

#### Grant Criteria Public Feedback - Summary

Thank you for providing input on the Draft PCEF Grant Scoring Criteria. Your feedback is important for ensuring that PCEF's grant application process accommodates a variety of project sizes and types, meets legislative intent, and is manageable for applicants.

This survey is divided into seven sections: four address specific grant types and three address additional general organizational background and feedback questions. This includes detailed questions for Planning grants, Small grants, and Large grants, as well as questions about grants specific to Workforce and Contractor Development projects.

You have the option of skipping sections if they do not apply to your organization or you choose not to respond to that section. We anticipate that this survey will take between 10-40 minutes to complete, depending on which sections are skipped. This survey does not have a "save" function and must be completed in one session.



#### PCEF Grant Criteria Public Input

#### Planning Grant Feedback

The purpose of PCEF Planning grants is to support eligible applicants to develop high quality projects that are designed to achieve the climate and justice goals of the program. Planning grants can cover a wide range of activities including, but not limited to, time for coordination and collaboration, research and project development, and hiring technical consultants to provide project plan recommendations. Planning grants are intended to be used to develop projects that could be funded by future PCEF grants. However, an award of a planning grant does not ensure future PCEF funding.

Planning grants may be up to \$100,000 per project, have a duration on one year, and have been allocated approximately \$1.5 million in the 2020 round of funding.

Details about the scoring criteria related to Planning grants is available here : <u>Draft PCEF Planning Grant Scoring Criteria</u>

1. Would you like to provide feedback on PCEF Planning Grants
○ Yes
No (Skip to Small Grant Input)
CLEAN ENERGY COMMUNITY BENEFITS FUND
PCEF Grant Criteria Public Input
Planning Grant Feedback
Questions in this section are organized by application section: Organizational Capacity, Project Description and Scope, Future Project, Experience and Qualifications of Project Team, and Budget.
Details about the scoring criteria related to Planning grants is available here: <u>Draft PCEF Planning</u> <u>Grant Scoring Criteria</u>
If you would like to provide overall feedback about Planning grants, you can skip to the bottom of this page.
1. Organizational Capacity: The Organizational Capacity criteria are clear and easy to understand.
Strongly agree
Agree
Neutral
Disagree
Strongly disagree
2. I or my organization would be able to answer the Organizational Capacity questions.
Strongly agree
Agree

Neutral

Disagree

Strongly disagree

	3. The Organizational Capacity points are allocated fairly and support the goals of PCEF.
	Strongly agree
	Agree
	Neutral
	Disagree
	Strongly disagree
4.	Any questions, concerns, or suggestions to improve the Organizational Capacity criteria or scoring?
	5. <b>Project Description and Scope:</b> The Project Description and Scope criteria are clear and easy to understand.
	Strongly agree
	Agree
	Neutral
	Disagree
	Strongly disagree
	6. I or my organization would be able to answer the Project Description and Scope questions.  Strongly agree
	Agree
	Neutral
	Disagree
	Strongly disagree
	7. The Project Description and Scope points are allocated fairly and support the goals of PCEF.
	Strongly agree
	Agree
	Neutral
	Disagree
	Strongly disagree

8.	Any	questions, concerns, or suggestions to improve the Project Description and Scope criteria or scoring?
	9. F	<b>Future Project</b> : The Future Project criteria are clear and easy to understand.
		Strongly agree
		Agree
		Neutral
	$\bigcirc$	Disagree
	$\bigcirc$	Strongly disagree
	10	I or my organization would be able to answer the Future Project questions.
		Strongly agree
	0	Agree
		Neutral
	$\bigcirc$	Disagree
	$\bigcirc$	Strongly disagree
	11	The Future Project points are allocated fairly and support the goals of PCEF.
		Strongly agree
		Agree
	0	Neutral
		Disagree
		Strongly disagree
12	2. An	y questions, concerns, or suggestions to improve the Future Project criteria or scoring?

