Health and healing, project that started it all and it was an extraordinary project. In this -- in this district alone, city invested \$65 million in traction increment financing -- tax increment financing. \$15 million ohsu work, center of health and healing, as well as our agreement around bioscience. We helped with ohsu fill out their bioscience capabilities, commercialization capabilities and put money into the wet lab space at the psu accelerator. In addition, another \$17, \$18 million went into the infrastructure around the district that helped ohsu employees and other folks move around that district. We're now looking at the north district. So, there came a point in time a couple of years back where we thought we finally after many

October 12, 2011

years had the opportunity to move forward on this next open piece of land and I think as we all know, two major land owners and lots of conversations back on forth on how to proceed with development. Light rail, impetus, urgency around the three parties coming together with city, and now we have a hub of activity -- i'm assuming we have all seen it. Anybody who is watching, if you haven't taken a look at what is going on, you have to take a look. It is very difficult to get through there at this moment. Extraordinary amount of work. Best seen other side of the river, omsi side, you look back and see the bank work that is going on, bridge work beginning, as well as the moody avenue construction. It is truly extraordinary, and the folks to my left obviously are going to go in depth on this project which I think is probably the most exciting project to date. I want to recognize that the zidell family and zidell companies as making the investment now to clean up their property and in conversations with pdc around new development there. So, we think it is an exciting time for the south waterfront and I think it is -- division of south waterfront, evolving and we're seeing it being realized. I think from the city's perspective, and the mayor made reference to this. This is how I will end. We view this as the next step in a vision that I think we will talk about in the presentation, we talk about as the innovation quadrant. If you think about what we're doing here, linking the innovation institutions that actually currently exist in the city and we're able to physically link them through light rail and through this bridge that is going to cross the river. But I think what you will hear in a minute is a collaboration among institutions that will create a working relationship, further working relationship with these institutions on a specific site and then obviously hopefully we will extend that across the river. Pdc and the city are happy to get to assist with the development of this -- of this project. \$1.7 million of tax increment financing will go in to help the parking structure with this, which was part of the agreement we made originally around the central district. In addition we will make available tax increment financing to help finance businesses that want to locate in the space that will be developed there for start of businesses, life sciences businesses and we're still in conversations about how else we can make use of our resources to promote economic development in this part of south waterfront. We're very excited. I think we're quite proud, I think all of us involved in this, quite proud of the foundation we laid in the earlier work in south waterfront that allows us the opportunity that we're about to hear about. With that I will turn it over to, I guess mark.

Mark Gregory: I will kick off here. Again, mark gregory, associate vice president of Portland state. Thank you, commissioners, for your time today to hear about this project. We are also extraordinarily excited this about project. Culmination of many years of planning and dreaming about collaboration. It is by far the largest collaboration between ohs and -- what it is this project means to us and what the vision is. It is an opportunity to meet the inner institutional collaboration around life sciences and translational research. We have here Oregon state, Portland state, ohsu, researchers from all institutions working in this building as well as educators.

We are very cognizant that this is a large project that comes at the right time. This will stimulate economic development, in the short term with jobs because of construction of the project, and in the long term as we educate folks and this becomes the center of research activity. It is also here to address our work force issues, health care, pipeline for health care. At Portland state very excited to expose our students. They're just entering that pipeline to students at different stages in that pipeline. Intend to foster multidisciplinary education in the health profession so that people can see different elements of the health and life sciences professions. As patrick mentioned, opportunity to bring in private industry. We are exploring that industry and looking for opportunities to bring in private industry that can work with us and leverage our experience and expertise. We will share this slide a little bit. Portland state, we will do predominantly undergraduate biology, chemistry, anatomy and physiology program. 500 seat lecture auditorium.

Currently we teach in about a 250 seat space. This will allow us to leverage our faculty and pipeline more students through. I think dave will talk about osu.

