Clerk Note 4/4/2018: Vote not called on this document.

IN THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF PORTLAND OREGON

IN THE MATTER OF AN APPLICATION BY Tim Wybenga, TVA Architects FOR A TYPE 3 Design Review with Modifications and Greenway Review at 1650 NW Naito Pkwy

LU 16-278621 DZM GW

FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS

ADOPTED BY THE CITY COUNCIL ON April 4, 2018

DENIAL of a TYPE 3 Design Review with Modifications and Greenway Review

IN THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF PORTLAND, OREGON

IN THE MATTER OF AN APPLICATION BY Tim Wybenga, TVA Architects FOR A TYPE 3 Design Review with Modifications and Greenway Review at 1650 NW Naito Pkwy

LU 16-278621 DZM GW

FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS

The findings and conclusions of the City Council in this matter are set forth below.

I. GENERAL INFORMATION

Appellant: Pearl District Neighborhood Association

c/o Jeffrey L. Kleinman, Attorney at Law

1207 SW 6th Ave Portland, OR 97204

Applicants/ Representative:

Tim Wybenga, TVA Architects Bonnie Chiu, TVA Architects

503-220-0668 971-998-9321

<u>Timw@tvaarchitects.com</u> <u>Bonniec@tvaarchitects.com</u>

920 SW 6th Ave #1500 Portland, OR 97204

Owner: Patrick Gilligan, Lincoln Property Company LLC

55 San Francisco St #450 San Francisco, CA94133

415-981-7878 Pgilligan@Lpc.Com

Site Address: 1650 NW NAITO PKWY

Legal Description: LOT 1-10 TL 400, WATSONS ADD

Tax Account No.: R883803450
State ID No.: 1N1E28DD 00400

Quarter Section: 2828,2829

Neighborhood: Pearl District, contact planning@pearldistrict.org.

Business District: Pearl District Business Association, contact Carolyn Ciolkosz at

503-227-8519.

District Coalition: Neighbors West/Northwest, contact Mark Sieber at 503-823-

4212.

Plan District: Central City - River District - North Pearl Subarea

Zoning: EXdg - Central Employment with Design and Greenway (River

General) Overlays

Case Type: DZM GW - Design Review with Modifications and concurrent

Greenway Review

Procedure: Type III – with a public hearing before the Design Commission.

The decision of the Design Commission can be appealed to City

Council.

II. INTRODUCTION AND PROCEDURAL HISTORY

Original Proposal: The applicants request <u>Design Review</u> and a <u>concurrent</u> <u>Greenway Review</u> for a proposed 17-story, approximately 305,538 square foot mixed-use building with a retail/restaurant space on the ground floor and residential on the upper stories in the North Pearl Subarea of the River District Subdistrict of the Central City Plan District. The site is adjacent to the Willamette River and is currently occupied by a surface parking lot adjacent to a three-story office building. A new plaza and open space area is proposed to be created between the new residential tower and the existing office building at the northwest edge of the site. This plaza and open space area will allow for public access to the Willamette Greenway trail from NW Naito Pkwy.

The proposed building includes several massing components: along the Willamette Greenway trail, the building is massed at five stories with a height of approximately 54'-0'. A roof deck will sit atop this massing and face the river. The five-story massing continues along the new plaza and open space area at the northwest side of the site and transitions into the 17-story tower as it approaches NW Naito Pkwy. This tower component, with a height of 175'-0" to the top of the parapet and 185'-0" to the top of a mechanical screen (please note, heights shown in the drawing package submitted to the Design Commission have since been revised slightly downward based on drawings submitted in Exhibit A-13, which will be provided to the Design Commission at the hearing), forms an "L" with its legs running along the new plaza and NW Naito Pkwy. At the southern corner of the site, the tower steps down to an 8-story mass, with a height of approximately 86'-0". At the eastern corner of the site and along the southeast property line, the building is massed as a single-story with a roof deck and eco-roof on top with a height of approximately 15'-0". Structured parking is proposed for the site on both the ground floor (9 spaces) and underground (140 spaces).

