DOZA Design Standards

		_	
Pub	IC.	Rea	lm

·				Public Realm
Standard #	Page #	Standard Title	Proposed Amendment	Rationale / Discussion
	Public Ground F	Realm - 33.420.050.	C	
PR1	45	Ground Floor Height - Reqd.	 Consider the following amendments for discussion with Commission 3x3: 1. Lower ground floor height requirements for certain situations such as on side streets and consider the impact that ground floor height requirements can have on meeting overall height limits. 2. Allow a certain percentage (up to 25%) of area of ground floor to be under the minimum height. 3. If a ground floor height minimum is kept, the woridng for both PR1 and PR2 should always state "at least" to distinguish minimum heights. 	The discussion at PSC approached this from several angles. First, the requirement can create an added expense for smaller 1-2 story development. Second, the required height could push a building beyond the maximum height allowance if the height bonuse isn't also triggered, potentially disallowing the top floor. Third, this shouldn't be a one-size standard. Requirements on Main Street corridors should be different from requirements on side streets. Fourth, with support beams, maintaining the internal ground floor height for 100% of the ground floor may be difficult to achieve.
PR2	45	Ground Floor Height - 3 pts.	See above. Note that this optional standard is for points and may be more relevant on corridors.	See above for discussion. PR1 and 2 should be discussed as a package.
PR3	45	Ground Floor Commercial Space - 2 pts.	Add language that this optional standard only applies to sites outside the m-overlay.	PSC discussed whether it is appropriate to regulate the use within the building. However, since it is an optional standard, the working group was in agreement
PR4	45	Affordable Ground Floor Commercial Space - 2 pts.	Amend language to require the letter from PDC stating that the space meets their requirements.	PSC discussed whether standards should address space programming but agreed to keep standard as is with amendment which was proposed by staff. Note that PDC reference must remain until City Charter changes to Prosper Portland.
PR5	47	Oversized Street-Facing Opening - 2 pts.	Make the following changes: Use language from ground floor window standards that limit what the overhead door may look into (i.e. in addition to utility, garbage and parking, also limit mechanical and bike parking. Reduce this standard to one point	These amendments came out of the working group discussion on 1/2 to better ensure opening is to an active part of the use
PR6	47	Louvers and Vents - Reqd.	Ensure this applies to mechanical louvers, not mail slots and use term "louvers and vents" throughout. Per Design Commission, ensure that color of louver is same as adjacent material Verify that 2-ft max from ground is feasible	Initial PSC amendment was augmented during the working group discussion to ensure compatibility and feasibility
PR7	47	Exterior Lighting - Reqd.	 Make the following changes: 1. remove the 'hanging' sentence from the end of the 3rd bullet. 2. on 3rd bullet just state that lights can only project downward. 3. remove the 4th bullet as 33.262 already applies a glare standard to other properties. 	These are generally typos and technical amendments to clarify intent

Decision	Category
Postpone decision until discussion with 3x3.	Hold for 3 x3 Discussion
Postpone decision until discussion with 3x3.	Hold for 3 x3 Discussion
Amend language	Consent

DOZA Design Standards Public Realm

		Public Realm							
Standard #	Page #	Standard Title	Proposed Amendment	Rationale / Discussion	Decision	Category			
		Entry Plazas			1	ļ			
PR8	47	Main Entrance Location - Reqd.	No change		No change	No change			
PR9	49	Residential Entrance - 2 pts.	Make the following changes: 1. Increase to 3 pts. 2. Require 3 of 5 bullets to get the 3 pts. 3. Don't allow bedroom windows to face street. 4. Consider including private open space code provisions in base zone.	This provision can add value/liveability between the sidwalk and the private units, and should be worth more. But it should also have a higher bar to achieve. Also individual private open space should refer to base zone requirements.	Amend language	Consent			
PR10	49	Separation of Dwelling Entry from Vehicle Area - 2 pts.	Align open space provision with private open space requirements in base zone	There is less concern with relationship between units and parking lot, but private open space option should be consistent	Amend language	Consent			
PR11	49	Ground Floor Entry - Reqd.	Delete Requirement	PSC workgroup discussed this standard and whether it is truly an issue or create unintended consequences leading project to a forced design review.	Amend to Delete Standard	Consent			
PR12	51	Seating Adjacent to Main Entrance - 1 pt.	No change		No change	No change			
	51		Make the following changes: 1. Require 75% of plaza to be open to the sky (not blocked by overhanging buildings). 2. Use same dimensional language as base zone for common open area	Initially no change was proposed. PSC workgroup felt that the dimensional wording would benefit from being consistent with base zone open space wording. The workgroup also incorporated a concern from the Design Commission that the area could be covered, leading to amendment #1.	Amend language	Consent			
	51	Protection Weather Protection Minimum Requirements - Reqd.	Consider the following amendments for discussion with Commission 3x3: 1. The 4 weather protection standards may benefit from a structural reorganization for clarity, at least combining PR14 requirements with PR15-17. 2. Clarify what "other weather protection elements" may be or strike that language, and just focus on canopies and awnings. 3. Provide a maximum height to weather protection as well as the minimum. 4. Review whether entrance width of protection is adequate and whether more detail is needed for location on transit streets.	PSC workgroup was in agreement that the current layout of the weather protection standards was not clear. Clarity is also needed about if/when building projections such as bays and balconies can be incorporated into weather protection, or whether the language should focus on awnings and canopies. The workgroup directed staff to develop alternative language for discussion with the 3x3.	Postpone decision until discussion with 3x3.	Hold for 3 x3 Discussion			
PR15	51	Weather Protection at the Main Entrance - Reqd.	See above for PR14	See above for PR14	See above for PR14	See above for PR14			
PR16	53	Weather Protection Along a Transit Street - Reqd	See above for PR14	See above for PR14	See above for PR14	See above for PR14			
PR17	53	Weather Protection Along a Transit Street - 2 pts.	See above for PR14	See above for PR14	See above for PR14	See above for PR14			