October 12, 2011

David Bearden: Thanks. Dave bearden from Oregon state university, college of pharmacy, here representing the dean of college of pharmacy as well. To follow up, we're currently a program that offers a joint degree in pharmacy with Oregon state university and Oregon health sciences universities. We have already started some of these partnerships. Allow us to move our academic centers into the ohsu medical, dental and allied health programs and allow our students to be co-housed in the same building, which I think will be a great advance from the training and health care side. In addition, research labs there. Collaborations that are available in that center will be beneficial across all three institutions. Ohsu's side, been involved -- ohs side, first two years -- complete dentistry program. Radiation therapy program. There is an advanced imaging facility that will -- that is a large invest. In the research infrastructure that will be housed in that building, as well as research labs -- the ohsu tower will compose the entire dental school and you will see the design, it makes a little more sense how it fits in there. We will have the entire dental training program, as well as clinic space and research labs from the dental program.

Gregory: I you want to talk briefly about the funding for this project? The funding very broad brush strokes is \$110 million in state bonds. Ohsu funding is \$92 million. That includes some dollars that patrick mentioned from pdc. Ohsu has substantial philanthropy that have made this entire project possible. \$83 million in gifts. Also trimet is a partner in this project, with \$10 million. The \$10 million from trimet dollars that are invested in trimet's assets and stations and adjacent components next to the building, but would need to be co-constructed with the building, total of \$295 million, total project, one of the largest projects we have done.

Fritz: And that is for the building.

Gregory: That is for the building.

Fritz: The city of Portland is not actually contributing to the building?

Gregory: I think that is correct. Maybe I will defer to brian to comment on that.

Brian Newman: Sure. The \$295, includes the trimet station work. If you are just talking about the building, \$285. And none of that is city of Portland funding. The funds that patrick was referring to related to parking is not included in that \$285 million.

Fritz: Contribution that we have already done --

Gregory: I don't want to underplay the city's involvement. I think patrick, very correct and accurate framework about how we got here and how we got to the point of being able to develop a project like this. If it wasn't for all of the transportation planning and development and that whole surrounding area, we would not be sitting here today doing this big project. This is an example of what happens when you have a -- coordinated effort, coordinated planning and then you can get to projects really standing on their own.

Fritz: I want to concur with that. I was on the planning commission when the concept plan went through. It is evidence that when the city puts in the infrastructure for transportation, private investment, leveraging state and other dollars in philanthropy, we will have a close to \$300 million building going up there.

Gregory: Absolutely. Project time line, we have been going through already the design and construction documentation that is underway now. We will begin tomorrow as the ground breaking and we will actually begin work on foundation elements tomorrow. Hope to see you all there. If you can make it down there through the construction going on. We will be working on interiors by april of 2012. Very rapid start. Elements that Portland state is involved in, large classrooms will be online by late summer of 2013. We're looking to start fall term 2013 with this building. This is a great big building that will go up very quickly. Final elements in the spring of 2014. We are pursuing a lead platinum building here. We assembled a team, contracturally committed the goal and hope to get to platinum. This slide indicates a couple of elements that we will work toward to get there. I will pass to brad.

Fritz: Thank you.

October 12, 2011

Newman: I will cover some of the stuff pretty quickly because I think we might be taking up too much time. Just alluding to what mayor Adams said in his remarks, complimenting the leadership of mayor Katz. The city council in 2002 created the science and technology quarter and it became the innovation quadrant. Not just the physical connections, but the ones that commissioner Fritz alluded to, transportation, but it is programmatic as well. Deans, provosts, and that got other physical connections in the form of this building. It is all a partnership. If you looked at any site in city and tried to find some place where this partnership should take place, it is really here at this site, which is the southwest corner of our new campus. It is at the nexus the of transportation connections. That light rail connection that opens in 2015 will be key because of the hundreds of students that have to get back and forth between PSU and our new building between classes. I think they have maybe 10 minutes to do that. They will be rushing. We will make that possible. And so that site is real key for OHU as well, within a short walking distance of the tram. Front door, if you will. Bike parking everywhere. You can see it is a front door for a lot of people for OHSU. That partnership really was key for OHSU in leveraging our expansion of the south waterfront. You may recall from a couple of years ago, we in 2008 we presented our new master plan. This rendering was done before this building was redesigned. 70% of that institutional, 30% private. First building as I mentioned southwest corner on the light rail. We're excited to follow through on that commitment and start construction of our new campus and actually this building represents what we had referred to as phase one and two. We were lucky enough to build them both at the same time. And already the way things work at -- we are already talking about phase three. Stay tuned over the next year or two for that conversation. When we first started sitting down with the design team about the concept of this building, it wasn't just about phase one and two. Originally these were buildings that were going to be built right next to each other. Phase two ended up being built right on top of phase one. The real concept was that coeducational environment, and creating a mixing area in the center of this building where everybody has to pass through. That is really the vision. This is an early sketch that later got translated into the program. I -- the design of the building, which I will talk about more in detail in a minute. This early sketch which is creating that atrium, lecture halls in the center of that, gathering spaces for our students, a lounge, library for students was one of the strong things that everyone supported through the design process. We will mention that we're consistent with the zoning and stuff, waterfront, below the allowable far. We paid close attention to those issues and we have gotten the support of the south Portland neighborhood association land use committee that strongly endorse this project and testified in support of it at the design commission. As far as the programming, this is how it is all arranged on the site. It is that large atrium in the middle, lecture halls, community life spaces for our students. South tower or bar as we sometimes refer to it, office and simulation, high-tech simulation where dental students, medical students, nursing students are all going to be in close proximity going through the simulation that prepares them for their professional careers. The North tower, is where all of the hard infrastructure is, that's really where a lot of the money is. The teaching labs for PSU, the research labs for Oregon State and OHSU, as well as our dental clinic and a big part of the dental school is actually working on patients and for the final two years that they're with us, they're seeing patients with their proctors and learning hands on in one of the last professions where it's really that mentorship apprentice roll. Here -- what is a --

