Potential Better Housing by Design Amendments Requested by City Commissioners

DRAFT

This list of amendments is preliminary and will be subject to change before being finalized for the November 6, 2019, City Council hearing and session.

Major Code Amendments

	Potential Amendment	Rationale	Staff Notes
1a	Deeper Housing Affordability Bonus – Zoning Code: Amend the Deeper Housing Affordability Bonus to add the option for projects to use this bonus when meeting affordability levels in Title 30, as an alternative to the 60 percent of area median income affordable level of the bonus (see below). Sponsor: Mayor Wheeler	This amendment would provide flexibility to use this bonus to help address the need for affordable ownership housing, for which the 60 percent of area median income affordability level is not practical (households typically need to earn more than this to qualify for ownership housing programs).	 The amendment would retain the requirement for at least 50 percent of units to meet affordability requirements (a much higher percentage than required by inclusionary housing – 20 percent or 10 percent, depending on affordability level). Projects not using the Title 30 option would need to meet this bonus's usual qualifying requirement that at least half of units must be affordable to those earning no more than 60 percent of area median family income.
	Code section: 33.120.211.C.2		
1b	Deeper Housing Affordability Bonus – Title 30: Amend Title 30 (Affordable Housing) to provide standards and administrative approaches to implement the Deeper Housing Affordability Bonus. Include rules specific to rental units (must be affordable at 60 percent of area median income for a 99 -year period) and to ownership units (would need to be affordable at 80 percent of area median income for at least a 10-year period). Sponsor: Mayor Wheeler Code section: 30.01.140 (new)	The new Title 30 section is needed to implement the deeper housing affordability bonus and to provide options for the bonus to be used to promote affordable home ownership opportunities.	 The affordability level for ownership housing will be set at 80 percent of area median income, while rental housing would need to meet the 60 percent of area median income affordable level indicated in the zoning code Deeper Housing Affordability provision. The rental and ownership housing affordability levels align with existing Housing Bureau programs for affordable housing (SDC waivers, tax exemptions, etc.) The shorter term of affordability for ownership housing allows for households to gain equity through ownership, especially since an objective of some ownership housing programs is to provide the benefits of ownership to communities that had not always had access to such opportunities in the past due to discriminatory practices.
1c	Amend ordinance to incorporate Title 30 amendments	This amends the Better Housing by Design ordinance to incorporate the	 Amends the ordinance title and general findings and incorporates a new Exhibit E with the Title 30 amendments.
	Sponsor: Mayor Wheeler	Title 30 amendments in 1b.	new Exhibit E with the fitte 50 amenuments.

2	Affordable housing parking exemption:Amend the Chapter 33.266 affordable housing parking exception so that the exemption from minimum parking requirements for projects providing inclusionary housing units applies regardless of location. The amendment also adds the Deeper Housing Affordability Bonus to the types of affordable housing that can use this exemption.Sponsor: Mayor Wheeler Code section: 33.266.110.D	The intent of this amendment is to reduce costs and support the economic feasibility of projects that provide affordable housing units by making parking optional, instead of required. The amendment responds to testimony that requested discontinuing minimum parking requirements, but links this to the provision of affordable units.	 This amendment would affect projects providing affordable units through inclusionary housing provisions, primarily in the multi-dwelling and mixed-use zones, as well as the proposed Deeper Housing Affordability Bonus. Currently, projects utilizing inclusionary housing bonuses are exempt from minimum parking requirements when located within 500 feet of frequent-service transit lines or within 1,500 feet of light rail stations (applies to 73 percent of multi-dwelling zone properties). Outside of these distances, minimum parking requirements apply although affordable units are subtracted from the minimum parking calculations. 95 percent of multi-dwelling and mixed use zone properties are located within 1,500 feet (just over a quarter mile) of frequent-service transit, meaning that most development is close to frequent transit. A feasibility analysis (see Recommended Draft Appendix C – Part 2) indicated that parking requirements impact the economic feasibility of projects with inclusionary housing units (structured parking typically costs around \$40,000 per parking space and takes up building area that could be used for housing units).
3	Development bonuses and demolition of historic resources: Disallow development bonuses or FAR transfers from being used on sites where a historic building has been demolished in the past 10 years. Sponsor: Commissioner Fritz Code sections: 33.120.210; 33.120.211; 33.130.205; 33.130.212	Prevent the additional development scale provided by bonuses and FAR transfers from serving as an incentive for the demolition of historic buildings.	 This prohibition would apply in the multi-dwelling and mixed use zones and would prevent bonuses and FAR transfers from being used on sites where a Historic or Conservation landmark, or a contributing structure in a Historic or Conservation district, has been demolished (with exceptions provided for loss from fire or other catastrophic events). Demolition of contributing structures in historic districts (such as the Alphabet and King's Hill historic districts) and Historic Landmarks are subject to City Council approval (through a Type IV demolition review). As a result, there have been very few such demolitions. For locally-designated historic resources, including contributing structures in conservation districts (such as the Mississippi Avenue conservation district), there is only a demolitions. These resources are more vulnerable to redevelopment. However, the Historic Resources Code Project is considering requiring demolition review in Conservation Districts and for locally-designated historic landmarks.

