



March 1, 2023 Council Agenda

5702

City Hall – 1221 SW Fourth Avenue, Portland, OR 97204

In accordance with Portland City Code and state law, City Council will hold hybrid public meetings, which provides for both virtual and in-person attendance. Members of council will elect to attend remotely by video and teleconference, or in-person. The City has made several avenues available for the public to listen to the audio broadcast of this meeting, including the City's YouTube Channel, [eGov PDX](#), the [Open Signal website](#), and Xfinity Channel 30 and 330.

Questions may be directed to councilclerk@portlandoregon.gov

Wednesday, March 1, 2023 9:30 am

Session Status: Adjourned

Council in Attendance: Mayor Ted Wheeler

Commissioner Carmen Rubio

Commissioner Dan Ryan

Commissioner Rene Gonzalez

Mayor Wheeler presided.

Officers in attendance: Linly Rees, Chief Deputy City Attorney; Keelan McClymont, Council Clerk

Item 173 was pulled from the Consent Agenda and on a Y-4 roll call (Mapps absent), the balance of the Consent Agenda was adopted.

Council recessed at 11:23 a.m. and reconvened at 11:44 a.m.

Council adjourned at 12:11 p.m.

Communications

166

[Request of John Ellis to address Council regarding homelessness, zombie trailers, and crime](#) (Communication)

Document number: 166-2023

Disposition: Placed on File

167

[Request of Randy Gragg to address Council regarding Portland Parks Foundation community re-envisioning of O'Bryant Square](#) (Communication)

Document number: 167-2023

Disposition: Placed on File

168

[Request of Nick Brunner to address Council regarding ideas and an offer to help the community.](#)

(Communication)

Document number: 168-2023

Disposition: Placed on File

169

[Request of Randi Miller to address Council regarding resident experience and Portland Street Response](#)

(Communication)

Document number: 169-2023

Disposition: Placed on File

170

[Request of Angela Todd to address Council regarding resident experience and Portland Street Response](#)

(Communication)

Document number: 170-2023

Disposition: Placed on File

Time Certain

171

[Accept the Technology Oversight Committee Quarterly Report](#) (Report)

Document number: 171-2023

Introduced by: Mayor Ted Wheeler

Bureau: Management and Finance

Time certain: 9:45 am

Time requested: 30 minutes

Disposition: Accepted

Motion to accept the report: Moved by Gonzalez and seconded by Ryan.

Votes: Commissioner Carmen Rubio Yea

Commissioner Dan Ryan Yea

Commissioner Rene Gonzalez Yea

Commissioner Mingus Mapps Absent

Mayor Ted Wheeler Yea

172

[Accept the Police Accountability Commission Quarterly Report for October-December 2022](#) (Report)

Document number: 172-2023

Introduced by: Mayor Ted Wheeler

Bureau: Community Safety Division; Management and Finance

Time certain: 10:15 am

Time requested: 20 minutes

Disposition: Accepted

Motion to accept the report: Moved by Ryan and seconded by Rubio.

Votes: Commissioner Carmen Rubio Yea

Commissioner Dan Ryan Yea

Commissioner Rene Gonzalez Yea

Commissioner Mingus Mapps Absent

Mayor Ted Wheeler Yea

Consent Agenda

173

[*Authorize an alternative selection process for the Compliance Officer and Community Liaison contract to comply with the City of Portland and Department of Justice Settlement Agreement](#) (Emergency Ordinance)

Document number: 191189

Introduced by: Mayor Ted Wheeler

Bureau: City Attorney

Disposition: Passed

Item 173 was pulled from the Consent Agenda for discussion.

Votes: Commissioner Carmen Rubio Yea

Commissioner Dan Ryan Yea

Commissioner Rene Gonzalez Yea

Commissioner Mingus Mapps Absent

Mayor Ted Wheeler Yea

174

[Extend contract with PPC Solutions, Inc. to December 31, 2023 and increase not to exceed amount to \\$1,136,588 for Police Bureau vehicle storage facility security services \(amend Contract 31001227\)](#) (Ordinance)

Document number: 191185

Introduced by: Mayor Ted Wheeler

Bureau: Police

Second reading agenda item 155.

Disposition: Passed

Votes: Commissioner Carmen Rubio Yea

Commissioner Dan Ryan Yea

Commissioner Rene Gonzalez Yea

Commissioner Mingus Mapps Absent

Mayor Ted Wheeler Yea

175

[*Accept and appropriate a grant for \\$30,000 from the Oregon State Historic Preservation Office for LGBTQ+ historic site documentation](#) (Emergency Ordinance)

Document number: 191186

Introduced by: Commissioner Carmen Rubio

Bureau: Planning and Sustainability (BPS)

Disposition: Passed

Votes: Commissioner Carmen Rubio Yea

Commissioner Dan Ryan Yea

Commissioner Rene Gonzalez Yea

Commissioner Mingus Mapps Absent

Mayor Ted Wheeler Yea

176

[Authorize Intergovernmental Agreement with the State of Oregon Department of Transportation for the Washington Park South Entry Redesign Project for \\$560,000](#) (Ordinance)

Document number: 191194

Introduced by: Commissioner Dan Ryan

Bureau: Parks & Recreation

Disposition: Passed to second reading

Passed to second reading March 8, 2023 at 9:30 a.m.

Regular Agenda

177

[Accept bid from Moore Excavation, Inc. for the South Portland - Burlingame Phase II Sewer Rehabilitation Rebid Project for \\$6,242,177 \(Procurement Report - ITB No. 00002022\)](#) (Report)

Document number: 177-2023

Introduced by: Mayor Ted Wheeler

Bureau: Management and Finance; Revenue and Financial Services

Time requested: 15 minutes

Disposition: Accepted - Prepare Contract

Motion to accept the report: Moved by Ryan and seconded by Rubio.

Votes: Commissioner Carmen Rubio Yea

Commissioner Dan Ryan Yea

Commissioner Rene Gonzalez Yea

Commissioner Mingus Mapps Absent

Mayor Ted Wheeler Yea

178

[Authorize water revenue bonds to finance water system capital improvements not to exceed \\$425 million and to refund outstanding water revenue bonds](#) (Ordinance)

Document number: 191199

Introduced by: Mayor Ted Wheeler

Bureau: Management and Finance; Revenue and Financial Services

Time requested: 15 minutes

Disposition: Passed to second reading

Passed to second reading March 8, 2023 at 9:30 a.m.

179

[Amend Vehicles and Traffic Code and direct the Portland Bureau of Transportation to adopt Administrative Rules to create a process for the installation of electric vehicle chargers in the public right-of-way \(add Code Sections 16.20.290 and 16.90.111\)](#) (Ordinance)

Document number: 191187

Introduced by: Commissioner Mingus Mapps

Bureau: Transportation

Second reading agenda item 150.

Disposition: Passed

Votes: Commissioner Carmen Rubio Yea

Commissioner Dan Ryan Yea

Commissioner Rene Gonzalez Yea

Commissioner Mingus Mapps Absent

Mayor Ted Wheeler Yea

Four-Fifths Agenda

180

[*Authorize the Chief Administrative Officer or designee to negotiate and execute one or more lease agreements to temporarily relocate a portion of CityFleet Stanton Yard operations during the Oregon Department of Transportation I-5 Rose Quarter Improvement project](#) (Emergency Ordinance)

Document number: 191188

Introduced by: Mayor Ted Wheeler

Bureau: Facilities; Management and Finance

Time requested: 10 minutes

Disposition: Passed

Votes: Commissioner Carmen Rubio Yea

Commissioner Dan Ryan Yea

Commissioner Rene Gonzalez Yea

Commissioner Mingus Mapps Absent

Mayor Ted Wheeler Yea

Wednesday, March 1, 2023 2:00 pm

Session Status: No session scheduled

Thursday, March 2, 2023 2:00 pm

Session Status: No session scheduled

Portland City Council Meeting Speaker List
March 1, 2023 - 9:30 a.m.

Name	Agenda Item
Mayor Ted Wheeler	
Keelan McClymont	
Commissioner Carmen Rubio	
Commissioner Dan Ryan	
Commissioner Rene Gonzalez	
Linly Rees	
John Ellis	166
Randy Gragg	167
Randi Miller	169
Angela Todd	170
Ethan Cirmo	171
Jeff Baer	171
Jimmy Godard	171
Sanga Mbaklene	171
Biko Taylor	177
Matthew Gierach	178
Sameer Kanal	172
Faythe Aiken	172
Christian Orellana Bauer	172
Tirsa Orellana	172
Aje Amaechi	172
Katherine McDowell	172
Philip Chachka	172
Aj McCreary	172
Brittany Brock	172
Deian Salazar	172
Mallory Bustos	172
Keeley Higgins	172
Rashelle Chase-Miller	172
Debbie Aiona	172
Maty Sauter	180
Pauline Goble	180
Sarah Ames	173
Marc Poris	173

Portland City Council Meeting Closed Caption File

March 1, 2023 – 9:30 a.m.

This file was produced through the closed captioning process for the televised city Council broadcast and should not be considered a verbatim transcript. The official vote counts, motions, and names of speakers are included in the official minutes.

23 morning session of the Portland's City Council. Good morning, Keelan. Please call the roll. Good morning, mayor. Good morning, commissioners radio here. Ryan Gonzales. Here naps Wheeler. Here we'll hear from legal counsel in the rules of order and decorum. Good morning. Thank you. Mayor good morning. Welcome to Portland City Council City Council is holding hybrid public meetings with in person attendance in addition to electronic attendance, if you wish to testify before council council in person, or virtually, you must sign up in advance by visiting the council agenda on the council clerk's web page at [www dot courtland dot gov slash council slash agenda](http://www.courtland.gov/council/agenda) you may sign up for communications to briefly speak about any subject. You may also sign up for public testimony on resolutions, reports or the first readings of ordinances written testimony may be submitted at [cc testimony at Portland, Oregon dot gov](http://cc.testimony.portland.gov). Your testimony should address the matter being considered at the time. When testifying please state your name for the record, your address is not necessary. Please disclose if you're a lobbyist, if you're representing an organization, please identify it for testifier is joining virtually please unmute yourself once the council clerk calls your name, the presiding officer preserves order and decorum during City Council meetings so everyone can feel welcome, comfortable, respected and safe. The presiding officer determines the length of testimony. Individuals generally have three minutes to testify unless otherwise

stated, a timer will indicate when your time is done disruptive conduct such as shouting, refusing to conclude your testimony when your time is up or interrupting others, testimony or council deliberations will not be allowed. If there are disruptions a warning will be given that further disruption may result in the person being ejected for the remainder of the meeting after being ejected, a person who fails to leave the meeting is subject to arrest for trespass. Additionally, council may take a short recess and reconvene virtually.

Very good. Thank you. First up is communications. First item is 166 please first individual request of John Ellis to address council regarding homelessness, zombie trailers and crime. Good morning, John. Just come on up and just come on up any of these three seats and you don't need to pull the microphone close. Just where it is, is usually okay. Great thank you. Thank you. Fantastic yeah. I just wanted to start out that I'm 1/6 generation Oregonian. Third generation Portland's er, love the city love this state. And just as of recently with the same the last five years, things have really taken it. Downhill turn. Ah I grew up in East Malling Living St. Johns. Now I feel that St. Johns has basically put kind of on the back burner, Courtland, um and my parents still live in East Moreland. There's no homelessness there. No trailers, no tents. Um as you recently seen a couple of weeks ago, there was a guy that went I rate it's section eight. Housing and St. Johns. Caused quite the to do that was about three blocks away from my house week. Before that, there was a zombie trailer there was about 20 ft. Long that exploded. He's percent. A huge fireball up in the air had a hole in windows basically almost shattered from the percussion. Um, went out there filmed. It was about two a.m. In the morning. Basically fire department was right there, but police never showed up after 25 minutes felt really bad for the firefighters not having any, you know, backup. Quite a few meth heads and homeless people running around at that time, just trying to scour whatever they

could. Every time that there's a vehicle that gets dropped off in front of my house, a trailer derelict boat, whatever they come and drop them off late at night. Leave this garbage there. Have Mike Crabs on speed dial have talked to him so much and just trying to get everything resolved. But everything you know, just happens, gets dropped off 30 days. Green tag. Whatever as soon as that's gone, another one appears. Um, I just want to voice my concern in the sense that I love this city so much and been here for so long. Raised my family here as well. I got two small kids wait for their safety. Gunshots happening all the time. Um it's the point. Now that we're relocating. We're going to you know some other city now that's close by, uh, you know, you look at like Oswego just drive about 15 minutes past Portland. And there's no kind of homelessness that you see there on State Street. Um all that area seems pretty clean. I just don't understand why the city has gone to pot. I mean, basically, everything's just gone south, and it doesn't seem like anything that's being done and anything that is done. It's only like a slap on the hand and these people just are able to go ahead and do whatever they want. I understand that there's problems with addiction. I know that there's mental health problems. You know, I've had the addiction problems in my past, and I know that you know it is a struggle. And but you do, you know persevere and then go on. You have to be able to at that point to realize that the one with the most important thing is community and if we can't come together as a community, I just see it being more and more going down the hill and I'm hoping that some kind of resolution will be made to make the city beautiful again because what I've seen has been very disappointing, disgusting. Has left me very sad and disappointed in the way that this has actually, you know, become our city. So I hope that you guys will at least listen to what I had to say and then taking account and hopefully something will happen. Appreciate you being here today. And we certainly you're you're not allowed to hear this. Uh I

