

Portland, Oregon
FINANCIAL IMPACT and PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT STATEMENT
For Council Action Items

(Deliver original to Financial Planning Division. Retain copy.)

1. Name of Initiator Deborah Stein		2. Telephone No. 503-823-6991	3. Bureau/Office/Dept. Planning and Sustainability
4a. To be filed (hearing date): July 13, 2011	4b. Calendar (Check One) <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around;"> Regular <input type="checkbox"/> Consent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4/5ths <input type="checkbox"/> </div>		5. Date Submitted to Commissioner's office and FPD Budget Analyst: July 1, 2011
6a. Financial Impact Section: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Financial impact section completed		6b. Public Involvement Section: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Public involvement section completed	

1) Legislation Title:

* Approve annexation to the City of Portland of property within the boundaries of the City's Urban Services Boundary in case number A-1-11, on the south edge of the City on the west side of SW Palatine Hill Road east of SW Terwilliger Blvd. (Ordinance)

2) Purpose of the Proposed Legislation:

To authorize and complete process for owner-requested annexation of property within Urban Services Boundary.

3) Which area(s) of the city are affected by this Council item? (Check all that apply—areas are based on formal neighborhood coalition boundaries)?

- | | | | |
|---|------------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> City-wide/Regional | <input type="checkbox"/> Northeast | <input type="checkbox"/> Northwest | <input type="checkbox"/> North |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Central Northeast | <input type="checkbox"/> Southeast | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Southwest | <input type="checkbox"/> East |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Central City | | | |

FINANCIAL IMPACT

4) Revenue: Will this legislation generate or reduce current or future revenue coming to the City? If so, by how much? If so, please identify the source.

The territory to be annexed is located generally on the south edge of the City on the west side of SW Palatine Hill Road east of SW Terwilliger Blvd. The territory to be annexed contains 0.38 acres, 1 single family dwelling, a population of 5 and has an assessed value of \$ 667,690.

5) Expense: What are the costs to the City as a result of this legislation? What is the source of funding for the expense? (Please include costs in the current fiscal year as well as costs in future years. If the action is related to a grant or contract please include the local contribution or match required. If there is a project estimate, please identify the level of confidence.)

The cost of serving this lot is not expected to be greater than the property tax revenue that will result from the annexation of this property.

6) Staffing Requirements:

- Will any positions be created, eliminated or re-classified in the current year as a result of this legislation? *(If new positions are created please include whether they will be part-time, full-time, limited term, or permanent positions. If the position is limited term please indicate the end of the term.)*

No.

- Will positions be created or eliminated in *future years* as a result of this legislation?

No.

(Complete the following section only if an amendment to the budget is proposed.)

7) Change in Appropriations *(If the accompanying ordinance amends the budget please reflect the dollar amount to be appropriated by this legislation. Include the appropriate cost elements that are to be loaded by accounting. Indicate "new" in Fund Center column if new center needs to be created. Use additional space if needed.)*

Not applicable.

Fund	Fund Center	Commitment Item	Functional Area	Funded Program	Grant	Sponsored Program	Amount

[Proceed to Public Involvement Section — REQUIRED as of July 1, 2011]

PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT

8) Was public involvement included in the development of this Council item (e.g. ordinance, resolution, or report)? Please check the appropriate box below:

- ☐ **YES:** Please proceed to Question #9.
☒ **NO:** Please, explain why below; and proceed to Question #10.

The purpose of the agenda item is to approve annexation to the City of Portland of a property in unincorporated Multnomah County according to requirements for initiation of an annexation request described in ORS 222.170(2) and Metro Code 3.09.040(a). An annexation expands the territory of the City in accordance with adopted policy and procedures. There is no policy or regulatory change accompanying the annexation request. Annexation requests must meet City, Metro and State filing and processing requirements.

Annexation Proposal No.A-1-11 was initiated by a consent petition of the property owners and registered voters in the designated location. Property owners within the City's Urban Services Boundary in unincorporated Multnomah County petition for annexation when they desire urban services, particularly sewer.

