Ezone Map Correction Project

Attachment 1: Decision Table

This table includes staff proposed amendments to the Proposed Draft Ezone Map Correction Project. Staff recommend voting on the amendments as a group, unless commissioners would like to pull an item for discussion.

ID	Discuss	Торіс	Explanation	Staff recommendation	Staff rationale
A		Site Visit Corrections	Attachment A is a set of maps that show updates to the feature mapping of tree canopy and/or streams based on 20 site visits conducted between July 10, 2021 and September 28, 2021.	Amendment. Update the Natural Resources Inventory to incorporate the results of site visits, as shown in Attachment 2.	Site visits have been conducted to verify the location of streams, tree car mapping methodology is found in the 2012 Natural Resources Inventory 2035 Comprehensive Plan. A summary of the methodology is provided <u>Draft Volume 3</u> . After the feature mapping is updated, the existing stre policies will be used to adjust the ezone boundaries to match.
В	•	Methodology Clarification	Volume 1B, Section C describes mapping approaches related to the application of ezones in specific situations such as when there is also a scenic corridor present. This amendment would add another approach that explains how the ezones are applied when there has been an approved land use review or when there has been a violation.	Amendment. Update Volume 1B, Section C, to add a new subsection C.4 Approval Land Use Reviews and Violations, as shown in Attachment 3.	Staff have used a consistent approach to addressing application of ezon land use review or violation. These approaches are already reflected in Ezone Map App and in the document maps. Staff are recommending ac documents to provide transparency. Staff use approved Environmental Reviews to manually adjust the locat reflect the decisions. Environmental Reviews often result in approved in mitigation. The ezone boundaries should reflect those decisions. Sometimes natural resources are altered without the necessary permits Staff use aerials and other information to determine where the natural the violation to ensure the updated ezone boundaries do not reward ac These situations are rare, and staff work with Bureau of Development C the ezones are correctly applied.

	PSC Vote
canopy and steep slopes. The	🗆 Yes
bry, adopted as factual basis for the d in the Ezone Project Proposed	🗆 No
ream and forest canopy protection	
ones when there is an approved	🗆 Yes
in the results displayed through the adding the approaches to the	□ No
ation of the proposed ezone to I impacts to natural resources with	
its, which constitutes a violation. al resources were located prior to actions like illegal removal of trees. Code Enforcement to make sure	

Ezone Map Correction Project

D	-	Corroct Manning	Correct the manning protocol	Amendment 1. Correct mapping	There are two documents that list manning protocols that apply in rece
В	•	Protocol	Correct the mapping protocol descriptions for resource site SW16 and	protocol description on page 63 of	There are two documents that list mapping protocols that apply in reso <u>Summary of Results</u> and <u>Volume 2 Part C</u> . The description of the mappi
		description for	EB11 to match the ezone mapping	Volume A: Summary of Results to	documents contain errors. In the case of Volume A, the error is a typo,
		Resource Site	methodology that is being applied by	read:	the error is the omission of the word, "wetland." In this resource site, a
		SW16	the GIS model that generates the proposed ezones.	Land between 50 and 25 75 feet of streams and wetlands; conservation (c)	land that is between 50 and 75 feet of streams and wetlands. This resource site, a correctly applied in the draft ezones that are posted on the online map contained in the project documents. The proposed changes to the word document descriptions into line with the proposed policy.
			The Ezone Map App and printed maps in the documents are correct. This	and page 270 of Volume 2 Part C to read:	
			amendment updates the document to match the maps.	3. Outside Marshall Park, apply a conservation overlay zone ('c' zone)	
				to land between 50 and 75 feet of	
l				stream top-of-bank and wetlands;	
				and within areas of forest	
				vegetation that are contiguous to	
				but more than 75 feet from stream	
				top-of-bank <u>and wetlands</u> .	
				Amendment2. Correct mapping protocol description on page 76 of Volume A: Summary of Results to read: Forest vegetation on <u>steep</u> slopes	There are two documents that list the mapping protocols that apply to a <u>Summary of Results</u> and <u>Volume 2 Part E</u> . The description in Volume A correction zone should be applied in areas where mapped forest vegeta are mapped as having slopes that are 40% or greater. This description is rules that are applied by the computer program that generates the prop
				(25% or greater); greater than 40% in steepness protection (p)	applying 'p' zone to forest vegetation that intersects with slopes that ar protection decision is correctly applied in the draft ezones that are post maps that are contained in the project documents. The proposed change
				and page 144 of Volume 2 Part E: Results to read:	bring the document descriptions into line with the proposed policy.
				 Apply a protection overlay zone (p zone) to areas of forest 	
				vegetation on steep slopes (25% or greater).	