The proposed total floor area will exceed the base zone Floor Area Ratio (FAR) of 2:1 for this 72,080 SF site; with a proposed floor area of 305,538 SF, the proposed FAR would be approximately 4.24:1. The applicants propose to earn <u>bonus FAR</u> through a combination of the residential bonus option, locker room bonus option, and eco-roof bonus option.

- The residential bonus option in zoning code section 33.510.210.C.1.a.(2) allows for 1 additional square foot of floor area for each square foot of floor area developed and committed as housing, for a total possible bonus FAR of up to 2:1. The full bonus will be earned, as more than 144,160 SF of residential floor area is proposed.
- The locker room bonus option in zoning code section 33.510.210.C.8 allows for an additional 40 square feet of floor area for each square foot of floor area developed and committed to locker room facilities to encourage biking. The applicants propose to earn this bonus by providing a 380 SF locker room area on the ground floor of the building, connected to the long-term bicycle parking

- room. The applicants propose to provide 481 long-term bicycle parking spaces, with 206 in the bicycle parking room and an additional 275 in residential units.
- The eco-roof bonus option in zoning code section 33.510.210.C.10 allows for an additional two square feet of floor area for each square foot of eco-roof where the total area of eco-roof covers at least 30%, but less than 60%, of the building's footprint. The applicants propose to earn this bonus by providing a total of 18,217 SF of eco-roof out of a total of 56,231 SF or roof area (32.4% of total roof area).

Proposed cladding materials include clear glass, spandrel glass, flat metal panel, ribbed metal panel, fiber cement panel, brick, and concrete.

Seven (7) Modifications to zoning code development standards are requested:

- 1) 33.140.210.B.2 Height: To allow rooftop mechanical equipment and screening to extend above the height limit of 175' (per the North Pearl Subarea height opportunity area standards), to be located closer than 15' to the roof edges on street-facing facades and to cover more than 10% of the roof area. The proposed mechanical screen will enclose 73% of the tower roof area and will extend up to the parapet of the tower in some locations.
- 33.266.220.C.3.b Standards for all bicycle parking, Bicycle racks: To allow vertically-hung long-term bicycle racks to be spaced at 1'-4" x 6' rather than the required 2' x 6'.
- 33.510.205.H.2.c North Pearl Subarea height opportunity area:
 - To allow the height of the building to be 175'-0", exceeding the maximum allowed base height of 100'-0"; and,
 - To allow the length of facades above 100' to exceed 120' in length. Proposed façade length on the east and west facades of the building is 125'-2". Proposed façade length on the north and south facades of the building is 142'-8".
- 4) 33.510.251.C.3 Required open area development standards, Shadow standard: To allow more than 50% of the plaza area on the north side of the site to be covered by shadows at noon on April 21st of any year, not including shadows from trees. Approximately 84% of the plaza will be in shadow at noon.
- 5) 33.510.251.D.3.b & c North Pearl Subarea waterfront development standards:
 - b. Setbacks for all development from the Willamette River: To allow portions of the building over 35' in height to extend into the setback area, which requires the building to be set back from the Greenway setback line by 1' for every 1' of height above 35'. (These portions of the building are not yet clearly identified on the plan drawings.)
 - c. <u>Maximum building dimension</u>: To allow the building dimension to be 230'-9" in the east-west direction, i.e., perpendicular to the river, rather than the maximum allowed 200' dimension.
- 6) 33.140.240.B.4 Pedestrian Standards, EG1 and EX zones: To allow landscaping between the sidewalk and the building along NW Naito Parkway to be planted with groundcover and other low plants, which is less than the required L1 landscaping standard that requires trees to be planted in addition to groundcover.
- 7) 33.266.130.G.2.c [Parking Area] Setbacks: To allow required landscaping between the south lot line and the driveway leading from NW Naito Pkwy to the structured parking garage to be screened with 3-foot tall evergreen shrubs for a portion of its length and with a 3-foot tall concrete wall for the rest of its length instead of the required L2 landscaping (which consists of trees, shrubs, and ground cover). The proposed planted area will be 2 feet in width instead of the required 5-foot width.