hear it morning, noon and night and I believe we are taking the steps we need to take to address the issue, but I also agree it's not happening quickly enough. And I'm sorry for that. But I do believe we're making progress. I appreciate you being here. Commissioner Gonzalez john. Just thank you for testifying. Uh, please keep speaking up with it keeps us on our toes keeps us focused on these critical livability issues we feel and see it every single day. Um you know, we're we are at historic moment in the city's history, and it's going to take all of us working together to get out of it. So I appreciate you coming down here and speaking to the issue and please keep doing it. And it's the good fight. We got a long road ahead of us as a city, but so appreciate you putting your oar in the water here. Thank you, john. Thank you. Thanks for being thanks for being here. Of course on. Sorry to hear that you're leaving and I understand you made your case. You don't have to give your address. Of course that but tell me what part of st. Johns? I don't want to assume I know. Yes. Syracuse and caitlin. Yeah. And then that recently happened with the section eight right there at baltimore and chicago and syracuse. So you know, it's a it's a great neighborhood. It's beautiful. Um it's just I don't I feel that every other neighborhood in Portland's kind of like, you know, taking precedence, and st. Johns is kind of like just forgotten about with what the situation is from those parts. I understand. Yeah, thanks. Thanks john. Appreciate your being here. Appreciate your time. Next individual, please. Keelan item one success. Request of randy gregg to address council regarding Portland parks foundation community re envisioning of o'bRyant square. Good morning, randy. It's good to see you. How are you doing first time? I've been here in person and been awhile long time. Um well, greetings, everybody. I'm randy gregg, the executive director of the Portland parks foundation. Um first I want to thank, um mayor Wheeler for including the parks foundation in your budget, the last for four years, five years. And then there was

years before that the return on the investment for leveraging private funding for improvements to parks and public spaces has been about 15 to 1. Equally importantly, importantly, this funding about 1/6 of our operating budget has allowed us to devote time often working between bureaus, sometimes governments and now between your larger new work areas to tackle some difficult projects like building the wall. Barbara barbara walker crossing, developing a design and budget for thompson elk statue. Fountains return. And create a community vision for o'bRyant square. The project I've come to talk to you about. As you know, bRyant will soon be demolished and become an open space surrounded by trees. And this in the spirit of this reset we've nicknamed at park block one, which is what it was called in the first cities, maps. Um with the city's densest hotel cluster on one side and several critical social service organizations on the other park block one will be where the city's, um 1% will meet some of its most economically challenged folks. Next week, the foundation Portland state university's center for public interest design. And the harvard love fellowship are hosting an open house, along with a series of focus groups on safety and management, food and retail, hospitality, social services and arts and culture to create a community vision for, uh, for this site to bring it to life the day the demo offenses come down. From there, we can beat nimble. We can try some things out. See what works and what doesn't what we can learn what we learned from this will inform future permanent design. Feel like this is a really strong approach to this. The open houses 8 to 8 on March 8th right across the street from park block, one in the former finnegan's toy store, the center for public interest design, which you might know them from their vibrant recyclable stage sets at pick a thon and public space designs like our little triangle and the house list villages, tiny house villages they've done for house list vets and women. Um, they're creating an interactive interactive exhibits for the community

members to show their ideas. In the meantime, the harvard lobe fellows, all experts and community designed public spaces will engage focus groups and what what they want and what they can more importantly, what they can bring to the table on o'bRyant to bring it to life. I'll be quick will synergize this input. We've got designers from about 15 local firms who will be involved and sort of visualize this and we'll show it out March 11th commissioner Ryan is going to be there for that, and ultimately will develop a report program designs and implementation recommendations by June. Um ppr has invested in this and the foundation has leveraged about \$150,000 in cash and pro bono services for this effort. Um bRyant touches all the new work areas. Therefore all of you culture and livability, economic development, infrastructure and public safety, and so we're really honored to once again leverage your investment in us to build a public private partnership for a safe, joyful public space. Thank you, randi. Appreciate it. You bet. Next individual, please item 168. Request of nick brunner to address council regarding ideas and then offer to help the community. Nick was gonna join us in person. Is nick here? Are you here? It doesn't look like he's here. Next individual, please item 169 request of randy miller to address council regarding resident experience in Portland's street response. Welcome. Thank you for having me. Thank you commissioner Gonzalez giving me hope with summer messages you've been putting out lately and I'm here to speak to the Portland response team in an instant that we had with them. I want to start by saying unconditional passion does not mean and conditional tolerance. We had a homeless gentleman embed himself underneath the wouldn't structure patio. Um behind us very close to our back windows, and he proceeded to smoke it and all flashes genitals into our female windows. Residents and, um would be out all night stealing items and piling the junk piles higher and higher. And he'd be slumped over. We would worry about his odin. But we called 911 multiple times

and ah, non emergency with no response. After two weeks, we finally. Got the Portland response team sent their first call to action. Out to this drug homeless person. To inquire if he was it to inquire if he needed coffee, or perhaps crackers in a blanket, the female team member proceeded to sit under this structure and talk to this person. He asked for a cigarette in which she proceeded to get one out of her bag, literally stuck it in his mouth and let it for him. She exchanged contact information with him and told him if he needed anything else, just call her and also have a nice day. She then proceeded to tell us they had a nice talk and to just leave him some space. At that point, he decided to glare at a female neighbor and tell her to drop dead four times. Only because of this threat due to the protocol. The team requested police realizing the police had been called the homeless man quickly packed up with the female. Team member and left. We found out later this was due because he had a warrant out for his arrest. They moved him just a few blocks away in which they set him up with new clothes, a new tent supplies and a brand new camp within 24 hours, he had committed additional crimes, and police were called again by me. At this point, the police ran him through the system and discovered a long rap sheet and existing warrants for his arrest. But he was released back out under the streets within 24 hours. We just felt like there was zero regard. But our safety and. As tax paying citizens and we felt terrorized and threatened and for two weeks yet he was just offered comfort supplies. So my two points is after witnessing the response team. It's our belief that a complete remake of this system is in order. As of now, it is operating in an unsafe manner in doing nothing but completely enabling drug addiction, which kills criminal street life. We are all feeling very helpless and frustrated, and I just want to make it known that at 21 years old, I was dropped off and forced into detox and it's saved my life. It saves lives. Thank you. Thank you. Randi just wanted to thank you for testifying. Ben is on

my team is the back of the room. So if you don't mind connecting, I know that our team has reviewed the 911 call that came in. Um we think that actually was probably responded to correctly based on the way the call initially came in um we want Portland's street response interacting in non threatening situations, and that was the way it was perceived and flagged. And then it was, as we understand it escalated once there was identification of threats made, um, but I think it would be great if you connected with ben, we appreciate you testifying. We did do, uh, sort of evaluate the matter. Um and it's an ongoing discussion about how we route these calls when there is clearly a threat, and that would get accelerated to the police. Just this one was flagged as not not when it came in the system. It was flagged a little bit differently, so we'll continue evaluate. You know, getting the right first call on these, but so appreciate your testimony and certainly understand the spirit of it. Thank you so much. I was concerned that he was under lighting fires under a wooden structure where people lived above and they were from the fire department. So I don't know why that wasn't a threat. It's a fair fair point and please connect with ben on us. Thank you. Thank you. Next individual, please item 170 request of angela todd to address council regarding resident experience in Portland street response. Good morning. Good morning. Just gonna set my alarm, so I'm smart. Oh there's there should the timer should be right. Do you see it in the screen in front of us here if that's easier, right, angela todd, I'm from pd x real community reporter and I also do work trying to get citizens involved in their governing. Um it's my perspective that this is not just your problem. This is our community. We need to be involved in civic affairs, so it's a pleasure to be here and I'm here to tell you guys we need to hear more from you. We have the sanction camping plan, for example. And that was we talked about that about five months ago. Citizens don't know what's going on and in the meantime, like people are bringing up today in testimony,

there's still a lot of crazy things going on out there, and I want to just I want to encourage you a little bit. I want you to hear me this is probably the most important work of your lives. We are in a position right now where our city is going to fail if we don't really get smart. And. I am mayor. I feel particularly, um, like a lot of emphasis goes to you and blaming you and I don't think that that's all fair. There's a lot of things going on with the county and the legislature that determining things, but I would like to encourage you to start talking to the community about what your barriers are. So that we as a community can start to look at those problems and provide pressure points and encouragement or frankly, pressure. That is the word. I mean about what needs to be done. There's a lot of people whose who cannot or not gonna live through this if we don't change trajectory, and they are their livelihood cannot survive. I cannot stress this enough. Your jobs are very hard right now. And I understand that. But this is the work of your life and we are counting on you. And we need you to communicate more and be more leaders. I have. I have spent a lot of time building my social media. And honestly, sometimes I'm kind of act. I'm very, very clear about things. I'm not happy about or that I think, but I'm also supportive when things are good. And I want you to know that people don't know when you're in the community. You know, dan, I talked to you before this jan. Had a something that I saw in his opinion about something in a community meeting a year ago. I didn't know what your perspective was. We need you guys in the community. Um, on that note. I want to say to pieces. We've had businesses closing really big businesses this week. We need you to talk to businesses. And we need you in the community, and I'm that enclosing March 18th in montavilla, where a sanctioned camp is planned. We have set up a town hall at one o'clock. I am requesting right now on public record, um, commissioner Ryan, commissioner Rubio and mayor Wheeler. Will you be there to talk with the community about this

sanction camp because we don't know what's going on, and we want to know the plan. Great. Do you have an answer for me? I don't actually have to look at my schedule before I commit to anything, so I'm gonna be I'm gonna be following up on that and letting people know I we did have a press conference and no one from your office showed. They called me the day of the press conference, so we don't get answered whenever we email your call, and, um I'm going to get louder and I'm I'm I'm a redhead. Mayor this we are not going to stay silent on these issues and i'll be fair, but but we need we need you guys. We need you out there talking to people. People are getting angry because there's not leadership. We just don't know what you're doing. Okay alright. Alright. Thank you, angela. And um I hear what you're saying. And I believe I've been extremely communicative with my strategy. But not in the way you want me to. So if there's other in different ways that you think it would be helpful. I'm certainly open to that part of it's just coming out of people are actually hearing from me. So, um. But I d happy. Tired of hearing from you. We all need to work together. It's our city, too. Good thank you. Appreciate your being here. Thank you. And that completes communications to the consent agenda of any items been polled. One item has been hold. 1 73 1 73 will move that to the end of the regular agenda. Please call the roll on the remainder of the consent agenda. Rubio Ryan. I gonzales Wheeler. You can send agenda is adopted first time certain item, please item 171. Except the technology oversight committee quarterly report colleagues. I'm pleased to introduce this report by the technology oversight committee. Which is comprised as you know, five members of the public each selected by one commissioner committees tasked with providing oversight on the city's technology based projects here to present. This quarterly report is ethan sermo from the office of management and finance jeff baer, the chief technology officer and director of the bureau of technology services. And dr jimmi guitar

committee member representing commissioner Mapps. Thank you all for being here today. It's good to see you again. Good to see you, too. Thank you very much. Good morning, mayor Wheeler. Good morning commissioners. My name is ethan sermo. I worked for the communications team at the office of management and finance. My pleasure to present this quarterly report for the technology oversight committee. This is for the fourth quarter of 2022 just the end of last year. We were originally going to be scheduled to present this report a month ago. We had some last minute schedule changes. So we're coming to you a month later, um the toc currently has two projects that it is overseeing the police office 3 65 project. And then the in four project, which is a partnership between water and environmental services, bes. So with that I will hand things over to jeff to present the report. Thank you. All right. Thank you, ethan for the introduction and good morning, mayor Wheeler and members of City Council. As eastern noted, we're here to present the final quarterly report for 2022 before I turn it over to dr goddard, who is joining us virtually. I believe um, we have a new toc members. We want to alert the council to that was recently appointed by commissioner Gonzalez. Joining the committee is mr david ton lee, who is a southeast Portland resident and brings his expertise in the cybersecurity field, which is actually very timely for him to join us as well be presenting our cybersecurity posture to the toc at the March meeting later this month. And he was able to join us for the first toc meeting this this past Monday and look forward to having him on the committee and co present the quarterly report in the future. So looking to see if dr goddard is on virtual. I don't see him. There he is. Oh alternate over to dr godard for his comments. All right. Thank you very much. Um jeff, good morning council. My name is dr jimmy without representative for commissioner Mapps. And currently the field c is overseeing to project. The first one is, um microsoft office 3 65 project. This project was pulled by