Saltzman: Excuse me, what is a vivarium?

Newman: Oh I'm sorry. At the very bottom, there's the microscopy lab and the vivarium. The vivarium is animal holding for rats and mice for the most part. It's all rats and mice in this building, and the microscopy, is extraordinarily expensive low vibration imaging facility that was key to attracting Dr. Joe Gray from Lawrence Berkeley laboratories, to the Portland metro area, not just him, his entire research team, and they're going to be housed in this building. They are now working out of temporary quarters on the hill, but they'll be moving to this building along with the

October 12, 2011

new ohsu center for spatial systems biomedicine. I couldn't tell you what they do but it sounds important. Here's a cut through. You can see the atrium space. I will have a rendering of it in a minute. That is the key amazing space that we're excited about and key part of the design. Lecture halls floating in space above the atrium. Here is the cut-through. Looking at the other direction, between the north tower and the south bar. With the underground parking down below.

As far as the site, we paid close attention to all of the guidelines with the south waterfront plan and we worked with carol reed who is a real pro, designing the exterior of the building, pulling that design, treatment of the new promenade, on the right hand of the image, into the building itself and flowing out on to a terrace on the west side. The building is extremely transparent and porous. We have entries all over the place. At the peak times, there will be 2,000 students leaving and arriving for those classes. We need to be able to accommodate that and accommodate the connections to streetcar and light rail. This shows pedestrian connections as it flows through the building from the street, through the west terrace, through the atrium space and future promenade, that will link our future buildings to the North and East. We're providing 400 parking spaces. 150 of them are covered. In fact, those 150 could be expanded to up to 300 if we decide with resources to move to double-decker bike parking. There are Systems out there, vendors that sell those. Then there are 250 surface spaces all spread around. As I mentioned already, all you have to do is go to the center for health and healing, it looks like amsterdam down there some days, to see how heavily those bike spaces are used. We have had to add them almost every year because of demand.

Fritz: Do you think 400 will be enough?

Newman: We don't know. We are providing 400 parking spaces as well. One of the first buildings in the region, 650,000 square feet, one to one ratio --

Fritz: Even less, fewer car spaces than there are bike spaces. That is incredible. Definitely needed --

Newman: Exactly. We can expand and have another 150, as I mentioned, if we go to double stacked bike parking in the covered area, and we can always add on the promenade as well.

Fritz: O.K. thank you.

Newman: And we have done that with chh. Here is the Automobile parking, we're at a .8 ratio, well below our allowed. I will go back. We are allowed a 2.4 per thousand. We are provided .8 per thousand. Only a third of what we're allowed. We'll be Heavily reliant on transit, not just for the students but for the people that work in this building, and for the patients who arrive to go to the dental clinic.

Fritz: How much of the auto parking is for employees versus visitors?

