



December 15-16, 2021 Council Agenda

5639

Please note, City Hall is closed to the public due to the COVID-19 Pandemic.

Under Portland City Code and state law, the City Council is holding this meeting electronically. All members of council are attending remotely by video and teleconference, and the City has made several avenues available for the public to listen to the audio broadcast of this meeting. The meeting is available to the public on the City's YouTube Channel, eGov PDX, www.portlandoregon.gov/video and Channel 30.

The public may provide written testimony to Council by emailing the Council Clerk at cctestimony@portlandoregon.gov.

The Council is taking these steps as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic and the need to limit in-person contact and promote social distancing. The pandemic is an emergency that threatens the public health, safety and welfare which requires us to meet remotely by electronic communications. Thank you all for your patience, flexibility and understanding as we manage through this difficult situation to do the City's business.

Email the Council Clerk at councilclerk@portlandoregon.gov with any questions.

Wednesday, December 15, 2021 9:30 am

Session Status: Recessed

Council in Attendance: Mayor Ted Wheeler

Commissioner Carmen Rubio

Commissioner Dan Ryan

Commissioner Jo Ann Hardesty

Commissioner Mingus Mapps

Mayor Wheeler presided.

Officers in attendance: Lauren King, Deputy City Attorney; Keelan McClymont, Council Clerk

Items 883, 886, and 889 were pulled from the Consent Agenda and on a Y-5 roll call, the balance of the Consent Agenda was adopted.

Council recessed at 11:55 a.m. and reconvened at 12:00 p.m.

Council recessed at 1:28 p.m.

Communications

875

[Request of Diana K. Martinez to address Council regarding the Portland fur sale ban](#) (Communication)

Document number: 875-2021

Disposition: Placed on File

876

[Request of Nathalie Hutchinson to address Council regarding conversion of Lloyd Center into Multnomah public market](#) (Communication)

Document number: 876-2021

Disposition: Placed on File

877

[Request of Miranda Eisen to address Council regarding food equity and animal welfare](#) (Communication)

Document number: 877-2021

Disposition: Placed on File

878

[Request of Matthew Orion Tam to address Council regarding the issue of homelessness](#) (Communication)

Document number: 878-2021

Disposition: Placed on File

879

[Request of Magdalena Madrid to address Council regarding homeless campsite and dangerous activity affecting her children](#) (Communication)

Document number: 879-2021

Disposition: Placed on File

Time Certain

880

[Steve Lowenstein Trust Award 2021](#) (Presentation)

Document number: 880-2021

Introduced by: Commissioner Mingus Mapps

Time certain: 9:45 am

Time requested: 30 minutes

Disposition: Placed on File

Consent Agenda

881

[Amend Portland Utility Board Code to make housekeeping changes to modernize language and reflect current practices \(amend Code Chapter 3.123\)](#) (Ordinance)

Introduced by: Mayor Ted Wheeler; Commissioner Mingus Mapps

Bureau: City Budget

Disposition: Passed to second reading

Passed to second reading December 22, 2021 at 9:30 a.m.

882

[*Authorize the Director of the Bureau of Emergency Management to execute 2021 Community Resilience Project grant agreements in amount not to exceed \\$100,000](#) (Emergency Ordinance)

Document number: 190631

Introduced by: Mayor Ted Wheeler

Bureau: Emergency Management

Disposition: Passed

Votes: Commissioner Mingus Mapps Yea

Commissioner Carmen Rubio Yea

Commissioner Dan Ryan Yea

Commissioner Jo Ann Hardesty Yea

Mayor Ted Wheeler Yea

883

[*Authorize a Letter of Agreement between the City, Portland Fire & Rescue, and the Portland Fire Fighters' Association, International Association of Fire Fighters Local 43 to amend the Collective Bargaining Agreement to decrease the minimum participation commitment and allow the rehiring of separated employees](#) (Emergency Ordinance)

Document number: 190649

Introduced by: Mayor Ted Wheeler

Bureau: Human Resources; Management and Finance

Item 883 was pulled from the Consent Agenda for discussion.

Disposition: Passed

Votes: Commissioner Mingus Mapps Yea

Commissioner Carmen Rubio Yea

Commissioner Dan Ryan Yea

Commissioner Jo Ann Hardesty Yea

Mayor Ted Wheeler Yea

884

[*Authorize a Letter of Agreement between the City, Portland Fire & Rescue, and the Portland Fire Fighters' Association, International Association of Fire Fighters Local 43 to amend the Collective Bargaining Agreement to incentivize the hiring of lateral firefighters](#) (Emergency Ordinance)

Document number: 190632

Introduced by: Mayor Ted Wheeler

Bureau: Human Resources; Management and Finance

Disposition: Passed

Votes: Commissioner Mingus Mapps Yea
Commissioner Carmen Rubio Yea
Commissioner Dan Ryan Yea
Commissioner Jo Ann Hardesty Yea
Mayor Ted Wheeler Yea

885

[*Authorize a Letter of Agreement between the City, Portland Fire & Rescue, and the Portland Fire Fighters' Association, International Association of Fire Fighters Local 43 to amend the Collective Bargaining Agreement Schedule A to include Community Health Medic classification](#) (Emergency Ordinance)

Document number: 190633

Introduced by: Mayor Ted Wheeler

Bureau: Human Resources; Management and Finance

Disposition: Passed

Votes: Commissioner Mingus Mapps Yea
Commissioner Carmen Rubio Yea
Commissioner Dan Ryan Yea
Commissioner Jo Ann Hardesty Yea
Mayor Ted Wheeler Yea

886

[*Authorize a Letter of Agreement between the City, Portland Fire & Rescue, and the Portland Fire Fighters' Association, International Association of Fire Fighters Local 43 to provide employees working a suppression schedule additional vacation leave](#) (Emergency Ordinance)

Document number: 190650

Introduced by: Mayor Ted Wheeler

Bureau: Human Resources; Management and Finance

Item 886 was pulled from the Consent Agenda for discussion.

Disposition: Passed As Amended

Motion to add emergency clause due to the ongoing crisis that Portland Fire & Rescue has been responding to, it's in the City's best interest to put this policy into place as soon as possible: Moved by Hardesty and seconded by Mapps. (Y-5)

Votes: Commissioner Mingus Mapps Yea

Commissioner Carmen Rubio Yea

Commissioner Dan Ryan Yea

Commissioner Jo Ann Hardesty Yea

Mayor Ted Wheeler Yea

887

[Authorize Intergovernmental Agreement with Metro to provide Portland's funding for the Arlene Schnitzer Concert Hall Sewer Reconnection Project not to exceed \\$150,000](#) (Ordinance)

Document number: 190634

Introduced by: Mayor Ted Wheeler

Bureau: Management and Finance

Second reading agenda item 864.

Disposition: Passed

Votes: Commissioner Mingus Mapps Yea

Commissioner Carmen Rubio Yea

Commissioner Dan Ryan Yea

Commissioner Jo Ann Hardesty Yea

Mayor Ted Wheeler Yea

888

[Approve and terminate limited tax exemptions for properties under the Homebuyer Opportunity Limited Tax Exemption Program](#) (Resolution)

Document number: 37557

Introduced by: Commissioner Dan Ryan

Bureau: Housing Bureau

Disposition: Adopted

Votes: Commissioner Mingus Mapps Yea
Commissioner Carmen Rubio Yea
Commissioner Dan Ryan Yea
Commissioner Jo Ann Hardesty Yea
Mayor Ted Wheeler Yea

889

[Establish 2022 sale price cap for the Homebuyer Opportunity Limited Tax Exemption Program](#) (Resolution)

Introduced by: Commissioner Dan Ryan

Bureau: Housing Bureau

Item 889 was pulled from the Consent Agenda for discussion.

Disposition: Referred to Commissioner of Public Works

890

[*Approve application under the Multiple-Unit Limited Tax Exemption Program under the Inclusionary Housing Program for 53G located at 5385 NE Glisan St](#) (Emergency Ordinance)

Document number: 190635

Introduced by: Commissioner Dan Ryan

Bureau: Housing Bureau

Disposition: Passed

Votes: Commissioner Mingus Mapps Yea
Commissioner Carmen Rubio Yea
Commissioner Dan Ryan Yea
Commissioner Jo Ann Hardesty Yea
Mayor Ted Wheeler Yea

891

[*Approve application under the Multiple-Unit Limited Tax Exemption Program under the Inclusionary Housing Program for 550 MLK located at 540 Martin Luther King Jr Blvd](#) (Emergency Ordinance)

Document number: 190636

Introduced by: Commissioner Dan Ryan

Bureau: Housing Bureau

Disposition: Passed

Votes: Commissioner Mingus Mapps Yea
Commissioner Carmen Rubio Yea
Commissioner Dan Ryan Yea
Commissioner Jo Ann Hardesty Yea
Mayor Ted Wheeler Yea

892

[*Approve application under the Multiple-Unit Limited Tax Exemption Program under the Inclusionary Housing Program for Alberta 29 located at 2465 NE Alberta St](#) (Emergency Ordinance)

Document number: 190637

Introduced by: Commissioner Dan Ryan

Bureau: Housing Bureau

Disposition: Passed

Votes: Commissioner Mingus Mapps Yea
Commissioner Carmen Rubio Yea
Commissioner Dan Ryan Yea
Commissioner Jo Ann Hardesty Yea
Mayor Ted Wheeler Yea

893

[*Approve application under the Multiple-Unit Limited Tax Exemption Program under the Inclusionary Housing Program for The Canopy Apartments at Powell – Building A located at 12475 SE Powell Blvd](#) (Emergency Ordinance)

Document number: 190638

Introduced by: Commissioner Dan Ryan

Bureau: Housing Bureau

Disposition: Passed

Votes: Commissioner Mingus Mapps Yea
Commissioner Carmen Rubio Yea
Commissioner Dan Ryan Yea
Commissioner Jo Ann Hardesty Yea
Mayor Ted Wheeler Yea

894

[*Approve application under the Multiple-Unit Limited Tax Exemption Program under the Inclusionary Housing Program for The Canopy Apartments at Powell – Building B located at 12485 SE Powell Blvd](#) (Emergency Ordinance)

Document number: 190639

Introduced by: Commissioner Dan Ryan

Bureau: Housing Bureau

Disposition: Passed

Votes: Commissioner Mingus Mapps Yea
Commissioner Carmen Rubio Yea
Commissioner Dan Ryan Yea
Commissioner Jo Ann Hardesty Yea
Mayor Ted Wheeler Yea

895

[*Approve application under the Multiple-Unit Limited Tax Exemption Program under the Inclusionary Housing Program for Killingsworth Apartments located at 1341 N Killingsworth St](#) (Emergency Ordinance)

Document number: 190640

Introduced by: Commissioner Dan Ryan

Bureau: Housing Bureau

Disposition: Passed

Votes: Commissioner Mingus Mapps Yea
Commissioner Carmen Rubio Yea
Commissioner Dan Ryan Yea
Commissioner Jo Ann Hardesty Yea
Mayor Ted Wheeler Yea

896

[*Approve application under the Multiple-Unit Limited Tax Exemption Program under the Inclusionary Housing Program for Minnesota Places located at 1220 N Jessup St](#) (Emergency Ordinance)

Document number: 190641

Introduced by: Commissioner Dan Ryan

Bureau: Housing Bureau

Disposition: Passed

Votes: Commissioner Mingus Mapps Yea
Commissioner Carmen Rubio Yea
Commissioner Dan Ryan Yea
Commissioner Jo Ann Hardesty Yea
Mayor Ted Wheeler Yea

897

[*Approve application under the Multiple-Unit Limited Tax Exemption Program under the Inclusionary Housing Program for SW Park Avenue Apartments located at 2061 SW Park Ave](#) (Emergency Ordinance)

Document number: 190642

Introduced by: Commissioner Dan Ryan

Bureau: Housing Bureau

Disposition: Passed

Votes: Commissioner Mingus Mapps Yea
Commissioner Carmen Rubio Yea
Commissioner Dan Ryan Yea
Commissioner Jo Ann Hardesty Yea
Mayor Ted Wheeler Yea

898

[*Authorize application to the Department of Homeland Security for a grant not to exceed \\$3 million for the Assistance to Firefighters Grant Program to fund equipment and training](#) (Emergency Ordinance)

Document number: 190643

Introduced by: Commissioner Jo Ann Hardesty

Bureau: Portland Fire & Rescue

Disposition: Passed

Votes: Commissioner Mingus Mapps Yea
Commissioner Carmen Rubio Yea
Commissioner Dan Ryan Yea
Commissioner Jo Ann Hardesty Yea
Mayor Ted Wheeler Yea

899

[Amend Regulation of Lobbying Entities Code to update City director definition and replace gender-specific language \(amend Code Section 2.12.020\)](#) (Ordinance)

Document number: 190644

Introduced by: Auditor Mary Hull Caballero

Bureau: City of Portland Elections Office

Second reading agenda item 870.

Disposition: Passed

Votes: Commissioner Mingus Mapps Yea
Commissioner Carmen Rubio Yea
Commissioner Dan Ryan Yea
Commissioner Jo Ann Hardesty Yea
Mayor Ted Wheeler Yea

Regular Agenda

900

[Appoint Kenechi Onyeagusi to the Portland Housing Advisory Commission for a term to expire November 2023](#)

(Report)

Document number: 900-2021

Introduced by: Mayor Ted Wheeler; Commissioner Dan Ryan

Bureau: Housing Bureau

Time requested: 10 minutes

Disposition: Confirmed

Motion to accept the report: Moved by Hardesty and seconded by Ryan.

Votes: Commissioner Mingus Mapps Yea

Commissioner Carmen Rubio Yea

Commissioner Dan Ryan Yea

Commissioner Jo Ann Hardesty Yea

Mayor Ted Wheeler Yea

901

[Appoint Moriah McSharry McGrath and Pippa Arend to the Rental Services Commission for terms to expire December 2023](#) (Report)

Document number: 901-2021

Introduced by: Mayor Ted Wheeler; Commissioner Dan Ryan

Bureau: Housing Bureau

Time requested: 10 minutes

Disposition: Confirmed

Motion to accept the report: Moved by Hardesty and seconded by Ryan.

Votes: Commissioner Mingus Mapps Yea

Commissioner Carmen Rubio Yea

Commissioner Dan Ryan Yea

Commissioner Jo Ann Hardesty Yea

Mayor Ted Wheeler Yea

902

[Adopt City of Portland Investment Policy](#) (Resolution)

Introduced by: Mayor Ted Wheeler

Bureau: Management and Finance; Revenue and Financial Services

Time requested: 5 minutes

Disposition: Continued

Continued to December 22, 2021 at 9:30 a.m.

903

[*Create a new nonrepresented classification of Director of Police Education and Training, which is exempt from classified service, and establish a compensation range](#) (Emergency Ordinance)

Document number: 190645

Introduced by: Mayor Ted Wheeler

Bureau: Human Resources; Management and Finance

Second reading agenda item 862.

Disposition: Passed As Amended

Motion to add emergency clause in order that the classification and compensation action may occur without delay: Moved by Wheeler and seconded by Hardesty. (Y-5)

Votes: Commissioner Mingus Mapps Yea

Commissioner Carmen Rubio Yea

Commissioner Dan Ryan Yea

Commissioner Jo Ann Hardesty Yea

Mayor Ted Wheeler Yea

904

[Authorize application and accept award funds from Federal Emergency Management Agency in the amount of \\$1,665,000 for the Portland International Raceway Pump Station Upgrade Design Project](#) (Ordinance)

Introduced by: Commissioner Mingus Mapps

Bureau: Environmental Services

Time requested: 10 minutes

Disposition: Passed to second reading

Passed to second reading December 22, 2021 at 9:30 a.m.

905

[Authorize contract with Carollo Engineers, Inc. for engineering services for the Columbia Boulevard Wastewater Treatment Plant Blower System and Building Rehabilitation Project No. E11038 for \\$4,374,679 \(Contract No. 30007680\)](#) (Ordinance)

Introduced by: Commissioner Mingus Mapps

Bureau: Environmental Services

Time requested: 10 minutes

Disposition: Passed to second reading

Passed to second reading December 22, 2021 at 9:30 a.m.

906

[Authorize a competitive solicitation and contract with the lowest responsible bidder for construction of the Stark Trunk Relief Structure Project No. E11009 for an estimated cost of \\$2,400,000](#) (Ordinance)

Document number: 190646

Introduced by: Commissioner Mingus Mapps

Bureau: Environmental Services

Second reading agenda item 872.

Disposition: Passed

Votes: Commissioner Mingus Mapps Yea

Commissioner Carmen Rubio Yea

Commissioner Dan Ryan Yea

Commissioner Jo Ann Hardesty Yea

Mayor Ted Wheeler Yea

907

[Authorize a competitive solicitation and contract with the lowest responsible bidder for construction of the City-wide Sump Replacement Project No. E11155 for an estimated cost of \\$1,458,600](#) (Ordinance)

Document number: 190647

Introduced by: Commissioner Mingus Mapps

Bureau: Environmental Services

Second reading agenda item 873.

Disposition: Passed

Votes: Commissioner Mingus Mapps Yea

Commissioner Carmen Rubio Yea

Commissioner Dan Ryan Yea

Commissioner Jo Ann Hardesty Yea

Mayor Ted Wheeler Yea

908

[Accept report to present draft administrative rules to implement new Bull Run protections for Council review prior to adoption by Water Bureau Administrator](#) (Report)

Document number: 908-2021

Introduced by: Commissioner Mingus Mapps

Bureau: Water

Time requested: 25 minutes

Previous agenda item 850.

Disposition: Accepted

Motion to accept the report: Moved by Hardesty and seconded by Mapps.

Votes: Commissioner Mingus Mapps Yea

Commissioner Carmen Rubio Yea

Commissioner Dan Ryan Yea

Commissioner Jo Ann Hardesty Yea

Mayor Ted Wheeler Yea

909

[*Amend Intergovernmental Agreement with Multnomah County to authorize FY 2021-22 revised budget allocation to the Joint Office of Homeless Services for the provision of homeless services and permanent housing placement activities \(amend Contract No. 30005335\)](#) (Emergency Ordinance)

Document number: 190648

Introduced by: Commissioner Dan Ryan

Bureau: Housing Bureau

Time requested: 10 minutes

Disposition: Passed

Votes: Commissioner Mingus Mapps Yea

Commissioner Carmen Rubio Yea

Commissioner Dan Ryan Yea

Commissioner Jo Ann Hardesty Yea

Mayor Ted Wheeler Yea

910

[Approve application under the Multiple-Unit Limited Tax Exemption Program under the Inclusionary Housing Program for The Gabriel located at 4525 SW California St](#) (Ordinance)

Introduced by: Commissioner Dan Ryan

Bureau: Housing Bureau

Time requested: 10 minutes

Disposition: Passed to second reading

Passed to second reading December 22, 2021 at 9:30 a.m.

Wednesday, December 15, 2021 2:00 pm

Session Status: Recessed

Council in Attendance: Mayor Ted Wheeler

Commissioner Carmen Rubio

Commissioner Dan Ryan

Commissioner Jo Ann Hardesty

Commissioner Mingus Mapps

Mayor Wheeler presided. Commissioner Ryan presided at 2:03 p.m.

Commissioner Rubio arrived at 2:02 p.m.

Officers in attendance: Lauren King, Deputy City Attorney; Keelan McClymont, Council Clerk

Council recessed at 3:53 p.m.

Time Certain

[Amend Title 33 Planning and Zoning and Title 32 Signs and Related Regulations to revise and update regulations for historic resources \(amend Code Titles 32 and 33\)](#) (Ordinance)

Introduced by: Commissioner Carmen Rubio

Bureau: Planning and Sustainability

Time certain: 2:00 pm

Time requested: 2 hours

Previous agenda item 791.

Visit Historic Resources Code Project webpage for more information, <https://www.portland.gov/bps/hrcp>.

Disposition: Continued As Amended

Oral and written record are closed.

Motion to allow 10' of bonus height for certain development proposals in Historic and Conservation Districts in the CM2 Commercial Mixed Use zone: Moved by Hardesty and seconded by Rubio. (Y-5)

Motion to allow certain affordable housing proposals subject to historic resource review to be processed through a Type II or Type IX procedure following a required design advice request meeting: Moved by Ryan and seconded by Hardesty. (Y-5)

Motion to eliminate an existing demolition review approval criterion related to "reasonable economic use" and amend the remaining demolition review approval criteria to ensure underrepresented histories and economic consequences to owners are considered by the decision-maker: Moved by Hardesty and seconded by Rubio. (Y-5)

Motion to allow permits for interior alterations to be issued during 120-day delay, consistent with the applicability of historic resource review: Moved by Ryan and seconded by Hardesty. (Y-5)

Motion to allow for independent recommendations from the Planning and Sustainability Commission and the Historic Landmarks Commission concerning the designation or removal of Historic and Conservation Districts: Moved by Mapps and seconded by Ryan. (Y-1 Mapps; N-4 Rubio, Ryan, Hardesty, Wheeler). Motion failed to pass.

Motion to adjust the Recommended Draft membership categories for the 7-member Historic Landmarks Commission (Amendment 6B): Moved by Mapps and seconded by Rubio. (Y-5)

Motion to allow any Type III historic resource review proposal in the New Chinatown/Japantown Historic District to be processed as a Type II review following a required design advice request meeting: Moved by Mapps and not seconded. Vote not called.

Motion to approve a slate of minor and technical changes intended to clarify and improve the zoning code: Moved by Hardesty and seconded by Rubio. (Y-5)

Continued to January 19, 2022 at 9:45 a.m. Time Certain as amended.

Thursday, December 16, 2021 2:00 pm

Session Status: Adjourned

Council in Attendance: Mayor Ted Wheeler
Commissioner Carmen Rubio
Commissioner Dan Ryan
Commissioner Jo Ann Hardesty
Commissioner Mingus Mapps

Mayor Wheeler presided.

Commissioner Ryan left at 3:57 p.m.

Officers in attendance: Anne Milligan, Deputy City Attorney; Keelan McClymont, Council Clerk

Council adjourned at 4:03 p.m.

Time Certain

912

[*Amend an Intergovernmental Agreement with Multnomah County for the Earthquake Ready Burnside Bridge Project for staffing services \(amend Contract No. 30007280\)](#) (Emergency Ordinance)

Document number: 190651

Introduced by: Commissioner Jo Ann Hardesty

Bureau: Transportation

Time certain: 2:00 pm

Time requested: 90 minutes

Disposition: Passed

Votes: Commissioner Mingus Mapps Yea
Commissioner Carmen Rubio Yea
Commissioner Dan Ryan Yea
Commissioner Jo Ann Hardesty Yea
Mayor Ted Wheeler Yea

Closed caption file of Portland City Council meeting

This file was produced through the closed captioning process for the televised city council broadcast and should not be considered a verbatim transcript. The official vote counts for council action are provided in the official minutes.

December 15, 2021 9:30 a.m.

Wheeler: We have a proclamation this morning. There you go. We're recording. So today we're recognizing Portland timbers for their tremendous contributions made to the city. And they had a fantastic season. We're disappointed they didn't win the MLS championship but they got very, very close and brought a lot of attention to the city in a positive way and many folks came from New York and elsewhere to be here in the great City of Portland and it was a positive shot in the arm just when we needed it. And before I open it up to my colleagues and read the proclamation we're privileged to have a well known figure here, joining us this morning. The timbers president of business. What a season.

Mike Golub: Good morning Mayor Wheeler and council. Thank you for having me today and for the kind words of recognition of the club and organization and it was a heart breaking day and to have every size and shape and people from all walks of life have a positive unifying experience despite the bottom, it was very gratifying and many thousands came from around the country and seeing Portland through their eyes is very encouraging and very heard from people all over the world it was broadcast over 150 countries and I have heard from people from several countries about how exciting it looked. Sports can be cruel. Soccer, especially and the ending was that but in the end it was a terrific day. I just wanted to end by thanking the city. We've received incredible cooperation from all corners of the city, a wide swath of heroes and it was so encouraging and heartening to get the kind of expertise, commitment, and collaboration from the city and they, again.

Wheeler: Hey, Mike. I appreciate the fact you and other staffers have the opportunity to meet several times, between games, when we knew championship was coming here, and I again,

just want to thank you and your team and leadership. And I'd like to leave time for my colleagues here. Commissioner Mapps, I don't see your hand up but I'll call on you.

Mapps: Thank you, Mr. Mayor. I don't have a long speech but I wanted to congratulate the timbers on a remarkable season and express my appreciation to the entire organization for everything they do in our city. And I want to appreciate the fact that this season and our recent game was an opportunity to highlight Portland at its best. And the timbers represent Portland as the best that we can be so thank you, we look forward to next season and go, timbers, thank you, Mr. Mayor.

Wheeler: Thank you, Commissioner Mapps. Commissioner Ryan, good morning.

Ryan: Good morning Mayor, thank you, Michael for being here this morning and representing the entire staff including the big VIPs, players and coaches and in addition, loyal season ticket holders and of course, the world renowned timbers army, shining on television throughout the market, throughout the nation. I want to acknowledge the Mayor's office for supporting the timbers and really leaning in and getting the city galvanized. I heard from good sources we're attentive and it is a big endeavor and you don't have a lot of time. There is a short window, a little over a week. I was impressed with logistics and as a fan, I'll never forget the joy with that late stoppage time goal, sending the cup into overtime. Wow. That is an amazing sports moment and sports is an emotional roller coaster in general. It's an opportunity to be in community but with that, there can be deflated moments. Not talking about the pregame balloon, talking about 30 minutes later when they won on penalty kick. I just want to end with how wonderful to see joy on the streets. It was a soggy, cold Saturday we get those in December and Portlanders were out, doing life and people were seeing one another and I saw prime minister being happy. I've been a fan since the 70s. When I root, I root timbers.

Wheeler: Thank you, Commissioner Hardesty, good morning.

Hardesty: Thank you, Mayor for bringing this proclamation today. I want to add my appreciation. I was a bit shocked Saturday morning to find out we had to put a championship event together in a week. I want to appreciate the city employees that galvanized around this championship game and removed barriers to make sure everyone had a good time and really were in a spirit of celebrating the home team. We didn't bring the championship but did

bring championship hospitality. And championship gathering places an opportunity to say this Portland, right? I want to say good job. We should have gotten a championship but we'll do that next year and again, thank you for the partnership if you would have told me we can do this in a week, I would have said what are you drinking and give me some. Not only did we do it, but we did it well. I'm proud of the people that made it happen.

Wheeler: Thank you, Commissioner Hardesty. Commissioner Rubio, good morning.