In addition to the reviews described above, concurrent, but separate, Property Line Adjustment (PR 17-113983) and Lot Consolidation (LU 17-169109 LC) procedures are currently under review to separate the proposed development site from the rest of the larger parcel.

A Type III Design Review is required for proposed new development valued over \$2,223,650 in the "d" Design Overlay Zone of the River District Subdistrict of the Central City Plan District and for requested Modifications to zoning code development standards. Greenway Review is required for proposed new development in the "g" Greenway – River General Overlay Zone.

Relevant Approval Criteria: In order to be approved, this proposal must comply with the approval criteria of Title 33, Portland Zoning Code. The applicable approval criteria are:

- Central City Fundamental Design Guidelines
- River District Design Guidelines
- Willamette Greenway Design Guidelines
- 33.820.040, Modifications That Will Better Meet Design Review Requirements
- 33.440.350, Approval Criteria

Procedural History:

- The application was submitted on November 28, 2016.
- The applicants signed a waiver of their rights to a 120-day review period and requested a de novo/evidentiary hearing upon appeal on December 13, 2016.
- The applicants requested to deem the application complete on May 25, 2017 and requested to place the review on hold pending the completion of a Design Advice Request hearing. Please see Exhibit A-3 for details.
- Design Advice Request hearing EA 17-148879 DA was held on June 1, 2017.
- The applicants submitted revised drawings on July 21, 2017, responding to comments from the Design Advice Request hearing, and requested to schedule a Design Commission hearing date.
 - A hearing was scheduled for September 21, 2017.
 - Due to a large number of previously-scheduled cases and continued cases from earlier hearing dates, the hearing was then rescheduled to September 28, 2017.
- The staff report for the September 28, 2017 Design Commission hearing recommended denial. The applicants requested a continued hearing to be held on November 16, 2017.
- The staff report was not revised for the November 16, 2017 Design Commission hearing, and staff continued recommending denial based on outstanding interagency bureau coordination issues and lack of response to Guideline A5-4. The applicants requested a continued hearing to be held on November 30, 2017, to address massing issues raised by the Design Commission.
- A staff report recommending approval was published on November 30, 2017, and was be presented to the Design Commission on November 30, 2017.
- At the November 30, 2017 Design Commission hearing, two testifiers requested to hold the record open to allow for the submission of new evidence. The Commission agreed to hold the record open to allow for the submission of new evidence until Noon on December 8, 2017. Responses to this new evidence were to be received no later than Noon on December 15, 2017, at which point the record was closed to new evidence. The applicants were allowed to submit a final

- rebuttal before 5:00pm on December 18, 2017, and a closed record hearing was scheduled to be held on December 21, 2017.
- On January 11, 2018, the Pearl District Neighborhood Association filed a timely appeal of the Design Commission's decision.
- The City Council held a de novo public hearing on the appeal on February 21, 2018. The Council left the record open for further written submissions due by February 28, 2018, and March 6, 2018, the latter to consist of rebuttal only.
- On March 7, 2018, the City Council conducted its deliberations on the appeal.
 Commissioner Fritz moved, and Commissioner Fish seconded the motion, that the appeal be sustained and the applications herein be denied. The motion was adopted by a vote of 5-0.

III. ANALYSIS

Site and Vicinity: The subject site is located in the North Pearl Subarea of the River District Subdistrict of the Central City Plan District. It lies on the northeast side of NW Naito Parkway [Traffic Access Street, Community Main Street, Transit Access Street, City Walkway/Northwest Triangle Pedestrian District, City Bikeway, Major Emergency Response Street] and is bound on its eastern side by the Willamette River. The proposed building site is currently a parking lot which serves a three-story concrete office building—part of a two-building complex that lies between the Fremont Bridge on the site's northwest edge and a single-story warehouse on its southeastern edge.

