

**City Of Portland REVIEWED FOR CODE COMPLIANCE** 

Date: 01/30/23 Project: 21-011534-DFS-01-CO

- 1. FOR CALCULATION PURPOSES, RESTRAINT ANGLE FROM HORIZONTAL ( $\Theta$ ) WITHIN THE SEISMIC SEISMIC CABLE MAY BE INSTALLED WITHIN A BRACE ANGLE RANGE OF 35°- 50° AS MEASURED FROM THE HORIZONTAL AS SHOWN IN THE DETAILS. FOR THE BEST RESTRAINT SCENARIO, WE RECOMMEND MAINTAINING A 45° ANGLE WHERE POSSIBLE. IF THE BRACE ANGLE IS OUTSIDE OF THE 35°- 50° RANGE, THE DELEGATED SEISMIC ENGINEER MUST BE CONTACTED AND THE
- 4. SEISMIC RESTRAINTS ARE ONLY REQUIRED AT THE LOCATIONS WHERE SEISMIC CABLES ARE
- UNLESS SPECIFICALLY NOTED OTHERWISE, EVALUATION/DESIGN OF SYSTEMS FOR THERMAL

#### DRAWING REFERENCES

- SD10x SEISMIC RESTRAINT LAYOUT(S) SD210 - SEISMIC RESTRAINT DETAILS FOR SUSPENDED EQUIPMENT
- SD300 SEISMIC CABLE CALCULATION DATA
- SD301 ROD STIFFENER CALCULATIONS AND DETAILS
- **SD400** STRUCTURAL ATTACHMENT DETAILS

# 21-011534-DFS-01-CO

#### ENGINEER'S REVIEW DIBBLE ENGINEERS, INC. X No Exceptions Taken \_\_\_\_\_ Note Markings \_\_\_\_ Revise & Resubmit By DEI/MKW Date 1/10/23 ENGINEER'S REVIEW IS FOR GENERAL CONFORMANCE TO THE DESIGN CONCEPT AND CONTRACT DOCUMENTS. REVIEW OF THE SPECIALTY STRUCTURAL ENGINEERS (SSE) DESIGN IS FOR

SPECIALTY STRUCTURAL ENGINEERS (SSE) DESIGN IS FOR CONFORMANCE TO DESIGN CRITERIA AND COMPATIBILITY WITH THE DESIGN OF THE BUILDING AND DOES NOT RELIEVE THE SSE OF RESPONSIBILITY FOR THAT DESIGN. MARKINGS OR COMMENTS SHALL NOT BE CONSTRUED AS RELIEVING THE CONTRACTOR FROM COMPLIANCE WITH THE PROJECT PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS, NOT DEPARTURES THEREFROM. THE CONTRACTOR REMAINS RESPONSIBLE FOR DETAILS AND ACCURACY; FOR CONFIRMING AND CORRELATING ALL QUANTITES AND DIMENSIONS; FOR SELECTION FABRICATION PROCESSES, FOR TECHNIQUES OF ASSEMBLY; AND FOR PERFORMING WORK IN A SAFE MANNER.





# SEISMIC CALCULATION TABLE (SUSPENDED EQUIPMENT)

UNIT TAG	AC-1	FCU-1		
W <sub>p</sub> (lbs)	85	45.19		
z/h	1	1		
a <sub>p</sub>	2.5	2.5		
I <sub>p</sub>	1	1		
R <sub>p</sub>	2.5	2.5		
F <sub>p</sub> (lbs)	72.2	38.4		
F <sub>pv</sub> (lbs)	12.0	6.4		
Θ	50	50		
RESTRAINT PRODUCT CODE	GS10-10E4-R4-2P	GS10-10E4-R4-2P		
<sub>cable-equip</sub> = Fp/(cos⊙) (lbs)	112.3 < 400 OK	59.7 < 400 OK		
EISMIC KIT QUANTITY	2	2		



# DRAWING REFERENCES

SEE THE FOLLOWING SHEETS FOR INSTALLATION DETAILS AND GENERAL NOTES REGARDING SEISMIC RESTRAINT COMPONENTS:

<u>SD000</u> - GENERAL NOTES, SYMBOLS, AND DETAILS SD301 - ROD STIFFENER CALCULATIONS & DETAILS

SD400 - STRUCTURAL ATTACHMENT DETAILS

ALL SEISMIC RESTRAINT COMPONENTS TO BE INSTALLED FOLLOWING MANUFACTURER'S INSTALLATION INSTRUCTIONS.