Newman: We have not allocated them. We know that of that, about 150 will be patient and visitor parking and the remainder could be split between hourly as well as permit parking. We don't have a specific allocation yet. That is one of the things that mark and I and other folks at our institutions are working on.

Fritz: Does the rule that's on the hill that employee parking has to pay for itself, does that apply in south waterfront?

Newman: We use a market rate to charge. It doesn't quite pay for itself because of the cost of operating them. They're not subsidized in the sense where we tag -- we try to match the market, the downtown market in this case for monthly parkers. That is what we do on marquam hill as well. That will be no different.

Fritz: Thank you.

Newman: As far as the first floor active uses, important with design commissions and others -- design commission, the images that i'm showing you, they can change. We're being responsive with some of the early comments. The purple on the south and on the east, you're looking northeast, is retail. Ground floor retail. About -- between six and 7,000 square feet of retail. Red on the upper left is the dental clinic lobby, which is right on the street. And then you have

October 12, 2011

teaching classrooms for psu on the north side as well. The atrium itself is an active use of -- that meets the code as well and will be very active with the thousands of students that we are going to be having. A rendering that you already have in front of you, of the building at night, as it could look, as currently designed. Design review, so this will change a bit. I will say that when it came to this project, we hired sera architects in Portland, and they teamed up with co-architects out of los angeles and gave them the charge, new century, new campus, new partnership with the Oregon university system, we want the architecture to achieve a high level of design excellence and be future focus and capture the possibility of discovery, collaboration, and all of that. And we think that have achieved that. I will walk you through the exterior. Shot of the transit station.

The top is what it looks once it is rendered by a professional renderer, showing the transit and trees and everything else. Here is that floating object on the east side. 200 seat lecture hall for the dental school. Sits above the atrium, above the promenade and above that main entrance. And it is clad in wood, wood that is protected, but it will be a real interesting mix of materials that we have not seen in the south waterfront and many parts of Portland. I think it will be stunning when it is actually completed. That same look rendered by the renderer with people and trees and everything else. Close-up of that entrance. Here is the -- looking from the northeast at the tower, and that is looking at mostly the research labs as well as the dental clinic from above. The dental clinic, because students with proctors and because you may go there for 45 minutes for a procedure that might -- with a regular dentist 15, it is lower cost, but it will have the most gorgeous views of any dental clinic in the region from the top five floors of this tower. I encourage you to check it out if you have the opportunity to. A close-up of the promenade. The promenade as I mentioned is one of the strongest design elements of this new campus. Pedestrian, public easement over it of course for the -- bicyclists and pedestrians. And it is really going to be the armature that links all of our future buildings and provide that north/south connection. The look from the southwest, and it's -- what you can see there, on the very bottom, I will do a close-up, I can't -- there is no place for me to show it with a pointer. Top image all of the way to the right, you see this entrance. That is the entrance to the bike parking. It is right across from the new cycle track, part of the moody street design. That cycle track, which I know is important and included in that design and we supported strongly have a direct connection into the bike parking there. That image of the building, this is my favorite. It captures the architecture. And the focus of that south tower is really about the collaboration and simulation that is going on inside. Um, here is a quick look at the rendering, picture of the -- i'm sorry. It does have a nautical look to it. What is going on inside?

Adams: What is it called?

Newman: This whole thing is the collaborative life sciences building.

Saltzman: South bar.

Gregory: We call it the South bar, if you will. But it is a component --

Bearden: It is a prelude to the --

Adams: Nothing mixes better.

Saltzman: Put the vivarium on the ground floor too.

Newman: We made sure to put human anatomy on the second floor. Here is a look at the atrium.

You can see the lecture hall floating in space. And the north tower and the south bar are connected by bridges going back and forth between each floor, so it is a real mixing ground as I mentioned between students, researchers, professors, and even dental clinic patients. Here is a look from the 5th floor looking down through the atrium and you will get a glimpse of what it might look like. But it is filled with light. There is a sky -- there is a glass roof that provides a lot of light into that space. Let me close by saying a few challenges that we're working through. Not seeking any kind of intervention on the part of the council. That is not the point. Just wanted to let you know that we're working through a couple of issues with -- one is the -- the access from meade. Meade designated an enhanced pedestrian street. That was done before porter was