A segment of the Willamette Greenway trail runs along the northeastern edge of the site along the seawall which forms the top of the bank of the Willamette River. The seawall and Greenway trail end at the southeast corner of the site; the natural bank cuts back in slightly on the property immediately to the southeast. As such, there is currently no Greenway trail connection between this property and the Greenway trail that begins again south of the Centennial Mills site and runs all the way to Riverplace at the south end of Downtown. The Greenway trail does, however, continue to the northwest from the subject site, under the Fremont Bridge, which looms over the entire area and is the dominant visual element, up to the old Terminal 1 South pier, where the trail turns towards NW Front Ave at a small cove and terminates again.

Multi-dwelling residential development comprises the built environment between NW Front Ave and the Willamette River on the northwest side of the Fremont Bridge. A couple small retail spaces are provided along the NW Front Ave frontage in that area. Across NW Front Ave, a new office complex, the Field Office, is currently under construction, and older industrial buildings still remain. Unlike in that area, the BNSF railroad runs immediately next to NW Naito Pkwy across from the subject site, leaving no opportunity for development on the southwest side of NW Naito Pkwy. Therefore, views to and from development and Fields Park at the north end of the Pearl District and the subject site are unobstructed by intervening buildings. The railroad also obstructs potential pedestrian and vehicular crossings—the only two lie at the intersections of NW Naito & 9th Ave and NW Naito & 15th Ave. Due to the paucity of connections and the height of the Fremont Bridge, which enables easy northwestsoutheast connections, the subject site and other development on the northeast side of NW Naito Pkwy/Front Ave (and the railroad tracks) feels like an individual, developing neighborhood, somewhat separate from the neighborhoods (Pearl District and Northwest District) to the southwest.

Zoning: The <u>Central Employment</u> (EX) zone allows mixed uses and is intended for areas in the center of the City that have predominantly industrial-type development. The intent of the zone is to allow industrial and commercial uses which need a central

location. Residential uses are allowed, but are not intended to predominate or set development standards for other uses in the area.

The "d" overlay promotes the conservation and enhancement of areas of the City with special historic, architectural or cultural value. New development and exterior modifications to existing development are subject to design review. This is achieved through the creation of design districts and applying the Design Overlay Zone as part of community planning projects, development of design guidelines for each district, and by requiring design review. In addition, design review ensures that certain types of infill development will be compatible with the neighborhood and enhance the area.

The <u>Greenway Overlay Zones</u>, designated as "g", "i", "n", "q" or "r" are intended to protect, conserve, enhance, and maintain the natural, scenic, historical, economic, and recreational qualities of lands along Portland's rivers; establish criteria, standards, and procedures for the development of land, change of uses, and the intensification of uses within the greenway; increase public access to and along the Willamette River for the purpose of increasing recreational opportunities, providing emergency vehicle access, assisting in flood protection and control, providing connections to other transportation systems, and helping to create a pleasant, aesthetically pleasing urban environment; implement the City's Willamette Greenway responsibilities as required by ORS 390.310 to 390.368; and implement the water quality performance standards of Metro's Title 3.

- The <u>River General</u> "g" allows for uses and development which are consistent with the base zoning, which allow for public use and enjoyment of the waterfront, and which enhance the river's natural and scenic qualities.
- The <u>River Industrial</u> "i" overlay encourages and promotes the development of river-dependent and river-related industries which strengthen the economic vitality of Portland as a marine shipping and industrial harbor, while preserving and enhancing the riparian habitat and providing public access where practical.
- The <u>River Natural</u> "n" overlay protects, conserves, and enhances land of scenic quality or of significant importance as wildlife habitat.
- The <u>River Water Quality</u> "q" overlay is designed to protect the functional values of water quality resources by limiting or mitigating the impact of development in the setback.
- The <u>River Recreational</u> "r" overlay encourages river-dependent and river-related recreational uses which provide a variety of types of public access to and along the river, and which enhance the river's natural and scenic qualities.

The <u>Central City Plan District</u> implements the Central City Plan and other plans applicable to the Central City area. These other plans include the Downtown Plan, the River District Plan, the University District Plan, and the Central City Transportation Management Plan. The Central City plan district implements portions of these plans by adding code provisions which address special circumstances existing in the Central City area. The site is within the River District Subdistrict of this plan district.

