



April 19, 2023 Council Agenda

5709

City Hall – 1221 SW Fourth Avenue, Portland, OR 97204

In accordance with Portland City Code and state law, City Council will hold hybrid public meetings, which provides for both virtual and in-person attendance. Members of council will elect to attend remotely by video and teleconference, or in-person. The City has made several avenues available for the public to listen to the audio broadcast of this meeting, including the City's YouTube Channel, [eGov PDX](#), the [Open Signal website](#), and Xfinity Channel 30 and 330.

Questions may be directed to councilclerk@portlandoregon.gov

Wednesday, April 19, 2023 9:30 am

Session Status: Recessed

Council in Attendance: Mayor Ted Wheeler

Commissioner Carmen Rubio

Commissioner Dan Ryan

Commissioner Rene Gonzalez

Commissioner Mingus Mapps

Mayor Wheeler presided.

Commissioner Ryan arrived at 9:31 a.m.

Officers in attendance: Anne Milligan, Deputy City Attorney; Keelan McClymont, Council Clerk

The Consent Agenda was adopted on a Y-5 roll call.

Council recessed at 11:15 a.m. and reconvened at 11:28 a.m.

Council recessed at 1:39 p.m.

Communications

294

[Request of Joan Steinbach to address Council regarding SW Capitol Highway island intersection](#) (Communication)

Document number: 294-2023

Disposition: Placed on File

295

[Request of Peter-Tolin Baker to address Council regarding unpermitted use of amplified sound](#) (Communication)

Document number: 295-2023

Disposition: Placed on File

296

[Request of Petie Farkas to address Council regarding impact of amplified sound in Multnomah Village](#)
(Communication)

Document number: 296-2023

Disposition: Placed on File

297

[Request of John Carter to address Council regarding lighting in parks](#) (Communication)

Document number: 297-2023

Disposition: Placed on File

298

[Request of John Ancharski to address Council regarding lack of parking enforcement](#) (Communication)

Document number: 298-2023

Disposition: Placed on File

Time Certain

299

[Accept Vision Zero 2022 Deadly Traffic Crash Report](#) (Report)

Document number: 299-2023

Introduced by: Commissioner Mingus Mapps

Bureau: Transportation

Time certain: 9:45 am

Time requested: 30 minutes

Disposition: Accepted

Motion to accept the report: Moved by Mapps and seconded by Ryan.

Votes: Commissioner Dan Ryan Yea

Commissioner Rene Gonzalez Yea

Commissioner Mingus Mapps Yea

Commissioner Carmen Rubio Yea

Mayor Ted Wheeler Yea

300

[Present 2023 Portland Harbor Community Involvement Program Grant Awardees](#) (Presentation)

Document number: 300-2023

Introduced by: Commissioner Mingus Mapps

Bureau: Environmental Services

Time certain: 10:15 am

Time requested: 30 minutes

Disposition: Placed on File

Consent Agenda

301

[*Authorize grant agreement with Linnton Community Center for operational costs associated with after-school youth programs](#) (Emergency Ordinance)

Document number: 191240

Introduced by: Commissioner Dan Ryan

Bureau: Parks & Recreation

Disposition: Passed

Votes: Commissioner Dan Ryan Yea

Commissioner Rene Gonzalez Yea

Commissioner Mingus Mapps Yea

Commissioner Carmen Rubio Yea

Mayor Ted Wheeler Yea

302

[Authorize Intergovernmental Agreement with Peninsula Drainage District No. 1 for \\$260,000 to fund operating expenses for flood risk reduction and drainage services](#)

(Ordinance)

Document number: 191241

Introduced by: Commissioner Mingus Mapps

Bureau: Environmental Services

Second reading agenda item 281.

Disposition: Passed

Votes: Commissioner Dan Ryan Yea

Commissioner Rene Gonzalez Yea

Commissioner Mingus Mapps Yea

Commissioner Carmen Rubio Yea

Mayor Ted Wheeler Yea

303

[Approve and terminate limited tax exemptions for properties under the Homebuyer Opportunity Limited Tax Exemption Program](#) (Resolution)

Document number: 37616

Introduced by: Commissioner Carmen Rubio

Bureau: Housing Bureau

Disposition: Adopted

Votes: Commissioner Dan Ryan Yea

Commissioner Rene Gonzalez Yea

Commissioner Mingus Mapps Yea

Commissioner Carmen Rubio Yea

Mayor Ted Wheeler Yea

304

[*Authorize Intergovernmental Agreement between Multnomah County Joint Office of Homeless Services and the Portland Housing Bureau to implement an emergency rent assistance program](#)

(Emergency Ordinance)

Document number: 191242

Introduced by: Commissioner Carmen Rubio

Bureau: Housing Bureau

Disposition: Passed

Votes: Commissioner Dan Ryan Yea

Commissioner Rene Gonzalez Yea

Commissioner Mingus Mapps Yea

Commissioner Carmen Rubio Yea

Mayor Ted Wheeler Yea

Regular Agenda

305

[*Amend System Development Charge Financing and Exemptions Code to add new deferral option for the payment of system development charges \(amend Code Section 17.14.040\)](#) (Emergency Ordinance)

Document number: 191243

Introduced by: Commissioner Carmen Rubio; Commissioner Dan Ryan; Commissioner Mingus Mapps

Bureau: Development Services (BDS); Environmental Services; Parks & Recreation; Transportation; Water
Second reading agenda item 254.

Disposition: Passed As Amended

Motion to add an emergency clause to make this tool available as soon as possible to support more housing production: Moved by Rubio and seconded by Ryan. (Y-5)

Votes: Commissioner Dan Ryan Yea

Commissioner Rene Gonzalez Yea

Commissioner Mingus Mapps Yea

Commissioner Carmen Rubio Yea

Mayor Ted Wheeler Yea

306

[Amend Portland City Elections Code to implement ranked choice voting approved by voters in Portland Measure 26-288 \(replace Code Chapter 2.08\)](#) (Ordinance)

Document number: 191244

Introduced by: Mayor Ted Wheeler; Auditor Simone Rede

Bureau: Auditor's Office; Management and Finance

Second reading agenda item 293.

Disposition: Passed

Votes: Commissioner Dan Ryan Yea

Commissioner Rene Gonzalez Yea

Commissioner Mingus Mapps Yea

Commissioner Carmen Rubio Yea

Mayor Ted Wheeler Yea

[*Adopt the FY 2022-23 Spring Supplemental Budget and make other budget-related changes](#) (Emergency Ordinance)

Document number: 191245

Introduced by: Mayor Ted Wheeler

Bureau: City Budget Office

Second reading agenda item 292.

Disposition: Passed As Amended

Motion to amend the Spring BMP Ordinance to add a finding and directive for continued services in the Impact Reduction Program: Moved by Wheeler and seconded by Ryan. (Y-5)

Motion to repeal Mapps Amendment 2, which will adjust the supplemental budget to ensure transfer of carryover funds in the Recreational Cannabis Tax Fund for Re-Imagine Oregon for \$4,887,388 and guarantee the transference of recreational cannabis funds and its administration from Civic Life to Prosper Portland by July 1, 2023: Moved by Rubio and seconded by Ryan. (Y-3 Ryan, Rubio, Wheeler; N-2 Gonzalez, Mapps)

Motion to make adjustments to the supplemental budget as amended to appropriate a fund transfer of Cannabis Fund resource from the Office of Community and Civic Life to Prosper Portland and then de-appropriate the balance for carryover in FY 2023- 24: Moved by Ryan and seconded by Rubio. (Y-5)

Motion to add an emergency clause in order to have the appropriations in Exhibits 1-5 of this Ordinance As Amended be enacted upon the unanimous passage of this Ordinance: Moved by Wheeler and seconded by Mapps. (Y-5)

Votes: Commissioner Dan Ryan Yea

Commissioner Rene Gonzalez Yea

Commissioner Mingus Mapps Yea

Commissioner Carmen Rubio Yea

Mayor Ted Wheeler Yea

308

[*Approve findings to authorize an exemption to the competitive bidding requirements and authorize the use of the alternative contracting method of Construction Manager/General Contractor for the Council Chambers and Councilor Offices Project for an estimated amount of \\$6,235,000 \(Emergency Ordinance\)](#)

Document number: 191246

Introduced by: Mayor Ted Wheeler

Bureau: Facilities; Management and Finance

Time requested: 10 minutes

Disposition: Passed

Votes: Commissioner Dan Ryan Yea

Commissioner Rene Gonzalez Yea

Commissioner Mingus Mapps Yea

Commissioner Carmen Rubio Yea

Mayor Ted Wheeler Yea

309

[*Authorize Price Agreement to purchase temporary shelter operation services for amount not to exceed \\$50 million over five years \(Emergency Ordinance\)](#)

Document number: 191247

Introduced by: Mayor Ted Wheeler

Bureau: Management and Finance; Revenue and Financial Services

Time requested: 10 minutes

Disposition: Passed

Votes: Commissioner Dan Ryan Yea

Commissioner Rene Gonzalez Yea

Commissioner Mingus Mapps Yea

Commissioner Carmen Rubio Yea

Mayor Ted Wheeler Yea

310

[Authorize Intergovernmental Agreement for the Regional Mobility Pricing Program between State of Oregon Department of Transportation and the City for Planning and Preliminary Design services \(Ordinance\)](#)

Document number: 191255

Introduced by: Commissioner Mingus Mapps

Bureau: Transportation

Time requested: 15 minutes

Disposition: Rescheduled

Rescheduled to April 19, 2023 at 2:15 p.m.

311

[Vacate a portion of NE Everett St west of NE 2nd Ave subject to certain conditions and reservations \(VAC-10125\)](#)
(Ordinance)

Document number: 191256

Introduced by: Commissioner Mingus Mapps

Bureau: Transportation

Time requested: 5 minutes

Disposition: Rescheduled

Rescheduled to April 19, 2023 at 2:15 p.m.

312

[Create a local improvement district to construct street improvements west of NW 83rd Pl in the NW Reed Dr Local Improvement District \(C-10073\)](#) (Ordinance)

Document number: 191248

Introduced by: Commissioner Mingus Mapps

Bureau: Transportation

Second reading agenda item 275.

Disposition: Rescheduled

Rescheduled to April 19, 2023 at 2:15 p.m.

Wednesday, April 19, 2023 2:15 pm

Session Status: Adjourned

Council in Attendance: Mayor Ted Wheeler

Commissioner Carmen Rubio

Commissioner Dan Ryan

Commissioner Mingus Mapps

Mayor Wheeler presided.

Officers in attendance: Lauren King, Senior Deputy City Attorney; Keelan McClymont, Council Clerk

Council recessed at 3:43 p.m. and reconvened at 3:50 p.m.

Council adjourned at 4:45 p.m.

Time Certain

313

[Accept Portland's Housing Bond Oversight Committee 2022 Progress Report](#) (Report)

Document number: 313-2023

Introduced by: Commissioner Carmen Rubio

Bureau: Housing Bureau

Time certain: 2:00 pm

Time requested: 45 minutes

Disposition: Accepted

Motion to accept the report: Moved by Mapps and seconded by Ryan.

Votes: Commissioner Dan Ryan Yea

Commissioner Rene Gonzalez Absent

Commissioner Mingus Mapps Yea

Commissioner Carmen Rubio Yea

Mayor Ted Wheeler Yea

314

[Transmit report to the City on Portland Police Bureau Officer-Involved Shootings and Critical Incidents by the OIR Group](#) (Report)

Document number: 314-2023

Introduced by: Mayor Ted Wheeler

Bureau: Independent Police Review

Time certain: 2:45 pm

Time requested: 2 hours

Disposition: Accepted

Motion to accept the report: Moved by Mapps and seconded by Ryan.

Votes: Commissioner Dan Ryan Yea

Commissioner Rene Gonzalez Absent

Commissioner Mingus Mapps Yea

Commissioner Carmen Rubio Yea

Mayor Ted Wheeler Yea

Regular Agenda

315

[Authorize Intergovernmental Agreement for the Regional Mobility Pricing Program between State of Oregon Department of Transportation and the City for Planning and Preliminary Design services](#) (Ordinance)

Document number: 191255

Introduced by: Commissioner Mingus Mapps

Bureau: Transportation

Previous agenda item 310.

Disposition: Passed to second reading as amended

Motion to amend Ordinance to add new Directive D: Moved by Mapps and seconded by Wheeler. (Y-4; Gonzalez absent)

Passed to second reading April 26, 2023 at 9:30 a.m. as amended

316

[Vacate a portion of NE Everett St west of NE 2nd Ave subject to certain conditions and reservations \(VAC-10125\)](#) (Ordinance)

Document number: 191256

Introduced by: Commissioner Mingus Mapps

Bureau: Transportation

Time requested: 5 minutes

Previous agenda item 311.

Disposition: Passed to second reading

Passed to second reading April 26, 2023 at 9:30 a.m.

317

[Create a local improvement district to construct street improvements west of NW 83rd Pl in the NW Reed Dr Local Improvement District \(C-10073\)](#) (Ordinance)

Document number: 191248

Introduced by: Commissioner Mingus Mapps

Bureau: Transportation

Previous agenda item 312.

Disposition: Passed

Votes: Commissioner Dan Ryan Yea

Commissioner Rene Gonzalez Absent

Commissioner Mingus Mapps Yea

Commissioner Carmen Rubio Yea

Mayor Ted Wheeler Yea

Thursday, April 20, 2023 2:00 pm

Session Status: No session scheduled

Portland City Council Meeting Speaker List
April 19, 2023 - 9:30 a.m.

Name	Agenda Item
Mayor Ted Wheeler	
Keelan McClymont	
Commissioner Dan Ryan	
Commissioner Rene Gonzalez	
Commissioner Mingus Mapps	
Commissioner Carmen Rubio	
Anne Milligan	
Joan Steinbach	294
Peter-Tolin Baker	295
Petie Farkas	296
Dana Dickman	299
Wendy Cawley	299
Annie Von Burg	300
Jessica Terlikowski	300
Jason Stroman	300
Ericka Harrison	300
Teresa Gaddy	300
Kurt Krueger	305
Tim Grewe	307
Robert Cheney	307
Ruth Levine	307
Chabre Vickers	307
Jillian Schoene	307
Justice Rajee	307
Marcus Mundy	307
Maty Sauter	308
Jeff Blade	309
Skyler Bocker-Knapp	309
Kaitlyn Dey	309
Keeley Higgins	309
Sanga Mbaklene	309
Tim McCormick	309
David Bikman	309
Andrew Olshin	309

Rhea Hannon	309
Molly Hogan	309
Benjamin D	309
Emily Boyer	309

Portland City Council Meeting Speaker List
April 19, 2023 - 2:00 p.m.

Name	Agenda Item
Mayor Ted Wheeler	
Keelan McClymont	
Commissioner Dan Ryan	
Commissioner Mingus Mapps	
Commissioner Carmen Rubio	
Lauren King	
Lance Lindahl	316
Art Pearce	315
Caitlin Reff	315
Mandy Putney	315
Molly Rogers	315
Megan Grillo	313
Allan Lazo	313
Todd Struble	313
Paul Lumley	313
Sally Erickson	313
Ryan Hood	313
Stefanie Kondor	313
Shanesse Williams	313
Jill Chen	313
Ross Caldwell	314
Michael Gennaco	314
Julie Ruhlin	314
Stephen Connolly	314
Dan Handelman Portland Copwatc	314
Marc Poris	314
Debbie Aiona	314
Mike Frome	314

Portland City Council Meeting Closed Caption File

April 19, 2023 – 9:30 a.m.

This file was produced through the closed captioning process for the televised city Council broadcast and should not be considered a verbatim transcript. The official vote counts, motions, and names of speakers are included in the official minutes.

City Council. Good morning, Keelan. Please call the roll. Good morning, Ryan. Gonzales. Your maps here. Rubio here. Wheeler here from legal counsel and the rules of order and decorum. Good morning. Good morning. Welcome to the Portland's City Council to testify before council in person or virtually, you must sign up in advance on the council agenda at [www dot Portland's dot gov slash council slash agenda](http://www.portland.gov/council/agenda) information on engaging with City Council can be found on the council clerk's web page. The presiding officer preserves order and decorum during City Council meetings. The presiding officer determines the length of testimony. Individuals generally have three minutes to testify unless otherwise stated, a timer will indicate when your time is done disruptive conduct such as shouting, refusing to conclude your testimony when your time is up or interrupting others, testimony or council deliberations will not be allowed. If you cause a disruption, warning will be given further disruption. Will result in ejection from the meeting. Anyone who fails to leave once ejected is subject to arrest for trespass. Additionally council may take a short recess and reconvene virtually your testimony should address the matter being considered when testifying, please state your name for the record. Your address is not necessary. Please disclose if you are a lobbyist, if you're representing an organization, please identify it. Testifier joining virtually please unmute yourself once the council clerk calls her name. Thank you. Thank you. First

up is communications item number 2 94, please. First individual request up joan steinbach to address council regarding southwest capital highway island intersection. Good morning. How are you today ? Excellent chair. And if you could just state your name for the record. And just just to be clear, all three of you testifying or just one of you all three are you signed up to testify? All three of you? Are we combining testimony? Is this also peter tolan baker. Okay, um, so may I read the next two? Terrific so, um, would you like to minutes each or just a total artist me three minutes each or a total of nine minutes and you can figure it out. However you want planned for three minutes each, so I think I think hopefully we're gonna be okay with no, that's not a problem. Good. I just wanted to make sure that it comported with the agenda. Alright. Good. Thanks. Welcome. So i'll go ahead. I'll read the next to, um, and then we'll get started to 95 requests. Peter tolan baker to address council regarding unpermitted use of amplified sound and item to 96 requests. Pd farkas to address council regarding impact of amplified sound and Multnomah village. Thank you. Thanks for being here appreciate it. And I'm speaking first perfect ready. Okay? Good morning council members, mayor Wheeler. My name is peter tolan baker. I own jp general and Multnomah village with my husband, jay. I joined to colleagues today regarding a matter that we have been pursuing for the past two years in which we need your counsel on I am here today on behalf of Multnomah village business association and are 100 plus members as the current board president. I am proud to represent a diverse and dynamic range of businesses that include many bipoc. L g b t q plus veteran and women owned businesses. We are a strong organization actively involved in our community residents, local nonprofits and various departments within city government and many of you are familiar with Multnomah village is unique history and setting in addition to events, and the b provides a variety of support for our

businesses community, including how to manage increased levels of vandalism, shoplifting and persons experiencing mental health crisis. Doing our part to ensure a healthy, safe environment in the business district. Starting two years ago this spring, we began having weekly demonstrations by two individuals that have a noted history of encounters with a variety of city departments. Caroline and renee stephens, local residents of nearby maplewood stage their demonstration using a small traffic barrier island at the key intersection in the heart of the village. Approximately 200 square foot space is considered city property. Which the stevens are knowingly using for their setup that usually includes a large banner with disturbingly graphic images and amplifier and large speaker for over an hour. The stevens will use the amplifier at full volume to disrupt and harassed the village via threatening and intimidating language that includes hateful commentary towards a range of people. In communities as well as perceived injustices. But we're not here to discuss their demonstrating. Here because of the un permitted use of amplified sound and subsequent, disturbing the peace with intent to disrupt. Which thereby is having an increasingly negative effect on our businesses, our residents and visitors the amplifier volume has been measured on multiple occasions at 85, plus decibels, far exceeding the legal limit set by the city and impossible to ignore. Personally made efforts to discuss the level of sound with the stevens are more than one occasion, as have other community members in business owners. Each time the stevens responses were quite aggressive and threatening. We have spent valuable time and resources on trying to address this issue, making calls, attending meetings and competing with the range of city departments. We feel we have exhausted our efforts to get this matter, addressed and request your support and guidance on the following one. Enforce existing orders and as specified in title 18 city noise control code and to ensure citations and penalties are not dismissed at

district attorney level as has happened in the past. You will now hear from my fellow m v b, a colleague and members starting with p d focus. Owner of peachtree gifts. Thank you. Thank you appreciate it. Good morning. Good morning, mayor and commissioners. My name is pt farkas and I own the gift shop. Peachtree gifts in Multnomah village. My store has been in Multnomah village for over 10 years. Thank you for the opportunity to hear us today, as the others have stated, this issue has affected our community. In many ways, I'm here to talk about how this affects both the business and restaurant community. Even the neighborhood house food pantry serving the families in need. One aspect that makes Multnomah village so special is that many of our customers live in a five mile radius. Due to this. They are very tuned into what is happening in the village. Which means that on Wednesday, they know to stay away. Now can you imagine a business having to survive with one less day? One less day to support our employees. One less day to pay our bills one less day to make rent and one less day to support our families. We are calling on our representatives you to help us. It's not a surprise that Wednesday is now become so slow and this is consistent with the other stores and restaurants. In fact, our fellow committee member helen from the beloved fat city cafe, shared that one time as many as six yes. Six tables left during lunch time due to the sheer volume and harsh language of the protesters. Customers could not hear each other speak, and the servers could not hear the customer's orders. Many people also still do not want to eat inside due to the pandemic, and we appreciate the opportunity to have outdoor dining, but it is becoming impossible. Well, he's customers ever come back to the village. What will they tell other people of their experience here? Why give other people more reasons to go eat or shop at big corporate restaurants and malls? We have also witnessed many people becoming quite volatile toward the protesters, and this worries me as to where it will lead. Can you please help us end

this toxic situation? Why our rights not being protected? Where where are we going with this? As businesses? We respect the freedom of speech. We respect that in a business district. Opinions may vary and we respect discourse and open communication. However this is not about that. This is about a law that is being broken and it is negatively affecting our ability to conduct business in our city. I urge you to consider this what is stopping another group who has a grievance to decide that they too want to amplify their thoughts? Can you even imagine what would become of Multnomah village if this occurred on a daily or hourly basis? We can't afford to set this type of precedents with people seeing that there are no repercussions for disturbing the peace and breaking the law that the city of Portland's created in the first place. I sat down yesterday and wrote a quarterly tax payment to the city of Portland. And it gave me pause. We are doing all that we can in our power to stay in business and supporting the city while we do so as the stewards of our city in which we give back through employing citizens, paying permits and taxes and creating community, we ask that you do all that you can do to help us. We are here today to request that you refer this taste case to the district attorney's office. And work swiftly to help us with this situation. Thank you. Thank you. Thank good morning. Good morning. Um my name is jones, steinbach and I'm the owner of thinker toys, which is located directly across from where the stevens have conducted their Wednesday amplifications for almost two years without fail, so we have had front row seats to this weekly event. They're amplified grievances, which are well above the noise ordinance level set by the City Council. The noise code is and I quote intended to control the level of noise in a manner that promotes health and common good. It works to reduce unnecessary and extreme sound in the environment to preserve the use value and enjoyment of property conduct of business and more. The stevens have been sighted violating this

ordinance, and it has not been enforced despite various actions that our community has taken. Their demonstrations of 40 to 80 minutes at this extreme volume have caused tremendous stress and anxiety for all of us working at our store. We have been targeted by them called out at different times as child haters and racists, perhaps because we have asked them with sensitivity. I might add on several occasions to please lower the volume and our refrain from using profanity. Customers to are aghast. This is that this behavior is tolerated. Some children have been confused and scared, particularly those with sensory integration challenges and so far from preserving the use value and enjoyment of our environment, the city permits to individuals to continue to amplify their issues in the public space, which supersedes the common good of our larger community. Over the past two years, I and other members from Multnomah village have spent hours of personal time doing everything we've been told. Could possibly end these amplified intrusions. We filled out online forms intended a virtual meeting with the noisy review board and, as advised, made weekly phone calls to report the broadcast. Personally I have emailed and or had phone conversations with the variety of city employees from the office of community and civic life. Portland's environmental management office, the noise review board and the Portland police. Additionally I have left messages with the mayor's office at least three different times with no response. We have a clearly defined city ordinance that has been and continues to be violated. It seems the city has the right to levy fines and to put an end to this unhealthy level of amplification. We would strive to presume, preserve the use value and enjoyment of the many and not the loud, unlawful broadcasts of the two. We do not want to control their right to free speech, just their volume that violates our city code. Thank you. Thank you, and I will most certainly have some follow up comments, but I'd like to defer first to commissioner Rubio. Thank you,

mayor. I just want to thank you for your testimony, and I want to say that you may or may not have known this, but the noise program has just recently moved into my portfolio under the bureau of development services. We are very aware of the situation. Where where paying attention. Um and we're actively working on it with our the city attorney's office working together with the d a s office, so I just want you to know that we're taking it seriously. I can't comment more deeply on that because it's an ongoing investigation right now, but I just want you to know from me. We're taking this seriously. And so I would like to put my personal credibility on the line here. I've reached an age where I just don't suffer bs anymore. Or taking advantage of us. They're hiding behind their first amendment rights to cause harm to other people in the community, and as the commissioner just indicated. This is a very difficult issue because it does touch on first amendment issues, so we are progressing. Carefully but I also want you to know this. I asked for an update this morning. It is my understanding that they have been cited repeatedly as per law and what is allowed in terms of enforcement under our noise codes. And they don't care. So the next step is to ask the question. What can we do from a criminal justice perspective for people who are in violation of the law and simply don't care or don't respond to the existing fine structure. We are working with the district attorney. I completely empathize with your position on this. I've said very controversially and I've gotten bad press nationally for saying this. I'm going to say it again because I fundamentally believe it in my heart. I believe rights come with responsibilities. And what we have here is a classic example of where this group is trying to test us and what they're saying is we have our rights. And we're going to exercise the rights. But what they don't understand is their rights are now conflicting with the rights of others, and that's the challenge of government is to figure out how do you mediate. Between two sets of conflicting rights, but for me, the bottom line is this. Um

they're in violation of our noise ordinance. I'm not speaking to the content of their speech. Unfortunately as I've learned over the years, people are allowed to say the most reprehensible things in the core of free speech in the united states is the tolerance of all speech, even reprehensible speech. But the fact that they're doing it as you say, and indulge in an elevated way that is demonstrated to be harmful to people's hearing and public health. That's problematic for me, and they're daring us. And to go one step further. Into the rabbit hole there acting like jerks. And it makes me angry as a public official that I have to spend as much time as I do on this. My staff has to spend as much time babysitting people who just don't want to work well with other people in the community. So uh, I will just say vociferously empathize. With your plight and we will do everything we can to stop it. Because it's not fair. To the community. So thank you for being here. I'm sorry you had to take time out of your busy days. Global Multnomah village. It's awesome. There's some amazing things to do and see and great people there and we really appreciate the hard work you put into Multnomah village. I know it's gone through such drastic change in recent years with development and growth, but you really manage it exceptionally, while it's still a jewel in this city, and I really want to do everything I can to work with you and support. You and help make it a place where everybody can coexist peacefully. Thank you before we leave. Is there anything that you recommend that we might continue to do that? Would help you as you also, um probably, um, and i'll defer to commissioner Rubio on that if you want to reach out to my office. I know my staff is here somewhere. Jimmy um, he can be in touch with you and talk to you offline. Okay. Thank you. Thank you. Thanks for the opportunity. Thanks for being here in person. Appreciate it. I believe it was next individual to 97. Thank you. John carter to address council regarding lighting in parks. They canceled their request. They canceled their request. Uh, 2 98, please

request of John Starsky to address council regarding lack of parking enforcement. Welcome, John. Is John in person or online. John was going to join in person is John here. The next day, I don't see him and I don't let me just check on line one more time here. Uh, the attendees list. I don't see him so you must not have shown up. All right. Good will move to the consent agenda of any items been pulled off the consent agenda. No, please call the roll. Brian Gonzalez high can't be Wheeler, the consent agendas adopted to the first time certain item, please item number 299. Except division 0 2022 deadly traffic crash report commissioner Mapps. Thank you, Mr. Mayor, colleagues. This item comes to us from the Portland's bureau of transportation. We're about to receive pbot vision. Zero deadly crash report for 2022 the vision zero deadly crash report is published every year it provides policymakers and the public with information on traffic deaths here in Portland's it mixed with nations on steps this city can take to make our streets safer. Colleagues in my role as pbot. Commissioner I regret represent this council on many transportation planning committees. It is traditional to begin those meetings by reading the names of Oregonians who have died in traffic accidents since the last time our committee met colleagues. This council has served together for a little more than 100 days, and in that time 13 people have died in traffic accidents here in Portland's her name's were Ronald Brown age. 35 died while traveling in a motor vehicle. Penny Griffith age. 68 died while walking Tyler David. Age 44. Died while traveling in a motor vehicle. Mary Mark age 64 died while walking. John's Rob Ski 59 died while walking. Toby Feller. 54 died. Well walking James Pinkerton 34 died while traveling in a motor vehicle. John Malakal wear now. 54 died while traveling in a motor vehicle. Jason Todd Clark age. 46 died while walking. Jonathan Gilkey age. 41 died while traveling on a motorcycle. In addition, one person died. Well walking. We don't know that name and two people died while

traveling in motor vehicles and I do not have those names with me today.

Colleagues these losses are unacceptable. We can and we must make Portland's roads safer. Today we will hear a presentation on the steps this council can take to reduce traffic deaths on Portland's roads here to tell us more about pbot vision. Zero deadly crash report for 2022 we have dana dickman. Traffic safety section manager with pbot welcome. Thank you again. I'm dana hickman, a safety section manager with pbot. I'm here with deputy interim deputy director wendy cali. To share a little bit about what we know about what happened in 2022 on our streets with fatal crashes. Give us a little bit of safe systems grounding they approach that pbot is taking to eliminating fatalities and talk about our priorities where we're using the data to inform our practice and inform where we're making investments on our streets. So I think, are you moving the presentation forward. You have it in front of you, so I can just talk through just again that that's what we're working for. You can actually go to, um, two sides forward. Thank you. I just want to say how much I appreciate being in person here with you all I'm getting. I'm getting used to it again. It's been a couple of years since we've actually had a chance to all be in here together. Talking about vision zero. I want to say that vision zero remains our goal. As commissioner Mapps said, no, not even one loss of life on our streets to traffic violence is acceptable, but the safe system approach is how we get there. The safe system approach is a multidisciplinary view of how we approach traffic safety. It's not something prescriptive that tells us exactly what the bureau should do and what the city should do. But it very clearly elevates safe completed trips in our discussions about priorities and about trade offs on our system, and it lowers our tolerance for risk. I want to bring it up and talk about it a little bit today because you've probably been hearing about safe systems in the national discourse. It's something that we p. Barton in the city have been focused on since we started

doing the work over five years ago. But now we are. And our federal system has also committed to the safe system approach to eliminating traffic fatalities. In Oregon and across the nation. Next slide, please. So we want to really take a minute to ground ourselves again. Once we get to that next visual. These are the 63 people that died on our streets last year. These were sisters, friends, spouses, children. Certainly they lost their lives, but it also impacts people well beyond these individuals and continues to impact our city long after the crash. We ground ourselves in this work every day and our partners that work across the city and across the state on vision zero and eliminating fatalities. Next slide, please. You're going to see a graphic that gives a five year trend line for traffic fatalities. You can see as we came into the pandemic and 2020. We've seen traffic fatalities rise. I'll show a little bit of a graph later that also shows that this is not just unique to Portland but is combined with some of the impacts that we're seeing. From the pandemic and increasing traffic fatalities. Um, across the board. Next slide, please. The next graphic illustrates the total number of crashes across that five years again, but I'll call your attention to the fact that we document all fatal crashes in the city and some of our peer cities around the nation only look at the traffic fatalities that happen on the roads in their jurisdiction or city owned roads. We look at all fatalities of folks who die on our streets, including those on OH DOT streets and counties. Streets but we believe it's really important to understand the entire picture of what's happening. Um and work with those partners to change street design and improve safety across the system will also call your attention to that last line high crash network. We can see that 8% of our streets consistently account for more than half of our traffic fatalities, and last year it was 70% of our traffic fatalities were on just 8% of streets again, and 2022 essentially told us that we need to continue to focus in these areas that we've already highlighted as a great need.