The City has retained a consultant to administer the City's annexation program and provide required notifications to adjacent property owners, service providers, neighborhood associations and regional jurisdictions. The Metro Code allows cities to adopt procedures for an "expedited" annexation. This annexation request follows these procedures.

9) If "YES," please answer the following questions:

- a) What impacts are anticipated in the community from this proposed Council item?**
- b) Which community and business groups, under-represented groups, organizations, external government entities, and other interested parties were involved in this effort, and when and how were they involved?**
- c) How did public involvement shape the outcome of this Council item?**
- d) Who designed and implemented the public involvement related to this Council item?**
- e) Primary contact for more information on this public involvement process (name, title, phone, email):**

10) Is any future public involvement anticipated or necessary for this Council item? Please describe why or why not. No. The adopted annexation will allow for the expansion of the City territory. The subject site will henceforth be under City jurisdiction and services. No legislative review or regulatory changes will be necessary as a result of this change.

Susan Anderson/Michael Armstrong

APPROPRIATION UNIT HEAD (Typed name and signature)



184720

Bureau of Planning and Sustainability
Innovation. Collaboration. Practical Solutions.

MEMORANDUM

To: Mayor Sam Adams

From: Susan Anderson, Director

Date: July 6, 2011

-
1. Ordinance Title: * Approve annexation to the City of Portland of property within the boundaries of the City's Urban Services Boundary in case number A-1-11, on the south edge of the City on the west side of SW Palatine Hill Road east of SW Terwilliger Blvd. (Ordinance)
 2. Contact Name, Department, & Phone Number: Deborah Stein, BPS, 823-6991
 3. Requested Council Date: July 13, 2011
-

Consent Agenda Item: ☒ X or Regular Agenda Item: ☐

Emergency Item (answer below): ☒ X or Non- Emergency Item: ☐

If emergency, why does this need to take effect immediately: This Ordinance is part of an expedited process for voluntary annexation enacted by Metro through adoption of Section 3.09 of the Metro Code.

4. **History of Agenda Item/Background:**

The City has not been actively annexing additional land within our Urban Services Boundary (USB) since the mid-1990s, although the City of Portland intends to be the eventual provider of urban services. Most all annexations are initiated by property owners who want access to City services. Some of these property owners have failing septic systems and need to connect to the sewer system. Others want to develop their properties at urban densities. The property owners who initiated Case No. A-1-11 want to annex to Portland to obtain City services, particularly sewer services. The property is within the USB.

City Council adopted an expedited review process on September 17, 2003, for owner-initiated annexation cases where the property is within Portland's USB. This process allows staff to place these uncontested annexations on the Council's Consent Calendar. The expedited process is intended to save processing time for staff and the property owners and meeting time for Council. Since there are only one or two annexation requests a year, the City annexation cases are processed by annexation consultant Ken Martin, who is under contract with the Bureau of Planning and Sustainability.

5. **Purpose of Agenda Item:**

To approve annexation to the City of Portland of a property in unincorporated Multnomah County according to requirements for initiation of an annexation request described in ORS 222.170(2) and Metro Code 3.09.040(a).



City of Portland, Oregon | Bureau of Planning and Sustainability | www.portlandonline.com/bps
1900 SW 4th Avenue, Suite 7100, Portland, OR 97201 | phone: 503-823-7700 | fax: 503-823-7800 | tty: 503-823-6868

Printed on 100% post-consumer waste recycled paper.

6. **Legal Issues:** The proposal is consistent with legal requirements for process.

7. **What individuals or groups are or would be opposed to this resolution? Supportive?**

Urban service providers, neighboring property owners, and neighborhood organizations have been notified of this annexation. No problems are anticipated.

8. **How Does This Relate to Current City Policies?** The Portland Comprehensive Plan describes the City's role as principal provider of urban services within the city's established Urban Services Boundary and plan for the eventual delivery of urban services according to a phased program of improvements meeting the service needs of individual areas.