October 12, 2011

designated. It is a challenge now because there is no auto access from porter, from moody, because of streetcar, and the promenade. One thing that we're looking add, whether to seek an exception or look at an amendment is the designation of meade of an enhanced pedestrian street. Only -- entrance to the parking garage and loading dock. Want to let you know that we're working on it. The moody street remnant, I will not go into a lot of detail. We had a good working relationship with -- when moody is rebuilt, there will be not just the 15 foot sidewalk on the east side of moody street. 25 foot right of way, gap between the street and building. We will build out that gap as part of frontage improvement. It is almost a 40-foot setback from the street. We went through a process with the city engineer and staff, what is a unified design treatment. It is not just our block. It is four, five blocks that is affected by. No on-street parking on moody. We want to figure out a way to activate that street and do it in a way that addresses that setback. Carol reed, landscape architect and others to figure out the guidelines, the design review process and design commission has approved those, incorporated the guidelines into the design of the building. We are working closely with pbot on. That is. If you have any questions for the three of us, what has already been mentioned, we don't do this without the city and participation of the Portland development, pbot, trimet and others. All of the construction that is happening that is allowing us to be able to develop this site. It's Not an exaggeration at all to say that this would not be happening without of all of the infrastructure improvement. And it's a testament to the partnership that we've had and will continue to have between the city of Portland and our respective universities.

Fritz: I have one question. Thank you very much for the presentation. Some of the elements of this are reminiscent of the Oregon sustainability, the partnership between the jurisdictions and universities. The promotion of minority contractors both for the design work and for the construction. Have there been some consideration of that kind of partnership in this project?

Newman: Absolutely. Our contractor, je dunn construction and they have a very aggressive plan that mirrors the standards that we established for the central district and south waterfront, reaching out -- you may know better than i, project apprenticeship and other programs, but they know that there is a high expectation on the part of all three of our universities to achieve and lead on that issue. They're implementing that plan right now. I have seen a draft of it. I think it is still working through the process and getting the appropriate review by our respective universities.

Fritz: Thank you.

Adams: South waterfront is worked out under mayor potter. And South waterfront has some of the most ambitious equity goals for work force and contracting and my understanding as well mentor ship that this carries forward to this project as well. I also want to thank the Oregon department of transportation that we worked with to reprogram some money for a different project into the knitting, as I call it, the transportation and the tiger grants of the Federal governments granting of those things. If you haven't been down there, I encourage it. We have to raise the street 14 feet, so right now it looks very odd. But we're hopeful that it will look better.

Newman: As soon as there is a big building on it, yeah.

Adams: Thank you all very much. I focused entirely on ohsu, I forgot this is a collaborative building with osu. Great to have more of the beavers in Portland.

Bearden: We try.

Adams: And, of course, it is a key new spot of the psu campus as well. Thank you all.

Newman: Thank you.

Adams: That was a presentation and no action required. Can you please read the title for nonemergency ordinance 1088?

Item 1088.

Adams: Hi, welcome back.

October 12, 2011

Jonas Biery, Office of Management and Finance: Thank you, mr. Mayor. Jonas Biery Debt manager for the city. Certainly won't have the zip and pizzazz in my presentation as the prior presentation, but in my line of business, bland and conservative is actually very exciting.

Adams: Low risk. Low risk.

Biery: Ordinance authorizes issuance of up to \$5.65 million revenue tax revenue bonds used to finance a portion of costs for the city's emergency coordination center, or ecc. In total, ecc construction costs are paid from a variety of sources including water bureau resources, proceeds from public safety bonds issued earlier this year and available cash. The proceeds of the proposed bonds represent the final funding -- the bonds secured by the full faith and credit of the city. Including general fund. Payment made through the facilities services fund, which receives money from each city bureau via existing interagency agreements. Bonds will mature over not more than 15 years, annual debt service approximately \$490,000 per year. We expect to sell the bonds via a competitive bidding process in december. I would be happy to answer any questions.

Adams: Well to say this is another step that stands on the shoulders of commissioner Leonard's work with the bond measure, component of that, but separate from bond measure revenues, I want to thank you, commissioner Leonard, and next time I want you to practice to say it with great emotion. Because it is a big deal. A good big deal. Questions from council? Discussion? Move to second reading further consideration. Can you please read the -- call the vote for 1089.

Item 1089.