Next slide, please. This data shows data just through 2020. Most of you have heard this before, but we have a slight delay. And when we get data from o dot that has the complete picture of serious injuries and traffic fatalities in our safety program. We're looking to eliminate both fatal crashes and those that have life altering serious injuries involved. And I share this because it does show that we're seeing a decline and overall injuries. A study decline 2020 that number that shows a precipitous decline. We believe that some of that might be under reporting, but overall, we're seeing a decline in those serious injuries and feeling that some of this data shows that we are having an impact. I want to share a little bit of good news as well. Not just that we have had those high fatality numbers. Over the last couple of years. Next slide, please. I want to dive in for a couple of minutes to the specific trends from 2022 again. We have that 70% of traffic fatalities on just 8% of our streets in Portland. 45% of the traffic deaths were on streets with speed limits of 35 mph or higher, really emphasizing that issue around speed and the ability to avoid crashes or avoid them being fatal. 44% of our traffic deaths were pedestrians vastly overrepresented in our traffic fatalities. Four people died while bicycling and speed and impairment continued to be dangerous and contributing factors and we've seen that escalate during the pandemic. That's something we've seen since we've been looking at the data starting in 2015 when we became a vision zero city but it continued in exacerbated during 2022 next slide, please. 2022 death toll matched the previous three decade high, so we had 63 fatalities in 2021 63 fatalities in 2022. 74% of those traffic deaths and 93% almost all of our pedestrian deaths occurred in low light conditions. House. This community members were also over represented this year with 19% of the traffic deaths and 36% of pedestrian death while they make up only less than 1% of the population and Multnomah County. We also had 25% of our crashes with vehicles running off the road, so roadway departure on

streets like marine drive out of foster places where we have more rural conditions, but it also happened in streets where we wouldn't expect for that to happen. Like inter prescott. Um so that is a concern where speed and impairment is intersecting. And we had an increase in overall hit and run crash is with 27% of our crashes involving a hit and run condition. Next slide, please. I wanted to share that overall traffic death rates in Portland's as compared to Oregon and the national statistics, Portland's does tend to be lower. Overall we are doing a better job on safety on our streets, however, you can see that those trend lines are mirroring as we come out of 2020. The trends that we're seeing with the highest number in three decades is also happening at the statewide level and also happening nationally and I flag this because we recognize that part of what's happening on our streets is pinned as part of the pandemic impact. The anger and the depression. The things that people were experiencing with job loss, and all of those things are also impacting behaviors in the right of way, and we're seeing that across the country and in Portland's I find it again because it is a multidisciplinary issue we can't solve. Um traffic deaths without thinking of the bigger picture and all of the things that are intersecting and our right of way. Next slide, please. So I want to ground us a little bit before we talk about our priorities in our safe systems guidelines. Safe systems is a little bit different than the traditional approach. The principles are that people make mistakes that can lead to crashes and that as they transportation authority, we need to recognize that and ensure that those mistakes are not deadly. The second principle is that the human body is incredibly fragile and has limited ability to tolerate crash forces. So what that means is we can expect urban streets that are multi modal to accommodate 45 or 50 miles an hour and expect that we're not going to have serious or fatal crashes. We can't continue to have those design standards or that type of behavior and expect to have different outcomes. The third

principle is shared responsibility that in order to have a safe system in order to eliminate traffic fatalities, that responsibility is shared between people who use the system but also those of us who design and operate the system and that it really needs to be a comprehensive approach with that shared responsibility. The fourth system redundancy that we have one part of a system fails that you need to be providing other like the comprehensive system so that all parts are strengthened and we may eliminate a fatal or serious outcome in a crash. We know we're not going to eliminate all crashes. We're trying to eliminate those most serious outcomes and the loss of life on our streets. Next five, please. I'll just move a little bit more quickly. Through some of this. This is just a graphic that shows how the safe system approach is a little bit different than what we would traditionally have thought of as traffic safety. You see in the traditional in the bars there that there was a huge emphasis on individual behavior. And very little emphasis on safe vehicles or on street design in a safe system approach. We sort of swap that and say that there's definitely a role for individual behavior out on our streets, but a lot of the burden is on designing streets for safety and designing streets for the behavior that we want. Next slide, please. So how does it how does Portland use a safe system approach and our lives and achieve our goal of no lives? Last this graphic shows sort of a holistic approach being needed to addressing traffic fatalities. If you look at the section that is orange, that's major street designs safer speeds. That's really ap bots. Body of work. That's where that's what you think of. When you think of traffic safety. That's the things that you see out on our streets. The changes some of the programmatic work we do. The teal freedom to get around without driving. That's some of the multimodal work we do. That's a big part of what pbot is working on. And we know that an increase in vm t almost directly correlates with an increase in fatal and serious crashes. So those two

sections of this graphic are really where the bureau of transportation has the most influence or ability to change the dynamic out on our streets. But then we have a whole other part of this graphic that isn't our kind of sphere of influence. Basic needs include things like access to housing access to substance abuse treatment. Access to education and jobs. Those pieces are really critical, and when we don't have the services in place to help people, some of those issues are playing out in our streets. You are very well aware of all of the issues, but those things intersect and influence whether or not we're having fatal or serious crashes out on our streets. And i'll just add people friendly vehicles recognizing things that can be done outside of our sphere of influence, but around high visibility cabs ah interlock systems on vehicles to help with impairment. That's not something that we directly work on. But all of these things are needed to achieve our goal of zero lives lost. Any questions before I move on into kind of our priorities. Okay okay, uh, next slide, please. I just want to talk very briefly. Now about some of the ways we're using that safe systems, grounding the data that we have from 10 years. The data from 2022 to focus our work that's designing safe streets to protect human lives again, really focusing on protecting pedestrians? Because we can see that we have a huge kind of a disproportionate amount of pedestrians that are dying on our streets, reducing speeds citywide through a myriad of different, um tactics and then creating that culture of shared responsibility. Next slide, please. Within these priorities. We also look at broad data from 10 years and this is these are just a couple of data points from the 2022 data. Majority of the traffic deaths in 2020 to 61% occurred in areas of Portland with high equity scores. Pbot uses an equity matrix that looks at um people of color and low income and shows where there is a greater proportion of those folks. We see that are fatal crashes are happening in those areas more often also call attention to east Portland's 42% of our traffic deaths occurred in east

Portland last year, and that accounts for just about a quarter of our population, so we're looking at those priorities of protecting pedestrians designing streets to save human lives. But we're also looking at where we need to prioritize to support the most vulnerable folks in our city. Next slide, please. This is an example of how we're doing. Major street design changes. Um in east Portland. We have many streets that were designed and a time when they're kind of sole focus was to move folks long distances. Across that area. We now have those streets that serve people working along them going to school living along them. They're very different urban corridors than they were. When they were built out, you know, 30 years 40 years ago, we recognize that we need to change these streets to very much bring speeds down and adjust to that different situation that's out there where people are using them in all sorts of different ways. This is an example of an intersection at 148th in division. I just want to call your attention to the separation that we're providing for people biking and walking, knowing that we still have high volumes of all modes coming through these intersections. We're trying to create that separation and that clarity for people at these intersections next slide, please. As I mentioned, we have a number of corridors where we have a five lane configuration to travel lanes in each direction. A center open turn lane. This graphic really shows why that situation is particularly problematic for safety. That number of 22 that you're seeing on the top of that graphic. That's the conflict points that we see in a typical kind of turning movement on a five lane cross section. Below is the number of conflict points when we add a median or kind of reduce the access to that open center turn lane so you can see just in that very simple illustration. How changing the dynamic on that corridor can really reduce risk for all people using it. We also recognize that things like left turn movements on these particular corridors. Because of that graphic that you with the 22 conflict points. 20% of our crashes involve a left turning vehicle, and

that's with all modes. That's pedestrians, vehicle vehicle bicycle. We know that that particular movement is particularly challenging on east five lane cross sections.

Next slide, please. I want to elevate some work that was done to help us win a \$20 million federal grant to work on one 22nd. The point I want to make here is this is a combination of multiple years of work and leverage with fixing our streets dollars.

We had planning and gauge mint education that has been happening for multiple years out on one 22nd, and we also have a planned investment of \$5 million with fixing our streets. Dollars for one 22nd, and that allowed us to be successful in that grant applications. So again, this focus on east Portland's this understanding of how

we need to change streets continued work that needs to be put into allow us to then leverage the federal funding and the safe streets for all federal grant that we

just received. Next slide, please. But also doing very tactical projects, not just

corridor redesigns. These are things that maybe all of you have seen in different neighborhoods. This photo is of left turn traffic calming mentioned again that we

know that left turns can be particularly problematic for safety. The small

intervention those black and yellow bumps that you're seeing slow people down in there turning movements and align the vehicle so they can better see pedestrians

and other vehicles at the intersection. So we take the data. We're looking at high crash intersections across the city places where we know we have configurations

that might be causing risk and looking at tactical interventions as well, not just the big street transformations. Next slide, please. I'm going to focus a little bit on

pedestrians quickly. Um within the pedestrian data specifically of mentioned the

fact again at 93% of our fatal crashes in 2022 were in low light over 10 years. That's about 50% of our crashes are happening in low light. We know we need to make a

system investment and increasing visibility across our streets we know particularly in east Portland. That we have limited lighting on many of these large corridor, so

that's a place where we're really investing. That lighting will help everyone, but it will be particularly helpful in these fatal crashes. I'll also call attention to a couple of other points here, that 71% of our fatal pastoring crashes happen at intersections. I think there can be a narrative out there that it's an issue of pedestrians just crossing willy nilly. The data does not bear that out. The data says. We need to look for ways to make folks more visible, provide options for people and create that separation and clarity. Next slide, please. So one of the things we are doing is really focusing on opportunities to create that clarity and separation, so that means adding pedestrian head starts. These are at signals that where it gives pedestrians a few seconds to get out in front before the signal changes provides more separation and clarity, adding protected left turns so we don't have people. Having a green to turn at the same time as a pedestrian is walking, um, and also has a signal and then increasing the prevalence of marked crossings. Refuge islands medians, things that are really supporting that, uh, clarity for where people are supposed to be walking and can do so safely, and I want to reference going back to some of the data around house list pedestrians in the last two years that all of these interventions will help everyone. On and clear ding those most at risk out on our streets. These are changes that will improve the system overall for anyone who's accessing the right of way. Next slide, please. We also do a significant amount of work and have a focus on reducing speed. Citywide we have a comprehensive speed management strategy that includes setting safe speed limits. We have done work at the legislature to give us more local authority includes redesigning streets to slow speeds and including not just the high crash network, but doing neighborhood traffic, calming to slow speeds in the city overall. With a focus on schools and access to other community spaces it includes educating Portlandia is about the impact of speed. Many of you have seen in multiple campaigns that we have done over the

years and enforcing the speed limit with both cameras and collaboration with the traffic division up at the Portland police bureau next slide. I'll just add here that we what we have a focus on street design. We do believe that education and that creating a culture of shared responsibility is a big part of something that we can all do. Um and so we have focused media campaigns. We have new work specifically with high schoolers through our safe house to school program and vision zero program and we do a lot with our police partners to pair enforcement and education and focus on the dangerous behaviors. So with that, i'll wrap up and just say that, um the next time we come back to you. I just want to preview we will be bringing an updated action plan and the fall that will have information about where we want to focus through 2025. Also more information about what we know is working some data and evaluation on projects that we've done out in the cord wars to just give you more information about like the good news about how vision zero is moving us forward. Thank you so much for your time today. Thank you.

Colleagues. Questions commissioner Mapps you have a closing statement, but, uh john thinking staff. I have no questions right now. Very good. Commissioner Ryan thank you for that report. I have one question around measuring so before you do construction. You have information on safety. And then after you do construction, what are we seeing in those reports and where could I find them? We have visions your web page. I can send you the link to that has some projects. One of the challenges that we have. Is that delaying crash data, but you saw earlier, so we need about three years of crash data, and we have a delay in getting it and then we need about three years to be able to tell what really happened on a corridor. So some of what you'll see in our reports as we're measuring speeds so we can easily do before and after speeds on a project we do see a decline, both from capital projects are cameras. We've documented those speed reductions, so that's part of

what you'll see. And we do have some projects where we have enough crash data where you'll be able to see that dropping crashes. The crash data that you're looking for and you mentioned behavior change, so I think that that's probably what's going to reveal is having drivers change behavior is not easy. It's just it's not easy to change behavior from adults in general, so it will be interesting to look at that data and then ask questions to see how we can improve because it started in 2015 and you know, the numbers aren't great. Yeah so we want to make sure that we're learning. And if, in fact we have to readjust, have there been any readjustment since it's began? And we've done pilot programs where we have we had one on particularly on center turn lane kind of disrupting that, and we installed something that didn't quite work for folks in east Portland. They were getting run over a lot, so there's been more small adjustments like that, where we've tried to implement something. I realized it wasn't the design wasn't working. But in general, the trends haven't really changed. Like I said, we still know we need to focus on protecting pedestrians. We know that our high crash network and those streets continue to be. The issues are high crash intersections are predominantly in east Portland's so we continue to focus on that work. Unfortunately major street design changes. Take time. And as you all know, can be highly controversial, so that's work that we need to continue to do and kind of stay the course to see those changes here that I just think it's really important to admit that I'm okay with failing. It's noticing that you are and then having the courage to make adjustments, so I just want to know that we're looking at the data as it comes out. And that if we need to make adjustments we are. I just can't tell if that's a practice right now. Yes, we are a deeply data driven program. I don't know if you want to speak to anything on the evaluation piece when the but it is like very much ingrained in what we do is evaluating what's working. What's not, as I said at the top safe systems is not a

prescriptive formula. It doesn't tell us exactly what we needed to do. And so we are in a space where we're trying things evaluating and then updating if we need to or shifting that I just wanna make sure looking at about what works and what doesn't work. Thanks I will just say one thing before my interim deputy director appointment was the city traffic engineer and I've been working in safety since I started at the city in 1997, and we started doing um, safety projects. I mean, we've been doing them all along. And before we were vision zero city we had a high crash corridor program that started in around. Um 2010. We have a lot of data from some of our road diets that she was very promising crash reductions and so the safety work that we started in the early two thousands is, you know it has had benefits on our system. So just some of the more recent projects that dana has as mentioned. We are still waiting for that data, but we are very heavily data driven and we are doing evaluation so happy to come back and share some of our newer project evaluation. Just one more thing. I think we've heard there's controversy. And so the people who live along division have been here quite a few times. I spent a couple hours there and about a year ago. What's the dialogue been with the small businesses out there who seemed rather consistently upset with the changes that took place on division? I think there's ongoing dialogue, also with other community members, partially read, reminding folks and giving folks more information about why the design is the way it is. We can't expect every community member to be a traffic engineer and to understand and when we do go out and explain folks typically are very supportive. I think there will be there will need to be a continued dialogue. It's a lot of change, and we're doing it at a time when there's a lot of change for community overall, and so we recognize that that's part of our process is that we have to work with community through that change. I'll just say again that we recognize the need for transformational change to these streets in order to

have different outcomes. We can't keep doing the same things and expect different safety outcomes. Thank you for this report, and I hope that we're gonna work session because your maps I appreciate your leadership on this is looking at when we make a decision to do all the changes on the major thoroughfare or when do we decide the greenways? Maybe a parallel street next to it. That's what entered my thoughts when I was out there. Thanks. Thank you. Thank you, commissioner Gonzalez. Thank you. So much for the comprehensive report. Um just could you walk us through the process for engaging in incorporating the feedback from first responders on traffic redesign and background for this is I get repeated concerns raised that some of our choices. Britian's no left turns, uh, speed bumps negatively impact the response time, particularly of our larger first responder vehicles, and they just walk me through high level. How you taking the x, you know, take into account response time, so it's obviously a tradeoff. Right on speed. Um and free mobility on the one hand of leads to higher traffic. Uh accidents. On the other hand, it also allows first responders to promptly respond to emergency. So just maybe walk me through the calculus and how you engage their take that. Yeah I can. I can speak to that. So um, this goes back into the 19 nineties when I first started here, actually, before I started here, we had a very, um uh, good partnership, and we still do with Portland fire bureau. But back in the nineties, we set out to set up which streets were minor emergency response routes or major whisper emergency response routes and determined at that time that we could the fire bureau agreed that if it wasn't a minor or major emergency response route, then we were free to put in traffic, calming whether that was speed bumps or other measures over time, we realized we had a very robust traffic comment program at that time, but we also realize now we need to start getting into addressing neighborhood collectors some of the higher volume higher speed streets as well.

So we have a memorandum of understanding with the Portland fire bureau that we will check in with them on these higher classified routes that are used for emergency response. And so they have a chance to review our designs when we do traffic, calming or, um, diversion projects on those routes, and there have been times when they've said look, this is really too critical. You know, we're not going to support um speed bumps on this facility. Or they say no, we believe that that we can manage. Um uh, difference in in response times. If there were to be one. We have also developed a fire friendly speed cushion that does have the cutouts if you see speed bumps with those cutouts, the cutouts are constructed to allow our fire engine to pass through without having to traverse over the speed bumps, so they are not slowed down as much as, uh, uh, typical passenger vehicle or a truck. So we have worked with them on that design. We continue to refine that design. Um because we have had some comments in recent years that maybe it's not working as well as it could have, um, so we are in. I would say we have a very good relationship with the fire bureau to talk about these types of things. Got it. And uh and I have a meeting for commissioner Mapps on one aspect of a recurring theme I hear from rank and file. It was just some of the choices about the width of the curb cuts on the speed bumps. Um and also the spacing meridians. I couldn't cite the specific streets where that comes up, but, um, i'll let someone my team will follow up with mr mouse to make sure we get the feedback that uh and do what? That what we can in terms of, uh, your girl and the fire department. Continued engagement, productive conversations there. Um I think that's it for now. Thank you. Thank you for the comprehensive report. Commissioner Rubio. Thank you. Um I was really thank you for the presentation. It was very, very informative. I was really struck by the statistic about 70% of the traffic dusts occur on 8% of the streets. And in that map that you showed us it looked like there was a high percentage in east

Portland's so it makes me um, you know, think about, um, community that lives in east Portland's, and I'm very curious about how you are engaging, uh, multilingual communities and in particular, and could you share more about that. Four vision zero. Specifically the bureau is doing a lot of work on relationship building with community based organizations with different folks that are serving multi lingual residents in east Portland, but for a vision 01 of the things that we, um have been doing is working directly with different folks impacted on these corridors. In their language as an example. Um get latinas was very concerned about some of the changes on division we have a long standing relationship doing pedestrian education, doing walks with that group. So we went and did a specific presentation to them in spanish about division about the design decisions, decisions that were made so it's both high level like. Safety education happening with different community based organizations, but then also responding to requests and sort of co developing, um, resources education information with them based on what their specific needs are. That's great to hear it. Do you have multilingual capacity? In the engagement team itself. Yes um, it depends on the language, of course, and certainly if we don't what we do is we then partner with the community based organization and pay them to provide that interpretation support. So in some cases, it's been something like a, um pbot employee will develop a presentation than work with the person who is able to give it in the language in advance, and then they're there to give interpretation and share during it. Or of course, we're also doing translation. We don't have you know, every language that's um folks are asking us questions and on staff, but we have ways to accommodate that. Do we have them at least in the major languages? Some basic material, okay. Thank you. A couple of questions. First of all, great presentation. That was actually very interesting. I learned a lot. Um left versus right that one through me a bit of a

curveball, no pun intended. What are the conflicts to the left? Particularly is it oncoming traffic? Where where is the conflict? Actually happened of all that graphic actually shows pretty well that there's all of these different places where you can have you have to think about it. Maybe maybe us, the engineer should describe this better. I often say if we all went 20 and just went right all the time, we'd already be at zero. Okay and i'll get to that in a minute to, um I actually thought it was right hand turns that we're killing pedestrians and bicyclists, but that that doesn't seem to be supported by the data right hooks can be a particular problem for, um for cyclists, but the data bears out that we're having a particular problem with left hand turns, and it's across all modes. So this is also for people driving for people walking for people thinking that was to explain the like nuances of the I mean, just left turn. Crashes are often at a higher speed, because if you're waiting for a gap, you know in traffic that is coming towards you. You're looking not only at auto traffic, potentially the bike lane next to it, and then any pedestrian that has started crossing the street over here. So you're monitoring and multiple things and then you're accelerating to get your turn done. Right turning your slowing down to make your turn. So oftentimes those terms are at slower speeds. So don't have s dramatic of a result or injury impact. So that's just a couple of things that make left turns a little more dangerous than right turns. That's interesting to say we don't have to be concerned about right now. You're of course, and I didn't want to imply that I just found that particularly interesting in the report because it really wasn't what I expected. And so I appreciate your highlighting that um, some cars. I am. I rented one. Not too long ago it had a blind spot detector. And I don't know how accurate they are. And I wouldn't bet anybody's life on it. But I wonder is that technology comes online. If we're going to start to see fewer of these interactions that you described. Do you sense that, or do you hear this when you go to your

national conferences or read the journals that you read? I think anything that can be improved for safety and detection outside the vehicle, not just safety inside the vehicle can possibly have an impact. That's part of the overall picture of safe systems is making vehicles as safe as possible. We haven't necessarily seen that in the data that shows that detection is reducing pedestrian fatalities. But am I supportive of that type of change to vehicles? Yeah I think it could have an impact. It's just a thought. I thought it was very interesting. You mentioned lightning. And you mentioned that a lot of the areas that are particular hot spots or areas that are poorly lit. I assume you have identified and then prioritize those areas for additional lighting. Is that a fair statement? Great I appreciate that. Also the national trend. I'm always interested in these trends and it almost weirdly. Um intersects with some public commentary we had on a completely unrelated issue earlier today, but I'm told that just nationally, we're seeing more and more of these types of interactions between autos and autos, autos and bicycles, autos and pedestrians. And it seems that speeding is a common element as you just indicated. What's going on here? I mean, if I knew that I could probably, but I think where we've speculated about and we talked about on our team is that we're seeing the impact of the pandemic. Like I said, job loss, depression, like all the things that have happened as part of the pandemic are contributing to people acting in various different ways, including being angry and aggressive behind the wheel. Like those things that we're seeing in community overall or those things that are that we're feeling everybody brings to their driving. And so when I talked to pierre cities across the country, everyone seeing any increase in top end speeding like things that are well above what we would consider the norm. We're not talking five miles about. We're talking about 80 miles an hour on residential streets, like every single city has stories like that in a way that we didn't four or five years ago. We may but it was an

anomaly, not something that we were seeing regular I don't know exactly why, but I think it is a breakdown of social and cultural um. Issues that have happened as a result of the pandemic, and it's all playing out in our right of ways. So let me ask you this because this is a tough one for government, right? Um we're approaching this very much from the engineering supply side. The solutions that the right of ways the signage, the lighting the warnings, um that that the sidewalks, the dividers, but really, what's going on here is a behavioral shift. And we in government don't like to talk about behavior because it starts to sound like we're regulating. People in their their daily lives, and that's an uncomfortable place for government to be. But I feel like there is more we could do in terms of informing and encouraging the public. To really adhere to those speed limits there for a reason. And we're losing way too many people as a result of and I there. It's horrible. I mean, who wants to kill somebody? I mean, that's just because you were five minutes late to get to the dry cleaner or whatever. It's not. It's not worth it. Are there things we could be doing? We started with our twenty's plenty. Campaign and I'm told that actually had some success and there's other things we could be doing. But do we overtly think about behavior and shifting behavior and informing the public. All of us. I'm not saying as for all of us, I mean, I drive too. Is there more we could be doing on that front. And if so, what? I just want to say I feel like safe systems kind of leans into that discomfort around the connection like that shared responsibility, recognizing like that first principle is that humans will make mistakes. Like we're flawed. People will make decisions to speed people will make decisions to drive impaired and part of what we do on the street design part is to change the streets enough so that those poor decisions are not fatal. But I do think on this speed management piece. It if we kind of go back to like we have this multifaceted approach where it is street design. It is posted speeds. It is enforcement but also

education. So yeah, I do think that there's an increased emphasis on education and outreach in the coming years that we maybe haven't had one of the things that we're doing is really leaning in with new drivers. We have not had a focused education program for high schools in the past, and this is in collaboration with our safe routes team but really leaning in with our youth on the impact of speed, so they really understand. And that, you know, going a few miles over the speed limit could have it a much greater detriment than there. They've been sort of led to believe so we are kind of leaning in both. And like I said safe systems doesn't shy away from the behavioral aspect of it. As the bureau. We invest a lot of our work in the street design pieces, but we also do the outreach and the media conversations around speed, and that's a really good point. Because the math and the statistics are a little bit surprising. I mean, just a little more speed can actually lead to nearly exponential increase in an injury and fatality and that that's a bit of a mind bender. Because yeah, when we come back, we'll talk a little bit about how large vehicle size is changing that dynamic, so the increase in the overall fleet that people are driving that speed that people can go and not have it be fatal is reduced if you're in a bigger vehicle, so we'll share a little bit about that as well. There's a lot of intersections there. Anything here on that that we can discern around texting or cell phone use is speeding is obviously the major component, but I'm also hearing distracted. Driving is a significant component of this. We still don't see it in the data. You don't see it interesting. No I hear it all the time. When I ride around the city. I see people their phones all the time. But we're still not seeing that in the data that's showing that that's having a dramatic impact on fatal and serious crashes. I really appreciate it. Thank you this very, very good report. Excellent. Do we have public testimony, no one signed up, entertain a motion to accept the report. So moved. Commissioner Mapps moves. Can I get a second commissioner Ryan seconds

report any further discussion on the report? Seeing none. Please call the roll on the report. Ryan. Thank you, commissioner Mapps. Thank you, team. It's good to see you in person as well. Uh I just hope that we continue to be humble and look at the data and learn from it and make adjustments. There's a lot going on. It was fascinating. Just the last question about distracted driving. The data doesn't show it. That's hard. The whole room was kind of like, you know, there was a reaction to that. It doesn't mean it won't eventually. But right now that we don't see them, no. Got it. I'm just saying that and then navigation systems. I mean, it's like nine a.m. And you're like, is that person impaired? No there and when incidents summer the windows down, you're hearing them. Listen to the robot. Tell them which way to go in there confused, so there's so many layers to this and when there listening to that they're not looking so anyway. Obviously enjoyed the give and take in the questions and answers that we had today. It's a great dialogue. This is complex, and we obviously have a long way to go. But thanks for your focus, except the report.

Gonzales. Hi. Maps. I want to thank pbob for their work in the traffic safety space, and I want to thank my colleagues for the really rich dialogue that we had today as the commissioner in charge of peabody, improving traffic safety is one of my top priorities, colleagues. The goal I am trying to manage for the very short term as in the next year is to reduce traffic desk by 10. I think that we can achieve that through some of the strategies that we heard today. But in order for us to implement those strategies, I will need your cooperation both instead of setting policy and prioritizing traffic safety as we said budgets, um, in the meantime, I look forward to continuing this work and the dialogue with my colleagues on council. I vote I to accept the report. Thank you, Rubio. I don't think commissioner Mapps for his leadership on this. And thank you, dana and wendy for the presentation. Today it was. It was really compelling to me and really important that we hear that

information. Um I also appreciate um hearing all the names of the people that lost their lives. I think it was important to me read their names into the record because they had full lives here. And when we're this is this is what it's about, um, I'm a fan of the safe systems approach. I think it is a good shared responsibility model. Um and we have as government have, you know a lot of ability to impact design and speed. It's also incumbent on us, so to ensure that we're getting, uh the word out and engaging with communities that are harder to reach as well. So I appreciate the efforts that you're making there. Um so it was just great work, and I look forward to hearing more about your action plan in the future. So happy to vote. I Wheeler. I found this to be a really great report. I appreciate the data. Ah focus that you're bringing to the table because it did have a couple of surprises. And it'll be interesting to see in coming years. Just how that data shifts or if that data shifts, I will just say I appreciate the focus that people bought and commissioner Mapps, senator your leadership on safety. I will just put out there openly that I'm somewhat skeptical. Engineering solutions alone can overcome human behavior. I think all of us who choose to drive myself included have a responsibility to really think about what we can do in terms of our individual choices to help solve this problem. Infrastructure is very, very expensive. Personal responsibility is just a matter of making a choice, and so if there are ways we can help support and incentivize that great, I'm also supportive of the engineering solutions that you've brought forth. And I appreciate that you're going down to the fine. Grained detail that sometimes you don't have to rebuild an entire intersection. Maybe if it was lit better or if the signage was different, there's other ways that we could potentially reduce those conflicts. We didn't really talk about homelessness today, and I didn't want to necessarily take us into another very robust aspect to this, but we have to note that 70% of the traffic fatalities, pedestrian fatalities where people who are living on our

streets and 50% of those are on oh dot right of ways. And so I appreciate the partnership that we have with the governor and the state to do what we can to reduce those conflicts and reduce those pedestrian deaths as well. And I want to give pbot credit for continuing to follow that and work with us on that strategy as well. I'm happy to vote I and the report is approved. Thank you. Appreciate it. Thank you. Next item is another time certain this is item number 300. This is a presentation commissioner Mapps. I take that to mean we will not be taking a vote on this item. We will be hearing this item. Is that correct? Correct very good. Present 2023, Portland's harbor community involvement program grant awardees. Commissioner Mapps thank you, mr mayor colleagues. This item comes to us from the bureau of environmental services bes is here today to announce the 2023, Portland's harbor community involvement program award. These here's some background on these awards. Many people do not realize that the city of Portland's the state of Oregon and our federal partners have embarked on one of the most ambitious river cleanups this nation has ever seen. It's cleanup focuses on the willamette river from roughly so big island down to the fremont bridge. This project is known as the Portland's harbor, superfund cleanup. Now, when this cleanup is complete, the willamette river will be cleaner than it has been in nearly 100 years. Portland's harbor community grant awards, which will be unveiled today, support this clean up effort. The Portland's harbor community. Grant awardees, which will be announced today were chosen by a diverse panel of community and government representatives. The grant review committee awarded 481,008. To 9 community organizations, many of the programs and projects receiving awards today are led by communities of color, which have been directly impacted by pollution in the willamette river. But today I believe we will are honored to have three community groups who have received awards who will address council.