Land Use History: City records indicate that prior land use reviews include the following:

- <u>CU 066-78 (LU 78-002635 CU)</u> Conditional Use Review approval to construct a 111,930 SF warehouse within the Willamette Greenway.
- CU 020-83 (LU 83-001098, LU 83-001099) Conditional Use Review approval for excavation and fill and Willamette Greenway permit in an M1SW1 zone.
- ZC 4684 Area-wide rezone.
- <u>CU 091-85 (LU 85-003378 CU)</u> Approval of a 1985 conditional use for Phase I of Fremont Place only, for an approximately 56,000 square foot (office) building with a ratio of 3.5 parking spaces per 1,000 feet of building floor area, or 195

spaces. This included approval of a Type III review for compliance with Northwest Triangle District. Approval of a Conditional Use for fill exceeding 1,000 cubic yards in volume. Approval also of a Willamette River Greenway review and conditional use approval for a fill to implement the Greenway Trail.

- DZ 160-85 (LU 85-004430) Design Review approval for new office construction.
- DZ 61-86 (LU 86-004949) Design Review denial for proposed sidewalk design.
- ADA 4-87 (LU 87-000346) Approval to allow parking in view corridor.
- GP 012-87 (LU 87-005410) Greenway Permit with conditions of approval for phase II of Fremont Place.
- <u>CU 026-87 (LU 87-100067 CU)</u> Conditional Use Review approval for a threestory office/flex building in the Northwest Triangle Plan District.
- MP 13-87 (LU 87-100068 MP) A Minor Partition Review. No description is available.
- <u>DZ 21-87</u> Design Review approval for the second phase of Fremont Place a three story office building with 210 parking spaces.
- GP 005-89 (LU 89-005572) Greenway Permit approval to eliminate condition of approval requiring floating dock.
- <u>CU 18-89 (LU 89-033897 CU)</u> Conditional Use Review approval to eliminate conditions of approval from GP 12-87.
- GP 237-90 Greenway Permit approval to upgrade an existing facility.
- LUR 94-00107 DZ GW (LU 94-011009 DZ GW) Design Review and Greenway Review approval, with conditions, to construct a 253' retaining seawall and relocation of the stairway from the north to the south side of the platform.
- <u>LUR 98-01026 DZ (LU 98-016332 DZ)</u> Design Review with Modification approval to reduce the front street landscaping setback along the entire street frontage of NW Front Ave from 5'-0" to 3'-6".
- <u>LUR 00-00592 DZ (LU 00-007147 DZ)</u> Design Review approval to add antennas and radio equipment to the roof of an existing office building.
- <u>LUR 01-00521 GW (LU 01-007918 GW)</u> Greenway Review approval for construction of CSO tunnel, pipelines at or below grade within the Greenway Overlay zones. Also, construction of one maintenance building at the confluent shaft on Swan Island in the EG2g zone.
- <u>LU 17-113453 DZM</u> A pending Design Review for a parking reconfiguration project. Due to development on the south parking area [the Fremont Apartments proposal], parking will be relocated to the north parking area. This review is in response to and in conjunction with LU 16-278621 DZM GW.
- <u>LU 17-169109 LC</u> A pending Lot Consolidation Review to combine multiple lots into two lots in preparation for a future property line adjustment.

Agency and Neighborhood Review:

- Agency Review: A "Notice of proposal in Your Neighborhood" was mailed on September 6, 2017.
 - The <u>Bureau of Environmental Services (BES)</u> responded with a comment stating that information required to certify the eco-roof bonus had not yet been submitted. BES also stated that a Special Circumstance review would be necessary to address stormwater runoff not handled on-site. BES was not able to recommend approval. Please see Exhibit E-1 for additional details.

The <u>Bureau of Environmental Services</u> sent a formal response on September 28, 2017. BES stated that the submitted stormwater management and utility plans are acceptable for the purpose of reviewing the submitted design and greenway review and had no recommended conditions of approval. However, BES stated

that the requested eco-roof certification for FAR bonus could not be granted at this time, and additional material that meets the BES eco-roof criteria need to be submitted for certification to be granted. Please see Exhibit E-6 for additional details.

