Development Services

From Concept to Construction







APPEAL SUMMARY

Status:	Decision	Rendered
---------	----------	----------

Appeal ID: 21966 Project Address: 12 SE 14th Ave Hearing Date: 10/9/19 Appellant Name: Dave Spitzer Case No.: B-003 Appellant Phone: 503 335 9040 Appeal Type: Building Plans Examiner/Inspector: Gail Knoll Project Type: commercial Stories: 2 Occupancy: B Construction Type: V-B Building/Business Name: Fire Sprinklers: No Appeal Involves: Alteration of an existing structure LUR or Permit Application No.: 19-124624-CO Plan Submitted Option: pdf [File 1] [File 2] Proposed use: medical office		
Case No.: B-003 Appellant Phone: 503 335 9040 Appeal Type: Building Plans Examiner/Inspector: Gail Knoll Project Type: commercial Stories: 2 Occupancy: B Construction Type: V-B Building/Business Name: Fire Sprinklers: No Appeal Involves: Alteration of an existing structure LUR or Permit Application No.: 19-124624-CO	Appeal ID: 21966	Project Address: 12 SE 14th Ave
Appeal Type: Building Project Type: commercial Stories: 2 Occupancy: B Construction Type: V-B Building/Business Name: Fire Sprinklers: No Appeal Involves: Alteration of an existing structure LUR or Permit Application No.: 19-124624-CO	Hearing Date: 10/9/19	Appellant Name: Dave Spitzer
Project Type: commercial Stories: 2 Occupancy: B Construction Type: V-B Building/Business Name: Fire Sprinklers: No Appeal Involves: Alteration of an existing structure LUR or Permit Application No.: 19-124624-CO	Case No. : B-003	Appellant Phone: 503 335 9040
Building/Business Name: Fire Sprinklers: No Appeal Involves: Alteration of an existing structure LUR or Permit Application No.: 19-124624-CO	Appeal Type: Building	Plans Examiner/Inspector: Gail Knoll
Appeal Involves: Alteration of an existing structure LUR or Permit Application No.: 19-124624-CO	Project Type: commercial	Stories: 2 Occupancy: B Construction Type: V-B
	Building/Business Name:	Fire Sprinklers: No
Plan Submitted Option: pdf [File 1] [File 2] Proposed use: medical office	Appeal Involves: Alteration of an existing structure	LUR or Permit Application No.: 19-124624-CO
	Plan Submitted Option: pdf [File 1] [File 2]	Proposed use: medical office

APPEAL INFORMATION SHEET

Appeal item 1

Code Section 1012.
Code Section 1012.

Requires

Handrails shall extend in the direction of the stair run 12" beyond the bottom and top of the stairs unless rails are continuous between flights of stairs.

Proposed Design

We have an existing building with two existing stairs that stop directly adjacent to the property line. While the Southern stair is being rebuilt approximately 8' South to accommodate a new accessible ramp - the very substantial top landing of the stairs (5'x25' concrete landing) is fixed and the new stairs will also stop directly adjacent to the property line.

If we extend handrails in the direction of the stairs we will be building in the ROW and also will be extending a metal rail, at waist height, into the sidewalk.

The North stair is existing and we are proposing that the handrail stop flush with the bottom riser and edge of adjacent building - again there is no change proposed to this stair.

The South stair is being rebuilt and the bottom riser will be 3"-4" from the property line. At this stair we are proposing to extend the handrails to the property line and then turn the extension 90 degrees to extend along the sidewalk on the South and return into the ramp landing at the North.

Reason for alternative The existing stairs and entire front of this building is of concrete and not easily relocated.

The North stair has been there for 50+/- years - per this permit we are installing a handrail - that handrail needs to work with the constraints of this existing stair. Extending this rail into the ROW will present a hazard at hip level to pedestrians on the sidewalk. If desired - we can wrap the rail around the edge of the adjacent building so it extends 12" parallel with the sidewalk going North this extension would be in the ROW though.

The South stair has the possibility of extending the handrails - just not in the direction of the stair travel - the South side of the South stair can extend the rail 3"-4" to the property line and then turn 90 degrees to run parallel to the sidewalk. The North rail of the South stair is similar but would turn back 180 degrees into the newly created landing for the top of the ramp. Both of these rails would have extensions - just not in the direction of the stair run.

APPEAL DECISION

Reconstruction of existing stair with handrails turned 90 degrees at bottom riser: Granted as proposed.

The Administrative Appeal Board finds that the information submitted by the appellant demonstrates that the approved modifications or alternate methods are consistent with the intent of the code; do not lessen health, safety, accessibility, life, fire safety or structural requirements; and that special conditions unique to this project make strict application of those code sections impractical.

Pursuant to City Code Chapter 24.10, you may appeal this decision to the Building Code Board of Appeal within 90 calendar days of the date this decision is published. For information on the appeals process, go to www.portlandoregon.gov/bds/appealsinfo, call (503) 823-7300 or come in to the Development Services Center.