They'll share a little bit about what their organizations do. But in the meantime, let me first begin by turning this presentation over just staff. We have Jessica Kowski, a coordinator with the program, and Anne von Berg, a manager with the Portland's harbor program, welcome great. Thank you, Commissioner Mapps. Hello Mayor and Commissioners. Thank you so much for having us today. My name is Anne von Berg and I am the environmental remediation manager at the Bureau of Environmental Services. I'm here today with Jessica Charlotte Kowski, or Public Involvement Coordinator. As Commissioner Mapps said, to provide you a brief presentation on this year's grant recipients and we are very lucky to have three of those grantees with us today to provide a little bit of background on their organizations and what they do plan to use the funding. For all new it. You either have received or you will soon receive from the Council Clerk, a summary of the great work that was done in last year's grant cycle. We won't be going over that in the presentation today, but we do encourage you to take a look at that. And with that there's also a one pager with a little more detailed information about the awardees this year, a little bit about their mission and a more about what they plan to spend the funds on. We won't be going into a great level of detail today because of our time constraints, but that's there for your information. So next slide, please. So as a reminder of the Portland Harbor Superfund. It's a federal cleanup site, and as the commissioner mentioned, it's a 10 mile stretch of the lower Willamette River. There is contamination, problematic contamination and the riverbed and that's as a result of over 100 years of heavy industrial use. The city is one of over 100, potentially responsible parties or commonly referred to as P. R. P s. These parties are responsible for addressing that contamination in the riverbed. After 20 years. The Superfund process is now in the environmental remediation stage, which is really exciting. It's a very important step right before we actually get in the water

and clean up this contamination to has told us that we are looking at getting in the water and doing that work as early as summer of 2025, so we have a lot of really exciting things to look forward to. Next slide, please. Community involvement is a really essential part in the cleanup process. It elevates community voices in a very complex process. As commissioner Mapps mentioned this is one of the most complicated sites in the entire nation. So it's important for our local communities to have voices in that process. We don't control the process. This is an e p, a lead site. So it's really important that our local community understands and is a part of how that cleanup happens. Community involvement also supports transparency. It's really important to make sure the community understands how the process works, how they can participate in how they can track cleanup progress as we move forward. And also really importantly, it builds trust with the community. This is this is a tough process and we want to make sure that as we move forward, there will be billions of dollars invested in this cleanup site. And once we get to completion, the river is not going to look much different than it does now. So it's really important that our community understands the process. They trust the process and they trust the work that's been done to make this area. A protective area for human health and the environment. So with that all transferred over to jessica thank you, annie. Good morning. Good afternoon, commissioners. Mr mayor. Thank you for the opportunity to talk with you all today about our Portland harbor community involvement. Program grants. Um, next slide, please. So before I provide an update on the on the grants program, I'd like to just start by acknowledging the time and effort and advocacy that resulted in this program becoming a program in the first place. There was a number of community members have been working tirelessly to make sure that the city is doing more to invest in communities so they can they can effectively participate in this process. And we wouldn't be here without them. I'm

excited to share that we continue to advance each of the goals that are on the slide, which were developed in response to feedback and collaboration with many community members several years ago four years ago. Um particularly those that are disproportionately affected. Program includes a few different components. We educate the public and about the cleanup through events and workshops. We collaborate with agency and community partners to hold intentional conversations between technical professionals and community members about the cleanup. Design it willamette cove, and we have a focus grants program, which we feel is one of the most impactful components of this overall effort. And I'm going to focus the remainder of my presentation on that piece on that community grants program next slide, please. So the central goal of the Portland harbor community grants program is really to increase Portland's awareness and understanding of the Portland harbor cleanup process, particularly among those communities that are disproportionately affected, and when we're talking about disproportionately affected communities, we're talking about Portland ear's with current and cultural and historical connections to the area, including bipoc communities were also talking about housing and housing people who live near the site as well as people who eat resident fish and shellfish. The grand objectives that we have for this program evolved with every step of the cleanup process. Um, and the slide here with those green bubbles circling the larger blue bubble are those different categories of objectives, so we're actually going to be focusing this year's funding on increasing expanding participation and leadership and capacity building of those affected communities in the process. Engaging and educating communities about the Portland harbor superfund topics, everything from health risk communications to how the process works so people can effectively engage in it. We also have funding that is supporting environmental career remediation, workforce

development and finally, investing in the development of partnerships and straight thinking. Strengthening those partnerships with both community groups as well as with government entities to really build capacity and foster collaboration to ensure that we're elevating community voices in these process. Next slide. So. Community members are active in each stage of our grants process, and this includes the review committee. Um commissioner Mapps mentioned that we had a number of hybrid of community members and of government representatives, and these folks were selected based on their knowledge and experience related to the affected communities their knowledge of environmental justice. The super fund, as well as effective community involvement in equitable grant making. They assessed how the applications were aligned with the program goals, the role of a superfund affected communities and making sure that the outcomes and impacts of the benefits from these programs would be benefiting those communities in particular. But also conducted conversations specifically with a number of the applicants to learn more and provide another opportunity for applicants to share in their own words, what their vision is and how they can best reach their community about these topics. Next slide. So our program is really committed to providing the necessary support to applicants and to the reviewers throughout our process. This includes us doing extensive outreach to spread the word about the funding opportunity, as well as helping potential applicants understand what makes for a successful application. To this end we have conducted. We conducted substantial direct engagement through phone calls and emails and meetings. Um we want to be engaging, nearly 200 individual community and government contacts to help spread the word and those contacts helped amplify the opportunity even further out. We provided a couple of information sessions help people understand the application. The process, um as well as provide any support as we go on through through their application and

most community groups that applied for funding and attended one of the info sessions received funding. Next slide. And so our collective efforts resulted in these nine organizations receiving awards. The review committee used a consensus based decision making to determine grant recipients and the award amounts. And after a lot of deliberation, they selected applicants who clearly articulated their connections to superfund affected communities, as well as a high degree of involvement and leadership by those communities in their projects and programs and ultimately really had clear descriptions as well of what those outcomes and impacts of their efforts would be. 90% of this year's awards are led by and for bipoc organizations or involved deep partnerships with benefits that are clear to bipoc communities. And we're also very excited to have increased participation of native community groups within our cohort this year, which is one of the goals of our cycle. Next line. So you're going to hear from just a moment in from a couple of the grantees directly, but I did want to provide just a couple of highlights for you all this morning to share a little bit about the type of work that's going to be done and to provide a little bit of that. That um specificity. So um, we have organizations who are going to be also in blueprint who are going to be teaching stem using an environmental justice lens and using Portland harbor superfund as the way to do that, really focusing on bipoc youth? Portland harbor community coalition is going to be elevating community voices as the cleanup process moves forward, Portland harbor community advisory group and lower columbia estuary partnership are going to be working to build awareness and understanding of the superfund through doing doing, uh, police based tours that are land based, and also getting folks on the water. And then we haven't living islands who's going to be doing education and engagement with the pacific islander community to help increase awareness of the health risks the long term health risk of eating resident fish? And

then we also have to organizations in a seeker will a mood and pacific northwest council of water protectors who are going to be holding culturally specific events that really focus on indigenous life ways and how to foster connections of stewardship and connection and healing between community native communities in the river. And then finally, we have, um biko trust green workforce academy, who you'll hear from shortly who is going to be working on diversifying workforce in reversing the workforce for environmental remediation, career pathways. We will monitor progress of these of this work through midyear check ins. We will also be collecting final reports that will document what outcomes have occurred. As well as the impacts and learnings and we look forward to sharing those impacts and learnings and outcomes with council as well as with the public next year. Um. I want to pause before we bring up our guest speakers to see if there are any questions for staff. No, thank you. Okay thank you. So I'd like to pass the mic to three of our wonderful grantees, um, for speaking to be jason stroman, who is the program director for blueprint foundation. Erica harrison, who is the way finder camp administrator for also and teresa gotti, who is the program manager with eco trust screen workforce academy, so we'll pass it over to them. The next slide. That would be wonderful to thank you. Welcome thanks for being here. Congratulations. Thank you. Thank you. Good morning. Morning election mentioned. My name is jason stroman. I'm the program director for the blueprint foundation. Our mission is to provide access where it otherwise might not exist. Including the chance to connect with nature and contribute to environmental justice through civic engagement and jobs in the green sector. We stress for us bias solutions to environmental degradation and socio economic stagnation that black people face due to historic, historically racist systems and ideology. The Portland harbor program has provided us access to funds and technical expertise to open doors for black youth and young

adults. Specifically we've been able to get folks out on the water to teach about the science of the superfund site, cleanup and the jobs that our community can pursue related to increasing the safe use of the river for folks in the community. And in addition to continue our collaborations with fellow grantees in this round of funding, we will go beyond water quality to address air quality. We will collect baseline and longitudinal air quality data that black community members can use to assess the safety of nature excursions. This data will also be helpful to decision makers and contractors tasked with reducing emissions along the site. They can use the baseline and longitudinal data we collect to measure the effectiveness of emission reduction efforts along the superfund site. Best of all black youth are doing the work to build and install sensors collect and visualize data and disseminate findings. So thank you for helping us experience life science outdoors, um or from or known as also ink or camp. Also, also vision is to cultivate generations of black and brown problem solvers and innovators for community and global impact. Since 2015. We have been serving black and brown communities across Portland, providing culturally relevant science and environmental education. I am excited to be here today to share with you about our work to connect with youth to vital environment and climate education specifically around Portland's superfund site, the way finders program will visit the area on water Wednesdays and in age appropriate groups. Meaning k through 2nd 3rd and 4th, 5th and 6th and 7th and 8th graders. Once I could the usual park, we will join up with community partners such as laura colombia estuary partnership and best staff to paddle while learning about the superfund site history. Cleanup efforts and various local wildlife and plants that are threatened by contaminants. Last year, we even stood atop a counter cap and learned how to sample and monitor the water. In the spring, we will visit cathedral park with 8 to 10 camp guides to orient them to

the site where they will lead and engage 80 k through eight youth. In the summer months, we will engage in deeper conversations about culturally relevant teaching and learning as well as which communities are most negatively impacted by where the river health and the impact this has on economic and environmental justice. We are very proud and excited to collaborate with another community partner. The blueprint foundation working with sorry jason and the blueprint foundation for the for the past few years as a key partner for the tavern woods program has been an amazing experience. 16 tapper roots, black nature educators, high school youth. Participated in symposiums focused on the Portland's harbors fund superfund site. In terms learned about the history of the site. Clean up efforts, industrial offenders and environmental justice implications. The group will explore different ways to stay involved in the process, both now and in the future. Thank you for your time. Thank you. Good morning. My name is teresa gotti. I work at echo trust. Um but more importantly, for this program, my collaborative. The green workforce academy is really a collaboration between multiple partners, which include blueprint, you'll notice a lot of us work together and there's a lot of overlap, which is great, and I think critical to the success of our programs that also includes the native American youth center self enhancement inc. And wisdom of the elders. Through this program, which is a five week long workforce readiness training program. Created by and for black and native adults in the Portland area to get them into green jobs. Um it was based on some information that we some studies we have done early on in this program has been in place since late 2018 have been working with the city of Portland bureau of environmental services since 2020 you know, started during the pandemic did some virtual things, but now we get the benefit of going out on the river. Two similar spaces that my colleagues here mentioned to get our participants out there and exposed to all the information that

jessica and others with bts. Provide um and some of the benefits that we've seen are not just that they get this information for themselves and maybe helped lead them to a green career, which is the eventual outcome that we're hoping for us to diversify the green sector. Um and give black and native folks a chance to build intergenerational wealth and do work that they can be proud of, um, that benefits their communities. Um, but we're also creating informed citizens. You know, through this? They're not just learning what taking all the stuff that we're teaching and all the wonderful experiences that we get to be part of. But they get to take that back to their homes, their families, their communities, and we said that as a really vital connection, and especially work, like what the city of Portland is doing here. Um one of the benefits that we've seen already. Is that, uh, one of the contractors, and a lot of the work will be done through contractors in terms of clean up water quality monitoring testing, and one of the contractors already reached out in 2021 to get some of our graduates to participate in an internship, and it took a lot of persuading, but I had one who was really interested in doing water work, but he had a little bit of a fear of the water. And after a lot of conversation, um he decided? Yeah this was worth it to go and try something new and got out there on the water. A lot of it was on a boat, and so there's very unsure about being on the boat in the water. Um but he got out there had a great time and when I checked in with him last year, he said, it was a really great experience, and he was so thankful that he did it and went through with it. And so I'm really hopeful that this will create a lot more opportunities like that going forward because I think that's what this really promises is. More opportunities to get involved in this work locally, um through this exposure and education that bes and programs like remote force and others are providing to, um black and brown people in Portland. And I think that's all we have for you today. Thank you appreciate it so much presentation. Very good

colleagues any further comments. Um mr mayor. I just wanted to, um I just want to number one congratulate this year's awardees. And I also want to, I think bes for the important work they do in the superfund space. Likewise these are great programs are really appreciate you coming in ensuring not only with us, but anybody else who's watching. Thank you for your leadership, and I look forward to hearing the results of these programs. But it sounds really good. Thank you. Good presentation. Thank you. We'll now move to the regular agenda, the first item on the regular agenda is item 305. This is a second reading of a non emergency ordinance. Amend system development charge financing and exemptions code to add new deferral option for the payment of system development charges.

Commissioner Rubio thank you, mayor colleagues today we will be voting on the option to defer system development charges for up to 24 months and I want to start by appreciating the conversations that counsel engaged in at the first of the time of the first reading where we heard testimony on this item that stc payment deferral options may not the ones that were before us may not work for all housing projects. But however, it does work for many new single family homes in the affordable price range is, we know this proposal is a very beneficial tool for many development, um, project's, and we're very eager to proceed with moving the current proposal to approval and implementation. While we and what? At the same time, we have staff continuing to work on the issues raised to explore possible alternative solutions that could be brought forward as a separate deferral option for housing projects. Bts staff are already talking to lenders, as well as the revenue bureau, which collects s, d. C s and with the relevant staff in the four stc bureaus to better understand the implications of all the options. I would also like to highlight the Portland currently has an sdc deferral program, which charges interest but allows for a shorter maximum deferral period and which uses a lean in first

position. And on average, about five projects per month. Use this deferral program. So by moving this ordinance today, we're demonstrating our commitment to making housing projects more financially feasible and getting more formal housing development into the pipeline. Which is why the city of Eugene is watching this ordinance closely today as they consider replication over there. So director Esau, Kurt Kruger and Rich Eisenhower are here and can provide more information and answer questions. But before that, I would like to make a motion to amend item 305 to add an emergency clause to this item because it's necessary to make this available as soon as this tool available as soon as possible in order to support getting more housing production. Online there's a motion to add an emergency clause seconded by Commissioner Ryan there any further discussion on the amendment. Seeing none called the role on the amendment. Why Gonzales. Alright alright, Wheeler emergency ordinance thank you colleagues important step in our 90 day action plan that will hopefully make projects more financially feasible and ultimately strengthen our housing development pipeline. So now I'll turn it over to staff to recap the ordinance and answer any questions. And this. This is a second reading so I'd encourage brevity. And if anyone has any colleagues have questions. Thanks. Unfortunately Kurt can't join us today he'd get caught in another meeting. But I think Commissioner Rubio you kinda summed up. I mean, we've been having lots of conversations. I mean, this is a very complex issue and a lot of different trade offs on both the private side and the public side. So we're engaged, continue those conversations to find different options that can work for everybody. Great. Commissioner Mapps has a question. Yes um, if I understood Commissioner Mapps comments correctly, staff is talking to stakeholders about bringing potential amendments that would make this tool to build more housing even more effective. We have a sense of when those proposed amendments or new ideas might come

back to council. We're looking for a timeline of 60 to 90 days to be able to have okay with thank you very much. And I assume some of those potential changes will include engaging some of the folks who testified last week about the city's position being first position on the lien assume those conversations will continue as well. Yes they will. Very good. I appreciate it commissioner Mapps appreciate the time and the energy you as well as the bureaus have put into the discussions with our team, and I realized this is being brought forward. By uh, three of our colleagues. Is that correct? Yes so we have commissioner Mapps all working on this, and I want to also just acknowledge the collaboration. Thank you for that. Great any further questions or discussion. This is the second reading of what is now an emergency ordinance as amended and further discussions saying, non, please call the roll. Ryan yes, this is a good edition. Additional option for many smaller scale developments, and it's important that we take a look at all these tools to encourage more housing production. The reality is on any given project there. 5 to 7 bureau reviews involved in with this many hands off so many handoffs in the permit review process. And we have to improve our permitting processes to facilitate more housing production. Mckinney director e. Saw as we've been talking about this for ever since I got here, make money. Make no mistake. What is needed and recommended by the most recent audit is to streamline and improve our efficiency. Time is money for customers. I want to thank the members of the permit improvement task force. The permit improvement team led by terry tyson, and all the bureau's that we're working together and responding to customers with this shared sense of urgency, so I just want to make sure we lifted that as a big condition that will make this production possible. I thought I consult. I wanna my appreciation for staff and for the three colleagues who led this effort, my vote I maps um all eco commissioner Gonzalez comments. I want to thank staff for their

hard work and this innovative project and I also want to express my appreciation for the partnership and collaboration. Commissioners Ryan and Rubio. Um demonstrated as we try to develop new tools that will bring more housing online here in Portland. For these reasons and more. I vote. I Rubio again. I'll join my colleagues in thanking staff for all their great work on this and for, um, looking at down every avenue and turning over every stone to make sure that we're getting it right. So I really appreciate that attention to detail and all your hard work. Um also, um, moving the sergeant's forward. It's a significant step in our 90 day action plan, and I just want to remind people that also includes recent code change for officers to residential conversions, the regulatory reform survey and also follow up actions and also as well as our work in identifying housing needs and costs and finding available land. So again, thanks to my colleagues, commissioners Ryan and maps for all your work and collaboration on this and for your continued support in improving the whole permitting system so happy to vote. I Wheeler? Well, a lot of the work that's been done on this is untangling years and years and years of varying layers. And even weaving. Of coats. And the bottom line is what all of this work has led to is what I think is a very common sense proposal to add flexibility to the sdc payment methodology, and this ultimately is going to help us get more housing more quickly. And I am very heartened to hear commissioner Rubio what you said, as well as what staff said. About the importance of continuing to have dialogues and continuing to bring reforms as we're able to do so, but this is this is something we can do right now. That will have an immediate impact. I want to again reiterate my thanks to commissioners Ryan Rubio and maps for working very collaboratively on this. I appreciate it greatly. I vote aye, and the item is adopted as amended. Thank you. Thank you. And then the next item, please. On the regular agenda. This is item number 306, also a second reading. Um in Portland city

elections code to implement ranked choice voting approved by voters in Portland measure 26-288. Is there any further discussion on this particular item? Seeing then please call the roll. Ryan. 63 yes, 306. All right. Hey thank you, mayor. And thank you, madam auditor there somewhere for bringing this forward. I recognize this is a technical amendment, and I also want to put on the record encouragement for the county to identify politically agnostic group to provide education to Portland's for ranked choice voting. I also understand there is a rp process out for that contract. However, I will not approve the contract. It is not a politically agnostic group. I am ready to vote today. I gonzales hi. Maps um, I want to thank mayor Wheeler and madam auditor rede and their teams. I really appreciate all the time and effort that were put into these changes. I believe he brought us a thoroughly vetted proposal that represents community voice, and I'm really happy to support this ordinance. But I want to thank city auditor simone rede for a partnership and bringing this item to counsel. I'd also like to thank the various members of the auditors staff as well as the charter transition team for their hard work and what I thought was really a great presentation and discussion last week, and in particular, I would like to thank lisa howley. Becky limbo lee shoshana oppenheim very pack and of course, there are many, many others. These code changes will allow us to appropriately implement the voter approved charter changes that were passed overwhelmingly last November and better ensure that both we and our county partners will be ready for the 2024 election cycles. So thanks everybody for putting in some really terrific work here. I vote I in the ordinance is adopted. Thank you. Colleagues we've had a request for a break. Why don't we take a 10 minute recess. We will reconvene at 11 25. We're in recess. Mm hmm. Yeah. Yeah. Mm hmm. Front. Mm hmm . Hmm. Mm hmm. Yeah. Okay. No. Mm hmm. Up. Right. Mhm. Yeah. Mm. Hmm. Mhm. Yeah. Mhm. Okay? In session. Adam number 307 a second reading, please.

Adopt the fy 2022 23 spring supplemental budget and make other budget related changes. Colleagues this is the second reading of the spring 2023 budget monitoring process package also familiarly known as the spring bump. Last week, the city budget office and its director tim grew walked us through the spring supplemental budget ordinance as it was originally proposed, then counsel introduced and voted on amendments and we heard public testimony. Due to a lack of consensus. We voted to remove the emergency clause and the ordinance passed on today to its second reading. However I understand that some of my colleagues myself included may have additional amendments for consideration before we vote on this item. With that I'm going to open the floor and here and second amendments and I'd like to kick us off with an amendment of my own. I'm proposing colleagues the following amendment to be listed as Wheeler one. A motion to amend the spring bump ordinance to add a finding and a directive for continued services in the impact reduction program. The following finding will be amended into the spring bump ordinance, the fall bump and spring bump in fiscal year 2022 23 have included additional funds to ensure that the impact reduction program is able to maintain service levels throughout the remainder of this fiscal year. The current contract is not match the current run rate for the city owned and Oregon department of transportation owned cleanups, and the contract will require additional funds in order to match the revised budget. The following directive will be added to the spring bump ordinance. The chief procurement officer designee is authorized to amend contract number 31002015. To increase the total contract value by an additional \$12 million for a new contract not to exceed a total of \$38,603,000. Provided the amendment has been approved as to form by the city attorney's office. Can I get a 2nd? 2nd commissioner Ryan seconds? Is there any further discussion or amendments before we hear public testimony, commissioner

Rubio thank you, mayor colleagues. What happened last week shouldn't sit well with any of us. Part of good government is leading with curiosity, asking questions and engaging with one another before we walk into these chambers. And that didn't happen last week in harm was done, and the city again stood in the way of the work to get these dollars programmed and out the door as committed to the community. Good governments. All governance also means taking a pause and reflecting we're all human and elected officials and we should take the ability to change direction and recommit with shared understanding to the expectations. We all hold for the use of public funds and the path forward and rectifying harms in order to restore trust in our commitments. And given all that's happened, it bears repeating. Over the past two years. This work hasn't moved forward as quickly as we all originally hopes. And part of that responsibility is on us. The city hasn't shown up in a consistent way to support reimagine and moving the work forward. And as an example, nearly every city staff are involved in the original conversations in 2020, including all three bureau directors tasked with standing up this work. Have left the city. Fast forward to this past January one, commissioner Ryan and i, with the support of council agreed that the cannabis fund would be transferring to prosper. We got to work with reimagine. So that we were ready to pick up the work once the funds are transferred on July one. Colleagues to get this back on track. I put on the table the following amendment in collaboration with commissioner Ryan motion to repeal amendment maps amendment number two, which would adjust the supplemental budget to ensure transfer of carryover funds in the recreational cannabis tax fund for reimagined Oregon for 4,800,008. \$388 and guarantee the transference of recreational cannabis funds and its administration from civic life. To prosper. Portland's by July 1 2023 2nd. Commissioner Rubio moves Rubio one, commissioner Ryan seconds. And in addition to following the passage of this

amendment today, we will start work again in our original plan, as instructed by the 90 day resolution, which already included reporting out to council offices around may 10th so prosper will be ready by that date, and I finally I asked that we keep the may 30 work session on the calendar to ensure that this council has a collective and transparent despite discussion about the cannabis fund. And more generally, so that there is a shared understanding among all of us from here on out, so back to mayor. Thank you. I do understand that you have a second amendment. I do commissioner Mapps today by acknowledging the session last week as well and thank you, commissioner Rubio for what you had to say. My vote on commissioner Mapps amendment was the hardest vote I've had to make on this diet. Well, I do not agree with much of the tone and some of the dialogue. I do think mr maps amendment created a sense of urgency and begged the question. What more could we all have done to ensure that these investments were put to their best use for the past three years? I cannot be silent and allow the funding intended for black. Portland's to remain untapped into roll over into another budget year. My vote and supported the amendment was not about was about not repeating the same mistakes and acknowledging something is not right. Simply put, I cannot remain silent sense. Nothing has moved. Since last week's vote on this amendment, my team did a deep dive to research the issue. In doing so it became more and more clear that balls were dropped on all sides with the city tipping the scales. We need to accept this responsibility and reset this work. As an elected official who was not here when this set aside for re mentioned Oregon was created. I fully respect the intent of the work and I am responsible to manage the people's money to deliver the results on that intent in partnership with commissioner Rubio and with the support of the office of management and finance. The office of civic life and prosper. Portland's we are committed to create a path forward for accountable

action. And expedite community impact. We have a commitment from prosper to provide this council of 45 day report out with a holistic plan for funding to reimagine Oregon. Ironically I was chairing the Portland children's levy allocation committee meeting yesterday. The levy was a clean has a clean, transparent, outcome driven system. The community organization, writes a proposal there are rewarded in annually. The work is reviewed. In a few cases, adjustments are made to cut the investment due to not delivering on the original goal. My point is, we need such accountable systems for all of our investments. I appreciate the conversations I've had with my colleagues this week. I sit on a counselor remains focused on getting this right. I also appreciate the many and there were many messages I received from community. Some were extremely helpful, and some were actually disappointing as they wanted to blame one side and revealed little empathy for the complexity of this issue. We need all sides to come to the table hungry to deliver results for the community. Can we focus on the work, please? Idealism without action for many years got Portland's to its deepest ditch in our history, the news of our ai departing the recent stabbings, the hard drug crisis poisoning and killing individuals. What seems like daily is also killing the soul of many Portland's. We cannot continue to tolerate the intolerable and it's time for us to work together in good faith for the people we are serving. It's time to deliver pragmatic practices to save our city. In another step towards pragmatic action. I would like to present the following amendments alongside my colleague, commissioner carmen Rubio to reaffirm the city's commitment to investing in the black community in Portland and building stronger relationships with the city. Ryan Rubio amendment to motion to make the following adjustments to the supplemental budget as amended to appropriate fun transfer of cannabis fund resource from the office of community and civic life to prosper. Portland's indeed

appropriate. The remaining budget for carryover in fiscal year 2023 2024 2nd commission want to, but I have to read all these details. Okay? Go for it. It's part of the year. Hold on decrease bureau expense and the office of community and civic life by 825,000 in fund 2 to 7060 recreational cannabis tax fund, civic life by 825,000 increase fund transfer expense in the bureau of community and civic life by 825,000 and fund 2 to 7060. Recreational cannabis tax fund civic life to transfer that cannabis fund resources through prosper Portland's business area, tim, I'm glad you're nodding increased bureau program expenses and prosper Portland's by 825,000 and fund 2 to 7050 recreational cannabis tax fund. Prosper Portland's to fund four seed grantees urban teen rosewood initiative. Imagine black and reap increase bureau program expenses in the bureau of community and civic life by 4,062,003. And fund 2 to 7060 recreational cannabis tax funds. Civic life for program carry over. Allocation in fiscal year 23 24 mayors proposed budget decrease bureau program expense in the prosper Portland by 825,000 and fund 2 to 705 recreational. Canada's tax fund prosper Portland by 825,000 to carry over to fiscal year. 23 24 update exhibits one through five as needed to reflect this change. I'm done. Very good. So that's read into the record commissioner houston second that I presume and commissioner Rubio would like for the record to read the full Rubio. One amendment just that we have it on the record and its totality. Go ahead. Commissioner mayor motion to repeal maps amendment two, which will adjust the supplemental budget to ensure transfer of carryover funds in the recreational cannabis tax fund for reimagine Oregon for 4,887,000. And guarantee the transference of recreational cannabis funds and its administration from civic life to prosper. Portland's by July 1 2023 decrease the bureau program expense in the bureau of community and civic life by a 4,000,888 \$7000 388 dollars in fund 227060. Recreational cannabis tax fund. Civic life for program carry over allocation

in the fiscal year 23 24 mayors proposed budget and update exhibits one through five as needed to reflect this change. Second it's already been seconded. She just wanted to get the full full narrative on the record. Okay great. Any other amendments commissioner Mapps when we're if there's space to ask questions about some of these amendments, um I have some questions. Yeah, go for it. First mr mayor, you entered traduced in amendment around her. I have no problems with that mix, and so I'm going to be glad to support it. Um i'll tell you last night sometime after five o'clock there was, um, council offices circulated some of amendments around the reimagined Oregon. Carrier proposed carry overs um and by just in about half an hour ago, which is the amendments that I've been trying to understand. And then about half an hour ago, I was handed a piece of paper wives up here on the day of and the amendments that were asked to vote on today. Look different, so I'm still just trying to catch up on what is happening here. And some of this I think I support in some of this. I think I don't understand. Let me ask, um, commissioner rain. Can you just give us an intuitive sense of what you're trying to do with with your amendment? Yeah. Intuitively it was that because last week was so rushed. There wasn't time to look at all the factors on the city side of the street. I'm a firm believer that you got to make sure your side of the street is clean before you move forward, and so I really appreciate my staff. Um, and a lot of the teams on the City Council offices digging a little bit deeper. And once I got clear about that it was important for me to work with commissioner Rubio and all of you. That's why we had conversations yesterday about how we can make this right to move forward. Um and I think that we could have detailed dialogue if we want. I know we have people here from prosper Portland, who had been very active in this. I want to thank you, bree. I had we were just fortunately already signed up to meet with prosper Portland's last Thursday morning. It was very timely. And I think that

meeting set this in motion for me. Sure I appreciate I think I was asking a slightly different question. I appreciate all the factors that continue to be intuitive. And so I rifting with you. Oh, no, I don't. You have great intuition. You're very good at being in the moment. Um i, um I appreciate all the complicated factors that caused you to rethink where you're at on this. I'm just trying to understand what this ordinance does how does policy change by virtue of this? And if you if you want, we could probably rely too good a budget funds. Therefore, nonprofits. In addition to reimagine have to look at the list again, so I don't screw it up. Right here. I want to help. I'll give it a start. We have our staff here as well. This looks very complicated because we're going to a lot of different funds and accounts and that sort of thing, but the goal here or not to go. What's actually happening is we're taking \$825,000 from cannabis and sending \$825,000 to prosperous Portland's for this work, and so we're taking dollar. We're taking these cannabis dollars from civic life, putting it into prosper and then we're using it to fund the seed grants that have basically already been on the table. Yes all right, um, commissioner Ryan, support that. You know, I'm one of the reasons why I raised questions about how we spent cannabis dollars has been that frankly for many years. Some of these cannabis dollars like 4.8 million of them have not gone out the door. So I I'm I certainly don't want to do anything that would inhibit or slow down dollars getting out the door, especially when they appear to be prepared to, um, to actually get out in the community, so I appreciate the dialogue there now. Commissioner Rubio, can you provide us with an intuitive understanding of what you're trying to accomplish with your amendment? Yes, I'm repealing what was passed last week because there was a plan in place, so it in effect removes obstacles to the plan that we voted on as a council to stay in place. Um okay. Just a little bit confused here. But maybe you can help me understand this, um. I should have said it wasn't so you are. I see. Two

pieces of this one is too. Let's just focus in on the carryover piece. I think I really I'm more than fine with the notion of basically shifting the administration of cannabis dollars from civic life. To prosper Portland that strikes me as being wise and happy to support that, and I think I understand that and understand the reasons why, uh, it might be more appropriate there. I guess I'm trying to understand. Um, the reimagine what you're trying to do with the reimagine organ? Uh, carry over. Um so you were basically repeal the amendment that I put forward? Um last week and then, uh, carryover would still go to the 4.8 million would still go to profit to rematch in Oregon. Is that what you're trying to do? Yes and exactly how it was before. Okay so you're just going transfer as as discussed and, as was the plan. By July. 1 2023. So um, except for maybe prosper administering these dollars, you're still just basically proposing essentially the bump. Carry over that, uh, civic life, um, brought to the budget office. Why is that correct? Yeah, that's. Sorry robert cheney budget office so by the budget that spring bump as filed, um, had a carryover requests from civic life appropriated that 4.8 million so that it would be available on July 1st, and that would be a part of the mayor's proposed budget. The approved the adopted, um your amendment last week undid that d appropriation and would have let those funds fall to balance. Commissioner Rubio's amendment now, the appropriate those again, um what's not captured in the amendment because the bump is a current year. Um budgeting exercise is that that 4.8 million will be included in, uh you know, I don't want to speak presumptively, but it will be included in the mayor's proposed, um in that case, they would probably be in the prosper Portland budget, but we don't make appropriations to the July one budget in the bump. That's why the Rubio amendment that was just introduced doesn't capture that. Um, but that's that's the intent. Okay I think I think I understand that and the pieces that are kind of vague. You're not the pieces that i, um I think are

most confusing. Um commissioner Rubio, can you remind us what? You um what services that do you expect these 4.8 million to provide the community and prosper come up with so you haven't defined a so just last week. I think we heard I think for people testified in favor of this. I think everyone who testified indicated that they plan to spend those dollars to support small back black businesses or small bipoc businesses. Is it your understanding that that's what these dollars are going to go for? Or do you expect? This to be spent on something commissioner about a report out on may 10 as planned, and that's that's when we will all learn. Um let me go back to the budget office. So I think the document that kind of describes what we're doing. Here is the spring bump submitted by the office of civic life. I think the request name there is 17557 girls. The titles reimagine Oregon backlash community led budgeting. Um, is that. Am I looking at the right piece of paper here, like basically understanding the mechanics of what we're trying to do. The hold on. Bump amendment requests report spring. Thank you. I think they submitted it on 3 16 23. I'm sorry. I couldn't quite hear you on that. Sure, omf. So yeah, I'm looking at the spring bump. Um the spring bump request submitted by the office of civic life for this 4.8, um, it allocates it to reimagine Oregon, and it says it's for community led budgeting. I guess one of the things that's confused me in this space this whole time is what that community led budgeting peace means, because I see it these dollars being used for community led budgeting. I think last week I heard these. We're going to be used to help support small businesses. And I think I just heard that commissioner Rubio say that sometime in may, we will have a meeting and figure out what these dollars will be spent for. So do these dollars. Have a specific how are these dollars being allocated for what purpose? May I jump in here? So, uh, we have been outlining that the presentation will be made 10 for some time, but I think it's just more clarity. I'm going to ask if shrubbery vickers and gillian shoni, my