Rubio: Good morning and thank you for bringing this to council and being here, mike. And also, I wanted to send my big appreciation to city employees, Mayor's office and all bureaus and also, timbers organization for making this happen. I'm so grateful Portland has a community treasure like the timbers and we're so proud of their performance over this season, but also, their steadfast commitment to their hometown very much part of the culture of the organization. Thank you for bringing help, joy, and fun back to the city when we've needed it most. Just a big reminder of why we love the city like all colleagues have said and timbers is a big part of it and remains number one in our hearts and we'll be ready for next season.

Wheeler: Thank you. I'd like to congratulate players. They really put in a fantastic season and effort to tie the game in 30 seconds and move it was a moment Portland fans will remember for a long, long time. Thank you for that. The Portland community thanks you as players and the timbers organization for all of the hard work and inspirational amazing season that you had. And did, as colleagues say up lift the community. With that I'll read the proclamation on behalf of the city council. Whereas the city of Portland is proud to be home of the Portland timbers our men's professional soccer team for an amazing and inspiring 2021 season that resulted in timbers playing major league soccer MLS cup final, hosted in had Portland. And whereas, the Portland timbers were first established in 2009, in year after year, 25,000 Portlanders filled seats of our city's prized providence park stadium to cheer for timbers, a team that always shows up for our city. And have a strong history an track record playing this three MLS cup finals within 12 years of being an active team and in a difficult time for the city, when needed most this group of athletes, coaches, head professionals, staff, janitorial staff, concession staff and more brought to the city of Portland, oregon a championship cup full of pride and Merrick Paulson, owner and visionary of the team has brought insurmountable joy,

and camaraderie to the residents of the city and whereas, over the last four years
Giovani Savorisi led as head coach and Portland timbers demonstrated leadership on and off
the field and fostered spirit, strength, volunteerism, unity and citywide pride and the fearless
and steadfast timbers army withstood the rain and wind to support the team and now
therefore, I, Ted Wheeler do here by proclaim the Portland timbers have, and will continue to
serve our city excellently. We congratulate them and commend Portland timbers for their
contributions to the city of Portland and encourage residents to observe efforts brought
forward. When I root, I root for Portland timbers and thank you, Mike for being here today to
share this with us. Have an excellent rest of the day.

Golub: Thank you all.

Wheeler: Thank you. That was the fun part of the agenda, folks with that, colleagues, the
December 15, 2021, Portland city council morning session is now called to order, Keelan,
please call roll.

Clerk: [roll call]

Wheeler: Under Portland city code and state law, city council is holding this meeting
electronically. All members of the council are attending remotely by video and teleconference
and the city made audio available to the meeting. It is available on the city's YouTube channel.
And, channel 30. The public may provide written testimony to council by e-mailing our clerk
at cctestimony@portlandoregon.gov. Council taking steps as a result of the COVID-19
pandemic and need to limit in person contact and promote social distancing. The pandemic
requires us to meet remotely. Thank you for your patience, flexibility and understanding to do
the city's business. With that we'll hear from legal counsel on rules of order and decorum in
the court. Good morning.

Lauren King: Good morning you may sign up in advance for communications to speak about
any subject and may sign up on public testimony for readings and published council agenda
is available at www.Portland.gov contains information about how and when you may sign up
while the city council holding electronic meetings, your testimony should address the matter
being considered at that time. Had testifying state your name, address is not necessary. And
can close if you're a lobbyist, and representing an organization, please identify it. The
presiding officer determines the length of testimony, individuals have 15 minutes unless

otherwise stated. When time is up, presiding officer will ask to you conclude, disruptive conduct such as shouting, interrupting testimony will not be allowed. If there are disruptions, a warning may be given resulting on the person being placed on hold or ejected from the remainder of the electronic meeting. All council meetings are recorded.

Wheeler: All right. Thank you. So first up, is communications.

Clerk: Request of Diana Martinez to address council regarding Portland fur sale ban.

Diana Martinez: Good morning and thank you for your time, hi my name is Diana Martinez a Portland resident on behalf of commission knit pdx a group dedicated to change in favor of animals. We've been working to pass a for sale ban and collected five howe signatures from residents and I understand that this is a difficult time for our city, and that your agendas are focused on the humanitarian crisis. Cities around the country are facing same issues yet willing to stake a stance against cruelty and listen to constituents. Cities like Ann Arbor, Michigan, and Hollandale beach, Florida. Yes. Florida passed citywide bans on sale of fur and California was able to pass because cities like San Francisco, Los Angeles and west Hollywood paved the way. We've reached out to everyone of your officers and received mix messages and in others it was after several e-mails your aids were willing to escalate the issue. Commissioner Rubio's office said she'll be willing to support the ban but at this time her office could not handle this matter, Mayor Wheeler, you have gone on record to support the ban. My request has been to ask you to work with us. All of you are received e-mails, letters, post cards, tags on social media. He just want to make Portland the next purr-free city. Help us get there. The people represent had ban and you represent the people. It's my understanding you'll be introducing a foie gras ban soon and I hope it passes but the way I see fit you're willing to introduce a foie gras ban into the city we can introduce a fur ban. I want to close with a quote from Commissioner Mapps that says as an animal lover I'm interested in ensuring ethical treatment of animals so I hope you take time and consider working with us to make Portland the next fur-free city thank you.

Wheeler: Thank you next individual.

Clerk: Request of Nathalie Hutchinson to address council regarding conversion of Lloyd center into Multnomah Public Market.

Nathalie Hutchinson: It's exciting to share this idea. I'm a recent graduate of the PSU architectural design program and I led a group of students to write a written testimony and our proposal for the city to purchase the Lloyd center mall from the current holder and convert into a public mall. Our idea of a public mall is including an e-commerce platform and creating city space and be held as public entity and be applied to supplement affordable housing in Portland and exacerbating housing availability. A public mall is a appreciate start for business owners and investment in local economy, jobs and lifeline to those in need now and is a perfect environment for sustainable innovation. And imagine, being able to go to public mall and purchase clothing tailored to your body and after the integrity of clothes wears down being able to donate the fibers. A public mall is an opportunity to are the opportunity to leverage knowledge of flagship non-profits working in social services to understand which services are available in this space of. The public market allowing to us build a public relationship with the Lloyd district and could then create access to fresh food and improves conditions for farmers and ranchers creating a bigger impact and addresses poverty which is the root cause of many issues discussed in city council meetings but with the demise was the for the people. And the withdrawal took hundreds of jobs with it. As more of our communities are stripped of their wealth, fewer people can afford to participate in commercial capitalism. And this pairs platform creates pathways to well-paying jobs. Rebuilding trust creating well-paying jobs in the community and reconnecting our citizens is how to address the problems we're facing today and that concludes this testimony. Thank you.

Wheeler: Thank you. Question or comment?

Hardesty: Thank you, Mayor. Thank you, Natalie for that very well thought out proposal. And, thank you, for thinking outside of the box about had is possible. And I have had dreams about Lloyd center and what is possible. I hope we don't miss the opportunity to own Lloyd center like we missed an opportunity to own Concordia university. I like big thinkers we have a lot of those in the city of Portland. I'm grateful that you have used your time at Portland state wisely. Grad wait and help us build that vision for the future.

Hutchinson: Thank you.

Wheeler: Nathalie. I thought this was a great presentation and I appreciate the work put into it. What I was thinking of is that the market part of this is ancient. If you had a privilege of seeing old European cities what you notice about the cities, particularly old ones that go back towards, you know, pre-renaissance times they have it at the center of the city is public marketplace and those cities maintained those and have become, and continue to be the central focal place for people to gather, share ideas of thoughts and commerce. Then I was thinking about e commerce platform thank you for thinking boldly. It's awesome you took time to share with us today, kudos to you, thank you. Next individual if I turn my page, 877.

Clerk: Request of Miranda Eisen to address council regarding food equity and animal welfare.

Wheeler: Good Morning

Miranda Eisen: Good morning council members my name Miranda Eisen, living in Portland 18 years and in any mi third and final year at Lewis & Clark law school and working with the nonprofit law center I'm here to can you to support a proposed ordinance to pan the sale of force fed foie gras. I am proud to have grown up here in to city, we prioritize animal welfare, but we're falling behind but allowing local restaurants to sell foie gras and produced by force feeding until livers fatten to ten times normal size and this has been denounced as inhumane and more than 30 leading Monday profit organizations and 50 restaurants within Portland support the ban and there so such up port but know in addition to concerns production poses a risk to public health and environment ducks and bees on factory farms are weakened immune systems making them susceptible to bird flu, and bird blew is a disease that can spread to humans. Because we're currently battling an animal borne disease outbreak we know how detrimental this is to our society. The city should be combatting the proliferation of other diseases including banning the sale of foie gras. The industry produces many environmental has yards including wasting water and energy and polluting with manure and slaughter waste and threatening wild endangered species. The city will be taking a powerful stance against this environmental degradation and reducing our environmental foot print. Banning the sale will have no effect on Portland's committee. I implore to you ban the sale of force fed foie gras in 2022. Thank you.

Wheeler: Can you just say a couple words about the animal law clinic that Lewis & Clark. I wasn't familiar with that. That was interesting tell bus that program.

Eisen: Of course, yeah. It's terrific my favorite class in law school. So law school are clinics to allow law students to have more practical real-life experience and I've been working on this campaign for 4, 5 months now with wonderful lawyers one is professor Kathy Hessler, and students get to work on petition, organizing an anything to do with animal welfare and it's been terrific. There anything else I can answer?

Wheeler: That awesome and I appreciate you bringing it to my attention and I'm glad you're doing it and enjoying it. Thank you.

Wheeler: Thank you. I appreciate your time. Next individual.

Clerk: 879 request of Matthew Orion tam to address council regarding the issue of homelessness.

Matthew Orion: I apologize, I was under the impression this could be a q&a. My question how effective at providing aid to homelessness compared to nongovernmental organizations. And have apologized for informalities.

Wheeler: Let, you're right. This isn't the game show segment. And you're here and asking important questions, so let me at least give you courtesy of a general answer since you made effort to be here and we do appreciate that. We're all doing a lot. Nobody doing enough. There has been a growing awareness between different levels of government. Everybody rolled to play in the homeless crisis it's been viewed as local government issue it's worth noting the agency has declined and state and local government have been asked to take up been able to do that. A lot of folks are not only houseless, but have other important issues from economic issues to substance abuse issues and issues around mental health and so we're working with county government that does provide public health services and state government and there is a role here with collaborative sector, nonprofit and otherwise clinics and there are health issues with people living on the street. We found partnerships are important and of late a lot of the decision is who are we serving and how do we help them navigate different levels of service they might need? So it's forced government to think broadly about how to collaborate and work together. So there is a lot of meat to your questions and I've given the general sort of superficial answer. That those are the main themes I see Commissioner Hardesty has thoughts here as well. Commissioner Hardesty?

Hardesty: Thank you Mayor. Would you tell me your two questions again?

Orion: My question is how effective state, local government add providing aid and how does aid get to the hands of people that need aid? Thank you.

Hardesty: Yes. The last one would take us a couple weeks to try to answer in this forum. Let me add we have a housing crisis on our street as a humanitarian crisis and all hands-on deck approach and I can tell you the state, city, county metro and the number one problem is costs too much money to live in the city of Portland to rent an apartment it's just expensive and many members work every day, 12, jobs. I know I'm on the board of human solutions and we provide housing and I think Matthew expressed a question a lot of people have and if there is a simple solution we'll have no one living on our streets right now. Everyone's heart wants everyone to have a safe place to lay their head. The question is how do we measure significant investments we're making and how do we make sure at the end of the day people have safe housing they can afford to live in? What we call affordable housing isn't affordable for most working people and so biggest issue is how do we increase housing stock and make sure sit housing people who live in Portland today can afford to live in? Happy to have a longer conversation but I didn't want you to feel like you wasted your time signing up and not acknowledging commission that comes with your question.

Wheeler: Matthew. Where are you in high school?

Orion: I'm a senior at mountainside high school.

Wheeler: Thank you. And we wish you well. Where are you headed next year?

Orion: Looking at OSU.

Wheeler: I'm sure there are people on the call have strong opinions and I'm sure will reach out to you independently. Have a great day. Next individual, item 879.

Clerk: Request of Magdalena Madrid to address council regarding homeless campsite and dangerous activity affecting her children. We're checking to see if she's able to connect. I don't see her on at the moment.

Wheeler: Why don't we move on, if she reconnects, we'll have her on. I understand she has a translator on?

Clerk: Correct.

Wheeler: Let's keep the translation on and if she joins we'll have her in when she joins, meantime, we'll move to consent agenda are there items pulled off consent?

Clerk: Yes. Request for three items, 883, 886 and 889.

Wheeler: All right. Please call roll on remainder of consent agenda.

Clerk: [Roll Call]

Wheeler: Aye. Adopted. Time certain 880 please.

Clerk: Steve Lowenstein trust ward 2021.

Wheeler: Commissioner Mapps.

Mapps: Thank you, Mr. Mayor. Mr. Mayor. Colleagues let me make a moment to get thoughts together we have honor of participating in the 30th presentation of the Steve Lowenstein trust award created to honor individuals who made great personal sacrifices nor to improve lives of poor and underprivileged Portlanders named after Steve Lowenstein who served as chief of staff and he was a attorney, respected author and civil rights activist and created this award in his will, stating recipient quote, shall be that important demonstrating greatest contribute to his assisting the poor and under privileged in the city of Portland and each year the Lowenstein trustees nominate individuals helped our neediest neighbors. This year, as mentioned marks 30th time this award has been given out. The first Lowenstein trust ward given in 1992 to recognize work such as north east coalition of neighborhoods, treat roots, human solutions joined and linked in community center, members might remember that last year, the Lowenstein trust award was given to Jeremy Wilson to his work. Here today we are Joe Hertzberg, chair of the Lowenstein trust and Michelle Harper a past chair of the trust. And Joe, Michelle, welcome.

Joe Hertzberg: It great to be here. And I'm going to talk about Steve Lowenstein. I don't think any of you knew him personally. I'll start in the spirit of, I guess soccer week saying Steve was the goalie by overland college sorority about division 23 but he was in the arena and had an amazing career for someone who died just 52 years old. He worked in earliest days of peace corps and with forward foundation in Ethiopia and Chile. I'm going to try to share my screen and show what you this guy looked like. He founded oregon law center and was Mike Lindbergh's chief of staff six years and trusted as rate shooter, a consensus builder and passionate advocate for social justice. All these years after his death I guarantee all of you have one who consider Steve Lowenstein a mentor and role model. Steve die and endowed a trust fund to recognize in his words that person who demonstrated greatest contribution to

assisting poor and under privileged in the city of Portland and this year we're presenting the award at a meeting of the Portland city council for the 30th time. Allen and Joy fowler join a twitched group of local heroes mostly unsung it been and horror to recognize these names but my guess is that you might not have recognized them at the time they received the award. Electing the honoree is the most humbling and rewarding thing I do all year, I'd like to acknowledge board members on the call and several who are not. Jamal Folsom, Margie Harris, Paul Kelly, Charlie Williamson are all on the call. Art alexander, Monica Grakc, Mark Joelan are not. Because Portland public market came up, I should mention that Ron Paul was a board member for decades and Nick Fish said this was his favorite moment of the year in city council and Mayor Wheeler said hopefully this is a pun art, 2 and 2 other predecessors were also great champions of the award. Michelle harper was our peerless leader many years until finding a way to pass the torch to me and I've been fumbling since. Michelle is going to introduce Joy and Allen fowler, this year's honorees.

Michelle Harper: Michelle harper past chair of the Steve Lowenstein trust. If morning Mayor and city council, with great joy, on behalf of the board of directors I'm pleased to present the Steve Lowenstein award to Joy and Allen fowler. I want to tell you a few things about joy. Joy is a diversity and inclusion program manager for the port of Portland and her studies in New York Vity. Upon grad waiting moved to Portland and spent 34 years in financial services industry with a masters of business administration and in free time she volunteers for unite negro college fund. Allen is the executive director and co-founder of miracle needs children. After attending college went into the final industry as well. And while raised in Ohio lived many places in California to Germany and gives time had the community and supports kappa alpha phi. The two amazing parents you're looking at today have for a long time. I am fortunate opportunity to heat Amir as a little one. He had a sweet smile just touched your heart and just an extraordinary child, just light up a room in his presence. Allen and Joy are two extraordinary parents when encountered challenges fighting for their precious son, Amir to have a deserving quality of life. And Amir was diagnosed with a neurological disorder, developmental delays and teamed medically fragile at age five. He lived to be 13 years old, that 13 years was full of joy, excitement, and discovery of how he was an amazing child and after the terrible loss of Amir in 2012 the Fowlers turned their pain into life affirming power

helping many changing lives, educating the medical community and families. They created the Amir foundation to honor their son and help other families and care givers providing resources support and equipment to improve their quality of life.

The Amiracle foundations is a small volunteer run Monday profit started with donations from family and friends and they support the children at providence, randall, and oregon health sciences hospital and area schools. Allen and Joy's program fills gaps not addressed through medical providers, or insurance. And the fowlers passion and devotion to provide unique resources to children and families made major unprecedented impact on families they serve and support as well as hospital staff. Their approaching to building supportive relationships and partnerships is changing the way agencies and schools interact with children and families. Alan and joy are true servant leaders, they inspire, they motivate. Everyone they encounter. And their passion and empathy and to improve quality of life for medically fragile children and their families extraordinary. In this support of these children they've donated hospital beds for home needs, adaptive seating, wheelchairs, up port walkers, cd players for therapeutic use, electronic equipment to assist with communication and bath and shower equipment and have started sensory drones in school classrooms where children can have a place to relax and be calm are overstimulation. They bring in special lighting and equipment and everything they can touch, see and smell to get them through the moments. It's extraordinary and it's a program they want to expand. School districts love it and welcome it and it's been successful. Usual things they've done in the past, children are in wheelchairs and brought sun umbrellas to keep them out of the sun? Who thinks of that? They'd it's thinking out of the box and doing something that is extraordinary and impactful. The foundation brings a little pit of normalcy and joy. The wishes include but not limited to toys, to tickets to Portland trailblazer games, timber games, toughed animals and tickets to events and they've had time to figure out how to donate two pieces of special play equipment and a swing that children love to participate in and provide weekly movie nights and snack wagon and providing respite for parents and care givers who are exhausted and have little relief many they're planning to adopt 20 this holiday season. The miracle back program served students in classrooms for a year at Beverly Cleary, Joyce and Irvington and Marcum schools. And they're able to continue programs and services in spite of the pandemic and include being

providing 200 computers as well as 300 gift cards. With joy and cheer amazement that I have a port knit, that I'm fortunate to know he's two people that I can call my family. And have watch the work. I'm sorry. I watched the work they have done and know the lives they've touched and it gives me honor to acknowledge Joy and Allen and I am excited to be able to present the 2020 award to Allen and joy fowler, please give them a hand if you can. We see you. I'll turn it over to Allen and Jay for remarks and thank you. It's an honor and we've experienced challenges in the city and we hear, see many negative things every day and something like this gives us hope and information and motivation our city is great in spite of everything and can be greater because of people like Allen and Joy.

Joy Fowler: On behalf of the foundation my husband and I just want to thank you, so much, for this incredible honor, we're thrilled to hear what Steve Lowenstein was about and represented because it's aligned with what Allen and I are doing for these medically fragile children. It's our pleasure to receive at ward and put to it great use. Allen talked about having additional sensory rooms and that is just what we like to see and our son would have loved to see as well. Allen?

Allen Fowler: Thank you, everybody the council for allowing us to speak here, one thing we wanted to make sure we do is represent a community that cannot speak for itself. You understand how difficult it if a kid doesn't community indicate what needs are and as a community you feel alone and there are organizations to help. We started off with timbers I want to say thank you. You guys provide a lot of entertainment to keeps, keep up the good work, score those goals, the kids love when the smoke bombs and everything go off. It's a sight to see. And thank you. I wish we're out of business and there are no special needs kids and everybody was healthy. Until that case is, we're there to help. And we don't care whether you're male, female, black, white, Christian, Muslim, Jewish. Anything else. We care about are you a kid with special needs? Do you need help?

Wheeler: Thank you so much. Commissioner Mapps does that complete your presentation?

Mapps: Yes. Unless we are testimony from the public.

Joe Hertzberg: Could I just say one more thing?

Wheeler: Yes. Go for it.

Joe Hertzberg: And that is the last year, we did this Commissioner Mapps shared the foundation one thing that impresses me most is that people focus on children and the families and they've done that but thought about the medical professionals when worked with children and families and instead -- set up the snack wagon. The rest of us banging on pots and pans and they were feeding those folks as well. That is important. Since i've got an opportunity just have to say when you folks are a resolution, terrible idea, have a much better idea and i'm preached to testify in favor of your resolution.

Wheeler: We'll be all ears on that one. So board lighting up comments from fellow Commissioners.

Hardesty: Thank you, Mayor. Joe. I just have to say you never disappoint. This my third year on council with recipients of this Steve Lowenstein award and very to say, Allen and joy you're the best dressed people at council and a long, long time. You showed up I was like I don't know who they are, but they look good. And I had to go off camera because it's not cute when a Commissioner is crying into her computer. So I had to go off minute. What you do as a foundation is incredible. And I'm grateful foundation identifies you and lifted up the great work that you do. Thank you, very much. The more you read, the more I cried. Your son was lucky to have incredible parents I know the secret is true. There are many people going to work that you're doing. It doesn't get everything news and doesn't get credited in newspaper but I honor Allen and Joy fowler today. And just want to you know how grateful I am to be in community with you. Thank you. Joe. The Mayor was wrong when he said fun for today was over. You're right, Joe. It's fabulous to have honor home team and incredible community members. Joe, thank you. You've never disappointed and can't wait to see who you bring next year.

Wheeler: Thank you. Commissioner Mapps?

Mapps: I'm sure the rest of you in expressing my thanks and I want to recognize everyone that served over decades you truly are the best of Portland and it's my pleasure to congratulate this year's awardees Allen and Joy Fowler and Amiracle foundation. We appreciate the work you do on behalf of Portland kids with special needs and have a happy holiday season.

Fowlers: Thank you.

Ryan: Thank you, Michelle, and the board for your persistence to lift service leaders had the community and Michelle your presentation was very powerful. Thank you. And Allen and Joy encountered innumerable challenges to fight for your precious son, Amir to have a deserving quality of life. You fought to give him 13 memorable years, thank you. Now, the legacy of your son lives on with Amiracle foundation. I'm blessed to hear a story like this, this morning. The city council meeting is special this morning and this item set the table and of hope, faith, love and no matter how you celebrate these are the themes and foundation. So congratulations and thank you for being you. And for lifting consciousness of all of us this morning. As we inspire to be like you as we serve our city. Thank you.

Fowlers: Thank you.

Wheeler: Commissioner Rubio?

Rubio: Thank you, and thank you Commissioner Mapps, Joe, Michelle for bringing this fantastic award and recipients forward. And congratulations on receiving this meaningful award. Named for a deeply community minded man. And I'm touched and awestruck to learn about how you turned your personal experience into a story of resilience and service and love to many other families. And as my colleagues and presentation has demonstrated Steve Lowenstein led by example. Because of that we have the award that's our own community version of the Oscars and it's been a wonderful lifting up rids that go above and beyond to make this city a better place so there no better choice in my mind. No better fit than you both, Allen and Joy for this award. Your son Amir was clearly a bright beautiful light in your life and his legacy lives on in love and support and hope you bring to thousands of children and families. So, thank you again for all that you bring to the city and your own loving hearts we're so lucky to have you in this community for all that you can do to make lives of children better so congratulations and much love and appreciation to you both.

Fowlers: Thank you very much.

Wheeler: So there is little I can say to add to what my colleagues have said. Except I needed this and the community needed it and we need to know that there are truly extraordinary people in this community doing extraordinary things and we don't always here hear about it. And as a parent there is no worse fear, nightmare than losing a child. And I think all of us who have been parents wonder how you mentioned in that sense you're alone and that is a fear,

too. That you'll be alone. And the work you do at Amiracle foundation and you do personally, just wow. And the investment tangible and important if there is more we can be doing or could be doing I hope you'll let us know and all I can think of is Amir was a lucky kid. What great parents, what extraordinary people. Thank you. And Joe, thank you for remembering Steve Lowenstein. And as I sort of looked at this presentation it all about, kids. And fundamentally value time we have here and what we leave behind for others and this is good and positive. So thank you. This is what reverend Dr. Martin Luther King called beloved community. This is it. Thank you. So, Commissioner Mapps this is a presentation so there no public testimony and there no vote. All we leave you with is our gratitude. Thank you.

Joy Fowler: Thank you very much.

Allen Fowler: Thank you. For those kind words.

Wheeler: Let's see. Regular agenda. This is an important one here, item 900.

Clerk: Appoint Kenechi Onyeagusi to the Portland housing advisory commission for a term to expire November 2023.

Ryan: Thank you. Portland housing advisory commission add we hear acronym PHAC members take an approach to housing policy and strategy, rising above individual interests and affiliations. Our appointee brings valuable expertise and lived experience to housing conversation.

Shannon Callahan: She had to leave early and she's not able to remain for her appointment.

Ryan: We're excited about bringing her to the dais and I hope you feel the same. Thanks.

Wheeler: This is a report. I'll be happy to accept approve the report.

Hardesty: So moved.

Wheeler: Commissioner Hardesty moves.

Ryan: Second.

Wheeler: Commissioner Ryan seconds. Any furtherer discussion? Please call role.

Clerk: Mapps?

Mapps: I want to thank Commissioner Ryan for bringing this appointment forward and I vote aye.

Clerk: Rubio?

Rubio: I want to thank Commissioner Ryan for bringing this forward and congratulations to the new appointment. I vote aye.

Clerk: Ryan?

Ryan: Aye.

Clerk: Hardesty.

Hardesty: Aye.

Clerk: Wheeler?

Wheeler: So, this is one of the most difficult appointments we can make in this city of Portland there probably no commission that we rely on more. When it comes to questions, and husbanding and husbanding affordability and policy they're important and technical and there is a lot of difference of been and Kenechi you're an extraordinary person and we're so grateful to you. Thank you, and I'm very, very happy to support this appointment. Thank you for making an exceptional appointment. Is there anyone signed up to testify?

Clerk: No one.

Wheeler: Item 901 please.

Clerk: Appoint Moriah McSharry McGrath and Pippa Arendto rental services commission for terms to expire December 2023.

Ryan: Yes. The landlord, tenant regulation and programs and rsc members serve important role of works as collaborative body to advice housing bureau on the need for new landlord tenant policies and programs as well as improvements to existing policies and programs. Members receive input from the community regarding landlord tenant housing needs and priorities in the use to inform that bring valuable insight personally and professionally let me turn this over to director Callahan.

