

**FINANCIAL IMPACT STATEMENT
For Council Action Items**

(Deliver original to Financial Planning Division. Retain copy.)

1. Name of Initiator Denise Kleim		2. Telephone No. (503) 823-7338	3. Bureau/Office/Dept. Bureau of Development Services
4a. To be filed (date) October 13, 2010	4b. Calendar (Check One) Regular Consent 4/5ths <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>		5. Date Submitted to FPD Budget Analyst:

1) Legislation Title: Update the Development Review Advisory Committee membership categories to better reflect relevant groups who participate in and are affected by the development review process (Ordinance; amend Code Section 3.03.030)

2) Purpose of the Proposed Legislation: The Development Review Advisory Committee (DRAC) is a citizen advisory body, representing those with interests in the outcome of policies, budgets, regulations, and procedures that affect development review processes. The purpose of the DRAC is to foster a timely, predictable and accountable development review process that implements the City's goals for land use, transportation, housing, economic development, neighborhood livability and the environment.

P.C.C. Section 3.30.030(B) specifies the number of members in the DRAC, as well as the diverse neighborhood and development organizations those members represent. Since PCC Section 3.30.030(B) was last amended in 2004, the development community and the needs of the DRAC have changed.

This legislation makes changes to some DRAC membership categories in order to allow the DRAC to continue to be effective in its role by ensuring that DRAC members represent key, relevant groups who participate in and are affected by the development review process.

3) Revenue:

Will this legislation generate or reduce current or future revenue coming to the City? If so, by how much? If new revenue is generated please identify the source.

This legislation will not generate or reduce current or future revenue coming to the City.

4) Expense:

What are the costs to the City as a result of this legislation? What is the source of funding for the expense? (Please include costs in the current fiscal year as well as costs in future years) (If the action is related to a grant or contract please include the local contribution or match required)

There are no costs to the City as a result of this legislation.

Staffing Requirements:

5) Will any positions be created, eliminated or re-classified in the current year as a result of this legislation? (If new positions are created please include whether they will be part-time, full-time, limited term or permanent positions. If the position is limited term please indicate the end of the term.)

No positions will be created, eliminated, or re-classified in the current year as a result of this legislation.

6) Will positions be created or eliminated in future years as a result of this legislation?

Positions will not be created or eliminated in future years as a result of this legislation.

Complete the following section if you are accepting and appropriating a grant via ordinance. This section should only be completed if you are adjusting total appropriations, which currently only applies to grant ordinances.

7) Change in Appropriations (If the accompanying ordinance amends the budget, please reflect the dollar amount to be

appropriated by this legislation. If the appropriation includes an interagency agreement with another bureau, please include the partner bureau budget adjustments in the table as well. Include the appropriate cost elements that are to be loaded by the Grants Office and/or Financial Planning. Use additional space if needed.)

Fund	Fund Center	Commitment Item	Functional Area	Funded Program	Grant	Sponsored Program	Amount

Paul L. Scarlett, Director

APPROPRIATION UNIT HEAD (Typed name and signature)

184183



Office of the Director
City of Portland, Oregon - Bureau of Development Services
 1900 SW 4th Avenue, Suite 5000 • Portland, Oregon 97201
 503-823-7308 • Fax 503-823-7250 • TTY 503-823-6868 • www.portlandonline.com/bds

Memorandum

Date: October 7, 2010

To: Mayor Sam Adams
 Commissioner Nick Fish
 Commissioner Amanda Fritz
 Commissioner Randy Leonard
 Commissioner Dan Saltzman

From: Paul L. Scarlett, Director *PLS*
 Bureau of Development Services

RE: DRAC Membership Category Code Change Ordinance (amend Code Section 3.03.030)

The Bureau of Development Services (BDS) has prepared an ordinance proposing changes to Development Review Advisory Committee (DRAC) membership categories specified in PCC 3.03.030. The proposed changes will result in revised membership categories that better reflect relevant groups who participate in and are affected by the development review process. In addition, a new membership category is being created to address and represent activities and issues related to Public Works permit projects. The ordinance is scheduled to be heard by the Council as part of the regular agenda on Wednesday, October 13, 2010.

Background

The Development Review Advisory Committee (DRAC) is a citizen advisory body, representing those with interests in the outcome of policies, budgets, regulations, and procedures that affect development review processes. The purpose of the DRAC is to foster a timely, predictable and accountable development review process that implements the City's goals for land use, transportation, housing, economic development, neighborhood livability and the environment.

Portland City Code Section 3.30.030(B) specifies the number of members in the DRAC, as well as the diverse neighborhood and development organizations those members represent.

Since PCC Section 3.30.030(B) was last amended in 2004, the development community and the needs of the DRAC have changed. In order for the DRAC to be effective in its role, it is important that DRAC members represent key, relevant groups who participate in and are affected by the development review process.

In summer 2010, a small group of DRAC members met with BDS staff to discuss possible changes to membership categories, and various proposals were reviewed and discussed by the full DRAC over the course of two meetings. DRAC members are confident that making these changes will

help keep the DRAC effective and relevant by ensuring that key groups in the development review process are adequately represented.

The DRAC is therefore proposing to make the following changes to DRAC membership categories:

	Existing Category	Change?	Revised Category	Rationale
1.	Advocate for Individual Customers	Yes	Frequent Development Review Customers	Existing category is vague; new category targets a key group
2.	Citywide Neighborhood Interests	No		
3.	Design Professionals	No		
4.	Environmental Conservation	Yes	Environmental Conservation and Green Building	Adds green building expertise to the DRAC
5.	Historic Preservation	No		
6.	Home Builders	No		
7.	Home Remodelers	No		
8.	Land Use Planning Professions	No		
9.	Large Business	Yes	Large Developers	Better identifies the targeted stakeholders
10.	Large Construction Contractors	No		
11.	Low-Income Housing Developers	No		
12.	Major Facilities Landowners	No		
13.	Minority Construction Contractors	Yes	Minority Construction Contractors and Development Professionals	Expands the pool of potential representatives
14.	Neighborhood Associations	Yes	Neighborhood Coalition Land Use Committees	Provides a broader perspective
15.	Neighborhood Businesses	Yes	Small Businesses	Reflects commonly-used terminology
16.	Planning and Sustainability Commission, as designated by the Planning Commission President, and serves as an ex officio member of the Committee	No		
	New Category	Change?	Revised Category	Rationale
17.	Public Works Permit Customers	Yes	N/A	Adds a group with significant relevance to the development review process