

Ray Mabey, Assistant Program Administrator

Jake Warr, Equity Lead



Interstate Bridge Replacement Program

May 23, 2022



Purpose of this briefing

- City and IBR staff are here today to provide PSC members with project overview
 - City Council Work Session held May 10 all Commissions and Committees are being briefed
 - City Council Modified LPA Resolution scheduled for July 6.
 - Concurrence from project partners (Metro, TriMet, Cities of Vancouver and Portland, Ports of Portland and Vancouver, and C-Tran) is needed to advance to the Supplemental Draft Environmental Impact Statement phase
 - PSC feedback is welcomed, no formal action required, help inform City Council decision on Modified LPA Resolution
 - We will be back to seek your input and feedback in future phases of the project



Initiating IBR efforts

- **Bi-state Memorandum of Intent signed by Governors** Brown and Inslee in November 2019
- \$90 million in combined funding dedicated by OR and WA as of March 2022
 - Move Ahead Washington revenue package allocates \$1 billion to fund Washington's share of the anticipated cost needed to complete the **IBR** program
- Bi-state legislative committee oversight and guidance to shape program work
- ODOT and WSDOT are jointly leading the program work in collaboration with eight other bi-state partner agencies:
 - TriMet

Interstate

- C-TRAN
- Oregon Metro
- SW WA Regional Transportation Port of Vancouver Council
- City of Portland
- City of Vancouver
- Port of Portland



Photo courtesy of Office of Governor Kate Brown



Program Timeline





Purpose and Need



Safety: Narrow lanes, no shoulders, poor sight distances, bridge lifts, and substandard ramp merging and diverging contribute to accidents.



Earthquake vulnerability:

In a major earthquake, the bridge would likely be significantly damaged, potentially beyond repair.



Impaired freight movement: Congestion and bridge lifts slow down freight carrying goods along I-5, a critical economic trade route on the West Coast.



Congestion: Over 143,000 vehicles crossed the Interstate Bridge each weekday in 2019, resulting in 7 to 10 hours of congestion during peak travel times.



Inadequate bike &pedestrian paths: Narrow shared-use paths, low railing heights, and lack of dedicated pathways impede safe travel.



Limited public transportation: Limited transit options and existing bus service can be unreliable due to traffic congestion and/or bridge lifts.



Oversight and Advisory Groups





Recommendations **411** Oversight/Guidance

Regular briefings on program work and advisory group recommendations

NOTE: Location on graphic does not indicate hierarchy. This diagram is intended as a high-level overview and does not show all engagement points.

IBR is Supportive of City Climate Action Plan

Climate Action Plan (2015)

- Reduce emissions
- Increase access to multiple modes of transportation
- Improve efficiency of freight
- Recover waste generated
- Reduce risks of flooding

Climate Emergency Declaration

- Carbon neutrality by 2050

- IBR has a goal to contribute to reduced GHG emissions. Major elements include:
 - Multimodal options, major transit and active transportation investment
 - Demand management
 - Reducing construction-based emissions
 - Reducing GHG in maintenance and operations



Consistency with City Plans

Comprehensive Plan (2020)

States goals for safety, interconnected system, equitable transportation

Transportation System Plan (2020)

- I-5 bridge project listed in the TSP
- Pricing Options for Equitable Movement
 - City will center climate and equity outcomes
- Hayden Island Plan (2009)
- Bridgeton Neighborhood Plan

IBR supports these plans

- Transit investment
- Active transportation investment
- Reduce congestion
- Improve safety
- Manage demand with tolling and other measures
- Regarding tolling:
 - Variable pricing will be a key component of IBR to manage demand.
 - IBR is committed to evaluating equitable tolling structures.



Recommended Locally Preferred Alternative



Modified Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA)

What it is

- High-level identification of the foundational components of an alternative such as mode, alignment, and other improvements
- Specialized term for projects with a transit component and/or pursuing CIG funding (compared to preferred alternative in other NEPA documents)
- Early agreement by local agencies

What it's not

- Fully defined alternative evaluated in the SDEIS
 Conceptual design that integrates the fundamental components into a corridor-wide alternative
- Final design

•

- Fundamental concepts will be refined through a stepwise design process (e.g., 30%, 60%, 90%, Issue for Construction)
- The end of technical analyses
 More analysis and opportunities to shape what gets built
- Final approval
 - More opportunities to develop and approve final program components







Centering Equity & Climate



Equity and climate are key priorities

- Maximize benefits and minimize burdens for equity-priority communities
 - Black, Indigenous, and People of Color (BIPOC); people with disabilities; communities with limited English proficiency; persons with lower income; houseless individuals and families; immigrants and refugees; young people, and older adults
- Center equity-priority community engagement and feedback
- Support state climate goals of reduction in greenhouse gas emissions and air quality improvements
- Improve infrastructure resilience to future climate disruptions