On November 9, 2017, BES issued a Letter of Certification for the proposed ecoroof bonus, stating that the proposal satisfied the requirements to receive a 2:1 square-foot bonus for 18,089 SF of proposed eco-roof, resulting in 36,178 SF of bonus FAR. Please see Exhibit H-23 for additional details.

Revised roof plans were submitted to BDS and BES on November 28, 2017, and BES concluded that the plans still meet the eco-roof certification. The proposal satisfies the requirements to receive a 2:1 square-foot bonus for 18,217 SF of proposed eco-roof, resulting in 36,434 SF of bonus FAR. Please see Exhibit H-39 for additional details.

- The <u>Fire Bureau</u> responded with a comment stating that all applicable Fire Code requirements shall apply at the time of permit review and development. Please see Exhibit E-2 for additional details.
- The <u>Bureau of Parks—Urban Forestry Division</u> responded with no objections to the proposal and with information about street tree removal and planting. Please see Exhibit E-3 for additional details.
- The <u>Life Safety Review Section</u> of BDS responded with no objections to the proposal and with information about potential important life safety issues. Please see Exhibit E-4 for additional details.
- The <u>Bureau of Transportation Engineering</u> (PBOT) initially responded with comments stating that it could not recommend support for the project, due to lack of Exclusive Utility Vault (UVE) in the right-of-way information. Please see Exhibit E-5 for additional details.

The applicants submitted the required UVE information, and the issue was resolved as of November 28, 2017, with a UVE approval. PBOT now has no objections to the proposal. Please see Exhibit H-43 for additional details.

The <u>Site Development Section of BDS</u> submitted initial comments stating that it
would not be able to support approval of the land use review as proposed due to
Flood Hazard Area issues around the at-grade garage door entry on the
southwest corner of the site. Please see Exhibit H-15 for additional details.

The applicants revised their proposal to include a 3-foot tall removable flood barrier wall that could be installed between the building and a low, 3-foot tall concrete wall along the south elevation during a flood event to block water from entering the garage. This will meet the primary objection outlined in the Site Development Section's initial response, but they still strongly recommend that the design team specify a flood shield that does not rely on human intervention to deploy. Please see Exhibit H-20 for additional details and additional comments.

 The <u>Water Bureau</u> responded with no objections and comments about available water service to the site. Please see Exhibit E-7. Portland Parks and Recreation (PPR) did not respond to the original Notice of Proposal or to repeated staff requests before the September 28, 2017 Design Commission hearing. Following the November 30, 2017 Design Commission hearing, PPR staff met with Design Review staff and the applicants to discuss the Greenway trail proposal. The outcome of that meeting resulted in the applicants revising their design of the Greenway trail; see Exhibits H-74 and H-75 for additional details. PPR staff then responded to these design revisions on 12/14/2017 with a recommendation of support for the revised Greenway trail design, which they saw as "a substantial improvement over the existing Greenway on the site". PPR staff also requested and "strongly encourage[d]" that the applicants remove the existing seawall guardrail and install a new guardrail closer to the river on the seawall to add additional space on the Greenway trail. Please see Exhibit H-79 for additional details.

IV. ZONING CODE APPROVAL CRITERIA AND FINDINGS

(1) Design Review (33.825)

Chapter 33.825 Design Review Section 33.825.010 Purpose of Design Review

Design review ensures that development conserves and enhances the recognized special design values of a site or area. Design review is used to ensure the conservation, enhancement, and continued vitality of the identified scenic, architectural, and cultural values of each design district or area. Design review ensures that certain types of infill development will be compatible with the neighborhood and enhance the area. Design review is also used in certain cases to review public and private projects to ensure that they are of a high design quality.

Section 33.825.055 Design Review Approval Criteria

A design review application will be approved if the review body finds the applicant to have shown that the proposal complies with the design guidelines for the area.

Findings: The site is designated with design overlay zoning (d), therefore the proposal requires Design Review approval. Because of the site's location, the applicable design guidelines are the Central City Fundamental Design Guidelines and River District Guidelines.