Callahan: Thank you, Commissioner Ryan. Good morning Mayor and Commissioners, Shannon Callahan and as Commissioner Ryan mentioned we're here to ask for your support to confirm the appointment of Moriah McSharry mcgrath and Pippa Arend to the rental services commission. Moriah's work relies on interplay of racism and real estate practices. And Miss Arend artist and director of pear working with homeless youth. Pippa is the owner of 12 rental units and Portlander and lifelong renter. Both will enhance the work of the rental services commission and provide invaluable input and advice to the housing bureau as a

result of their perspectives, knowledge and experience, we're grateful that both have agreed to serve and Mr. Arend is here and I'd like to invite her to share words with you.

Pippa Arend: I didn't prepare anything to say. Thankful to be asked to participate in assuming I'm confirmed.

Callahan: Commissioner that confirms our presentation this morning and with that, we'll ask for your support to confirm both of these excellent candidates.

Ryan: Thank you director Callahan. Pippa, are you still on?

Arend: Yes.

Ryan: I want to say thank you for saying yes. I know you're in a transition from being a founder of care and doing so much service to our community for houseless youth and now, you're in this new chapter and I want to plug something and put myself on record I want to get to your show and you're an active artist and have a show now, right?

Arend: I do at Walters art center in Hillsboro.

Ryan: I just wanted to make sure we had a shameless plug here on the dais for that. Thank you for agreeing to serve. I look forward to your insight at the table. Thank you. That it.

Wheeler: We'll entertain a motion.

Hardesty: So moved.

Clerk: Mayor we have someone signed up to -- to speak.

Wheeler: Thank you for reminding me. Go tock take me a while to get ready for testimony piece. Three minutes for the record.

Clerk: Thank you. We have Rob Such.

Rob Such: I signed up for public comment on item 902.

Wheeler: Okay. Keelan will call on you for item 902. Commissioner Hardesty move, Commissioner Ryan seconds. Discussion? Please call roll.

Clerk: Mapps?

Mapps: I'd like to thank Commissioner Ryan for bringing these excellent candidates forward and thank Moriah and Pippa and I vote aye.

Clerk: Rubio?

Rubio: I'd like to thank Commissioner Ryan and for bringing these two great nominees forward, and thanks to Moriah and Pippa for being willing to serve in this capacity. I vote aye.

Clerk: Ryan?

Ryan: Thank you. And you for saying yes about the service. Look forward to seeing you at the table.

Clerk: Hardesty?

Hardesty: Thank you. I'll join the choir and both Commissioner and director are excellent candidates and I'm grateful they said yes. And Pippa I want to appreciate create activity that went behind creating pair and what a wonderful opportunity to up lift voices of houseless youth and I'm grateful for good work on this and happy to vote aye on these appointments.

Clerk: Wheeler?

Wheeler: Two great appointments and important commission for the city. And I vote aye. Commissioner Ryan, again, thank you. Great appointments and report is accepted and appointments prove. Next item, 902.

Clerk: Adopt City of Portland investment policy.

Wheeler: Oregon law requires council review and approve city's investment policy annually. The city treasury department manages an investment portfolio approximately \$2.75 billion to preserve principle and ensure liquidity able to meet operating needs and to earn an acceptable mark of return on assets. This year treasury proposed modest revisions to city investment policy which are intended to provide opportunities to earn additional yield on portfolio. These changes are projected to increase interest earnings by \$9, sorry. By approximately 9 to \$20 million annually. City treasurer and investment officer and president chief investment officer Rick Phillips have joined us to present and answer questions the council may have. And we'll present the resolution, welcome.

Brigid O'Callaghan: Good morning. My name Brigid O'Callaghan and I'm the city treasurer. We're here to present the city investment for Oregon law pertaining investment funds requires the city adopt a policy annually and I'd like to provide highlights. The investment policy with framework and criteria for investing cash assets the city's investment objectives are first to preserve principle, second to ensure liquidity that funds are available and then, to earn it, a market return on funds while we have them. Investment policy serves as a guide to offer an objective course of action to be followed during periods of market disruption when emotional or responses might motivate less prudent actions. Investment earnings make a

significant contribution to the city budget and they do fund programs and earnings for example in year 2021, treasury earned \$2 million year to date 2021 and November and funds are available to the bureaus. This year treasury recommending revisions to assist to better manage funds in and these recommendations are in the spirit in the guidance by council, community members and socially responsible investment committee and we've taken that information in house and first material change is in under united states treasury securities remove reference to tips that may in the be appropriate and geared towards pension funds so we decided to remove it and have under he can -- municipal securities we've expanded to include our neighboring states of California, Idaho and Washington just consistent with what the statutes are providing. And under corporate indebtedness we're updating to reflect a prohibited industrial classification as approach rather than company names and a list of names and we're moving to more of a classification approach. Under maturities we're extending maximum waited from two years to three years and simply allows us to better match cash needs of the city and this allows us more flexibility to do that and to capture a greater organization of the yield group. There is a number of revisions made intended to improve we've chosen to include retail firearms and using a list of 12 highly rated corporation equity issue names and are recommending the city my great towards a prohibited classification approach and previous approach was comber in and potentially exposed to risk should a firm be downgraded while allowing the city to report investing in industries that are incongruent with the city values. This is a work in progress and the division welcomes dialogue as referenced securities provide diverse fiction and opportunity for additional yield and changes that we're recommending projected to increase interest earnings by nine to 20 annually. City provides practices and we believe these revisions represent a responsible approach to investing city cash assets or seeking adoption of the plans. Thank you, again and I'm happy to answer questions.

Wheeler: Colleagues, any questions at this point? Did you, are you going to present what is your plan here?

O'Callaghan: Thank you for interesting. Mike and Rick are available should they need.

Wheeler: Any further questions? This is a resolution.

Clerk: We have four people. We have Dan Handelman.

Dan Handelman: This Dan Handelman representing peace and justice works today. There is an intense debate to insure it would not allow investments to companies illegally doing business and this led to city making sweeping but mostly responsive decisions a lot to make investments and had of those are morally questionable, the narrow field improves probability the city would not be benefitting human rights violations. The new policy creates prohibited categories of oil companies, small arms and security services. We support these prohibitions but what about large arms manufacturers? Nuclear weapons manufacturers? Companies that add to climate change? Other than oil in several states have disinvested from ben & jerry's ice cream because they made a decision to sell their product in occupied Palestinian territories. Ben & jerry of Jewish heritage, but the point is that regardless of how you feel about this position, the city is now free to invest or disinvest in ben & jerry's or any company that does, or does not want to do business in territories that have been called illegal companies business hurricane isabel responsibility investments board that we've dissolved wasn't consulted when this new proposal was made. We're urging council to retain current policy until a public discussion can happen that leaves a true moral document. You to your time.

Cynthia Gilliam: I'm Cynthia Gilliam, a member of the Jewish voice for peace. I wanted to applaud the work you're doing, it's an excellent start to using our fiscal power to support only industries that make it more aligned with values and I direct your attention to security services. The scope of the section needs more definition. Does the category include surveillance? Does it include private security firms? Which has a long record of human rights abuses? We believe the policy should list these two industries under as much as with a majority stake in NSO a corporation making Pegasus spy ware. Agnes Calamar says NSO spyware is a weapon of choice for repressive governments seeking to silence journalists and crush dissent had a corporation investing lawsuits and been prohibited for investment of federal funds. The company facing bankruptcy that may lead to losses for oregon public employee pension fund. If oregon had a human rights screen in place this unsound and unethical investment would not have been made. Please include services that have a record of human rights abuses, thank you.

Clerk: Next up we have Kelly Campbell.

Kelly Campbell: Mayor Wheeler, Commissioners thank you for the opportunity to speak with you today. My name Kelly Campbell, executive director of Oregon Physicians for Social Responsibility. And I'm testifying because changing city of Portland investment is a big deal and deserves time for public input and scrutiny. And I'm here to urge you to postpone to adopt and create space for discussion and input that is a huge decision and I decided a half hour without deciding to sign up and in 2014 create a committee charged with recommending to include or remove from corporate securities in the pie list in accordance with city established social concerns and including weapon production and concerns about abusive labor practices and human rights and others. And when controversy ensued, city council disbanded in 2017 and said they'd not invest in any corporate securities. In 2019, Oregon Physicians for Social Responsibility and 25 partner organizations worked with Commissioner Fritz' office to bring to city council a resolution to support the treaty on prohibition of nuclear weapons. We wanted to include the city would divest from nuclear weapons manufacturers we're told by staff in Commissioners there is in way to do had given the city had divested are all corporate securities and had divested from nuclear weapons, fossil fuels and other corporations with this blanket policy. The new policy today would reverse that and meaning the city would be able to in other disruptive. The city of New York passed a resolution supporting the treaty and prohibition and just as in soccer they beat us and committed to divest from the nuclear weapons industry. According to appendix a, it prohibits investing in some types of industries but narrower list than Sri committee. Prohibits various oils but not others to fossil fuels and small arms and says nothing about large arms but this should not be set up as city policy without a discussion and ability to add and refine this list. And open up the space for public to weigh in on an important thank you for your time and consideration.

Clerk: Next up we have Rod Such

Such: If morning Mayor Wheeler and Commissioners I'd like to read a statement are Portland chapter of Jewish Voice for Peace. We welcome as a step this the right direction, as one lacking clarity and needing improvement. Recommendation to ban investments had industries that cause harm is a significant improvement in scope and panning all investments in the oil industry classification for example, helps guarantee Portland investments will not be

complicit and had our emergency crisis of global warming but other classifications are not well defined and accessible definition is lacking. It unclear whether security services classification includes private security firms, such as g4s which have a long record of human records and more embraces surveillance and spy ware such as Israeli spy ware firm NSO group black listed by U.S. Two of the for hacking into the phones and we believe the new investment policy should include spyware software and similarly category of small arms industry not defined and we believe it should include tear gas and other chemical weapons producers and we urge the city to include military occupation among categories and take a stand on reducing to invest in companies profiting from apartheid states. And that should be a category in and of itself. We appreciate the steps taken in this new policy, we also want to call out the lack of community involvement and advisory groups gained organizations the opportunity to be heard and take part in a democratic process. Oregon state's recent \$233 million commitment to NSO group is a case in point. If oregon investment council created a human rights screen for investments, or solicited community involvement, it might have avoided travesty of investing in a private equity group the single largest investor group. Lawsuits against NSO by apple and Facebook and U.S. Black listing that could lead to losses for oregon public employee state pension fund.

Wheeler: Brigid I don't know if there is anything there you wanted to respond to?

O'Callaghan: Mayor, I appreciate input from folks and as I said, in that time frame we see this as first step. We have taken input from socially responsible committee and council.

Wheeler: So when you get into the question of what is socially responsible and get into which companies we invest in you get into large disagreements any apple iPhone operates on a recognition system. I wrestled with a decision around investment in BP. And nobody mentioned sugar. You know? Personally, I think sugar is awful. It has no benefits whatsoever. Nobody mentioned meat products I'm trying to cut back on that. We heard a presentation about animal cruelty. And, someone, a group of people are asking city council and taking action there. Communication devices can be used in warfare. It becomes a slippery slope. People made passionate arguments here about specific types of company. Telling how moving from our blanket policy to this more limited policy, tell me why you believe this trade

off worth us taking, given that it will open the door to questions about whether or not we're making investments that counter this daily objectives of the council.

O'Callaghan: And background, you understand this there is a new burgeoning process for what is known as esg investments, environmental investing strategies and I talk towards that as an evolving discipline. As an aside, city of Portland have been evaluated from rating company on environmental social governance factors and ranking solidly in those. But it's in its infancy so what we see had terms of moving from a list of names that could come in and out of favor this specific list of company names now those may not be limiting and limits opportunity to invest in those names and we're only looking at the highest rated safest investments in the market but it opens up that opportunity to look at to become available and allows us to have a guide post so that we're living up to the spirit we know with certainty, these are not areas that the city of Portland wants to invest in and open to looking at that. This is sort of a accept the right direction. Of providing our investment staff a guide post and play book so we can be very objective in terms of what we need to do to manage the city funds.

Wheeler: Thank you. Commissioner Rubio?

Rubio: Thank you Mayor. Just want to say, I appreciate the work of the city and work done to clarify categories and to notification. I want to the offer as a Commissioner newer to this conversation I heard references in testimony and just received information yesterday that I don't know if I feel ready to vote on this issue today and if there's time to pull back so we can reflect and give us time to engage in staff and explore feedback received.

O'Callaghan: I need to look towards city attorney and if there are questions we're happy to do that in terms of getting you comfortable with this approach. Our policy needs to be reviewed by calendar year end. This is refining a list that refines that into industry classifications and further refine that moving forward.

Wheeler: I note we have council on 22. So unless legal counsel tell me otherwise.

Lauren King: No legal issue, but it would be up to if there is capacity on the agenda.

Clerk: We have room on the agenda for this item next week.

Wheeler: I'd like to poll colleagues on this. Let's wait until everybody gets through questions.

Hardesty: Thank you, Mayor and Brigid for your conversation. I just wanted to say that question is we're calling out small arms, what about large arms? Nuclear weapons? And etc.? And I was happy to get good answers and not saying we're going to buy large weapons. We used to have a commission and I thought I heard that is disbanded and advised on changes so if you can tell me which is true? That I heard?

O'Callaghan: This is a separate group to help us gather information on these concerns that are indicator cells on socially responsible investing and the decision made to disband that community. So we still retain our existing investment and so we'll make that open and available to those who wish to speak.

Hardesty: If we vote on this next week, we'll also with voting in next December. Right?

O'Callaghan: That is correct. We review this and can come back, sooner if council wishes to have us review the sooner or decide to.

Hardesty: This is my last question. Last question is will you be able to come back and give us the type of investments and whether or not you believe they're consistent with values city council articulated?.

O'Callaghan: Absolutely.

Hardesty: Thank you.

Wheeler: Commissioner Ryan?

Ryan: Thank you, Mayor and I want to start off by this issue. What I wanted to point out to questions, I couldn't get a sense of what the next steps were. So when you return how will this be a different report? I'm comfortable hearing it's a great first step and having trouble seeing what the guide posts are. I heard guide points. I just want to hear about what the plan is like. And I realize there is a Pandora box on this one. There is a lot of subjective points of view that are valid and we need to work that out. Just struggled to understand so next year it won't sound the same as it does this year. I'll give you response to that, thanks.

O'Callaghan: Thank you. Great questions. As I mentioned.

Hardesty: Excuse me, Brigid. When you start talking your voice is very low. The more you talk the higher your voice happens to come? I don't know why that is. It seems to happen every time you start talking.

Ryan: I thought it's my -- I'm having trouble hearing as well.

O'Callaghan: My apologies. It looks like I'm having system --.

Ryan: There it is.

O'Callaghan: Is that better?

Ryan: Oh, man. Let me tell you.

O'Callaghan: Okay. I'm going to move closer to my lap top. Yes. To your commission this is an evolving area of investment discipline and environmental social governance rankings are must and it's too new to rate. And I couldn't guide to those ratings without history on that. So what we've been doing is monitoring market and we hired a consultant and the gentleman on the line with us today how reliable is it. We're looking to evaluate these categories using for the classifications. So it is a work in progress and we have than plans to delve into this further and we'll be looking for their input and welcome others to join us. Over the next year with our new firm that is what our plan will be.

Wheeler: Commissioner Mapps?

Mapps: Thank you. I'll share where I am at owe we can figure out what we want to do with this item, today. I want to thank the Mayor for bringing this forward and I refute claims raised during testimony. And should say I heard staff when they told thus is a work in progress and I hear staff when telling us the council needs to review this policy by the end of the year. So if we don't vote today, I plan to vote yes. And as we move forward council will continue to engage today.

Wheeler: Commissioner Rubio do you still wish delay?

Rubio: I want to vote yes as well. And just appreciate more time to. I would feel ready next week.

Wheeler: It doesn't appear there cost by waiting until next week to give Commissioner Rubio more time to dig into this. Let's continue this item until Wednesday. We'll just pick it up with a vote. So Keelan can we just put this into morning session next week, please?

Clerk: Yes. We'll do that.

Wheeler: Awesome. Good. Good conversation. Thank you, everybody. Thank you. Bridgette. We appreciate your work on this.

O'Callaghan: Thank you, Mayor. Thank you, Commissioners.

Wheeler: Next item, 903, please.

Clerk: Create a new non-represented classification of director of police education and training which exempt from classified service and establish a compensation range.

Wheeler: You'll recall this came up previously at this and there are folks that want to, Commissioner Hardesty? I'll let you go first.

Hardesty: In worry, Mayor just going to say thank you forgiving me that extra week. I had the opportunity to meet with our legal folks at human resources and is clear to me why the category will narrow down who has an opportunity to apply for this. I appreciate extra time.

Wheeler: Colleagues I also like to ask what this point before we take a vote to make a motion to reintroduce emergency clause on this item? In order that this classification may occur and can I get a second?

Hardesty: Second.

Wheeler: Second to the motion which is the addition of a emergency clause any further discussion? Seeing none, call roll.

Clerk: Mapps?

Mapps: Aye.

Clerk: Rubio?

Rubio: Thank you, Mayor Wheeler and thank you, Commissioner Hardesty for your questions, happy to vote aye.

Clerk: Ryan?

Ryan: Aye.

Clerk: Hardesty?

Hardesty: Again. I appreciate extra time and conversation and happen where he to vote aye.

Clerk: Wheeler?

Wheeler: The emergency clause is added to the main motion as amended. Any further discussion? Seeing none, please call roll this, is a second reading.

Clerk: Mapps?

Mapps: Aye.

Clerk: Rubio?

Rubio: Aye.

Clerk: Hardesty?

Hardesty: I think this will be a game changer for us in rethinking safety in our community and I'm thrilled that we're on a cutting edge and is my hope to help us improve training for police officers as they come into our new structure. I think this one of the best pieces of legislation we've moved forward on rethinking police this year and I'm happy to vote aye.

Clerk: Wheeler?

Wheeler: This is a very important reform of policing. We've discuss many times, around the roster the importance of ensuring our training is effective and relevant that community voice is present this, is a position that the united states department of justice made clear. It's a must have. In terms of coming into full compliance with the settlement agreement. And I think this is a very thoughtful proposal and I hear you on this specificity you'd like to see. I share that interest. And I'm sure our fellow Commissioners do, too. I vote aye. Ordinance is adopted.

Next item. 904, please.

Clerk: Authorize application and accept award funds from FEMA in the amount of \$1,665,000 for the Portland international raceway pump station up frayed design project.

Wheeler: Commissioner Mapps?

Mapps: Thank you, Mr. Mayor. Colleagues this ordinance authorizes to apply for and accept funding from FEMA to cover part of the cost of replacing a pump station at risk of failing. The city of Portland relies on levies that protect key portions of the city from flooding. The Portland international raceway pump station is part of that system. That pump station protects low lying areas including city streets and max infrastructure and other lands including historic band port. That pump station is nearing the end of its useful life and likely to fail within the next five years. This ordinance would allow vinyl services to apply for and accept federal funding covering 75% of the cost of planning, designing and permitting for a new win. First, it would allow us in levy ready Columbia. Second, benefitting future districts that will manage flood assets and receiving this grant recusing city liability for future upgrades and repairs. Here today with a brief presentation James Allison. Capital project manager with environmental services. We also have two other guests. Jonna Papaefthimiou Portland bureau of emergency management and we have Jim Middaugh, executive director of the county drainage district. Welcome and tell us more about the project.

James Allison: Great. Thank you Commissioner Mapps and good morning my name James Allison a capital projects manager for des and president of the peninsula drainage district one and pen one and here today, to request an ordinance to accept grant funds from the hazard grant mitigation program this, is about leveraging funding for flood prevention infrastructure and is a partnership with the drainage district. Thank you or being here today. Do we have a slide show? We can show? Perfect, thank you. Next slide, please. Portland international raceway nearing end of it's useful life. Pump station operated by the n1 district. This is an oregon special district maintains levies, pump stations and other assets. Pen one is funded by annual tax assessments and most of the land open space and protects city assets first, the planned project leverages considerable funding and has great benefit in supporting the levy effort. Next slide, please. The design will help us make progress on the city mitigation action plan. Pen one does not have a mitigation plan. That the requirement that protects facilities and functions and project has ancillary benefit of developing a stronger position for the city an pen one as this map, red line you can see is levy system that protects the land to the far left on the map you'll see yellow star location of the pir pump station this is the area of the blood and city in pen one to the right you can see the next sub basin over and you can see delta park that a lot of residential property moving toward middle of the map you can see Portland international airport that opens to the past in fair view lake. That is what is known as the sandy drainage improvement company. These assets function as a system but managed by independently enforced special business. The levy ready effort have agreed to tent themselves when assuming responsibility. I mentioned ancillary benefit. It's important to know while in the interest of these districts each considering the condition of the assets and liabilities in the other districts owe this in the long run will matter to the city.

******:** This is pen one, again and you can see area of the historic van port flood and Portland international race way and pump station protects non-city facilities city property would be several feet underwater. The presence of water on the map is not exaggerated. And the land slopes from north down toward raceway and we're at the bottom of the hill so to speak. Next slide, please. This is pir pump station. Sitting in a collection base pumping water into the levy and constructed in 1950s and one would expect it is due for a replace many. It's not designed for seismic sand yards and that added to extend this. The goal is reducing risk including

flooding for storm events and a Ben Stit pitt is that it will be optimize for energy and the design integrated into an existing drainage plan for the pen one basin so will be optimized to benefit the environment as well. Budget would be federal sponsorship, \$550,000 coming are local city match that will be appropriated from a general fund set aside for support. And planning occurs this summer and fall design, permitting october 22nd to december 2024 and is anticipated to be move through safety water quality district. Next slide. I'd like to turn hinges over to Jonna. I'd like to mention partnership and support in filtering this grant and ensuring it will fit with priorities.

Jim Maddaugh: Thank you, I'm grateful for the opportunity to thank you for being pro active in pursuing this grant to increase our resilience to reduce risks there and to say participating in update for the city and you know we meet monthly all year to work on blood planning and preparedness and in times when it gets really wet out so we're grateful and I think this is an example of the work we can do together and align around collaboration and fiscal responsibility and say adjacency reminds us of floods and plan to protect folk ms. That area that face great risks. Thank you for letting us be a partner. Next slide, please. It's exciting to be in front of you today after having been an employee. My job is echoing value of the partnership and I wanted to thank james and Jonna and I want to note i'm going to forget partners in the city because already just many from p bot and staff expertise to spot team pulling together a team to help us manage up to ppb responding despite challenges for them had needing emergency assistance just across the board the city has been clear about commitments and followed up and we want to help them find better places to live this, one example of the partnership that is strong and thank you to counsel and staff who provide support. The pir station is without it fill up and you are news that you have to consume but perhaps you noticed a few weeks ago british columbia and northern washington state received two months of rain in 24 hours so that we have been able to provide this investment is a key part of that. And as james noted pump station is at risk of failure and sort of legendary district among our operations team that have james is right w out improvements, it inevitable there will be harm to Portland international raceway and uses that come with that. It's important to note a variety of businesses, the replacement of this pump station is a top priority for all agencies and jurisdictions part of the levy ready columbia program. So, that

everyone from the city of Portland to fair view and troutdale and private organizations as well. And is important to find investments. I have had the opportunity to be in Washington, D.C. Last week and this project likely to be delayed while developing must water quality district and work to approve funding for our system. Had was a failure in railroad berm that caused the blood but there also a cascading failure that happened. So if we don't pump water out, you can see a failure of levies affect not just pen one but two. So implications of folks are profound and so this project will help us be more resilient and help us win and continue to allow us to have this partnership with the city and other cities that we serve with that, thanks to bds.

Allison: That concludes our presentation, I would like to thank Jonna for being here and being responsive in helping this go on. That concludes our presentation. Thank you.

Wheeler: Very if. I was wondering when that well rested looking gentleman was that looked like Jim Middaugh. He's wearing his timbers scarf. It's if to see you, Jim. So, Keelan do we are public testimony on this nonemergency ordinance?

Clerk: No one signed up.

Wheeler: All right. Further discussion? Questions? Colleagues?. Commissioner Ryan?

Ryan: Mayor, thank you. Thank you Commissioner Mapps. I will start off by thanking James and Jonna and Jim. And are they dissolving to form one district? Do they hear that?

Allison: James. Do you want me to answer this happy to defer.

Middaugh: Please.

Allison: Yes. Legislature created a district with authority to dissolve four legacy districts. We're in the thick of that and one key premise is to get us off local property taxes and develop probably more of a utility rate structure so we're working with the bureau of environmental services and with your jurisdictions to come up with a way of developing model and we're likely to be going to all voters in urban county with general obligation bond to fund improvements we hope will provide match to pay for two thirds of the large share that we need to provide equal protection. The government appointed a 17 member board and rather than having land owners elect boards like legacy districts based on number of acres voters will be electing the supervisors of the new district with a handful appointed by the governor to ensure key perspectives are represented on the board.

Ryan: Thank you. Well, well stated and I appreciate hearing more context on that. That is good government and I just want to make sure this council with remain supportive and it doesn't take too long to do what right. The photo of the pump station said a lot. And average person would assume it won't survive a big one is investment, getting at that? We hear connection to the big one with how it will survive. Looks like it just won't survive.

Allison: It would fall into the lieu, had doubt and it's our objective to get approval to cover construction

Middaugh: Intention moving it out of the water and making it resilient.

Wheeler: Commissioner Hardesty?

Hardesty: This is amazing and what it does to get away from it. Thank you. I'm appreciative. And thank you, James, Jonna. As our resilience expert I know that there is a lot we are to do to be preparing for disasters right? And so I wanted to give you an opportunity to tell us, where are we? Our planning? Because I -- way back when do we a plan for the city?

Papaefthimiou: I wish I can say we had everything. I figured everything out. Unfortunately that in the case, yet. But two efforts are underway I think we've almost hired a new boss that will give me time. I expect to come up with a mitigation action plan which focusing on capital projects we he'd to accomplish to strengthen our own built infrastructure and I hi that has to be a core of our resilience work. No matter the city does, we can't go it alone. And have to know people help each other, I'll be back with a resilience agenda for the city and we'll talk about that later as soon as I have a boss and he can agree on a work plan. It's gracious to ask. The project today is in the mitigation action plan and part of the strategy.

Hardesty: As you know it's been part of the regional policy disaster council is, I lived and breathed this every day right? I'm thinking with what else is going to hit and in making sure we have had a plan and I couldn't be more appreciate tiff and honored to know and appreciate the staff who have been on the front lines. I know that is not the conversation today. I don't get to see Jonna often and I wanted to do this publicly. So thank you. And I want to say this is a this is a this is a long time coming and required building trust every step of the way. And I want to applaud work done until this point because it's clear that you built a lot of community trust and built a lot of public trust. And are moving in the right direction.

Hats off to folks who have been involved over the years. I know how long it and how hard it and long it takes to into do these well done.