staff can come forward just to provide any, um answer your questions more directly. Starting with debris. Before I before I let the budget folks go. Let me just be clear. So basically, we're talking ever since you when you strip out my amendment, we're basically back down to, uh moving forward with this spring bump that was submitted by commissioner Ryan is that correct? For this for the reimagined organ carryover. As of right now, your amendment and that was passed and Rubio's amendment that is on the table effectively cancel each other. So which means that really moving forward should commissioner Rubio's amendment passed? Uh, we're essentially talking about the civic life. Carry over for re mansion organ. Let me jump in. Sorry I've been biting my tongue. What it does is it takes us back to where we were in January when the council by unanimous resolution authorized the transfer of those funds from civic life. To prosper. Portland's yep, with a process attached to it. That's what we agreed to unanimously. And so collectively, this takes us back to it. Commissioner Ryan's amendment with reference to for specific organizations that's already gone through a process it's already been agreed to the communities that simply acknowledges that those funds have already been in play. Now, if we just play this out to it's logical conclusion. We can move that to July 1st where we can actually get those funds out the door asap. As soon as we can put an emergency clause on this and be done with it. So that's already gone through the process, both at the city and in the community it's already been agreed to, and those funds need to go out the door and the only thing holding it up is us. The rest of it takes us back to the unanimous resolution passed by council in January, which is what we should stick with. That's what we agreed to. That's what the community believes was the plan. Okay mr barrett? I don't hurry and it's been a long day. So let me just cut to the chase. One of the things that really confuses me about this entire space and that's confused me for years. Isn't 19 2020 this council allocated, uh, this

about \$2 million a year to reimagine Oregon, head of the cannabis fund. The purpose of that allocation was for community led budgeting, which I find which is you're able community like budgeting. Maybe a good thing or a bad thing, but one of the things that community led budgeting is not is one of the, um approved expenses for what you can spend cannabis dollars on. It's my understanding that this cannabis fund is restricted, and those dollars must be spent on drug and alcohol treatment, public safety or to support for small businesses. Um and for the past three years we've been moving along this paperwork that says we're going to spend these dollars on community led budgeting, but it strikes me that that is an illegal expenditure, and we have yet to really come to terms with that. And one of the reasons why I'm kind of dying on this hill is I try not to sign off on things that I think are illegal in this kind of strikes. Me used not being consistent with the letter or spirit of the law. Now, if we want to make a motion here to say to change the title of this bump, and they will spend it on small business or whatnot. I think we kind of clean up that that sort of lingering problem. Uh but so far every time I try to get this pin down the purpose of these funds and when we are going to explain them changes or the date shifts. Um mr budget director, can you help me out of my confused go through this. Details sir. Okay ruth levin with the city budget office. So um, I think, um, there is some confusion. You're not wrong. The title of the carryover package has reimaged. Oregon slash community led budgeting. Um I think that is a legacy of the way the funds were allocated, which was actually done in in several, um chunks. And so. I can, I can rather than try to run down verbally exactly what was allocated when we're happy to provide a table afterwards to counsel that breaks down what was allocated when what I can say is as of right now, the amount that's in the spring bump is that 4.8 million for 887388. Um that is still for reimagine Oregon. There is. Additionally a million dollars of seed funds that

was carried over in the bump as filed and then commissioner Ryan's amendment today, adds 825,000. Additionally on top of that to transfer that to prosper Portland and carried over um and um. And then you know, the as robert was saying earlier, the actual transfers will happen in the in the proposed budget in the 23 24 fiscal year budget. The I think your question about community led budgeting. Um I think what happened there is that it actually got, um it's actually general fund. It's not cannabis fund. There was 1.5 million and I can get the details on when that was allocated. Um that is actually now sitting in the special appropriations budget. Um and that amount is encumbered, meaning it's already in. Um there's already a great contract for that, so we can follow up on the details on that. But I think that it's I think there's probably some confusion around the title of the carryover package. Are you saying I don't understand it, or it's mixed labeled. It can be confusingly labeled. But mr budget director, can you help me here? I think this is what we came to an agreement on right? Eat. I think that. The title is causing a lot of confusion because it's been there for a while, but things are being re allocated here. So. I think as a stance here, the goal of getting the four what is it for seven. For 887. Back to where we were previously so it can be reallocate and mayor's proposed budget. And that will be done in a way that's clear in terms of where the funds are going to be, what funds are going to be allocated and the process for determining how whatever remaining balances? Um remaining balances is in the proposed budget will be a process to allocate those well, at what point do we launder? When does the language because this this language around community led budgeting has been going has been in our budgets for three for, like, three years. Um at what point do we launder this ordinance? Enough, so that goes away? Um because I certainly look at that title and I my interpretation of that title is that is what council approved these dollars to be spent on and frankly, I think if you go back and take a look at the

record at that time, I kind of think that that council intended to spend this money on community led budgeting, which, by my understanding of the role of the laws, kind of not in allowed expense, and we've kind of let it roll forward for three or four years. Whatever it's been um so I kind of wanna my goal here. Number one is to get the dollars out the door and number two is to, um tried to protect the city from continuing to do. Yeah Carrie, I want to get out of. Of Sunday, now signing paper that seems to be inconsistent with the law. So okay. We need to take it out. Decision package language. Yeah, I think that the title is not in the ordinance itself. Um it's sort of background document to the ordinance, so I think we can certainly clear up. That the use of those funds um but I don't think it impacts the bump ordinance as amended. May I just ask? I just it's really important to me. It is anything about this illegal? Can we? Can we just clarify that it's not? No there's no no, thank you. Huge. I just I just it's I just, you know, I just want to make that easy solution usual that we ask if something's illegal as a policy that we've vetted and thought of, and has come to the budget office. Um. I understand your concern. I just you know, I would second part of the role of the budget office is to make sure that we do comport with state budget laws as well as our own local ordinances. That's what they do, Mr. Mayor. I think in your proposed budget process, we can create clarity. Getting this title correctly in the decision package that will be approved in the proposed budget. Yeah, good. Alright. Anything else? Are you done? Commissioner Mapps? Yeah thank you for the dialogue. Gonzales. Yeah my questions are with initially with respect to r p. Can we just get high level? What's driving this increased amount. I want to make sure reading this correctly so that we're increasing the not to exceed the 38,000,006. That's an increase of 12 million. Couldn't we? Just some visibility on understanding what's driving this what we're doing to cost engineer here? Um it's a big number and just trying to get my arms

around it. You want to take that? Uh, yeah. So the mirror icon. Is it green? Hello? Keelan. Is it going through? Okay, okay. Okay. Great. Thank you. Um sorry, commissioner Gonzalez. Your question is regarding. Ah, mayor Wheeler is amendment. Uh basically, it just increases the total for the contract. It's my understanding that the program was not doing work. I was doing all that funded work, so this is basically the allocation and michael will jump up and shout. Objection if I'm wrong. This is the additional dollars coming in throughout dot is that through the state that the governor has now committed to go dot is that correct? Okay yeah, that and there was an additional increase of general fund resources to the impact reduction program in the bumpers filed as well, thank you. Just the quick history. They're not not to belabor this. Um, the funding that was originally allocated to r. R p for the o dot intergovernmental agreement was. A number that was effectively a guesstimate. We worked in close collaboration with oh dot through region one. To scale the cleanups that were required. Those cleanups were burning at a faster rate than the funds that had been allocated both the state and the city knew that we understood that and we knew that we were going to collectively ask for additional resources to get us through the end of the fiscal year. In addition, we agreed that we would ask for the correct budget based on actual experience for the next biennium, which we've done. We've put that request before the legislature. And to be clear this amendment does not change appropriations at all it just correct. So this is strictly a not to exceed. This has just been like that's it, that's all we're doing here. I mean, I just would notice a big number and it's been growing. I get blowed up piece, but it's a large chunk of change. I just want to circle back to, um commissioner Mapps this questions on the Rubio amendment. I want to clarify one piece and then just fall asking paul question. I don't think bottom line. Certainly speaking for myself, I don't think

there's any concern moving dollars or moving this from civic to life to prosper Portland as we approved in January, um it was in reviewing the program that we you know, many of us had questions. Uh particularly with the reimagined organ piece. Um those questions really, for my take weren't really actually answered last week on but I just so I am crystal clear. There is an element of this. It is particularly late, participatory budgeting for community like budget. And that's coming from the general funds. Is that is that right? That's the 15. Yeah. Yes do we have one first 1.5 million in the general fund special appropriation. And the component that is related to the cannabis fun. Yeah it is strictly for small business or how is it teed up currently? I don't know the answer should come up and answer that very quickly and directly. Can you state your name for the record? We all know you, but certainly. Good afternoon. I'm sure brie vickers. And yes, um and excuse me. City code 607145. The funds can be allocated in three ways, in particular for prosperity. Portland. The support for neighborhood small businesses, especially women, owned and minority on businesses, including but not limited to business, incubator programs, management training and job training opportunities and providing economic opportunity and education to communities disproportionately impacted by cannabis prohibition. So the funds I think that you're talking about, that's how they would be spent in those spaces. Commissioner Gonzalez. I think you asked about small businesses. That's exactly where those funds would be spent. And if I were to look up thank you for that that and you're referencing the part of the code that I was thinking about. So if I were or voter or taxpayer were to go look at the reimagined organ. Uh program planned right now. Where would they find that top portion of the dollars is going to go to small business? And we certainly heard that in concept last week. I'm just trying to tie this out. But what was previously approved for those portions of dollars is going to small business. So we will be, as

commissioner Rubio mentioned will come back in 45 days. Um and may 30th also for a work session. If that is, if that helps us answer all the questions about specifically where small business dollars would go and the split behind what that might look like. But the intent is around economic opportunity and small business specifically. Especially those women owned and minority owned businesses. So as you note in there, it's also limited to business, incubator programs, management training and job training opportunities. So those specific to I think our economy here in Portland, which is a small business economy, so we look forward to supporting those efforts through community insight around how we can best support the black community and small businesses there. And just to be crystal clear I and that's super helpful in terms of the go forgetting alignment with cannabis dollar, you know, uh, requirements but in looking back just trying to understand if in reviewing what has been approved because I'm the new guy here, I wasn't here. Any of the twists and turns is trying to get my handle around where we are at the moment and looking back at what City Council previously approved respect to this working, they tie out, you know, working. I tie out that this was originally a design for uh, small bit is it's not not the language of the cannabis code of familiar with that, but where the best council previously approved it for small business views. So from my understanding council has agreed to work with reimagine organ and reimagine organs specifically stated that economic opportunity was an issue that they recognize to be, um uh, prime concern back in 2020, and when this all came forward, so the request from community I think from reimagine organ director justice raje has consistently been around economic opportunity and economic investment specific to the black community, so that has remained consistent in all of what justice has brought forward in the conversations that we've had with community. That's exactly where all conversations, especially

since last week have been connected to so, um and as prosper as we mentioned last week prospect, Portland's economic development agency, so that's what we specifically do, and that's how we would be working with reimagine organ in particular. Um you know, if you think about some of the work that we did recently over the last few years here, we're confident we can get the funds out to community and businesses. Moving forward right alongside reimagine organ prosper. Portland has consistently demonstrated our capacity to do this work in partnership with the City Council in the past. I mean, I think if you remember back, um, while you weren't sitting here at the council through April and October of 2020 prospect Portland provided over \$13 million in relief funds to more than 1200 businesses, including initial relief funds. That went to the j district in old town chinatown businesses through our partnerships with apono and our first iteration of the small business relief fund grants, so we look forward to using many of the infrastructure that we established over the past few years to ensure that we get dollars out in this regard in partnership with reimagined Oregon, um and just so that you know, most of those resources that I just mentioned went to people of color and women with approximately 88% invested in bipoc business owners, 452 or bipoc, women owned businesses receiving grants totaling more than five million and a quarter of the grants went to east Portland businesses and 24% of those went to businesses in north and northeast Portland. So we have a record and have demonstrated our capacity to work with community to ensure that their goals are present and how we disperse funds and grants specifically partnered with small businesses here in Portland. Okay um, and maybe that question that's helpful background. I appreciate what you've done for the diversity of communities in the city of Portland with respect to economic development. Um I'm still unclear as to whether that addressed to his. What? Prior City Council approved with respect to

these dollars, but i'll circle back to that, uh, shortly. Um but I do want to be crystal clear on go for prosper. Portland is responsible for this, you know, overseeing the cannabis? Uh, fun, and that includes things beyond it developed right. There's that's including dollars for medical. Ah for example, firefighters medical, uh, substance use treatment. All the items listed in in the co correct or is that will that be outside prospers per year? Yeah the city will still be managing the cannabis fund. What we will be doing is transferring from a cannabis fund. Two. Prosper in order to implement programs which I believe will come back to you and 45 days for your review and approval. In those programs will include non economic development programs, potentially that are permitted uses of the cannabis funds. They would have to be uses that are consistent with the purpose of the cannabis one. Got it so and it just to be crystal pillars to prosper. Portland will be overseeing distribution of dollars related to cannabis fun that have nothing to do with that comic development that as long as they're specified somewhere else in the cannabis code, right because the funding is coming from the cannabis fund. Got it. Okay and there's many, many other projects being funded in the cannabis fund, and that's why it remains in the city city City Councils. Prerogative totally, totally fall and I and I just want to be crystal clear. I feel like commissioner Mapps has asked us a couple of times. I feel like I've asked a couple of times and I'm still not walking away. Feeling like the answer has been completely answered. I understand about the participatory budgeting, peace and the confusion, the heading. I totally follow. I think your answers that we're clarifying. I am still unclear as to what City Council has previously approved with respect to economic development for these specific dollars, what was approved in 2020 2021 2022 2. I. I am so it would be helpful to be able to connect that dot to the specific code language. What was the specific proposal for City Council with respect that aligned with the cash refund cannabis

funding requirements? We commit to bringing a more comprehensive update to counsel in the 45 days and on may 30th if we're still going to use that work session as well to bring more detailed plans, especially as it relates to the prosper Portland portion of the funds. And the grants office and cbo can do a separate analysis to make sure that's in compliance with the canada cannabis funds. Julian did you want to get in on this? I can't. Where did you want to say something? I just want to reiterate what commissioner Rubio said. And what should breed just said into the microphone for the record. Thank you. Sorry. For the record. Gillian shoni, chief of staff to commissioner carmen Rubio, I just commissioner Gonzalez commissioner Mapps as commissioner, Rubio said. And the mayor prosper, um, was directed by this council unanimously back in February to prepare to receive this fund. They have been doing that work. They have been engaging with reimagine organ. Today we are undoing the action from last week so that that work can continue debris and team will be ready to report out on the prosper Portland's piece of this on may 10th as originally scheduled prior to last week, and then it is our suggestion and very much desire that the may 30th work session stays on his schedule so we can have gained a very, a complete understanding of the cannabis fund, and all of its use is above and beyond reimagine organ. So all of these questions will be answered, and we will have all the data and the history and the information from cbo on may 30th appreciate the comment, jillian. I just want to be clear. We have asked repeatedly for historic context on how what was specifically asked the City Council respect to these dollars in the use of canada's funds, and today we are still not gotten that answer. I appreciate the clarification we just received with respect to participatory budgeting. I think that is clarifying. Um but that answer still doesn't address just trying to get us up to speed. What is the history here? How is it previously approved and again we can go do the homework. I just was hoping that we could, you know,

have gotten that clarified by this point in time. Commissioner, um is the issue that you want to know when this money was initially allocated what it was going to be useful in terms of purposes exactly. We can go back. We can go back to the record and find out information and make sure you have it in terms of what details are there. Commissioner Gonzalez I can also just share with you a possible Portland. We do engage in robust measurement, monitoring and evaluation of our programs, and that will continue, of course, with our partnership with reimagined Oregon and our many tools we used to do that. First and foremost, we have direct accountability to you all here at council, you continue to serve as our oversight budget committee in this space and would help us analyze impact and support to Portland news. In the coordinate the continued an ongoing partnership alongside reimagine organ in this regard, moving past just the upcoming fiscal year and, you know last week utilize robust grant contract monitoring tools to assess efficacy of our programs. We do this through yearly outcomes checklist to each business owner with our service providers and programs like brn, which you all have heard about in the past. Um excuse me. That's our inclusive business resource network, along with annual surveys to program participants in small businesses supported and finally, we utilize quarterly compliance reporting, which helps us assess for long term outcomes and robust data tracking and software made available to service providers, so we will continue to use our infrastructure that we have today to ensure that Portland's or whose especially reimagine organ and the community that are concerned with what we are able to partner with them now. Can do moving forward. Thank you. Grand done for now. Thank you, commissioner. And now an encore performance by commissioner Ryan. I have to reread the amendment because one of the codes was wrong, but I don't know which one so just read the whole thing. Sorry, everyone. Here we go. Motion line to motion to

make the following adjustments to the supplemental budget as amended to appropriate a fund transfer of cannabis fund resources from the office of community and civic life to prosper, Portland's and then d appropriate the balance for carryover in fiscal year 2023 decrease bureau program expense in the office of community and civic life by 825,000 and fund to two. 7060 recreational cannabis tax funds. Civic life by 825,000. To increase fund transfer expense in the bureau of community and civic life by 825,000 in fun 2 to 7060 recreational cannabis tax funds civic wife to transfer that cannabis fund resources to prosper Portland. Business area. Three bullet three increased bureau program expense and prosper. Portland's by 825,000 and funds to 27 oh 50 recreational cannabis tax fund, prosper Portland to fund four seed grantees urban teen rosewood initiative. Imagine black and reap. Pull it for decrease bureau program expense and prosper. Portland by 825,000 and fund 227 recreational cannabis tax fund prosper Portland's to carry over to fiscal year 23 24 5th bullet update exhibits 15 as needed to reflect this change. I do it right this time, Keelan. Alright, cool. Alright good. Thank you. And no further action is required on that. It's a, um, scribner error, so we'll just simply replace that language for the former alright. Public testimony. Well, first of all any other questions? Public testimony on the amendments specific to the amendments to people signed up for step justice ready. Thank you. Three minutes each name for the record, please. Thank you, tim. Good morning. Afternoon. Actually no. Yeah, thank you. Thanks justice raji director to reimagine Oregon project. Are we the clock starting? Okay, um. Many things I could say today. The first thing I'm going to start with none of this was necessary. Someone I respect highly here, and I won't say their name because I didn't ask them to quote them in the public arena. But they said to me many years ago to get anything done in this city. Black people have to raise hell. It's totally unnecessary. None of this was required.

The folks have questions about intention. Practice structure who's involved where to go when to do it. How are we going to do it? Why we're here? I had to do was asked don't miss meetings. My entire professional career certain people in much more dire situations is happening in this room right now. The senate on my capacity to show up when called. I don't miss meetings. I don't dodge people. If they got a question, I don't mind having an argument. We can go all day. I don't mind having a difference of opinion on how public resources should be spent. Even if I don't agree with you. Hey let's go through the process and get where we got to get to because the benefit on the other side to the people who need it is what is most important. My life is about the work. Alright understand when these things happen, there's an implication that there was an intention of graft. To misappropriate to redirect for some cause other than the stated cause. Don't play on my name. I mean that I lived my whole life based on my name. My name is justice for a reason. I didn't get this title just because my mom happened to like it. That's not how it got here. Right now. That's another discussion. Please if someone needs to talk to me if someone has a question about this project call there's no need for all of this. This creates harm, not just for me personally if I was another organization that was just starting some work, whether I was a high profit or business would be terrified to work with the city of Portland. I'd be terrified, important said, hey, we want to help you get that bigger over there. What you're doing? We saw we love it would be absolutely I would avoid it. Because who wants to come down here and have this argument? Who wants to take time out of their day when I'm supposed to be doing other things who want to take time out of the week calling all over the city to let you know. Hey, actually, justice has a good reputation. Oh, the people involved in reimagined organ have been serious and above board throughout. No one needed to do this. Okay? Let's get the work done.

There's a difference about what the work is fine. We don't need to play these games. I appreciate the change. I appreciate sticking to the plan. Let's collaborate and get the work done. Thank you. Next up. We have Marcus Monday. Thank you. For good afternoon, Mr. Mayor. Commissioners, um things have been moving quickly last week or so and so you'll my name is Marcus Monday I'm the executive director of the coalition of communities of color. Things have been moving quickly. You'll get my stream of consciousness comments. They're all over the place. But and I really hate to follow justice right now, but that's alright, that's alright. Hopefully the tone of my free speech today won't be as reprehensible as Multnomah village, but anyway I'm here again to testify and supportive, reimagine Oregon. I think I'll abbreviate some of these comments about keeping you know I was. I was heartened by the testimony. I heard the amendments I heard today from commissioner Rubio and commissioner Ryan. I still remain chagrined that I have to come and testify multiple times to fight for funding that was previously approved. But I'm hopeful about your ability as a council to, uh, listen to community. Last week's comments from the mayor and the committee and commissioner Rubio demonstrated their deep understanding of the issues, history, person, purpose and the need for funding reimagine Oregon. Level in nature of questioning by the commissioner and the earlier silence of two other commissioners made it clear to me that the same knowledge was not universal on this council. I'm heartened again today by the stance and the comments of commissioner Ryan. He did reach out to community. As justice just urged. He did reach out to community had those conversations and was more informed and could make a better decision. Um I had tried to figure out last week. The reasons why we were here and why some were so desirous of the shift. I came up with all sorts of stuff, you know what was it to appease the neighborhood associations? The usual beneficiaries was it to placate

business, which was curious to me because business will that will grow from this black business, but business will grow economic opportunity grows. Was it ignorance of the black community or the concept of targeted universalism? Almost certainly, but even with that there were other misperceptions. Was it trust? Is that too much money for black folks to handle? I don't know. It wasn't a zero sum perception. If blacks win, we lose. That's a false construct. But the danger is that those perceptions become reality. So uh, to shift dollars so cavalierly without having done the commensurate homework is not as conversation with one of your chiefs of staff mentioned this morning. A good faith effort between the public and the commissioners. Um. Lots more to say. But I would just say that that to do that, under the under the guise of public safety or some other red herring is inappropriate. If you reach out to this community and speak with this community, you will get answers. So again. I urge this council to approve the amendments but forth by commissioners Ryan and Rubio, thank you. Very good colleagues any further discussion before we go to the role alright. Um first will call the roll on Wheeler amendment one just as a reminder. This is a motion to amend the spring bumped and findings and directives to continue services with the impact reduction team any further discussion on the amendment seeing none. Please call the roll on the amendment Wheeler one. Ryan gonzales. I miller amendment Wheeler. One is on the table. Please call the sorry Rubio. One is the motion to repeal maps amendment to which will adjust the supplemental budget to ensure transfer of carryover funds in the recreational cannabis tax fund for reimagine Oregon for 4,887,388 and guarantee the transparency of the recreational cannabis funding its administrative from the civic life prosper from civic life to prosper. Portland by July 20 July 1st 2023 all the rest any further discussion on Rubio one. Seeing none. Please call the roll. Ryan gonzales. I am a no on this amendment. There are too

many important process and compliance questions for me and as elected steward of taxpayer dollars feel comfortable supporting this, whether you imagine organ or the city is substantially at fault for process compliance coming today is immaterial to me at this point is not to point fingers but to pull back these funds for now run a transparent process. Then allocate these funds in good faith to support worthy causes that are consistent with city code. So I vote no on this amendment because it fails to remedy the problems that have been given rise this mess in the first place, a process and lack of clarity, estate intended books we can and must do better for their diverse communities of Portland. And for all our taxpayers, thank you. Maps um, I'm a no on the Rubio amendment. Um. I'm frankly kind of horrified by the paperwork involved with this American. And have been for years. Ah literally when we're voting on is bump request 175577, which is described as community led budgeting. Untold that, uh, ignore the title. Actually community led budgeting doesn't refer to this cannabis, this part of four point million dollars in cannabis funds. It actually refers to this \$1.5 million in general funds. Um you know if I was an accountant, and if I was a lawyer, I wouldn't I wouldn't sign this and I wouldn't advise my clients to sign this. Um clearly, um, something has gone terribly wrong here, and it stayed terribly wrong here for a long time. I do really want to call out to recognize my colleagues. I totally do see how that see how they are trying to clean this up. However I think reverting uh, the mechanism where using here to revert back to what it is, I guess. A mislabeled, um, document, um, strikes me as just being bad policy. Bad work, and I won't sign off on it. No, Rubio. Very happy to get this back on track. We make policy decisions, direction decisions allocations every single day of this job, and this was one of those every single day decisions and there is no reason why it should be treated or scrutinize any differently than any other decision. And we are. It has been scrutiny to the exact same degree of every other

decision. We are here to get this on track. We are here to fulfill our commitment to community. Very very proud to vote. I so a small point and then what I hope is a larger point. Um the small point is, there is a fundamental difference from a budgeting law perspective between participatory budging and community budgeting. This refers to community budgeting. Community budgeting can mean a great many things, including community engagement are working with an organization like reimagine Oregon or any other uh, program we choose to work with participatory budgeting is general fund dollars, and that is a completely different process that is an organization as opposed to a process and so I want to make that point because it's important to clarify that for the record because there's allegations of illegal conduct and everything else here that absolutely have no merit whatsoever. Second of all, the larger point is, we made a commitment. This council already made a commitment. We already ignore olive the commissioner staff, said February I said January I don't remember exactly when it was, but the bottom line is we passed unanimously a resolution as part of the 90 day reset. Technology that this program needed to get dollars out the door and what this council unanimously agreed to do was to take those resources out of one bureaus budget and put them into a different bureaus budget with somebody specifically responsible. For the process and the allocation of those dollars and we heard from miss vickers. Who is that individual? She has I know her and I trust her, and I think she's great. And she knows she has accountability and we agreed to a process whereby she would engage and then come back to the council and report to us exactly. What is the plan? But those dollars. What's not in dispute is it took too long. It took too long. And we heard a lot of testimony last week about you know whose fault is that? I think it's kind of all of our fault. It's certainly the city's fault that we had those dollars. We had them locked up. We put them in one of our budgets. And if we

thought it was moving too slowly, we had two years. In which any of us could have acted to speed that process up, and we did not do so until we got to the January slash February resolution 90 day reset where we agreed on a strategy moving forward, and I was perfectly satisfied. With that solution and as best as I could tell, based on the April 10th letter I received from justice reimagine Oregon. Was perfectly satisfied. And we were on our way. But because we're the Portland's City Council, we just had the graphs defeat from the jaws of victory. The bigger point here is people don't trust us. They don't trust their local government. And this is one more reason why injustice and I we disagree from time to time. We have disagreements. Tough guy to have a disagreement with because he's a good debater, and I appreciate that about you, sir. Um. But on this issue we don't disagree. I feel like we stumbled. And we had an opportunity to show the community. We trusted them and we through last week's actions, the council went in a different direction. And I'm saddened by that. This this should have been a slam dunk, spring bump budget, just like all the others boring, straightforward technical adjustments. A few programmatic fixes here and they're reallocating some dollars here and there. But this became the headline and I'm disappointed. And so I'm just asking that we as a council aspire to do better by the people who we serve because they expect a lot from us right now, given that our city is facing multiple crises, so that's a long way of saying I support the amendment. And I know. The hearts of my colleagues. They're good people, all of them. They're all here for the right reasons, and they want to do the right thing. And so let's take this moment. Let's all learn from it. I will be introspective as well to think about how I could have done better or could have been more forceful back in January slash February about what the intended purpose of the resolution was that we all voted to support. And then see that work through but I will vote for this amendment. The amendment carries

thank you, commissioner Rubio last but not least. Ryan. Commissioner Ryan one. This is a motion to make the following adjustments to the supplemental budget is amended to appropriate transfer cannabis fund resource from the office of community and civic life to prosper. Portland's carried them over to fiscal year 23 24. And the rest. Read the details again. Please, no, god, no. Please just call the rules before he does it again. Ryan? Yeah I'm just glad we're we've cleaned things up and we've acknowledged what's happened and we have the right partners and we're moving forward and let's deliver results. But I gonzales. Hi. Maps. Um I'm an eye on this. I appreciate and support moving these dollars from civic life over to prosper, Portland's and I'm also glad to see these dollars go out the door to these qualities. For these reasons and more. I vote I Wheeler. The uh, budget. The amendment Ryan one passes, so colleagues I am considering emotion to add an emergency clause. To this item, without which due to additional amendments, the bump would pass once again to another second reading next week. I would like to be done with this, but I would like to have a signal from anybody who might vote against the budget is amended because it would require unanimous consent. Is there anybody who does not feel comfortable voting for the budget or is thinking about it? No, I'm fine. I move that we add an emergency clause to the budget as amended for the purpose of allocating dollars for the remainder of the fiscal year as expeditiously as possible. Second have a second from commissioner Mapps any further discussion on the amendment. Seeing none. Please call the roll on the amendment. Ryan gonzales. I Wheeler. This is now an emergency amendment as amended any further discussion on the main motion seeing none. Please call the roll on the main motion as amended colleagues, I would be remiss if I didn't mention that. In addition to the reimagine Oregon and cannabis tax amendments, I'm really happy to see us on our the intent of the park's levy. Ensure that the

bureau's based budget continues to be funded with the resources council allocated for the current fiscal year. I'm also pleased to have the council's support and providing policies set aside funds from the general fund contingency to the parks capital improvement fund to support future uses an activation of o'bRyant square. I thought I gonzales. Hey. That's an eye maps, Wheeler. I want to thank director grewe. And the budget office for all your terrific work, there's a lot of people in this room will work tirelessly. All of the bureau directors had an important hand in this. I know my staff did as well as the staff of every single member of this council. Ah a ridiculous amount of work for not a whole lot of good feelings at the end of the day, but it's important work and we will persevere and we'll move forward and we'll do the good work of the city of Portland. I vote hi. Thank you. Mr budget is adopted as amended. Thank you. Commissioners. And now for something completely different. Item number 308. The findings to authorize an exemption to the competitive bidding requirements and authorized the use of the alternative contracting method of construction manager general contractor for the council chambers and counselor offices project for an estimated amount of \$6,235,000. So unfortunately, if I had any really good talking points for these, this one, I lost them, so i'll just turn this right over to staff. Thank you for being here today. Great. Thank you, mayor. For the record. My name is maddie solder and I direct the division of asset management. I'm here today with our capital project supervisor randy celik capital project manager, caitlin mcgee. He believe on zoom are representatives from procurement in case there are procurement questions. Kathleen brennan's maura and kelly davis mckernan. And then director chief administrative officer mike jordan's is in the back in case I think it really hairy. Um so we're here today to request that we be allowed to do a perform a methodology, a certain type of contracting methodology to support the facility investments required to effectuate

charter reform. We are here because the methodologies and alternative contracting methodology so whereas typically perform design bid build projects in which we engage in design. We then go through the bidding process to understand firm bids on the project, and then we move into construction and you approve those dollars because of the extreme rapidity with which we have to complete the charter reform facility investments. We actually need to mix that up a bit. We need to do something nontraditional. So we are looking for procurement authority to be able to do something called a construction manager, general contractor process and this process allocates and allows us to get general approval. For a certain funding amount at the very beginning of the process. And then we engage in the design process, which, if you want to flip to the third slide, I think we could just go straight to that. Or maybe the fourth side. You're flipping slides. I found my talking points. I'm just going to read one sentence. Okay it's critical. So, as you said, this is about accepting a process. This item does not outline a plan for construction council will have the opportunity interact with facilities in the charter transition team in the near future to provide feedback on specific design plans. That's not what this is about. Thank you. That's exactly exactly it. So you have in front of you a graphic on the left side is traditional design build. What you don't see is a little arrow between the designer and the contractor. Well, we are looking for is a different methodology, one that allows for communication between the design. China construction manager and the general contractor. So what that allows us to do is essentially hastened the process. It means that while we are doing design, which you will be involved in, including the definition of the scope, timing, etcetera, the normal architectural process that we will also be allowed to have a contractor general contractor there with us who will be performing the work so that they can troubleshoot issues like construct ability, timing supplies, subcontracting, etcetera,

