

Gulizia, Andrew

From: Faye Weisler <faye@jeffnet.org>
Sent: Tuesday, February 16, 2021 12:11 PM
To: Gulizia, Andrew
Subject: Re: LU 20-134213 AD appeal

This email is my last rebuttal in the appeal of the above referenced land use decision. In the applicant's February 8, 2021 letter to the City, she stated:

"Without this approval, livability of the house is significantly reduced. It will look and feel like a dungeon under a viaduct, and hardly accessible to full sunlight because most of it will be below street grade."

This statement is not correct. The lot slopes down towards the east and will have many hours of unobstructed sun exposure. I took two pictures to show this. One picture is of the lot from my living room, just before noon on this mostly cloudy day. Even with the little sun we have on a winter (short and low sun) day, you can see the sun gleaming off the snow on her lot. The second picture shows that once she takes down the trees which will evidently be required to build the home, the home will have unobstructed exposure to the sun for much of the day. I do not know how much the house will be blocked from the sun once the sun is setting in the west, but it seems she will still have quite a bit of sun until the sun sets low in the sky.

There is nothing about a lower height that will be unappealing 'from the street'. There is nothing about a lower height that will 'negatively impact(s) surrounding development, (or) future livability'. The applicant is left with her original claim that the height is wanted only to maximize economic benefit which is not a criterion for granting an adjustment.

Thank you,

Faye Weisler