THIS FAILURE MODE PROVIDED A DEFORMABLE LINK IN THE LOAD PATH PRIOR TO BRITTLE FAILURE OF THE CONCRETE ATTACHMENT; THEREFORE, THE OVERSTRENGTH FACTOR (RECOGNIZED IN SECTION 13.3.1 OF ASCE 7) WAS NOT APPLIED TO THE CONCRETE ANCHORAGE CAPACITY.
b. THE GOVERNING FAILURE MODE WAS DETERMINED TO BE THE CAPACITY OF THE CAP SCREW AND CHANNEL NUT ATTACHMENT OF THE SEISMIC RESTRAINT CABLE TO THE B-LINE B22 CHANNEL. THIS FAILURE MODE PROVIDED A DEFORMABLE LINK IN THE LOAD PATH PRIOR TO BRITTLE FAILURE OF THE CONCRETE ATTACHMENT; THEREFORE, THE CONCRETE ATTACHMENT; THEREFORE, THE OVERSTRENGTH FACTOR (RECOGNIZED IN SECTION 13.3.1 OF ASCE 7) WAS NOT APPLIED TO THE CONCRETE ANCHORAGE CAPACITY.





CISCA REQUIREMENTS & ARE INTENDED FOR USE BY THE MECHANICAL CONTRACTOR TO ENSURE THIS INTERFACE HAS BEEN PROPERLY ADDRESSED BY THE CEILING INSTALLER. CEILING CLIP ASSEMBLY INSTALLATION PER MANUFACTURER'S SPECIFICATIONS. SEISMIC REQUIREMENTS FOR THE SUSPENDED CEILING SYSTEM BY OTHERS.







	GEN I. 2. 3.	VERAL NOTES: THE CALCULATIONS A REQUIREMENTS AT SI ROD IN THE ASSEMBL HORIZONTAL AND VER SHEETS FOR SEISMIC LENGTHS WITHOUT A EQUAL TO 300. IF A H PROVIDED FOLLOWIN REQUIREMENTS VARY SEE ACCOMPANYING HANGER RODS TO BE SP-58) AND PROJECT DESIGN/SELECTION O PROVED BY OTHERS. ROD STIFFENER CALC HOWEVER, EACH ROD A PARTICULAR COMPA
5	5.	A PARTICULAR COMP IF ANY OF THE ASSUM CONDITIONS THE DEL STIFFENER CALCULAT

ROD STIFFENER EVALUATION FOR SUSPENDED EQUIPMENT (LRFD)																		
	L	W	н	L <sub>cg</sub>	W <sub>cg</sub>	H <sub>cg</sub>		Tw	Cover	T <sub>Fpv</sub> /	C <sub>Fp</sub>		ION C <sub>rod(max)</sub>		ARE ROD STIFFE	ARE ROD STIFFENERS REQUIRED?		
UNIT TAG(3)	(in)	(in)	(in)	(in)	(in)	(in)		(lbs)	(lbs)	(lbs)	(lbs)	$[F (T_w + T_{over}) > (C_p + C_{Fpv}) \rightarrow TENSION]$ IF (T_w + T_{over}) > (C_p + C_{Fpv}) \rightarrow COMPRESSION	$ F_{\text{PV}} \rightarrow \text{COMPRESSION}  (lbs)  (lbs) $	FOR 3/8" RODS	FOR 1/2" RODS	FOR 5/8" RODS	FOR 3/4" RODS	
AC-1	36	10	15	23	3	8	4	17	52	3	86	COMPRESSION	20	ONLY FOR RODS > 36"	ONLY FOR RODS > 46"	ONLY FOR RODS > 56"	ONLY FOR RODS > 68"	
FCU-1	21	21	10	14	7	5	4	9	9	1	46	COMPRESSION	29	ONLY FOR RODS > 36"	ONLY FOR RODS > 46"	ONLY FOR RODS > 56"	ONLY FOR RODS > 68"	
HANGER ROD ANALYSIS FOR COMPRESSIVE LOADS DUE TO SEISMIC FORCES																		
$\begin{array}{c} & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & $					EEN HANGERS WEEN HANGERS ALONG LONG SIDE ALONG SHORT SIDE O BRACE CONNECTION													