so frankly, just allows for more collaboration, which then hastens the process and could potentially make it more affordable or even easier to figure out different alternatives between are still very much trying to ascertain so, for example. Um we would actually really love to construct council chambers in this room versus at the lianhang room. We do not think that is technically possible, but being allowed to do this conversation and to have this model of contracting will allow us to much more rapidly assessed that outcome. Likewise we know that there's lots of questions about council offices and whether or not you guys are going to vacate while we do this work, we will be allowed to look at different alternatives. Understand how much those alternatives costs how long they will take and be able to collectively make and informed decision about how we want to do this work. So all told, this is simply a method and it's an approval amount for what we believe will be in scope. We've completed our pre design phase but not design itself. And um, if we're allowed to move forward, we will commence with that. Rfp it's actually already drafted and ready to go, and then you will be engaged in the design process which is coming up and, you know, probably may June. Questions great colleagues any questions at this particular juncture? They're so thorough. No questions. Do we have public testimony on this item? No one signed up. All right. Well, this is an emergency ordinance. And so please call the roll. Ryan thank you for the presentation by gonzales high. Maps I thanks for your work. Thanks for the good work up to this point. I know the hard part is the next step. Right so we'll just send you on your way with minimal conflict and wish you the very best as you move forward with this, and I'm really excited to participate with you on this and brainstorm. It'll be a lot of fun and interesting work. I think. Thanks for your hard work. I vote I the ordinances adopted. Thank you. Thank you. Thank you 09 also an emergency ordinance authorized price agreement to purchase temporary shelter

operation services for amount not to exceed \$50 million over five years. I'm having a momentary, uh moment of guilt. I forgot to ask on 309. If there was public testimony was there now we're on 30993. Oh eight. There was there was nothing. Okay, good. If there had been, I still would have wanted to hear it. So 309. You've already read it correct as part of our ongoing efforts to address the rampant rates of unsheltered homelessness in our city. This ordinance will allow the city to access shelter operation services as we stand up temporary alternative shelter sites over the next several months, these services are key to providing and maintaining shelter that is humane, hygienic and safe. I would be remiss not to mention that the state recently approved funding for capital and operational cost for first temporary alternative shelter site where extremely grateful to governor co. Tech as well as our county partners, including county chair jessica vega peterson. We look forward to continuing to partner with the multi agency coordination group. As we move this project forward. We have procurement services supervisor jeff blade here to walk us through the ordinance. I see. Jeff is online. Good morning, sir. Good morning, mr mayor. Thank you. We did a solicitor competitive solicitation or these services back in January, we received two proposals, one from simply human and one from urban alchemy. We had five evaluators evaluate those proposals. The highest score proposal was urban alchemy, and we're awarding the contract to them for \$50 million.45 year contract. Is that your presentation? Um well, it's for temporary shelter. Operation services. No I'm not complaining. Believe me, gravity is good thing. I just want to make sure before I jumped in very good colleagues. Any questions? Any questions? Yeah I have a question. Um this is a big move. Frankly it represents a significant per church er in the city's approached housing or most vulnerable. Neighbors um will this will this sort of services provided here be supported by Multnomah County? What does the county play a role in the end of

the embassy? Let me handle that one. Um so the answer is yes. Okay what this will do this and to be clear. This isn't up to ordinance so this is not allocating \$50 million for this purpose. This just gives us the authorization to do it. As the plants and fold but I can tell you without sharing any great state secrets that were in extensive and regular communication with the county. We are working specifically on a shared both financing as well as service model whereby we would do a lot of the construction. We would cite the facilities we would do community engagement. The county would provide the services that they're really good at behavioral health services connection to substance use disorder, treatment programs, basic healthcare and the part that frankly, I think it's the most important part is their navigation services into better conditions, particularly housing. And so this gives us the financial flexibility to be able to fund the model. As those partnerships come to the forefront, and I don't want to put scarlett on the hot seat without advanced. Notice that skylar, is there anything else? You wanted to share this? That pretty much cover it all right, cool. One other follow up. Question number one. Thanks for the clarification. Mr mayor. Number two. Will those, um services that the county provides to these facilities be described in the, um I g a between the city and the county that describes what the joint office does. I think that is not yet determined because the idea between the city and the county on the joint offices specific to the agreements around the joint office and its operations. It doesn't necessarily get to the programmatic level. Hmm. The short answer is no. Okay um, I might like to have some additional dialogue about what's appropriate to put in the car with the I g 100% keep moving. Good. Ah commissioner Gonzalez. Thank you so just mostly for those listening and how can you walk us through the non shelter services that are envisioned to be provided by alchemy at these locations and the importance here is that when folks look at the number of people served, they're going to do the

math. How much it's gonna cost per per client, right? Um and so just high level what security is going to be provided? Well we're looking to provide other sort of services with the county such as navigation teams. What well, I understand alchemy will be doing some of the initial connecting with social services and may just walked through for myself. And for those listening at home, they're non shelter services that will be provided on site. Yeah, and just to commissioner Gonzalez. Thank you for raising this and I probably should have raised it up front myself because it's always a major talking point. People take the total allocation. They look at how many pods there are they divided into it, and they said, oh, my gosh, I could rent the nicest condo in the pearl district for this amount of money. The same is true if you took an operating bed in a hospital and divided it by the total cost of the operations have said bad then you could say, wow, I could actually afford an oceanfront of state in the south of france. For that amount of money. This is a throughput model, so it's not per person. It's per pod. And we expect the throughput on those pods to be, you know the aspirational target is 90 days, given the lack of affordable housing in our community and the positivity of behavioral, health and substance use disorder services. It will probably be longer than that, but currently 90 days is what urban alchemy is able to commit to another cities where they currently operate. But i'll turn it over to skylar. And if you could introduce yourself for the record hi. Thanks mayor and commissioners. Skylar blocker nap. I'm a senior policy advisor for the mayor's team. So commissioner of the urban alchemy contract will cover the 15th one client to stop a ratio that we've talked about before, as well as care coordinators. As what urban outcome he calls them, so it's folks who are specifically on site every day to develop kind of those profiles of folks for what services they need help who they can be connected to that would help them along their journey into housing and into services. So they provide that

connection that you mentioned kind of that first step. But it's a continued case management kind of model, and they found that to be effective in other cities, especially to the mayor's point when we have the vouchers and the resources to connect folks, they're really able to make those connections. They obviously can't provide something that doesn't exist, but that's why we're working with the county in our state partners with the multi agency coordination group as well as just county services. To provide those connections and make sure that those resources are available to the mayor's point. We want to make sure there's a throughput and that we're serving folks the best possible extent. And so, to the extent we could buy call that connection with longer term services may not be a clinical social worker, um, but is the deliberate in in looking to get these folks into the through throughput sort of speakers. Mayor described it correct. And I think one of their kind of secret sauce mechanisms is to have those folks on site every day. So I've visited number of sites in california and you really see folks asking questions, so those care coordinators they can pull them aside at any moment. Ask about where's my housing placement ap what do I need? What paperwork do I need to fill out? Someone called me with the question? How do I answer this? How do I get connected to any kind of those behavioral mental health services? My primary care doctor. Get me on medicaid, those kinds of things. So it's that continued connection that relationship building that they found to be effective. Can I just speak high level to insist pate security that's going to be provided under this contract, as well as food services that are going to be provided on this car under this contract? Absolutely so, um urban alchemy calls it engagement. They don't provide security services, but they have a lot of staff at the site watching what's going on making sure they're engaging with folks deescalating any violent kind of situations or, um, disagreements. Basically they also do engagement in the surrounding area, so not

just specifically in the site, but also around it, so they have we part of that contract is paying for two urban alchemy professionals to be around the site walking, engaging with folks all day and then there's two shifts, obviously, so it's about 16 hours a day. More folks are engaging in the surrounding area of the site. So that's you know, folks who might be like just walking around. They can engage with them residents. They could just engage with them. If they have questions, business owners they can engage with them as well. To make sure all folks questions are answered, and they're just providing more of that engagement process. So I think they wouldn't call it security per se, but I think it's just an level of engagement foot traffic really just being available to the community and the surrounding area. Could you highlight food services as well ? Let's plan to be provided on site, so that would be a subcontract with urban alchemy we've had. Actually I would say four or five nonprofit food service providers who have reached out we've connected them with urban alchemy, and they're looking to partner with them for on site services, they will likely be different contracts with urban alchemy at each of the sites. So they'll make that determination we've told them we like would like two meals and a snack provided every single day. Got it. And when my next question just to make tangible your point about the engagement, so if urban alchemy witnesses hard drug cells adjacent to a location of one of these, um you know, human trafficking or other criminal behaviors. What's in your mind? What is their expected services not model. What is how old and engage with public safety in that model? Yeah I would expect that they would call 911 like I would expect anyone who kind of sees those actions taking place anywhere in the community. And you know, I think they've said they've done that in other cities partner with police when necessary. They would prefer not to engage with that. But the level of crime that you're describing, I think would require a 911. Call. Thank you. Thank you for your time. Yeah. Any other questions

at this juncture. Public testimony. We have 13 people signed up very good. First up. We have caitlin day. Thank you. Okay. Hi. I'm ready whenever please go ahead. Yeah so hi. My name is caitlin. I'll get right to the point. I don't support going forward with this resolution. I also am aware that you probably are going to vote it through. So I do want to give a little bit of context of how urban alchemy has operated in other cities. So you talked a lot about how they don't provide security and rather do engagements. Um but I want to say just because they don't call it security doesn't mean it isn't security and this has been a big point of contention and california and so this is a bit of a question for me if they are going to do anything that resembles security, what kind of oversight or accountability mechanisms are there going to be in particular? Are they going to register with the psst? Because again just because they call it a different name doesn't mean that's not what it is, um, so that's a major concern for me. I also want to talk about how urban alchemy is making claims about how a lot of their model is. They're able to put people in the housing there, saying it it's very which is very aspirational like you said that they can put people into housing and 90 days. Um but I also want to point out that they have a history of fudging some of those numbers, which they did in los angeles, and that's not something we're just making up right now, like there's proof that they were fudging numbers after the echo park lake sweep, where hundreds of people got displaced. They said. I have it here that and they probably announced it on twitter and in a press conference that they got 166 people in the housing well. Gcl a did a survey of all the people in echo park lake who supposedly got housing and as it turns out of the 183 people who were placed on the housing list, only 17. People were actually placed in housing. And of those 17 people are only five replaced and permanent housing. Um and that's something that concerns me because this is also been something that's happened here. Um with some of

the reporting and Multnomah County of our numbers have been inflated that we're getting a lot of people into housing. But that's because we're just listening that we're putting people onto the housing list. And so I am a little remember skeptical about the reporting mechanisms, and I feel like we're going to see a lot of inflated numbers to show that this program is actually working when it's not actually working. And that's something that really concerns me. And I guess the last thing I just want to say is that we know that how this even came to be in the first place was urban alchemy was in talks with the mayor's office for months about this, and I know there was a competitive bidding process, but it's pretty clear just judging by how the rfp was drafted that this this was created for urban alchemy. And they're trying to expand nationwide and put this model everywhere. But I haven't seen it work anywhere, and I don't think it's going to work here. So that's all. I have. Great thank you. And I'm not going to respond to each person that I'm making a list and i'll respond at the end. I appreciate it. Thanks for being here. Next step. We have david badly. I believe david was going to join us online. I don't see them so we'll move on to kelly higgins. Welcome. Thank you for being here. So I think it's an accurate assumption that the City Council isn't super familiar with the concept of mutual aid, and it's fairly obvious they all don't know what real community engagement looks like. The first thing you do when addressing a challenge or constructing a project as you look around, you look to see if anyone else is doing the same work. You talk to people who are doing similar work. You engage with those who are directly impacted by the work. And if those redundancy you then work together and add what you can giving an out of state nonprofit like urban alchemy, \$50 million or up to \$50 million is the antithesis of this concept set aside the very serious issues with urban alchemy, the multiple sexual assault allegations the wage theft suits the \$10,000 that you a and the city of san francisco just paid

out to a civilian for forcibly removing them from a public space. For praying while being trans and perceived as homeless while you is not a licensed security company, their staffs responsibilities include patrolling public spaces by calling these employees ambassadors, they're able to bypass rules that define private security and policing that, combined with reported poor training has barely clearly caused time to the most vulnerable of community members. On us website. Their mission statement includes the following when a neighborhood street or intersection earns a reputation as a place to avoid. We turn it around urban alchemy is a peaceful and supportive presence, inviting communities to rebuild and restore a sense of pride and respect in urban spaces. Notice how there's not one mention of respect or dignity or autonomy for a human in that statement. I see how this is appealing to the City Council as you've proven time and time again, that property means more to you than human life. But to us, it reads as prioritizing how city spaces are perceived at best in dehumanization at worst. I know 50 million is a drop in the bucket for you, ted and your boys shoes. It's less than a quarter of the total amount of total annual budget for your power. Tripping violent gang of fascists. Sorry upholders of white supremacy. Sorry I mean the Portland police. You could use these emergency funds to support local nonprofits like sisters of the road or branches house bolster programs that the er a that helps folks face eviction or street response team. You could put this money towards harm reduction initiatives and recovery inpatient treatments. Mental health facilities. You could deal with those with lived experiences and those who work within disenfranchised communities have been asking for you could build and provide housing permanent housing, for example of sending 150 k per unit investment leverage with other funds could create 333 permanent homes or just give people money. It's been proven in real life scenarios and studies that giving folks experiencing house

business direct funds, which the permanent housing and job placement within six months to a year, with success rates in the 80th and 90th percentile, not for nothing in a single year in only five out of 261. Residents of au, a site found permanent housing. Let's break it down. 50 million comes to 27,397 the day for five years, which could be 1000 month for 800 people. For five years. I know not my time. Acknowledge. These are larger, big picture solutions that require more than budgeting require building a sustainable infrastructure that looks very unfamiliar compared to the current faulty systems we have in place now. So in my time, with some quick solutions that make a far greater impact than your poorly thought out band aid stop the sweeps. Lift the tent and tarp band stop criminalizing homelessness. Thank you. Next step. We have sanga ma bottling. Hmm. Sanga. You're muted. There you go. Yeah. Can you hear me? Yes, we can hear you. Okay well, we are on stolen land. I just want to say that. Secondly I concur with the people that just hogtied and y'all had a plan all the way listening to are liars, thieves and colonizers. How long 10 have you lied? How long have y'all pretended to care? And how long do you be in the bands with the same people? You have contracts that all the time? This is how you hold discussion about one of your own council members. Thank that you were doing things illegal things that we are. So what did you accountability? Ted you're talking about the people in the first person. What about you, kid in the calculus for one voice to happen to you that you community over and over and over and over again, you lied over and over again. You have two solutions. Houses you won't give people money because you making money, give the police commissioner and use the mayor and you say you both confounded and you know that's the construct conflict of entry. You boast about being a wife's opinions you boast about tear gas and dust. You talked about banks, the person that kicked people out and do sweet talked about these things. Because you think

you're something that can't be crushed, but we are the people. Okay, we're gonna take speak that you do that you do not have a right to have because pockets with money that you get from the taxpayer get you sit, and we like to hear your feedback because we want to know. Take your he is considerations like yourself how you can get rich. You have the solution. You have every solution. To every problem that you created as a college, but you can't create me so you can keep being rich so you can keep being and we were waiting your home baffling. White sacrilege. The people sitting next to you is white supremacy, but to sit here and act like oh, I'm not white supremacy. I'm trying to help. You're not trying to do nothing bad. Help yourself to getting rich. You know, people were telling you and we're out of everyone that could fire things that you are trying to do. It is gold and you are still but everyone around you because people will take over. You have nothing to say, but text problem and you need to understand that we're not going to stop until his stop problems of white supremacy of Portland, Oregon, have a good day, and we're coming for you. Next up. We have tim mccormick. Welcome, tim. Right? Can you hear me loud and clear. Thanks, tim. Good morning mayor, council members and city staff. My name is tim mccormack. I'm the director of housing alternatives network in Portland. I've been working for quite a few years with various nonprofits and builders on moon, low cost shelter and housing purchase, particularly those opened up by new long, such as shelter to housing continuum and hb 2001. People have not just a crisis or chronic feeling in front of us with a tremendous opportunity and calling to create out of this and battled situation. Responses that are uniquely Portland and transformative. We need do responses because as the organization presented on March 13. They said. Well essentially, the problem is, is that you want, okay? The therapy of people with our president, rate of housing creation or opening, they said. As of February 66 fewer than 2% of people serve that los

angeles urban balcony site had transitioned to permanent housing well. The festival like to ask you to imagine today a different approach for shelter sites and future horrible housing, a new creative angle that is ask yourselves and pilot I can we move away from temporary pods and facings and make more sustainable investment in the cities and in a house people's futures following Portland's pad model portable affordable wallen is very basic, low barrier to entry. New housing is movable, rapid, deployable and low cost, like pilot shelters or pods, but is upgradable expandable and we excitable to permanent housing could evolve into long term and you're gonna type of ownership 1000 if the resident wished it, for example, as a cottage and cottage cluster or backyard. This essentially is an answer to that basic question. What is the food cart of housing, beloved local portable, adaptable launchpad for great cuisine and local juniors cards are not as cheaper. Smaller restaurants. There are key way our cuisine and city are open and transformative and how people can start from almost nothing and build into permanent businesses and restaurants. Have model that I propose based on work I've done over years with local organizations is likewise. Innovatively provide key missing one and a bridge onto the housing ladder. It's like a love letter run, too, and might be developed alongside the innovative mass casita is being developed for Portland t to I have to end it, cbc. You can see more of this conditioning in my written testimony, which is also available at add that team mccormack dot org. Thank you for imagining our city needs it to there is to do thank you. Thank you, tim. Next up. We have david beckmann. Good afternoon. Never one. Can you hear me out? Okay and clear. Alright terrific. Um thank you, counsel for the chance to testify on this important subject. My name is david beckmann and I'm here in opposition to granting this contract. I know I speak for a lot of folks who can't be here for professional or personal reasons. There is, um a lot of smart folks have

looked at this and have concluded that this is the wrong approach for Portland. It's not in alignment with courtland values. Um it's reaching out to this out of state, you know, cookie cutter chain organization that has no relationships. No expertise in Portland. And expecting them to do housing placements in 90 days, I think is, um, is really not reasonable at all. So I wanted to point out a couple of things one. Um you know, I was very involved in the village coalition during the period when we accomplished a lot, including establishing the kenton women's village. This was to my knowledge, the only time that I never had engagement process resulted in a neighborhood voting positively proactively saying yes. Bringing your temporary alternative shelter project bringing your village to this neighborhood, and in fact, we're ready to support and embrace it. And that's what's happened so Portland has probably rich array of public and private sector actors. Folks in the university system folks in in in in the in the faith system who are ready to produce, uh, living situations that promotes human flourishing, right and outdoor prison camp does not promote human flourish. People don't transition out of tents. Um a. We keep hearing \$50 million. That's incorrect. Your proposal is actually to spend \$75 million when you take into account the city's direct costs for land and operations if we assume maximum capacity for him give urban alchemy all of all of the generous assumptions. Then we're looking at, um 750 people serve that's according to their rfp. I read that the rfp. They say we can. We can serve five sites. 150 forks, a site. Um that's \$100,000 person. That's \$100,000 a tent, right? We're not talking about pods. Protecting that £50 is what they can they commit to in the rfp, so everything else is tense. We're talking about \$100,000 tents. I hope journalists are listening and can check my mouth because the numbers I'm seeing here it's donate. They don't add up. It's really loony. Um so please turn to Portland instead of california. We can do this together. Thank you. Thank you. Next up. We have andrew ocean. Hey guys.

Hey good morning. Good afternoon, actually. Good afternoon. Um. My name is andy olson. Thank you all for your public service special, thanks to commissioner Ryan for his determined uphill efforts to develop the safe rest villages. Thank you, brother. And thank you for honoring the memory of nick fish and network. And to mayor Wheeler for remembering our sidewalks, parks and other spaces belong to all Portland's and for bringing urban alchemy to our city. I'm the founder and executive director of cascadia clusters were six year old five. Oh one c three nonprofit. We develop and implement collaborative nonprofit faith foundation and private sector housing related projects focusing on training houses, individuals in the construction trades. Sometimes we include local government, although that tends to slow things down. We are here today to enthusiastically and optimistically express our support for what we see as a new and improved entry point. To our affordable housing continuum. We have met and spoken with representatives of urban alchemy and believe they will meet our houses. Neighbors where they're at respect who they are. And share their experience, strength and hope with them. In other words, they get it. We believe this can work at scale as proposed by urban alchemy. Why three reasons. One under this contract, six new villages will be operated and managed by people who have lived experience in poverty and homelessness, addiction and incarceration. That's who urban alchemy is. Because smaller, intentional self governed communities have worked and continue to work in Portlandia. That's dignity. Village r two d two. He's in the groove. And urban alchemy has already shown an openness and willingness to partner with locally grown organizations that have been working and living on our streets for decades. We're also here to point out a missing piece in the agreement between urban alchemy in the city and offer a solution. The 50 plus page agreement. Requires urban alchemy to meet and report on its efforts to connect residents with

employment yet does not employ provide any resources for them to do so. We'd like to help. Welcomed by urban alchemy, and I've already talked with them about some of the stuff we will donate a 25 ft enclosed tractor trailer. And work with them to convert it into a mobile sheltered workshop and solar micro grid workshop, which you saw at our one of the c threepio villages, um so that residents in the clinton triangle village can use this workshop. To build some of the items that I passed around in what you've got in front of you. We've worked with 60 houses. Individuals in the last five years that we've trained we've built picnic tables, benches we built the beacon village agape village, hazelnut grove, and I will just take my answers off the air. Thank you for being here. Appreciate it. Next step. We have jesse presley gruson. They were going to join us online or is jesse here? Okay we'll go on to ria hannon. Welcome. Morning. My name is ray hannon and I'm on the systemic change team at sisters of the road. I'm here today to urge you not to authorize the proposed price agreement with urban alchemy. We crunched the numbers. We attended town halls, and we even met with urban alchemy and all of these factors considered we believe that this financial decision is an unsustainable and wasteful use of community resources. Sanctioning camp mints will not solve the housing crisis normal. They make housing more affordable instead of this brash and disappointing plan, you could choose to support initiatives that will actually reduce house listening. Consider ballot measure 26 to 38 eviction representation for all, which will reduce unjustified actions and keep Portland's is housed. Consider supporting legislation like senate bill 6 11 warehouse built 35 03 that will ensure housing is affordable and attainable for all Oregonians. Consider additional measures the real systemic solutions rather than spending \$20 million annually to keep on house. Portland's out of sight. The current proposal for the sanctioned encampments cost far more than providing actual housing button house people.

Let me just say that again. It would be cheaper to house people in apartments that it would be to run these camps. So why not approach the issue from a truly housing first model stated in the write up of this agenda item that various temporary alternative shelter sites, including safe trust villages will utilize this contract. Do you plan to have urban alchemy, a san francisco based organization that has no roots in Portland's run, Portland's current say first villages, too. Before bringing an organization rife with controversy into our city. Did you plan to invite anyone with lived experience to send francisco to tour urban alchemy shelter sites or just members of the Portland's business alliance and fellow commissioners? Why do I unhappy residents have to go through more arduous process to prove that they are ready for housing and urban alchemy had to go through to witness rfp. It's clear where your priorities lie, but I implore you to listen to the people of this city. And we think this plan on house people do not want to be forced into sanctioned encampments, and advocates and community organizations have opposed this plan from the beginning. Listen to your constituents, your neighbors and the people, not large corporate interests of Portland's stop this gross misuse of tax dollars and instead invest in proven sustainable solutions. Thank you for your time. Thank you. Next step. We have molly hogan. Good afternoon. Good afternoon. And by the way, I will be responding to a lot of the public testimony at the end of this because I will want to correct the record on some of this. Good morning, mayor and city commissioners. My name is molly hagan and I'm the director of the welcome home coalition over 80 organizations united with a common vision that everyone has access to a safe, stable and affordable home in our region. Thank you for the opportunity to speak on this item. Back and forth of 2022. When you launch this plan to institute mass encampments for people experiencing homelessness in our city, you heard from hundreds of people earnestly asking you to pause and not

move forward with it. He moved forward with urban alchemy, the out of state organization that has made a business of setting up mass tent cities around the country as wealth, inequality and housing costs rise and more and more people struggle to stay housed. I haven't known delusions that my testimony today will change the course of action, however, as a coalition that focuses on ensuring everyone has access to a safe, stable and affordable homola region. You're acknowledging that your choice to pass an ordinance to spend up to 50 million of taxpayer dollars to warehouse people experiencing homelessness does not work towards furthering the goal of increasing housing access to our most vulnerable residents. Research continues to show that housing people is the most effective policy to address homelessness. Here's some other ways to spend \$50 million to address our housing crisis. 2022 pilot program costs and number of households successfully served last summer 50 million spent on that model could subsidize 2675 households for a year. \$50 million put toward affordable housing development, assuming \$150,000 per unit investment records with other funds. Create 333 permanent homes that would serve vulnerable Portland orders for decades. Dollars per towards hotel conversion purchases could create new, dignified, transitional and permanent supportive housing for up to 1000 people at a time, including families and children. \$50 million per toward permanent supportive housing could support around 101,800 households for one year with rent assistance and wrap around services, 50 million towards substance abuse recovery, inpatient treatment conserve around 850 people. Lastly as an organization that supports the stories of lived experience. I want to take off my coalition director hat and share a personal perspective. My mother lived outside for a decade. She would not go to congregate shelter, settles and preferred her autonomy of camping outside despite the challenges that go with that but finally stabilize her was a

subsidized apartment was really happy to report she's been living in on her \$900 a month income. Investing in mental systems and affordable housing is life changing for so many and what I always think about is that the people living outside our someone's mother like mine. Someone's daughter. Sorry. Son father, grandfather, cousin friend. You get it. I love that leg. And observe to be treated with dignity and given autonomy over their lives while the system works to do better to make housing truly accessible to all. Thank you, thank you. It's definitely have eve. Ive here. Is she online? Keelan? No. No. Um benjamin de. Benjamin. Welcome back. It's always a pleasure, mayor. Um my name is benjamin de that steve for dark money, propagandist, and I'm here to date in support of the urban alchemy planned and I would like to submit to the council clerk for the second approach the bench these documents, she'll get him to all of us. And unfortunately, I because commissioner deems not here. I won't be able to give him one. I'm sorry. Gonzales this anti homeless advocates together after a while. Um so I really support urban alchemy. I think they bring a much needed benefit for the fascist of our city. I think if you follow the logical conclusion of where this leads as it starts to restrict the freedoms of the people that are the most poor, I think that's great. I mean, we don't want the pulling downtown. We don't want them anywhere near us. We don't want to look at them. We don't want to look at their shanty towns. And I think if we look in history, really, I don't think I need to give you a history lesson. But the last time he had these maths out during commitments back in the twenties, they were burned down by the firefighters marshals at the time because they simply could not stand these large congregations of poor people. That of course, was under the tenure of the kkk mayor. I would love to say things like we want to make Portland clean, safe and prosperous. That's an exact quote. Um you know, I think that if we in maps, he probably have my back on this. I think that we really, really want to punish these

people to make them pay to make them suffer. Then we go this route, you know, because the liberals are not going to question it. They see urban alchemy were about security safety yada yada. We hire black people. That's great, right? They're never going to question it. They're just going to look the other way and say problem solved because they don't have to go downtown and see it anymore. And you know what's better than pitting poor people against poor people. You take these convicts. You throw them at the homeless. You say it security. You know, that's great. That really maximizes the oppression so that both sides suffer, you know, and I just I support it so much that I think the next ones should be like the west hills, you know? It's really trip him up. Let's put the next ones in the golf clubs. You know, north Portland is going to stop oil and put them in the country club. Let's just get a big joke. Because these people they don't have your back. Mayor will have you back in the first sign of trouble day retreat through the multinational islands elsewhere, they leave their offices and they evacuate the city because they do not have faith. This city the way that you do in the way that this City Council does, right? And so I think that if you're in America, if you're truly an American, you believe in American rights we need to see these from these multinationals, all this property that they have accumulated every day's over years of vermont. Sen yada yada. I think it's really time for Portland's for Portland's, you know. Portland for people, let's take their skyscrapers and just turning the housing problems up. You become the best mayor of all time you have saved the city will have soft homelessness. And on just one very last night I know I'm over time. I don't know if he saw but concerning for retinal the department and I don't want to be assassinated for this department of justice. They solved the case in san diego and saw where and I was coming from which was to police union. So I would recommend to you, mayor if you want to solve that and all to write the department of justice to do the investigation here,

just, you know, see what's going on. Thank you, benjamin. Next up. We have emily boyer. Emily, welcome back. Yeah. So my name is emily boyer. Um thank you for the opportunity to speak today. I was not planning on speaking. But this is a item about which I feel strongly opposed. The strength of my emotion. Today is not just about this, um item. It's also from seeing firsthand over the last, especially two years. The effects of the city's inhumane practices towards the homeless. I live in the outer southeast side, and I am familiar with some of the encampments out there and i'll just, um. It's a face to see. The current practices are um not working. They're destroying people's lives. I will say that again for those up in d. C. You're destroying people's lives with your current practices towards our homeless. Right? So I wanted to say is that I'm deeply disappointed in a commission that professes to value making data, different decisions that you would support this this antithesis. The sand tip the cis still here. Two data driven decisions to the day of supported model of housing first. Portland profess to sign onto. Isn't there a national pact to support housing first because it's a data driven. Data supported model. Is that not the case? And somebody familiarized me. Maybe afterwards. Um some of my other concerns, not going to use my whole time, but. Some of my other concerns include how the bidding process was crafted for this company. We concerns you've heard from our community. About this company and the way you seem to have disregarded them. I do believe we all see the council's vote today is afford on conclusion, and that's utterly disappointing. I'm not just disappointed. I'm infuriated. The point to which you disregard your constituents. Just livid. Another of my concerns is the private security. I'm sorry. What is it called? Called something else a private security that will be provided. We recently had a tragedy of, um feel shooting of a private security company. I forget their name starts with c cornerstone vanessa sturgeon, her company. We can't afford anymore. Mistakes

like that. Like you said recently. One life lost is too many can't afford anymore. Mistakes of private security like that. No. I urge you to vote no. I feel utterly defeated. In urging you to do so. But I do so in any case, thank you for the opportunity today. But its testimony alright, very good, so I do have some comments and i'll try to keep these brief. Um. In no particular order, and I'm sorry that this is going to be a little bit. Um fragmented and I'm going to try and consolidate some of my thoughts. First of all, the question is, why not just take this money and invested in housing? After all, for some people, it works to go directly from the streets to housing. That's not what this model is about. We will continue to invest in housing will continue to work with our partners at the county at the metro regional level at the state, and in fact, when we passed this model through council, it was one of five resolutions. Resolution number one. Was to jump start and get rid of impediments to the creation of low cost housing in our community, so, yeah, we're going to continue to do that. But this model was actually designed for a very different population. This model was designed for a population of people who either do not want or are not ready. To be stable in housing. I heard several people suggest that this year one, testifier said. It's a prison camp. And we heard from others previously that it's a concentration camp. I want to be clear. This is completely voluntary. People will be referred. Into this program. People who it is believed by front line service providers could be successful in this model. They will be the ones referred to this program. If they want to leave, they can leave anytime they want. There's nothing prison about this, and so it's really disconcerting to me that people who are activists who follow this closely who are the most engaged on these issues are either unwittingly. Misrepresenting what this model is, or worse yet providing this information to the public in an effort to block something that they feel threatened by for reasons that, frankly, are clear to me. Number two number

two. The notion that this is a top down model this was actually created with the input of people on the streets. We asked them. What do you need? And this model represents the three things that we heard. Most commonly number one. I don't want to be swept again. Number two. I want access to hygiene number. I heard you earlier and now I'm responding to what people have said. You know, I have to agree with what I said. I'm just responding. Number three. Icing on the cake would be that opportunity to be connected to services connected to housing whatever the question was asked. What's the role of the county in this and how do you guarantee that there will be housing available so that people can actually transfer out of this situation into housing? That's the weak link in all of this. We've been really transparent. Upfront about that. That is the weak link. So the agreement we hope to reach with the county is that if you are chronically homeless and you are going into this program and your connected with the case managers and the support services that can help you get off and stay off the streets will prioritize. Your connection to housing. The whole model bogs down and this is where I agreed with the testimony bogs down if we can't move, people out of these out of these situations in two stable housing, i'll be the first to agree. I'll be disappointed, so we have to really redouble our efforts around affordable housing. But I just want to remind people. This is one part of a larger service delivery model. This isn't instead of everything else we're doing. This is in addition to this fills a gap that people have lived experience tells us exists in our community. And I think it's actually good to support it. The constant question of lives versus property. An ugly truth. The City Council is responsible for a number of issues in this community that no other government is responsible for public safety, maintenance of public right of ways. Access to sidewalks, the support of green spaces and parkways. That's part of our job, too. And so in the community says we deserve to have access. To those spaces.