Wheeler: Thank you, Commissioner Rubio.

Rubio: Thank you, Mayor and Commissioner Mapps for bringing this forward and thank you, James, and Jim, and Jonna for being here, I want to reiterate appreciation and acknowledge there is a great example and leveraging federal dollars for needs we have and because of climate change and time is our enemy here. I'm interested in how this project develops and I'm sure you've mentioned this and I probably missed it. How long to you expect project to take? From start to finish? If based do you expect opportunities down the road?

Middaugh: Great questions. The polling grant award in the late spring and two years to do construction. The planning budget in his fiscal year 26, 27 for now. We anticipate that the current pump station will be we'll stein the best process.

Allison: We're working to make changes to the new district that would allow us to move more quickly if the board decides that appropriate that could speed the process up. We recognize moving quickly and don't want to hurry and we don't want to maintain the public trust of what we've built.

Wheeler: Colleagues do you immediate questions on this? Is there public testimony on this nonemergency ordinance?

Clerk: No one signed up very good.

Wheeler: Just throw in my \$0.02. This is a great presentation. Would are supported based on first photograph. It looked comical and impact it has on emergency management and noting this is also in a very important part of our city. Historic van port strict of the city. Thank you for doing such a great job on this. This the first reading moves to second reading. Colleagues, we have had a request for a five-minute break. It's now 11: 55 we're in recess until high noon.

At 11:55, Council recessed

At 12:00, Council reconvened.

Wheeler: We are back in session, item 905.

Clerk: Authorize contract for engineering services for luma boulevard waste water treatment plant system and rehabilitation.

Wheeler: This is the great Commissioner Mapps, you're up.

Mapps: Mr. Mayor, colleagues today comes from environment services. The bureau seeks authorization to enter into a contract to help replacement of the blower system at the Columbia boulevard water treatment plant. Every resident needs our waste water to operate reliably. To get that done, the water treatment plant processes 28 million gallon per year and 10 trillion bacteria are used to breakdown organic matter and the process of aerobic digestion requires about 65,000 pounds of oxygen every day. And is experiencing deficiencies. This project would upgrade the system to ensure the process has a reliable source of oxygen with this ordinance to develop, design and support construction upgrades to blower system and building. Here today with a brief presentation is we have Cyrus Osborn, and Bhargavi Ambadkar, engineering manager.

Cyrus Osborn: Welcome. I'm going to hair my screen. Thank you, Mayor Wheeler for the opportunity to be here today. And I am project manager, lower system and building improvements project. So, as the name indicates project is located on Columbia context. The photo are the aeration functions which makeup the system. So, as Commissioner Mapps said aeration is to break down contaminants and this is supplying air to that and so in bottom picture you can't see the microorganisms or bubbles providing and will be clear focus to network up to the red tunnel. What you can see, this project will not makeup dates to the aeration basins themselves. So the primary driver is that it was installed in early 1970s and many components were original including two of the four blowers and spare parts are not available. Another consequence I'll mention is effort must be done manually and take 1 to 3 staff hours per day. The aeration system has leaks in ducting and also has single point of failure and roof leaks have been I'd bid previously. This is a large project. Already numerous subjective and many fall under optimizing blower iteration performance. That end will be working on everything from electric gear to leaky distribution system and taking account upgrades in basins and to replace the roof and bring control room into compliance with plan needs so to meet those objectives we'll need to contract to develop design and support construction of the solutions that we need. Through requests engineers has been elected in

accordance with city code and level of confidence high and includes 42.9% cooperation with contract value going to minority or women-owned businesses. We're asking council to authorize this contract. The construction contract will make up the majority of the estimated \$20 million cost with construction anticipated begin in 2025. Thank you for the opportunity to present here today. Please let me know if you have questions and enjoy the photo of the aeration basins in 1975. I'll point out blowers are still in service. Today.

Hardesty: Thank you. I just want to complete you on numbers and is clear and I'm hopeful one bringing back will be as impressive as this one is. Just wanted to say well done and look for to the next.

Osborn: Thank you very much.

Wheeler: Do we public testimony on this first reading of a nonemergency ordinance?

Clerk: No, Mayor.

Wheeler: Very good. Any further questions? This first reading moves to second reading. Thank you, Cyrus and Commissioner Mapps. Next up is second reading 906.

Clerk: Authorize a competitive solicitation and contract with the lowest responsible bidder for construction of stark trunk relief structure project number e11009 for an estimated cost of \$2,400.

Wheeler: Is there any further testimony? Seeing none, roll.

Clerk: [roll call] Wheeler?

Wheeler: Adopted. 907 please.

Clerk: Authorize competitive contract with lowest responsibility bidder for construction of the citywide sump replacement project for estimated cost of \$1,458,600.

Wheeler: Also second reading any further discussion on this item? Seeing none please call the roll.

Clerk: [roll call]

Wheeler: Adopted. Next item is a report.

Clerk: Report to present draft administrative rules to implement new bull run protections or council review prior to adoption by water bureau administrator.

Wheeler: Commissioner Mapps.

Mapps: Today we're here to receive a report on draft administrative rules for implementing new protections for bull run closure area the water bureau administrator responsible for adopting final draft of administrative rules for the bull run water shed today, counsel's job is receiving this report, listen to public testimony and provide the water bureau with feedback on administrative rules. I want to provide information on how it got to counsel. Draft rules are the final water code and hose are implemented in the new parts of the code that calls to bring to counsel for review require to administrator adopting these rules. The purpose of today's presentation is to summarize the process that led us here today, last year's council committed water bureau to bringing rules back for review before they are adopted through regular process. This step requested in this 2020 and authorizing ordinance included an opportunity to testify at this stage. And I'd like to close by saying I look forward to hearing feedback from the public and presentation. These rules provide new protections for city lands and gold run watershed and increase transparency, making documents recording impacts available for public review and comment.

Gabe Solmer: Commissioner I'm the director of the water bureau pinch hitting for Edward this afternoon so thank you, I'm happy to start off and hand it over Janet Senior. To have power point. Thank you. So thank you for your introduction, I'm director for Portland water bureau. We're sharing a report that describes a proposed set of rules maintaining the work we do you can move to next slide. So just a bit about the time line. As you are heard these rules follow on two increases to city protections for the bull run over the last two years and as you heard in 2019 city voters overwhelmingly underlined the bull run for federal lands into the city charter to approaches through water bureau update to title 22 code and that language requires impact assessments had administrative roles so we've spent the year developing what we've sharing with you today for council and stakeholder review and comment and I'll just say that process wise, this isn't typical for code language that council districted water hear input roles today, and ling today's hearing, the bureau will work with Commissioner happens and we've worked with the city attorney and so we can move to next slide. Those items not to vote to vote on accepting the report not on adopting the rules. And we'll move to next slide. And I'll turn it over to Janet Senior. Janet?

Janet Senior: Good morning. I'm Janet Senior managing drinking water protection. I'll start with where rules apply. And the city lands in blue are where day-to-day activity occurs and aware of the reservoirs, and dams are located. The city owned lands comprise about 5,000 acres out of 95,000 acres this the entirety of the bull run closure area. Drafting rules, water bureau has several poles, first to protect the water supply, managing lands with the adjacent national forest, helping to manage as a whole, not separate parts based on ownership. Second, bureau wants to establish processes that consider potential environmental impacts early bureau wants to make these documents available for public comment and review. Next slide, please. What do rules do? Not proposing or analyzing current projects instead creating a process for rules to answer questions he'd answer to identify potential impacts and define how staff will avoid or reduce impacts. Next slide. These impacts rules cover. The content informed by and similar to what federal law will require, limit change important as protection of at risk species. Next slide. What project are we talking? Water bureau has been publishing active projects four times a year. The purpose to let the public know about what is happening. In examples are road repairs and culvert replacements and replacements of dam related equipment. The rule also considers project types that are unlikely but could be proposed in the future. Next slide. It's important to recognize water shed is not new for bull run and variety of practices are had place and in the replacing any rules to make it easier to learn with what actions city taking to meet requirements at all levels, next slide. Examples of practices in place these are implemented when relevant to projects and I won't read the list here you covers a variety of areas and must protections and plans as developed and adopted. Next slide. To conclude, council directed bureau adopt these rules by the end of the year and 2020 or mans directed the bureau hold a hearing and then, 2020 ordinance authorizes to adopt the rules. That are not yet final. We sent a letter indicating our intent to listen to them and to consider some adjustments and changes. Thank you. That the end of the presentation.

Wheeler: Thank you. Very good. Any questions? Do we have public testimony?

Clerk: We have four people signed up.

Wheeler: All right. Very good. Name for the record, three minutes each, please.

Clerk: Sorry. We have Regna Merritt. Regna you're muted are you able to unmute?

Regna Merritt: I'm sorry. In the afternoon. I serve on an advisory board for hundreds of social responsibility. This morning I submitted comments on behalf of physicians, Audubon, Washington, Oregon chapter of Sierra Club, 350 PDX and Hood River Stewardship Council. All signatories we ask you to advocate for changes prior to finalization of the rules December 31st. I'm testifying on behalf of 350 PDX and Oregon chapter of Sierra Club. We thank Commissioner Mapps and staff for listening to concerns and to the water bureau, however, we feel stymied because we cannot support language in that we have not seen and therefore we stand by our earlier comments. New rules should incorporate an America mix assessing impact from logging and converting any portion of national landscape to build infrastructure and should address impact on a changing climate and two, large repair should not be included and how can one determine mitigation due to a large project. It won't happen. Mike will address concerns in his testimony. Again we can provide meaningful comment on text we've not seen and repeat our call to incorporate our recommendations. Staff indicate the bureau does not anticipate modification of rules to address concerns and the immediate to address community engagement through rules. The roll out of your public engagement process next year may be an improvement but may put the burden to contact the bureau rather than the bureau reaching out to them within the Bull Run. Thank you and we look forward to continued work with you. Thank you.

Clerk: Next we have Micah Meskel

Micah Meskel: Thank you. My name is Micah Meskel, and I like to start with also thanking Commissioner Mapps and staff for listening to concerns that stakeholders voiced in our letter and for the bureau and we feel frustrated because we feel pledges made didn't go far enough and we stand by our earlier comments and ask Commissioner Mapps to continue to craft those changes to better align with concerns. I'm in the going to talk about the specificity of concerns that is just why we're advocating for Bull Run. It's the gem of the natural resources, provides clean water and as mentioned, rotors overwhelmingly supported 90% for strong conditions of the Bull Run. Draft rules before you today begin to get us toward more permanent and comprehensive protection but we hope it fall short so it's important. We need to know if these are present in order to adjust project and this is important for northern spotted owl an endangered species present in the Bull Run and feel like the surveys gives opportunity

to partner with communities and other agencies and a skill set and data which can reap benefits as they steward that species. Maternal old growth in storing atmosphere carbon and helping mitigate the impact and so any management changes projects must include adverse impacts and this is adding a more comprehensive analysis as proposed in our comments would align with city climate emergency ensuring a resilient base so thank you to Commissioner Mapps for listening to concerns and we hope that continue to work in waning weeks of the year to come to a conclusion that we hope for. Thank you.

Theodora Tsongas: Good afternoon, today I'm speaking on behalf of bark a nonprofit dedicated to providing community with resource, together with 2500 among our supporters and we acknowledge Portland may modify draft rules before December 31st and appreciate there is recognition of previous testimony. We've not seen must drafts and continue to request the city council not accept the report and exhibit a associated with this item. And would like to emphasis projects within the bull run closure area. As these city owned lands are no longer under jurisdiction of the U.S. Forest service the city will be held accountable for impacts to ecosystem and benefits for the communities climate change impacts are nearly excluded and says tribe the number of truck trips anticipated to occur. This rudimentary assessment of vehicle emissions does not come near guidelines to projects where they still under federal management. Storing as wood and other bio mass and had soil referred to a carbon pool stock or reservoir. And impacts are a factor and city should elect to quantify all carbon from any project and potential loss of future sequestration because of any project. And consideration of green house gas and effects of climate change on policy act reviews.

Damon Motz-Storey: Thank you. My name is Damon Motz-Storey testifying in my volunteer as a member of the advisory board and numbing over 2600 members and focused on impacts of survival so I'm going to be brief because you've heard an array of the concerns that we share with bark and other organizations and are submitted some comments. It's important to get this right and we lose things like policy act and that are essential and these have very real impacts of things relating to dams and other projects that could have large impacts and resiliency of the system and nerd to underscore important comments around and underscore it's important to consider tribal government engagement as part from understanding difference. I want to reiterate things others have said engaging in dialogue for us. We can't

commit to reel rule changes so we urge you, take action and in the accept the report and work through these changes before finalizing rules, thank you.

Clerk: That completes testimony.

Wheeler: Any further? Commissioner Mapps?

Mapps: Mr. Mayor, colleagues I just wanted to summarize what I have heard today and what next steps are. It is unusual before a bureau that adopts rules, one reason we took this step is to make sure that this body was tracking appeals for water projects in the bull run area, here is any understanding of our next steps. Administrator will adopt as directed in ordinance 19228 and I think the process looks from here on out. And so with that I'll let other colleagues comment.

Wheeler: Commissioner Hardesty?

Hardesty: Thank you, Mayor. And I'm appreciating public testimony on these reports I'm curious whether or not we looked at policies as a road map in writing these rules.

Senior: We're involved in climate action plan from the beginning. So we're informed and considering testimony received. It was a disagreement about importance of climate and more how to apply that to impacts so that is a nutshell response to your inquiry.

Solmer: Thank you for the question. We don't have a difference of opinion, and I just want to call attention to our stake holders that these are administrative rules that can be reevaluated and we're not setting anything in stone we'll have an opportunity to continue these conversations and I hope stake holders will continue to be involved to show where we can improve. And so we can continue to have conversations and are our stake holders hold the bureau accountable.

Hardesty: Thank you. I don't expect these are easy to answer. I do expect we learn better and do better and I guess channeling Amanda Fritza, we're on fire and we rely on water. As the climate continues to suffer from these severs, hopefully, we're ahead of the curve. I share urgency around us getting this right and are we move aggressively enough? None of those are easy to answer. And last question will be I understand rules on the ballot as one of her last official acts and that we're trying to ensure we're head of the disaster headed our way. What is the time line of the rules if we find out that we're not aggressive enough or there another measurement we may want to use?

Solmer: Do you want to talk about our time line?

Senior: Rules acknowledge we're in a learning curve and include an administrative review and assessment of lessons learned but could arrive earlier.

Hardesty: I think that five years is too long to be waiting to see if we're having an impact. And would prefer we come back within two years with some real data about whether we're having impact.

Solmer: We want to make sure we are a portfolio so we can learn lessons and I take your point and we want to incentivize promising things we've seen and to get quicker outcomes. Incredible work. I appreciated public testimony. It gave me more information to think about other than whether or not we're adhering to the ballot measure so thank you. Great work.

Wheeler: Thank you, colleagues I'll entertain a motion.

Hardesty: Move adoption of the report as presented.

Mapps: Second.

Wheeler: Motion from Commissioner Hardesty and second from Commissioner Mapps. Any discussion? Please call roll.

Clerk: Mapps?.

Mapps: I just wanted to take a moment to thank public for testimony we're sympathetic to concerns and I would say there no disagreement here and because I'm confident these will continue and continue productively, I vote aye.

Clerk: Rubio.

Rubio: Thank you, Commissioner Mapps and director Solmer and staff for bringing this forward and Janet, for presentation. And I want to thank advocates who are devoted and have devoted to your concerns and so, we immediate your advocacy. He's changes are moving in the right direction for the environment, and to mitigate the effects of climate change but there is work to do and I look forward to seeing how we can continue to integrate these concerns over time. So this is great work and I appreciate all of the parties involved. I vote aye.

Ryan: Thank you for testimony and dialogue. Reports continues to be a good thing and I know we'll be hearing more from you that will help us move forward. Great presentation, Janet. I vote aye.

Clerk: Hardesty?

Hardesty: I'm proud of how we're moving around protecting bull run as well as being responsive to the climate danger we're experiencing. Is this perfect policy? No. I don't know of any perfect policy yet. And director, I feel confident that in two years, you'll be able to come to council with a preliminary report and realizing we're in a state of emergency and normal time lines will not be appropriate.

Clerk: Wheeler?

Wheeler: This is fantastic and I appreciate testimony and the back and forth with staff. Thank you for bringing this forward Commissioner Mapps. I vote aye. Report is accepted. Next this is an emergency ordinance.

Clerk: Amendment intergovernmental agreement to authorize fy revised budget allocation to joint office of homeless services for pro vision of homeless services and permanent housing and placement activities.

Ryan: Colleagues I'm grateful to be before you today and bringing forward this emergency ordinance this will push forward new funding for permanent housing, placement and homeless services, and humbled to say this comes as a direct result of the strong alignment that we achieve between city council and county on house's programs and services. As well as our coordinated effort on the fall budget monitoring process. Thank you all for your active engagement. To secure housing for individuals during covid-19 and our annual severe cold weather season that we're in and joint services helps this intern provide housing. City intends to authorize the budget allocation and joint office of homeless services, funding will add \$14,526,397 to joint office. To break that down, \$6 million for continuation of one time funding, 2 million for shelter capital costs support and \$6 million for budget homeless services programming in partnership with county. And including staffing and service provider staffing and hiring. Colleagues Jennifer Chang and bob dell s are here to answer questions and I will stop there. See if there are questions.

Wheeler: Commissioner Hardesty?

Hardesty: Two questions and one this related to fall above. This ordinance is related to the Fall BuMP?

Ryan: That is a good summary of what's happening now. Absolutely.

Hardesty: So what are we going to buy my understanding is that we're going to buy housing people can afford to live in.

Ryan: Sure. We broke that down during the process but I have Jennifer Chang here, do you have details at this moment in the meeting?

Jennifer Chang: Good afternoon. He had to sign off and it's some follow up we can do with joint office. There are different opportunities including hotels as well as shelter spaces to ensure units added this past year and a half continues to maintain what is needed in a post covid world. I don't have details of the projects but what would be one in which I can get back to you shortly following this meeting.

Hardesty: Thank you so much for that and I appreciate it's difficult forgetting short term as well as long term housing. I wanted to make sure we own something. Which is what we want had we started this process and I know that was the commitment as part of the partnership so people won't be surprised. I want to know what we've purchased to continue to keep commitment we're making the public. Owe I thank you, Jennifer and Commissioner Ryan. I just needed to make sure I continue to beat that drum.

Ryan: Sure and we'll resend details and I'll make sure you have an e-mail with details.

Wheeler: Any further questions? Do we have public testimony?

Clerk: No one signed up.

Wheeler: This an emergency ordinance please call roll.

Clerk: Mapps?

Mapps: I want to thank Commissioner Ryan I vote aye.

Clerk: Rubio?

Rubio: Commissioner Ryan, thank you and I want to thank everyone on these responses to the needs of our community and we set ambitious goals and situation calls for us to step up with collaborations and actions. Especially now, whether conditions during winter and summer pose a threat. I vote aye.

Ryan: Yes. I vote aye.

Clerk: Hardesty?

Hardesty: Aye.

Clerk: Wheeler?

Wheeler: Aye. Adopted. Next item.

Clerk: Approve application under multiple unit tax exemption program for Gabriel located 4525 southwest California street.

Ryan: Colleagues I'm thrilled to introduce next ordinance to approve Gabriel a four story residential only building with 1, 2 and three bedroom units in Portland that will comply with city inclusionary housing through housing bureau. And will permit approval to 163 restricting 1366 units in otherwise market rate developments. Policy, planning manager Matthew Tschabold and development incentives manager are here to give a brief presentation about the Gabriel. Matthew, please take it away.

Matthew Tschabold: Thank you, Commissioner. So, before we get into the Gabriel I know it's been a long terming and now, afternoon but I did want to pause and acknowledge our team so in total we have nine projects that have come before you and we have had waivers and tax exemption to provide units so half are affordable and merely all so in total will be \$4 million for all 366 permanently affordable housing units and is 11,000 to \$12,000 per unit a good deal for permanent affordable 60% unit. Almost coming up on almost four years into rent we have over 1600 units, you can see here as well as regulated rent caps. We're here to ask for your approval to give the property tax exception to the Gabriel located at 4525 southwest California street. Developer chosen 8% of the units as 60% of the median income, one option and are chosen to pursue bedroom reconfiguration option so they'll be providing 43-bedroom units at 60% and tax exemption \$364 per year, bureau recommending approve y'all because the city is in need of increased numbers across the city. I want to call your attention to rent differential. This came up with before the hearing we've starting to see differential between regulated cap verses market rents. The IH rent had a in project so we wanted to pull additional data based on questions in the Monday meeting and over e-mail and just give a chance, give counsel a chance to see rent comparison for new construction verses our rent caps and this may be a better comparison so what we have is what new asking rates are quarter 4, 2021 for different bedroom types and when pulling our neighborhood rents given showing existing stock shows a rent that closer to our IH rent caps and have will be providing this information moving forward with our impact statements and presentations to council.

Wheeler: Commissioner Hardesty? Question?

Hardesty: Before you move from this slide I question whether you're comparing apples to apples. Most poor people are in the looking for new construction buildings so you'd be comparing what would be natural affordable housing that low-income people could get if available. And I don't think you're comparing a same thing so this is misleading about difference between what people have access to and how it relates to the new construction market.

Tschabold: Thank you, Commissioner. I think I understand what you're saying is we're going to continue to the-to-show neighborhood rents for projects. I didn't mean to suggest we'll be getting rid of it. It's posh to reflect what is a comparison count? What I wanted to make sure to mention is that we're going to include what new construction rents are. Which is the entire rate the project is being --.

Hardesty: Matt, you just froze. I did not want to lose and comparing new construction if people were going to be looking for new construction buildings because what not reality.

Tschabold: Agreed.

Hardesty: Thank you.

Tschabold: Thank you Commissioner. So with that we're available to answer questions about this project.

Wheeler: Anyone else? Do we have public testimony?

Clerk: No one signed up.

Wheeler: This is a nonemergency ordinance. Thank you for the presentation. This is the first reading of nonemergency moves to second reading. Now back to consent items that were pulled. First item Keelan, I believe was 883 correct?

Clerk: Correct.

Wheeler: 883, please.

Clerk: Authorize a letter of agreement between the city of Portland fire and rescue and Portland firefighters association international association of firefighters and local 43.

Wheeler: This seeks to better address short term staffing needs expanding a pool by allowing employees who are separated from service to be able to return and incentivizes to return by decreasing commitment or the program and is my understanding we are Marquis Fudge. I'm in the sure who pulled this item. Commissioner Mapps?.

Mapps: I did. And might be helpful to explain where I took this item. Already reasons why I called both and I think a dialogue did reforms will help us. I know the council concerned about stabilizing public safety bureaus and we've explored ways to accomplish that goal and have looked at bonus programs leaving us in conflict. One thing that struck me about these ordinances and seems to be so uncontroversial and so what is the unique thing that makes it so controversial and it doesn't need scrutiny and that is a tool used to stabilize our bureaus in our public safety system. With that I'll turn it over to staff for presentation or how we wanted to handle this.

Marquis Fudge: Commissioner, Mayor, I'm sure I can answer that and when looking at this particular law, I do think changes here are minimal. Part of the reason the language is restrictive for people looking to retire so that one of the changes when folks are hired into program and I think it will allow the chief to use the program.

Mapps: I know this may not be in your area but do you know how this compares to what we've implemented over in the police bureau?

Fudge: Yes. This is a bit different but conceptually it's the same. And that we've talked about changes with the ppb program and those are within bargaining now and those changes that have been discussed don't yet exist there is a culture of fire there and one difference is that they don't are that just cause so if the city is reactionary because of the experience we have had a few years ago with the program and some issues we have had in bringing in folks that didn't fit with the city vision and where we wanted to go, and we weren't able to move those folks out once they were made aware of issues they're causing. In this program they aren't able to get greedy discipline so we can tap them on the shoulder and they aren't able to grieve that. That is probably the biggest difference and moving forward filtering people before they get into the city and I know the chief is building that out. But that is I allow.

Wheeler: This is an emergency ordinance.

Hardesty: Excuse me, Mayor.

Wheeler: Commissioner Hardesty do you have a question?

Hardesty: I don't have a question, Mayor, but Alan Ferschweiler made a point to be here once he heard this is removed from consent agenda. So I think it's good for his character.

Wheeler: I need to ask if there are public testimonies.

Alan Fershweiler: Thank you for Commissioner Hardesty, thank you and I just wanted to follow up on mark 's comments and before your business needs of the bureau and fire chief and this significant staffing problems that we have had over the last year and so, I don't think you'll see it if it wasn't for the Heinz of the city in all, it was not generated by union. I'll leave it at that. It's a good discussion and I encourage vote to go unanimously and stay on 886 about you don't mind, Mayor.

Wheeler: Not a problem. Do we are public testimony?

Clerk: No.

Wheeler: All right. Please call roll.

Clerk: Mapps?

Mapps: Mr. Mayor, I wanted to thank you for bringing this forward if fire bureau having a staffing problem I want to be part of the solution. And I vote aye.

Clerk: Rubio.

Rubio: Aye.

Clerk: Ryan?

Ryan: Aye.

Clerk: Hardesty?

Hardesty: Marquis, thank you for being here to answer Commissioner Mapps questions. Thank you Alan for making time to be here today on short notice. The men and women are some of the best on the front line nonstop since covid began if we can do something to support staffing shortages and I think this is the least we can do. Thank you for moving this forward.

Clerk: Wheeler?

Wheeler: Aye. Adopted. Next 886.

Clerk: Authorize a letter of agreement between city, Portland fire and rescue and firefighters association international association of firefighters to apply more leave.

Wheeler: Portland created and staffed and managed programs, stood up covid testing sites and vaccine clinics, and much moreover just the past two years this seeks to acknowledge good work providing vacation leave on a one-time basis, I'll ask Commissioner Mapps to put a comment on record.

Mapps: Thank you. This parallel to issue just discussed. This strikes me being important and worthy and we can better policy makers and I recognize and celebrate hard work and is important to acknowledge hard work and I'm excited about the solution found here and I believe that provides additional 48 hours of vacation to firefighters. I recall that counsel has consider offering an ordinance to provide our dispatchers with \$500 and it seems to me like incentives are just larger and so I just wanted to get back ground on how this came together and what it does.

Fudge: I think a lot of times bureaus do what they're accustomed to so had it comes to the bonus system that is something they are used to and they know and makes sense to them that a lot of times we fall into what we've done and what people are used to, leading us down a path of how to recognize the employees and I want to be clear with you that there are two LOAs passed so all four were working on all four of them at the same time. And so this helped us get a good consideration to pass which is a big deal and I don't know if you talked with chief boon and heard her vision about how to revolutionize how they respond. And so that is going to chair that division as well as Portland street response so this is a two strong approach. Let's recognize folks and see if we can incorporate them within a PFFA bargaining unit so that is, may be why you believe benefit bigger in this space.