police with the ability to collaborate with the rest of the city. The office every six we tried. This project is currently in phase two, and it's flat. They're ahead of schedule. The project is currently ah continue to have a green status raiding across all the qa measures that difficulty and also the team we have established that we review on a monthly basis. The second project we have is the end for project and this is the project that will migrate both b. D s and p w b asset until a new cloud version and of in for public sector also, we call it idea. The rpf project solicited no vendors, ultimately selecting the, uh for the i. P s or the public sector. This project has been entered this only informally today to your feet and has only a partial rating done by by qa with no fuc reading yet. The project team will do a formal introduction to the pbot once they are ready and once completed the set up for the implementation and they have the project timeline and place of and I believe this is projected. So this month, the month of March or the mother of April earth were to project that the technology oversight committee have been reviewing with thank you for listening to our report, and we are available, of course, to enter any questions that you may have will be returning in. May uh, we also already active volunteer schedule for that, and this concludes our comments for this quarter. Thank you very much. Thank you, jimmy and counsel. You appreciate the opportunity to be here this morning to present the quarterly report and especially supporting the technology oversight committee and the time and effort that each one of those individuals volunteer and put into these important projects. And as jimmy said, we're here to answer any questions you may have. I have one question. We usually get a dashboard. Do we have the dashboard? There should have been. It's in the report, okay? Oh yeah. Okay, so it's all green. Still right? Green that's all I wanted to know. Good thank you colleagues. Any other questions ? I just commissioner Gonzalez quick architectural questions. So could you give the 32nd version of why

police needs to be in a different tenant than the rest of the city? We separated the tenants years ago, just because of the criminal justice information segregation that we needed to have two separate that. Information that transits within that tenant, so it has to be separate from the other the rest of the city. Do you envision any other city bureaus or groups needing to be outside of the city? Tenant in the future? No. Okay, just curious. Thank you. Very good. Is there any public testimony on this report? We have one person signed up. Perfect. Thanks, gentlemen. Thank you. Sanga. Back cleaning my name in person or online. I think they were planning to join in person. Yes or online. Sorry. Song, are you there? I am here. Welcome to make bokkelen um. Once again, you're taking the taxpayers money and wasting it to our community. Wake up. They won't remember. These are no leaders. They are pressures that will never give you anything to help you or us not be a press history has shown that this government weird technology report in to it or I'm gonna catch you off. And I said what I said in the beginning. How are you gonna cut me off when I can say what I want to say? Correct? Like that. All right. Any further discussion on the report, seeing none. Please call the roll. Uh mary, would you like to have somebody make a motion to accept the report? Can I entertain a motion to accept the report? Commissioner Gonzalez moves? Can I get a second? Commissioner Ryan seconds, the report. Please call the roll, Rubio. I want to thank jeff baer and his team over at bts for their work on staffing this commission and all the members of the commission for their dedication, their time serving the community. I vote. I Ryan. Thank you, chef. And thank you, ethan for being here this morning, and jimmy, I've noticed you're become a doctor in the last quarter. So congratulations on that. And welcome david europe, very hard working volunteer committee. Your reports are very frequent, and I appreciate it. I accept the report by gonzales. Be excited about the diversity of the advisory committee, including both the different

communities they represented, as well as different parts of the ecosystem is too small and start up. I think that's gonna by himself well for the city. The good part. Wheeler. I want to thank the representatives on the technology oversight council. This is very difficult work. It's challenging. It's technical. We're lucky to have the expertise that we have. And these are citizen volunteers. They do not get paid. For their efforts. They are simply concerned citizens who have an interest in participating in how we do things here in local government. Um, for those who feel that your tax dollars are not well spent, or that the council is adrift. Were that we aren't delivering the kinds of services that you want. We have dozens of oversight committees, and each and every person than city is welcome to step up as the members of this technology oversight council have done. To serve the interests of the broader community. I really think the work here is exceptional. I appreciate the fact that over the course of several years I've watched a number of these projects get back on track because of the work of these engaged citizens, and I just want to thank him for that. So I'm happy to vote I thanks again. Ethan, jeff and jimmy for your hard work on this, and the report is accepted. Yes mayor. I'm very sorry. Um we had someone else signed up for this item. They were sure. My mistake. I'm sorry. Um. Um anjanette brown was going to join us in person is anjanette here? And jeanette. Did you want to speak to them? Okay. Thank you. Not a problem. All right. Next item. Also times certain item. 172 please. I'm sorry. We're not at that time certain yet. We can't do that we'll go to the regular agenda. Item 177, please. Accept bid from more excavation incorporated for the south Portland's burlingame phase two sewer rehabilitation rebid project for 6,242,001. Colleagues this project is part of the bureau of environmental services, large scale sewer rehabilitation program. This item authorizes the repair replacement of approximately 6400 and 30 ft of aged and deteriorating public sewer pipes, as well as the addition of about

1000 ft of lateral connections from the main sewer line to the curbs of homes and businesses in south Portland, as well as the burlingame area. Chief procurement officer, mr biko taylor is here with us today to walk us through this proposal. Good morning, biko. It's good to see you. Good morning, man. We look good to see you. Good morning, members of City Council. For the record. My name is biko taylor. I'm the chief procurement officer. Uh on November, 10th 2021 City Council approved ordinance. 190596 or the completion of this project. At that time. The engineering estimate for the project was \$6,508,000. And the confidence level was high. Procurement services issued the invitation to bid on December 20th 2022 with a due date of January. 19th 2023 total we received three bids. More excavation was the low bidder. This was a low bit methodology. One of the competitive big um, their bid came in at \$6,242,000, which is about 4% below. The engineering estimate. The city standard 20% aspirational goal did apply to this solicitation. The following is a breakdown on the utilization that more excavation submitted in their proposal. More will complete about 70% of this project. 20% will be completed by certain certified covid subcontractors. And about 10% with nine certified subcontractors just to give you a little bit more granularity on the subcontractor plan. Of the 20% 14. Closer to 15% will be completed by minorities. Um more excavation has committed to subcontracting. Um 20% covid firms, and they met that goal. To promote the aim of encouraging contractors to diversify their subcontractor utilization. Um until devoid the cities and avoid misuse of the city subcontractor equity program. Hard construction costs attributable to a subcontractor own, controlled or managed by relative of the person who owns the controls the contract so not count towards the 20% I made that comment because one of the prime one of the major subcontractors on this project iron horse has a relation to more excavation. And I wanted to put that on record. As reference to past performance.

More excavation is located in fear. Every Oregon it is not a state certified contractor. They have a current city of Portland business tax registration and are in full compliance with all of the city's contracting requirements. The project is part of b s is large scale sewer rehab program, which is funded through fiscal year in a fiscal year, 23 if there are any questions about the procurement process, I'm happy to answer them. Now if you have any questions about the project specific to engineering estimate schedule otherwise. Bes project manager daniel project manager manager. Apologies, daniel boatman. Is in attendance as well. With that I conclude my report. Thank you, sir. Any questions at this point, commissioner Gonzalez. Just one quick question. Um labor representation in the workforce. Do we have any visibility on this project? Um not now. I mean, we could provide that once we get into the once they submit, boldly and other certified work, you know, work plans, reports compliance reports trends of today. I can't provide you at work force, but I could provide your staff with that once the project is certainly as we get into these larger projects and visibility, there would be a pretty most definitely, we do. Have we track that compliance department. Great. Thank you. Thank you, commissioner Rubio. Thank you. Um and thank you, director taylor. I have a quick question. You mentioned something about and I didn't catch it quite the connection to ironhorse contract. Can you explain that again, so I understand so more excavation committed 9.5% of this contract. To a cobid certified firm. That firm is iron horse. So in total. If we were to account that firm, we will be around 30% but we cannot count at 10% towards um are aspirational goal because iron horse has relations has a family relationship with more excavated. Okay I wasn't clear on that, you know, so I apologize for reading through that, but I should have made that a point of emphasis for council. Great. Commission right now, I have another question. Thanks for bringing this up. So if you could add it, what would the

percentage go to uh, right over 31% covid certified. If we did add that, thanks correct. Is that a state rule that precludes you from combining those? Yes, it is. That makes sense. I get good. Do we have any public testimony on this report? We had one person sign up sanga kabob. My broccolini, but I think they have left the meeting. Very good. Thank you all entertaining motion to accept the report. I moved. Commissioner Ryan moves can I get a second, please? Second commissioner Rubio seconds for their discussions. Seeing them. Please call her off. Rubio thank you, director taylor and the procurement team. Um and the team would be s for your work on this project. But I Ryan? Yes thank you, director taylor, who has been seeing a lot of you have been busy. You and your team. I appreciate it. Gonzales. Thank you, director taylor. I vote I l'm sorry, Wheeler. These are very good covid numbers. I really appreciate it. And l've seen improvements since you've been director. And so I know you're working hard. Thanks. I vote I reports accepted next item. We are still not at 10 15 for the time certain so we'll do 178 off the regular agenda. Authorized water revenue bonds to finance water system capital improvements not to exceed \$425 million and to refund outstanding water revenue bonds colleagues. This ordinance authorizes the issuance of water system revenue bonds. The Portland water bureau manages the city's water system, utility and periodically issues bonds for all capital projects, financing large water capital projects with bonds provides more stable financial operations for the water utility and more predictable water rates for the city's ratepayers. The city's debt manager, matt g. Rock and water bureau director of finance and support services. Cecilia he won are here to talk through the item. Welcome mountain. Cecilia. Are they on line? I take it yes. There you are. Okay all right. Good morning. Good morning, mary and commissioners for the record. l'm at dirac that manager within the bureau of revenue and financial services. Um and l'm also joined by cecilia human. From the

Portland water bureau. This ordinance authorizes the city to issue up to \$425 million of water system revenue bonds finance various, uh, projects under the bottom water bureaus capital improvement plan. Including portions of the water treatment program. As required under the city's bilateral compliance agreement with the Oregon health. The borrowing authorization is expected to cover approximately the next two years of capital expenditures that are to be funded. Uh, by publicly sold water revenue virus. Of the total borrowing authorization. You know, currently anticipates issuing approximately 205 million of revenue bans this fiscal year to cover certain capital expenditures for the next 12 months. However given the recent volatility in the bond market the cities that management division will be assessing the market for opportunity conditions substantially issue a larger amount. In order to lock in advantageous long term interest rates. Based on today's market conditions. The estimated borrowing rate is approximately 4% of the 25 year borrowing, though this will vary depending on market events that occur between now and the band sale. Definitely this ordinance authorizes the issuance of water revenue refunding bonds for the purposes of attaining debt service savings. The city's 2013 series. A bonds are outstanding in the amount of \$150 million and require an above market average interest rate of approximately 4.12. He's bands may be refinanced without a pre payment penalty, right? The current estimated interest rate on the refunding bonds is 3.15% for the 15 year term. Every financing of the 2013. A bonds at these rates has asked me to generate annual debt service savings of \$750,000 per year through the band's final, maturity, date and fiscal year 2038. Ah this is equivalent to approximately \$9 million of present value savings. Over the next few months. The cities that management division and the Portland water bureau will be jointly preparing the documentation for facilitating the bond sale, which is expected to occur in late spring or early summer. That

concludes the overview of this item, and we are happy to answer any questions on the financing. I have a question about this, matt. So. This is obviously a sizable issuance, and we're looking at sort of what I would describe as as a disjointed interest rate. Period I'm curious to know what the thinking was in terms of choosing to issue bonds now versus potentially delaying the issuance of this bond and hoping for a better interest rate environment. Is there some urgency to this or what? What what drove your timing at this particular time. Why now? The timing is primarily driven by the capital spend rate of the bureau so as the bureau uh, has certain thresholds of liquidity metrics. Uh huh. And it funds continues to fund capital work. Um essentially, it needs to issue a new series of bonds in order to continue that work in order to maintain the credit quality of the water system. Okay and so this is this what you would describe them as a regular issuance. Yes this is a routine issuance. Uh both utilities typically will issue bonds every 1 to 2 years, which is pretty pretty regular occurrence for large utility systems. Okay and so just to reiterate what you said to make sure I understood it. Delaying the issuance of this bond could potentially jeopardize our credit rating is that accurate? Uh, correct unless there's would be, uh, major standstill on and spending, which also would not be advisable. Okay good. I mean, that that that certainly makes sense, because obviously, for our credit rating deteriorates. The cost of issuing goes up. So I see the trade off that you're engaged in there. Thank you any further questions commissioner Gonzalez just to clarify in the mirrors question the reason it would have a negative potential impact on credit rating is that it would be a liquidity and tax if we delayed issuance now. I just want to make sure I'm following the connection there. Yeah so, um, cecilia, uh, feel free to china as well. Um but so based on on the capital program that the utility is undertaking and all of the projects that was undertaking, including water treatment and others, um. It would