That's part of our job is to ensure the public has equal access to public spaces. And it's also notable that when people say well, no, um self determination is the way to go here. Let people block whichever sidewalks they want. I have a question for you. Do you have no sympathy or empathy for people with disabilities? Who also need access to well seriously, if you're making that case, raising legitimate question, and I'm not asking you to answer it today, but I'm asking you, do you at least think about it? Um, not right now. Not right now. Um yeah, the question about, um, corporate driven that this is a corporate driven model. I want you to know that while there are many in the business community who support what we're doing, there are many in the business community who oppose what we are doing this was driven by my perspective that losing over 200 people a year in unsanctioned encampments in our community is not a good thing. I picked my number. My number is zero and I also agree with those of you who say everything we're doing around the status quo. It's not enough. It's not sufficient. It's leaving too many people falling through the graphs that that the gaps, particularly people who are chronically homeless, let's try it. Again it's voluntary. Let's try it because I believe based on the input we received in the research we've done. I think there's a lot of opportunity here. Somebody made a really good point. They didn't think much of me personally, but they made a point that overcomes that data driven. Data we don't do a good job. I don't remember who it was specifically who said it, but we don't do a good enough job collecting and disseminating and sharing data about what's working. And what isn't working. Um, you know what is this success or not the success? Whoever said that, I agree, and that's that's an important point here. So those are just yeah, I think I've sort of consolidated some of these things. Um oh, the visibility piece. Somebody said, well, what you're trying to do here is you're trying to hide homelessness, not at all. In fact, the first encampment where we have now actually been very publicly.

We've signed all the documents were ready to go. It's in a very visible highly visible. Location there's no attempt here to hide anything and by the way in the city of Portland's, it's well known that we have a homeless crisis. This is not a secret news to anybody who lives here. Anybody who's visited here or anything, but he reads in the newspaper anywhere in the united states of America. We know we've got a serious problem, and so we're entering into this in good faith with the hope that this model works. We have no monopoly on the truth. We don't know if it will work. But we believe based on the preponderance of information and evidence, and what we're hearing from people who are homeless on our streets that this is a very good chance of being a successful model. And when I look at where we are today, with almost 200 people dying on the streets last year, I'm sitting here going. Why the hell wouldn't we try this? That's my comment. I don't know if anybody else has comments or questions. What's the response to section is called the road, the open civil suits. Please stop interrupting. You got your time, and now it's our time. It's the way the process works allegations. Please call the roll. Brian thanks for bringing this forward. We definitely need to keep improving our point of entry for people who have been chronically homeless for some time and actually get on the ground and serve them. But I gonzales. Appreciate the mayor's leadership on this. It's a xing complex policy issue for the city of Portland. It is the outcome of a lot of failures in our community in our society, which leads to the number of unsheltered on our streets. This is a hard work. We're not going to get appropriate out of the gate. This has been thought through appreciate the mayor's, uh, teams work on it. I vote on yeah. Maps. Radio um, there's been a lot of thoughtful, um, you know, consideration. A lot of engagements partnership with the county. Um for me. Above all, I believe, uh how's this community members should not ever have to be fearful of physical sexual assaults to safe place to sleep at night while they're waiting for

services, so I vote I really of course I vote I any ordinances adopted. Thank you. Um colleagues, given the time I would suggest we move items 3, 10, 11 and 12 to the beginning of our afternoon agenda unless there's an objection. Seeing none were adjourned.

Portland City Council Meeting Closed Caption File

April 19, 2023 – 2:00 p.m.

This file was produced through the closed captioning process for the televised city Council broadcast and should not be considered a verbatim transcript. The official vote counts, motions, and names of speakers are included in the official minutes.

2023 afternoon session of the Portland's City Council. Good afternoon, Keelan. Please call the roll afternoon. Ryan. Gonzales. Oh yep. Maps here. Rubio here, Wheeler. Here now we'll hear from legal counsel on the rules of order and decorum. Good afternoon. Welcome to the Portland City Council to testify before council in person or virtually, you must sign up in advance on the council agenda at [www dot Portland dot gov slash council slash agenda](http://www.portland.gov/council/agenda) information engaging with the City Council can be found on the council clerk's web page, presiding officer preserves order and decorum during City Council meetings. The presiding officer determines the length of testimony. Individuals generally have three minutes to testify unless otherwise stated, a timer will indicate when your time is done. Disruptive conduct, such as shouting, refusing to conclude your testimony when your time is up for interrupting others, testimony or council deliberations will not be allowed. If you cause a disruption, a warning will be given further destruction resulted in ejection from the meeting. Anyone who fails to leave once ejected is subject to arrest for trespass. Additionally council may take a short recess and reconvene virtually your testimony today should address the matter being considered when testifying one state your name for the record. Your address is not necessary to disclose if you're a lobbyist. If you're representing organization, please identify it in three testify are joining virtually please and meet yourself once the

council clerk calls your name. Thank you. Thank you. Item number 311, please.

Vacated portion of northeast everett street west of northeast second avenue, subject to certain conditions and reservations. Commissioner Mapps thank you, mr mayor, colleagues. This item comes to us from pbot. This ordinance authorizes the city to turn over a portion of the right of way near northeast everette and second to the union pacific railroad. Here is some background on this ordinance on April 10th 2019 using you in pacific railroad petition the city of Portland to vacate this portion of northeast everett street. This is a formal process to find in law. There are there is a formal process to find him law for street vacations. This proposal went through that process, among other things. Comments on this proposal were solicited from city bureaus, government agencies, public utilities, affected neighborhoods and business associations. They're planning and sustainability commission has reviewed this proposal and recommended the City Council approved this vacation, and pbot has determined that the area proposed for vacation is no longer needed as the public right away. This this area is currently public right of way, but it's not part of our local street network. Vacating the street will restore parking in the area reduced the city's liabilities and property management, um would reduce the sydney's liabilities and property management responsibilities in return the area to the property tax rolls. Here to tell us more about this ordinance. We have lance lyndal right away, agent three with pbot high, lance. Hello thank you. Commissioner was an excellent set up. I appreciate that very easy for me here today. Again my name's science. Lyndal pbot right away acquisition have some slides that will be shown kind of provide some visual images of the area and usually keep these presentations will brief and the times literally today, but, um, I'm available for questions, too, as we go through because it's been about a year since we've seen the street vacation, make it to counsel and we have five or six more that are going

to be here in the next couple of months, so you'll be getting a lot of experience with it and go to slide number two. All right, so this is an area that was dedicated to the city by subdivision plan back in the 18 hundreds at that time, it made perfect sense to have connected street grid in this area with the traditional square blocks. However what's changed in that time? First up was, uh, northeast first avenue was turned over to the railroad for use as the mainline railroad right of way between union station. And on his way down south to california that was originally set specific line is now operated and owned by the union pacific railroad. Also there, say five freeway was placed immediate to the west of this site and west of the first avenue right of way. So river connections. Access um west of here have been cut off for many, many years, and it would be cost prohibitive have any kind of connection built this location in the future. Next slide, please. It's an aerial image and, of course, when the central east side industrial district well, whether the I 5 84 interchange ramps and unique pacific railroad is the property owner of the property to the north and Portland based pacific coast fruit company owns the property to the south. Next slide, please. Here's a quick image that I took out there recently. As you can see, the site does not look like much of a street right now. It's actually being leased through our right of way leasing program to pacific coast fruit to provide needed parking for their employees, and we get covered double benefit there and generates a little bit of revenue for us and also they've taken over management and meeting it so that property so why the nuisance issues we had there in the past with illegal dumping another activities has been abated. And exciting. So there's a lot of conditions of approval on this vacation case. I'll touch upon the main ones here. Briefly um so we had some concerns that people had about the size of the vacation area, so we went through this, um, mutual process to kind of write size it. We wanted to make sure that the existing cul de sac to the east

was preserved for emergency vehicle access per feedback from Portland fire and rescue and that has happened and also, we wanted to actually encouraged the applicant to vacate all the way up to the first avenue right of way. So there's no land locked parcels left in there. That would be hard for us to access and manage in the future. Um, and go to the next slide. Alright so the city is getting a number of things in return on this one from the pacific railroad. There's this has been another list of cleanup projects in redwood acquisition for a number of years. Now there's this parcel property on north korea lee avenue near the north, going interchange and for whatever reason when improvements were constructed there 60 years ago, there was little piece of property that was never acquired by the city, and so in exchange for vacating use portion of everett street. We're going to actually have the railroad and they've agreed to do this, too. You need that property to the city so we can continue to use it as public right of way. Also we have some other abuse agreements with union pacific once for beautification area at the east end of the east and the steel bridge, and, um, we negotiate the terms of the lease and the rent amount of the city has to pay for that have been reduced. And also there was some discussion about parking in the area. And in exchange for vacating this area for parking specific house stopped using a portion of this northeast second avenue right of way that was causing some access concerns for the nearby bes pump station. We're going to go to the next excited and, um. As you probably noticed in the image. There's aerial ramps here that are owned and operated by oh, dot and ordinance that before you today, well, actually reserve east bits so that those can remain in their existing configuration. Next slide. Other conditions of approval. These two are pretty routine. The first one is the property owners are going through a replant process to the bureau development services. That's just to make sure that all of the existing persons as well as the historic lot lines are reconciled so

that they all have legal street frontage on the remaining right of way. And then the second one listed here is bureau environmental services. They do have existing facilities out here, including, um the east side. Big pipe project is looking underground here. So um, specific will be granting estimates so that all those facilities can remain as they are today. Next slide. The development plans with some of the vacations are going to be seeing in the next few weeks. They're tied of specific redevelopment projects to point out. This one is not what you see out there. Today is pretty much how the area is going to continue to function in the future. And there's a little side note here, too. So as with most vacations and actually splits down the center line of the street and the budding property owners get the side closest to them on this one, though, meeting pacific has agreed to, um, to give their reversion eri rights to pacific coast fruits that they will have the whole area to use for their operations there. Next slide. Alright that wraps up my presentation. If there's any questions, please let me know. I know time's kind of short today, too, so always feel free to contact me by phone or email concerns come up later. Thank you for the presentation. Colleagues questions you have public testimony on this item. We have two people signed up very good. Uh first step is kimberly going to join us online ? Kimberly here, okay. Next, we have Ryan simpson. Welcome, Ryan. Thanks for being here. Thanks for having me. My name is Ryan simpson. I'm a contractor for union pacific railroad. Just want to run through kind of a general overview of why we're doing this. And also I wanted to thank city staff because, as you heard we've been doing this since 2019 lot of works done, intuit appreciate everything that they've done for the us and working with us on it. Um we originally built this project in about 2014. We build a connection track connecting our north south mainline the brooklyn sub to our east west mainline the Portland's sub and when we did that, we took up a little bit of pacific coast fruits

parking lot, and so were vacating the street to give it back to them, essentially. I will agree with all the conditions and as you heard, many of them have already been complied with. So um, I was here to answer any questions, too. But those are kind of the general overview that I had. Thank you for your time. Thank you for your work on this. Um and was completes testimony. That was it, ok, any further conversation or discussion? Commissioner Mapps I just want to thank staff and union pacific railroad for the work on this. This is a humble project, but it wasn't easy to get done. Um and it and there are many benefits to the city of Portland and the people of Portland, which are embedded in this, so I'm excited for the second reading next week. Thank you. Commissioner Mapps this is the first reading of a non emergency ordinance that moves to second reading. We'll go to the second reading item. 3 12, please. Create a local improvement district. You construct street improvements west of northwest. Any third place in the northwest, read dr local improvement district. This is the second reading. We've already heard a presentation and had opportunity for public testimony any further discussion on this item, saying none. Please call the roll. I maps. Um I want to thank andrew for his work on this. I think I see andrew tuning in from his car. I know we have kept you on hold for three quarters of a day. But this is great work. Glad to see you before word. I vote. I Rubio. Hey Wheeler. The ordinances adopted item 310. This is a first reading of a non emergency ordinance. Authorized intergovernmental agreement for the regional mobility pricing program between the state of Oregon department of transportation in the city for planning and preliminary design services. Commissioner Mapps thank you, mr mayor, colleagues. This item comes to us from pbot. This ordinance authorizes the city to enter into an intergovernmental agreement with oh dot g a allows oh dot to reimburse the city of Portland's for the time city staff spend consulting on the state of organs, regional

mobility pricing project. The regional mobility pricing project is oh dots initiative to introduce tolling on I five and I 205 now let me speak directly and plainly to Portland's orders who oppose tolling and wonder why the city of Portland's would sit at the table with oh, doc, um, do discuss bringing total into Portland's. Here's why it's important for Portland's to enter into this I g a as the state of Oregon contemplates adding totaling to the highways that run through Portland. The city has two choices. We can either be at the table, um and where we can advocate for Portland's interest, or we can be on the plate as oh, dot decides what's best for Portland's colleagues. When it comes to tolling. I recommend that this council choose the path that allows Portland's to shape its own future. This I g a before us today does that this I g a not only gets Portland a seat at the table, we're tolling will be discussed. This idea also reimburses the city for our time at this table. Now here today to tell us more about this. I g a. We have caitlin ref major projects and partnerships manager with pbot art pierce, director of planning policy and projects with pbot and mandy putney, director of strategic in history, deuce and urban mobility office with the Oregon department of transportation. Welcome and thank you for joining us today. Great thank you. Mayor and commissioner art pierce so quickly walk you through the show today. Um um as commissioner Mapps just laid out there is a discussion underway at the state level and at the regional level, where is looking at planning tolling program for I five and 205. Um and the project has potential to have a huge positive or negative impact to the Portland region. Um and that's at a significant stage right now of going through the nipah. The national environmental policy act stage for the project, um and the city needs to be directly engaged in this significant technical work and policy work to make sure that the city's interests are being best met in the process. And so this specific ordinance is exactly that it brings us directs us to be part of an I g a to have staffing of funding

supported for the project. Um just a little bit of quick context, as it has stated the regional mobility pricing program or are mpp for short, the purpose of that program is to use congestion pricing on interstate five and interstate 205 to manage traffic congestion on these facilities in the Portland metro area in a manner that generates revenue for the transportation system improvements. It's also to understand that congestion pricing as a specific tool tries to charge additional fee when there is the most demand on the transportation system, and if it's paired with multimodal investments, it gives the opportunity for people to change the time of day the means of travel or the routing of travel to better balance out the demand on the system. Um I think very important to acknowledge that currently, Portland is not on track to meet most of our safety, mobility, equity and climate goals are current transportation system is an equitable and contributes to climate crisis. Exact estimates poor health and currently present safety risks for users in traffic crashes. To better meet our transportation system goals. We need to do things differently. And congestion pricing is a new tool that might be able to further these various agendas. Congestion pricing if, if design, if designed, currently is a tool that has been proven ineffective in helping to create a more sustainable and safe and equitable transportation system has the potential to improve mobility on highways and also support multimodal options for shorter local trips that don't need to use the highways. It can be structured in a way to not burden. People experiencing low incomes. However this project is not designed well, it could simply lead to more traffic congestion and safety problems on our local streets as people choose not to take the more expensive highways that do nothing option is not acceptable to meeting our city goals. Um all of this is why Portland has been actively engaged in this process at this point now for over five years in 2017, dot convened, a value pricing advisory committee and commissioner saltzman assigned to staff person to

participate in that process. Um the result of that work, the city of Portland sent a letter to the organ transportation commission and in 2018. Um laying out our values around congestion. Pricing um, and in 2020 2020 21 the City Council directed. I still need a task force together with bps called pricing options for equitable mobility, which helped us to look at ways that congestion, pricing and other forms of pricing might be able to advance mobility, climate and equity goals. Um and so at this point now we're active participant. In that process. City leadership has been ongoing. Commissioner Mapps has been a representative on a group called the arctic, the regional toll advisory committee. Um and so we continue to be actively participating and really hope that this council action will help us further lean into that, and of course, there are sort of key implications with both the I five rose quarter project as well as the interstate bridge replacement program. Both of which which kind of played some level of tolling or congestion pricing in that program. Now pass it over to caitlin to take it from here. Thank you. Art again, caitlin, ref pbot. Um so yeah, as I was mentioning all of the work over the past five plus years has help set the stage for where we are now and conceptualize the project, the regional mobility pricing project and also kind of the moment that we are at today. Aurora is advancing the project from more of that policy conversation into technical evaluation and implementation. And so this agreement facilitates that staff level participation. As staff engaged. We're going to continue to advocate for city priorities that reflect adopted policy and as have been established to date as we learn more through the analysis and modeling that's advanced by the audit team. Our team will continue to learn more how these priorities can or will be met or what needs more work to be fully addressed. This led provides a summary of our priorities. Um just kind of quickly reducing and not shifting vehicle miles driven is really essential to achieve the environmental benefits, including greenhouse gas

and particulate emission reduction. How total revenue is allocated and invested is going to have a huge impact on the project's ability to meet its stated goals.

Improved transit must be matched with pricing to most effectively manage demand and provide affordable options for users. To be able to maintain or improve safety on the surrounding local network we're going to we need to have a much greater understanding of potential diversion and how revenue will be dedicated to address it. We have also heard as long standing priorities were especially the leaders that given the level of potential effect, local and regional stakeholders should have a direct role until revenue decisions. As an end that creating a more equitable system needs to be needs to drive till structure development. Ensuring that the project include discounts or exemptions. Exemptions for people experiencing low income is essential. So these priorities are really important. We're going to continue to return to them sort of as a north star and work as we, um work to ensure that the interests of the city are represented. And terms of scope and scheduled the idea as commissioner Mapps mentioned. We'll reimburse city staff for services. These services include technical review, input and participation in environmental planning and engineering for the nipple and preliminary engineering phase. This work will seek to better understand the methodologies and project approach project impact. Provide input to go dot to influence project direction in design and partner with oh dot on developing better solutions. We know will need to be flexible and bring in expertise is needed. This will be coordinated through pbot is the lead city bureau, but we have accounted for staff engagement allowances with through pps bes parks, bts water and Portland fire and rescue. Also as typical with major regional projects spanning multiple years, will need to return to City Council for iga amendments or to authorize engagement on subsequent phases of work and provide project updates. We also wanted to share the project, governance or

decision making structure and adults approach for stakeholder, engagement and input. So in addition to the regional tables, um where the policy level conversation continues. Through this egg, a city staff will be fully engaged in the technical work groups participating agency and supporting commissioner Mapps on the regional toll advisory committee. We'll also continue to participate in the equity and mobility advisory committee. So now I'm going to hand it over to mandy. Right thanks, caitlin. And for the record, I'm mandy putney with urban mobility office. I serve as the director of strategic initiatives and as the project director for the regional mobility pricing project as it goes through the national environmental policy act process. Um it's been a pleasure to work with people staff. I've been involved in these conversations for the past five years in one capacity or another. Um, and I think our partnership continues to grow and evolve. Um and we really can't do it alone. So having this partnership is really essential as we move forward. I want to just highlight on this side. A couple of the boxes that caitlin didn't mention. One is that the Oregon transportation commission is the telling authority for the state of Oregon, and in that capacity will be responsible for the final review and establishment of the rules associated with the toll program. As well as the rate the rates that are ultimately set for the regional ability pricing project, and that will happen about six months before tolling is implemented. Um and then I also will note the federal highway administration is our lead federal partner. We do need a federal highway administration to make a decision on the environmental process and environmental analysis before moving forward with implementation. Um and for this project that we're proposing on I 592 oh, five. There'll be a second step of coordination with the u s, d o t and federal highway administration to do a cooperative agreement. Um with oh dot and the federal government so that we can participate in one of their their pilot programs that value pricing pilot program and

that's how we will move forward with pricing on I five and I 205 and then i'll note that we're engaged with government to government consultation working with a and engaging tribal governments as we move forward. This map here shows oh dot urban mobility strategy. And as you see, the dark navy here is kind of the backbone of that strategy, the regional mobile ability pricing project. Um the urban mobility strategy was was developed to address the needs that were outlined in house bill 2017, which passed during that 2017 legislative session and also to support the equity climate change congestion, relief and safety goals and oh dot strategic action plan. Um and going along with this strategy, the office of urban mobility was formed in 2019. This new office for oh, dot leads the room mobility strategy to resolve congestion with with tolling and allow us to modernize our aging infrastructure and transportation system. The regional ability pricing project is a key part of this strategy as a cohesive approach to reduce congestion, improve mobility. And provide a sustainable revenue source that will allow us to update roads and bridges and invest in multimodal options are other core projects are also identified here. Um and we also have identified regional regionally significant partner efforts that are currently underway. We like to include this slide, knowing that there are many in this region that aren't that familiar with totaling we will move forward, taking forward advantage of modern technology and have a fully electronic system so that drivers will not have to stop at a toll booth or to put money in a bucket. Um we all have overhead structures called gantries that you see here in this picture and blue, um and they will be able to read a tolling sticker or tag on your windshield or car. Um so that you're able to move forward with an electronic system for toll collection and invoicing. Um we are looking at pricing all lanes of I five and I 205 and this system would allow us to do that, with the backup approach would be a vehicle video systems that would be able to capture license

plates and do billing that way and our statewide uh, we have a statewide told rulemaking advisory committee that has been established and is currently meeting and part of their discussion is all these rules related to enforcement and programs set up. Um both caitlin and art mentioned some of the aspects of the process that we have done in our moving forward. Um without formally launched the national environmental policy act process after, uh, about a two year extended planning, conversation and process and we launched we launched nipah at the end of last year. Um what by asking people, the public and our partner agencies to comment on the range or scope of community and environmental issues that we would study in our environmental analysis. As we move forward, we received around 4500 comments and have just published a report to share the common themes heard during those that comment period. And those comments will help us determine our analysis and focus areas for the environmental assessment that will be produced. Um and this is the process that will seek engagement from city staff as we're developing the methods for the different resource areas, including transportation. Um and then once we do that, we will move forward with the environmental analysis, the traffic analysis and understanding of benefits and impacts. And when we do identify impacts, where required to think about solutions for those impacts, it's called mitigation as we're going through this environmental process we expect that our draft environmental assessment will be published for public review later this year. Um and then there'll be a formal comment period following that document, and then we'll revise it and work with the federal highway administration on their decision after we have the revised and final document. The other thing I'd like to note on here is not part of the process, but it is a complementary effort that's underway dot has worked with partner agencies and public transportation agencies throughout the region. Um and those agencies are

currently working to develop a public transportation strategy that will best complement regional pricing. So that work is underway and that is one of the topics that the regional tolling advisory committee will be hearing about it. They're meeting next week, and ultimately all those agencies will be seeking concurrence from from our attack, moving forward. Uh, I believe that's the end of the formal presentation. Very good questions. I have a couple. So first of all, um making sure to commissioner Mapps was really good analogy were either at the table or over the plate on the table. I thought that was both funny and true, so it's a good intersection right there. I think it's really important that we have city staff at the table advocating for our needs at every turn on such a large project. I think that's vitally important. So I appreciated that is being pretty much the first sentences that you spoke. I do have a question about I'm a little fuzzy on the process for determining how tall revenue will be spent. And it was my understanding. And maybe I've got this wrong and so feel free to disavow me of my inaccurate information, but I think it's to acknowledge the reality that congestion pricing will push some amount of traffic on the city roads. Therefore the city should have resources available to mitigate or ah support the infrastructure that could potentially be impacted is that accurate? China's answer the process for determine like do we get to decide how funds are spent? You know that that is a key part of our participation to date has been in the in fact, previous City Council. Um letter articulated the importance of that funding strategy that is not just investing in the mainline freeway but is investing in a variety of systems. Transit investments as well as local service streets adjacent to the system. It is not resolved at this point that is a key part of our involvement, as well as the commissioner's involvement in that conversation that ultimately rolls up to the Oregon transportation commission. I don't know. Very good. And um so to what do degree then do we believe we will I

believe it will take some heat for this. So the question is to what degree then do we control the benefits of this decision? I think that is the key near term value proposition that we need to arrive at, in fact that public transportation work that we're doing right now where we're looking at the potential for transit, investment and other investments on local quarters. Is a key part of that moment is being able to get to the level of a transaction that we feel like it's. It's worth it to accept some additional cost on the freeways in order to get one more operating system or functional system, but also gaining investment in a certain set of infrastructure that we don't currently have a funding stream to cover. Okay, very good. And, um how will rate speak calculated? Has that been determined yet? Pass that one to you. Is there a maximum amount someone would need to pay what we have. What we know at this point is that we were looking at congestion pricing where there would be a set variable rate schedule, so we would be looking at schedule that drivers would know what they were going to pay when they left. Um and it would be based on time of day and location. So this would not be a dynamic system where prices could change. You know, as you were driving, um, we don't yet know the rates. So our analysis as we move forward, we'll look at some assumptions, so we're able to do the traffic modeling. Ultimately the commission will set the rates as they have much more detailed financial and traffic analysis available over time. Thank you, um. Do we know how the low income toll program well look like in terms of the ability to reduce or eliminate rates paid by people with lower incomes, because I'm sure that's going to be an obvious friction point is concerns that this could be regressive. And I know you're mindful of that. And trying to work out a strategy is. Is that been settled yet? Or is that still in her discussion? I think it's still under discussion enough, mandy, if you yeah, sure. Briefly thank you, mayor. It's a great question and one that we often get. We've been working with our equity and

mobility advisory committee for more than two years to lay the foundation to a low income toll program. Um and the statewide rulemaking advisory committee will be discussing working with with imac this summer options for the low income toll program and discounts. Exemptions and credits are all under consideration at this point, um and so that we will have more information about that this year. Okay? Very good. I appreciate it. That completes my questions. I don't know if anybody else has any. Um if. My colleagues have no more questions. I want to say a couple of words first. Mr mayor, I want to thank you for the question that you posed today. Um I really appreciate you looking out for the interests of Portland's orders. Um and following up on that theme in the spirit of advocating on behalf of Portland's orders in the tolling space, I'd like to pause here and introduced an amendment to this. I g a that clarifies the city's position with regards to the tolling discussion with the state of Oregon. I'd like to add a directive with the following language, quote concurrent to city engagement on environmental planning and preliminary engineering. City bureaus shall continue to advocate for toll revenue to be allocated to support congestion and vm t reduction and provide robust safe travel options. In the absence of revenue information. Council directs ongoing collaboration with regional partners to reach commitment from the organ transportation commission on a toll revenue allocation formula to support local system and multi modal investments. Um may I get a second on that, and then I can explain what I well here, let me say what I'm trying to do here I am under suspense is killing me. Yeah. There you go. Um our colleagues. Um I added the amendment here to underscore that healthy and robust dialogue with the state around um how frankly tolling revenues are spent, and perhaps allocated in Portland's are important. Uh we are more than happy to partner with our friends at the state to reinvent the transportation system for the 21st century. However it is incumbent upon the folks

on this council to make sure that we, uh, we look for and protect and advocate for the interests of the people of Portland's, and it is our expectation and one of the messages that we're sending with this amendment that we expect to collaborative discussion with the state, and I'm sure we will have a collaborative discussion with the state. Great I'm happy to support that. So commissioner Mapps moves an amendment I second and any further discussion on the amendment believe it open for public testimony, okay? Do we have public testimony? We don't have public customers on the amendment. Ryan hi. Maps. Rubio Wheeler. Hi great. This is a good presentation. This is the first reading of course, commissioner Ryan. Great presentation. Somebody real quick. So last Saturday, I had the pleasure of going over the narrows bridge. The famous narrows bridge where on the way back. There was a five \$5.50 tall and it was old school. So you went to a booth. And I was thinking about this because I looked at what this is coming on the agenda and I realized artist different, but I thought police there's accountability here like I have to deal with this and I couldn't so I was thinking because we have so many people that don't have we seem to have an inordinate amount of people that don't have licenses that are current. And so is this going to be yet another thing where people will complain that those who are already paying the registration fee will be the ones that will be paying this and then those that aren't can get by with it. I'm just asking something that I'm sure at a dinner party. Someone will tell me about honestly, it's a new question for me. I don't know if it's a new question, but I think it's a good question. And it does, I think emphasized the importance of us emphasizing on our local system, the registration process for sure, but I have not. I have not heard this question before. I just think how we collect the money and the systems that we put in place. Have to be very thoughtful for sure, because right now it's hard to trust that that would happen in a way that would please some people. Have you had a

dialogue about this? Topics are on the docket for the statewide told rulemaking advisory committee to think about enforcement and participation and, um, administration of the system so we don't have answers yet. But there are folks hard at work to think through all the different variations and then also how to have a system that's as successful and as accessible as possible for people to participate in and sign up for and then back to the proportionality of who is using it. I think that would be quite mysterious. And so I appreciate the spirit of your amendment because I do think that that would be another challenging, um formula figure out so I'm glad that we put that in for the spirit of that dialogue. Thank you for the presentations. Good. Thank you. Any other questions? Great there's no testimony. This is the first reading of a non emergency ordinance that moves to second reading is amended. Thank you. Alright to the afternoon agenda. I'm not scared. Alright let's make sure I got this right. First up is item 313. Except Portland's housing bond oversight committee. 2022 progress report commissioner Rubio thank you, mayor. So today, members of the city's bond oversight committee will present the 2022 progress report for Portland's housing plant. We'll hear from some of the Portland's how Portland housing bureaus project partners whose work exemplifies the goals and achievements of the of the Portland housing fund. We'll also hear from a resident of a building that was funded with the bond. Before we get going. I'd like to thank the committee members for the time effort and expertise that they each bring to the oversight of this important work. Your report ensures the city's investing bond funds wisely and expeditiously in the way Portland's voters intended. Colleagues I want to give you a quick preview about what you're here today because I think it's important to hear it multiple times. And I know that the focus on the blond committee and the php staff aren't always ones to toot their own horns in 2016. We asked Portland voters to invest 258 million of their property

taxes into the city's first ever affordable housing bond. It was the largest general obligation bonds ever passed by Portland voters and I'm happy to report that our housing bonds has been a resounding success. It has reached its goal to create new, affordable homes, and in fact, it has exceeded its goals. Not by 5% or even 10% we promised voters 1300 units of affordable housing, and we're on track to create 1800 homes over 40% more than we promised. And we did so in the midst of a pandemic and a growing housing and homelessness crisis, so not all of the buildings are open. Yet there's still a lot of work to do to support our nonprofit and community partners that are building this housing as they move through the rest of the financing, permitting and construction process. But as of this year, 6.5 years after the ballot measure was passed all of these funds have now been allocated. And the 2022 progress report, which will hear about more in a moment shows that ph b has truly under promise and over delivered on creating affordable housing with these public funds, so with that, I want to say thank you to each one of you for your incredible work and your leadership in this area. Um, we owe you so much. And with that, i'll introduce the interim director of Portland housing bureau molly rodgers. Thank you, commissioner. For the record, I'm molly rodgers, interim director of the Portland housing bureau. I go by she her pronouns. I'm here joined by meghan grill, who is the new bond coordinator as well as our two co chairs of the bond oversight committee here with us as alan lazo, who was just here with us in April fair housing month as well as hard struble. I believe todd is on virtual with us. Thank you, todd. It go into the power, the power point I did want to take a moment to also acknowledge the mayor who helped shepherd initial launch of the of the original framework and doing the community engagement work that we did that really guided all the various ways we engage with the community to make these projects delivered. And of course, commissioner Ryan who is our