13. <b>Exper</b> easy to un	ience and Qualifications of Project Team: Experience and Qualifications criteria are clear and iderstand.
Strongl	y agree
Agree	
Neutral	
Disagre	ee e
Strongly	y disagree
14. I or my	organization would be able to answer the Experience and Qualifications questions.
Strongly	y agree
Agree	
Neutral	
Disagre	ee e
Strongl	y disagree
Strongly Agree Neutral Disagre	
16. Any ques	tions, concerns, or suggestions to improve the Experience and Qualifications criteria or scoring?
17. Budge	et Criteria: The Budget criteria are clear and easy to understand.
Strongl	y agree
Agree	
Neutral	
Disagre	pe
Strongl	y disagree

18. I or my organization would be able to answer the Budget questions.
Strongly agree
Agree
Neutral
Disagree
Strongly disagree
<ul><li>19. The Budget points are allocated fairly and support the goals of PCEF.</li><li>Strongly agree</li></ul>
Agree
Neutral
Disagree
Strongly disagree
20. Any questions, concerns, or suggestions to improve the Budget criteria or scoring?
21. Taken together, the Planning Grants criteria provide the opportunity to adequately describe my project and how it supports PCEF goals.
Strongly agree
Agree
Neutral
Disagree
Strongly disagree
22. Overall, the Planning Grants scoring seems fair and is likely to identify projects that advance the goals of PCEF.
Strongly agree
Agree
Neutral
Disagree
Strongly disagree

23. Any additional comments, questions, or suggestions regardir	ng the Planning Grant criteria and scoring?



#### PCEF Small Grant Feedback

This section provides an opportunity to provide feedback on the Small Grants scoring criteria. Small grants are up to \$200,000 per project and can last up to 3 years. These grants can fund project activities in all funding categories: clean energy, workforce and contractor development, green infrastructure and regenerative agriculture, and future innovation.

Details about the scoring criteria for Small grants can be found here: <u>Draft PCEF Small Grant Scoring Criteria</u>

1. \	Would :	you	like to	provide	input	on	the	Small	grant	criteria
------	---------	-----	---------	---------	-------	----	-----	-------	-------	----------

Yes

No (Skip to Large Grant input section)





### PCEF Grant Criteria Public Input

#### Small Grant Feedback

Questions in this section are organized by application section: Organizational Capacity, Project Description and Scope, Environmental Benefits, Social Benefits, Workforce and Contractor Benefit, and Budget.

Details about the scoring criteria related to Small grants is available (link)

If you would like to provide overall feedback about Small Grants, you can skip to the bottom of this page.

1. Organizational Capacity - The Organizational Capacity criteria are clear and easy to understand
Strongly agree
Agree
Neutral
Disagree
Strongly disagree
2. I or my organization would be able to answer the Organizational Capacity questions.
Strongly agree
Agree
Neutral
Disagree
Strongly disagree
3. The Organizational Capacity scoring points are allocated fairly and support the goals of PCEF.  Strongly agree
Agree
Neutral
Disagree
Strongly disagree
Any questions, concerns, or suggestions to improve the Organizational Capacity criteria or scoring?
4. <b>Project Description and Scope:</b> The Project Description and Scope criteria are clear and easy tunderstand.
Strongly agree
Agree
Neutral
Disagree
Strongly disagree

	i. I or my organization would be able to answer the Project Description and Scope questions.
	Strongly agree
	Agree
	Neutral
	Disagree
	Strongly disagree
	6. The Project Description and Scope scoring points are allocated fairly and support the goals of PCEF.
	Strongly agree
	Agree
	Neutral
	Disagree
	Strongly disagree
7. /	ny questions, concerns, or suggestions to improve the Project Description and Scope criteria or scoring?
	8. Environmental Benefits: The Environmental Benefits criteria are clear and easy to understand.
	Strongly agree
	Strongly agree  Agree
	Strongly agree  Agree  Neutral
	Strongly agree  Agree  Neutral  Disagree
	Strongly agree  Agree  Neutral
	Strongly agree  Agree  Neutral  Disagree
	Strongly agree  Agree  Neutral  Disagree  Strongly disagree
	Strongly agree  Agree  Neutral  Disagree  Strongly disagree  I or my organization would be able to answer the Environmental Benefits questions.
	Strongly agree  Agree  Neutral  Disagree  Strongly disagree  I or my organization would be able to answer the Environmental Benefits questions.  Strongly agree
	Strongly agree  Agree  Neutral  Disagree  Strongly disagree  I or my organization would be able to answer the Environmental Benefits questions.  Strongly agree  Agree

