



Portland Metro, Oregon, Measure 26-210, Income and **Business Taxes for Homeless Services (May 2020)**

A income and business tax measure was on the ballot for Portland Metro voters in Multnomah, Clackamas, and Washington counties in Oregon, on May 19, 2020.[1]

A "yes" vote supported authorizing a 1% tax on household income above \$200,000 and individual income above \$150,000 and a 1% profit tax on businesses with gross receipts higher than \$5 million to fund homeless services with the taxes expiring in 2030.

A "no" vote opposed authorizing a 1% tax on household income above \$200.000 and individual income above \$150,000 and a 1% profit tax on businesses with gross receipts higher than \$5 million to fund homeless services with the taxes expiring in 2030, thereby maintaining the marginal tax rate at 9.9% for household income above \$250,000 and individual income above \$125,000.

A simple majority was required for the approval of Measure 26-210. The income tax was designed to be on resident and non-resident income earned within the Metro area. Metro officials estimated the combined revenue of the income and business taxes to be \$248 million per year. The tax would take effect in 2021 and expire in 2030. [2]

Measure 26-210 required that the revenue raised by the income and business taxes 2022 statewide measures already divided according to the proportion expected to be received from the three countie certified for the ballot.

Portland Metro Measure 26-210



Election date

May 19, 2020

Topic

Join us to discuss the 2021 and

nd Local mak

Washington County was set to receive 33.3 percent, and Clackamas County was set to receive 21.3 percent. A 20-member oversight committee was set to be formed to conduct and publish annual financial audits.^[2]

List of Oregon measures

Submit



Election results

Portland Measure 26-210					
Result	Votes	Percentage			
✓ Yes	299,517	57.98%			
No	217,091	42.02%			



Results are officially certified.

Source

Text of measure

Ballot question

The ballot question was as follows:[1]

Should Metro support homeless services, tax income over \$200,000/\$125,000 (joint/single), profits on businesses with income over \$5 million?^[3]

Ballot summary

The ballot summary was as follows:[1]

Measure funds supportive housing services to prevent and reduce homelessness in Washington, Clackamas, and Multnomah counties within district boundaries. Prioritizes services to address needs of people experiencing, or at risk of, long-term or frequent episodes of homelessness. Services funded by a marginal income tax of 1% on households with income over \$200,000 (over \$125,000 for single filers) and a business profits tax of 1%. Income tax applies to resident income, and to non-resident income earned from sources within district. Exempts businesses with gross receipts of \$5 million per year or less. Declares funding for homelessness services a matter of metropolitan concern, directs regional funding to local services agencies, requires community engagement to develop localized implementation plans. Allocates funds to counties by estimated revenue collected within each county. Establishes community oversight committee to evaluate and approve local plans, monit

community oversight committee to evaluate and approve local plans, monit processes a Requires creation of tri-county homeless services coordination plan. Requires prince and audits. Metro administrative and oversight costs limited to 5%. Requires 2030. [3]

Join us to discuss the 2021 and 2022 statewide measures already certified for the ballot.

nas. 1der* af

9

Full text

The full text of the measure is available here.

Support

Here Together Coalition led the campaign in support of Measure 26-210.[4]

Supporters

- Governor Kate Brown (D)^[5]
- U.S. Senator Jeff Merkley (D)^[5]
- U.S. Congressman Earl Blumenauer (D)^[5]
- U.S. Congresswoman Suzanne Bonamici(D)^[5]
- State Treasurer Tobias Read (D)^[5]
- Multnomah County Chair Deborah Kafoury^[6]
- Multnomah County Commissioner Susheela Jayapal (District 2)^[7]
- Metro Council President Lynn Peterson^[1]
- Metro Councilor Shirley Craddick^[1]
- Metro Councilor Christine Lewis^[1]
- Metro Councilor Craig Dirksen^[1]
- Metro Councilor Juan Carlos Gonzales^[1]
- Metro Councilor Sam Chase^[1]
- Metro Councilor Bob Stacey^[1]
- Former Portland Trailblazer Bill Walton^[8]

Arguments

• Craig Dirksen, Metro Portland councilman said, "In my district in southern certified for the ballot. homelessness crisis may look different than in downtown Portland, but no part of the prisis.