housing commissioner. Not that long ago that shepherd a number of these projects to fruition. So that Megan thank you. I didn't do that. You did that. So thank you. Housing bureau did that. I was glad to be helpful. Thank you. Good afternoon, commissioners and Mayor Wheeler. Thank you for having us today. My name is Megan Grillo. She her pronouns? I am the bond housing program coordinator at the housing bureau and I'm joined here today by two members of our bond oversight committee. Allen and Todd. Um and they are here to present the 2022 progress report. The bond oversight committee is composed of up to five community members appointed by City Council are current members include our chair, Todd Struble. Vice chair, Alan Lazo and at our at large members, Susan Emmons analyst Color and Stephen Green with quarterly meetings facilitated by Dr. Stephen Holt. This committee members oversee implementation of Portland's housing bond. The primary areas of their oversight include ensuring adherence to the goals and priorities of the bond policy framework. Creating community through public engagement and financial accountability. And now I'm pleased to introduce our vice chair Alan to begin presenting the report. Thank you so much. Megan Mayor Commissioners. Good afternoon. For the record. I'm home. Lausa on the executive director of the fair housing council of Oregon. I'm also the vice chair of the Portland housing bureaus affordable housing bond oversight committee. The bond oversight committee today is honored to bring forward to you, the 2022 annual report to council that really recognizes as commissioner, Rubio said. The achievements of bones, affordable housing, von measure and highlight the commitment that we've made two remaining bond funds and project openings in the next three years. As outlined in this report to council or committee reviewed progress in three main areas of oversight. The first was monitoring how bond activities investments adhere to the goals of that bond policy framework that in one

director rogers talked about. And I do remember those, uh, meetings back at pcc and going through that framework process, so it's been a quite a journey. Accordingly. Meetings we review progress being made on the projects that have been awarded bond funds and the number of units that have been completed or in progress, and to the extent that we're on track to reach the goals of the bond. Keeping close watch over our progress. We've been able to strategize how to invest the remaining funds within the 5 to 7 year implementation timeframe that was approved by voters in the vine. The graph that you'll see here coming up. I think we can go to outlines the progress that we've made in the bond that commission Rubio highlighted as of 2022, all Portland housing bond resources have been committed to 15 different projects. Representing the production of 1859 units, 100 and 43% of the original goal. We've also been able to provide those 1859 units also translate to housing nearly 3600 of our family members, neighbors and community members. Of those almost 2000 have already moved into the units that have been produced. 399 of those are permanent, supportive housing units again. 133% of the original goal 774 deeply affordable units 129% of our original goal and 835 our family sized units 129% of our original goal. In the next slide include, in addition that closely following progress on production goals. The committee has also been reviewing where new units will be created again. It's just like we talked about the work we do in fair housing, it's to ensure their meeting the intent of increasing access to opportunity. And that we're highlighting community partnerships and preventing displacement in our community as outlined in that original framework, as described in the current progress, report 83% of those 1859 units constructed or planned to be constructed are located in high opportunity areas in our community. These new homes are located in areas with access to transportation, jobs, open spaces. High quality schools, services and amenities. 39% of the 1500 nearly 1500 units that are

purchased constructed her plan. Are located in areas at high risk for gentrification. 93% of the projects include permanently supportive housing units and the next slide. We'll talk a little bit about the goals around equity and contracting and the targets that were set for Philadelphia's bond projects. The goals were set at reaching 30% of construction costs for utilization of certified disadvantaged minority women emerging small business service, disabled veteran contractors. Exceeding the city's goal of the usual 20% Philadelphia also established a new target of 20% disadvantaged minority women utilization of professional or soft services, such as architects, surveyors and engineers. The bond oversight committee is pleased to report that all of the projects in construction are on track to meet and several instances exceed the housing bureau's targets for both hard and soft costs on these projects at the end of 2020 to the 10 bond projects that completed or were under construction achieved, 32% certified firm participation for hard cost collectively. Including 24% participation by certified disadvantaged minority women professional engineering firms exceeding Philadelphia's 14% sub goal category. Can you just read saw? Are these the outcomes are these the goals? I wasn't clear the goal was 30% and the outcome was 30 to 32 correct and then several cost. The goal was 20. And what was the outcome? Outcome was 24% and end apprentice and workforce diversity. Workforce sourcing is coming up in the next section. Oh, god. Okay. Thank you. For example, one of the projects last ecological loss at elitist project was actually in my home completed construction at the end of 2022. Some of you may have been at that recent grand opening exceeded these targets targets achieving 35 37% for hard cost. Construction contracts, including 29% for minority women business enterprise firms and 81% for professional services contracts for disadvantaged minority women service business enterprise for certified firms. So Philadelphia's housing projects are also achieving the goals of the apprentice and workforce diversity program of a minimum of 20% of labor hours worked on contracts that exceeds \$300,000 and make sure they are worked by by state

registered apprentices. Um. So the additional ph being set an aspirational goal that 22% of the total apprentice and during level hours are worked by by bipoc, individuals and 9% of apprentice and 6% of during level hours are worked by women. So those are the targets the outcome for the labor hours worked on contracts by uh, steve, registered apprentices was at 22% in in 2022. The outcome for the apprentice and during level hours worked by bipoc individuals was 39% for apprentice and 34% for journey hours and for the outcomes on apprentice and during level hours worked by women 15% of the apprentice hours were worked by women on the projects. So exceeding all of those goals. Finally in the next slide, progress has been made to increase access for the priority communities that were identified in that in that framework that it and through alignment of services with lots of community partners and those in the homeless and houses, the systems of care that we have here in our community. Two thirds of the bond funded projects have partnered with culturally specific agencies, such as the native American rehabilitation association. Native American youth and family center, familias and action and the immigrant refugee community organization that helped provide supportive services and referrals for the projects. Additionally are supportive housing developers have partnered with clinical mental health and addiction service partners here in our community, including folks like cascadian behavioral, healthcare, central city concern life works northwest and new narrative. Some of the new partnerships we've seen in this current annual report include vibe of Portland at the cathedral village, the boys and girls club of poland at crescent court cascade age projects at the joyce and central cultural at all their nine and finally, in the next slide, one of the important elements of the work that we've been doing is around transparency and collaboration with community partners as one of our guiding principles. The oversight committee ensures that housing stakeholders and

members of important communities are kept informed and have opportunities to provide us feedback is an example of course, but there bond oversight committees or public meetings open to the public taking, uh, public testimony. We've also had regular updates from the project teams and presentations from those partners that have been involved in projects which has been really, really enlightening to see the work that they're doing the committee we work closely with this really fantastic staff, ph b and the project development teams to communicate progress on the projects through reports, newsletters and meetings. Also engaged community to inform the implementation and development of the bond projects as they continue to move forward. So in the through alignment with our homeless service providers here in the community and culturally specific agencies and other community organizations, we've worked to create housing for communities that we know have been disproportionately impacted by our housing crises. Those communities of color families, including families with children, immigrants and refugees in our community and energy, generational households. Also households experiencing houses, snus or at imminent risk of house listening and households that are facing or imminent facing displacement for their communities. So with that, i'll pass it to my colleague, r chair todd struble. Good afternoon. Next slide, please. Thank you, ma'am. Commissioners my name is todd struble. You see him pronouns and I'm pleased to serve as the chair of the bond oversight committee. Me too. We have seven projects that are providing affordable housing to Portland ear's. This data represents the ellington and east burnside permits. Data is collected at least annually to track how well bond projects they're serving the prairie outlined in the framework. Opened in 2022 will report this investigating this year and will be available for the 2023 report when we do this and next year to do this. Um. It is alleged, at least anyway to track how well bond products are from the communities

outlined in the framework with respect to race and ethnicity in 2023. Over update its affirmative fair housing market plan to increase housing and bonds target communities. You will then have a clear idea of who is living in the open Portland bond projects. The focus of our report is maintaining fiscal accountability, reviewing expenditures and ensuring financial accountability is the core responsibility of the bond over sector noon \$1. Clearly and separately tracked. As of December. 31st 20, 2200 and 56.8 million of bond funds were expended on project acquisitions in projects under construction. That's the way 103.8 million bond funds remain committed for the products awarded 3 2022. Three as distribution continue for projects under construction. Remaining funds at year end is \$457.3000. Which will be allocated to fill funding gaps at awarded projects. Auditor rede m rose associates completed the financial audit of the housing pond for July. 1st 2020 through June 30th 2021 fiscal year, it over 2022 with findings. And if you additional notes. Nonsense for future projects are fully allocated and remaining interests in future interest credit will be used for funding gaps. Includes 7% delivery feed program delivery feed, which is words, um, housing bureaus administration costs. Continue to grow as the issued but unused bond funds earn additional interest. Yes. Projects opened in 2022, providing 538 affordable units throughout. And housing more than 1200, Portland ear's crescent court in April. The units and how you told some in July 110 units that cathedral village in August 141 units at and. Starlight formerly known as the best one in November. A few more. We had 93 minutes at the aurora 66 units at the joyce 128 units and a man house and four units at the emmons place. That is looking forward. Um to 2024 2025 will be 206 units at 3000 southeast powell. 159 units, alder 9 61 units and francis and clare. Units at barber apartments opening in 25. That's all I have to report social transition it back to megan. Thanks, tom. Great. Thank you, todd. We would like to conclude

our report today with remarks from some of our partners whose expertise, partnership and projects highlight the goals and achievements of Portland's housing bond. First, I would like to welcome paul lumley, executive director of native American youth and family services, and I believe paul is joining us virtually. Hey, pop, everybody, can you hear me up? Good afternoon mayor Wheeler and conditioners. My name is paul lumley and the chief executive officer of the native Americans and family center and a citizen of the accommodation. Thank you for the absolute share information about nia's tuusula village redevelopment project. This is a critical and transformational project for name and the community we serve. The village was originally built in the 19 seventies by a native housing provider called low income housing for native Americans in Portland, Oregon, in 2008, the city and the organization needed to transfer the asset to another entity. They wanted to keep tussle village with the need of lead organization near stepped up and took ownership over to civil village. In 2019 neoforma six person team to participate in a permanent supportive housing technical assistance institute organized by oh hts. We developed. We delve deeply into the question of how to stabilize to silla village. His team is primarily bipoc and women who had decades of combined and direct experience serving needs and bipoc community members. It has been gradually intentionally building our capacity for a decade now are three recent cully projects have 100 and 65 units of affordable housing. We now have enough relevant experience so we can step into our first welcome housing tax credit project as a lead developer, which is that the village we knew that relocating the 34 households that we're living in a village would be the biggest challenge. The successful relocation of residents was our number one priority. We started communicating with presidents about three development project approximately a year and a half before anyone had to move. Additionally additional contractors

were hired to provide every household individualized attention to ensure residents needs for addressed through the stressful and challenging process. We raised additional resources to provide substantially more financial relocation benefits to residents, and that was required of us. We brought staff from various programs to support residents even designed a financial wellness program specifically for just a little village residents to stabilize and strengthen their financial position. Let's lead to having 22 tesla village households register for individual development accounts match savings program that helps people achieve their financial education. Social and housing goals. Was 22 households are now in the process of receiving up to 100 and \$98,000 and match savings funds to households became the first time became the first time. Buyers by using our cash relocation payment, combined with additional home buying assistance provided by neo several other families are actively pursuing homeownership as well. Located in a large site off lombard in north Portland. Sports not neighborhood village redevelopment will provide 58 units of integrated permanent supportive housing with varied mix of studios, 12 and three bedroom apartments. 16 of the units are reserved for homeless families. Almost half of the units are project based subsidy and units will average the units on average will serve households at 40% area, beating income or lower. Amenities and features include beautiful outdoor space with native plantings and ample player for children. We lost the design, generous community and private spaces for cultural specific, supportive services provided by the native American rehabilitation association. Carleton hart architecture to integrate trauma informed design throughout the site. We prioritized resin, accessibility and comfort, including two elevators, efficiency, air conditioning in units in common areas and the way out to support safety and security cause construction. Our general contractor helped lead us to a cost effective and energy efficient development. The ship. The city should be

very proud that tesla villages being developed by native led organization and being built by a black owned general contractor. This is a model for future development. The team stepped into numerous project challenges and obstacles with bravery and trust in each other. We never compromised on decisions that would later impact future residents and instead work harder to raise additional competitive resources. We held firm on utilizing culturally relevant materials and insurance. Supportive housing elements were incorporated in design and construction. We maintained robust funding for cultural specific resident services when chipping away at funding services fundings with assault, a budget cap. We have many funny partnership think the \$36 billion project was funded by metro housing bonds report housing bureau. Thank you as far as other public funders, including ohh, cs supporting clean energy fund on forward metro through its transit oriented development program and the federal home loan bank. We could not have completed the project without our wonderful philanthropic partners whose initial support and believe in us was critical to being awarded 9% tax credits and other private resources. Look forward to completing construction in the summer of next year and having a fully leased at building by December, 2024. We appreciate that I can see that the city continues to support bipoc developers. Critically important, however, is that the city prioritizes and elevates bipoc developers. The reason is because we are closely connected and more responsive to the community and residents. We need the city to create opportunities to access land and prioritize funding for bipoc developers to continue the practice. Thank you so much for supporting this important project for nate and the native community. Thank you. Thank you. Great. Thank you, paul. Next up. I would like to introduce sally erickson, social social services manager at catholic charities. Thank you, megan. Good afternoon. Everyone as making said, I'm sally erickson with catholic charities of

Oregon. I used to hear her pronouns and I'm very happy to be here today to highlight the cathedral village apartments. 110 unit affordable family property in the st. Johns neighborhood. Cathedral village includes eight units of permanent supportive housing for families who are referred through the county's coordinated access system. The property was developed by related northwest in partnership with catholic charities, and we are the on site service provider. The property is in an ideal location. It's across the street from cathedral park in view of the st. Johns bridge, and it's close to public transportation and services. 74 of the units are affordable to households at 30% am I and the remaining 36 units are at 60. Elisa process. We reached out to community organizations, including culturally specific nonprofits. Local schools in image immigrant refugee households for referrals. Uh huh. And the property has been fully leased for a few months. Cathedral is providing quality, affordable housing for a diverse array of families who had previously struggled to find housing they could afford. 70% of the residents are from communities of color. At least two dozen residents transitioned from homelessness, including 14 from chronic homelessness. 40 of the residents in the building have disabling conditions, including mental health and addictions. Issues um, I just wanted to highlight a few lessons learned from this project. One is around furnishings. Um in addition to our eight psh families, more than a dozen households transitioned from homelessness, and they moved in with nothing. No furnishings. Or household goods. Um for those in the psh units. We were fortunate to have client assistance funds, and we could make appointments with community warehouse, although their services are in high demand. We sometimes had to wait weeks for to schedule an appointment for the other families where we didn't have budgeted client assistance funds are on site staff scrambled to get donated furnishings for those families. The other thing I just wanted to highlight is services

needs. Um at the beginning, we intended to have supportive services, a social worker to support the eight psh families, but we found that many of the families who are moving into the 30% units. As I said, we're transitioning from homelessness and had struggled for a long time. Um and asked for additional social work helps so catholic charities was able to deploy an additional family case manager to support some of those families. That would have been particularly helpful in the first year in this first year, uh, to have enhanced residents services so that residents had someone to go to after hours for help with things like unauthorized guests or medical emergencies or security concerns or urgent maintenance issues. I'll stop there in the interest of time, and I'm happy to take any questions and thank you very much for all of your work and making this fantastic project happen. Great thank you, sally. And last step we have Ryan hood, a senior project manager, and steph condor, senior vice president of development, both from related northwest. Thank you. Good afternoon, commissioners and mayor ted Wheeler. Thank you so much for having us. I'm Ryan hood. I'm a senior project manager with related northwest and I'm happy to share an update on our crescent court project. Crescent court completed just a year ago. Today I remember seeing a few of you actually at the grand opening, incredibly proud about the project 138 units of family housing with seven permanent supportive housing units integrated as well, at least that very smoothly in six months, and it's stabilized in the next three, and we actually just had a great milestone on Friday with a conversion to a permanent financing. The project is a co development and partnership with central city concern who is also providing on site comprehensive services, but also providing case management to the seven integrated permanent supportive housing units on site as well, which has been very successful. Um the project integrates the boys and girls club for their 1st 1st time co. Locating their club on affordable

housing. Um and it's been a tremendous success as well, providing critically needed care for school age children and families ultimately kind of being used as a tool to bridge that gap between when folks are working. And when that school day ends, which is many of us know as kind of a challenge. The club itself has about 15 to 20 enrollees right now, and we anticipate that growing now the project is stabilized. And we're really looking forward to a very safe and smoothly operating project for many, many years to come. I'm gonna hand it up to Steph, who's going to discuss some broader challenges and trends and affordable housing. Hi there. Steph condor related northwest. I use she her pronouns. Thank you for having us here today were really appreciative of being able to, uh, you know, partner with courtland housing bureau. I want to give them a shout out just first and foremost of, you know, we work across the state doing working a lot of jurisdictions and I point to Portland housing bureau quite often to say that's the gold standard. You know the expedited program with permitting. Is super helpful. We don't see that in other jurisdictions predate development loans that Portland housing bureau provides. We don't see that in other jurisdictions. Things like this is what creates a signal to developers that you are looking for affordable housing that you are trying to do everything possible with your policy to bring an environment that is conducive to delivering expeditious and quality, affordable housing. So I want to say I point to your documents a lot. I point to your programs a lot. Please keep these things in place. They are making a difference. It makes it a lot easier. And when we look at opportunities, we look at things like that. Where can we get it? Done? Where can we get it? Done quickly where you know how? What's what's a friendly environment to work in? And I think Portland does exude that. So thank you. Um I do want to share with you, though quickly in the macro environment what we have been faced with over the last year to two years. Um you know, we're

all very aware of rising interest rates over the last year. We've seen nine increases this construction interest alone has tripled. So where we have had maybe a million dollar interest for construction cost right for construction loan is now \$3 million. I just want to give context of how big of a gap that's creating and deals. Cost escalations where we have seen 1 to 2% a month over a year. That could be well over 20% we are seeing a little bit of softening, but not a lot, so it's still a very prevalent issue. When you put just those two things together that can create a very significant gap and hurdle to delivering. All of this housing that you've been able to do through the Portland blonde bond. Also we're seeing a lot of supply chain issues. Electrical gears having lead times in some areas up to 36 months. Construction periods are 24 months, maybe 18 months. So there are some bill you know buildings that will not be able to deliver because they can't get to permanent power. Um we've been working with pg we sent a consolidated letter as a consortium of developers identifying this as an issue and they have been helpful, but they haven't adopted where affordable housing is a prioritized project and we would love to see that just saying so. These aren't items that I've identified that I think that you can directly control but I want to highlight this because often as developers were scrutinized for cost. Controls and things of that nature and I just, you know, need folks to understand that the funding thresholds of the past are not applicable to right now what we're seeing in the market. I think things that you can control that would be helpful is the predictability and time sensitivity for funding. This is really critical. Big. Piece of the risk that we have is delivery right? And if we can't identify literally when funding is going to come in it really changes the risk profile and what we pursue and what we don't pursue, so I think, aligning strategically with the state with resources, eliminating multiple rounds to be able to get hole on a deal is going to be very critical in the next 24 months. Um also, you

know, I'm happy to see senate bill 8 47 come through, which is a law that really talks about bully and mixed use and affordable housing. That's something that we were recently hit with on a project that was a huge impact. And you know this is preventing preschools from going and coupling and co locating and affordable housing and other really deeply beneficial things that we can bring to the community. Some very happy to see that. And then lastly, um, you know, because there is a good amount of affordable housing happening right now, um, r m w e s b sub tiers are really maxed out and so I encourage you to look at some of the policies that we have locally and look at how the state are looking at, um, basically accounting for the participation. At the state level, they will take cobid certified. Washington state certified federally certified and certifiable firms locally in Portland. We only take cobid certified. And I think we're really missing out on a big opportunity because sometimes covid doesn't always meet mm. The needs of some subs. They may feel more comfortable going federal or Washington certification because of just the rigor to get certified. So I think we need to think about that. Um it will also create more capacity, so that's my comments about what we're seeing in the market. But again, thank you so much really appreciate your time. Great thank you so much. Appreciate it. Lastly I would like to introduce shanice williams, a resident at one of our projects, crescent court. Welcome. Okay good afternoon, everyone. My name is shanice williams and I am a resident crescent court. Thank you so much for having me here today. I wanted to share with you all a bit about my background and how much of a positive impact having affordable housing has had on my family and me the start of our money. Hardships began back in 2013. My fiance, adam was severely injured earlier that year in a near fatal accident that absolutely turned our world upside down, spiraling into a place we never could have imagined for ourselves. This traumatic event happened during

a time in our lives, and we're both struggling with the start of opiate addiction. Having adam fully disabled and me and no condition to hold down a job or take care of basic tasks. We ended up having to live in a tent for several months. Not wanting that to be worth historians. Adam and I desperately tried to climb out of active addiction. And we did. As of November, 2014. We've both been living drug free lives. We wanted our whole family back together in a place where children vote safe to lay their little heads down at night before moving to crescent court. We've been living in an rv for five years. They're not designed to be lived in full time. By the summer of 2022. We had mold issues. Electrical fire fires from running basic necessities and no fresh water for days at a time. It was extremely hazardous and left me feeling like there was no way out. No hope to be had. I shared all my worries with my recovery counselor, and she pointed me towards central city concern after sorting through many affordable homes. That wouldn't accept adam's companion, canine ali. I finally found one that would keep us all together. We were lucky to find an ada apartment for the hearing impaired that would suit adam and our family perfectly. The process was quick, easy. And by September 2022. We had the keys. Moving into the three bedroom apartment has truly been a dream come true. My children have never had their own rooms before or their own bathroom. They now have clean clothes to wear to school. Because we have a washer and dryer in the unit. They've been able to make so many friends and enjoy their days at the on site, boys and girls club. My youngest son's school is right next door as well as the party loves. We have the stability and safety. We have all your and for we finally have a future here. One that I didn't think was possible. I can focus on seeking employment, which will allow my children access to things they haven't been able to enjoy in the past. Crescent court. I feel statement secure because only my neighbors can unlock the building stores not having to worry about our security

are having a dry place to sleep, were able to focus on all the wonderful things that life has to offer. This has been a huge blessing for all of us, and not just for my family, but my neighbors as well. It brings me so much joy to see their smiling faces in the hallways to see children feeling safe to run and play in the courtyard playground built just for them. It was so fearful that my call for help would go unanswered. Now I know someone is listening. I will continue to tell others in my community that life can be better. Thank you to all who made our dream a reality and forgiving my family a second chance at a better life by making this housing accessible. Thank you. Thank you for being here and congratulations. Thank you. That's really cool. Thank you. I really appreciate that. Both of you went through a program and you both came out on the other side. Successful thank you so much. It's really cool. Thank you for sharing it. Absolutely thank you for having her. Yes. Thank you. Thank you. That concludes our presentation today if there are any questions housing production manager guild. Then she's still here is here to answer any questions, colleagues any questions? And do we have public testimony? Keelan no one signed up. All right. Well, if there's no questions, it was good report. Very thorough. Thank you very much appreciated, all entertained, commissioner Mapps says. Question if I may oh, i'll have some closing comments when we do the vote. I do wonder is there any talk of, um housing bond part? Two, um. Thank you commissioner Mapps. For the record, I'm georgian housing investments. Portfolio preservation manager. Uh I believe there is discussions about housing, bond. Two point. Oh um and I will probably like to defer to commissioner Rubio for that question, because she's been leading a lot of that conversation. Thank you. Um, we are having conversations about about that. Yes, um and looking at other things as well. Opportunities at the state. Great well. Good I think we have a housing work session coming up at some point in the coming months. I look forward to that. Um,

I'm not an expert in the housing space. But as I watch our various programs that are out there the housing bond looks like a real success. I'm happy. I'm happy to see that. And, um it seems appropriate for Portland's think about carrying this project into the future, especially as we begin to wrap up housing bond. One point oh, thank you. Thank you. Thank you. Very good. I'll entertain a motion to accept the report. So moved. Commissioner Mapps moves. Can I get a second, please? Second commissioner Ryan seconds any further discussion on the report? Seeing none. Please call the roll. Ryan. Thank you. That was a great report. I want to just call out a few things. The family housing numbers make me so happy. I think the city was behind on that for a couple of decades. I recall on the school board every time there would be a new housing project that Portland's was part of, they would always be studios and one bedrooms. So I'm really pleased that that focus was there. I also like the workforce focus and the developer focus to listen to executive director paul lumley and speak of how the community it's now the organization is built their capacity to be a developer. And I also don't think we give this enough attention how hard the developers have to work with the nonprofit partners to leverage. So I think, what's the big story? In my opinion about the housing bond is a leveraging that occurs. Perhaps that's one reason we're exceeding goals is because we brought in other resources and that multiplier effect really took off. So I really wanted to underscore that and finally to the participant who came up at the end just for today. All right. Uh, except the report. Um I wanted to thank the housing bureau. Um commissioner bor and everyone else who helped make this project and program such a success as I mentioned earlier during my questions, um, that the housing bond looks like a really promising model to bring affordable housing here to the city of Portland's as we enter the final stage of this round. I think everyone involved deserves a big round of applause. And our thanks I look forward

to learning more about our strategies for bringing affordable housing through the Portland metropolitan area, and for these reasons and more overdue, Rubio I want to thank the great housing bureau team and the bond oversight committee. Thank you, Megan. Thank you, everyone that's here who pulled this report and presentation together? I also want to thank the other guests that are here as well and also especially appreciate the resident that spoke today at your house that your story it was completely inspiring and exactly why we're doing this work. So it's really it was. It was, um really needed to hear your story today and it really brought it home. Why we're doing this work. So thank you for being here and for sharing your story. Um a city is facing challenges that can be addressed overnight, and I know that it can be disheartening at times that you know how far we still have yet to go. But I'm hoping that the success of this bond and the telling of these stories today gives all of us and the public at home some hope and also because for making headway. This year's report highlights the significant progress and achievement of the bond and fulfilling the promise that it made to voters. Despite the challenges that we experienced this year or this last year, and this year's report also reflects the tremendous collaboration among our funding partners, um at the joint office and also home forward and all all of this while leading with racial equity to increase economic and housing opportunities for communities of color and other vulnerable populations, and this program is one of the many the steps we're taking in the city to align with our communities priorities to create more permanently affordable housing and underserved areas. Prevent displacement and create more housing solutions. That means that meet the needs of families and address homelessness. So very proud of this work today, and I'm happy to vote. I Wheeler. Well I promised myself years ago, I wouldn't use any mountain climbing analogies, but I feel inspired. Today there comes a time. When you're climbing any

mountains, or at least any mountain worth climbing, where you think you cannot go any farther? And then you do because you realize you have to just work through the challenges to get to the summit. And so you take a step and then you take another step. And then you take a step after that, and you just keep going. And. I was inspired actually, by you, commissioner Rubio. So if you don't like my mountain climbing analogy, you have nobody to blame but yourself. She's right. It's sometimes disheartening. To see how far we have to go to consider the number of units. That we have yet to achieve in this community to bring parity to our market. I think it's about 20 something 1000 units doesn't matter whether it's 20 or 24. The number is huge. But the only way we're going to address it is one step at a time and the Portland housing bond I think was a unique step for this city, and I want to acknowledge the longstanding history behind this. We don't spend a lot of time thinking, Charlie Hales, but he was instrumental in getting this ball rolling the late great commissioner Nick Fish, he was instrumental in getting the ball rolling on this, and I was very pleased to do my part of this shipping this along which you know we slowed things down. In 2017, and there was a big outcry. About slowing things down so that we could bring the community along and asked the community. How would you like these resources to be deployed in the community? Told us two things. They told us number one that they wanted family units, not just a bunch of sorrows. Not that that, uh, sorrow units aren't really critically important. They are but half were dedicated towards family units to reflect the demographics of our community and the need the other thing we heard, uh, from people around their expectations was they wanted have to be very low income units. And the housing bureau pivoted to make sure that those needs were met. And here we are all these years later, and lots of people have touched this. Lots of people have led lots of city employees have done great work. Lots of commissioners have been engaged

commissioner Ryan commissioner Rubio just just in the last couple of years. And here we are with, you know, I think people are keenly aware that I'm I'm willing to criticize local government when it deserves to be criticized. But here's one where we've really done extremely well. We have beat the goals in terms of the number of units promised. We have beat the goals in terms of the timeframe we have accepted and exceeded the community goals that were placed in addition on top of this bond, and so I concur with commissioner Mapps that if there was ever a ballot measure that went to the public where we can hold our heads high and say we made a commitment to you, and we delivered. This is it. So I just want to. I want to thank commissioner Rubio for her continued leadership. Thank all of you in the housing bureau. Thank the people in the pond oversight committee who spent a lot of time. And there's a couple of members here today, and I know summer watching the pay isn't good, but the job's really important and you've done an exceptional job in helping to shape and to hold us all accountable. Um and so I just think it's great to have this opportunity once a year. I think this is uh, yeah. I don't know how many years. It's been a year since 2016 right where we've had this, and each year the news is just gotten better. Thank you. I vote on the reports accepted. Thanks for your presentation today. Thank you. All right. Do people need to take a break before we go into our next one? Are we good? Yes what? Why don't we just take a brief break? Why don't why don't we just take a five minute recess and will come back for our last item? We're in recess. Recording. Mm hmm . Mhm. 314. Transmit report to the city on Portland's police bureau officer involved shootings and critical incidents by the i. R group. Colleagues this afternoon. We have the eighth report from the o. R group regarding ppb officer involved shootings and critical incidents. I know that this has been a long and intense day for council sessions, and I'm somewhat sorry that this shows up at the very end of the day, but it's obviously an

important subject here to introduce our presenters is ross caldwell, who is the director of the city's independent police review welcome, ross. Thank you, mr leland. Members of council and ross caldwell, I pr director. I'll keep this brief because they were a little overtime. I tr holds the contract with the I r group that produced this report. As you have seen, it requires to retain an outside expert to review complete investigations of officer involved shootings and since 2010 years has been that outside experts so said. There have been eight of these reports. This one covers eight incidents in 2018 and 2019. I think these reports are extremely valuable because they provide a sale objective review by professionals with a ton of experience of the most serious uses of force five ppb that are crucial to the police bureau and the city going forward. You interacted with people experiencing mental health crisis and the importance of implementing a body worn camera program. Many years the city has been working with and our folks have met was elected officials and their staff, community advocacy groups and leadership at bbb and many, many times, so there's a good relationship that goes back many years and you're taking public testimony today, but I wanted to mention that would also be another meeting on may Saturday, 5 30 hosted by the citizen review committee. Will be there to answer questions about this report, so people don't get a chance today they can come and get their questions answered at that meeting, so I hope everyone had a chance to review report and thank you for your time and your attention. Thank you. I'm not sure who's presenting next. Um maybe we are. I guess I'm I'm gonna go next, um I could you be all with you all again commissioners, mayor and the Portland community. Um as mr caldwell indicated, we presented this report and it was released by a p r a couple of weeks ago already received good feedback from your community from various sources about the report, and this is our opportunity to give you a high level. Um high level presentation. We're not

going to go into every recommendation. We're not going to go into every incident. We thought we would touch on some of the more striking features of the report and some of the recommendations that we put forward in in this eighth version, um, privileged to be, um, assign received this assignment over 13 years, and we certainly as a result of that extended relationship, have an opportunity to see some longitudinal change improvement and when there hasn't been as much improvement to comment on that, as well, um, I have two of my colleagues with me today, um, to help you present stephen connelly and julie ruined. Um they had going to talk about just a couple of the recommendations and features of the report. And then I they will circle back to me for some finally marks and then certainly you're going to be available for any questions that any of the commissioners there you might have in there. You're right. Good afternoon. Mayor commissioners. Thank you for having us here today. Um well, I guess not here. I I'm a little sorry. We're not in your city to present this but happy to be able to share a report with you and absolutely willing to take any questions that you have. I wanted to focus on several recommendations that relate to the training division reviews and that cross over a few of the incidents that we looked at. Over the years, we have been largely impressed with the work that the training division does in response to these officer involved shootings and the way they analyzed these incidents, and we have frequently credited the bureau for the willingness to commit to writing the type of critiques that training produces. We don't see that willingness and a lot of the agencies that we work for, uh, and that praise is also here in this report. But as we always do we, um we have pointed out some areas, um, throughout the report and our discussion of individual cases where we think the training division could have dug a little deeper, could have offered a little more analysis on certain points. But largely we found that this set of training division