4	Development bonuses and transit access: Disallow development bonuses and FAR transfers from being used in locations that are more than a 1,500- foot walking distance from frequent- service transit. Sponsor: Commissioner Fritz Code sections: 33.120.210; 33.120.211; 33.130.205; 33.130.212	Ensure that the additional development allowed by bonuses and FAR transfers takes place only in areas well-served by transit, where development will be within walking distance of frequent-service transit.	 This limitation would apply in the multi-dwelling and mixed use zones. Mapping analysis indicates that 89 percent of multi-dwelling zone properties and 93 percent of commercial/mixed use zone properties are within a 1,500-foot distance from frequent service transit, as measured using the street network. On properties outside of this distance, new buildings with 20 or more units would remain subject to inclusionary housing requirements, but would not be eligible to receive bonus FAR.
5	100-foot height in historic districts: In historic districts, remove the allowance for 100-foot building height within 1,000 feet of light rail stations in the RM4 zone. <i>Sponsor: Commissioner Fritz</i> <i>Code section: 33.120.215.B</i>	Prevent new buildings from being out-of-scale with the scale of historic districts, which have very few historic buildings that exceed the base RM4 height limit of 75 feet.	• This is an existing height allowance that currently applies in the RH zone in areas mapped for a 4:1 FAR (these areas are being assigned RM4 zoning as part of the Recommended Draft).
6	Indoor common area requirement for large sites: Require that large sites (more than 20,000 square feet) include an indoor common area, such as a community or recreation room, in addition to the proposed requirements for outdoor common areas. Sponsor: Commissioner Fritz Code section: 33.120.240.B.2	This responds to testimony regarding the importance of indoor or covered community space to help reduce social isolation during times of the year when outdoor activity is limited.	 This amendment would require that large sites include an indoor common area of at least 300 square feet as part of requirements for large sites more than 20,000 square feet in size to include common areas. The amendment will allow for indoor common area to comprise up to 25 percent of the total amount of required common area (the rest would need to be outdoor common areas such as courtyards or play areas). This requirement would be a change from the Recommended Draft, which proposed to allow indoor common areas as a common area option, not a requirement. The Recommended Draft large-site requirement calls for common area equivalent in size to 10 percent of site area and allowed for up to half of this amount to be provided as indoor common area.

Map Amendments

11101	DAmenuments		DIAL
	Potential Amendment	Rationale	Staff Notes
М1	Anna Mann House rezoning: Change the zoning of the Anna Mann House (1021 NE 33 rd Avenue) from single-dwelling R5 to multi-dwelling RM1. Change the Comprehensive Plan Map designation to the corresponding Multi-Dwelling – Neighborhood designation. Sponsor: Mayor Wheeler	This zone change would support the use of this historic property for affordable multi-dwelling housing and help accommodate its preservation.	 The Anna Mann House is on a site with over three acres and is on the National Register of Historic Places. This property is one of Portland's Affordable Housing Bond Projects and is proposed for 88 low-income units for families and households experiencing homelessness. The structure was originally built in 1910 as an "old peoples home" and was never a single-family house, although it is located in the R5 single-dwelling zone. Applying the RM1 multi-dwelling zone to this property would provide flexibility for expansion of the multi-dwelling uses of this property and would be more in keeping with the historic use of the Anna Mann House as a multi-dwelling structure. Any additions to the property will require Historic Resources Review, which will help ensure the compatibility of any additions to the property is located close to Sandy Boulevard (portions of the property are located within 50 feet of this corridor), which has frequent transit service and is designated in the Comprehensive Plan as a "Civic Corridor," where higher-density housing is appropriate.

