## **Data Privacy Risk Assessment Form**

## Privacy Toolkit - v 0.5

<b>Basic Information</b>								
Bureau	City Administration – Procurement Services							
Bureau contact	Gennie Nguyen							
Contact details	gennie.nguyen@por	gennie.nguyen@portlandoregon.gov						
Date	5/01/25							
Name of the project or application	City of Portland's Procurement Services implementation of Open Contracting Data Standard							
Step 1: Identify sensitive	or protected datase	ts						
1A. Dataset		City of Portland's Open Contracting Data Standard. The Standard documentation can be found in the following ite: <a href="https://standard.open-contracting.org/latest/en/">https://standard.open-contracting.org/latest/en/</a>						
Use the data descriptor template. [(1) List of data fields that are identifiers or quasiidentifiers (e.g., gender, ethnicity, etc.) (2) List any location/ geodata fields] If the name and description of a field is sensitive or create a security risk, add a note as name, title, and description redacted due to disclosure risks.			Description	Contact point includes name, email, phone number and web address of a party involved in the contract.  This field includes personal identifiable information in a commercial capacity in relationship with the City. This usually includes legal business names that may be the contractor's personal name.  In some cases, individuals may opt out from public disclosure due to legal exceptions like being a survivor of domestic violence. The City has mechanism to request these exceptions via the Public Records Office.  Data policies should include checking for				
	parties/contactPoint	Contact point	Contact details that can be used for this party.	exception flags in this field and remove personal identifiable information accordingly.				

Step 2: Data manageme	ent platform					
2A. Platform or vendor name	Open Contracting Partnership					
2B. URLs of data management	https://standard.open-contracting.org/latest/en/ https://www.portland.gov/business-opportunities/ocds/city-portland-ocds-publication					
2C. Information about data management	The City of Portland's Open Contracting Data Standard publication at https://www.portland.gov/business-opportunities/ocds/city-portland-ocds-publication makes information on city procurements and contracts accessible online and in open formats so it can be used, reused, and redistributed by any interested party.  The City of Portland's procurement data is refreshed every two weeks through a process involving several steps					
	before completion.  Firstly, the data automated process pulls raw data from the shared storage, where the data is updated every two weeks by the City of Portland for BuySpeed, SAP, and B2G reports and by a city Bureau for Airtable reports.  After the pulling has been completed, the process starts with the normalization procedure - this procedure converts the raw data into a structured format that is readable by the system. After the normalization					
	procedure, conversion to OCDS version 1.1 begins.  The conversion step introduces the usage of the internal mappings between normalized data and OCDS format. Whenever the conversion step is done the system indexes the result for search and download. In the end, the system filters, removes duplicates, compiles, and publishes the final OCDS data.					
	Raw data is available on HCP Anywhere, while bulk downloads <a href="https://www.portland.gov/business-opportunities/ocds/city-portland-ocds-publication">https://www.portland.gov/business-opportunities/ocds/city-portland-ocds-publication</a> are updated every two weeks due to a highly time-consuming conversion process.					
2D. privacy policy	[enter URL of applicable privacy policy, regulations, or compliance requirements]					
Step 3: Identifiability Risk Assessment						
3A. Value of Data	Value of service/data  □ Low □ Moderate ☑ High					

3B-1. Risk of Data -	(a) Individual Exp	ectation of	f Privacy					
impact assessment								
	☐ Moderate							
	☐ High  (b) Repercussions ☐ No discernable ☐ Minor ☑ Moderate ☐ Major  (c) Impact = individual expectation of privacy X repercussions (legal, finance)    Repercussions   Repercussions     No discernable   Minor   Mode     Individual   Low   Very low   Very low   Low							
	(b) Paparsussian						e Major  Moderate  Significant	
	(c) impact = indi	лацаг ехрес	ctation of p	Trivacy X reperc			C.)	
		Impact Lev	/el	No diamenta				
		_	Law			Moderate Low	_	
		Individual expectation of privacy				Moderate	Major Moderate Significant	
			High	Very low	Moderate	Significant		
	□ very Low							
	□ very tow □ Low							
	□ Medium							
	□ Significant							
	□ High							
	(a) Impact: See 3B-1(c) above							
	(b) Likelihood of re-identification attempt							
	⊠ Rare							
	□ Unlikely							
	☐ Possible							
	☐ Probable						Major Moderate Significant	



4AA. Does this data contain PII, HPI or CPI?	Given the result of Step 4A, should the dataset be protected?  ☑ No ☐ Yes
4AB. If answer in 4AA is 'Yes', Are the recommended privacy protections feasible or implemented?	Given the result of Step 4AA, should the dataset be protected?  \( \sum \frac{No}{Ves} \)  If "No", do not proceed.
4B. Identifiability spectrum level	If the answer to Step 4A above is "no", then choose an identifiability spectrum level based on the results in Step 3C:  □ Level 1: Readily identifiable data □ Level 2: Masked data □ Level 3: Obscured data □ Level 4: Aggregate data
4C. De-identification methods	[List de-identification methods, including de-identification of location/ geo data fields]
Step 5: Accessibility Risk	Assessment
5A. Assess likelihood of successful reidentification	<ul> <li>□ Rare</li> <li>☑ Unlikely</li> <li>□ Possible</li> <li>□ Probable</li> </ul>
5B. Is the de-identified dataset still useful?	<ul> <li>□ None</li> <li>☑ Low</li> <li>□ Medium</li> <li>□ High</li> </ul>

5C. Accessibility risk rating	Risk Rating			Util	7				
			High	Medium	Low	None			
	Likelihood	Rare	Very low	Very low	Low	Moderate			
		Unlikely	Very low	Low	Moderate	Significant			
		Possible	Low	Moderate	Significant	High			
		Probable	Moderate	Significant	High	Extreme			
	□ Very low								
	□ Low								
	⊠ Mode	rato							
	☐ Signif								
	_								
	☐ High								
	☐ Extre	me							
5D. Should the de-	⊠ Open								
identified dataset be	☐ Limite	ed Access							
published?	☐ Close	d							
Planning									
We plan to revisit the		nths							
decisions in this form	☐ 1 year								
every		2 years							
		•							
Next date for review	May 1 <sup>st</sup> , 2	2027							
Notes									
140163									
[Insert any important notes]									