Mapps: Thank you. Do you know how much is cost associated?

Fudge: A million and a half spread out over two years. The way we're going to implement if you pass it we'll pass it over max bank and that members will have time and bureaus protect it because only a certain amount of people can be off at any given time. So that is a good landing spot for the city and union.

Mapps: Thank you. One more question. Do you have concerns about this package?
Encouraging other unions to request similar benefits?

Fudge: I don't. Requests are going to come regardless of what we pass. Our unions are going to fight for mentorship and we are a team open to those discussions and have to be open to working with our unions so there is requests and I don't have concerns about that, per se. I think they're going to come regardless.

Mapps: Thank you for being here today. And hard work on today's agenda. Very no more questions.

Wheeler: Any other questions? Any public testimony?

Clerk: No one signed up.

Hardesty: And can we have Alan speak again?

Wheeler: Yes. Of course. You're so quiet I forgot you're there.

Ferschweiler: I did want to speak to this and listening as I understand you wanted to bring this and I do think philosophically representing our members, I think that it's one of the things I have an issue with is that only things that are pulled off the consent agenda are what might be controversial and the other things are significant to the city and done in good faith. And now, and my 20 years in bureau when hired three September 11th I was done before everything that happened, I'd never seen two years that happened and I can say from covid to helping out with protests downtown to ice storms to help the state. And I'm not discounting what 911 went for. Money can help but what I've put in front of you is to say go take 48 hours and this is what you get for hard work and tough two years you had. So he appreciate the conversation. If there is a way to have a vote to make this ordinance it would mean a lot for members and I understand that might be possible but I close with that consideration of trying to do so.

Wheeler: Thank you very much for your time.

Wheeler: And that is a good consent and I don't think some is slowing process down. As well as today we know it's second reading. Can I get clarification from legal counsel please?

King: Correct. It was not listed as an emergency ordinance.

Wheeler: So if we've approved it will show up second reading and we're cool here.

Ferschweiler: Thank you so much. I appreciate it.

Wheeler: Thank you for being here.

Mapps: I just want to thank Alan for his comments, and thank staff and I appreciate opportunity to highlight these reforms for the people of Portland and I'm satisfied that my concerns have been addressed if would help members if it would help firefighters to sleep better at night I'm happy to make this motion.

Hardesty: I was going to make the motion so I'm happy to do so.

King: We need to specify.

Hardesty: Due to the ongoing crisis Portland fire and rescue have been responding to I believe it's in the city's best interest to put this into place as soon as possible.

Wheeler: And Commissioner Mapps? Legal counsel? Does that meet threshold? I'm seeing thumbs up. Any discussion on the amendment? Seeing none, please call roll. On the amendment.

Clerk: Mapps?

Mapps: I just want to thank colleagues and staff for opportunity to have this discussion. I vote aye.

Clerk: Rubio?

Rubio: Thank you to Portland fire and rescue for all you've done for the city touring these time and what you continue to do. Happy to vote aye.

Clerk: Ryan?

Ryan: Yes. Thank you. For being here. That is a great conversation. I went from consent now to regular and I vote aye.

Clerk: Hardesty?

Hardesty: Aye.

Clerk: Wheeler?

Wheeler: Aye. Passes and please call roll.

Clerk: Mapps?

Mapps: Aye.

Clerk: Rubio?

Rubio: Aye.

Clerk: Ryan?

Ryan: Got stuck. Aye.

Clerk: Hardesty?

Hardesty: Alan I want you to know how proud I am of men and women that served and you work hard. I don't know if colleagues understand the 24-hour nature of the job members do every day. And if chief was here she'd be embarrassed with accolades I am making now but you deserve it. I'm proud to have you in my portfolio and work to keep Portlanders safe and

you'll go where there is a disaster in oregon and I'm grateful for all you've done I'm happy to vote aye.

Clerk: Wheeler?

Wheeler: Aye ordinance is adopted as amended. Last item before our half hour break, is item 889, also pulled.

Clerk: Establish 2022 sale price cap for home buyer opportunity program.

Ryan: I'd like to request 889 be referred back and we'll work to bring it back at a later date.

Wheeler: Without objection. And with that, colleagues we're adjourned until 2: 00 p.m.

At 1:28 pm, Council recessed.

Closed caption file of Portland City Council meeting

This file was produced through the closed captioning process for the televised city council broadcast and should not be considered a verbatim transcript. The official vote counts for council action are provided in the official minutes.

December 15, 2021 2:00 p.m.

Wheeler: Good afternoon, everybody. This is Wednesday, December 15, 2021 afternoon session. Please call the roll. [roll call] Colleagues, I'm going to read the introduction of legal counsel though we read the rules of order and then at that point I will turn this over to council president Ryan to go ahead and preside over this afternoon session, although I will be participating. The city council is holding this lot on -- meeting electronically. All members that are attending by video teleconference in the city has made several outlets for the public to participate in this meeting. The meeting is available by the YouTube channel [www. Portland oregon](http://www.Portland.oregon.gov) and on channel 30. The public may also have direct communication by e-mailing cc testimony at [Portlandoregon.gov](mailto:cc_testimony@Portlandoregon.gov). The council is taking the steps as a result of covid-19 pandemic to limit in-person contact as well as to promote physical distancing. The pandemic is an emergency for public health safety and welfare, which requires us to meet remotely by electronic communications. Thank you all for your continued patience, flexibility, and understanding as we manage these challenging circumstances to conduct the city's business. With that, we will hear rules of order and decorum from legal counsel. Good afternoon.

Lauren King: Good afternoon. To participate in council meetings, sign up in advance at the council clerk's office for communications to speak on any subject. You may also speak for public testimony under the first readings or ordinances. The published council agenda is available www.Portland.gov/council-agenda and information how you can sign up for testimony while holding electronic meetings. And then to address the matter at the time, when testifying, please state your name for the record, your address is not necessary. Please disclose if you are a lobbyist or representing an organization, please identify. Individuals generally have three minutes to testify unless otherwise stated. And when your time is up, the presiding officer will ask you to conclude. Shouting or refusing to finish testimony or interrupting or interrupting council deliberations will not

be allowed. If there are disruptions a, warning will be given and further disruption will be placed on hold or ejected for the remainder of the council meeting. All council meetings are recorded. Mayor Wheeler: Colleagues, at this point I will ask Keelan to read and I will turn the gavel over. Thank you for taking this up. I appreciate it.

Clerk: 911 amended title 33 planning and zoning for science and related regulations to revise and update regulations for historic resources.

Ryan: Colleagues today we are holding a hearing on potential amendments to the resources code project it is known as HRCP for short this is a continuation of the hearing held on November 3rd. I will turn it over to the sustainability staff to describe how we will spend today's meeting. Please take it away.

Brandon Spencer-Hartle: Thank you mayor and Commissioner. Talk about the sustainability historic resources program. I'm glad to be back here with you today. I will share my screen to walk through the agenda today and ask for the participation of the Commissioners who have introduced amendments for discussion for today's hearing. Today is a public hearing on potential amendments the historic resources code project. It was last before council November 3rd for a public hearing. On the recommended draft list on the resources code project. Council has the benefit of considering written and oral testimony on that recommended draft over the last month and a half or so. On December 1st, 2021, the bureau of planning sustainability published 8 potential amendments to the recommended draft on the historical resources code project website. Those include both code language and commentary as to what the potential amendments would do different from the recommended draft. And e-mail notice was provided to the entire project list includes all previous specifiers and written testimony has been submitted. I also want to note that council of legislative record has all materials linked on the project website including memos and presentations with the sustainability commission and the written and verbal testimony submitted to the sustainability commission and the city council. This was sent to the public and the electronic record is linked on the screen before you. What we will be doing today is going to the 8f potential amendments that were identified by three different council offices following a review of those a potential amendments, public testimony will be taken they will be moved and seconded by Commissioners and the closer public testimony today we will ask the mayor of the presiding officer to close the oral and written record and then take a vote on those potential 8 amendments. Assuming we get to the

testimony and amendments, staff will revise our project documents and we will return January 19th on the all package with the amendments for final adoption. The way we will do the next piece, I will give a quick summary of each of the 8 amendments. Quickly summarizing and then asking the sponsoring Commissioner or any other council member if they would like to move that amendment. If it does not get moved and there is no second, for those wishing to testify on the item, it will not be considered as part of the day's discussion. To get things started the first amendment is amendment number one. The first was offered by Commissioner Rubio's office. And it's for the commercial mixed-use zone and it allows 10-foot bonus high for certain development proposals in the historic conservation district in the cm2 zone. Is there a motion for this amendment?

Hardesty: So moved.

Rubio: Second.

Spencer-Hartle: Thank you. Amendment number two also from Commissioner Rubio's office revises the historic review procedure types for affordable housing developments. This amendment allows certain affordable housing proposal subject to historic resource review to be processed through a type to our type one extra siege are following a require design advice request meeting.

Ryan: So moved.

Hardesty: Second.

Spencer-Hartle: Thank you. Amendment number three demolition review criteria it eliminates the existing demolition review approval criterion related to reasonable economic use in immense the remaining demolition review to approval criteria to ensure underrepresented historic and economic consequences to owners are considered either the decision-maker.

Hardesty: So moved.

Rubio: Second.

Spencer-Hartle: Amendment number four introduced by Commissioner Ryan's office refine 100 a demolition delay. This amendment allows permits for interior alterations to be issued during 120 day delay consistent with the applicability of historic resource review.

Ryan: So moved.

Hardesty: Second.

Spencer-Hartle: Amendment number five introduced by Commissioner Mapps office. It amends a legislative land-use recommendation concerning establishment or removal of districts. This

amendment departs from the recommended draft of current practice by allowing for independent recommendations about the planning and sustainability commission and historic landmark commissions to the city council concerning the designation or removal of historic and conservation districts.

Mapps: So moved.

Spencer-Hartle: Is there a second for this amendment?

Ryan: I will second for discussion's sake.

Spencer-Hartle: Amendment number six. This needs a little bit of explanation. Introduced by Commissioner Mapps office and concerns a historic landmark's commission membership categories. As published, December 1st, this amendment would maintain the existing membership categories for the seven-member historically marks commission. I have included the text here because on Monday, the historic landmarks commission held a public discussion around their testimony on the amendment. The historic landmark commission did offer an alternative version of this in their written testimony. In discussions of Commissioner Mapps office there is interest in an alternative version of this amendment. It is called 6b. It will look more like the recommended draft from the landmarks commission and have a broader pool of people of both professional lived experiences to draw from but there would be a requirement of these two members of the commission have professional experience in historic preservation and local history architectural history architecture. Just to get a sense how this different options on the table layout the recommended draft looks like the column on the left for the seven commission numbers one -- members. : 6a published December 1st is the middle on -- middle : And in 6b is the column on the right-hand side. For those who are listening in are planning to testify you can read that draft code language of what amendment 6b would accomplish on the project website by going to the documents and resources tab then clicking the revised amendments package document link on that page to read that code language. I will turn it over to Commissioner Mapps to make a motion on either 6a or 6b for discussion sake.

Mapps: I will move 61 -- 6b.

Rubio: Second.

Spencer-Hartle: So for those are planning to testify on 6b that is on the table you can find that on the project website the document resources tab. Amendment number seven also introduced by

Commissioner Mapps would amend historic resource review procedure types for development of the new Chinatown Japantown historic district. This allows any amendment of type three historic resource review proposal in the new Chinatown Japantown historic district to be preceded by a type ii review following a require design advice request meeting.

Mapps: So moved.

Spencer-Hartle: Is there a second on this item?

Mapps: It doesn't look like it.

Spencer-Hartle: So for those who are testifying on this item today there is not a second it will not come back up for a vote. And then finally amendment 8f is minor and technical amendments. This is a slate of minor technical changes intended to clarify and improve the zoning code.

Hardesty: So moved.

Rubio: Second.

Spencer-Hartle: Thank you Commissioners. I will turn it back over to the Commissioner to get us started on public testimony. Amendment number seven did not have a second. If you are planning to testify, that will not be back up for a vote unless council directs otherwise. I will turn it back over. Thank you.

Ryan: Thank you, Brandon, for that presentation, and my Commissioners for moving the amendments forward. Colleagues do you have any questions for Brandon and his team before we take public testimony? We are good to go forward. Up next is invited testimony.

Spencer-Hartle: We are queued up with the chair and sustainability commission to testify on number one and two. And then for the public, we did ask to limit that at two minutes.

Ryan: Thank you. Welcome, Eli.

Eli Spevak: Hello. City council, I was watching this from the wrong zoom login. Thank you for taking these amendments. As always, we appreciate the draft. What we recommend to make it better, we have testimony on three of the items before you. Amendment number two, as part of the sustainability commission that amendment to with over the historic resource review procedures for affordable housing. We support that aligns with city council's approach and the evaluation criteria is the same as just a different review body that has a quicker yes or no rather than along the -- along maybe which can start out. Amendment number five. We are glad you brought this up. It is a question how the recommending happens to city council when there is a proposal for a new district

or removing one. One way to think of it is what you are going through right now. Historic resources code project the sustainability commission is a recommending body but before I got to you we received in valuable input on the landmarks commission during our deliberations to frame the recommendation and you are hearing from them as it has gone to city council also. There is another opportunity for input on both commissions even with one recommending body. In addition, they love the idea of a joint hearing of both commissions to make it clear for the public what recommendations they are making to us. We support the recommended draft on this one and hope that you will also. Item number 6b we have a common to find a middle ground and I appreciate Commissioner Mapps for proposing 6b which we support. We don't need anymore comment on that one. Thank you for your deliberation and we look forward to seeing what comes next. Thank you.

Ryan: Thank you, Eli. Up next, welcome.

Kristen Minor: Thank you so much. It's nice to hear from you as well, Commissioner. My name is Kristen and I will be commenting on behalf of the landmarks commission. I will keep it brief and skip over the amendments to which we have no objection. And that is amendments number one, two, four, and 8f. And I did want to, we have no objection to number three I did want to express strong support for that one. The demolition criteria do have to balance multiple factors and the proposed change allows for that. So to get into discussing number five and number 6a a little more, the amendment offered by Commissioner Mapps on number five recognizes the expertise and insight of both commissions as recommending bodies to the city council. But also keeps the joint hearing process. The Commissioner mentioned that and reestablished that as part of the work sessions together. This joint hearing process is important and unusual. And it will allow for a broader community discussion for what should be protected as having historic value and why. We applied it is still in this amendment. The landmarks commission believes this amendment is appropriate because each of our bodies have different perspectives on how to achieve the same goals. These positions may often be closer than many in the community believe. That each expertise deserves to be heard in the recommendation to the city council. Moving to amendment number six we appreciate very much Commissioner Mapps has taken our suggestion and has gone with amendment 6b. The commission and staff have at times struggled with a very strict professional categories and the current code. It tends to exclude people who do have or acknowledgment lack

affordable certification. So with a deep appreciation for Commissioner Mapps for keeping the expertise on this commission, we support amendment 6b. That is all. Thank you.

Clerk: First public testimony we have three at a time. Mary, Nicole, and John. First public testimony, Mary Vogel. You are still muted

Mary Vogel: I am Mary Vogel. Working in downtown Portland where I walk up the stairs at the end of southwest 12th street. And I actually keep it maintained, rather than parks and rec. I never see them doing it. But in any case, it is exercised. But this has been at the very top of the stairs. That they are for a healthy person, at least maybe five or ten minutes. Then another six or seven minutes from the cultural district. This is a very convenient location. Very convenient for walkability. And in any case, just a few yards down the road may be a football field and a half down the road, there is another private drive. This is 1295 southwest cardinal road and just down the way, there is southwest cardinal way. Which is a private road. And in any case I did not get time to do all of the images that I even sent over. I was going to put them in a very nice package for you. But I just haven't had time. I had a medical appointment this morning.

Clerk: Your time is up

Vogel: so anyway I will do that as soon as I can in the next couple of days. Ask you to watch the images. They really speak for themselves. I support the Portland neighbors welcome measure for the proposed amendment number five very strongly. These images will show why you need to support the proposed amendment. Thank you very much.

Ryan: We do have a lot of people signed up, right?

Clerk: Yes we have 40 on the call.

Ryan: We will encourage everyone to limit testimony to the eight potential amendments and two minutes per person the clerk will mute you at the end of two minutes going forward. Thank you for your cooperation.

Clerk: Up next we have Nicole.

Nicole Possert: Good afternoon honorable mayor and Commissioners the Commissioner of restore oregon the nonprofit organization with meaningful places in communities across our state. We believe historic resources officially designated or not are vibrant part to our challenges with the written testimony with three and five and six I will focus on why number three is a priority. We strongly support Commissioner Rubio and her section to address the demolition because the two

components when taken together will strengthen the city's ability to make well-balanced decisions. First we support the deletion and removal of the standalone criteria based on a factor of no economic value on its own and second we support the language edition to the evaluation criteria to consider the consequences for the owner and the community and most importantly a value to the community and association with historically marginalized communities. This amendment strikes the right balance to address the equity issues that need to be at the center of these decisions. I would like to make one comment on amendment five and urge you to adopt amendment number five as presented both commissions are made of the passionate and informed people to make our environment better it is our belief the only one commission knows about historic preservation and conservation and I would hope you see the value of supporting amendment number five to allow the advisory to continue to be the experts and then to extend the desire and with the entire staff and city council thank you very much.

Clerk: John you are muted. You are up next.

John Czarnecki: Thank you. Good afternoon. I'm from the downtown neighborhood association board member and with the historic resources so I was testifying on behalf of with the preservation and protection and continued use of significant resources to comprehensively tell the stories. And I strongly encourage you to adopt amendments three and five and six and eight. They were in line with the state planning goal, number five. Please also see the written testimony from the coalition for historic use forces and that strongly supports the read on -- the recommendations and number three would require evaluation of alternatives rather than the demolition of approval, based on a projected loss. It also would reduce the likelihood that it would result in destruction. So with that commission directly on occasion modification with those districts in legislative action. Number six to maintain for the landmarks commission thereby having a step backward for best practices from the national park service for historic resource valuation and in the current draft makes it possible for the majority of Commissioners to have no professional knowledge in the related field. So number eight has two points. And then to retain landmarks commission to make the recommendation of the state advisory committee and to the national park service. Number 8f is the review body for historic resources and in conclusion to continue to grow in size and diversity to identify protect and preserve the fabric into the future.

Clerk: For the next three, I'm sorry, next we have Sam.

Sam Noble: Can you hear me?

Clerk: Yes.

Noble: Good afternoon there and Commissioners. My name is Sam Noble and I appeared today to urge you to reject amendment number five. There are a lot of groups that we don't privilege and the legislative process we don't legislate tree code other vehicle manufacturers like the bicycle bobby can adjust the transportation plan before you see and developers don't get to recommend that directly to counsel is zoning maps. The historic landmarks commission requires a demonstrated interest of historic preservation was as close to a group of activists as you are likely to see as an advisory in America how it makes its way to you then surprise they will ask for more designations so sometimes there is a good case to be made for another historic district but if the planning commission cannot be convinced and it's not worth councils time or the associated political jockeying. Thank you for your attention and consideration.

Clerk: Up next is Mac Cunningham.

Mac Cunningham: Thank you and I have an affordable housing developer and however today I am testifying on behalf of for the metro policy council housing ordinance is made up of a diverse set of affordable housing organizations we are pleased to see how this progress with the amendments proposed by counsel specifically though you want to think Commissioner Rubio and staff for proposing an amendment to provide more flexibility to affordable housing developers to choose which review type makes more sense with the development to afford greater efficiency and predictability which is so critical for affordable housing. These changes will increase opportunities to cite desperately needed affordable housing in the city's existing and future historic districts. Again we echo the earlier request that counsel approve funding for future work described in the report including updating our historic resource inventory and reevaluating existing designations. We also urge you to support funding to update design guidelines and focus on design topics versus mass, scale height and other items that are clearly defined failure to undertake this project could undermine much of the great work and propose changes from HRCP for further housing. Also, thank you everybody in the HRCP process. This marks a step in the right direction to make our cities historic resources be more representative from the diverse communities throughout our city. And then present a unique opportunity to expand opportunities to maintain affordable housing for the

historic resources that Portlanders of all income levels should have the opportunity to call these places home. Thank you.

Clerk: Up next is Luke Norman.

Luke Norman: Commissioners, volunteer lobbyist, I'm here today. Thank you for taking the time to listen to the community to develop one and two to make it easier to act. I encourage you to pass these amendments with the affordable housing review process. However I discourage you from passing amendment number five. And then to be important work. Counsel should direct the city commissions to work together and not develop competing proposals as an amendment number five. I like to just a friendly amendment to the review criteria for the national register districts of amendment number three and approval should be provided 20 projects of those units but then it could result from preservation rehabilitation for use. This small change will ensure our national register districts play their part to address the housing crisis. By judging each project based on how many new homes it would create your new housing or rehabilitation with the existing buildings. So together we can allow more Portlanders to have great neighborhoods like mine called home. Thank you.

Clerk: Up next is Jennifer Shuch

Jennifer Shuch: Hello my name is Jennifer speaking today on behalf of Portland neighbors welcome come April housing pro ten organization ensuring that all Portlanders can find and keep safe and stable affordable homes. Might to thank you for the time and effort the HRCP improves equity across neighborhoods. We support amendments number one and two we believe they will help to increase the housing supply with that certainty with what they can build we are especially appreciative to address the concerns of affordable housing providers. Proposing amendment number five giving a joint role to recommend the establishment firm the conservation districts and then has decision-making power over individual landmarks for districts that is the appropriate recommender because it is responsible for the balancing and with the goals of the comprehensive plan the HRCP is one of the number of advisory board bodies and with authority that has such a great and widespread impact on all Portland residents. And with a wider Friday of expertise and then were to take a holistic approach and then to consider the needs and goals of the city's residents and leaders we urge you to keep that is the primary recommending body for the establishment for conservation districts. In addition. But the national register districts so that are fundamentally undemocratic this could be achieved by

rewarding amendment number three. Finally counsel should commit to fully funding future work with the HRCP. Thank you spend cap next on -- up next.

Doug Klotz: This graph illustrates my arguments against amendment number five. The commission oversees historic resources and then also to oversee a multitude of policy areas. And the two commissions should not have equal weight. Landmark does not consider the other needs, and their expertise focused on preservation as it should be. But districts have significant land-use and then the entire climate action plan and the zoning code is charged. We advise the council on housing equity climate change and the growth of the city, that Commissioners qualifications and legislative experience allow them to balance all of the goals of racial justice and environmental concerns, along with the goal of preserving Portland's history, so that sustainability commissions, the appropriate body to make the final recommendations to counsel. It includes a new permission for a joint hearing that you heard about before that psc makes recommendations to counsel. This ensures that the landmarks and the public has significant input into the PSC recommendation please reject amendment number five and adopt this proposal. Thank you.

Emily Guise: Hello. Commissioners and mayors thank you for the opportunity to testify my name is Emily for the past two years I have lived in a rented apartment in the foster power neighborhood and testifying today to express my support for amendments number one and two in my strong opposition to amendments number five and six I agree with Portland neighbors but it is a group best qualified to weigh competing city goals against each other the historic landmarks commission while do valuable work does not have a holistic view and considerations that the PSC does what the current housing crisis we cannot afford to put any barriers in place especially housing that is affordable and then to live in such a mixed class apartment building and all of it will never be a historic grant landmark building it's an affordable apartment and a transit friendly neighborhood. At us like to urge the council to push for state reforms to prevent national register districts from coming away from and owners to override local zoning that is not how they were intended to be used. Again I support amendments number one and two and opposed number five and six. Thank you for your time.

David Sweet: That afternoon mayor and Commissioners my name is David Sweet. I am a student of history. In my studies I try to understand history was not a chronicle of what happened but the interpretation and my interpretation the history preserved of the historic districts is a history of

displacement and exclusion of zoning codes it is a history that someone like to forget and to watch repeated there is no question that historic districts maintain historic exclusivity and the recent efforts to create new historic districts in the neighborhoods had then intended. So in the coming neighborhood we experience our history not in buildings that many of them arrived after being displaced from central neighborhoods with urban we knew and lack of housing choice and rising property values. We consider the result to be a blessing we currently struggle to maintain the diversity against the pressures of housing scarcity. In light of this I applied the HRCP for plotting the successes that made it too expensive to live in Portland's historic and then I encourage you for adopting amendment number five which way diminish the role of the planning and sustainability commission regarding the historic districts that PSC has a test to balance the many goals and then to promote the overarching goal of equity. No other city commission has that broad perspective and mandate.

Henry Honorof: Commissioners, I am the homeowner of the neighborhood also a registered lobbyist, but only speaking for myself. We strongly urge you to oppose amendment number five. It is bad for city government and the city itself. A couple of reasons, it directs historic landmarks to make plenty of decisions outside the mandate and expertise, and then to require to duplicative parallel processes and the redundancy of which would confuse and frustrate the public and risk perpetually getting volunteer Commissioners and city staff in the unlikely event to make recommendations to city council. I will focus my testimony. Amendment number five will put the HRCP in the unpleasant position of presenting proposals arguing against one another in the public square and asking city council to pick sides given the difference our priorities and mandate and experience between the two commissions. We can expect this to happen over and over again that is a recipe for ongoing acrimony and toxicity for volunteers civil servants and the city staff that support them our colleagues and I appear before you a lot sometimes testifying against people who oppose new housing there are not a lot of good feelings between our two groups if you want evidence just check out this Facebook page of city of Portland what it says about my colleagues and I. Relationships gets pretty on -- better we want them to be on the same committee on -- the same team in cap the unique expertise and not to institutionalize the rivals the city council has made it clear effort to foster congeniality in collaboration from Commissioners don't undo that good work by a culture in fighting

from the volunteer commission don't make the HRCP and PRC pitted against one another and do not enact number five thank you for your consideration.

Julia Metz: Good afternoon. My name is Julia with community development housing manager. First I want to express our sincere appreciation for the level has gone into creating a plan incorporating social justice, housing choice and preservation. Additionally we want to express to take the time to truly understand the affordable housing development in the valuable world it plays meeting the housing needs of our communities. With that I want to know our support for amendment number two thank you to the Commissioner for this amendment and also to thank the staff for their resulting amendments help to mitigate the barriers to keep housing developers from considering development. Thank you I want to encourage consideration for addition to title number 30 allowing developments many public subsidies related to homeownership opportunities tap out and then hope this is reflected in title 34 affordable homeownership with the rental opportunities and with the proposed amendment. Also without written testimony from us regarding concerns and I will leave it at that and then lastly to recognize the importance of future work outlined in the staff report and the testimony. While the proposed amendments me the important strides to minimize barriers for affordable housing and to minimize inequities there is more work to be done to ensure the intent and then to look with the intentions and then current and future historic designations are more equitable and represented. Thank you.