	10.	The Environmental Benefits scoring points are allocated fairly and support the goals of PCEF.
		Strongly agree
		Agree
		Neutral
		Disagree
	$\bigcirc$	Strongly disagree
1:	1. Any	y questions, concerns, or suggestions to improve the Environmental Benefits criteria or scoring?
	12.	Social Benefits: The Social Benefits criteria are clear and easy to understand.
	$\bigcirc$	Strongly agree
	$\bigcirc$	Agree
	$\bigcirc$	Neutral
	$\bigcirc$	Disagree
	$\bigcirc$	Strongly disagree
	13.	I or my organization would be able to answer the Social Benefits questions.
	0	Strongly agree
	$\bigcirc$	Agree
	$\bigcirc$	Neutral
		Disagree
		Strongly disagree
	1.4	The Coniel Deposite anning points are allocated faight and appropriately and property the greats of DCFF
	14.	The Social Benefits scoring points are allocated fairly and support the goals of PCEF.  Strongly agree
		Agree
		Neutral
		Disagree
		Strongly disagree

15. Any questions, concerns, or suggestions to improve the Social Benefits criteria or scoring?
16. Workforce and Contractor Benefit: The Workforce and Contractor Benefit criteria are clear and easy to understand.
Strongly agree
Agree
Neutral
Disagree
Strongly disagree
17. I or my organization would be able to answer the Workforce and Contractor Benefit questions.
Strongly agree
Agree
Neutral
Disagree
Strongly disagree
18. The Workforce and Contractor Benefit scoring points are allocated fairly and support the goals of PCEF.
Strongly agree
Agree
Neutral
Disagree
Strongly disagree
19. Any questions, concerns, or suggestions to improve the Workforce and Contractor Benefit criteria or
scoring?

	25. Overall, the Small Grants scoring seems fair and is likely to identify projects that advance the goals of PCEF.
	Strongly agree
	Agree
	Neutral Neutral
	Disagree
	Strongly disagree
26	5. Any additional comments, questions, or suggestions regarding the Small Grants criteria and scoring?
	OFFI AND

**CLEAN ENERGY**COMMUNITY BENEFITS

### Large Grant Feedback

This section provides an opportunity to provide feedback on the Large Grants scoring criteria. Large grants are greater than \$200,000 and up to \$1,000,000 per project and may last up to 5 years. Large grants can fund project activities in all funding categories. The application is more rigorous given the size of the grant investment.

Details about the scoring criteria for Large grants is available here: <u>Draft PCEF Large Grant Scoring Criteria</u>

1. Would you like to provide input on the Large Grant Section?
Yes
No (Skip to Workforce and Contractor Development Grant Questions)



### PCEF Grant Criteria Public Input

Large Grant Feedback

Questions in this section are organized by application section: Organizational Capacity, Project Description and Scope, Environmental Benefits, Social Benefits, Workforce and Contractor Benefit, and Budget.

Details about the scoring criteria related to Large Grants is available here: <u>Draft PCEF Large Grant Scoring Criteria</u>

If you would like to provide overall feedback about Large Grants, you can skip to the bottom of this page.

1. <b>Organizational Capacity:</b> The Organizational Capacity criteria are clear and easy to understand.
Strongly agree
Agree
Neutral
Disagree
Strongly disagree
2. I or my organization would be able to answer the Organizational Capacity questions.
Strongly agree
Agree
O Neutral
Disagree
Strongly disagree
O. The Committee of Committee with a sixty on all and of fittle and assessed the small of DOFF
3. The Organizational Capacity points are allocated fairly and support the goals of PCEF.
Strongly agree
Agree
O Neutral
Disagree
Strongly disagree
4. Any questions, concerns, or suggestions to improve the Organizational Capacity criteria or scoring?

	Project Description and Scope - The Project Description and Scope criteria are clear and easy to lerstand.
	Strongly agree
	Agree
	Neutral
	Disagree
$\bigcirc$	Strongly disagree
6. I	or my organization would be able to answer the Project Description and Scope questions.
$\bigcirc$	Strongly agree
	Agree
	Neutral
	Disagree
$\bigcirc$	Strongly disagree
	The Project Description and Scope points are allocated fairly and support the goals of PCEF.  Strongly agree  Agree  Neutral  Disagree  Strongly disagree
8. Any	questions, concerns, or suggestions to improve the Project Description and Scope criteria or scoring?
9. <b>E</b>	Environmental Benefits: The Environmental Benefits criteria are clear and easy to understand.
	Strongly agree
	Agree
	Neutral
	Disagree
	Strongly disagree