Leonard: Aye.

Fritz: Thank you to Jonas Biery both for the previous one and for explaining to me further on this one. I appreciate the solid staff work. Aye.

Saltzman: Aye.

Adams: Thank you mr. Biery. Aye. (Gavel) So approved. Can you please read the title for 1091 emergency ordinance.

Item 1091.

Adams: Commissioner dan Saltzman.

Saltzman: Thank you, mayor. This ordinance an administrative step that authorizes a competitive bidding invitation, invitation to bid for the permitting and plan review management software, also known as the information technology advancement project or i-tap. The bureau of development services current permitting system hinders our ability to effectively serve our customers and our residents to our best ability. And we are hopeful that through this request for proposals, we will receive the best assurance that the technology and the company that we eventually choose for our new system will serve us well. And here to explain more is bds director Paul Scarlett and his team.

Paul Scarlett, Director, Bureau of Development Services: Thank you, commissioner Saltzman. I'm Paul Scarlett bds director. Mayor Adams and commissioner, very excited to continue to work on the improvements for technology for customers and employers to better utilize technology and improve their work. We have been at this for two years, and continue to find and seek efficiencies. Commissioner Leonard, as you mentioned before, has initiated a number of initiatives, this is one of the pieces that we as part of our reorganization as a result of -- having to retool our organization through reduction of staff identify that technology could get us further. Commissioner Saltzman, we have continued to capitalize on that opportunity and come here with this authorization to pursue an open, competitive bid process to re-enforce that we are, in fact, haven't lost the sight of the objective to improve technology for customers and employees and want to have on a platform, we believe for vendors, in a competitive way, show us how we can meet our goals. This is a step in that direction, which is to authorize moving forward with the rfp. There are a lot of technical pieces to it. But I think the big part is our goal to provide more access to make it easier, to make it more efficient for employees, customers, industry partners,

October 12, 2011

and in fact to be -- keeping pace with the changes in technology that has occurred over a period of time. We are providing service to the best of our ability. We have a system that is about 12 years old. And we have had adjustments made over a period of time. But, again, folks cannot submit plans from their home or office. They have to come to the bureau. If that seems outdated, you are talking about sustainability -- we're unable to reduce paper because we can't do electronic plan review, etc., etc., you know.

Adams: Is this in lieu of joining the state system, just so I understand --

Scarlett: We are wanting to move forward with the opportunity to allow for that should it -- as we continue to evaluate this being our best interest. We want to have the ability to --

Adams: We're testing that option.

Scarlett: That option -- correct.

Adams: I think we're all interested in the best option. So You're going to compare that against everything else. Okay.

Scarlett: Bottom line, yes.

Adams: And as you do that integration is key, and so integration back to the sap or opportunity -- opportunities to -- there is a public face as part of the rfp, right?

Scarlett: Yes.

Adams: General public is considered one of the constituents or customers.

Scarlett: That's right. A lot of interfacing.

Adams: O.K. Thank you.

Scarlett: You are welcome.

Adams: Any other council discussion?

Leonard: Hank's got something to say.

Scarlett: Yea, Hank's got something -

Adams: I'm sorry.

Hank McDonald, Bureau of Development Services: I would like to throw a couple of things out there. To get at your question, mayor Adams, section one, item two, specifically discusses our commitment to integration and or being a full-service jurisdiction of the state of Oregon, whichever we feel will provide us the best solution for our business needs and integration with the state system. Couple of other things I wanted to point out, estimated costs under section one, subsection four. It's important to note that the costs that are reflected here are not the overall information technology advancement project costs. These are just the costs associated with the execution of this rfp and subsequent contract. I want to make sure that we -- that we are very clear about that. There are a number of other components to the information technology advancement project that are not reflected in this rfp itself. We're very anxious to continue forward. We continue to work on process mapping and we're also working on all of the technical requirements. We're going to enter into a discussion of waiting of the technical requirements shortly, as soon as we get finished with our initial draft of the rfp. We look forward to coming back to council in probably about a month with that rfp.

Adams: So, sorry. A lot of distractions today. This is an rfp to test out platforms, state versus other sort of general platforms for -- for automation, of the whole system. And the rfp to follow is a -- can you describe that part?