Constance Beaumont: Can you hear me? So good. My name is Constance and I do support amendments number five and six and amendment number five correct the problem raised to the HRCP to dilute the authority to provide advice to the planning commission, which would then become the only entity for the historic designations, despite the fact that in the planning commission members and have any expertise or preservation the landmark commission should be the primary body. Amendment number six retains existing requirements. They have knowledge and experience and the majority of those commissions around the country require the inclusion of preservation not just the person. Unless amendment number six is approved, not a single landmark commission will be required to have relevant knowledge, and expertise becomes dominated by the developers and real estate reps with a financial incentive. There is no need for false conflicts. Portland needs more affordable housing, but it's not necessary to trash one community asset for another. Among the many examples of preservation the historic house which is being renovated

and converted with the affordable housing. Historic resources are part of the greatest assets and are irreplaceable. So again please support amendments number five and six thank you for your consideration especially to the Commissioners Ryan.

Clerk: Up next we have Bradley Bondy.

Bradley Bondy: I am speaking today in support of amendment number one into makes building affordable housing districts easier also in opposition to amendment number five. Must much of the historic districts are wealthier neighborhoods with a history of exclusion and I believe that every neighborhood should be accessible to every person every income level but historic district process rules risk of blocking housing from being built a forcing into an unpredictable and time you mean process is a help to make approval for construction more predictable please vote yes on number one and two please vote no on -- now a number five.

Johann Hannesson: Good afternoon I am volunteering a lobbyist I am speaking to you today and to that point I would speak to amendment five although I think that the intent here is good for grading trying to get all the right information at the right time is good. That the way in which amendment number five is designed it will wind up creating a lot of burden after-the-fact. And move conversations that would have happened otherwise in consultation and then going to a rigorous process. And with those different volunteer groups. And that will create what about my colleagues have spoken to the other issues so there is an established process of being these recommendations it is as efficient as different of these large-scale projects can be. And that happens at that deliberation body with multiple potential opposing viewpoints. I will leave that to you.

Greg Raisman: And testifying today and the comments reflect my personal viewpoint and not the viewpoint of my employer. And for the opportunity to share my perspective. With that process to decide the process of a comprehensive plan. And then with the accommodation of a new company has a plan. With that copy has a plan with wide array of priorities in the primary recommendations but I also question you are celebrating talk about the actual history. We also talking about celebrating a creative and then to ensure there's not economic disparity in our communities. However I am saying in the creation of neighborhoods like Irvington was very clearly racist. And with Buchanan versus burley decision in the supreme court outlaw government and situational housing discrimination and that went around the decision. And with the American city planning institute and its with the housing agency and then to with our segregated housing. And in 1918, at the same time

at the time telling the national commerce city planning to be distinguished from the legal constitutional question. And any housing development to see racial divisions. And those that are not yet ready to mingle. So we should focus on the equitable and affordable.

Clerk: Up next, we have Wendy.

Wendy Rahm: I am really speaking for the downtown neighborhood association. And for these amendments, for the draft code proposal and working with constituents, the draft proposal is addressing many critical items, and then all of our history and potential resource numbers are growing as the city size and density and diversity of population growth. And the preservation and protection and with the present and future resources. The DNA urges you to support most amendments to issue support of amendments number three and five and six a, not 6b 8f e-and f to align with the state and federal rules with the priority DNA expressed earlier to keep the lead role of historic preservation with the historic landmark commission. With the membership requirements with this subject matter expertise. Only on the hrc and critical and those to be diluted by 6b. And then that criteria for all historic resources both local and national. To clarify the definition of demolition with respect to national register listed resources. And with the Portland coalition of historic resource and then the northwest district association with this discussion of amendment number two please vote yes as the amendments to the draft code. Thank you for listening.

Michael Andersen: Michael Andersen and speaking for the sustainability think tank we joined many other organizations to support the amendment for regulated projects to call particular attention and then affordable homeownership projects to take a simple project letter by the state and other agencies. And then written testimony today. And then upon the northwest pilot project in the pro neighbors are welcome. The sustainability commission is a sign of a multidisciplinary task to find a balance of the city comprehensive plan of historic racial equality fighting climate change and others. It has no mandate to weigh the trade-offs. And amendment number five what confuse this distinction from the cities process. And a separate proposal amendment number 5-foot create to go to boards and severe assessment have need this public confusion and administrative expense. And then to bring the top little expertise. And then to make recommendations to counsel what should or should not fall within. And then as in the recommended draft.

Athul Acharya: I'm here to talk about the commission amendment number five. Live in the Woodlawn neighborhood. The neighborhood is quite beautiful that we already do take a good job to

protect and preserve it. It's almost impossible to find a place to build and 20 years I did find one eventually but I love the heat offered two days in a barely dropped 1 degree we have learned a thing or two about building houses since 19 oh three. A multidisciplinary commission that considers history but also like carbon emissions and equity and justice. And with those historic landmarks commission and on equal footing. It is essentially saying that history matters more than these other values. There is a city that does that. And this, just about every district, just like every building, is protected mile after mile with historic Victorian houses. You can rent half a bedroom for the low price of \$3500 a month. There is a reason to move back, but it comes at a cost, a steep cost of carbon and meal on -- miles traveled and skyrocketing prices as long as a single person sleeps roughly have to think long and hard of another beautiful craftsman. Historic landmark has no ability to think like that, but the HSC that is. I urge you to reject amendment number five.

Jared Morris: I have my camera on. Is it not working.

Clerk: We don't see your camera but we do hear you.

Morris: You want to skip over me?

Clerk: Up next we have Preston.

Preston Korst: I am president and director of government relations. But here today so the main one is amendment number two. For the affordable housing projects. And then for affordable developers. And then that which they are hoping that is in title 30 that my colleagues have mentioned and that we are hoping that and within the project affordable homeownership is not left out from the opportunity and then what I'm asking for is the provision to provide up to 100 percent AMI for homeownership opportunities and then we tend to serve and those of all incomes. And those that can live in more areas as other types of homes are being built across the city. A quick note with that application process for 37 homes and we have over 8f hundred 90 applicants for those 37 homes so right now at an all-time low. To make sure every opportunity is taken for homeownership and opportunity.

Clerk: Up next is Jared Morris.

Morris: Is it working quick.

Clerk: It is.

Morris: Good afternoon I am Jared Morris. I am not an activist I am just a neighbor who wanted to be here to be inclusive of all that experiences. And then that took part of the entire HRCF we call last

October and last month. Thank you for that. I'm here to strongly support amendments three and five and six and I encourage you to vote in favor. Thank you so much for all of you who have foresight to include number five it's what leadership looks like you Portland deserves what must be passed and then to have real meaning. And then the sound recommendations for future historic designation decisions it is accountability not confusion but to require that before the council votes on a new or altered historic district designation and has the benefit of formal recommendations and then the historic landmark commission. With the state rules a national best practices require this. To help ensure the city is not placing exclusive responsibility and those that are required to have any historic expertise. And on behalf of future and current Portland residents thank you for your enthusiastic support of amendment number five with all other amendments before you today. Thank you.

Clerk: You are muted.

Woody Mosby: Sorry we have two computers set up. Hi. I don't see my face. But I can tell you without you see me.

Ryan: We can hear you just fine. Now you are muted.

Mosby: I appreciate the time and effort you have all given to strengthen HRCP. My name is Woody, and I am a resident. And I have loved living here 20 years. Specifically, acknowledge the Commissioners for proposing amendments and then to safeguard positive outcomes for future decisions as they apply to the resources. While I support each of the 8f amendments and then I would like to express my strong support for amendments three, four, five and six, and a novel for at least those three. Actually, it is 6b. I am referring to at this point. I will not go into detail, and to say much more succinctly. I won't take up any more of your time, but then make the choice to make Portland a vibrant city. Portland has many challenges today of affordable housing that is critical. So it's all come together to address so we can support all residents without losing the historic significance of what preceded this decade. Thank you for your time and attention I do hope you will vote.

Clerk: Up next is Rick Michaelson.

Rich Michaelson: Good afternoon. I am pleased to be here speaking to you this afternoon I'm here to support all of the amendments and concentrate I like the direction of HRCP to get better public input so I chaired a number of joint hearings and then to come to one decision but it was much better to have independent recommendations but then witness the historic it takes a tremendous

amount of work for the staff people. Under the proposal as amended and I strongly believe something of the comprehensive plan to deserve a path of city council. Strongly support amendment number five.

Denyse McGriff: Good afternoon Commissioners. I am the president of the board of directors of the Boston foundation we operate the center. Before Mann -- them here to talk about the amendments to the HRCP project we generally support them. And then to expand protections and we strongly support the three potential amendments. Of demolition review amendment number five sponsored by Commissioner prevents the downgrading of the designated of the districts and with a professional expertise on a personal level I am a city planner and have been practicing in the state of Oregon for 30 years also a member of the board of advisors of the national trust preservation. I have lived in a historic resource. It was the only affordable option when I purchased my home some years ago. It preserves of those practices because as you know the oldest building is one that is they are. I want to say something about the elephant in the room. I have been listening to the testimony from a home and there are many people testifying who are basically trying to say that because you live in a historical more because you are interested historic resources you are racist. Obviously that is not the case I'm a little disappointed that people make that assumption. And then to provide opportunity to get into homeownership that everybody needs to live in the apartment building that's my personal opinion we different varieties of housing for people getting into housing in Portland. Thank you for the testimony today.

Fred Leeson: I am the cochair of the foundation. Thank you to the Commissioner for your amendments it's important to get the process right. And with that respect of the historic resources and the new development. And then to make the best possible recommendations. From the sustainability commission and the landmark commission. And that is essential both submit the recommendations and if they accept the city council to make that decision, that's why we elect those most important offices to make those decisions. The public demonstration requires and because the five of you with the legislative authority, we should all be concerned. Amendment number six maintains as it started to maintain the existing from the commission. And with that expertise, too much prefer 6a over 6b and amendments number five and six make strides for the benefit of all Portlanders, and we encourage adoption. Thank you for your consideration.

Aaron Brown: Good afternoon. I am a board member. And I testified a few weeks ago to go together like peanut butter and jelly. It really helps. I was testifying right before you were excused for lunch, but I fully support what ta has submitted in writing. To come back to the peanut butter and jelly metaphor these amendments are making sure we do not artificially restrict that peanut butter that we need to make a sandwich for that neighbors that was submitted to each office. Shows how deeply concentrated they are in specific neighborhoods while the rest of the city is eager to support opportunities for more housing and fully encourage you to support and I thank you for your time.

Clerk: Up next is Eli Green.

Eli Green: Thank you for listening and having me on here. We typically built ADUs and one of the primary focuses is to add density to the city to support housing for people while preserving the character of the city of Portland that we all love very much and with human history and culture and that the building really represents snapshot in time of the culture that we live in because of that I strongly suggest and support the passage of amendment number five and three imparts a six but the key for me is if somebody loves buildings not destroying things that are the shared character and of the architecture of the people who built the buildings over the years there is quality that is irreplaceable. Is just different elements and qualities that will never equal what is built to see those resources for what should be remembered and then change so increasing density through alternate means with the multiuse also adding more flexibility of higher density living more possible to make sure they are balance not dominated from one side and not the other.

Tony Greiner: Like to express my special support for the landmarks commission I am concerned that it would give the power to remove those recommendations to the PSC it does not have a representative but it does have a lot of people in the industry. Giving them the sole power I appreciate the support the argument has been made a landmark commission is not concerned with the broader hopes but that is a false analogy. Those of us have historic preservation committee believe we can improve our housing problems while preserving the architectural heritage of want to take a minute to talk about those who push for resizing the code to the point it disappears patient then made in the name of affordable housing and equity and democracy. My walk around the city I see justice Ginsburg's image fight for the things that you care about what at least that was the rest of her sentence do it in a way that will lead others to join you all of us are in agreement to be livable

and affordable city but I walk around the neighborhood every day and I hear and talk to my neighbors I never hear them say were getting richer keep this people from moving in I hear housing cost are crazy how are young people going to find a place to live quick summary children going to stay in the city? Let's work together to stop the name-calling and find a way to present ideas to you as a group so you have ideas to work from rather than anger. Thank you.

Heather Flint Chatto: My name is Heather, and I would like to share a little bit from our work with the streets and the streets around the vision for design and planning and development. In many communities that we have worked with, the work started about sustainability and how to foster adaptive strategies and not - and that carbon in the buildings and to help people get on board with density. We have led this years pro bono design work to support communities coming together, and that is what is missing with the divisive politics. So we try to be a bridge. And what we notices common patterns across our city and the main street is the best resource we have. We have 20 minute neighborhoods and examples then many ways without an affordable and timeless way that these places were formative to our centers and I want us to pay attention that they are not historic resources and encourage support of amendment number three and five and six we do not support amendment number two for the design review for vulnerable communities to exacerbates the issue that is with the lack of design literacy and I encourage the planning commission you don't want to circumvent the chances of affordability we have many of these patterns in places that are important we hope you think we will think of the cultural resources master plan but this is recommended by the landmarks commission.

Robert Ball: Thank you mayor and council for letting me testify. I am here today to testify on amendment number one which will allow an extra 10 feet of height if bonus provisions are triggered by affordable housing thank you to Commissioner Rubio for bringing this forward and it is something that will allow for more affordable housing to happen in our historic stones to hit him. He with each other and it's a good amendment. I will keep it at that. Thank you very much it's very appreciated.

Kelly Lanspa: Hello this is the first time I have done this and I am a little nervous and with the ability to testify. I am a native of Portland and a recent transplant back to Portland and one of the reasons I chose Portland as a place to settle back is because of the history and that marvelous old buildings and neighborhoods having lived in northern California, I can tell you we have destroyed them all

through development. So I would like to encourage amendments number three and five and six and in particular amendment number five. I think it's very important the landmarks commission we means as an expert authority. Thank you.

Kay Mosby: My name is Kay Mosby. I commend you all for sorting through all the noise at the last hearing and separating out the name-calling in this on -- misinterpretation from the facts and truth about the historic I have submitted testimony so I would just like to say to strongly support amendment number three it would fix the problems with the criteria with those historic considerations with historic resources while adding additional consideration to the process. Because of the new state regulations placing more responsibility on the city council to identify historic resources. Missing from the ta is a framework for qualified advice to the council it takes into account be of value of the legacy of historic resources as well as the needs of the city to accommodate growth and change. Amendments number five and six would correct this problem. I strongly support those. Thank you to the Commissioners for finding a way to clarify and strengthen HRCP through these amendments. Also making integrity of the planning process. It's time to wrap up the ta and remove provisions that are detrimental to the purposes of historic resource code. I support three and five and six. Affordable housing is a huge problem here in Portland. We all need to address it together.

Johnathan Greenwood: Hello. I am Jonathan. And passed amendment number two to encourage affordable housing and then to deny amendment number five, and then to support more abundant housing. And with those districts and those to feel passionately that we should prevent property owners from using the national register district process to sight unseen of renters and then to bolster the cars of nets such neighborhoods. And we must move forward on these two fronts and what is related to and with the clear history of racism and then to stop preserving unnecessarily such overrepresented places. With deep ties to BIPOC, lgbtq+ and other neglected communities. Thank you for the opportunity to testify.

Clerk: Rod did you want to testify?

Rod Merrick: I do. I'm sorry I saw where I was in line and took a nice walk so briefly that the HRCP to support very strongly the amendments number three, five and six either a or b from my point of view. And then to align with the state and federal guidelines. And as I said before, preservation is extremely important to the city and individual properties and the reason these amendments were

necessary is the for the organized opposition and then to infiltrate the sustainability commission and then to be an equal voice but not a dominant voice. Thank you so much for your time but had an opportunity to talk.

Lawrence Kojaku: I am Larry represented the northwest district association. Our neighborhood one of the most heterogeneous densely populated includes the offer that historic district as well as thousands of new housing units developed over the past decade more than any other neighborhood. We believe increasing housing density and historic preservation are not mutually exclusive strongly supporting three potential amendments amendment number three would improve demolition review by shifting loss of all reasonable economic use from a standalone justification to a set of considerations. A holistic evaluation of public benefit with a proposed alternative were discouraged demolition by neglect and then to be consistent with guidance. Amendment number five would allow the historic limericks commission possibly different from recommendations by the planning commission preventing the landmark commission for making historic designations with the national park service guidelines and the procedures of almost all of the us largest cities. Concerning amendment number six and by increasing quasi-judicial commission it's a professional expertise should not be diluted. Suggesting relaxing categories only when qualified professionals are not available. With Oregon's largest city. And that it be added to amendment number two parallel to number one. As with the unintended outcome.

Carrie Richter: Thank you honorable mayor and city councilors. You are bringing the historic code into the 21st century and I am thrilled to do inventory work in a way that has never been possible before with those categories of protections that they can get together to make a difference of what matters to them is on the brink of such a wonderful thing. Thank you very much for the time.

Mary Senatori: Good afternoon. I am a homeowner. My name is Mary. I'm thankful to everyone involved for their passion and working to balance the need for affordable housing. I like to voice my strong support for amendments number one through six to bring to alignment with the administrative rules with the adaptive reuse of historical resources. In particular, amendment number three. It is critical to ensuring more holistic and nuanced criteria that discourages demolition by neglect. Approval of amendment number five, related to the historic and conservation district designation. It should have a seat at the table along with the planning and sustainability commission for the city council to make a well-informed decision. Lastly, approval of amendment

number six for the continuation of and that it is of importance with decision-making. That is it for me. I encourage you to support amendments one through six and I thank you for your time.

Linda Nettekoven: Hello. I apologize for this but this black lump on my video it is my cat. Thank you to the Commissioners I'm a member of the neighborhood and an accidental president. I have submitted a longer written version with additional points I will consider those as well. Much of this package directly addresses concerns at the previous hearing and want to thank you for that. I strongly support amendments three and five and 6b. They are designed to ensure the preservation process with the recommended best practices as well as approving the expertise and collaboration. And then with amendment number five with a joint hearing followed by separate recommendations and then is fully available to city council and it's up to council to make the decision. So no matter which person you listen to the decision is yours. But it does help ensure community members are blocking the process to put a proposal together and have a chance to have that body of work presented to the council which obviously would not necessarily happen if the PSC made a decision on its own in some cases. I also support amendments one, two, four. And then to extend thank you and then the final request to please make a resource master plan a high priority. Those resources are limited we need to do our best to make sure no one is left out. Thank you very much.

Mark Takiguchi: Good afternoon everybody. Thank you for your time, I am with the Japanese-American museum in Oregon. I'm here today to testify on behalf of local Japanese-American organizations. As a coalition and then with those resources project. And then to remove the current standard and then has no reasonable economic value. We agree the standard promotes intentional neglect by an owner wanting to demolish a historic designated structure. And that should not be the only criteria for demolition. Amendment number five gets the Portland HRCP equal footing with the planning and sustainability commission when considering the creation or removal of historic designations. And with Portland long-standing qualification for membership, unless amended the professional expertise qualifications and with those policies. With the support for amendments five and six reflect the appreciation of the historic landmark commission opposition to the branch house demolition and the role in developing these historic review guidelines for new Chinatown and Japan town. Also opposing that conflict with those guidelines and then to support those three amendments to mitigate any further loss they acknowledge the importance of Japanese-American

community as well as other cultural communities affected. Thank you to the leadership to support these amendments and for their understanding of the value to the community.

Zoe Lynn Powers: So on the larger number of the buildings. And with that 120-day delayed procedure. With those alterations to be issued with historic resource review. As common-sense amendment that furthers the purpose and when with those permits. And with those investment today. And particularly with the council supporting the rehabilitation. I will be happy to answer any questions.

Jonathan Cohen: Good afternoon. As a stakeholder in the historic district, I operate of which is housed in a structure that I personally restored. I am the treasurer of the association and the land-use transportation committee cochair. On behalf of other small property owners I want to express my disappointment amendment number seven and that was advanced to HRCP will not be considered the historic district has specific issues and challenges have worked very hard to identify barriers to restoration and redevelopment and reuse of our historic structures in the old town neighborhood. We have identified amendment number seven more clear for property owners for all communities science standards. And then the last of responsiveness would continue to cause by and disproportionately harm small property owners.

Jessie Burke: I am the chair of the old town community association and owner of the hotel. And that personally renovated in 2018. And then to support certain express my support and my incredible frustration. Commissioner Mapps, thank you for your motion we hope to continue working with you. And they have ever tried to redevelop a commercial property I need to enter a how incredibly difficult I am seeing firsthand for to pretty typically minority property owners with the enemy of good changes intended to gives property owners more certainty and with the property owners unable to afford the timeline of historic landmarks commission take a few of you know this process but I encourage you we have already reached out to all of you to be considered a major barrier removed and considered a big win for the neighborhood as it stands without a second city council has intentionally or unintentionally kept one the largest barriers in place you are punishing small property owners and then to stay marginalized.

Hongcheng Zhao: Good afternoon. With the last public hearing the second and then I could see to the entire hearing from those perspective of different groups that is I am prepared to speak again.

And then to look at those proposed amendments as each individual one and with that economically and socially. And those on the tradition the consequences are irreversible. And also the future generations. So the fact to have reasons and that existence precedes essence. Please do not rush leading to permanent compromise what we have to the effort on -- to the efforts so that you have the wisdom that is socially responsible to keep Portland as a city we're all proud of.

Tamara DeRidder: Good afternoon. My name is Tamara, I am a professional land-use planner. I am testifying today as individual I strongly support three, five, six. If these procedures had been in place in our neighborhood like the we would not have lost a historic designation for the church and century located that 5400 block of alameda. This sanctuary was developed with the help of the catholic ministries who help to relocate and I requested clarification in the review hierarchy and the hierarchy between historic landmark commission it should be the initial review body for all historic districts and structures. And then this should then go to PSC based on strict adherence with affordable housing and the comprehensive plan. Then both of these recommendations should then be forwarded to the city council for their understanding and determination of what should be implemented. There is a discord between historic landmarks. I reject the arguments and indeed with those historic structures should be in great demand. And reuse of existing structures and for this, I support amendment number four to help support internal alterations instead of demolitions this approach -.

Clerk: That completes testimony.

Ryan: Thank you, Keelan. Thank you for your testimony for everyone who is signed up to testify oral testimony is now closed, written testimony is now closed as well. At this time we will vote on the amendments all start with number one the bonus height zone. Is there any discussion?

Clerk: [roll call]

Ryan: we have a historic resource review for affordable housing sponsored by Commissioner Rubio any discussion? Please call the role.

Clerk: [roll call]

Wheeler: I strongly support this and the chair and members who also supported this saying that the protections are still in place but it gives us the opportunity to speed up the process and therefore I'm happy to support this amendment thank you Commissioner for bringing it forward. Aye.

Ryan: Moving on we have number three demolition approval criteria. Any discussion? Please call the roll.

Clerk: [roll call]

Ryan: We have the 120 day delay any discussion?

Hardesty: I do have a question. What is the outcome of allowing another permit to be issued in the middle of the 120day permitting process?

Ryan: That is for the interior alterations for the delay. It allows to be consistent with a historical review and also allows the person working on it with time is money to keep on working on something.

Spencer-Hartle: What happens and demolition delay it is frozen for the 120 days. That is a challenge for those to be occupying a building during the 120 day period. So freezing that alteration with that improvement like electrical during that period can result in an undue challenging burden. Regardless with it comes down to the end of the period or not.

Hardesty: I thought it was that simple but I wanted to make sure was on the public record. Thank you so much.

Ryan: We did hear from some people to help us see that.

Clerk: [roll call]

Ryan: Amendment number five. Legislative land-use recommendations concerning the establishment. Any discussion on amendment number five? Let's call the roll.

Clerk: [roll call]

Rubio: Throughout the creation of this proposal BPS has consulted with BBS and the historic landmarks commissions and the intent for the proposed draft was the bring the two bodies of expertise together. The current proposal without the amendment will pass these two bodies to jointly approach the project and bring a singular project forward, so for that reason I vote no.

Clerk: [roll call]

Spencer-Hartle: Six b is on the table. I can bring up the amendment and share my screen.

Ryan: We are on the same page. Call the roll.

Clerk: [roll call]

Rubio: thank you for holding conversations on this amendment and thank you for proposing it. Aye.

Wheeler: I really appreciate the compromised amendment and take you to the commission for their efforts this is a very solid compromise.

Ryan: Onto minor tactical amendments.

Ryan: Any discussion? Please call the roll.

Clerk: [roll call]

Ryan: We have now voted. All of the amendments. Next up is for staff to return to counsel for us to vote on those findings with the recommendation draft documents that has continued through January. And unless we have further discussion, that will conclude today's hearing.

Wheeler: I would like to thank you for presiding this afternoon, and thank you for the great discussion.

Ryan: Drive carefully with your daughter. Have a wonderful afternoon. Take care.

At 3:53 pm, Council recessed.

Closed caption file of Portland City Council meeting

This file was produced through the closed captioning process for the televised city council broadcast and should not be considered a verbatim transcript. The official vote counts for council action are provided in the official minutes.

December 16, 2021 2:00 p.m.

Wheeler: This is the Thursday December 16, 2021, afternoon session Portland city council. Good afternoon everybody. Please call the roll.

Clerk: Good afternoon. Mapps.

Mapps: Here.

Clerk: Rubio.

Rubio: Here.

Clerk: Ryan.

Ryan: Here.

Clerk: Hardesty.

Hardesty: Here.

Clerk: Mayor Wheeler.

Wheeler: Here. All are attending by teleconference and the city made several avenues for the public to participate remotely and you have YouTube and www.Portland.gov and you can e-mail the council clerk. Council is taking the steps as a result of the covid-19 pandemic and the need to limit in person contact and promote physical distancing. The pandemic is an emergency threat to public health safety and welfare which requires us to meet remotely by electronic communications. Thank you all for your patience, flexibility and understandings to manage through the challenges and we'll hear from the legal counsel on the rules of decorum.

Anne Milligan: To participate in council meetings, you may sign up in advance with the council clerk's office with communications to speak briefly about any subject. You may also sign up for public testimony on resolutions, reports on the first reading of ordinances. The

published council agenda at www.Portland.gov/agenda contain information how and when to sign up for public comment while the council is holding electronic meetings. Your testimony should address the matter being considered at the time. When testifying, state your name for the record. Your address is not necessary. Please disclose if you're a lobbyist or representing an organization please identify it and the officer determines the length of testimony. Individuals generally have three minutes unless otherwise state. When your time is up the presiding officer will ask you to conclude. Disruptive conduct such as shouting, refusing to conclude your testimony when your time is up or interrupting other testimony or council deliberations will not be allowed. If there are disruptions you will be given a warning and the person may be ejected from the remainder of the meeting. All council meetings are recorded.