10.	I or my organization would be able to answer the Environmental Benefits questions.
	Strongly agree
	Agree
	Neutral
	Disagree
	Strongly disagree
11.	The Environmental Benefits points are allocated fairly and support the goals of PCEF.
	Strongly agree
	Agree
$\bigcirc$	Neutral
	Disagree
	Strongly disagree
L2. An	ny questions, concerns, or suggestions to improve the Environmental Benefits criteria or scoring?
13.	Social Benefits: The Social Benefits criteria are clear and easy to understand.
0	Strongly agree
0	Agree
	Neutral
	Disagree
$\bigcirc$	Strongly disagree
14.	I or my organization would be able to answer the Social Benefits questions.  Strongly agree
	Agree
	Neutral
	Disagree
	Strongly disagree

-	L5.	The Social Benefits points are allocated fairly and support the goals of PCEF.
(	$\bigcirc$	Strongly agree
(	$\bigcirc$	Agree
(	$\bigcirc$	Neutral
(	$\bigcirc$	Disagree
(	$\bigcirc$	Strongly disagree
16.	Any	questions, concerns, or suggestions to improve the Social Benefits criteria or scoring?
		Workforce and Contractor Benefit: The Workforce and Contractor Benefit criteria are clear and easy to erstand.
(	$\bigcirc$	Strongly agree
(	$\bigcirc$	Agree
(	$\bigcirc$	Neutral
(	$\bigcirc$	Disagree
(	$\bigcirc$	Strongly disagree
<u>1</u>		or my organization would be able to answer the Workforce and Contractor Benefit questions.  Strongly agree
(		Agree
(		Neutral
(		Disagree
(		Strongly disagree
_	19. <sup>-</sup>	The Workforce and Contractor Benefit points are allocated fairly and support the goals of PCEF.
(	$\bigcirc$	Strongly agree
(	$\bigcirc$	Agree
(	$\bigcirc$	Neutral
(	$\bigcirc$	Disagree
(		Strongly disagree

20. In what ways, if any, might this workforce and contractor equity section be modified to ensure that goals for the proposed project can be adequately assessed while ensuring the application process is as user-friendly as possible?
21. Any additional questions, concerns, or suggestions to improve the Workforce and Contractor Benefit criteria or scoring?
22. <b>Budget Criteria:</b> The Budget criteria are clear and easy to understand.
Strongly agree
Agree
Neutral
Disagree
Strongly disagree
23. I or my organization would be able to answer the Budget questions.
Strongly agree
Agree
Neutral
Disagree
Strongly disagree
24. The Budget points are allocated fairly and support the goals of PCEF.
Strongly agree
Agree
Neutral
Disagree
Strongly disagree
25. Any questions, concerns, or suggestions to improve the Budget criteria or scoring?

	Taken together, the Large Grants criteria provide the opportunity to adequately describe my project and vit supports PCEF goals.
	Strongly agree
	Agree
	Neutral
	Disagree
	Strongly disagree
27. PC	Overall, the Large Grants scoring seems fair and is likely to identify projects that advance the goals of
	Strongly agree
	Agree
	Neutral
	Disagree
	Strongly disagree
28. An	y additional comments, questions, or suggestions regarding the Large Grant criteria and scoring?



### Workforce and Contractor Development Grant Feedback

Funding requests for workforce and contractor development are submitted as part of a small grant or large grant application; however, they are scored differently and have a different allocation of points across the scoring categories. For example, because there is only one question in the environment category the overall weight of this category is lower.

Information about the Workforce and Contractor Development grants can be found here: <u>Draft PCEF Workforce-Contractor Grant Scoring Criteria</u>

For Workforce and Contractor Development grant applications, please answer the following:

<ol> <li>Overall, the scoring for Workforce and Contractor Development seems fair and is likely to identify projects that advance the goals of PCEF.</li> </ol>
Strongly agree
Agree
Neutral
Disagree
Strongly disagree
2. Any additional comments, questions or suggestions regarding criteria and scoring for Workforce and Contractor Development grant applications?
CLEAN ENERGY COMMUNITY BENEFITS FUND
PCEF Grant Criteria Public Input
Organizational Interest Questions
These questions are intended to get input on the proposed application processes, and to better understand the types of projects that organizations are interested in.
PCEF grant applications can only be submitted by non-profit organizations, however, they may do so

in partnership with other non-profit entities, government entities or for-profit businesses.