be required to spend on his cash. It's actual cash, which I in order to preserve its other big credit quality of the water system, you want to maintain a certain level of liquidity in order to, um, protect the system from shocks? Um so that's something that the that investors and credit reading analysts look at is how much I say liquidity and essentially means cash funding. One in order to withstand any sort of unforeseen circumstances that that affect the system. And the point being, we have capex that's committed. We have a spending plan that's committed. We're spending those dollars in the next x amount of months. So if we don't get the bond proceeds were hitting it eating into that liquidity. 100% correct. Okay. Thank you. Thank you any further questions, public testimony on the one signed up. Alright this is the first reading of a non emergency ordinance moves to second reading. 10 17. We can now go to the times certain item item number 172, please. Except the police accountability commission quarterly report for October through December, 2022. Colleagues I will turn this over to America and all of the community safety division to introduce this item in our guest presenters. Welcome thank you very much. Thank you. All members of City Council. Um, for the record, my name is samir canal on the advisory boards and commissions manager for the community safety division. I'll be passing this over to faith taken one of the former co chairs of the commission to introduce two presenters who are remote and three that are here in in chambers. Thank you. Thank you. Morning mayor realer. Good morning City Council. Thank you for the opportunity to present the quarterly report, the police accountability commission for October through December 2022. I mean to speed bacon and I was a coach er of the police accountability commission during the fact finding phase of our work, which ended in October and joined today by christian oriana power rj michi oriana, along with catherine towel, who are co chairs during the powers and duties fees, which started in late October and continued after the

end of this quarter. You may be wondering, why does it take five community volunteers to give a quarterly report and I want to take the time to note that this participation before you reflects our commitment to working in partnership with City Council for first of its kind, community led police oversight system. The report has been divided into three section the first covers the end of the fact finding phase of our commissions work. The second covers the portion of the next phase the powers and duties which occurred during this quarter and then the third section addresses the commission's request for support from City Council. Cool. Hi. I'm a christian. Uh in November, 2020 82% of Portland voters supported the creation of charter section 2-1 just 10 sorry, which authorizes a new community police oversight board to replace the current system of administrative investigations, discipline and accountability and allow for greater community voice in changing the policies and directives of Portland police. The police accountability commission was created by the City Council to develop the parameters and details of the community police community police oversight board. Hello I'm tears up the commission self organized through March 2022 from April through October. The commission researched and heard from experts and affected parties, which resulted in three outcome documents. After that, the commission moved into the powers and duties phase, which began in late October and ended in February. 2023 until the commission held 20 events and this three month period, which means the police accountability commission remains one of the most active part of the city government. The commission also had two briefings with pr one with the then city commissioner jo ann hardesty and um started its powers and duties phase of work with a deep assessment of the charter section that outlines the community police oversight board, which included briefing from the people who crafted that charter text, heidi brown and derek bradley. The fact finding phase is outcome. Documents

are not code recommendations, but they are set of shared understandings among members as to what information has been learned and the commission's viewpoints on it. They have each already been an important contribution to the commission's decisions and eventual recommendations to City Council. The actual recommendation development began with the next phase of work, which will be discussing in a few minutes. There were three outcome documents. The first was barriers and best practices to police accountability in Portland, which was part of last quarter's report. But today we'll talk about the other two, which assess proposals from subject matter, experts and practices in other jurisdictions. See council tasked the commission with inviting experts to testify and answer questions, research necessary topics and also to invite and seek out subject matter. Experts in resolution 375 to 7 resolution 37548 City Council said that the commission may seek out expertise from those they identify as beneficial to the process both within and outside of Portland. The commission began by looking at national and industry groups and civilian oversight, such as the national association for civilian oversight of law enforcement, or nicole enforcement sources, such as those at the u. S department of justice and recommendations given by contractors previously hired by the city, such as eileen luna fireballs report from 2008 also included the recommendations of amici to Portland's settlement agreement. Staff support in other jurisdictions, giving their opinion on what worked and didn't work in their various systems and other sources. The commission considered these recommendations and those which they determined were worth deeper consideration were noted in our document, as were those we considered proposals to avoid summarizing this year. They included recommendations that healthy civilian oversight systems for police are independent, have authority to make disciplinary decisions and influence the policy and directives of police how to access

to information from the police necessary to do that work can investigate complaints from the community as well as major incidents where there may not be a complainant can apply a consistent set of findings so that the complainant law enforcement City Council in the public can look at cases and understand what the outcome outcomes are. Can offer mediation for lower level allegations are reflective of those most affected have guaranteed resources, including funding and staff to do the work. They need to do our transparent and finally are able to evolve and improve over time. These items are not likely to be surprising, even though they come from different sources. They say many of the things the same things that are chartered text already reads. This is a testament to councils wisdom and crafting the charter text to be presented to voters and the voters in approving the charter text. Good morning. I'm katherine mcdonald, one of the current co chairs of the commission. The commission also assessed other jurisdictions practices looking through hundreds of different practices in several categories. Some aspects assessed our jurisdiction and authority, including the oversight board's authority to determine its internal structure, how it assesses information its process for addressing allegations of misconduct, its ability to structurally oversee the police, including recommending changes to policy. How the board membership is structured how the agency is structured and its responsibility to engage with the community. And finally transparency, including reports to council and access and reports to the public. The commission also looked at practices to avoid from other jurisdictions again. Many were examined, and here are a few examples being unable to finish an ongoing investigation due to a statute of limitations. Having parallel investigations between civilian oversight systems and internal affairs. Releasing personal information of complainants to officers. The complaint is about. Enforcing community members to give complaints about police to the police. You

may notice that some of these issues we addressed in our previous quarterly reports because members of City Council or other briefers such as those frumpy pbs professional standards division, noted some of these existing Portland's, too, and are barriers. Some others were mentioned under good practices because Portland's does not have these. For example, Portland's has already partially addressed this last issue I raised by ensuring community members can give complaints through independent police review. All those, although these are not yet official recommendations. These documents have been very helpful in the powers and duties phase, which, including included developing the functions of the new system in more detail. This was also required by council when they asked us to speak to impacted communities. It's also just a good practice. We anticipate that if we suggest something new council members may ask, has anyone tried this? And we want to be able to answer that question definitively when presenting our eventual code change recommendations to you later this year. Catherine we're gonna take a pause here and take any questions from City Council members and then move it onto our next co chairs to discuss the next phase of work. Included in the report. I had a question and if you can't answer it now, that's fine, too. So on this list on the slide that's currently up. The practices which you want to avoid. I'm trying to figure out how to avoid some of these things are are you actually going through the work on each and every one of these issues and trying to find an alternative solution? For for example, um, the parallel investigations between the civil civilian oversight system and internal affairs. We still have to do the internal affairs investigation to ensure that policies have been adhered to. How would you propose making sure that there isn't duplication or overlap or simultaneous investigations looking at at slightly different parts of a particular incident. Yeah you know, that's a very good question, and I would say that is what we are starting to

look at. And um you know the current phase and have looked at somewhat in the previous phase that will be reporting on. Um you know, I think that, uh, overlap can be a problem if it represents duplication, and particularly if it results in inconsistent findings or processes. That's not fair to anybody. The complainant or the member who's subject to the complaint, so we're looking at how to minimize that there may be situations where parallel investigations are appropriate. For example, if you have a criminal investigation and a civilian review, you're necessarily going to have an overlapping investigation, but I think our point here and this is based on feedback we got from really from all stakeholders that the current system is overly complex and contains a fair amount of duplication. So we're looking to minimize that. Obviously there may be some situations where it's impossible to do so. I also wanted to say so. Thinking about the different phases of work. We're really trying to stick within the very specific guidelines of what that phase of work is supposed to do. And so, you know, we looked at powers and duties like what are the powers of the Newport? And you know, we're in the structure in details like okay, what is the structure and then we're gonna be going to the transition. Sort of. We have been doing this work of what do we want? And then we have the transition portion is really like, how do we get from where we are now, which has you know these kind of requirements in these barriers and all these different things to the system that we have been spending all this time kind of creating and so I would say we haven't really necessarily, um, delved deeply into that question. Just because we're really sticking to like what are phases of workers supposed to do? Because we don't really want to have, you know, scope creep, and we're already spending 2 to 3 days a week. You know 2 to 3 hour meetings and taking on more work for a phase of work is just not possible right now, so just want to mention that? Yes thank thank you and i'll just say what I said earlier. You're not

getting paid very well to be zero obvious committee, and it is less and less yeah, when you spread it out of her an hourly basis, right? Uh better again. I really I want to. First of all in case I forget later. I really want to appreciate the time that you're all putting into this. This is a significant time commitment you've already put into this and there's more to do as you said. And I want to acknowledge and respect that with regard to the statutes, statutes of limitation that's driven, I presume by the state the actual timeframes. I'm not sure I'm right about that. But the actual determination of the limitation I believe is a state function. I would assume. That your effort here then would be on speeding up the process. Is that an accurate assumption or a false assumption? You can't really move the statute of limitations time the clock is ticking and the state determines. That but presumably, we could figure out ways to speed the process up. You know, I think that's fair and I will say that, um, we are, you know, looking at, um pr currently has 180 day. Presumptive timeline that's in the compliance agreement, and that's certainly something we would be, you know, including or trying to beat in our review, because I think, um, we have consistently gotten the feedback that you know the process can be extended too far and create frustration for all people involved. But I think we're this comes up is where you have totaling because you have, for example, a criminal investigation or a civil suit and something that has caused the um, administrative process to be stayed while another process continues, and I think the issue is really just trying to be sure that we don't like to all these in civilian oversight investigations so long that we run into a statute of limitations issue be interested if collective bargaining is a part of this as well. I don't know that it is, but I'm just thinking out loud it maybe. And I would also argue that from the perspective of the members being investigated, there would probably be some support for speeding this process up to it's a really long process and people are in limbo. While they're

going through this this process and exercising their rights under the process, as well as um yeah, but the investigation continuing and, you know, I'm I'm making decisions on investigations that started years ago. It's really slow process. And so I would always be interested in your thoughts on that. I actually had something to add to the previous point, which was we've definitely run into the statute of limitations thing that you mentioned. We've run into a few moments where state law kind of constricts the thing they were trying to build just by nature of the fact that it exists within a larger, more complex system, which is one of the reasons there were we got implemented to do this in the first place. Um and so the list here of things to avoid, um we're kind of an overarching list. We recognize that some of those things are going to take a longer period of time to try and overcome and I feel like I least I only speak for myself. I think other people in the commission also share this um, this feeling, but I don't view this thing that we're the board new board they were building as like the answer. It's like a first step in part of it, so I think when we present the code we do have we're keeping are keeping a tally of things that we can't, um, cover right now that might need to be covered in the future. So like barriers that might actually even if the board is implemented, there still might be like state laws that may affect its work just by the nature of the fact that they exist because we operate within the state of Oregon and within the country, the united states. Um so hold those kind of things for like, future reference or things to cover in the future. I don't know if that helps at all as it does, and I appreciated the inclusion in this report. I think it was on the previous slide that that um, this this will be a learning effort and there will be evolution as this unfolds, and I think that's a healthy thing to include in any policy, so I appreciate that perspective. Absolutely. Also add regarding the question that you just had. I think I'm sort of misremembering possibly what the question was, but so I just want to mention that

a big part of the work that we're doing this um this phase of work is thinking about the advocates and the people that are actually walking people through this process. Um and so I don't know, necessarily about speeding up the process. But in terms of giving people more support along this process, hopefully that kind of support will, um, will support investigations to proceed in a reasonable time frame. So I mean, it. Doesn't that the focus on advocates and victims advocates, and that kind of thing doesn't necessarily directly talk. Speak to that point, but I think the point is, you know, having advocates will make the system sort of smoother for and provide the support needed for investigations to be completed. Third point thank you for that. Appreciate it. Colleagues in the other. Commissioner Gonzalez what? What's your thanks so much for your report today? What is your perception of city support for your processes? Are you getting the resources you need and, um from from the city? Um I mean, I feel so I have as hard as we're working, you know, staff is like there's so much that they're constantly having to do and especially in regards to like community engagement. That process started really late because we didn't have a person to do that work. And um, so in terms of having like the amount of people that kind of do the behind the scenes stuff that allow us to do our work more smoothly. Um yeah, I think that they need more support. Definitely um, you know more staffing. Um and besides that, you know, one of the things we're I think we're gonna mention later, but I'm just throw out there is having a little bit more time. Um you know, even if we're not necessarily going all the way to October, as is stated in the doj settlement agreement at least like you know, a few more months. There's something because like I said, right now we're meeting like to sometimes up to four times a week, and, um, and that's like at the current pace, you know to try and finish and it's been a lot on us so just to be able to like have a couple more months to do. This work would really alleviate some of that and provide us with