Join us to discuss the 2021 and 2022 statewide measures already

... Every part of this region has a major gap to fill when it comes to getting people the help we need."[2]



- Stan Amy, Gun Denhart, and Tom Kelly, founders of the Oregon Business Association, said, "The 1% tax on large businesses is a reasonable and fair approach—and the need is undeniable. That is why, as business leaders in our region, we urge voters to say "yes" to Measure 26-210. The companies to be taxed are only those with over \$5 million in sales a year. As a result, this measure exempts 94% of all businesses in the region; most notably small and medium-sized businesses."[9]
- Michael Sterner, the housing services program manager at El Programa Hispano Católico, said, "[1]t is essential to have assistance funds that can be used throughout the Metro area. We have had to deny assistance to families in need because they are in neighboring counties for temporary shelter or due to a pending court proceeding. Funds available throughout the Metro area would allow us to help those families, too."[10]

Official arguments

The following arguments in support of the measure were included in the 2020 Voters' Pamphlet provided by Here CareOregon, Health Share of Oregon, Kaiser Permanente, Legacy Health, and Providence Health & Services - Oregon: [5]

As leading nonprofit health care providers in the Portland metro region, we know that housing is health. Without a safe, stable place to call home, it's nearly impossible for our patients to focus on basic health and medical needs, and for our doctors and nurses to keep them healthy.

Patients who are homeless have a higher rate of hospital admissions and emergency room visits, while also suffering from poorer health outcomes and higher mortality rates. This disparity is even more devastating during the COVID-19 public health crisis we are confronting.

While some of us are business competitors, we are all in the business of keeping people healthy. That's why we support Measure 26-210 - we are all in this together, to serve people experiencing homelessness in our community. In Oregon and across America, we and other health care organizations are investing in housing development. supportive services, safety-net clinics, community health workers, and much more.

Here in the metro region, we are working collaboratively with government and nonprofit partners to address a key driver of health - housing instability and homelessness - to improve the health and well-being of the communities we serve. As major health care systems, we won't back down from our commitment to helping house and care for vulnerable people in our community. But with thousands of families and individuals experiencing or at risk of



Alliance for an Affordable Metro led the campaign in opposition to Measure 26-210.[11]

Opponents

- Taxpayer Association of Oregon^[5]
- Oregon Small Business Association^[5]
- Cascade Policy Institute^[5]
- Northwest Grocercy Association^[12]

Arguments

• **Joe Gilliam**, president of Northwest Grocery Association, said, "Retail sales are down. Small businesses are boarding up, and they're saying 'Too bad for you. We're going to tax you now."^[12]

Official arguments

The following arguments in opposition to the measure were included in the 2020 Voters' Pamphlet provided by Cascade Policy Institute:

Join us to discuss the 2021 and 2022 statewide measures already certified for the ballot.

Media editorials

See also: 2020 ballot measure media endorsements

Support

Portland Mercury said: "The brainchild of numerous housing and homeless advocacy groups, the measure would use
tax dollars to expand already-existing supporting housing programs in the region. ... It's on us to offer our most
vulnerable neighbors a path forward."^[13]

Opposition

• **Portland Tribune** said: "Every taxpayer-supplied penny available — and likely more — will be needed over the next two years just to retain existing, critical state and local services such as education and health care. For this reason, we cannot support Metro's Measure 26-210, which would raise money for wrap-around services for people who are homeless."^[14]

Background

Homeless population in Portland Metro

A 2019 report by Portland State University Homelessness Research & Action Collaborative and Northwest Economic Research Center found that an estimated two percent of the area's population or 38,000 people were homeless at some point in 2017. In January 2019, more than 75 percent of the homeless population in the Portland Metro area had a disability. Compared to other metropolitan areas, Portland had the fifth-highest number of homeless individuals per 1,000 residents with 5.86. Cities with higher rates than Portland included New York City, San Francisco, Boston, and Washington. D.C. [2][15][16]

Funding for homeless services on the ballot

In 2020, Ballotpedia covered local measures that appear on the ballot for voters within the top 100 largest cities in the U.S. Ballotpedia also covered all local measures in California and all statewide ballot measures. Below is a list of local ballot measures concerning homeless services since 2016 within Ballotpedia's scope:

- Anchorage, Alaska, Proposition 9, Alcoholic Beverage Sales Tax for Behavioral Health, Homelessness Services, and Prohibited Campsite Removal Charter Amendment (April 2019)
- San Francisco, California, Proposition C, Gross Receipts Tax for Homelessness Services (November 2018) 🗸
- Denver, Colorado, Initiated Ordinance 301, Mental Health, Substance Abuse, and Homelessness Services Sales Tax (November 2018)
- San Francisco, California, Proposition D, Commercial Rent Tax for Housing and Homelessness Services (June 2018) 🗸
- Emeryville, California, Measure C, Bonds for Housing and Homelessness Services (June 2018) 🗸
- Dallas, Texas, Homeless Service Facilities Bond Issue, Proposition J (November 2017) ✓
- Los Angeles County, California, Sales Tax for Homeless Services and Prevention, Measure H (March 2017) 🐦
- San Francisco, California, Homeless Services and Transportation Funds Amendment, Proposition J (November 2016) 🐦

Path to the ballot

See also: Laws governing local ballot measures in Oregon

This measure was put on the ballot through a unanimous vote of the Portland Metro Council on February 25, 2020. [1][2]

See also

Local measures



- Local measures in 2020
- Local income tax on the ballot
- Local business tax on the ballot
- Multnomah County measures
- Clackamas County measures
- Washington County measures

Oregon



- May 19, 2020 measures in Oregon
- Local ballot measures, Oregon
- Portland Metro, Oregon

News and analysis



- State and local preemption conflicts
- Measures advancing national agendas

External links

- Search Google News for this topic
- Multnomah County Elections Office website



ootnotes

- 1. Multnomah County Elections, "Measure 26-208 Ballot Language," accessed April 6, 2020
- 2. Oregon Metro, "Measure 26-210: Supportive housing services," accessed April 6, 2020
- 3. Note: This text is quoted verbatim from the original source. Any inconsistencies are attributable to the original source.
- 4. HereTogether Coalition, "Home," accessed April 6, 2020
- 5. Multnomah County, "2020 Voter Guide," accessed April 10, 2020
- 6. HereTogether Coalition, "Public Testimony: Multnomah County Chair Debora
- 7. "HereTogether Coalition, "Public Testimony: Commissioner Susheela Javapal, February 25, 2020 Only the first few references on this page are shown above. Click to show more.

Join us to discuss the 2021 and 2022 statewide measures already

certified for the ballot.

Ballotpedia features 321,054 encyclopedic articles written and curated by our professional staff of editors, writers, and researchers. Click here to contact our editorial staff, and click here to report an error. Click here to contact us for media inquiries, and please donate here to support our continued expansion.

Join us to discuss the 2021 and 2022 statewide measures already certified for the ballot.



3/8/2021

	GET ENGAGED	2021 ELECTIONS	ELECTION RESOURCES	GOVERNMENT	POLITICS
	Front Page	U.S. Congress special	Sample Ballot Lookup	U.S. President	Biden Admin. Cabinet
	Sample Ballot Lookup	elections	Election Calendar	U.S. Congress	Administrative State
	Who Represents Me?	Governors	Election Results	U.S. Supreme Court	Supreme Court Cases
	Email Updates	State Executives Signature requirements/deadlines State Legislatures	Ballotpedia's Candidate Connection	Federal Courts	State Trifectas
	Ballotpedia Events			State Executives	State Triplexes
	Donate to Ballotpedia		Poll Opening and Closing Times	State Legislatures	Influencers
	Other Ways to Engage	State Legislative Specials	State Voter ID Laws	State Courts	Redistricting
	Job Opportunities	Ballot Measures	Early Voting	State Ballot Measures	Polling Indexes
	Report an Error	State Judges	Absentee Voting	Local Ballot Measures	
		Municipal Officials	Online Voter Registration	Municipal Government	
		School Boards	Ballot Access	School Boards	
				Local Courts	
				Recalls	

PUBLIC POLICY ABOUT US About Ballotpedia Responses to coronavirus Index of Contents Overview Budget Scope of Ballotpedia Campaign Finance History of Ballotpedia Civil Liberties Contact us Media Inquiries Education Election Advertise with Ballotpedia **Endangered Species** Ballotpedia Staff Energy **Neutrality Policy Privacy Policy** Environment Editorial Independence Finance Healthcare General Disclaimer Immigration Ad Policy Pension

Redistricting



Voting