How IBR is centering climate

- Adaptive and Resilient Design
 - Heat and Smoke
 - Storms and Flooding
- Infrastructure and Operations to Support GHG Reductions
 - High-capacity transit
 - Variable rate tolling
 - Active transportation improvements
 - Local street network connections
 - Congestion reduction and safety improvements
- Construction
 - Minimize GHG during construction (e.g., construction equipment, materials)
 - Evaluate and address localized air quality effects on workers and neighborhoods



14

How IBR is centering equity

- Grounding the program in the **history** of the river, the I-5 corridor, and the region
- Principal Equity Officer (Johnell Bell) who leads an Equity Program team
- An Equity Advisory Group to guide the program towards equitable processes & outcomes
- Inclusive and intentional community engagement strategies
- Equitable procurement & contracting
- Robust demographic analysis to inform program design and strategies to further equity
- Language accessibility & inclusion
- Accessibility & inclusion for people with disabilities
- DEI education & training for IBR program staff



Equity Advisory Group (EAG)

Purpose is to provide laser-focus on project's potential impacts and benefits for marginalized and underserved communities.

- Helps to fulfill IBR leadership's commitment to prioritize equity throughout the course of the program
- Monitors and provides oversight of equity throughout program in all elements
- Makes recommendations to the IBR Program Administrator regarding the program's processes, policies, and decisions that have the potential to impact communities of concern (either positively or negatively)
- 18 meetings since January 2021
- Going forward, the EAG will advise on development of a Community Benefits
 Agreement, equity analysis as part of the SEIS, workforce and contracting practices,
 and community engagement strategies



Community Advisory Group (CAG)

- The CAG is representative of community members with balanced membership from both Oregon and Washington.
- 24 members of the CAG represent organizations that were identified in coordination with IBR program partners and 8 members were selected through an application process that was open to the public.
- Provides input and makes recommendations to help ensure the program outcomes reflect community needs, issues and concerns.
- Two co-chairs, one representing each state, lead the group's diverse and inclusive membership and are members of the IBR program's Executive Steering Group (ESG)
- 20 meetings since January 2021
- Going forward, CAG will advise the program on bridge aesthetics, urban design, community benefits agreements, and other bridge design elements that the Executive Steering Group (ESG) requests community feedback on. CAG will make formal recommendations to ESG when and where necessary.



Community Engagement



Outreach + Notification

- 100,000 postcards mailed to zip codes within program area
- Monthly e-newsletter reaches 6,000+ subscribers
- Digital, print, and radio advertisements in multiple languages
- Media outreach
- Social media

Engagement Methods

- Virtual public meetings
 - Advisory groups meet at least once a month with opportunities for public comment
- Community working groups
 - Focus groups with 80+ community members
- Online open house and community briefings during key milestones
- Surveys
 - Two surveys complete with 18,700+ responses received
- Ongoing community presentations
- Listening sessions



Community Engagement By the Numbers

Total Survey Responses

Translated in 10 languages Targeted outreach to equity-priority communities ADA member test group

60

Listening Session Participants

55 BIPOC
 129 Youth & lower income

30

- **30** People with disabilities
- 🕹 93 Multilingual

Community Working Groups

Community Briefings

Print, online, and radio advertisements

Community-based Organization Partners

Direct outreach to 300+ organizations In-person canvassing to 35 locations

Engaged with community members in **10 Languages**

6,756

Reached monthly through the Interstate Bridge Replacement Newsletter



Equity-Priority Engagement Feedback

Tribal Nations (government-to-government consultation)

 Concerns include impacts to natural and cultural resources, in particular fisheries and habitat loss and mitigation, as well cultural sites in and around the Fort Vancouver area.

BIPOC Listening Sessions

- Participants reported using transit most frequently (~60%) to travel across the bridge, others carpool, and few use single-occupancy vehicles
- Expressed reduction in trip frequency due to job loss

People Living with Disabilities Listening Sessions

- High interest for accessible and dependable transit options
- Concern about construction signage and notices along with signs on new bridge



20

Equity-Priority Engagement Feedback

Youth and People Living with Lower Income Listening Session

- Strong environmental impact concerns
- Support infrastructure that promotes high-capacity transit and low-stress active transportation options

Limited English Proficiency (LEP), Immigrants, and Refugees Listening Sessions

- Concerns around how tolling will be implemented equitably
- Safety and congestion relief are top priorities
- Desire for dedicated lanes for freight or transit
- Support active transportation and high-capacity transit options
- Several people expressed support of a stacked bridge alignment option





Timelines and Next Steps



Timeline

This summer

- Gather feedback from program partner boards, councils, and commissions regarding recommended Modified LPA
- Executive Steering Group consider adoption of Modified LPA recommendation
- Bi-state Legislative Committee consider and respond to Modified LPA

Fall/winter 2022

- Begin SDEIS process
- Update conceptual finance plan

2023-2025

- Additional tolling and funding discussions as part of the 2023 legislative sessions
- Anticipate applying for federal grant funding opportunities
- More detailed analysis of project impacts and potential mitigation strategies





For more information contact:

info@interstatebridge.org 360-859-0494 or 503-897-9218 888-503-6735 https://www.interstatebridge.org

Follow us on social: @IBRprogram





Questions?

www.interstatebridge.org