River District Design Guidelines and Central City Fundamental Design Guidelines
The River District is a remarkable place within the region. The area is rich with special
and diverse qualities that are characteristic of Portland. Further, the River District
accommodates a significant portion of the region's population growth. This area
emphasizes the joy of the river, connections to it, and creates a strong sense of
community. The goals frame the urban design direction for Central City and River
District development.

The Central City Fundamental Design Guidelines and the River District Design Guidelines focus on four general categories. (A) Portland Personality, addresses design issues and elements that reinforce and enhance Portland's character. (B) Pedestrian Emphasis, addresses design issues and elements that contribute to a successful pedestrian environment. (C) Project Design, addresses specific building characteristics and their relationships to the public environment. (D) Special Areas, provides design guidelines for the four special areas of the Central City.

River District Design Goals

- Extend the river into the community to develop a functional and symbolic relationship with the Willamette River.
- Create a community of distinct neighborhoods that accommodates a significant part of the region's residential growth.
- Enhance the District's character and livability by fostering attractive design and activities that give comfort, convenience, safety and pleasure to all its residents and visitors.
- 4. Strengthen connections within River District, and to adjacent areas.

Central City Plan Design Goals

- 1. Encourage urban design excellence in the Central City;
- Integrate urban design and preservation of our heritage into the development process;
- Enhance the character of the Central City's districts;
- Promote the development of diversity and areas of special character within the Central City;
- Establish an urban design relationship between the Central City's districts and the Central City as a whole;
- Provide for a pleasant, rich and diverse pedestrian experience for pedestrians;
- 7. Provide for the humanization of the Central City through promotion of the arts;
- 8. Assist in creating a 24-hour Central City which is safe, humane and prosperous;
- Ensure that new development is at a human scale and that it relates to the scale and desired character of its setting and the Central City as a whole.

Staff has considered all guidelines and has addressed only those guidelines considered applicable to this project.

- A1. Integrate the River. Orient architectural and landscape elements including, but not limited to lobbies, entries, balconies, terraces, and outdoor areas to the Willamette River and greenway. Develop access ways for pedestrians that provide connections to the Willamette River and Greenway.
- A1-1. Link the River to the Community. Link the Willamette River to the community reinforcing the river's significance. This guideline may be accomplished by:
- Organizing land areas and groupings of buildings to visually define the river's linkage to the community.
- 2) Focusing and articulating roadways and pedestrianways to emphasize the river.
- Developing projects that celebrate the river and contribute to creating centers of interest and activity that focuses on the Willamette.
- 4) Connecting the internal areas of the District to the Willamette Greenway Trail.

Findings for A1 & A1-1: The Council finds that these guidelines are not met. Specifically, this project does not comply with the requirement to "Integrate the River." The Willamette is the main feature of this site, but the project does not integrate it well. The Greenway trail along this site is too constrained at its narrowest points, considering that it will serve pedestrians, runners, and bicyclists. Portland Parks & Recreation stated in their letter dated 12/15/2017 to the applicant and Design Commission that the proposed Greenway trail "should have an additional few feet for the Greenway bike and pedestrian movements" as well. A trail closer to Portland Parks & Recreation's recommended width of 25 feet, rather than the proposed narrowest dimensions that are near the minimum recommended width of 12 feet, would better integrate the river and link the river to the community.

The project design also fails to "Link the River to the Community." In addition to constricting the Greenway path, it presses structures up against the Greenway and close to the river. The result is a failure to celebrate the river or contribute to creating centers of interest and activity that focus upon it. Instead, the project creates a congested area that is difficult for the public to move through. The development focus is almost entirely on the development itself rather than on the Willamette River. Providing more space between the development and the river would afford additional opportunities to create "centers of interest and activity" focused on the Willamette.

While a retail/restaurant space is proposed along the Greenway trail, facing the river with outdoor seating on a deck overlooking the trail and the river, the result would be uncomfortable proximity for both diners and trail users. Again, providing more space between the development and the river would allow the focus of the Greenway trail to be the river, rather than the development.

Therefore, the Council finds that these guidelines are not met.

A2. Emphasize Portland Themes. When provided, integrate Portland-related themes with the development's overall design concept.