more support to do this better and to do due diligence because this amount of work and all of us have jobs and lives and stuff like I think it will. It will potentially limit the quality of what we present because just because we're so like overworked, so I don't know. What do you think? I mean, we spoke with a few of you during the fact finding phase in the summer, something you might remember. And you all voiced support for the work so overall felt relatively supported. Definitely. Staff is understaffed, and they're working very, very hard. There are days where I'm in awe of how they're even doing it and that's coming from, you know us who are also doing a lot of work as well. Um and, uh yeah. Time is the main thing for the most part. Um, yeah. And then, uh, any extra stuff, I guess. Same points just echoing one last question. Can you just reiterate what your output what you're you're what i'll put you are envisioning. Come October. 2023 so what? What tangibly? Does it look like just to reiterate. Wait. You know? The new guys kind of walk me through. What? What do you anticipate your output will be, uh, by fourth quarter this year. Definitely are you are you asking what the outcome of the what we're trying to implement. Basically yeah, I think. What does it look like? What's it for? Sure well, we're constructing it right now. So it's not fully formed, obviously, but from my understanding, it would be a recommendation that we'd be giving to you all to implement a new oversight system, which I think would take the form of code. I believe that would be city code. There will be some city code in there, so I think it's a document that would kind of proposed these things. But from I don't know how much contact you have, but i'll give a little bit back on. Some of it was in the report as well, but it was from the doj settlement agreement. Um, so it's already kind of been implemented. The only thing would be to approve it, basically, um or to reject it, and then come up with one yourselves. That would be those be the two options. I don't know if that provides context or not. Hopefully it was helpful just to be clear.

But you are envisioning some code proposals. I believe that that would be and there would be part of that. That part of the recommendation would be part of. Yeah. Okay thank you. For my understanding someone else can correct if I'm wrong, but that's my just want to say that? I think that, um you know, in everything we do we go back to the council. Um charge that you know, formed us that created us that empowered us and we just go through and we are ensuring that we're checking the boxes. So all of the things you've charges to do all of the people you've asked us to talk to all of the research you've asked us to do. We're really very systematically going through that. So that the at the end we will be delivering a recommendation for the structure of this new oversight board that will be based on all of this very specific items. You asked us to look at all of the specific conversations you've asked us to have and will be working certainly with the city's attorney city attorney's office to present that, to the extent there's code, um, changes that need to be implemented to, you know, go to the next step. We will be presenting those as well for your review. All right. Thank you. Thank you. Thank you. Alright, this is people. I just want to hop in really quick. Um commissioner Gonzalez that I think sometimes as we share a quarterly report, it can be confusing of like, what's the what? Here and, um reminder that this is a look back all the way back to October. And so what we are sharing today is really the result of fact finding and research that was done back through October. Um, and my colleagues before you are I'm going to come soon to then presents. Okay what did we do with the fact that we found and so that's why this is going to be a little like disjointed of like, wait. You're kind of going back in time and then fourth. And so it's important when we consider the full timeline that what we just presented was the research and the facts that we found based on feedback from experts and experts within the city, and then my colleagues will be presenting. So what? What are we going to do with

the fact that we found in the research and to recommend a new system? Um and I think one thing that will provide a lot of clarity moving forward as we have just approved what the powers and duties will be, as my colleagues will mentioned. And we have a very helpful flow chart. And I think, um if you're so interested in getting into the weeds that is a very helpful demonstration of what we are recommending the new oversight board to be. It provides a visual demonstration of what happens for a complainant as they make a complaint, so hopefully that is helpful for me. Yes, please share with their office would be appreciated that thank you. Phenomenal into explaining the powers and duties face which christian j and I were culture sport. The commission organized its work into six phases, as previously mentioned the powers and duties space was the third one and focused on the functions of the new system will report on the first half of it today and the second half when we come back in two months to give the report for generating March of this year. Starting in February, the commission moved into the structure in detail space, which is the form of the new system. After that, concludes, the commission will know what that looks like and will develop the transition plan and how this fits into the broader system of city government. And finally but convert all of these agreements and to quote text and come to agreement to recommend all that to City Council but also doing community engagement events as previously mentioned in parallel to everything throughout this process. And during this phase of work, the commission was broken up into three subcommittees, each of which was focused on developing one part of the new system. First was access to information which covered how the oversight board and she will receive the information they need to do their work. Um access. Obviously it's in the title. Second is officer accountability, which is how an allegation of misconduct is processed within the new system, including the creation of a new flow chart and timelines. That's the flow chart that

faith was referencing 30 structural oversight, which is a community oversight of putting important police bureau as a whole and included recommended recommending policy changes to policy directives and, if necessary law that goes to Portland police bureau, leadership or City Council. By the end of the quarter. All three documents were being drafted with access to information nearly ready for full commission review. Um so I mentioned that we got new staff person for community engagement, which means that p a c community engagement accelerated during this quarter commission has already heard from many people through public comments at meetings starting at the first meeting of the p a. C in June, we started receiving advanced public comment. Um in September, the city hired an engagement and communications coordinator to support this work. And finally, in this quarter, we began holding community engagement events, including two listening sessions in November and to anybody listening, live or at home who wants to give input into the police accountability commission's work we want to hear from you. There are multiple ways to do this, including coming to a live meeting, giving public comments or coming to community engagement event. And the link to our calendar is on the slide. You can also give public comments between meetings, which is circulated to the members and three ways we have web form a voicemail line, and we can do postal mail as well. So we're gonna pause here. And even though we just took questions, I guess we'll take some more questions and then continue into the last part of the presentation. Why why don't I suggest just in the interest of time? Why don't you go into the last part of the presentation? Let's hold our questions until the end, and I know we have substantial public testimony as well. So I am mindful of the fact that people are waiting to testify. Great. Thank you. Thank you. Okay so this final section is on what's coming up as well as request for city support. Their support covers October to December, and subsequently we

finished the powers and duties phase. The structure and details face started in February. This is a critical time for the commission as we continue our work, and we have a request to City Council for one specific resource to assist us in this work. I mentioned before the meets very frequently to try and meet the council's deadline and assignments. Um, by the time it will have existed for 18 months, it will will have. The commission will have had at least 119 events, which is more than even the charter commission had in two years. And we all know the charter commission did a lot of work. Continuing to work at this level of frequency creates two problems for the e. We focus on full commission meetings. We're going to either lose members to burnout or resignation or missing meetings and needing to be replaced. If we focus on subcommittees, equity between the members is diminished and members with day jobs, care giving responsibilities, health concerns and more will be able to contribute less relative to their peers, making the p a say less representative of this community. The a c is work is what is required to do the job given by City Council. Um we're not saying this is hard to complain. We're saying that this is a lot. We want to do it right and we have been doing it right. But as community members who are doing this on a volunteer basis, we need your help. On December 8th. The commission by consensus as City Council for that help, as you know, under the settlement agreement the commission has to present to the City Council by October. 29th 2023. But the City Council has given the current commission until June. 9th 2023 October. 29th date came about as part of a council ordinance, which amended the settlement agreement and put the commission into the text. Which City Council approved unanimously. Also we know that having a clear view of our eventual deadline as early as possible, will help with the planning with the project planning and scheduling of meetings to get there. We don't want to schedule 3 to 4 meetings a week now and lose members who can't do that many. Then in a couple

of months, the timeline shifts and we go to one meeting a week when we could be studying predictable for members and for the public. In the meantime. Our next slide. Thank you. So I request is based off of the scope of work. It's a large and complex. It's based off of limitations of volunteers time and trying to avoid asking members to meet more than twice a week. It's a side note recognize that commissioner Ryan bought this before us at our September 15th quarterly report. It's based off of all the different bodies of law we need to understand and navigate and creating a system to recommend a City Council and also on the fact that we can't move as quickly as we'd like sometimes due to bureaucratic delay within the city, like waiting five weeks for a new members. Email address to be created or four months for facilitators contracts to be approved. It's based off of our pacing last spring and summer being slower, in part because we originally thought this settlement agreement deadline of October. 29th was our deadline and then we were told later the deadline from court slash d.o.j. To the city for the commission to stop meeting. It is different from the deadline from the city to the commission for the commission to stop meeting. Sorry, that's a mouthful. And thanks. Next time, please. Cool um, um this request is based on looking at other large scale complex city projects as well, like the charter commission, which got two years to do its work. We discussed how beneficial the briefings have been so far in the documents we presented today quoted commissioner Rubio and mayor Wheeler and our officer accountability document. Which will present the next quarterly report. We specify specifically cited commissioner Mapps advice as well. We stated commissioner Ryan's advice and a document previously presented and we look forward to learning from commissioner Gonzalez in the next few weeks. Um we also quoted are Portland police bureau briefers as well as others, and we want to keep hearing people and we still have briefings. We haven't yet been able to

schedule that are really important to the system's success. Like the Portland police commanding officers association, for example, um and finally we looked at the language given to us by City Council, which was mentioned earlier. We've been going through that during each phase. Um here's some of the resolutions resolution. 375 to 7 says that an inclusive, diverse, community driven processes needed to develop recommendations to City Council for the structure and processes. Of the new oversight system working with the community takes time to build relationships and gather people together. Especially those who might not trust the city to do the right thing, which, as we even heard earlier, you know, there's some of that, obviously, um, resolution 375 to 7 and 37548 both say that there must be a lengthy involved process process where consideration is given to the complex topic of police accountability, and I mentioned earlier how we've been running into that complexity throughout the process as well. Thank you. This was on the City Council agenda on January 18th and was referred back to the mayor's office. Ask if you could please bring that document back to the council agenda and vote on it here possible to give the commission enough time to do its work while other resources are helpful in grade, there is one resource which the City Council has that you could give us today and which would be most beneficial to ensuring we can do this work and do it. As well as we would like, and that is time. In closing, will briefly discuss what's coming up next. We're in the structure and details phase and we're working on board membership, the oversight staff and agency and reporting and transparency, which is how the system provides information to the public and to the City Council. We have community engagement events coming up the next one of which is on March 22nd. Finally the next quarterly report covering January through March, 2023 will be presented in late April or early may. Thank you for giving us the opportunity to brief you on the work of the police accountability

commission. Through the end of 2022. We look forward to continuing our work to ensure the will of the voters and creating a new system of accountability, including a new oversight board for the Portland police. This concluded presentation and we are happy to answer any questions that you may all have. Thank you. Excellent report. Commissioner Rubio. Thank you, mayor. Um and thank you for that report was very thorough and again. I just want to reiterate the mayor's comments about your dedication and your tireless hours upon hours of work, um, just big appreciation there. My questions are have a couple first is about community engagement. You've been talking about having sessions with communicate. Can you talk about how those are going? And um, how attended are they like what is what, like what is the number that you're doing and how well attended and what are some common themes that are coming up in questions that you're getting um, so I would say, I mean, I'm not sure about exact numbers, but definitely a few dozen on yeah, like anywhere from 2030. I would say, does that sound um, depending on the events, um, and what we're seeing is kind of a general frustration with the current system. Um and I think a lot of people sort of misunderstand what are charges and they think that we're in charge with shaping the current system. Um so we get, you know a lot of people that are really angry because they feel like, um, the current oversight system is not working, and there is not accountability. Um, and so that is definitely a large part of like the sentiment, um, from a lot of people, and then we also have people from the totally opposite side that are annoyed and angry that we're fulfilling the boj's requirements in the settlement agreement like that. Don't think we should be doing this at all, and that it's a waste of time, even though it is something that is mandated by the department of justice as a result of actions committed by, you know, the Portland police department, so we have a wide range of opinions and, um yeah. Wide range of just different uh,

yes, sides that come to our um, our meetings, but I think the general consensus is like what we have right now is like not working for anybody. Um and so that definitely all sides are saying that okay? And uh, the next question I have is about more about your internal processes. And you have a very diverse uh, body. That's that's doing all this work. Can you tell me a little bit about how the process itself is inclusive and how you're balancing out voices to ensure equal participation, accessible, inclusive, equitable participation of all its members. Well, I don't know. Faith wants to jump in because I see faith hand is up. But if not, then I can sure, um, just one comment regarding the community engagement events. I think one of the things that we've been consistently hearing, um is better events have not been trauma informed. And so, um, the matter of violence from police and asking community members for feedback and can create a lot of trauma for people, and so that's something that we've been mindful of is how do we do this in a sensitive way, and gather feedback and create a place for people to be heard, but also to not re traumatized. Then. I think that is a really important consideration and something that we don't think we've nailed. It's something that we need to continue to work on. Um and then I think, um, your further question commissioner Rubio is that is a constant challenge. There's 20 volunteers. Um we have diverse lived experiences. I think one of the questions and the application for us to be a participant is how do you work within groups with diverse opinions or backgrounds? And so that's something that we're all really mindful of. I think one thing that we I acknowledge is that, um, equity does not mean equal, so some folks are carrying a larger load than others. And I don't think that is unequal. But it's often not inequitable, so I folks and have more capacity to write or to research. We grant them the opportunity to that and I often times for me. One thing that represents equity is my ability to ask my colleagues like I've got I'm burning the barrel and I'm I don't have a lot to give. So