AND DETAILS SHOWN THIS SHEET ADDRESS ROD STIFFENER SEISMIC BRACE LOCATIONS. THE CALCULATIONS DETERMINE IF A HANGER LY EXPERIENCES A COMPRESSIVE LOAD DUE TO THE CALCULATED RTICAL SEISMIC FORCES APPLIED TO THE SYSTEM (SEE ACCOMPANYING C FORCE CALCULATIONS FOR EACH COMPONENT). MAXIMUM ROD A STIFFENER WERE DETERMINED USING A SLENDERNESS RATIO (KL/r) HANGER ROD EXPERIENCES COMPRESSION, ROD STIFFENERS TO BE NG THE DATA AND DETAILS SHOWN THIS SHEET. ROD STIFFENER RY BY COMPONENT TYPE/SIZE, ROD SIZE, AND ROD LENGTH. SHEETS FOR SEISMIC FORCE AND RESTRAINT/BRACE CALCULATIONS. E SELECTED FOLLOWING APPLICABLE CODES & STANDARDS (I.E. MSS SPECIFICATIONS/DRAWINGS BASED ON COMPONENT SIZE AND WEIGHT. OF HANGER RODS AND ASSOCIATED SUPPORT COMPONENTS TO BE

CULATIONS HAVE BEEN PROVIDED FOR 3/8", 1/2", 5/8", AND 3/4" RODS; DD SIZE SHOWN IN THE TABLES MAY NOT BE APPLICABLE FOR SUPPORT OF PONENT.

JMPTIONS SHOWN IN THE TABLE ARE NOT CONSISTENT WITH FIELD LEGATED SEISMIC ENGINEER MUST BE CONTACTED AND THE ROD ATIONS TO BE REEVALUATED.





# ENGINEER'S REVIEW DIBBLE ENGINEERS, INC. X No Exceptions Taken

\_\_\_\_\_ Note Markings 





SEISMIC DETAIL - STRUCTURAL ATTACHMENTS - SEISMIC RESTRAINT CABLES 2 NOT TO SCALE



Project:

21-011534-DFS-01-CO

-SIMPSON LUS26 FACE MOUNT HANGER, TYPICAL EACH END OF 2x6 BLOCKING. INSTALLATION TO

> SIMPSON A35 FRAMING CLIP EACH END OF 2x6 BLOCKING. SECURE CLIP TO EXISTING SHEATHING ROOF DIAPHRAGM USING PH612I SCREWS (FIELD VERIFY). CLIP INSTALLATION TO FOLLOW MANUFACTURER'S GUIDELINES.

-2x6 BLOCKING BETWEEN JOIST BAYS AT SEISMIC CABLE LOCATION

-STANDARD ROUND WASHER

-GRADE A307 BOLT (SEE TABLE BELOW FOR SIZE)

-GRIPPLE EYELET END FITTING (GSE4)

-GRIPPLE SEISMIC CABLE

DETAIL APPLICABLE FOR GS10 (RED) SEISMIC CABLES ONLY

- 2x6 WOOD MEMBER FULL HEIGHT OF JOIST

- (4) #10x4" WOOD SCREWS @ 1-1/2" ON-CENTER (TYPICAL TOP AND BOTTOM OF 2x6)

ENGINEER'S REVIEW DIBBLE ENGINEERS, INC. X No Exceptions Taken Note Markings \_ Revise & Resubmit DEI/MKW ER'S REVIEW IS FOR GENERAL CONFORMANCE TO TH ORMING WORK IN A SAFE MANNER