reviews to be thorough and responsive to the relevant issues. Um those reviews and their and their analysis. They walk through officer decision making. Um and then they make conclusions on each one of those points about whether an officer's performance was consistent with the training. The training division also often makes recommendations related to given cases. Um when they see some need for remediation they make they make a written recommendation. And we found that the bureau is not always consistent in the way it handles these recommendations. There's no formal or documented consideration that happens as part of the bureau's formal review process. Um which is, uh, you know, it's one thing that's not documented that that's not always great. But the important thing is that these recommendations don't get communicated as a result of that failure to document they don't get communicated to the teams or the individuals that need to hear about it in order to implement them. At least they don't hear about it with the sufficient authority behind it to get things done. So for example, in the hanson case that we discussed in our report um, training saw some deficiencies in communications in the way that canine officers communicate their locations and status, and they made a recommendation related to some additional training for canine officers. Um but when we checked in with the canine unit to follow up to see, uh, whether those recommendations have been implemented. Canine didn't have a record or any kind of recollection of the recommendation itself. They may not have even learned learned about it, um and had not taken any action to address the critique, but training presented. On the other hand, um we did see a couple of cases where the training division recommendations were explicitly put into, uh, some formal action plan, and those were cases where, uh, the recommendation related to the training division itself. So, for example, in the case involving mr brock the training recommended that, um that's the bureau developed

some new training regarding active shooter situations, and, um continued an inquiry into the effectiveness of less lethal weapons systems. And when we checked in with training on the status of those issues, we got detailed responses with exactly what had been done. And when, um so that was sort of the good news, but the inconsistency, um in the overall response led to one of our overarching recommendation. Is that you find at the end of our report, and that's recommendation number 17. Which is the bureau and more formally consider training division recommendations so that an implementation can't plan can be developed and put into play. Um final recommendations stemming from a training issue that we wanted to highlight came from the martin case. Will the training review there in a sort of brainstorming way that the off one of the members of training division suggested that the bureau might want to look into a type of long range? Pepper spray? Um and that recommends that suggestion didn't seem to go anywhere. And while we're not endorsing that pepper spray necessarily. We do think that that kind of creative and innovative thinking ought to be given some space to be pursued. Um and we appreciate the bureau's response in their in their written response to our report, um, they accept this suggestion and the response involves, um a commitment to have the deputy chief for the meeting, um after a police review board meeting to take some time for this kind of brainstorming and creative thinking. With the sort of training focused recommendations. We wanted to feature I'm going to turn it to my colleagues, steve connolly to talk about some others. Thank you, julie. And good afternoon, mr mayor and commissioners. My name is stephen connolly and I am a colleague of mike and julie. This is my first time actually working on one of the critical incident review projects here in the city of Portland, so it was interesting education from you and in terms of learning a comprehensive that the process is in many ways and comparing it to some of the

other jurisdictions that we work in. And as truly said, we oftentimes see a lot of commendable aspects to the rigor of the department's review and hope that some of our recommendations and observations are helpful. I just wanted to showcase a couple of things about one of the cases that I had the opportunity to sort of take the lead and reviewing, and that was one that was differently. Situated from the other incidents that we looked at, and most of the other incidents that group has covered in and that's because oftentimes the cases involved officer involved shootings and that is obviously a serious and important area of review. One of the cases I looked at was a non shooting critical incident that the department looked at, um and it involved a neck restraint of a subject to was not, um 90 even seriously injured as a result of the encounter, but it did implicate a lot of things and even though the district attorney's office declined to put it through its normal review process for deadly force cases involving discharge of a firearm. The bureau went through its full administrative review process in terms of assessing what had happened in treating it as a potential deadly force case that that sort of implicated all the different aspects of its review process. So we thought it was good that they took an inclusive approach, and one of the things that I wanted to point out about it is that that under work 2023 conditions that the review process I think would have would have, um, evolved a little bit differently, and that is because the whole idea of neck restraints has has, um, gotten some additional attention in the national assessment of law enforcement and more locally, the state of California has joined some other states, um in terms of passing legislation that placed restrictions on law enforcement's ability to use neck restraints of any kind, not just the carotid control hold that is probably the most commonly recognized form of neck restraint. But in the aftermath of the George Floyd murder that the renewed attention on neck restraints caused a lot of um, jurisdictions to take note of the restrictions and to treat

all neck restraints as a serious use of force that should only be reserved to a deadly force context in the at the time of this incident, which was 2018 the courtland did have the bureau did have an approach that that, um restricted the use of the carotid hold two deadly force circumstances only it was the threshold for use of the carotid hold was only in a deadly force context. And that was actually not an approach that all agencies around the country have taken so the department was already a little bit more restricted than other places. Interestingly in this case, the what the technique quickly officer used was kind of a one sided neck restraint that that was born and the circumstances as he was struggling with this individual and other officers were trying to get him into handcuffs. And kind of neutralize the threat of that the gun, the firearm that the subject possessed, and it was again a one sided neck restraint and the training division in this case determined that it wasn't a cool carotid hold and didn't constitute deadly force. I think that analysis would be different today in terms of Portland's, um the police bureaus, own policy restrictions, and there and again the larger recognition that neck restraints or something that needs to be taken very seriously and restricted to two the most serious of situations and circumstances and the other thing that I wanted to point out also came from that case, and it produced recommendation one in our report, and I just read the recommendation the bureau's holistic review of any critical incident should identify and address issues relating to officers, language and overall. Uh professionalism. One aside in this case was that one of the other officers that actually knocked the officer who used the neck restraint that kind of prompted the full fledged review. One of the other officers used profanity in his efforts to gain compliance with the subject and that was acknowledged by the officer. It was cited by the subject when he was interviewed by detectives in the case as being perceived by him as a sign of the aggression by the officers that made

sort of incited some of his resistance. Spread to the credit of the investigators in both the criminal case in the internal affairs investigators. They asked the officer about it in the officer provided his explanation. But then nothing else was done with it. In terms of the training analysis or any formal department assessment or intervention, and when it's an issue that that has been, um noteworthy to ask the question several jurisdictions for a lot of the years that we've been working, we see it as a relatively common thing, especially in these adrenalized, intense situations and there are potentially some some problematic issues with it, and the bureau does have policy relating to profanity and, according to the chiefs, and the bureau's response to our recommendations they do address it. It just didn't really it was kind of at an earlier stage, then then this process, but we really just wanted to flag the issue and make people aware of that. Our view that department or excuse me, the bureau should absolutely be focused on on officer professionalism is one component of the review process and the language and particularly the use of profanity in these conflict situations is a component of that so uh, again, as truly said, we're happy to have respond to any questions that folks have, and I'm going to turn it back over to mike. Thank you, steve and just riff off of steve comments on the profanity, I think if and when, and I assume it will be when, um, the bureau is outfitted with body worn cameras. Um what our experience has been is that, um, those cameras will capture a lot more of the comments that officers make in their encounters with citizens of Portland's and so I think it's a good time to start thinking about that. And realizing how, um, profanity might be a tool with regard to officer presence. Um, oftentimes it it doesn't work to the advantage of the situation. And often times it just doesn't look good. It makes some the impression is that the officer may be out of control or angry or or whatever, and resorting to that technique. Um I'm just going to touch on the last two points that we wanted to

make, um, and then certainly be available for any questions. One of them is, um, recommendation we have made in the past, and that is what we'd like to see. Is um, when the bureau gets together and does its analysis and makes determinations about whether the performance decision making of officers met department out departmental expectations. Um they do that with regard to the use of force. They do that as a result of a recommendation we made years ago with regard to post incident, emergency aid and scene maintenance. But what they don't do is that they don't do in a formal evaluation or assessment on any officer decision that's leading up to the incident. And these days all of the attention virtually all of the attention on critical incidents is on that piece. It's articulated more in terms of de escalation now than any other concept, but the degree that officers are using de escalation techniques and methods or not. We think that is something that should be evaluated on every one of these critical incident cases, and we also think that there should be a finding recommended by internal affairs and adopted by the chief after going to the review board on that very piece of the incident, because that's where a lot of times um ah de escalation techniques if performed well and reduce the likelihood that an officer will need to resort to deadly force. Last point that i'll make has to do with our last recommendation and that is, as I indicated in my opening remarks. We've been doing this for a while. We've made a number of recommendations in the seven previous reports and that we have had success in the bill adopting a number of the recommendations, but there are also a number of the recommendations in which there has been a prior commitment. To adopt or implement the recommendations. But then there has not been any follow through. And so the previous recommendation that I just discussed we have made before, and the review process is still not incorporating that recommendation into the work that the bureau is doing on these incidents. Um I think there's a lot of explanations

for that. One of the explanations is that we are getting these cases back to the chief about three years after the incident, the other reason I think that's going on. That may be a partial explanation for this is that um, is that they're having a lot of leaders that the bureau over the 13 years we've been doing it. I think we have had a chiefs their interim chiefs over that period of time. So just as an example your current chief, um, wasn't chief for any of these shooting incidents. Um and even though he's been been chief for almost three years now, so that that is something to be, I think explored. It's an um, but we think at some point um, to be an accounting by sun group to take a look at all of the prior recommendations and to see what degree the bureau has, in fact implemented them, um, on a going forward basis, so that is, um uh. Going to wrap up our opening remarks, but as indicated we are certainly available. Offer any any questions? You all may have the other thing I do want to say just as a final ending point, and that is um. Go on and feel like I'm overstating my concern about some of the recommendations not being adopted, because some have in fact been implemented as I've mentioned, the other thing is that the mere fact that we're able to go in as an objective third party access all of the confidential information that wouldn't be available to the general public, and then we pulled out what we find in an unvarnished way. I think it provides the opportunity for your public to have a unvarnished record of what had what occurred what the issues were what the officer's decision making was and any issues or concerns. That we identify that we're able then to put in the report for community conversations and our close at that point. Great. Thank you appreciate all of you and appreciate the thoroughness of the report that you have provided to us colleagues, do you do you want me to take public testimony before we get to q and a I don't we do that. Just we have everything on the table. Keelan. If you wouldn't mind how many folks do we have signed up? We have three people. Very

good. Three minutes each name for the record, please. And Keelan t s up. First up. We have banned handelman. Hello good afternoon. Can you hear me? Ok loudly, loud and clear. Thanks, dan. Thank you. My name is down handle when I use the pronoun some of the group Portland lodge mayor Wheeler commissioner Gonzalez maps Rubio and Ryan log. Commissioner Gonzalez isn't there right now watch is very pleased to have the opportunity to testify. Contemporaneously about the new oil are deadly force report. We believe the last time public testimony was generally allowed was seven years ago. Unclear what constantly in the release of this report, which covers shootings in 2018, and through the shooting of lane martin in 20, July 2019 21 other incidents that occurred since mr martin's death, all of which the community deserves to get more information about the bureau needs to examine for training and policy issues in general stopwatch agrees with the recommendations of our our even we don't agree with some of their observations or conclusions about the individual incidents. We continue to appreciate the group's willingness to challenge the decisions of the officers and those who reviewed their actions to relate details to the public that are otherwise difficult to find, and to make meaningful recommendations, even though they themselves are becoming exasperated that there is an implementing them all. In addition to mr martin to other controversial cases involving people in mental health crisis are covered in the report. The death of samuel race and andre gratton, but reports general approach to cases involving people are clearly another health crisis brought down to two things. First. That quote recognizing and seafood accommodating subject. Compromise decision making can be challenging in a moment of conflict, close quote, and the old pirate wrote that the mental health system is broken. The mental health system did not kill race grand or martin or james chassis keep notice for dozens of others supporting police did also both grant and jonathan harris, who

had a chokehold applied to him or black men. There's no analysis whether race played a factor in those incidents. We appreciate that is critical of sergeant Kelly Van Blackman, who killed Rice after seeing through a motel bathroom window without communicating his intent to other officers on the scene. It was nearly three years between the completion of our last report in 2020, and the release of this one on March 10th even if dated January, 2023 the observations you are hearing to do 4 to 5 years out of day. Sometimes the perspective of the community member who subjected to deadly force is included, but for the five incidents that ended with little mention of other people's perspectives, such as family members who might have had something to add. Some important information is tucked into but notes rather than being included in the narrative or analysis, for example, the fact that investigators did not interview the officer who was texting with racist girlfriend who indicated she wanted the police to go away. Seems to do not check officer Van Blackman's claim that the radio channel was for which was his excuse for not asking permission or learning people that he was going to shoot Rice. Officer considered voters who who shot under Glenn, apparently one trained how to handle evidence, which is why he moved the night allegedly took from those whose best then put it back where you found it, and also got into bus's car to escape the rain. The acting sergeant on the scene didn't make take a statement from Yeosu. We only watch cop shows on tv and know more about investigations on these folks, lastly, all ours right to suggest investigators should have asked six other officers on scene. Why did not shoot at Lane Martin when officer Gary Durant fired his gun 11 times? I'll turn it over my colleague Mark Porous now. Thank you. Thank you. Next up. We have Mark Porous. Welcome Mark. Thank you. Can you hear me? You sound good. Good afternoon. Mayor commissioners. My name is Mark Porous. I use he him pronouns them with the group Portland cop lots altogether. There are

18 recommendations in the new report the recommendation to analyze the officer's actions that led up to the use of deadly force was made for the fourth time seemingly bouncing off the bureau like a rubber bullet. For the second time, they asked the bureau to be sure to collect medical records and photos of any injuries. Chief Lovell agreed. Without 18 recommendations, more or less. You heard the ally. Our group suggests that the use of profanity such as that used by officer Giles and Harris choking incident. Should be investigated as part of the deadly force review, the chief claim that such behavior would be addressed prior to the case getting to the police review board. It's not clear that any such misconduct has ever been dealt with in the in deadly fourth cases. Rather than commit to ensuring that someone like officer van Brooklyn be required to communicate with other officers on scene before taking independent action to kill someone, the chief states that the special emergency reaction team will check to be sure that they are quote unquote working within industry best practice in the case, where four officers shot at Ryan Beasley, they only hit him two times in the sixties shootings covered in a yr reports, officers missed their targets altogether in nine of them using 45 bullets. In 51 incidents, officers fired a total of 410 bullets. She says that studies suggest accuracy under stresses between 18 and 54% depending upon the distance from the subject. So where do all those bullets go? Important part strongly recommends that the bureau post online the database the chief referred to in his response, which apparently tracks all recommendations from the police review board, and maybe the ally, our group and the training division as well. Telling part of the i. R s analysis is that one of the biggest holdups leading to these investigations lasting much longer than 180 days required by the us, d.o.j. Said. An agreement. Is that the officers, supervisors do not write memos about their suggested findings in a timely way. They recommend that the memos either be required or that the prd simply

proceed without the commander's input yr mentioned again that having footage from body worn cameras would help a lot to determine more accurately what happened in these incidents. While that may be true, it is to their credit that that they acknowledge the footage is not perfect because it is only from one perspective. Cop watch. Repeats are note from 2019 if a civilian body tasked with reviewing the deadly force cases at the time, they happened, used the same expertise and lenses as the I r group. There likely would be more examples of officers being found out of policy and perhaps even disciplined as a new oversight system is being designed. The citizen review committee, which has not heard and appealed misconduct case since June, 2021. Should fulfill that obligation under city code and make sure ally rs recommendations are being implemented. Crc is holding a meeting about oh, I r s report on may 3rd. It's unclear whether oh ir will begin a new round of analysis at this point since the ipress list of deadly force cases from March. 2023 indicates that of 21 incidents from 2019 to 2023. Only seven have been through the prb process and are thus eligible for review. The urge I pr and City Council to order a new shooting review so work can begin immediately and finish before the new oversight board is up and running likely sometime in 2025. Thank you. Thank you. Next step. We have debbie iona. Welcome, debbie. Alright I'm debbie iona, representing the league of women. Voters of Portland's. The leaders were involved in issues related to police oversight since the 19 eighties regulated this periodic reports. There are a great value not just to the police bureau, but also to the public because they shed light on the most serious police community interactions and provide information the public would not otherwise know. We would like to thank the I r group for the report, and I market, facilitating this work. In addition, we want to express our gratitude to director caldwell for releasing the report well in advance of today's council session. The leaks have made

written comments on Monday. I will touch on a few of the main points today. It is covering, to see some of the same recommendations repeated yet again. At least one deficiency that failure to conduct prompt interviews that involved officers dates back to the late 19 nineties. Groups next contract should include a thorough accounting of past recommendations and their current implementation status. Findings and recommendations of note and so the following the report raises concerns about the lack of careful reflection and analysis by command staff and addressing specific areas of review and the investigations. Repeat recommendation about the importance of analyzing pre shooting tactical decision making still needs attention. It has the potential to save lives by avoiding the use of deadly force altogether. As a report notes, it is a well accepted tenant that tactical decisions meant to keep officers safe, reduce the likelihood that those officers will find themselves in a position where they feel deadly force is necessary. At least four of the cases the are previewed involved people with mental health or addiction issues. And the bureau should pay much more careful attention to the thoughtful analysis provided by the training division. Within the next few years for I will have a new community centered oversight board responsible for handling police misconduct complaints. Its authority extends to shootings and in custody desks, carefully valuation closed investigations by experts in the field will continue to be essential to improving police practices. Mm policies and also to providing the public with information on cases of utmost community concern. Thank you again to the city for engaging the ori arc. Oh I heard group in this work and to the consultants for their careful evaluation of the serious cases. Thank you. W appreciates testimony. Very good colleagues questions. I've had a couple just clarifications. First of all I want to um, underscore something. Debbie just said. I appreciate the fact that we were given plenty of lead time on the release of this report. This gave me an opportunity

to thoroughly review each of the recommendations that was made as well as have communication through my staff to the police bureau to get their reactions, so I just want to say I appreciate that it wasn't rushed that there was an opportunity for us to be really thorough. In our approach to this, I did have some follow up questions. Um first of all, it is noteworthy and I'm speaking as generally as I can, for obvious reasons, it is noteworthy how many of these deadly force incidents involved people who either have known behavioral health issues or are in crisis. And dan, I always appreciate your testimony in the back and forth today. I want to gently disagree with you on something that you said. Um mental health is a health care issue. But we still don't see it that way. In this state or in this nation, it is still seen as a policing issue, and I say that because just by default, there are two places where people with severe mental health issues end up. They end up on our streets and they end up in our jails and the necessary result of that. Unwillingness or inability to serve people with serious behavioral health issues is that they have in her interactions with law enforcement. I think all of us should agree readily. We want fewer to know of those interactions and I outside of the police bureau. This council has had many discussions on that point. Um and I believe we've made some good investments on that front. We continue to advocate for policies at both the state and the federal level, as well as working with our county pollock county partners. To rebuild what is effectively a broken behavioral health system here, so that's really more of a statement than it is a question. Um the use of profanity. That's interesting that that we settled on that. And michael. Maybe I'm looking at you or looking at others. I want to be just super clear that is it. Professionalism you're concerned about, or is it that it is seen as an escalating behavior? Or that just as a communications tool that leaves lots of room for misinterpretation. What, specifically, we all agree we should swear less. I should, too, but from a law

enforcement perspective, what is your key point here? Here i'll turn that over to steve, who is our expert on this stuff? Thanks, steve. Go ahead. Thank you, mr so I would say all of the above to the different points that you were making. I think that that the bureau actually has a pretty good policy relating to profanity. Uh and it is generally prohibited and I can just kind of pull some of the language for that is looking at earlier today, but I think the idea is that there is a recognition that there are some circumstances where officer, emotions and adrenaline are going to cause. Uh, you know, contribute to profanity happening in the officer himself in in the case that we looked at actually said i, you know that it is rare for me. That is not my approach to policing and it was done in a calculated and tactical way in order to make an impression on the subject, and that is just an issue that that we think deserves attention because we don't want it to be the case that this whole idea of tactical profanity and we're using it to get people's attention and let them know we're serious. We've seen too many cases where that exception swallows the rule and all of a sudden nobody's really looking at any use of profanity because there are situations when it's recognized as as being a reality. So the whole idea is just to encourage that that the bureau tick of make it a regular part of the assessment, and it's entirely possible that they're going to come to the conclusion that hey, under the limited circumstances here, we don't feel like this requires a lot of follow up or consequence for the officer, but we're paying attention. We know that it makes an impression. We don't teach tactical profanity and our training bureau as a as a suggested, you know, force option so we don't want to do. Just let it go as routine. Thank you. I appreciate that. That answer, stephen. Um canine unit policies that also came up here and I'm curious to know. Is there a particular jurisdiction or jurisdictions you would have us look to with regard to specific written canine policies. Approach that. Sure yeah, I am. Canines are certainly a hot topic here in

california these days. There's a bail pending that might talk about it all use of police, canines and bite situations. Um but the clarify the canine issue that came up, um, in the case we looked at wasn't at least the one I referenced in terms of the training division review really didn't have anything to do with the k nine per se, as it did with how the officer in that case, um, communicated his response to the call and heat rather than put it out of his mdc he communicated directly with with barak and that perhaps limited others ability. You know, a sergeant others in the precinct. To know that he was responding to this to this call, but also involved, um, deputies and wasn't primarily a police bureau call. Okay? So what would you put that in the category than just improved communications and intentions? Is that how you describe that? Yes yes, that's that's helpful, and I know that came up in another circumstance as well. Um there was one situation where it was a hostage situation. And there was a hostage taker, presumably holding. A knife to a hostage. They were barricaded in an enclosed space, and there was questions about. The on scene sergeants decision to engage. Can you speak generally? What what your recommendation was there. Yeah I think, uh, it was sort of an, um and odd set of cases and that you had the cases. The case seems the situation you just described, mr mayor actually could could apply to two different shootings in this group of eight, which is which is unusual. I mean, it was sort of striking that you have these very high stress hostage situations where officers were called on to, um, respond and really difficult circumstances. I think the one you're referring to is the one involving, mr brock. Um that's that's correct since you said so i'll agree that did involve a sergeant who responded, um and the sergeant got there. And this. I think the thing that was striking we talked about in our report is, um and this is a little bit. Um I guess credit to the bureau and their review process. I know we talked about the number of times we've had to make recommendations over and over again in

the first few years of our work in Portland, we will repeatedly talking, um recommending, um, that that the bureau addressed this issue of sergeants who are responding, not a sergeant's but as officers and in this case, sergeant did, but I want to say the review process that the training division went back to and said we want you to ask him specifically about this because this is an issue. We want to make sure we're being thoughtful about the way we review this. Um and in that case, the sergeant presented, um, compelling reason for why he felt like he needed to jump in and this one. It was a very there was somebody who had been injured with a knife who was seriously injured outside of the house. They knew that the even though it was a knife, they, um compared this situation very much to how you would respond to an active shooter. They knew that there was somebody inside the residence with the knife who had already injured people who was maybe injuring more people. There was an exigency to get into the place. Um the sergeant is there and rather than stay back and act in a supervisory role. He decided to insert himself as part of the entry team and act as an officer. He articulated in his interview that I knew that there were two other sergeants responding just a couple of minutes behind me. Who could come in and take on that supervisory role, and so I decided to do it and that you know is not a thing that we're going to be critical of. The critique has always been the bureau's, um, sort of forward to grapple with that issue here that that was not at all the case and openly addressed it, and I think there were good reasons why the sergeant acted the way he did and importantly, those were thoroughly thoroughly reviewed in the in the I a investigation and by training and I'm sympathetic. To the way the decision was made. I mean, look, it's a high stress. High acuity situation and I think I understand philosophically where you're coming from. The sergeant should be. Directing the scene, as opposed to you know the director, if you will, as opposed to the actor. And I get that

hypothetically. But as as I look at these situations, particularly um. Yeah with what's at stake at any given moment. I'm sympathetic to the decision that that sergeant made so I was just trying to understand what the basis of the recommendation was. But it sounds like it's from an operational perspective. Somebody needs to be managing the scene. Is that your point? I don't think we made that recommendation. In with respect to that case, because I agree with you that it was a reasonable decision. There was sergeant, uh, to go in on that one, I think and if this hadn't always been such a big deal for us, and so many prior reports, you know, we may not have talked about it so much in this one. But the goal and talking about it with this broad case was actually to acknowledge the bureau. For its thoughtful review of the issue, um and not at all to offer any disagreement with how they came down on it. Good thank thank you. And I appreciate that, and just one more, and then i'll turn it over to my colleagues. So there was a case where an individual was holding a woman hostage and professing to have a bomb. And it's a very thorough analysis that you've done and I appreciate it. But the bottom line recommendation if I could paraphrase was the ppb review should have addressed whether officers took the bomb threat seriously or not, and that one really caught my interest because I have always just assumed that you have to take a bomb threat seriously. I mean, if somebody calls in a bomb threat to city hall we evacuate. We don't sit around and think about whether or not it's seriously serious or whether I think it's serious or not. We just leave. Um could you explain what what? Your main point there are new recommendation to us was in that situation. So that was also my case, mr mayor, and in that instance I think that that the officers were responding to the circumstances that were right in front of them and kind of we're making a credibility, determination and just doing a visual observation as as the events were unfolding. It was a dynamic situation like with the other one that the

woman that that was a hostage was absolutely imperil and had already been wounded by the subject and they felt like they needed to take action. And they apparently, I guess visually, and just in terms of the overall circumstances, they just didn't see corroborating evidence and in the context of the person's remarks and behavior, they apparently didn't think that that was a you know, a prominent or or, you know actual concern. And if things just kind of evolved from there, and it's actually sometime later that that somebody pieced it together and said, hey, we should we should. We should probably send somebody in there and make sure that this thing is not a problem but again I think that that the officers in this situation did a fine job overall in my assumption is that they were only just reacting to the evidence that was right in front of them in terms of credibility, determination, but I think it would have been helpful to delve into a little bit more. Stephen thank you. That's that's really helpful. I appreciate it, commissioner Ryan. Thank you. You should just know i'll just speak for myself. We've been sitting here since 9:30 a.m. With. I think the half hour break, so it's not. It's not you, uh, I was kind of taken with the time leg and also that when it was mentioned that when you give recommendations to what end and so I guess me, right? I'm curious. Who is there? Someone responsible on the police force to monitor these recommendations, then look back, and I guess I'm wondering who that is, because I haven't heard any. Look back from the police bureau. The answer is yes. And if only my brain wasn't as fried chief from sun chief, is it? Uh, chief, can you remind me who it is in the bureau? It's me. It's you ok? I thought we actually had a staff. Person who is specifically tasked with you. And who is that? It's. So we have an admin professional within the chief's office who tracks recommendations and, um, you know, i'll be honest. We have not always done this well, and it is only been within probably like the last year that we have been trying to do a better job of tracking recommendations coming out of the

you know the police review boards o a. R. Has now released another report. It's appropriate to put that in there as well. We also have recommendations that will come out of other groups that have done analysis of our work. We need to do a better job of having a centralized database to make sure that we're not stepping over each other. Um oh, like the training reviews, like, oh, are munchen's the training reviews of an officer involved. Shooting will contain recommendations. But then there's also recommendations for the same shooting event that come out of the prb. And then 3 to 4. Years later, we'll get recommendations from oi are about the same o. I s so this is this is where it's really critical for the chief's office to have a coherent strategy or how we accept these things. Get them done. And then when o ir comes to us to say, hey, we're going to do you know the rest of 2018 2019 2020 whatever their next batch is going to be, we're going to be able to say okay, and here's access to the database of everything that we've already done in response to what you're about to look at. And I think that's going to be useful little yr and hopefully that's gonna show our sincerity and wanting to try to adapt this thanks, chief. But but I've taken the responsibility to coordinate the meetings and the database so that this doesn't get overlooked. Perfect. Thank you, sir. That was that was satisfying. Every everyone's head was nodding, so I hope that we follow through with this. Thanks good. Any other questions, if not, will entertain a motion to accept the report? So moved a motion from commissioner Mapps. Can I get a second? Sure commissioner I in seconds any further discussion on the report seeing none. Please call the roll. Uh it was very, very thorough. I enjoyed listening to all of you. Um I wish we were more fresh. Um and I will also look at it further. And also thank you for the testimony from the public today I accept the report maps. Um I'd like to think I pr and away are for this report, uh away or it makes 18 recommendations in this report, and I am hurting to see that the ppb essentially

agrees with all of them, so we're not really debating policy here. Instead it strikes me that we are waiting on implementation. I was pleased to hear the dialogue about some of the challenges that we've had tracking reports. Over time. I realized even today when I looked at this, uh oh, I r report. Um while I was familiar with this well, I had been I've been reviewing instead in this report. I hadn't gone back and compared it to what we have done in the past, and that's a practice which I will implement moving forward. And I certainly hope that the police commissioner and the police bureau, uh, implement some of the recommendations that she from developed in terms of tracking both the recommendations that we get in from the multitude of different police oversight boards and um and also attracting how we're doing in terms of implementing them over time. I think this is progress. Um I want to thank everyone who helped make this moment possible. I vote I Rubio and I want to thank me or Wheeler and also I p r p p b and the o ir group and also this year I see for the community engagement that's taken place and that will continue to take place on this. Um it's pretty clear that training is very important. The emphasis on training and also implementing the recommendations. I want to thank chief from for acknowledging that we need to be better and make better progress. Um on these implementations and also communicating on what progress, uh happens and when it happens, um, also just want to lift up to in reading, uh, the report that a few of these cases had intersection with domestic violence, which, just, um again reminds us of the importance to continue training officers also about the dynamics of dv relationships and how quickly lethal they can become. Um that's just top of mind for me because I'm also delays onto the gateway. So, um, been hearing about that lately. Um so anyway, thank you again for all those who worked on this and for and for those who provided testimony for giving us really concrete examples, but I Wheeler. Yeah I want to add my thanks to the oi our group and

thinks the folks from I p r. Um. Yeah there's no way to understate. How. These events impact our community, deadly force incidents or something. I personally take very seriously. I know the police bureau takes them seriously and I know the community takes them seriously. There's often a lot of trauma involved for people who are directly involved their families, their neighbors, and it's certainly induces trauma to members of the Portland police bureau as well. It is very important that we be able to objectively analyze. Our performance in each and every one of these cases, and it's kind of unfortunate that sometimes these reports are taken as highlighting police misconduct. And there is a time and there is a place for accountability and we have those systems in place, but I see these annual reports. As an objective opportunity to really look at what we're doing. And uh, as Julie and Steven Steve mentioned, you know there is this highlights some really good things that the Portland police bureau has done and what you suggest some some positive steps that individual officers have taken. It also has objective criticisms. In areas where we can and should do better, and that's the spirit in which I take these reports. I think there's really good recommendations here. The chief has reviewed them with me and with you and as has been indicated, is supportive of these and will continue to work with Mike and others in the police bureau to make sure we do that. I also want to ignore oligarchy that as I read these reports it underscores the dangerous circumstances that our officers often face in the line of duty and the decision making that they need to engage in that often gives them very little time. In very little room for maneuvering. That's a lot of responsibility. And so it's really on all of us to look at how we can improve not only the work they do, but also make sure that they have the resources that they have the tools that they have the training. They need to be able to be successful in those highly highly dangerous circumstances. So um, I also want to just say I'm optimistic that the imminent

addition of civilian training, dean, we have now announced that position and I'm really excited by the individual who's going to fill that important role. I think it will help bolster the follow through and the implementation in the training division of some of the recommendations that were put forward here today, and I know the pr folks in the ir group. Ah, folks are excited that we now have that position filled and we're all looking forward to seeing the results of that. I am also encouraged by the bureau's response and their openness to implementing the recommendations meaningfully and chief from I want to just acknowledge you for stepping forward very quickly and taking