Do you think you (or your organization) will submit an application for year one or partner on an application n a non-profit in year one?
Yes – plan to submit a grant application as the lead non-profit organization
Yes – plan to partner with a non-profit organization to submit a grant application
Undecided
No plans to submit a grant application as a lead or partner organization



# Organizational Questions (continued)

1. What are the reason(s) you will not be applying for a PCEF grant in year one? (check all that apply)
Our organization is not eligible to apply
The project my organization has in mind is not eligible
Not ready to apply at this time, but plan to apply in the future
Application seems too difficult
Grant would require too much work for the amount of funding sought (e.g., time to complete application, reporting, evaluation)
Timing of grant doesn't fit our organizational needs
Think there won't be enough funds for year one
Want to see how this round goes before applying
Other (please describe):
PORTLAND —



# PCEF Grant Criteria Public Input

# Organizational Questions (continued)

1. Which of the following types of grants are you considering applying for? Application Support Grants are
anticipated to be released July 2020. The full RFP for Planning, Small and Large grants will be released in
August 2020, and proposals will be due in October 2020. Mini-grants are anticipated to be released in 2021
Check all that apply, and note that this survey only addresses Planning, Small, and Large grants.
Application Support Grant (up to \$5,000)

Mini-grant (up to \$5,000)

Planning Grant (up to \$100,000)

Small Grant (less than \$200,000)

Large Grant (\$200,000 to \$1 million).

2. Which of the following funding types are you considering applying for? (Check all that apply)
Energy Efficiency or Renewable Energy
Workforce or Contractor Development
Green Infrastructure or Regenerative Agriculture
Innovation
3. 2.4. Which of the following methods are you most likely to use to submit an application for a PCEF grant (this year or in future years)?
Complete an online application
Email a word document or PDF
Mail a hard copy of the application.
CLEAN ENERGY COMMUNITY BENEFITS FUND
PCEF Grant Criteria Public Input
Public Comment Process Questions
1. How did you hear about this public comment period?
PCEF listserv
Other (please describe
2. Did you feel comfortable giving this public comment?
Yes
No: If no, we'd love your feedback on how we can make this better.
3. How could we have made the public comment process more open and inclusive for you and your community?



### Organizational or Individual Representation

The following information helps us understand whether we are effectively reaching the diversity of our community and PCEF's priority populations.

1. Are you commenting as: (check one)
An individual community member, representing yourself
On behalf of a organization (nonprofit, business, government entity).





### PCEF Grant Criteria Public Input

# 0

rganizational Representation
1. Which of the following best describes your organization? Select all that apply:
Registered nonprofit
Business
Government
Community group not registered as a nonprofit
Other (please describe)
2. Does the group your are commenting for serve any of the priority population(s) identified in the ballot measure? Check all that apply.
Low-income community
People of color
Women
People experiencing chronic underemployment
People with disabilities

3. Are there any additional communities that you	r group serves? (please list)
Would your organization need application r	materials translated?
No	
Yes (if so, in what languages)	
PORTLAND — CLEAN ENERGY COMMUNITY BENEFITS FUND	
PCEF Grant Criteria Public Input	
Individual Representation	
The following information is optional. This intreaching the diversity of our community and	formation helps us understand whether we are effective
Which of the following represent your ident     American Indian or Alaska Native	Latinx or Hispanic
Arab or Middle Eastern	Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander
Asian	Immigrant
Black or African American	White
Prefer to describe	Willie
Freier to describe	
2. What is your home zip code? (optional)	
3. What is your gender identity? (optional)	
Woman	
Man	
Prefer to describe	

4. What is your age	e?		
<18 years		50-64 years	
18-29 years		65+ years	
30-49 years			
5. Do you identify a	as someone who experiences chro	nic underemployment (optional)	
☐ ¶ Yes			
□ No			
	as a person with disabilities?		
☐ Yes			
□ No			
日本社会   一   1	PORTLAND  EAN ENERGY  MUNITY BENEFITS  FUND		
PCEF Grant Crit	teria Public Input		
Final Stretch - Sum	mary and Contact Options		
	your response in a summary section tinclude information about your r	_	priority populations?
Yes			
No			
your name and/or the	ts and responses are not attributed name of the group you represent h d and allows us to follow-up with ar	nelps us understand who we have	=
Name:			
Business/Organization:			
Email/phone:			
3. Do you want to s  Yes  No	sign up for the PCEF news and pro	gram updates?	

4. Thank you so much for providing input to the PCEF Grant Criteri	a! Is there anything else you would like to
tell us?	
	]