## **GENERAL NOTES:**

- 1. STRUCTURAL ATTACHMENT DETAILS PROVIDED ON THIS SHEET ONLY ADDRESS THE SEISMIC ATTACHMENT COMPONENTS. THE ATTACHMENT POINT TO THE BUILDING STRUCTURE MUST BE CAPABLE OF WITHSTANDING THE CALCULATED POINT LOAD. THE STRUCTURAL ENGINEER OF RECORD MUST APPROVE THE ATTACHMENT METHOD PRIOR TO INSTALLATION.
- 2. ALL ANCHORS AND SEISMIC BRACING COMPONENTS TO BE INSTALLED FOLLOWING MANUFACTURER'S GUIDELINES. THE DETAILS SHOWN ON THIS SHEET WERE INTENDED TO PROVIDE OPTIONS FOR ATTACHMENT OF THE
- SEISMIC RESTRAINT CABLE TO THE STRUCTURE. ALL OF THE ATTACHMENT DETAILS MAY OR MAY NOT BE APPLICABLE TO THIS PROJECT. USE ONLY THE ATTACHMENT METHODS THAT CORRESPOND TO THE CABLE DESIGNATION SPECIFIED ON THE ACCOMPANYING SEISMIC CALCULATIONS AND THE STRUCTURE TYPE DESCRIBED HEREIN. IF THE STRUCTURAL COMPONENT IS DIFFERENT THAN THE DETAILS SHOWN HEREIN, THE ENGINEER OF RECORD MUST BE CONTACTED FOR REVIEW.
- 4. CONCRETE ANCHORAGE CAPACITIES WERE BASED ON VERIFICATION CALCULATIONS USING HILTI PROFIS ANCHOR SOFTWARE AND TECHNICAL DATA PUBLISHED BY HILTI. THE SELECTED ANCHORS MEET THE DESIGN REQUIREMENTS SET FORTH BY ASCE 7 AND ACI 318. 5.
- PRYING EFFECTS WERE ACCOUNTED FOR IN CONCRETE ANCHORAGE CALCULATIONS PER SECTION 13.4.3 OF ASCE 7. LOCATE STEEL REINFORCING/REBAR WITHIN CONCRETE SLABS PRIOR TO DRILLING. MAINTAIN A MINIMUM EDGE DISTANCE OF 8" FOR ALL CONCRETE ANCHORS.
- 6. SEE SHEET SD401 FOR CONCRETE ANCHORAGE CALCULATION VERIFICATION DATA.



#### EQUIPMENT ON ROOF CURBS



$$\frac{V_w}{V} \le 1.0$$



INTERMEDIATE ATTACHMENTS TO BE EQUALLY SPACED ALONG EACH CURB SIDE. SEE ACCOMPANYING SHEET(S) FOR SIZE, QUANTITY, & SPECIFICATIONS.

#### ENGINEER'S REVIEW DIBBLE ENGINEERS, INC. <u>X</u> No Exceptions Taken Note Markings \_\_\_ Revise & Resubmit By\_DEI/MKW\_Date\_1/10/23\_ GINEER'S REVIEW IS FOR GENERAL CONFORMANCE TO THE SIGN CONCEPT AND CONTRACT DOCUMENTS. REVIEW OF TH CIALTY STRUCTURAL ENGINEERS (SSE) DESIGN IS FOR FORMANCE TO DESIGN CRITERIA AND COMPATIBILITY WITH T GN OF THE BUILDING AND DOES NOT RELIEVE THE SSE OF PONSIBILITY FOR THAT DESIGN. MARKINGS OR COMMENTS H THE PROJECT PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS, N EREFROM. THE CONTRACTOR REMAINS OR DETAILS AND ACCURACY: FOR CONFIRMING SAFE MANNER. City Of Portland **REVIEWED FOR CODE COMPLIANCE**

Date: 01/30/23 Project: 21-011534-DFS-01-CO

	RTU-1,2	MAU-1
D (LBS)	2065	699
LENGTH (IN)	115.8	135.875
WIDTH (IN)	63.375	37.375
HEIGHT (IN)	57.375	60.75
Lcurb (IN)	109.25	79
Wcurb (IN)	54.0625	31
Hcurb (IN)	18	18
Lcg (IN)	43.7	31.6
Wcg (IN)	18.92	10.85
Hcg (IN)	29	30
Lmnt (IN)	101.3	71.0
Wmnt (IN)	57.1	34.0
Nscrew-L	11	8
Nscrew-W	5	3
Nanchor-L	8	8
Nanchor-W	0	2
Nanchor	16	20
ар	2.5	2.5
lp	1.5	1.0
Rp	6.0	6.0
z/h	1	1
OMEGA	1.0	1.0
ELx (LBS)	1097	247
ELy (LBS)	329	74
EV (LBS)	292	99
Kz	0.7	0.7
V (MPH)	98	98
qz (LBS/SQFT)	14.6	14.6
(GCr)LATERAL	1.9	1.9
(GCr)VERTICAL	1.5	1.5
Af-unit (SQFT)	46.1	57.3
Ar-unit (SQFT)	51	35.3
WL-unit (LBS)	1279	1590
WV-unit (LBS)	1117	773
Af-curb (SQFT)	13.7	9.9
Ar-curb (SQFT)	41	17
WL-curb (LBS)	380	275
WV-curb (LBS)	898	372
BASIS	LRFD	LRFD
Vs-screw (LBS)	3	7
Vw-screw (LBS)	53	216
Tsx (LBS)	47	23
Tsy (LBS)	0	0
Ts (LBS)	47	23
Vsx (LBS)	69	12
Vsy (LBS)	21	4
Vs (LBS)	72	13
Tw (LBS)	127	311
Vw (LBS)	104	93
Tmax (LBS)	127	311
Vmax (LBS)	104	93
STRUCTURAL		
ATTACHMENT		
CURB DESIGN	BY OTHERS	BY OTHERS