M2	5631 SE Belmont zoning line shift: For a property at 5631 SE Belmont (currently seeking historic status on the National Register of Historic Places) rezone the rear portion of the lot from R5 to RM1 so that the entire house is in the same zone. <i>Sponsor: Commissioner Fritz</i>	Shifting the zoning line on this property will put the entire house into the same zone (RM1), which will reduce barriers to the use of this structure that are currently limited due to the split zoning.	• Dashed line on map below shows the shift in the zoning boundary between RM1 and R5 zoning. SE MORREON
M3	King's Hill Historic District zone changes: In the King's Hill Historic District, downzone from RM4 to RM3 four partial blocks where half or more of the buildings are small-scale historic structures (reduces FAR from 3:1 to 2:1). Sponsor: Commissioner Fritz	Reduce the allowed scale of new development to better match the scale of the small-scale historic buildings on these blocks. Blue on map shows area that this amendment would change from RM4 to RM3 zoning.	 The predominant scale of historic buildings on these blocks is 2 to 3 stories, with FARs generally under 2:1. Current RH zoning on these blocks have a base FAR of 4:1. The BHD proposal for the new RM4 zone reduces the base FAR to 3:1 on these blocks (bonus FAR of 4.5:1), which corresponds to the scale of larger historic buildings in the broader King's Hill Historic District. This amendment would further reduce the base FAR to 2:1.

Minor or Technical Amendments (sponsored by Mayor Wheeler)

	Potential Amendment	Rationale/Notes
A	 Amend regulations for minimum lot dimensions in the multi- dwelling zones (Chapter 33.612) to: 1. Add "triplexes" and "fourplexes" to the same minimum lot dimensions that now apply to duplexes. 2. Shift "duplexes" to the same lot dimension standards that apply to detached houses. 	 Triplexes and Fourplexes are currently considered to be "multi-dwelling structures," which in most of the multi-dwelling zones currently require a minimum size of 10,000 square feet for new lots. The BHD and RIP projects are redefining triplexes and fourplexes as distinct structure types appropriate for small residential lots, and are proposing to regulate these similarly to other "middle housing" types, such as duplexes and attached houses. The amendments to Chapter 33.612 would allow triplexes and fourplexes on small lots, as is currently the case with duplexes (minimum 2,000 square feet in the RM1 zone).
	Code section: 33.612 – Table 612-1	• Allowing duplexes on the same size lots as detached houses is necessary to comply with House Bill 2001, which requires duplexes to be allowed on each lot zoned for residential uses that allows for the development of detached single-family dwellings (minimum 1,600 square feet in the RM1 zone).
В	Amend the affordable housing exceptions from minimum parking requirements, which currently apply to inclusionary housing projects, to also apply to projects using the Deeper Housing Affordability Bonus. <i>Code section: 33.266.110.D</i>	 The existing affordable housing exceptions from minimum parking requirements currently apply to projects using the inclusionary housing bonus and is intended to facilitate development that includes affordable housing. For the same purpose of facilitating affordable housing, the amendments would add projects using the deeper housing affordability bonus to the affordable housing parking exceptions (such projects will exceed inclusionary housing requirements for numbers of affordable units).
С	Amend the tree preservation FAR transfer provision so that the amount of FAR that can be transferred from a site cannot exceed the amount of unutilized FAR on the site. <i>Code section: 33.120.210.D.1.b</i>	 This amendment brings consistency with other FAR transfer allowances, which generally limit the amount of FAR that can be transferred to the amount of unutilized FAR on a site. As written, this transfer allowance could allow more FAR to be transferred from a site than is allowed on the site, which was not the intent of the
_		regulation.
D	For regulations related to tree health, delete reference to "diseased" and replace with "dying".	Correction
E	Code sections: 33.120.210.D.1.b and 4.b; and 33.120.213 Extensions into required building setbacks: correct paragraph numbering. Code section: 33.120.220.D.1 b and c	Correction
F	Corrections to zone names and section references. Code section: 33.258.060	Corrections