	Guide for Review of SHP Procurem	ent			
Name of Gran	Name of Grantee:				
Staff Consulte	Staff Consulted:				
Name(s) of	Date				
Reviewer(s)					

NOTE: All questions that address requirements contain the citation for the source of the requirement (statute, regulation, NOFA, or grant agreement). If the requirement is not met, HUD must make a finding of noncompliance. All other questions (questions that do not contain the citation for the requirement) do not address requirements, but are included to assist the reviewer in understanding the grantee's program more fully and/or to identify issues that, if not properly addressed, could result in deficient performance. Negative conclusions to these questions may result in a "concern" being raised, but not a **"finding."**

<u>Instructions</u>: This Exhibit is designed to monitor the SHP grantee's compliance with procurement requirements. It applies to governmental entities. The appropriate procurement requirements for non-profit organizations can be found at 24 CFR 84.40 – 84.48. The Exhibit is divided into five sections: Sample Selection; Small Purchase; Sealed Bids; Competitive Proposals; and Noncompetitive Proposals. The HUD reviewer should follow the sampling instructions in Section 13-3 of the introduction to this Chapter and randomly select a sample of the grantee's procurement transactions, pull the procurement files related to the selected transactions and use the files to verify responses to the Exhibit questions. The SHP regulatory citation for the uniform administrative requirements is located at 24 CFR 583.330.

Questions:

A. SAMPLE SELECTION

1.

Provide the following information for the selected sample of procurement transactions. (If additional rows are needed, please attach an additional sheet.)							
Name of	Date of	Amount	Amount	Amount	Procurement		
Contractor/Purpose	Purchase	Budgeted	Obligated	Expended	Method*		

^{*} Use the following numbers for these methods of procurement [see 24 CFR 85.36(d): (1) Small Purchase; (2) Sealed Bids; (3) Competitive Proposals; and (4) Noncompetitive Proposals.

13-1 09/2005

B. SMALL PURCHASES

2.			
	Does the grantee document receipt of an adequate number of price or rate		
	quotations from qualified sources for procurements of \$25,000 or less?	Yes	No
	[24 CFR 85.36(d)(1)]		
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:		
<u>C.</u>	SEALED BIDS		
3.			
	Does the grantee receive at least two or more sealed bids for each procurement		Ш
	transaction?	Yes	No
	[24 CFR 85.36 (d)(2)]		
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:		
4.			_
	Do the procurement transactions reviewed lend themselves to firm, fixed price	Ш	Ш
	contracts and can selection of successful bidders be made principally on the	Yes	No
	bases of price?		
	[24 CFR 85.36]		
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:		

09/2005 13-2

5.			
	Did the grantee publicly advertise the Invitation for Bids (IFBs) and were bids		
	solicited from an adequate number of known suppliers, providing them		
	sufficient time before the date set for opening bids?	Yes	No
	[24 CFR 85.36(d)(2)(ii)(A)]		
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:		
	Describe dasis for Conclusion.		
_			
6.			
	Did the IFBs, including specifications and pertinent attachments, clearly define		
	the items or services needed in order for the bidders to properly respond?	Yes	No
	[24 CFR 85.36(d)(2)(ii)(B)]		
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:	_	
7.			
, ·	For the transactions reviewed, were all bids opened publicly at the times and		
	places stated in the IFBs?		
	[24 CFR 85.36]	Yes	No
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:	j	
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:		
8.			
	Are contracts awarded to the lowest responsive and responsible bidders?		
	[24 CFR 85.36]	Yes	 No
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:	res	NO
	Describe Dasis for Conclusion.		

13-3 09/2005

D. COMPETITIVE PROPOSALS

9.			
	Is this procurement method used generally when conditions are not appropriate		
	for sealed bids?	Yes	No
	[24 CFR 85.36]	.03	
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:		
10.			
	For the transactions reviewed, do the Requests for Proposals (RFPs) state		
	clearly and accurately the technical requirements for the goods or services to be	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	
	procured?	Yes	No
	[24 CFR 85.36]		
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:		
	Describe Busis for Conclusion.		
11.			
11.			
	Are proposals solicited from an adequate number of qualified sources,		
	consistent with the nature and requirements of the procurements?	Yes	No
	[24 CFR 85.36]		
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:		

09/2005 13-4

12.			
	Does the grantee publicize RFPs and honor reasonable requests by parties to		
	compete to the maximum extent practicable?	Yes	 No
	[24 CFR 85.36]	103	140
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:		
13.			
	Do the RFPs identify all significant evaluation factors, including price or cost		
	where required and their relative importance?	Yes	L.
	[24 CFR 85.36]	res	No
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:		
14.			
	Do the records indicate that the grantee conducted technical evaluations of		
	submitted proposals; determined responsible offerors from such evaluations; as		
	necessary and when authorized, conducted negotiations, written or oral, for final	Yes	No
	contract award; and made awards to the most responsive and responsible		
	offerors whose proposals will be most advantageous to the grantee after price		
	and other factors are considered?		
	[24 CFR 85.36(d)(3)(iii) and (iv) and 24 CFR 85.36(b)(8)] Describe Basis for Conclusion:		
	Describe dasis for Conclusion:		

13-5 09/2005

1	- 5	
	.,	

	If RFPs involved architectural or engineering professional services, were submissions evaluated with respect to factors other than price (e.g., can the grantee document the bases for negotiations of fair and reasonable compensation)? [24 CFR 85.36]	Yes	No	N/A
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:			
16.				
	If RFPs involved architectural or engineering professional services, does the grantee maintain a list of qualified bidders who can respond to its RFPs? [24 CFR 85.36]	Yes	□ No	N/A
<u>E.</u> 17.	NONCOMPETITIVE PROPOSALS			
	If noncompetitive proposals were used, can the grantee show that other methods of procurement (small purchase, sealed bids or competitive proposals) were infeasible because the items were available from a single source; OR a public exigency or emergency was of such urgency to not permit a delay resulting from competitive solicitation; OR, after solicitation from a number of sources, competition was determined inadequate; OR approval was granted by HUD? [24 CFR 85.36(d) (4)]	Yes	No	N/A
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

09/2005 13-6