GE	NERAL NOTE
1.	THE SEISM
	UNIT TO TH
	SIZE, QUAN
2.	CONSISTE
	DIRECTION
	APPLIED O
	GRAVITY O
	WIND DIRE
3.	THE BASE I
	ROOF CUR

- CONTACTED.

### SEISMIC/WIND CALCULATION TABLE FOR UNITS ON ROOF CURBS SEE SHEET SR201 FOR UNIT SPECIFIC ATTACHMENT DETAILS

ES FOR ROOF CURB ATTACHMENTS:

MIC AND WIND EQUATIONS AND SCHEMATICS SHOWN THIS SHEET WERE UTILIZED TO DETERMINE THE REACTIONS ON THE ATTACHMENTS FOR THE HE ROOF CURB AND THE ROOF CURB TO STRUCTURE. SEE ACCOMPANYING SHEETS FOR ATTACHMENT SPECIFICATIONS AND VERIFICATION FOR NTITY, AND TYPE OF ATTACHMENTS REQUIRED.

ENT WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE REFERENCED CODE AND STANDARD, THE PRIMARY HORIZONTAL SEISMIC FORCE (ELx) WAS APPLIED IN A THAT CREATED THE MOST DEMANDING REACTION AT THE ANCHORAGE LOCATIONS. A CONCURRENT HORIZONTAL SEISMIC FORCE (E, ,) WAS DRTHOGONAL TO E<sub>Lx</sub> IN ADDITION TO A VERTICAL SEISMIC FORCE ( $E_v$ ). ALL THREE FORCES WERE APPLIED THROUGH AN APPROXIMATED CENTER OF OF THE UNIT. HORIZONTAL AND VERTICAL WIND FORCES WERE APPLIED AT THE APPROXIMATE CENTER OF THE PROJECTED AREA NORMAL TO THE ECTION. THE FORCES THAT CREATED THE MOST DEMANDING LOADS ON THE ANCHORAGE SYSTEM GOVERNED THE DESIGN. EFLANGE OF THE ROOF CURB MUST BE CONTINUOUSLY SUPPORTED ALONG ALL FOUR SIDES. FOR ROOF CURBS SUPPORTED BY CONCRETE, THE RB FLANGE MUST SIT ON A LEVEL CONCRETE SLAB (NO CROWNING ALLOWED). FOR ROOF CURBS SUPPORTED BY METAL DECKING, PROVIDE WOOD BLOCKING WITHIN THE LOWER FLUTES AS INDICATED IN ACCOMPANYING DETAILS. WHERE ROOF CURBS ARE SHOWN SUPPORTED BY METAL DECKING, THE STRUCTURAL ENGINEER OF RECORD TO APPROVE SUPPORT METHOD PRIOR TO INSTALLATION.

4. BUILDING STRUCTURE SUPPORT MEMBER SHOWN FOR SCHEMATIC PURPOSES ONLY. STRUCTURAL SUPPORT OF ROOF CURB BY OTHERS. OUR RESPONSIBILITY ENDS AT THE BOTTOM OF THE ROOF CURB. 5. IF AN INSTALLATION METHOD OR FIELD CONDITION VARIES FROM THE DETAILS SHOWN, THE SEISMIC/WIND DESIGN ENGINEER OF FOR THIS REPORT MUST BE

6. THE ROOF CURB IS AN INTEGRAL COMPONENT IN ADEQUATELY TRANSFERRING THE SEISMIC AND/OR WIND LOADS FROM THE UNIT INTO THE BUILDING STRUCTURE. UNLESS SPECIFICALLY NOTED OTHERWISE, THE ABILITY OF THE ROOF CURB TO WITHSTAND THE IMPOSED LOADS TO BE EVALUATED AND CERTIFIED BY OTHERS. FOR INSTANCES WHERE WE HAVE EVALUATED THE STRENGTH OF THE ROOF CURB, IT WILL BE CLEARLY NOTED WITHIN THE NOTES AND CALCULATIONS HEREIN WITH CURB EVALUATION RESULTS INCLUDED WITHIN THE REPORT.