BPS Working Document

N:\work\bps_bds\DOZA-2\DOZA-UnifiedProject\Proposed_Draft\Commission_meetings\WorkSession4\DZ_stds_amendments_Public_Realm_PSC_1-14-20_w-riveredits

DOZA Design Standards

Public Realm

. 1	Public Realm							
andard #	Page #	Standard Title	Proposed Amendment	Rationale / Discussion	Decision	Category		
	Utilities							
R18	53	Location of Utilities	Consider the following amendments:		Amend Language	Consent		
		- Reqd.	1. Identify radon mitigation equipment as a type of mechanical					
			equipment.					
			2. Provide a clarification regarding whether this applies to					
			equipment located at the ground floor or not.					
			3. Provide a more generic screening element (F2?) from the equipment as opposed to the requirement for a wall.					
			Equipment must still be accessible (gate?).					
			Equipment must still be accessible (gate !).					
R18	53	Location of Utilities	Amend the standard so that screening/setbacks of utilities	This amendment is intended to provide an additional contextual	Amendment to be	Discuss		
VEW)		- Reqd.	must also occur from major public trails such as the	reference to a public amenity that is most likely to be address with	discussed			
			Willamette River trail within the river setback in the Macadam	development in the 'd' overlay along the Willamette				
			plan district					
	Vehicle Aı							
R19	53	Pervious Paving Materials	Consider increasing the points to 3 points, but also apply this		Amendments to be	Discuss		
		- 2 pts.	option only to larger parking/ vehicle areas		discussed.			
				pervious paver space). The standard should only be applicable to sites				
				providing a certain threshold of paved area such as a minimum number				
				of parking spaces or a square footage of vehicle area.				
				(note that the BHBD project limited overall vehicle area to 30% and asphalt to 15% of site area within RM1-RM4 zones.)				
R20	55	Large Site Parking Setback	After discussion, the PSC should determine if this setback has	The PSC workgroup would like the greater commission to discuss the	Amendments to be	Discuss		
		- Reqd.	value, and what features would be allowed/ required within	benefits of setting back the parking and whether just a setback is	discussed.			
			the 10-ft or 25-ft setback. An alternative would be to create a	adequate without additional guidance/requirements of what features				
			more limited percentage of parking frontage than the 50%	would go in the setback. It is not clear if a utility/garbage/bike parking				
			allowance within the base zone.	building is a better use than a parking space				
R20	55	Lougo Cito Douking Cothook		This encoderant is intended to everyide the similar housefit to the new second	Amendment to be	Discuss		
NEW)	55	Large Site Parking Setback - Reqd.	Expand the setback requirement above to also require a setback from major public trails or the river setback,	This amendment is intended to provide the simlar benefit to the new standard listed und PR 18	discussed	Discuss		
		- Nequ.	depending on what option is easiest to measure from					
R21	55	Parking Areas - 1 pt.	Discuss this with other vehicle area items above.	The PSC workgroup wants to incorporate this parking standard with	Item to be discussed	Discuss		
				others above. Some members thought it might be a 'giveaway'				
				standard, while others saw the provision as a site planning incentive.				
22	55	Structured Parking and	No change		No change	No change		
\		Vehicle Areas - 2 pts.						
R23	55	Alternative Shading of	Include photovoltaic shade structures in the list. Verify that	The PSC workgroup agreed that including photovoltaic shade structures	Amend language and	Consent		
		Vehicle Areas - 1 pt.	requirements for tree shade calculations do not conflict with,	as a listed item would help to incentivize. There was concern with	research parking lot			
			or add to, the base zone tree calculations	conflicts between the base zone parking lot tree calculations and any	landscaping			
				design overlay standard calculations				

BPS Working Document

N:\work\bps_bds\DOZA-2\DOZA-UnifiedProject\Proposed_Draft\Commission_meetings\WorkSession4\DZ_stds_amendments_Public_Realm_PSC_1-14-20_w-riveredits

DOZA Design Standards Public Realm

Standard #	Page #	Standard Title	Proposed Amendment	Rationale / Discussion	Decision	Category
PR24	55	Original Art Mural - 1 pt.	Remove this standard	The PSC workgroup agreed with concern from the Design Commission that the original art mural's small minimum size and lack of content review could create undesirable results. The workgroup would rather the mural be part of a RACC review (see PR25 below)	Remove Standard	Consent
PR25	57		Amend the standard so that a RACC approved mural can qualify for the city-approved art installation	PSC workgroup agreed that the mural shoulg be part of a RACC program to get points. (see PR24)	Amend language	Consent
PR26	57	Water Feature - 1 pt.	Remove the sentence that the feature can be part of a BES stormwater feature, per BES request. If a facility can be	The PSC workgroup discussed whether to remove the stormwater language, remove the year-round provision, or to increase the size of the facility. Since 6-square feet can be large enough for a fountain, the decision was to just remove the language that BES requested by removed.	Amend language	Consent