McDonald: This is enabling ordinance authorizing the bureau of development services to enter into a public bid announcement for a platform, for a replacement technology --

Adams: What is the next one? You said there is something coming back to council in a month.

McDonald: I may have misspoken, the minute I said that, we don't come back to council with the - the rfp itself. We will be going to the Technology oversight committee, however the --

Scarlett: The procurement officer.

McDonald: The procurement officer, I'm sorry, the procurement officer at the end of this process will be coming back to council with a recommendation for award --

October 12, 2011

Adams: Yes.

McDonald: I apologize. I misspoke there.

Adams: That makes sense. Thank you.

McDonald: Richard is also here for any technical questions that may be answered.

Adams: All right. Richard, any questions for Richard? All right. Does anyone wish to testify on this matter?

Moore-Love: No one signed up.

Adams: Please call the vote.

Leonard: I know you are all are doing an outstanding job on this project. And I appreciate Dan carrying forward with it. It is a Very important project for the future of BDS-- aye.

Fritz: Thank you commissioner Saltzman for your leadership and your willingness to ensure that the project is done right. Also, thanks to the development review advisory committee and information technology oversight committee for their assistance. Paul, Richard and Hank, thank you so much for your work on this, very diligent. I'm still not convinced this is the right time to move forward on this large expensive software project. The Outlook for our next budget is dismal, development growth over the next few years is still in question. I question whether the debt -- the line of credit can be paid back as estimated. However, I do understand the importance of this software improvement and my colleagues here on the council have voted to fund the project. Therefore, it is going forward. And my vote against this project would only delay the inevitable. So In the interest of efficiency and knowing that time is money and my colleague, commissioner Saltzman placed this on his emergency ordinance, I will vote to move forward with the project -- aye.

Saltzman: Thank you commissioner Leonard, commissioner Fritz, for your support and thank you all for your hard work and we will keep you posted aye.

Adams: Aye. (gavel) So approved. Can you please read the title for nonemergency regular ordinance item 1092?

Item 1092.

Adams: Auditor, welcome back.

Lavonne Griffin-Valade, Auditor, Office of the City Auditor: Hello. Thank you. Good afternoon Mayor Adams and commissioners. I am Lavonne griffin-valade, City Auditor, with me is Ryan Kinsella, the city elections officer. At the recommendation of the city attorney, we are before you today with a code amendment that will clarify the procedure and process to be used when the charter commission refers an amendment directly to voters. And so as you know, charter allows for the charter commission to refer an amendment to the voters without council approval when at least 15 of their members vote in favor of referring an amendment to the ballot. However, currently the election code does not address the procedures and time lines for how -- from the charter commission, that comes directly from the charter commission will appear on the ballot. This code revision clarifies those procedures. So, to summarize what is before you today lays out that after the charter commission votes to refer an amendment, they will send the specific text to the auditor's office, send a report to council. After presented to council, the auditor's office forwards it to the city attorney's office -- lastly after the ballot title challenge period has passed, elections officer will send the measure to the counties for certification. Want to clarify that this particular code was developed collaboratively by ryan and deputy city attorney, linly rees, so that the procedures will meet statutory requirements and the requirement of the city charter. Questions?

Saltzman: Did I hear you say that if it is -- action by the charter commission with 15 or more votes, you would present it to council?

Ryan Kinsella, Office of the City Auditor: Yes, ryan Kinsella, city elections officer. That's correct. With a vote of 15 or more members voting to approve a measure directly to the voters so it would appear as a report to council and council would not have any action to influence whether or not it goes to the ballot.

October 12, 2011

Saltzman: Would not have any.

Kinsella: Would not, yes.

Fritz: We don't have a council prescribed process for the public hearing process before that.

Kinsella: That's correct.

Fritz: And do we have a process for if a majority, but fewer than 15 of the charter commission want to refer something?

Kinsella: If that were the case it would probably be filed as a Report to council and at that point council could decide if they would like to refer to the ballot and that would follow the city referral procedures.

Fritz: Thank you.

Adams: All right. Additional council discussion? Does anyone want to testify on this matter? All right. Moves to second reading and further consideration next week. Unless i'm mistaken. That's it, right? We're recessed.

Griffin-Valade: Thank you.

Adams: We're adjourned.

At 3:44 p.m., Council adjourned.