7. THE STRUCTURAL SUPPORT OF THE ROOF CURB MUST BE EVALUATED AND DESIGNED BY THE STRUCTURAL DESIGN PROFESSIONAL. THE ATTACHMENT METHOD OF THE ROOF CURB TO THE STRUCTURE SPECIFIED HEREIN MUST BE REVIEWED AND APPROVED BY THE STRUCTURAL DESIGN PROFESSIONAL. 8. ACCESSORY ATTACHMENTS (PIPE, CONDUIT, ETC.) TO THE UNIT SHOULD BE CONNECTED IN A MANNER THAT PREVENTS DIFFERENTIAL MOVEMENT BETWEEN THE TWO COMPONENTS OR THAT PROVIDES ADEQUATE FLEXIBILITY TO ACCOMMODATE DIFFERENTIAL MOVEMENT BETWEEN THE TWO COMPONENTS. UNIT ATTACHMENT DESIGN BY OTHERS.





# City Of Portland REVIEWED FOR CODE COMPLIANCE Date: 01/30/23

Project: 21-011534-DFS-01-CO



#### EQUIPMENT ON ROOF MOUNTING RAILS



### SEISMIC/WIND CALCULATION TABLE FOR UNITS ON MOUNTING RAILS SEE SHEET SR301 FOR UNIT SPECIFIC ATTACHMENT DETAILS

	ESP-1
D (LBS)	2300
	178.5
HEIGHT (IN)	36
Lrail (IN)	72
Wrail (IN)	4
Hrail (IN)	18 71 4
Wcg (IN)	23.6
Hcg (IN)	18
Lmnt (IN)	130.5
N	59
Nrails	4
Nbase	4
Wase (IN) Wa1 (IN)	8
Wb1 (IN)	6
ар	2.5
lp Rn	1.0
z/h	1
OMEGA	1.0
ELx (LBS)	814
ELY (LBS) EV (LBS)	244
Kz	0.7
V (MPH)	98
qz (LBS/SQFT)	14.6
(GCr)VERTICAL	1.5
Af (SQFT)	44.6
Af2 (SQFT)	16.9
WL1 (LBS)	83.6
WL2 (LBS)	469
WV (LBS)	1831
Af-rail1 (SQFT)	9
WL-rail1 (LBS)	250
WL-rail2 (LBS)	14
BASIS	LRFD
Lbaseplate (IN)	n/a
La (IN)	n/a
Lb (IN) Whasenlate (IN)	n/a
Wbaseplate (IN) Wa (IN)	n/a
Wb (IN)	n/a
HISO (IN) Nhasenlate	0
Tsx (LBS)	0
Tsy (LBS)	0
Ts (LBS)	0
Vsx (LBO) Vsy (LBS)	31
Vs (LBS)	107
Tw1 (LBS)	149
Tw2 (LBS)	46
Vw2 (LBS)	59
Tmax (LBS)	149
SEISMIC	APPLICABLE
Tsx-rail (LBS)	0
Tsy-rail (LBS)	0
Vsx-rail (LBS)	0 204
Vsy-rail (LBS)	61
Vs-rail (LBS)	213
Vs-ancr (LBS)	268 53
WIND 1 (WL1 L TO RAIL)	NOT USED
Tw1-rail (LBS)	n/a
Tw-ancr1 (LBS)	n/a n/a
Vw-ancr1 (LBS)	n/a
WIND 2 (WL2    TO RAIL)	NOT USED
I w-ancr2 (LBS)	n/a
WIND 3 (WL1    TO RAIL)	APPLICABLE
Tw-ancr3 (LBS)	216
WIND 4 (WL2 1 TO RAIL)	81 APPLICARLE
Tw2-rail (LBS)	184
Vw2-rail (LBS)	117
I w-ancr4 (LBS)	351 92
Tw-ancr-max (LBS)	351
Vw-ancr-max (LBS)	92
STRUCTURAL	LAG SCREW
RAIL DESIGN	BY OTHERS
PAD ISOLATOR	n/a

GENERAL NOTES FOR MOUNTING RAIL ATTACHMENTS

1. THE SEISMIC AND WIND EQUATIONS AND SCHEMATICS SHOWN THIS SHEET WERE UTILIZED TO DETERMINE THE REACTIONS ON THE ATTACHMENTS FOR THE UNIT TO THE MOUNTING RAIL AND THE MOUNTING RAIL TO STRUCTURE. SEE ACCOMPANYING SHEETS FOR ATTACHMENT SPECIFICATIONS AND VERIFICATION FOR SIZE, QUANTITY, AND TYPE OF ATTACHMENTS REQUIRED.