Wheeler: Thank you. We have one item this afternoon 912.

Clerk: Amend an intergovernmental agreement for the burnside bridge project for staffing services.

Wheeler: Commissioner Hardesty, good afternoon.

Hardesty: Good afternoon to our Multnomah colleagues here today. We're here today to update the intergovernmental agreement for the earthquake ready burnside bridge project it's critical for the region and state and I'm thrilled we're at a place where we have partnered to bring this to the council. We're ready to extend this IGA so the staffing can continue as we continue to do the work. Commissioner Pederson, I'm sure will go into the details about this project but let the just say it's been an honor to work with the county. We're thrilled that we will be partners in making the burnside bridge ready for what is coming. On that note I'm turning it over to Commissioner pederson, is that appropriate first? I see heads going up and down. Good afternoon, Commissioner and welcome.

Commissioner Jessica Vega Pederson: Thank you, Commissioner Hardesty for that wonderful introduction and thank you so much for all of the partnership. Good afternoon, Mayor Wheeler and other Commissioners. I'm the Multnomah Commissioner. We had earthquakes with one reaching 5.8 on the Richter scale. Seismologists give us a two in five chance of a disaster and we have seen what happened in Japan and Chile. We could see thousands of lives lost and months if not years of difficult recovery. The earthquake ready

bridge is the top transportation project to complete in the next decade. It will provide central city with the first river crossing designed to be in use immediately after a major earthquake strikes. Is this a point I cannot emphasize enough. If we have a major quake, of size and food and water will need to be distributed. I think of this bridge in the rebuilding efforts. The stakes are high and failure to do so would be enormous. The city itself has a major stake in this bridge. Fire, police, debris removal, tax revenue and our economic recovery will all be impacted if we don't have a safe crossing. I appreciate the city's interest in this project and partnership in getting this bridge built and ask for your further partnership in making this a reality. Thank you so much for allowing us to brief you today on where the project stands. We have a lot of staff to talk about it and answer questions. I'm now going to hand it to continue with the details.

Jonna Papefthimiou: I'm the city's chief resilience officer and interim director at the bureau of emergency management. We do planning, outreach and policy work that enhances our ability to stand strong in disasters. The pandemic and climate related emergencies have taken the forefront for the last two years but fortunately it hasn't reduced the likelihood of an earthquake at all. It seems unfair we still face that tremendous risk but one of the important tasks. As Commissioner Pederson reminded us the months we saw this month in Oregon are a reminder we live in earthquake country and a matter of when not if. One way we're preparing is working with Multnomah county and it's an example of another project up a major seismic event and the recovery period and it's on the city's emergency transportation route and a block from fire station 1 where we house most of our specialized equipment for urban search and rescue. Having one central city bridge we can rely on after an earthquake will be critical for saving lives and preventing heartbreaks because it will help families be reunited after a scary earthquake. So many staff have helped with the planning and hope it proceeds swiftly to construction so our city can be more prepared. Thank you.

Hardesty: I don't know who did that maneuver but introduce yourself to the public.

Patrick Sweeney: Good afternoon, city council members. I'm happy to be with you. I'm Patrick Sweeney. I am the PBOT capital manager in the projects group. With me is Megan Neill. She'll be joining us in a second. She's a Multnomah county engineering services manager and the county project manager and technical staff are here to help with questions

when the discussion occurs later on and that includes Sharon and HDR and jeff and Steve and jeff are part of the county's consulting team and we're here seeking an amendment to the IGA as we typically call it. And then the county project team will be ready to provide a project briefing but we have one more slide. The this is the first amendment to the existing city-county intergovernmental agreement. The amendment is required to continue city involvement in the project. The current intergovernmental agreement the term ends December 31, 2021. A few weeks from now. And this amendment will extend the terms of the agreement 12 months to expire December 31, 2022. With that added time is an additional \$500,000 in budget to reimburse staff time for city participation in the project. And that's not just PBOT it's all bureaus that are involved in the project. And now it's time for project briefing with Megan.

Megan Neill: Good afternoon, council. Again my name is Megan Neill. I'm with Multnomah county transportation division and the project manager for the burnside bridge project. I appreciate your time this afternoon to hear a briefing of our project in addition to the approval of the IGA, I'd like to share a little bit of what we've been up to since we last came to council two years ago and I know we have new members so I want to make sure everyone's up to speed on making the burnside bridge resilient. I first want to share why this is the right bridge to invest in in the next 10 years to help our region become resilient. I think they have already done a great job of mentioning the need for a bridge such as this one as well as the risk to our region of the abduction zone earthquake. Next I want to provide an overview of the recommended alternative for the burnside bridge we drafted in our draft environmental impact statement this past February. Then I also wanted to walk through some refinements to that recommended referred alternative we're getting community feedback on today that bring the cost of the project down to better fund it and build it and then I wanted to close with just what the council can expect from our project this coming year and when we'll be back to council for another key approval step. I'll get right into it. As you heard today, it's not about if the major earthquake happened but when. Looking at the last 10,000 years, it's been almost 75% passed the duration wen major Cascadia zone earthquakes and a 1 in 3 chance of the big one happens in the next 50 years the life time of Oregonians living here and we can expect damage to key infrastructure such as bridges, roads, facilities similar to what we see

what happened to Chile in 2011. It's a similar city in size in Portland and distance from the coast earthquake and the magnitude is similar an 8.8. And up to 20,000 people can suffer injuries up to maybe 1500 people could lose their lives and this is in the metro region, tri-county area. It could displace up to 85,000 people as well as result in \$37 million in damage and 60,000 to 80,000 dump truck loads to clear debris to using them and equates to five times the waste in the tri-county area and we need our roads to get our city and economy back on track after this major earthquake anticipated. Now, taking a look at our region's key network of emergency transportation routes you see up on the screen a map of the routes identified in blue by our metro region. You'll notice colored dots that represent bridges and overpasses. The color indicates how vulnerable those infrastructure are to the major earthquake and green being performing well and red meaning not so well. Many of our key emergency transportation routes will not be usable after a major quake. Many are on the state highway system so it's about the local street network and local roads that will be relied on heavily after an earthquake and Burnside is one of those roads. It's a key emergency transportation route. It extends all the way from Washington county to Gresham. It's 19 miles long and as you heard today in the heart of our city divides our city north-south, east-west and the key link across the river similar to ODOT facilities but ODOT is looking to invest not in our central downtown core but in the 205 corridor in terms of bringing goods and services to Washington on down and they're not really looking to invest in the Markham bridge so it's the county and Burnside bridge as our responsibility to people can across the river. You'll notice the Burnside corridor in yellow has overpass could collapse and that coupled that it's wide as a corridor makes it a good investment in terms of bringing one bridge up to seismic code. That's why the county has the Burnside bridge project. There's three key reasons why we need it. A seismically sound bridge so first responders can get to people and get people to where they need to go and allow families to reunite after the earthquake and in the time after the earthquake it will help our region rebuild and recover and bring jobs back to the downtown core and allow trucks to move debris out of downtown and get our region back on track and then independent of the earthquake, this bridge is almost 100 years old and served our region for so long. We're looking to have a crossing in the location that will serve our region for another 100 years. This was the three key purposes of the earthquake ready

burnside bridge project. To briefly share where we are today in the green is the environmental review phase we began in 2019 and looking to wrap it up by the end of next year. We published a draft environmental impact statement this past February. We are looking to public a supplemental draft environmental impact statement. This coming spring to document the benefits and impacts of the cost refinements I'll share with you today. We are currently funded through the design phase so we anticipate starting the design phase next year and extending that until 2025. If we are successful with our funding strategies we hope to begin construction in 2025 and have something in place by 2030. We have a preferred alternative called the replacement long span alternative and proposes to replace the old bridge with a new bridge along the same alignment with the exact same landing point. There's reasons why this rose to the top and come down to the few support structures under the bridge many fewer than what's out there today. First of all the fewer support structures in the ground really sets the bridge up to perform well during an earthquake. There's less vulnerable structure by soils or shaking ground and this performs well when it comes to seismic resiliency and with fewer structures in the ground reduce the cost the project. This was the least cost alternative of the range. Additionally fewer support structures means more open space to key facilities such as the burnside skate park as well as the water front park which hosts many events including Saturday market. Finally being the fewer supports under the bridge, the less impact the structure has on environmental resources. You can see there's few reasons why the alternative has become the preferred alternative for the project however, it does pre pose removing the historic burnside bridge itself on the national historic registers. It is a con of this alternative. Additionally, one key feature of the preferred alternative I wanted to share as part of this alternative we would not build a detour bridge during construction for people to use. We would close the bridge permanently four to five years so no detour bridge as part of the preferred alternative. I also wanted to share we have been exploring a variety of structure types and there's a few on the screen I wanted to walk through. On the top we have a tied arch structure similar to the Fremont bridge. Also, we're looking at a cable state bridge as well as a conventional girder style and this is over the navigation channel we're looking at vertical lift structure similar to the Hawthorne and steel bridge and the bascule style bridge and a wanted to share the structure types because some

of our cost-saving refinements are related to selection structure types for the bridge. Our draft environmental impact statement cross section proposed widening the bridge than what's out there today. In the top graphic you can see the existing cross-section with five lanes of traffic including the bus only lane as well as bike lane as well as sidewalks. The cross-section we were proposing continued to have five lanes of traffic with extra wiggle room in each lane and then widening the bike space to 20 feet allowing 8 feet for the space and 8 feet for the cycling space and proposed including a crash-worthy barrier to protect those who are walking and biking against any vehicle traffic. We received a lot of support for the recommended preferred alternative with 88% agreement as well as 85% agreement for the full bridge closure during construction. We're lucky here in Portland we have other bridges it didn't make sense to spend all that time and money and environmental impact to build a detour structure that has to move as well. And the cost of the public was above \$800 million. We have secured approximately \$300 million for the project today through the county's vehicle registration fee and we appreciate cities foregoing registration fee in support of this project and why we have \$300 million to date. That being said, things have changed since we published the draft environmental impact statement and did those cost estimates. We were disappointed when the regional bond measure for transportation did not pass in 2020 that would have allocated \$150 million to our project and continue our forward momentum of getting something in place as fast as possible which we often hear from the community. We are also aware there's other mega projects in our region equally important and also need money. We know there's going to be competition for limited transportation dollars. And finally covid, as everyone has possibly felt, has impacted cost of project, labor market and material costs. Our project costs have been rising and rising and our county leadership has asked us to look for ways to bring the overall cost of the project down and I'm going to walk through three key cost saving refinements that we are proposing today. As we're looking at ranges of cost saving refinements we wanted to look at what was influencing decisions being made. First, any cost saving refinement would only be applied to the long span alternative. We will not go back and look at other alternatives we were evaluating and the long span alternative was the cheapest. Any changes would apply to the long span alternative. Second, we didn't want to make decisions that would impact the purpose of the project which again is

seismic resiliency, emergency response and long-term use of the burnside corridor crossing. As always we wanted to maintain our equity lens as we looked at options to consider. The first cost-saving refinement relates to the west approach bridge structure type. On the screen is the existing bridge as it is today over the water front park. You see several roads and columns in the park. The project team recommended replacing officering the old girder style structure with a newer conventional style structure. This would afford up to \$40 million of savings for the project. We heard a lot of positive feedback about this option. Not only does it provide a higher vertical clearance for the park and wider open space for use, it also preserves open use of the city above into and out of town especially in the historic district which should we have opted for a cable stay or arch in this location it would be very hard to get that structure type permitted to the national agencies that oversee historic districts in this area. The second cost saving refinement has to do with the movable span bridge type. Up on the screen is what's out there today the historic style structure that opened up and down like a drawbridge. The project team recommends replacing it with a new style drawbridge you see modelled in the photo. Not only is it cost effective resulting in a savings up to \$35 million it also preserves the open views. This is the center of Portland it's about Portland being on display not necessarily the structure. That's what we heard from our community. May notice on the right side of the graphics you see two different structure types still be considered for the east approach that spans over liquefiable soils and the railroad. We're not going to make a decision about the structure type we'll wait until the design phase because it's so complex we feel we don't have all the information we have today to make that decision. Finally the last one looking at the cross section I heard earlier. What we are proposing is to remove one vehicle lane from the bridge. Again, at the top of the screen is where the existing bridge cross-section as it is today with the five lanes with the bus only lane. We were proposing preserving the five lanes and widening the space to 20 feet but now with the cost of refinement we're looking at a four-lane bridge and bike head space between 14 and 17 feet. Just to give you a sense of how wide that may be compared to other bridges, in downtown, 14 feet matches the widest bike head space of any other bridge downtown so to give a sense of what we are proposing relative to what's out there today. This could realize up to \$165 million of savings so it's the biggest bang for our buck. It's something we're seriously considering. However, we are doing

this in lockstep with the city. We're looking at four ways to allocate the space on a four-lane bridge. The first option is called the balanced options two lanes going into town and one east bound and out and the second option is the east bound focus with one lane going into town westbound and two lanes being general purpose and the third lane preserving the bus only lane as it is today. The third option looks at what it would mean to install a reversible lane and it would be the first reversible lane in Oregon and something we're not typically used to using and a lot of thought and evaluation into what it could mean for traffic. In the reversal lane there's always one lane in the town westbound always one lane going out of town eastbound and one reverses depending on the traffic needed at that time. The final option the fourth option we call the bus queue jump rather than having a bus only lane on the entire width there'll be portions of the bridge at the ends that would be bus only lanes so if the bus gets across the bridge it would jump the queue so to speak and get to the front of the line and traffic signal and have a prioritized traffic signal and jump ahead of traffic as the light turns green. This would have two lanes in town westbound and two lanes out of town eastbound and we have a variety of tools to get the feedback. We have a survey out and should be able to share and on what we heard before decision made about the cost-saving refinement. Coming up in 2022 in the spring we'll publish the supplement draft environmental impact statement that captures the evaluation of the cost-saving refinements. That will be followed by a 45-day public comment period. After which we'll bring back what we heard from county and city leaders and be back in council to adopt the preferred alternative. About the same time in summer 2022 we'll select the design team for the next phase of the project with the contractor we'd like to work with. We're opting to move forward with CMDC construction manager general contractor delivery method. We feel like it's the right method for a complex project such as this. By summer we also will have more information on the ODOT approved labor agreement and community benefits agreement to guide our projects workforce equity goals and vision. And following in the winter of 2022 we'll have made our decision on the cost saving refinements to the preferred alternative and we'll publish that refined alternative in a final environmental impact statement and have a decision and close out the environmental review phase and that time we'll be launching in the design phase. That's the preview of what's to come for the project and that concludes the project briefing I wanted to share with

you today and before I close just wanted to acknowledge what we're hearing strong interest from the community about not only the bridge itself but the connections from the bridge to key facilities beneath the bridge and with that I'll hand it over to Patrick, my colleague to talk more about what's to come with connections to the bridge and facilities around it.

Sweeney: PBOT appreciates the city council decision to allocate some funding from the small budget management process to develop a cost estimate for the human access project ramp and river access project and that is shown on the screen in the lower left. A diagram of the long ramp concept on the south side of the bridge with access to the river. We are learning about the bridge to the east bank esplanade. There's lots of options to think about. There could be a switch back ramp. There could be another kind of ramp option that connects to both sides and loops underneath and connects to the esplanade so we still have a lot to learn there. PBOT is taking the lead to develop concept level design and estimated costs for what is possible with a universal access and lower maintenance ramp from the bridge deck to the esplanade and seeing county roles and responsibilities related to final design and implementation of this connection will be further explored during the next phase, the final design phase of the project. Megan.

Wheeler: I believe that concludes our power point back today and hand it back to Commissioner Hardesty for public testimony. I'll take the power point down.

Hardesty: Let me thank you very much. What an informative presentation and true collaboration and Mayor Wheeler I'll turn it back to you if you'd like to facilitate public testimony.

Wheeler: Sounds good. Thanks, everybody for the presentation. How people do we have signed up today?

Clerk: Today we have 21 people on the line.

Wheeler: Very good. Three minutes name for the record and let you call them up in order. Three minutes in city hall means three minutes. When you hit three minutes your microphone will suddenly go dead so keep it within three minutes, please.

Clerk: Thank you, Mayor. First up we have Willie, Sam and Tom.

Willie Levenson: It is okay to speak? This is Willie Levenson and I appreciate the presentation of Multnomah county and sobering hearing the consequences of an earthquake and why it's

important to address this critical infrastructure. I think it's not unusual in government that necessity of shoring up things like critical infrastructure winds up driving the opportunity to leverage these investments to bring something greater to the community than just the bare minimum of basic infrastructure. For the last 30 plus years the city of Portland has had fluffy ideas and planning documents talking about creating greater connection to the river and when we're short on budget it's more important to be able to leverage a once in a generation, \$1 billion investment. There was a story breaking this morning that Multnomah county reported their community outreach effort revealed no support for an elevator. That acknowledgement, though the elevator is not completely officially dead at this point, that acknowledgement is tangible and a step in the right direction and we're happy to hear that and to me that acknowledgement is a reflection of well-run community outreach process that makes appropriate decisions. I was happy to see the equity lens continues to be a top priority in decision making. Ultimately I think one of the things that need to be understood is where the responsibility for the county ends. I don't think it involves the park, myself. My personal opinion is Multnomah county in particular through the equity lens should be considering the disability community and I feel like if Multnomah county embraces the word of the equity for people who don't currently have access to the esplanade and listen to the needs of the disability community my lens is all other users will benefit similar to the way in environmental circles being able to look to salmon as an indicator species for health of a river, if we're able to look to the disability community to guide us to what functioning ramp they feel a part of this community brings, that we'll be in the right direction. Greatly appreciative of the relationship with Multnomah county to bounce ideas off them and they've been a great partner and appreciate the communication with offices and bureaus as we've worked on this over a year now. Thanks for the time and presentation and look forward to seeing what comes next.

Clerk: Next up we have Sam.

Sam Drevo: Thank you, council, and Mayor happy to speak on behalf of this project. As a small business owner on the river and river first responder I appreciate the importance of readiness when it comes to natural disaster. I was involved in the fires last year and it strikes run and I use the esplanade to walk and bike and a swim in the river regularly. As a small business owner and focusing on river recreation and kayak school I understand the

importance of access. Unfortunately the east bank esplanade has a great view of the river but no way to touch and feel it with the exception of the north side and I think Portland can improve on that quite a bit. I appreciate the city's effort with big pipe and trying to keep the river clean because more and more people as they develop a stronger relationship with the river hopefully everybody understands the importance of a clean river. Anyway, I'm supportive of the idea of trying to create a stronger connection as somebody who gets people out of wheelchairs into kayaks regularly the city would benefit from a connection like that and I also can say as we work to increase access to the river, all the visitors that come to Portland will benefit not only the locals but I understand the importance of tourism and visitors. Every time I go down and swim in the river I'm buying coffee and going to breakfast close by. This sort of thing is important to economic development too even indirect side of things. I appreciate your commitment to investigating this component of the project further and think is a once in a generation opportunity and we need better access. Thanks so much.

Clerk: Next up is Tom.

Tom Vandel: I'm on the human access project board. Thanks for everybody for the opportunity. I don't want to forget the people using the bridge and people crossing and using that east bank esplanade and if they can get to a spot where they can get down and touch this river it can turn around feelings about the river and our use of the river and how important it is to be able to access the river and read poems from children called honoring our rivers and children write their own poems. Here's a couple. Here's one from Amy, grade 1. A river is wonderful. It keeps the fish from drying out. Here's another one, Jordan, grade 4, come and keep my silent places where the heron stands alone and let it seep into your heart and bone. Phoebe, somewhere along the way the river gave us serenity. Ashe, grade 6, the river gives back and as a walk the tranquility propels me to the river leading myself inside of me. Here's the last one, this is from a 5th grader. Never slam it, our river Willamette. Pretty good. So anyway, young people follow our impact and future generations. Rather than focus too much on just the actual details and if we can expand more and think of generations of people from now who will be using this bridge and may have better access to the river because of it or open their minds more about the river, it's an opportunity we should explore. That's my opinion. Thanks for your time.

Clerk: Next up we have Susan and Simon.

Susan Bladholm: Hello, Mayor Wheeler and Commissioners. And our PBOT liaison Patrick. I'm testifying to urge you to be bold as you make decisions that impact the future of Portland. I'm the founder of frog fairy for the design that mitigates an earthquake and with frog fairy, we want to provide more and better access to our valuable green spaces especially the river. Thank you.

Clerk: Next is Tad, you're muted. Why don't we go to Simon Walsh.

Simon Walsh: I'm Simon Walsh and before I start my sister was signed up to be a speaker too but not on the list. Should we send in a written testimony for that? Sorry for the question before I start?

Clerk: Is that Sadie?

Walsh: Yes.

Clerk: We have her added to the list.

Walsh: Okay. I'm a sophomore and the renamed high school and I was swimming on the human access swim team and impacted the way I think about the Willamette and I went to clean ups and changed the way I feel about the river and feel responsible for helping other people learn how awesome the river is. A lot of my peers I go to school with I mention I swim in the river and they're like that's gross. Why are you doing that. The river's nasty. I explain it's not and you can go in the river. Even when the weather's warm it's not a destination for people. It can bring spaces together and part of the burnside project it would be impactful. [technical difficulties]

Tad McCall: I keep trying to unmute.

Clerk: We're in the middle of someone providing testimony. We'll call you next, okay.

Walsh: -- it would be good to change the east bank to make it more human friendly and connect the esplanade to the water. The pictures leading down look cool and I bike and run along there and it's not easy to get down. I think those could change it. Thank you for the opportunity to speak to you guys.

Clerk: Okay, tad. It looks like you're muted.

Wheeler: Tad, go ahead. You're up, Tad McCall. Tad, can you hear us? We'll come back to tad.

Clerk: Sounds good. Thanks.

Austen Knight: The next seismic event will happen as told. I'm volunteer with other people here. I do logistics and have done some muscle related to other cleanups mainly up in the St. John's area. I think it's important to think note our river is drastically underutilized and the life-blood of the city and the river is the gathering point and in Portland it isn't and this is an opportunity to change that.

McCall: I apologize. I was having technical difficulty. I'm usually not accused of being silent.

Clerk: Tad, I'm sorry.

Wheeler: Let's let tad go and Austin you'll go next.

McCall: Can you hear me now?

Wheeler: You sound great.

McCall: Thank you so much. I'm not usually accused of being -- I hear you. Can you hear me? -- here we go.

Wheeler: Are you having problems with that?

McCall: I'll try a different can you hear me now?

Wheeler: Yes, go.

McCall: There we go. All right. I'm usually not accused of being silent but this time I was. I just want to make brief comments. I join in everybody else's support for this project. I want it talk about the pedestrian access ramp concept. I'm a trustee to hap. What I like about this concept is it gives more people access to this improved Willamette river and pays particular attention to people with disabilities and gives them a chance to enjoy just like everyone else. That's the most important thing to me. What I also like is it's environmentally sensitive and we're tying into existing areas and putting in drain areas so we're not having runoff so it gives more people access and environmentally sensitive and sound proofing to help make the place the experience more delightful really. By the water side in a quiet, pleasant way. This is a wise project. It's such a wise project it's known to the east coast where I am. Coast to coast we support the burnside bridge pedestrian access concept for the benefit it brings to the people of Portland. Thank you very much.

Wheeler: Thank you, sir. Austin, thank you for your patience, you're up next and over to Keelan after that.

Knight: Thank you, Mayor. I only have a little bit left. As a Portland state university alumni I ended up joining the Portland bike club recently mentioned in Oregon Live article the Friday night ride. Part of the route goes along the entire esplanade. I imagine if this came to fruition, that park would be part of the route and part of what I realized, was I was enjoying the docks there and seeing the life there it wasn't just like another spot along the esplanade where there wasn't anyone there. That entire people was full of people sitting on benches and enjoying the river which is the point of living here honestly in my opinion. Lastly, I mentioned a previous council meeting and mentioned this is a once in a century opportunity to re-imagine the waterfront of our city and an opportunity to create a space that something Portland's known for and embracing the natural beauty of where we live. Thank you for the time and have a great day.

Clerk: Next up we have Ashton and Mike and Adam.

Ashton Simpson: Good afternoon, council. Mayor Wheeler. This is Ashton and use he/him pronouns and here in support of the project and one of our city's needs. So I know the importance of what it is to be earthquake ready and need to make sure we're doing that because the bridge is a life line to it east Portland and need them to be able bounce back in case of an earthquake. For access for pedestrians it's a wonderful project I believe needs it happen especially with it be centered in between two major potential developments with the trust as well as the development it would be essentially the icing in the Oreo to make this look sweet and be any attractive piece to our city. As we bring in more folks we want to show the beauty and bliss that is Oregon and Portland. I believe that is one way of doing that as well as there's no access to the water. A lot of native cultures within our region survived with access to the water and believe we should also keep those folks in mind and make sure we're doing this not only to acknowledge previous culture but continue their practices where they do have solid access to that water front. Oregon Walks is in total support of this project. We believe it the not only make it easier for pedestrians to move around and make it vibrant for our community where right now it doesn't look that way and not set up in that way and I'll urge you to make sure keeping folks in mind as what was previously stated, universal design and design it for our elders, our youth and folks with mental and physical disabilities. I always

believe in the concept because us as secondary users or as Commissioner Hardesty calls it the temporarily able, we'll benefit from that and I urge you to support the project. Thank you.

Clerk: Next up we have Mike Faha.

Mike Faha: Good afternoon, Mayor and council. It's a joy to be here. I've been a hap member since 2001, board member and principle of dream works architecture firm in Portland, oregon. When I joined hap I was charmed by Mr. Willie Levenson our ring leader and grassroots activist. I can contribute in a grassroots organization to effect change along Willamette river and proud to be a member of that group. Since then I've volunteered on poet's beach access projects which were modest but successful and were able to connect Portlanders to our riverfront in our small but effective way. Willie talked me into volunteering for this project which much higher profile of bigger community investment but is an opportunity for hap to get in there and recognize that there is an important intended purpose of the project which is getting an earthquake resilient bridge across the river. Hap is always looking for multiple benefits. This community typically makes major infrastructure investments looking at multiple benefits and multiple benefits we're looking for include recreational connectivity, scenic enjoyment, multiple use access for a variety of users and then its habitat open space and environmental benefits. This project can be a catalyst for creative river bank treatment that starts to alter the trashy condition of the downtown Portland water front. We have a seawall on the west side and chunks and rubble on the east side. This is an opportunity to start changing the way we address the river bank and it's in a dynamic location that connects east and west and north and south. In conclusion the project is emblematic to our relationship to the river and we should treat it as such. Thank you for your time.

Wheeler: Keelan, jeff has his hand raised. Jeff.

Neill: I think that was excellent. He lost his internet. Feel free it proceed.