can you step in here and I think that as we talk about equity, we are trying to consider not just racial equity and a racialized identities but also what we have to contribute. As my colleagues have mentioned. It's a very large load to carry and so I'm really grateful for the opportunity to be able to look at colleagues and be like I gotta go off camera. Can you cover me in this and I think that we've really formed a camaraderie to be able to do that with one another, and that's reflected in the way that you've heard from coaches. We are taking Kansas co chairs. That's what you've heard from so many members of the commission. And if I could just sat on that 17 different members of the commission have been a co chair of something so far between the subcommittees and the full commission. So it has definitely just been very, very broad. Great that's great to hear. My last question is you asked for some extra time. And so can you just talk a little bit about what that would mean for you having that extra time? Um you know, you have already, but just, um what specifically? Will you use that time for? Um so i'll give you a couple examples. As I respond to this question when scheduling meetings, um, trying to have a meeting on Monday evenings and Thursday evenings and finding that that's not sufficient means occasionally having to look at potentially scheduling a third meeting a week the last week of March we were looking at adding a third meeting. To that week which is something that I know has come up in the in the past year. It also prevents members from being able to read all the documents for as long and process them around their other scheduling obligations, which means that the meeting time is often a little bit less effective than it might be. So with additional time it would allow for greater spacing between the meetings of a specific subcommittee, and those times that time wouldn't be lost because it could be used for community engagement events or could be used for a different subcommittee. It would also allow for not having to go to a third meeting a week and allowing for

that that inclusive, community driven process to quote the council resolution. To be enacted and the members often meet outside of this to plan agenda setting, for example, which is not something that is done in a meeting but needs time for co chairs to meet, so that's in addition to the two public meetings a week and so having the additional time would allow for the inclusion of um all of these things in less frequent. Um and with more spacing to allow for more time to process more time to engage the community and more time for members to consider what they're being asked to decide upon. I just want to say that our plan has a community engagement phase at the end when we're done when we have you know the package ready before it presents to you, we would really like to be able to present it to the community, get feedback and do fine tuning and I'm afraid if we if the process gets truncated that peace may fall out, and I think that would be a shame, so we are plan includes that right now and I think realistically that isn't going to happen if we have to be conclude the all of our work by June. If we have a few more months, I think we can engage in that final community engagement effort, which I think will be critical in terms of presenting the best possible product to the council. Thank you. Great thanks any further questions? Commissioner Ryan mayor. Thanks and thank you for the questions of commissioner revealed they were some of mine as well. I know we have a lot of testimony was wondering if I should wait for that. But I just want to put out a couple of things. First of all, I'm like, everyone has said the labor that you're putting into this is really intense and your shared leadership model. Seems really noble. And I appreciate that when you explained how often the co chairs are rotating. I also know there's ramp up when you take on that role, so I can only imagine how much sacrifice you're making. So I appreciate that. 82% voted yes, and that's the good news. The challenge is that 82% voted yes, and that means there's a lot of different reasons why people voted? Yes

and sometimes I'm concerned. Are we hearing from the different pockets? Whatever that might be. And how are you going about in your engagement phase of trying to do enough outreach so that you hear the collection of voices? That make up that 82% I'm just curious. And are you at that phase now we're making those kind of decisions. It sounds like you are so there's sort of two different types of communities more than two. But to specifically there's the community engagement, where the commission wrote a commune engagement framework back last time March in which they addressed how they wanted to do. This one type is asking folks open ended questions that helped to develop the recommendations. And then, secondly, once there is a essentially a draft at the end, going back and saying, this is what the members heard and decided based off of that engagement. Where can that be adjusted as culture? Catherine just mentioned, and so the that second part is at the end? It is not yet they're the commission is not quite at that point, but the first part is ongoing throughout this entire process, and so one of the things that the commission has started to do with the help of now having additional staff to support that. Is reaching out. Not only doing general open events for the public and as many different formats and timelines as possible, but also talking to specific groups, community based organizations, business groups, chambers of commerce, things like that and trying to plan um, asked them to host events that are designed to give input from their networks and their members to the commission and doing that, in a diverse way. That's representative of the entirety. Of not only the 82% but actually the full 100% because the 18% who didn't support this should have their voices representative to now that we know that there will be an oversight board and so that process is ongoing on those took a little bit longer to get started because we have to work around their schedules, the community based organizations or business groups or whatever the group maybe. But that's how the

two together of the open general listening sessions q and a's etcetera, as well as the specific groupings are designed to collectively diversity represent the viewpoints of the entirety of this community. Does that answer the question. It did and you went beyond thank you. So my experience with something that's complex when you're kind of come with findings and is that when do we get to weigh in? And sometimes when we have something this complex it's such a hurry up and wait process so the engagement needs space to breathe. But also we need time so that we can engage with you. Um and I just want to make sure that we, uh, I want to know one when when's the right time to really tune in. I think we've I've been respecting your journey that you're on and you're all working your tails off. So it's when can we jump in ? Not just listen to understand, but to give some points of view on where things are landing, like, when do you want our engagement? You can submit public comment to me. No one from that. But also, you know, uh, you could always come to our meetings. But in terms of um, are you asking about like, um, creating a specific time outside of our meeting time to meets and present? Um, what we have now or like what? I guess what counsel be able to provide feedback. Say in June, when you when you present something to us is there is that in the process? Yeah, that is in the in the project plan idea was just hard to look at the timelines and no one that was that's all. I'm getting clarity on. Yeah ideally, prior to, um, first we haven't yet had a briefing with commissioner Gonzalez. We have that invitation out and we want to make sure that we're hearing for everybody. Ideally, more than once, um at the at the commission. But after, um after that, there will be a time probably in late April early may, where there's more of an idea of what the shaping of this looks like, and time and schedule permitting. We would definitely I know the commission members want and have expressed this several times the idea of bringing folks back as the coaches mentioned, there is actually at least one

thing from every member. Of the commission of the council. I should say that, um, that has briefed so far that made it into a document at least one thing more than one in a couple of places. And you did that in your report today as well. Yeah.

Thanks. Great any further questions before we go to public testimony. How many people do we have signed up today? 18. 18 okay, so let's let's do this. I want to honor and respect people's desire to speak. Try. To keep your comments to two minutes. But we'll let you go to 33 will definitely cut you off because there's a lot of people who need to testify. And I'm also mindful Keelan and please remind me that at 11 30 will have to take a break for the closed caption er. And i'll turn it over to you. Thank you, mayor. First up. We have philip to online. Thanks and so named for the record when you come up, you don't need to tell us your address or anything like that. My name is philip chaka. I am a member of potent cop watch for transparency. One of our members is a psc commissioner Mapps watch supports the adoption of this quarter quarterly report. And, more importantly, the p a, says unanimous request that the city line the deadline of the psc's work with the terms of the us d.o.j. Agreement. Which would give the psc until the end of October to finish the work instead of June as the city of suggesting you should. So you know something about me. I recently sued and reached a \$5001 settlement with this with the city and important place for violating my civil rights during the 2020 protests against racist and brutal, brutal policing and unknown ppb officers sprinted up behind me and hit me with a baton in the back and then the neck, then ran off to hit other people. I didn't file a complaint with the city's current oversight system because I feel the system is so unbelievably biased towards the police that there was little hope for the plea. Little hope the police and city would take responsibility for their harmful actions. Have been attending many of the psc meeting since they formed. I'm so grateful for the time effort, care and sense of urgency the

commission has devoted to the task of creating a fair, effective and transparent oversight system. I look forward to the police oversight system that is community led an independent from the police and city institutions that have conflicts of interest when it comes to holding police accountable. The system. The p a. C has designed takes with multiple levels of review have led to confusion in the way by having a person file a complaint with the new board and then i'll activities related to their complaints up until officers ordered to undergo corrective action or handled by the same board. The current system could have a person bounce to police internal affairs than to the bureau's police review board than two I p s citizen review committee and then to the chief and sometimes even to the City Council itself. It's a complicated process. Uh I appreciate the third quarter quarterly report, and it makes clear that the psc is working hard to accomplishments and much in such a short amount of time asked the City Council fulfilled their responsibility by standing by the time timeline you originally wrote for the p a. C and the psc members are requesting please give the pc 18 months from the implementation of the amendment to the settlement agreement. And make it till October. Thank you for your time. Thank you. Next step. We have a j. Mccrary. Hello. Is it on? Um so. I am a community member and community leader, and it has been really interesting to watch this process. This is very forward thinking. Council needs to get behind it. Not not in talking because you know Portland's loves to talk about talking. But an action and we just heard from this report that they need more support. If this is a really forward thinking thing that we're doing, we just saw charter pass, and that's somebody who participated in getting outreach for charter. We were underfunded. We didn't have enough support, but we still got the word out. This committee also needs that same support. Portland is going to be a place that is saying that we are doing things differently and that we are moving things forward or that we are

coming together. We actually have to do that. We have to do that with resources. We have to do that with patients. We have to stretch ourselves. We have to really actually listened to community members and the way that they are doing. This outreach is profound. This is something that we should be looking at all of our bureaus all of the ways that we are doing today government and that is what I'm asking for support this committee. In a way that charter commission was not supported. Support this committee and also used what they're doing and start. Let's let's start taking this energy and bringing it to other bureaus to other issues. Housing are homeless issue education the way that we're engaging with the city with our citizens. Here's an opportunity, so I'm asking all of you as as a community leader as a taxpayer as a citizen as a mom to really lean into this and to do right by all of us to be accountable. In this process, but also be accountable on what the citizens here are asking for, because we do need things to be moving differently, and we all are asking for that. And I know that some of y'all are interested in that. So let's do that. Let's be about the action and this committee right here. Here's the moment for us to lean in. Here is the moment. Get them the additional resources get them the extra time. How helped them in the way that they're being asked to help and shift the way that our government is engaging with citizens? Here is the opportunity. Here is the moment. Let's do it. Next step. We have britney brock. My name is brittany. My pronouns. Are she her? Thank you so much to this committee. You all are doing incredible work. Thank you for doing this difficult and time consuming work as well really helps us drive towards a better city. I have some questions that need clarification by mr Wheeler, who approves the report, not the committee because once again this committee is doing great work. These questions do not have a right or wrong answer because I do not believe that I just believe in knowing and not knowing. Currently I and others have doubts with anything around

the city of Portland and the Portland police for me as a citizen. To help rebuild trust between the city and its people. The thought of questions must be answered for transparency before we even get into approving these reports extra in accountability now. Why are we the largest city in America? With the police force out body cameras? Why does it need to be examined? Usable mayor Wheeler? My thought question to that. Can you please answer? It's just as feasible to you. That sounds like a rhetorical question. But in this case we can actually buy cameras for officers. Justices literally quite feasible. Kgw reports that there remains an ongoing argument between the city and officers union. The union wants officers to be able to consult their body cam video before writing that reports are really really really think here. Why do you think the officers want to be able to review the footage before they write their reports? Let me ask again. Now why are we the only major city without body cameras? Why do you do you think the officers want to review the footage before they write their reports? I'm not done with my line of questioning. I can recognize mr willy. We do speak very different languages. Your master's degrees in public policy, which mine is in philosophy, so as far as someone like me who hasn't studied that? Please explain to me why it is so hard to bargain with Portland police bureau. I will admit I can be a bit of an idealist here. So this is just my idea in August recruits, journalists, piper mcdonnell reported. The parliament operating what is likely the most expensive pension program in the nation. It's a similar imposes significant costs include incur some financial risk to the city property taxes funding. This pension program costs more than the city of Portland, metro Multnomah County and Portland community college bonds combined, and yet the system funds only those who became employed by the department prior to 2007 told in 2021. The pension program cost taxpayers 141 million this year, the program requested 199 million for pension payments and pensions. Pension