2. CONSISTENT WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE REFERENCED CODE AND STANDARD, THE PRIMARY HORIZONTAL SEISMIC FORCE (E<sub>Lx</sub>) WAS APPLIED IN A DIRECTION THAT CREATED THE MOST DEMANDING REACTION AT THE ANCHORAGE LOCATIONS. A CONCURRENT HORIZONTAL SEISMIC FORCE (E1, ) WAS APPLIED ORTHOGONAL TO ELX IN ADDITION TO A VERTICAL SEISMIC FORCE (EV). ALL THREE FORCES WERE APPLIED THROUGH AN APPROXIMATED CENTER OF GRAVITY OF THE UNIT. HORIZONTAL AND VERTICAL WIND FORCES WERE APPLIED AT THE APPROXIMATE CENTER OF THE PROJECTED AREA NORMAL TO THE WIND DIRECTION. THE FORCES THAT CREATED THE MOST DEMANDING LOADS ON THE ANCHORAGE SYSTEM GOVERNED THE DESIGN.

3. THE BASE OF THE MOUNTING RAIL MUST BE CONTINUOUSLY. FOR MOUNTING RAILS SUPPORTED BY CONCRETE, THE MOUNTING RAIL BASE FLANGE MUST SIT ON A LEVEL CONCRETE SLAB (NO CROWNING ALLOWED). FOR MOUNTING RAILS SUPPORTED BY METAL DECKING, PROVIDE WOOD BLOCKING WITHIN THE LOWER FLUTES AS INDICATED IN ACCOMPANYING DETAILS. WHERE MOUNTING RAILS ARE SHOWN SUPPORTED BY METAL DECKING, THE STRUCTURAL ENGINEER OF RECORD TO APPROVE SUPPORT METHOD PRIOR TO INSTALLATION.

4. BUILDING STRUCTURE SUPPORT MEMBER SHOWN FOR SCHEMATIC PURPOSES ONLY. STRUCTURAL SUPPORT OF MOUNTING RAILS BY OTHERS. OUR RESPONSIBILITY ENDS AT THE BOTTOM OF THE MOUNTING RAIL.

AS INDICATED IN THE DETAILS.

5. IF AN INSTALLATION METHOD OR FIELD CONDITION VARIES FROM THE DETAILS SHOWN, THE DESIGN ENGINEER FOR THIS REPORT MUST BE CONTACTED. 6. MOUNTING RAILS ARE AN INTEGRAL COMPONENT IN ADEQUATELY TRANSFERRING THE SEISMIC AND/OR WIND LOADS FROM THE UNIT INTO THE BUILDING STRUCTURE. ATTACHMENT EVALUATIONS WERE BASED ON INDUSTRY STANDARD TYPE MOUNTING RAILS WITH A WOOD NAILER ON TOP OF A SHEET METAL SECTION 7. THE MINIMUM EMBEDMENT DEPTH OF LAG SCREWS SHOWN HEREIN CONNECTING THE UNIT (OR VIBRATION ISOLATORS) TO THE MOUNTING RAIL IS DRIVEN BY THE THICKNESS OF THE WOOD NAILER. IF THE WOOD NAILER THICKNESS IS LESS THAN THE MINIMUM LAG SCREW EMBEDMENT DEPTH SPECIFIED HEREIN, THE DESIGN ENGINEER FOR THIS REPORT TO BE CONTACTED. THE WOOD NAILER MUST BE SECURED TO THE SHEET METAL PORTION OF THE MOUNTING RAIL IN A MANNER TO ADEQUATELY TRANSFER THE UNIT LOADS. LAG SCREWS TO BE CENTERED ALONG WIDTH OF WOOD NAILER AND LOCATED MINIMUM 4" FROM WOOD NAILER ENDS. 8. UNLESS SPECIFICALLY NOTED OTHERWISE, THE ABILITY OF THE MOUNTING RAILS TO WITHSTAND THE IMPOSED LOADS TO BE EVALUATED AND CERTIFIED BY OTHERS. THIS INCLUDES THE ATTACHMENT METHOD OF THE WOOD NAILER TO THE SHEET METAL SECTION. FOR INSTANCES WHERE WE HAVE EVALUATED THE STRENGTH OF THE MOUNTING RAILS, IT WILL BE CLEARLY NOTED WITHIN THE NOTES AND CALCULATIONS HEREIN WITH MOUNTING RAIL EVALUATION RESULTS

