

To the Honourable Common Council of the City of Portland,

While I do not desire to retard any public improvement, still, being the guardian of Ruth A. Lounsdale, ~~minor~~ child, and heir to Nancy Louns-
dale, I am required to notify you that by the
decree of the Circuit Court, my ward is the owner
of the land to the center of a line through the center
of Alder Street, supposing the same to be extended
through what is ^{but erroneously} commonly known as Park
Blocks, ^{or Park Streets} or in other words, Alder Street cannot be
opened, or extended, beyond the westerly line of
Blocks 212 & 213 in this city, without trespassing
upon the private property of my said Ward
Ruth A. Lounsdale.

Portland Oregon }
Oct 23^d 1867. }

Respectfully Yours:—

John W. Blanchard
Guardian of Ruth A. Lounsdale.

J. A. Blanchard
Guardian

Filed Oct. 23. 1887
W. S. Baldwin
Auditor & Clerk

S & P

S & P

To the Hon. Judiciary Committee of
the City of Portland:

I have carefully examined
the questions informally submitted
to me in relation to the Claim of the
City, to Park Avenue, its streets, in
that portion of the City known
as the Agency Lonsdell Tract. I
will at this time ^{as I have not time to prepare an opinion} simply state that
the Conclusion I have arrived at,
is that there is not sufficient proof
of dedication on the part of Agency
Lonsdell to bind her heirs, and
that the City can only hold Streets
in the portion of said Tract set off
upon partition to D. H. Lonsdell or
his representatives, which is the decree
in the partition suit of McCartin Gillham
vs. Leveitt et al. I am also of the
opinion that the City is bound by
the decree in that suit.

Portland April 18th 1856

Respectfully Yours
J. N. Dolph City Atty

Opinion of atty
relative to opening Parks
& Rep of Com on Judiciary