system is rare because it does not require employers and employees to contribute to the city. But the city doesn't believe the system is risky. Analysts warned. The right combination of low tax revenue and high pension costs could result in the pension plan, consuming a substantial part of city property taxes. Also some believe, asking taxpayers to foot the bill for pensions isn't there. Mr Wheeler, can you use the tax money from the Portland from the pension program that no other city has to put cameras on the Portland police to support this committee. Not only will be the last city to dish this antiquated pension program, but we could also be the last city to join others in having cameras and having a more accountable police force, and we can support this committee and giving them more resources. Last thing the greatest perpetrators of violence in the city of the police, not the poor. All quickly answer your questions. Um number one. Why are we the last city in America? It has largely to do with the fact that our police bureau is unionized, and they have taken a very strong stance. I'm not telling them they're wrong. But the council has a different stance with regard to whether or not they should be able to view prior to writing their reports as I'm sure you're aware the council and the union disagree on that. We're still adhering to the required process. Under federal law. It's going to arbitration and ultimately an arbitrator will decide whether this council is correct in terms of the current position around. Not viewing in advance or, alternatively, whether the union is correct in terms of their belief. And of course, of course, overlaying. All of this is the fact that the United States Department of Justice has to say on this as well, but um, that that is in process and it is because we are following federal labor law and federal labor practices with regard to collective bargaining. Um. I will note that I believe I am the first mayor in the history of the city to actually allocate funding towards body worn cameras. I believe very strongly that we need body worn cameras, and I believe it's not only a good tool for

accountability. It's a good tool for investigative follow up to understand what actually happened. So I'm I'm very strongly supportive. Of body worn cameras action. I'm sorry. And show some action. You mean like passing budgets, allocating actual dollars, engaging in a collective bargaining process with a very clearly stated opinion that up better now you can keep your testimony. Next individual, please. Next up. We have danielle mallard. Welcome person. Daniel here. Ah let's go on to dan salazar, I believe is joining us online. Welcome. Thank you. Thank you, mayor and commissioners. So I'm testifying, uh, on this today because of I do think that we need to actually focus on implementing the body cameras more efficiently. I know that we just had a discussion about that. But I'm also concerned about the fact that the police union has such sway, essentially or the council and I do want to know that every other, um union actually has to fight the cops occasionally for her rights but shifting on now I want to focus on the thing on the 10th ban that was passed by commissioner Gonzalez. Uh just before the heavy snow. I think that is very concerning because shelter is a basic human rights. And while I do not believe there is any hostile and malicious intent that d n there will be plenty of opportunity for you to be able to discuss this with commissioner Gonzalez and the council, but that is not the issue on the table. Currently the issue on the table currently is related to the police accountability commission. And the report they've just given. Can you please keep your comments related to that I'm aware of this was something that means a few other people that are. Okay next step. We have mountain mallory boost owes um, yes, I am. Um public health manager and, um, was in public health. We believe that there's a health in all policies approach and that, um, I mean, means bringing every policy is a public health issue. Um and so I believe that also includes um police, accountability and police work in general, um and I definitely support um this report and giving them this point that they need, um, including the

time extension. Um if not, you know, money and everything else that they need to do this and they're doing amazing work. Um I also would like to speak on holding, um commissioner Gonzalez accountable for his ban. Can you tell me when, in this process, I would be able to speak on that. Not on this item for sure this is the police accountability commission report to council and I would just respectfully ask that people stay on topic. Thank you. We'll be able to speak at the end of the meeting, or is it a different meeting? That is not on the agenda today. Okay thank you. Well I definitely support this report and support a continent holding all police accountable and commissioners, the mayor, everybody accountable. Thank you. Thank you. We appreciate it. Next step. We have kili higgins. Good morning. Thank you for the time, ted. I believe the last time I saw you in this kind of setting was when I gave testimony demanding that you and the use of poisonous gas on humans in this city. I recognize that I must have missed some sort of miscommunication about when we were talking about the tent ban. I'm gonna go for it to give some context as to why I'm here to address rain rene Gonzalez his ban on the distribution of tents and sleeping bags via street response and the fire department. I operate within a framework of mutually for folks who don't know what that is. Mutual leaders of voluntary reciprocal exchange of this is extremely disrespectful to the police accountability commission that have this floor right now to discuss their item. You're not talking about that. So either get on topic. We're going to ask you to leave. Their impression is inaccurate. I don't know where you got that information. We post the agenda. The agenda is very clear. Er in terms of what we're discussing, it's a public document. The police accountability oversight commission, asking when is it that we can address that? It's not on it is not on today's agenda. Standing up like we're all here for it. Well you're here at the wrong time. I'm sorry. This is the police. Accountability. I cannot why, but I can tell you it's not right now. For the band, so

the way that people give public testimony on any subject on any subject. You would like to give public testimony. You can see the council clerk or you can go to the council clerk's website, you can sign up to talk about any subject you want for three minutes at a City Council meeting. I would encourage you to do that. Absolutely not right now. It's not on today's agenda. That's correct. The item you are referring to is not on today's agenda. You can talk about it during communications. Yes and she would be more than delighted to sign you up for that the ban is killing people, inhumane, evil and escape hard and kind of a buck 10. You know when you do that it actually totally undercuts the rusty argument. You think? Yeah well and as a result of your behavior, nobody really cares that you think either. Did you consider that possibility? Alright next. You know what? Um we're going to go to virtual if you have one more outburst. And I don't care if you like me or not. That's not the point. The point is, you're disrespecting other people who have put a lot of time and energy into the police accountability commission and you are being extremely disrespectful of their time and energy. And I don't know why you think your opinion is more important than theirs at this particular juncture. So if you want to stay, stay on point do not interrupt. Do not disrupt the people who are trying to speak who signed up to testify on the police accountability report. I'm just asking you to be decent people. Can you do that? You're not sure. Okay, well, we'll find out next individual, please. Next step. We have rachel chase miller. What. 50 be there police officer or a politician they take on the obligation to work in the best interest of our citizens. Constants will said public services about serving all the people, including the ones who are not like you. But how does one represent those who are from who are different from themselves? When decisions are based in paternalism, and the presumption that the powerful know more about the needs of their constituents and the constituents themselves. Leaders are not demonstrating

accountability to those they serve. That's why commissions such as the police accountability commissioner so important when cruelty is clipped as tough love for people we don't trust to participate in making the decisions that impact them the most. We are not being accountable. A lot of terrible actions had been justified by paternalism and supremacy, colonization, slavery, residential schools, conversion therapy are all examples of those in power, claiming to know what is best enforcing inhumane policy on the people they have dispossessed and reinforced by police and politicians. The same oppressive paternalism is president and commissioner Gonzalez. His decision to deny tents and tarps to one housed people during freezing winter conditions, no less. The claim that denying shelter will help more than it hurts is not data driven, and it is not humane. I'm I'm coming back to the accountability, please do. Let's see. It's easier when I'm not interrupted blankets and sleeping bags don't do a lot of good in the rain and snow without the ability to stay dry and the idea that you solve a problem like 10 fires by making cool people s. We're in recess will reconvene virtually every book. Recording stopped. Hmm. Mm hmm. Hmm. Hmm. Mm hmm. Mm hmm. Mm hmm. Yeah. Yeah. Mhm. Hmm. Yeah mm hmm . Yeah. Yeah. Okay? Mm hmm. Thank you. Hmm. Mm hmm. Mm hmm. Mm right? Thank you. Yeah. Yeah. Along there. Mm hmm. Mhm. Mm hmm. Ah again. Sorry for the delay. The reason we have council rules is so that, as was stated up front at the very beginning of the meeting that everybody can feel respected and heard and safe. In their City Council there. There's always some number of people who believe that those rules don't apply to them. And I'm sorry that that's the case. Um I'd prefer to be in meeting but we now have the ability to go virtual when we need to, and that's what we're doing, so we'll continue with public testimony. But I want to remind people that now that we are virtual um if people stray off topic or they want to drop the f bomb, or whatever else we'll just cut you

off. We're asking you to be respectful of the work of the commission. They've now stayed an extra hour. As a result of this disruption. They have their own lives that they'd like to get back to. So I really ask quite emphatically that people please be respectful of this public process without Keelan, go ahead and call the next individual. Thank you, mayor. Uh next up, we have no, uh, fully bending. They were going to join us online. I believe they left. The meeting will go on to sofia. Learn a out. Yeah I don't see sophia and anymore let's go on to jesse presley gruson. Left, isabelle thomas. Uh, w. Iona. Debbie, are you see? I see debbie, okay? W muted. If you're if you're on a phone tryst, are there there you are. We see get juggle all the little things here. I know I'm here. I'm teddy iona, one of the members of the police accountability commission. And I'm here today in support of the p a. C s unanimous recommendation for an October 29th and date. October 29th is the date set by the court order associated with the addendum to the settlement agreement. Council created confusion by setting a second end date for June 9th. Pac members have been working diligently. We meet two nights a week and do additional work outside the meetings. There is a strong interest in producing a well designed proposal, so the city's new oversight board will start off on a solid foundation and union date will make that extremely difficult and maybe result in an incomplete proposal. For reduced opportunities for engagement with City Council and the public. The city has a reputation for community involvement, but holding unrealistic expectations of people volunteering on city boards and commissions creates barriers to participation. Arrest her observer at many city committees over the last 25 years, and I've never seen one that held meetings as often as the p a. C. It is hard to understand how the city can consider holding this volunteer accountability commission to a higher standard than it requires of itself as an example, the city was obliged to hire an academic dean for the training division within 100 and 50

days of posting the position a year has gone by without an opera being made. D.o.j. Has its demonstrated enormous patients in regards to the city's performance. I encourage City Council to give the p a c similar consideration. Thank you so much. Yeah. Okay next up. I think we've had a number of people need, but I just want to double check jack's wolkoff. Stage pregnancy. Marie landrigan and left away. She was planning to be in person, um, and then finally low, goldberg. Okay. Mayor that completes testimony. Is there anybody else in lovejoy, who wanted to testify but did not hear their name called? Is there anybody there? Is there anybody online who signed up to testify, but your name was not called. Okay very good colleagues that completes public testimony any further questions or discussions? And if not, I entertain in motion to accept the report? Some of them commissioner Mapps moves. Can I get a second, please? Commissioner Rubio seconds. Any further discussion, seeing none. Keelan, please call the roll. Here we go. First I want to thank all the commissioners from the commission who have been working really hard on this. Very uh, very, uh, big task for us. I also want to thank us, samir and the staff of the community safety division and also the great facilitation team who's been working tirelessly to staff and support this work. Regarding the timeline. Um if the additional time is needed to produce a quality, inclusive proposal, I believe that and I support the additional time to get this, right. Um and I also, um and also underscore the urgency of need, which I know you are all very focused on as well. And I know those things can sometimes seem in conflict with each other, but, um, but I know that you're holding both those things together as as people who are thoughtfully engaged in this work. I also want to lift up the words of commissioner hardisty that we heard back in December. And we all know that she took leadership to create this transformational work. Um, but it really has helped at least me to really focus on ground. We went when I look at this work. Um and she was very

clear about the charge and the focus and she said, um, that we're you're going to have to answer. What does it look like for a police officer to go through the system? What does it look like for a community member to go? Go through the system. And why is it better than what we have today? That's pretty clear and very simple. It's not simple, but it's clear. Um so when I think about this, um i'll very much look forward to the full proposal and to see how your your thoughts on that as as we hear the full thing, um I also want to lift up. Um I appreciate this shared leadership model. Um and the shared capacity practices that you all talked about. Um and I will look forward to a proposal that's really reflective of all the different voices and lived experiences of the members. And if there was, in fact opportunity for space and engagement needed to ensure that the process itself was equitable and inclusive, and it sounds to me that that is your practice, so that's that's really great to hear. Um so again. Just thank you, each commissioner for your very thoughtful work for the dedicated time. Thank you, sameer for your support and leadership. Um I have a lot of admiration for the list that you are all making on behalf of all our Portland communities. So for these reasons I got right. Client. Yes thank you very much outstanding volunteers. I really do appreciate your hard work and your reports are always very thorough. And it seems like we really haven't seen a lot of you lately, and it really just shows how how difficult this has been and how, uh, how elegant. You've been it just staying focused. I really do appreciate that. I know that I'm also really impressed that you really, um caught up to the timeline, and that was today's, um, discussion. It was about time line. And so I've heard that I will. I will. I will keep in conversation with all of you about that. Especially you. Sameer I know. I think I'm on schedule to come back to your committee in April. I know we've been trying to get on schedule with you again. And so I look forward to that. I can't tell you sameer and you're now you have another f t working with you. How impressed