INCLUDED WITHIN THE REPORT. 9. STRUCTURAL SUPPORT OF MOUNTING RAILS MUST BE EVALUATED AND DESIGNED BY THE STRUCTURAL DESIGN PROFESSIONAL. THE ATTACHMENT METHOD OF THE MOUNTING RAIL TO THE STRUCTURE SPECIFIED HEREIN MUST BE REVIEWED AND APPROVED BY THE STRUCTURAL DESIGN PROFESSIONAL. 10. ACCESSORY ATTACHMENTS (PIPE, CONDUIT, ETC.) TO THE UNIT SHOULD BE CONNECTED IN A MANNER THAT PREVENTS DIFFERENTIAL MOVEMENT BETWEEN THE TWO COMPONENTS OR THAT PROVIDES ADEQUATE FLEXIBILITY TO ACCOMMODATE DIFFERENTIAL MOVEMENT BETWEEN THE TWO COMPONENTS. UNIT ATTACHMENT DESIGN BY OTHERS.



# SEISMIC/WIND ATTACHMENT REQUIREMENTS FOR:

ESP-1



- NOTES:
- 1. LAG SCREWS TO BE CENTERED IN WOOD JOISTS. 2. THE STRUCTURE'S ABILITY TO WITHSTAND THE IMPOSED LOADS TO BE VERIFIED BY OTHERS. STRUCTURAL ENGINEER OF RECORD TO APPROVE ATTACHMENT METHOD SHOWN ABOVE PRIOR TO INSTALLATION.
- 3. SUPPORT METHOD SHOWN ABOVE TO BE APPROVED BY UNIT MANUFACTURER PRIOR TO INSTALLATION. PROVIDE ADDITIONAL SUPPORT UNDER THE MOUNTING RAILS, BETWEEN THE WOOD JOISTS IF REQUIRED BY STRUCTURAL ENGINEER OF RECORD. ADDITIONAL SUPPORT DESIGN BY OTHERS.
- 4. SEE NOTES & DETAILS ON SHEET SR300 FOR ADDITIONAL COMMENTS AND ATTACHMENT INSTALLATION REQUIREMENTS.

UNIT TO MOUNTING RAIL		MOUNTING RAIL TO STRUCTURE (TYPICAL EACH RAIL)			
LAG SCREW SIZE	3/8"	LAG SCREW SIZE	3/8"		
LAG SCREW QTY. PER UNIT	8	LAG SCREW QUANTITY	4		
MIN. EMBEDMENT (IN)	1.5	MIN. EMBEDMENT (IN)	3		
P (LBS)	215	T1 (LBS)	351		
ALPHA	43.9	V1 (LBS)	92		
cos2(ALPHA)	0.52	P (LBS)	363		
sin2(ALPHA)	0.48	ALPHA	75.3		
W '- AVAILABLE TENSION (LBS)	580	cos2(ALPHA)	0.06		
Z ' - AVAILABLE SHEAR (LBS)	151	sin2(ALPHA)	0.94		
Pavailable (LBS)	234	W '- AVAILABLE TENSION (LBS)	1414.8		
COMBINED TENSION & SHEAR CHECK (LBS)	215 < 234 OK	Z ' - AVAILABLE SHEAR (LBS)	378		
	-	Pavailable (LBS)	1215		
		COMBINED TENSION & SHEAR CHECK (LBS)	363 < 1215 OK		

> **City Of Portland** REVIEWED FOR CODE COMPLIANCE

Date: 01/30/23 Project: 21-011534-DFS-01-CO Dogo 20 of 49

ENGINEER'S REVIEW DIBBLE ENGINEERS, INC. X No Exceptions Taken \_\_\_\_\_Note Markings 