Clerk: Great, thank you. Next up we have Adam Zucker.

Adam Zucker: Hi, thank you, Mayor Wheeler and city Commissioners and the county Commissioners and staff on the call. My name is Adam Zucker and I'm a Pisces. Hopefully that's not too much information I like long walks on the beach and fires. While it's not really clear my astrological sign has had bearing on a career path to become a water resource

engineer I have an affinity with the water. Particularly the Willamette river. Several years ago I witnessed from a far from one of our wonderful bridges the Hawthorne the annual human access project and was like wow, this is an amazing project. I heard about it but seeing it in person was like no other. Kind of the next year really inspired by what I saw, as an east sider I took advantage of the Audrey beach and as an east sider that was amazing to be able to pull up there and in load my floatation device and walk a few yards down to the river. I'm all for seeing additional access to the river as many folks have said ahead of me, I think the burnside bridge project is very important to our region and really offers a once in a century opportunity to add amenities to our city and I wholeheartedly support better access for all abilities. I'm glad to hear the elevator which is very scary is kind of on the outs and I support having a safer more welcoming access to the river as an east sider. Thank you for the time and an appreciate all the hard work and coordination the city and county are doing on this project.

Clerk: Next we have Jane-Clair Kerin, Sonia Montalbano and Mark Sahrer

Jane-Clair Kerin: Good afternoon, everyone. I am in support of the ramp addition to the upgraded burnside bridge. I'm also glad the idea of the elevator is not being as actively pursued. I've seen many more working ramps and elevators in Portland and think it's a good idea to keep an elevator off the bridge if possible. And maintain the ramp plans and I would support the river access to from the burnside bridge plan and glad there's energy and brain power behind upgrading the bridge. Thank you for the hard work you're doing. Short and sweet.

Clerk: Next up is Sonia.

Sonia Montalbano: Good afternoon, council members. I'm an attorney here in Portland and board member on the human access project but before that I was an avid floater, kayaker, walker and biker especially along the river and before that I was a law student would word on the lawsuit that about the big pipe. It's amazing in the progress in cleaning up the Willamette river and human access project and other organizations worked hard to increase interest in the river and new ways to enjoy the river and you could not swim in it before. The burnside bridge is an opportunity to continue to expand access to the it resource that residents of Portland, oregon and people from all over the world can enjoy and again I'm reinforcing the

idea that as this project goes forward and things get tweaked you stay committed to the ramp because it will increase access to people with physical challenges not just disabled but we're all going to get there at one point as they said. And more people can use the ramp at one time than in an elevator. You also don't have to be in a confined space with people which we've come to learn is something that can pose a risk to you and we have no guarantee that's not going to be the case going forward. Is if an earthquake were to happen probably the electricity will go down and how will those people get back up? Wait until they get rescued? I appreciate cost is an objection and remember the objection to the big pipe when it was being built and the people who objected when they look back now with hindsight they'd agree it was a worthwhile investment in our city. I think the ramp and burnside bridge is an opportunity for the city of Portland to see the city making progress on something. You all have a lot of on your plates right now and there's a lot of things going on behind the scenes but people need to see concrete things to feel things are working and this is an opportunity for the city of Portland to do that once again which it does so well in serving citizens. Thank you very much. Please keep the ramp and keep up the good work. Bye-bye.

Mark Zahner: Hello, Mayor and city council. Thank you for allowing me to speak and testify in favor of green works and human access project burnside bridge pedestrian access ramp concept. I have a family member that's it disabled and the physical barriers that are presented are enormous. I think a good ADA ramp access would go a long way to eliminate those physical barriers as well as psychological barriers. It's very hard to get people to come down to the river and overcome their perception whether it's dirty or scary. This would go a long way. Access to Duckworth docks is difficult as it is. A long process on the north end getting down to the docks. A ramp such as this would provider better access for first responders and make it a safer place. I'm in favor of this. Thank you for allowing me to have your ear today and an applaud your efforts. Thanks.

Clerk: Next we have Joe and Aaron and John.

Joe Cadwell: I'm speak in support of the burn it is side bridge for three reasons. To begin with, I appreciate the human access project. What they do doing the early morning swims, access to the river and clean water is fantastic. I think the thing is safety and if we were to have a seismic event I would appreciate a bridge to find safe access back to our home so

safety is paramount in this situation. The second would be the access to the river and again making the Willamette river the centerpiece in our city. So many European cities around the world value their rivers. We're a river city. It would be an emblematic statement to make it accessible to everyone to appreciate and speaking from the perspective of an apprentice coordinator for the carpenter's union, I work with the pile driver carpenters and mill rights for the carpenter's union and see the benefit of being able to have our carpenter's at work making livable wage jobs provided for them and having diversity and equity on the forefront through agreements. It's a win-win for all of us and hope. And I'd like to it yield the rest of my time for Tad. If Tad's not going come on I appreciate Ashton Simpson and I concede I time to the next speaker. Let's support the project and see safe access to the rivers and see safety for our citizens and see jobs for our citizens as well. Thank you search. -- thank you very much.

Clerk: Next up we have Aaron Kuehn.

Aaron Kuehn: Thank you. I have nothing but support for everything everyone said before me this is a great discussion to be having and testifying about and supportive of the testimony we heard all the projects are amazing and we're lucky to have them in our city. Burn side bridge is the center and worth spending time thinking about what we million and sad it has to be replaced but let's try to replace it with something even better. Though there are space and budget constraints it's what make it fun from a design and engineering standpoint, I think. I wouldn't be afraid of those constraints and I'm a search and responder and supportive of what's been said and part of a simulation project and the local surface network being our main network in both emergency response groups we understand the role non-motorized transportation occurs and electricity and fuel can't be expected after the event. This is implications for lane widths. I recommend using more forward think it will and for me that means more space for single occupancy vehicle lanes and what I want to hammer home is the unreliability of elevators. They're popping up. There's one on the Lafayette street pedestrian bridge out in 2017 and 2018. My son was using that to get to Cleveland high school for four years and stopped using it because it was out for so long and carried his bike up and down and had to put in new HVAC equipment to dry it up and new canopies and it was because of humidity and I can't imagine about extremes and another crossing has been out of service because of failed motor that can't be delivered because of supply change disruption which

we have to anticipate will happen in the future and the gib street pedestrian elevator has been out and all the faulty elevator cases we just need to build a ramp. It's a proven technology. That's it.

Clerk: Next up is Kiel Johnson.

Kiel Johnson: I'm coming to you guys from the burnside -- I don't know if you can see or hear me. I'll try to keep it short because it's really loud. I'm next to the freeway and I just wanted to show support for the sound barrier that would be included in the ramp. This infrastructure is a relic because we put the freeway next to the river. If you turn around you have an amazing view of the city but hard to appreciate when you're next to the freeway. I want to show my support for the noise barrier and making sure the oregon department of transportation pays their fair share for how putting the freeway right here has cost our city. Thank you all very much and I'll hand the rest of my time so you don't have to hear the freeway anymore. Thank you.

Clerk: Next up we have Jan.

Jan Campbell: My name is Jan Campbell. I worked for years of Portland and Multnomah county as a coordinator of the disability project. Some know we and have advocated in protecting the lives of persons living with disability. I've been an advocate for a long time. When I became disabled I never had the opportunity to participate in so many areas and that is still true for many. I was denied a teaching job because of my disability. My family and I made this a life time passion and my family has passed but I want you to know why it's important for everyone to have access to the river and enjoy it. Design is more than required by code and needs to be more than functional. Design needs to be equitable. In saying this I feel a ramp down to the river would be more equitable than an elevator. If both would be funded that would be great but I understand it's not feasible at the time. I was working for the city when the elevator was installed. At that time our concerns were told and would not be met and were never implemented. The two reasons I feel eventually led to the shut down because it was difficult to maintain and wasn't in working order a lot of the time and people did not feel safe using it. A ramp in having persons with disabilities involved in the design would show us we're part of a city and county's agenda. Please don't put us in a closed space where we don't feel safe including get stuck in it. It will probably be difficult to maintain

functioning because of maintenance. Many had meetings with the city and county expressing our concerns. We want everyone to use the ramp at all times like everyone else and want to enjoy the river when we want. Disability is part of the human condition. We can no longer be disadvantaged in accessing our rivers that's why I'm a member and thankful for human access project. They get it. All design must be looked at in a different way and that being universal design and equitable and we talk about compliance to the ADA But we know many laws are not equitable. Please don't put funding as the issue make it accessible and equitable. Thank you so much.

Christina Malango: I'm Christina. I am part of the human access project. I'm on the river hugger swim team which means that I have an advantage if the burn side bridge goes down. I'll be able to get across the river but not true for everybody. One of the since that's just been complete joy about that it involvement has been swimming from the east side esplanade near the beach and fire station that's there it and also at Kevin Duckworth dock. Having more access to the river near the burnside bridge would be delightful. Portland the river just a gem running through the city is wonderful and clean and is a real draw for people. I met so many people down by the river. That draw would only increase with better access to the water. I'm really grateful for all the work the city and county are doing. I think investing if the joy available and accessible to us if the play that is free. Anybody can access it. It's a very -- it seems me like something that it's just a gift for our city. The ways that you can look at better access through ramp to that area would be wonderful to enhance that area. Thank you so much.

Clerk: Next up we have Crystal.

Crystal Granger: I'm Crystal Granger and a river hugger member. Thank you Mayor and Commissioners for allowing us this time to speak. I grew up in northeast Portland and me and my sister got in the lottery program and we were bussed across the river and I continued my education at Portland community college and Portland state and in the later years of my education I realized that river is when I code switched from being African American to being white. And it took me a while to realize the river the impact it had because it's a divider of our city. You see the socio-economic divide and racial divide and after I joined river hugger everyone's equal in the water. I'm one of the younger members of the team and there's

people of color on the team and with disability and it brings people together and encourage you to when you think about this plan to enhance the east side to give people more access to the waters at a fundamental level. It's a beautiful thing and can maybe instead of divide through barriers maybe bring people together. Consider this when you're funding this project and consider the access to individuals. I know growing up on the east side it costs money to park a car at marine drive driving all the way to the park and access that it's very far and it's really not a hidden gem anymore. There's a lot of parking and you have to park far away to access those waterways. In our traditional red lining African American neighborhoods to have access to the water you can walk down to is incredible and highly encourage you to continue to promote that availability to people on the eastside. I'm an advocate of our community centers but know a pass is \$6.25 to swim with the river huggers it's \$5 a session and should have it in the forefront of your mind as you design the project because it can bring us closer as a community. Thank you so much.

Clerk: Next up we have Tom and Sadie.

Tom Stenson: Good afternoon to the Mayor and Commissioners. Thank you for having me on. I'm the legal director at disability rights oregon. I'm happy to be here today. I'm glad to see accessibility is being incorporated in the plans for the new burnside bridge. I think there's been discussion if the community and there's a strong preference among people with disabilities for having the ramp. There's strong disadvantages to having the ramp and while there's cost in the construction it's low maintenance once it gets going and elevators especially outdoors are well known to have big challenges. That's with weather and given use and safety and security, cleanliness, vandalism. They're all major issues with elevators you can see here in the Portland area and the Seattle area has had challenges around their elevators at sound transit. The fortunate experience is they're often closed for long stretches of time. In the most recent refurbishment each of the elevators on east Portland were out 12 to 14 weeks each and that's a significant challenge and period where people would not have access to the esplanade from the bridge. I appreciate steps going forward to make the bridge accessible in its new form and I appreciate the concerns people with disabilities are being listened to and hope it will continue to be the case. Thank you.

Clerk: Next up we have Sadie Walsh.

Sadie Walsh: My name is Sadie Walsh and I'm a 7th grader and I think the human access project is an amazing organization and want to help as many people enjoy the river as much as possible and for the past two summers I've been swimming with the river huggers in the morning and I'm a junior captain and help take care of the river by taking part in clean up. I love the river a lot but it would be easy if the city of Portland changed the eastside to help more people connect with the river and enjoy the Willamette. I think it would benefit our community because it's free to swim in the river and fun to be in the water and great way to stay healthy. I started swimming when the public pools had it close because of covid and has turned me into an open water swimmer and love the fresh air and natural surroundings. Swimming with the river huggers taught me how to take care of the river. When I see the pictures how the river could be opened up from the project I get excited how many more youth and families would come to the river and have fun hanging out and floating and enjoying the water. Thank you for the chance to speak today.

Clerk: That completes testimony.

Wheeler: Commissioner Hardesty.

Hardesty: What a perfect ending to testimony. I did not want to lose our last speaker. I didn't want to lose our last speaker, Sadie Walsh. Thank you. I'm glad you're still there. What grade are you in?

Walsh: 7th grade. I'm 12.

Hardesty: You have remarkable grace and presence and 7th grade, huh?

Walsh: Yeah, thank you so much.

Hardesty: You are welcome. What's the plan for the future?

Walsh: I'm not sure. I love being in the water and I've thought about doing something like marine biology. Something with the water I would love to do.

Hardesty: I have Portland fire and rescue in my portfolio and we do water rescue training and have a couple boats that have some of the best trained water rescue people working on it. Wouldn't that be amazing? We would be thrilled to have you. I just could not let you leave without me taking a moment and remembering myself at 12 and in the 7th grade and not just really having a clue about the world outside of myself. Let me compliment you. You're doing your parents proud and I look forward to you thinking about how you can become a

member -- there'll be summer employment opportunities. You're a little too young right now but we should stay in touch because I think we have a future for you.

Walsh: Thank you so much. That means the world to me.

Hardesty: Thank you for being here.

Walsh: Have a good rest of your day.

Hardesty: I will, now.

Walsh: Bye.

Hardesty: Mayor, thank you so much for that. That concludes the testimony.

Wheeler: Very good. Colleagues, I'll start by asking if anybody has questions of staff?

Commissioner Mapps.

Mapps: I'd like to address issues raised by the human access project before we get into that I'd like background if staff on losing the burnside bridge I don't know how to wrap my mind around that.

Neill: We had a team of consultant evaluate the impact on other bridges due to the burnside being out so long. It did not result in Carmageddon and seemed okay and it's about people finding new ways to where they need to go and we're committed up working closely with the other agencies advancing mega projects in the region like the rose quarter project is within our vicinity and will work with the urban mobility office so collectively we do not shut down traffic in the central city. And will about our consultant team to address question maybe with more on the analysis and I'll ask our design team lead to provide additional details that may be helpful.

Steve Drahot: Sure. Mayor, council members. I'm happy to be here. I'm not smarter than Megan but a reality of a bridge of a temporary bridge it has to be moveable think of erector sets that can move. Because of that type of bridge it would have only been one lane in direction and the comparison of one lane in each direction to using other bridges didn't am to the kind of traffic impacts one would have thought when we first started the analysis. In truth, we're very lucky to be in a city that has a robust set of bridges so the impact was res than originally anticipated and saves the construction time by a year and a half because the way you build you have windows which would have taken another year and a half of overall impact. Big picture wise it's better from an economical and sustainable standpoint to get the

bridge project done as fast as possible without a temporary bridge. Not the finding we were expecting when we start the study.

Mapps: I buy the argument it's not worth the effort of a temporary bridge. The point of the question is how will it impact businesses adjacent to the bridge or whose commerce depends on transit - restaurants and bars which suddenly don't see the kind of traffic or something. I don't know how to think of the scope of the impact here.

Neill: It's a great question. We are fortunate to work with the adjacent businesses and stakeholders over five years. We did a \$20 million maintenance project and narrowed the traffic down. We've been working with key businesses likely to see impact like the skate park and the hub of social service providers and salvation army and mercy corps. We have collaborated with them and figured out ways to adjust the design of our project to ensure that their operations, for example, the social service providers will continue to operate as they do today. We've moved walls. We know how critical the flow of people in and out of for example, is key to the fire safety permits and don't want to block certain doorways and we still have 24/7 business to their access and kept the skate park as close as possible and we have generated close relationships and building on established trust in order to do the best we can to have the least impact from the project.

Mapps: I'm thinking about future members of council who were in office when this was being built and some said you effectively killed their businesses and I'd like to develop strategies before we get to that moment. Another quick question and I'll turn it over to my colleagues who have their hands up and this may be a PBOT question, this project seems to boil down to taking five lanes of traffic turning it into three. It depends on how you configure things. How is traffic going to be different on the burn it is side bridge and related spaces before and after this project?

Sharon Daleo: That's something we're working with the county on and we have traffic models looking into methodologies to how merges would work on each end of the bridge. So far what we're seeing is promising so what the traffic impact or lack thereof would be spilling back from the burnside bridge. Also looking at the reversible action.

Mapps: So will wait times get longer or shorter?

Daleo: Potentially a little bit longer.

Mapps: Okay. Thank you.

Hardesty: Last year using another mode of transportation that gets you there quicker as the end of the answer to that question. Thank you, Mayor. First I wanted to go back to Commissioner Pederson started us in this conversation talking about the importance of the Burnside bridge to the city of Portland and there's in doubt about that. I know there has been a lot of testimony between the elevator and ramp and it sounds like something happened that's changed I am unaware of. Commissioner Mapps gave us \$20,000 during the fall BuMP to study the ramp versus the elevator action that had been moving forward. Has something changed in that process? Are we looking at both or just the ramp now?

Neill: The county has evaluated both ramp and elevator options. We have heard strong preference for the ramp. In our supplemental D.I.S. We will present all the data analyzed from both options but not making decisions now and partnering with the city explore it further in the design phase and excited about the commitment from the city to fund developing a cost estimate so we can go out and find funds for full construction. Patrick, is there anything you'd like to add to that statement?

Sweeney: Megan, I think you nailed it. We're looking forward to collaborating with the county team in the next step of final design and digging into the option between improvements to the esplanade and support for the water access. We have lots to look forward to.

Hardesty: I want to be sure the work we do will fit into the overall design. That's in partnership with the project.

Sweeney: Yes, the efforts that PBOT is undertaking we'll work and collaborate with the county to help move all this forward.

Hardesty: I want to say none of us know what the future of the water front is today and I don't think anybody in this Burnside ready bridge project is anticipating us having that vision fully laid out between now and as we move forward. One of the things I've learned in transportation is how long it takes and where we break ground to make something happen. I'm excited about the potential short term and long term and appreciate the partnership with the county because again we're doing something to be ready so when the quake happens we'll be able to expand it but we also are creating opportunity for what is possible as we

move forward in the future and think about how we make river access available for all abilities all the time in the city of Portland. I'm excited about where we are and thank you very much for that clarification.

Wheeler: Thank you, Commissioner Hardesty.

Ryan: Thank you for the testimony. We heard a lot from the hap community and I have a hard stop in 10 minutes so I want to get things on the record. First, I'm all in for the ramp. I was happy to see reversible lanes as part of the choices. We have been to metropolitan lanes in other areas and great we're entering that century and saw the flow models are favoring east. I assume because the data was for more flow going east. Is that correct? There's always 3-1 or 3-2. Yet there's a lot of the towards downtown in the evening because of arts, activities, and such. I hope we continue to look at that and make sure we're clear it's a safe model. The study on the lane for busses, I recall they run about every 15 minutes is that correct or more frequently?

Daleo: There's three lines the 12, 19 and 20 and I'm not sure what each of their head ways are but with the project they did show an improvement in reliable.

Ryan: Great. Just want to make sure we have more study. The last thing we want is if there's one lane not moving and -- I just don't know the frequency so it'd be great to have that data in pondering ta and the deeper we go to the bed rock with the pillars. No cost cutting for the infrastructure for the big one and thank you Commissioner Pederson for being here. I know I can speak for I'm sure everybody we're all in to be partners on the project. It's a big deal. Anyway, I don't know, are we voting soon? I don't know what's happening?

Wheeler: No one else has their hand raised so I have a couple questions after you're done.

Ryan: I'm done.

Wheeler: So the vote we are taking today with regard to the it IGA does what specifically? What are we agreeing to today and locking?

Sweeney: The IGA amendment does two things. One, it adds 12 months to the terms of the current IGA because our current IGA is about to expire December 31. We have to add time to extend the terms. And then two is if we're adding time, we have to add resources for city staff time to work on the project and parks and PBOT and BDS and Portland fire and rescue and

everyone. Adding 12 months and adds compensation for city staff for the time they it add for the additional 12 months.

Wheeler: And Patrick what is the total fiscal?

Sweeney: \$500,000 of potential compensation for charges from city staff.

Wheeler: Got it. So we are not locking in at all in terms of structure or design or scenarios discussed today. Is that accurate?

Sweeney: Accurate. This an IGA decision in front of you. There's no project design decisions that's not happening today. That will be a future council moment.

Wheeler: Got it. Good. Then I won't ask questions. I'll put a couple comments out there because they're not directly related to the IGA before us today. One, I want to thank Commissioner Pederson for being here and giving us the county perspective and thanks for being here. Number two, I would like us to also think about this project in the larger context. This is but one of many bridges that it cross the Willamette river are a small part of a set of regional infrastructure facilities and I'm wondering if this is a 10-year project a billion-ish dollars, are we think globally about all the projects before us and do we have a million for all the projects before us? Number three, there's a massive funding gap on this project and we have to be honest about it. The city and county worked together. We put our piece into this and this will not happen without state and federal support and need to talk about what that required support is going to be and have to understand we're asking for that support at the same time this bi-state discussion around the Columbia river bridge is being discussed and requires a heavy lift on our state and federal partners. We need to start having some concerted effort to discuss with state and federal partners how we'll do both projects simultaneously and last but not least or second to last I want to pick up where Commissioner Mapps left off. We're talking about cost savings I think you said \$165 million in saving by shrinking the width of the bridge but I want to remind everyone population growth estimates continue it to show the state of oregon and metropolitan will continue to be destinations and even if people reduce reliance on vehicles we could still have more on the road and there may be zero emission vehicles but there will still be a demand for transportation and I'm hoping as we think about infrastructure and not think five or 10 years or 15 years out but mindful of the fact our grandchildren will be old age before the bridge is replaced again. Last but not least

this bridge is central. There's cost savings on the bridge and I support all those but a lot of the grand original designs of the bridge went by the wayside in favor of a very utilitarian span in that particular case was fine but a little bit uniqueness in the bridge is a worthy expenditure and I would hope we continue to think about that as we go forward. We're building for 150 years, let's build something indeed as iconic as the city in which we live and express the creativity and innovation we want to see front and center right smack dab in the middle of our city. I hope we don't cut too many corners in the name of cost savings when there's an ancillary benefit to being iconic in this moment. Anybody else have questions before we call roll? Seeing none, please call the roll.

Clerk: Mapps.

Mapps: Let me start by thanking the county for their leadership on this project. I also want to express my appreciation to staff for their informative overview and I also appreciate all the testimony that we heard from the public today. I think what we heard is a resounding census and everyone agrees this is a mission critical project. I think everyone also agrees it's important to be mindful of equity and river access and in charge of environmental services there couldn't be a better time to pursue access to the river. Thanks to the wisdom of the people here before us. The river is cleaner today than it has been at any time in our lifetimes and given some projects it will be cleaner in the future. This is the perfect moment to make sure Portlanders have access for recreation and meditation. I want to express my appreciation to the county for taking this ramp piece seriously. It sound like the right way and for those reasons and many others I'm glad to vote aye.

Clerk: Rubio.

Rubio: I want to appreciate the many advocates and especially the enthusiasm of Willie Levenson and the human access project and the community members that have come to city council to testify. The burnside bridge is clearly a critical part of our infrastructure and especial in the case of a seismic event. We need to take care of this Portland feature. The multiple voices of advocates and stakeholders are helping shape this and even how we talk about the project. It's great and necessary to get it right for the community. It's also great to see so many cross-jurisdictional and sector partnerships taking place to reflect the community needs. I look forward to seeing the outcome of the ramp study and eager to look at the

funding plan and how we're going to enlist the help of the state and federal government. Thank you for the work and happy to vote aye.

Clerk: Ryan.

Ryan: I made a lot of my comments. I have to go. I have to say aye. I'm excited to move it forward. Aye.

Clerk: Hardesty.

Hardesty: Well, I certainly want to start by thank it will Commissioner Pederson for being here today and being a strong partner with me since my day as Commissioner in charge of transportation. The Mayor asked earlier who is talking about all these transportation projects. The answer is we are. We serve on JPAV together and on the i-5 bridge replacement committee together and also are part of the rose quarter project and talking about the 82nd avenue project. If there's a table where there's a transportation issue, I can count on Commissioner Pederson to be there and support the values we share as the city and county. It has been a pleasure bridging this to this point. The city is so committed. The city committees our DMV revenue sources for eternity from the beginning of this project and we did it without hesitation and so yes we have put our money where our mouth is. Are we done? Not even close and I want to thank willie and the human access project. I love that man. Anybody you can say no to 500 times and still smiles at you and comes back with new ideas, that's the kind of energy I love to see in the city of Portland so I'm happy to vote aye.

Clerk: Wheeler.

Wheeler: This was far more entertaining than I thought it would be and I love the depth and breadth of public testimony. Willie, I always appreciate your vision. Your vision is a bold one. We were having fun earlier in my Mayoral term. I swam my ballot across the the river. I did that because I wanted to make a point. The river has been a chasm that divides east, west and on in other ways as well. Willie and others always had a vision the river should be a gathering place and that brings us together if the divides us. The way that manifests is through new opportunities for people to come and gather at the river even swim in the river or just come and feel there's a space where people can spend a lot time, meditate, play with their family. Whatever they want to do. It's also a place where the people swim with the fish. That is the greatest way of putting it succinctly and we look at the way the city accesses and views our

water front. It's an area where people come together and our habitat can continue to grow and thrive. Willie, you've been the linchpin of that. I appreciate it. And I've appreciated being a part of it. With regard to the earthquake ready burnside bridge project writ large it's necessary for creating safe access for Portland residents not only during time of emergency but every day. This is a project that will provide critical infrastructure for emergency services, first responders to provide communication and support to our region both on a daily basis as well as during that long anticipated certainly to happen mega event. The burnside bridge also presents an opportunity to activate the east bank esplanade and highlight the carbon reduction goals and options and continue to beautify the city through intentional architecture and place makings as I indicated earlier. We have a long way to go and acknowledge that and must continue to work together and this IGA lays the foundation for city and county to continue its great partnership on the project. Thank you to Multnomah county and the city's transportation bureau for collaborating on this important effort and thanks to you, Commissioner Hardesty representing the city of Portland and thank you for all you to continue to find the funding to complete the project. We can't go this it alone or it will not happen. I look forward to the continued partnership with Multnomah county and happy to support this it emergency ordinance. I vote aye. The ordinance is approved. Thank you all. Keelan, that completes our business this afternoon?

Clerk: Yes, it does.

Wheeler: Good thanks everybody. Have a wonderful rest of the day. We are adjourned.

At 4:03 PM, Council adjourned.