I am with how you're moving this along. We do have to get this right. Commissioner Rubio, you were wise to bring up that this must we must have a better product than we had. When we started and everything I'm listening to indicates that we're making that progress. So thank you for your service to our city. Um um I look forward to being in dialogue with all of you about this process, and mostly, I want to make sure the council also has time to wrestle with this. It's very important when we do these, uh, music community. Reports that we have an opportunity to also engage at the end because you know what? That hey, we've had a couple examples where it feels really rushed at the end, and I want to make sure that we have a chance to do dialogue as well. Anyway, we have a lot of pressure is coming at us from many different directions. Keep remembering is 82% keep embracing what that means, and, uh anyway, I'm just really thrilled with the work that you're doing and very, very supportive, and I just want to end with the sacrifice that you're making to your loved ones by putting in so much time as in your service to courtland. Thank you very much for that. I accept this report, right? Gonzales I just want to thank the commissioners for your good work here. Committee members and for your patients today, uh, important work that sometimes feels like is been put on the backburner by charter reform and want to keep your working for front and center and keep it pushing forward. So thanks so much for your report, would i? Well, er, I thought. I thought this was an excellent report really? Well done. I want to thank the staff. I want to thank the commissioners. The degree to which you've taken this seriously the degree to which you have with each report provided more and more detail. It's clear to me you're considering all sides of every one of these issues and its exemplary from my perspective, so I just want to personally applaud. You also want to apologize. I want to apologize that there were people who did not want to talk about the report who used this is an opportunity to try and change the

channel. To something else. And you deserved more respect than that. And so I apologize on behalf of those who sought to disrupt the work of this commission. In the work of this council. I think you're doing an excellent job. I've heard two requests. If I heard you correctly, you can always correct me later. Yeah, I've heard that support. Staff support is an issue or potential issue that we need to talk about. And certainly I've heard the question around timing and all pledged to go back and circle with my team. My staff, public safety folks and others to discuss that with you about what makes the most sense, but I've heard your request loudly and clearly and I appreciate the way you framed it. Happy to vote. Either report is accepted. Thank you for your terrific work. Appreciate it. Thank you. Next item back to the regular agenda. It's a second reading item number 179. Friend vehicles and traffic code and direct the Portland bureau of transportation to adopt administrative rules to create a process for the installation of electric vehicle charges and the public right of way. Colleagues this is a second reading. We've already heard a presentation and had opportunity for public testimony. Is there any more any further business on this ordinance? Seeing none. Please call the roll. Keelan cbo. Um I want to thank commissioner Mapps and the people at staff for all the time and energy they put into this important project. Um and I also appreciate how peabody's projects. Uh ah. And how it you we all, uh, recently passed the right of way. Complement that that party I'm sorry. Tongue tied. And it complements the e v ready code project that bps staff worked on recently that was passed by council and together, um, the bps projects and the p block project really will help ensure that more Portland just will have access to e b charging, um, and as we know, um transportation electrification, especially the need to increase access to public charging has been identified. Um in many policies, including the climate emergency work plan as a goal so to that, that and I also want to just point out uh, that are two

bureaus. People like pbs or bps have been working closely together on decarbonization projects. Um and so I'd like to thank that team as well as think. The bps team in grid fill sandra and patricia and also, um, um, um all of the council officers who have been part of this work, so I'm happy to vote. I fan. Yes thank you. Commissioner Mapps in your view of transportation for answering some of my questions last week calculate, appreciate this ordinance. It's definitely another step towards electrification, which reduces carbon emissions. We do need to incentivize more affordability for this type of infrastructure on our residents and users, and we must look at the right away and the bigger picture as one of our city's largest assets, the tensions between adding more to the right way, making sure that we have a bigger tree canopy or all the challenges that we have to face as we keep moving forward. I am about in support of this item and want us to remember. Um that we have more and more and more discussions to have on a bigger and longer term. I vote on gonzales road. I. Great work by the ordinances adopted. Thank you. Everybody worked so hard on this next item is a 4/5 item item number. 180 authorized the chief administrative officer or designate to negotiate and execute one or more agreements to temporarily relocate a portion of city fleet stanton yard operations during the Oregon department of transportation, I five worst quarter improvement project. Colleagues city fleets base of operations is located to the north Portland kirby albino campus, including three acres of parking lot space, which we lease from oh, dot fleet needs to relocate operations temporarily due to or that sci fi rose quarter improvement project, which is slated to begin later this year. The autumn authorizes the chief administrative officer or designate, to execute agreements to secure appropriate space and facilities for relocate. Cation facilities, property program manager pauline global and vehicle services manager mike roy are here to present the item and answer any questions that you may have

welcome. Uh, thank you and mike actually had to depart due to the ugly and the meeting. So for the record, my name is maddie solder I overseas city fleet. Um not exactly sure. What's going on with pauline's audio? So as you know, I had it on newt. I'm sorry I have I can take it clean. So as noted city fleet, I think you've all been to the kirby garage. It's a large campus, located in north Portland. Near legacy, emanuel we have a couple of vehicle operations there and a large parking lot associated with that odor is expanding. I five and consequently we are losing a large body of parking that's affiliated with work that we do there and so we are losing those lots for six years. And so we immediately needed to find new parking, and, uh and industrial building to move an entire operation to not the whole operation that just a portion of the operation. And we're lucky enough to find very quickly sight of its juan island that we could move vehicle processing and about three acres of parking, too. So if we are able to secure this lease, which we have to have an ordinance to do, we will begin moving in April and the entire operation has to be relocated by September. That's weird seeking castle approval. Very good. Thank you. Colleagues any questions at this juncture? Public testimony, killing married. You have commissioner Gonzalez go ahead, can you? I'm totally supportive. This I just want to be clear, but I have some longer term questions. Um it is. Is a person of this actually, under the under I five or is this in touch? What is this? The parking area that's under I five? Yeah there's quite a lot of parking. Actually that will ultimately be effected. So it's both us and pbot, who will ultimately be affected. Pbot section of the parking is the peace under. I thought, actually all of it is under I five p bots section is called the south lot and, oh, dot deter. Terminate after initial communications that that section of it is not needed for another two years. So p bought is getting a little bit of grace in terms of finding relocation for that portion of the parking. I'm not exactly sure how they're going to solve for that,

but, yeah, all of this is parking that's currently underneath. Um you know that section of I five and a portion of the fremont bridge, but the majority of that's actually his is actually pbot parking, and that's not impacted by what's before us today. You know, pauline can speak to that better than I can. You know, um, there may be some p bots property that is affected by this. Um they are looking at it internally. We have been in close communications with michael down at the entire time. Actually regarding this notification from oh dot for the leases, because the least that we have with oh, dot for our space also includes space for pbot. So um, they have been, um, you know, they know that we're coming to council today, and I think they're looking internally on what they want to do or what they how they can replicate what they're going to be missing. So dave michael townley definitely will be. If he needs to come to us for support. He knows that he can do that and we'll be there to support him. I appreciate it. This is a note to my colleagues. I visited this in November. December time frame and again. I'm totally supportive of what we're doing in this. Uh ordinance here, but where this authorization, but the long term parking needs for pbot down there. There are some real seismic concerns, uh, that have gone on for years and just want to call that out here. Um that is not long term sustainable by any stretch of imagination, so just a note to my colleagues. It's we'll table that for another day, but that's all I got. Thanks. Thank you, commissioner. Anybody else? Any questions at this juncture? Do we have public testimony? Keelan I assume not no one signed up there. Okay. Very good. Please call the roll. This is a 4/5 item. Um thanks for the presentation on this, and I totally understand the urgency and happy to vote. I yeah, but also thanks for coming with a solution. It's not easy to have to relocate a lot of space to another space that's really close. It's really nearby. So it's great that you found spaces. Swan island has really delighted to hear that part of this test about this presentation. Good work. I thought I

gonzales would i? Miller. I vote? Aye the ordinance is adopted. Thank you and last, but not least back to the consent agenda. Item number, 173 was pulled, authorize and alternative selection process for the compliance officer and community liaison contract to comply with the city of Portland and department of justice settlement agreement. We have sarah aims from the city attorney's office with us today to introduce the item. Welcome, sarah. Thank you. I um I am the at at the city attorney's office. I'm deputy city attorney. Sarah aims and I work on the department of justice settlement agreement. And as you all realize the settlement agreement that we entered into requires us to have a compliance officer and community liaison. Um, for the last eight years that has been filled by dennis rosenbaum and the team of okay, uh, experts that he has assembled to work on the contract. Uh dr rosenbaum is retiring. He intends to retire this summer. He has said he would like to leave at the end of June could stay on through August. Um to help finish up some, uh, first quarter reports and other items and helping the transition so we need to, um, replace him because we're still under that. That provision in the settlement agreement that we are required to have a community. Um but compliance officer community liaison. We call them, coco, um, and the one complicating factor is, as you all realize. The department of justice has proposed that we moved to an in an independent monitor model for this and that person would or team would assume the duties of the cocoa. Um but until those amendments to the until the city reaches agreement with the department of justice on those amendments of settlement agreement were named under the terms of the current one, and so this is, um this is this the solution continuing with the current system. Sarah, could I ask you a question? We'll get to we'll get to testimony and just a minute. I see mark is teed up here. So if I understand this correctly, it allows us to continue the mediation with the department of justice and interrupted,

but it also ensures that there's no gap in those if those negotiations are completed before dr rosen bomber tires is, is that accurate? That's exactly right. This is a provision you know that doesn't depend on the outcome of the mediation. Okay and this would not be brought forward. But for the fact that dr rosenbaum has indicated he wants to retire, correct the current contract with the coke coal provides it either another two years of extensions that could be allowed under that contract. So exactly right. We would not be coming forward with this if there was an option to just extend the current contract, okay, very good. Keelan who pulled this? I assume it was marked, but I don't know. Yes, sign. Mark, why don't you go ahead? Three minutes, please. Thank you. Good afternoon, mayor and commissioners. My name is mark porous, says he him pronouns on him with the group. Portland cop watch Portland cop watch has been involved in following nearly every part of the city's efforts to comply with the u. S d.o.j. Versus city of Portland's settlement agreement, which ostensibly is moving us towards police bureau that no longer uses excessive amounts of force the path to concluding the bureau's transformation has been in turmoil since the city's response to the racial justice uprising in 2020. Exposed just how far away we are from having such a bureau. The item before you was seeking a special recruitment process to replace the cocoa who was hired by the city in 2014, after an extensive process that included community input. We remember that the panel of community members asked to weigh in on the final three candidates advised the city to hire one of the two local applicants, not dr rosenbaum, who lived in chicago and the city ignored that input and the procedure that's vaguely outlined here states that the albino ministerial alliance coalition for justice and police reform and the mental health alliance as friends of the court case will be involved in this process, along with the Portland committee on community engaged policing and undefined other community

members. We hope that the input from the stakeholders is given more consideration than the panel from 2014. And the agenda item mentions that the cocos contract was extended to March 2021 2021 with an amount of 499,000 some odd dollars, which suspiciously just under the then recently adopted minimum of \$500,000 for it to have appeared on the council agenda. There was another extension until 2022, which appears to have added over \$500,000 but isn't listed as a as a council ordinance. Another extension to June. 30 2023 does not mention an amount but also appears to have happened without a council vote included specific items for the statement of work, adding personnel and increasing compensation. We urge council to also be transparent and upfront about the costs of this position. This also brings to mind the question of why such an important job listing was placed on the consent agenda rather than being put forward for public discussion. Ordinance acknowledges that it is more likely than not that the cocoa will be replaced by a court monitor. However, realistically, that won't happen for several months, dr. Rosenbaum is retiring as we heard at the end of June, which is less than four months from now and given the glacial pace at which the city's worked on hiring a civilian dean of training and other such important jobs. We hope that there is someone in place to continue monitoring ppb s progress or lack thereof. Before dr rosenbaum takes himself out of availability to ease that transition, even if there is an agreement to create a court monitor that changed to the settlement agreement would have to go before judge simon, who stated yesterday February 28th that he doesn't really have any free time on his calendar until July. Assuming that he approves the change. It will then take the city and d.o.j. Several months to formally initiate the process to find to find the court monitor, so it's likely this new coke all needs to be in place for at least six months to a year. Continue to bear in mind. The overall goals of the agreement are are to build trust with the community

and have a transparent system of policing. Please do not hide important items on the consent agenda make it clear how much money the city's lack of compliance is costing taxpayers and be sure to listen to the community voices. You've chosen to help select the next person for this job. Thank you. Thank you. Mark Keelan. Was there anybody else signed up? No. Okay very good colleagues any further questions on this for sarah? Please call the roll. Radio by brian. I. Gonzales by. Wheeler. I ordinances adopted. Thank you, everyone and Keelan that completes our business for this morning. Is that correct? It doesn't matter. Very good. Thanks, everybody. We are adjourned. Mhm. Mm hmm. Mm hmm hmm. Mm hmm. Mm hmm. Mm hmm. Hmm. Hmm. Okay. Mm hmm. Hmm. Mm hmm. Yeah. Mhm. Mm hmm. Yeah. Yeah. Mhm. Front. Yeah. Yeah. Point. Yeah. Mm. Yeah. Yeah. Okay? Mm hmm. Thank you . Mhm.