Findings: The Design Commission identified two Portland themes unique to the North Pearl waterfront area that should be integrated into the overall design concept of the proposed development: the Willamette River and views of the Fremont Bridge. The Council agrees that these themes should be integrated into the overall design concept.

- The Willamette River is one of the major focal-points in the North Pearl waterfront. The proposed development should help to support the Greenway trail and focus on the river. For the reasons set out above in Findings for A1 & A1-1, the Council finds that the development does not support the Greenway trail and focus on the river. The plaza/open space area on the north side of the building provides the sole pedestrian access to the river and creates a public space. However, the plaza is not located along the riverfront itself and would be negatively impacted by shadows until 1:00pm on April 21st of any year, leading the Council to find that that River is not well-integrated into the overall design.
- The Design Commission found that the Fremont Bridge is the other major focal-point of the North Pearl waterfront and of the North Pearl Subarea in general, and that the proposal successfully accommodated views of the bridge with the proposed development. The appellant argued that the proposal did not properly take the view of the Fremont Bridge from The Fields Park into account, and that a proposal meeting this guideline would be no taller than the 100' allowed in the base height standard. However, the Council finds that the view of the Fremont Bridge from The Fields Park is not a protected view under the city's current regulations and need not be taken into account in this case. The Council also finds that the height of the building vis a vis the Fremont Bridge is also not relevant. However, the Council finds that views of the bridge from the Greenway are important and should be integrated better into the proposed development by shifting the building farther away from the Greenway trail and providing a wider trail with a focus on the river.

Therefore, the Council finds that this guideline is not met.

- A3. Respect the Portland Block Structures. Maintain and extend the traditional 200-foot block pattern to preserve the Central City's ratio of open space to built space. Where superblocks exist, locate public and/or private rights-of-way in a manner that reflects the 200-foot block pattern, and include landscaping and seating to enhance the pedestrian environment.
- A3-1. Provide Convenient Pedestrian Linkages. Provide convenient linkages throughout the River District that facilitate movement for pedestrians to and from the river, and to and from adjacent neighborhoods. This guideline may be accomplished by:
- Using visual and physical cues within the design of the building and building entries to express connections to the river and to adjacent neighborhoods.
- Orienting integrated open spaces and trails that physically and visually link the river and/or surrounding neighborhoods.
- 3) Reusing or retaining cobblestone within the design of new development.
- Encouraging flexibility and creativity along streets enhancing their historic or cultural role.
- Creating visual and physical links across major corridors such as I-405, Burnside, and Front/Naito to strengthen connections to the river and other neighborhoods.

Findings for A3 & A3-1: The Council finds that the proposal does not respect Portland's typical 200-foot block pattern or provide for convenient pedestrian linkages. With a structure approximately 230 feet in length perpendicular to the river, the design does not meet the desired 200-foot block pattern. It would also serve to continue the pattern-breaking parallel to NW Naito on at least the adjacent riverfront parcel to the south, with an end wall condition that might encourage new development on that parcel to also abut the property line, which could magnify the undesirable effect of walling off the river from the neighborhood.

The Council also finds that, while the proposed plaza creates linkage to the river and the Greenway, the Greenway and its trail are not appropriately integrated into the proposed design, as described in detail above in Findings for A1 & A1-1, and the proposal, therefore, does not sufficiently physically link the river to the surrounding neighborhood.

Therefore, the Council finds that these Guidelines are not met.

A4. Use Unifying Elements. Integrate unifying elements and/or develop new features that help unify and connect individual buildings and different areas.

Findings: The following elements and features help to unify and connect individual buildings in the North Pearl Subarea and North Pearl waterfront areas:

- The proposed building includes a series of storefront windows along NW Naito Parkway, a new plaza/open space/pedestrian connection, and along the Greenway trail that follow a form found throughout the North Pearl Subarea, and, to a lesser extent, the waterfront area.
- Standard improvements are proposed in the NW Naito Parkway right-of-way, including a 7-foot property dedication to meet the sidewalk standards for this area.
- The plaza/open space/pedestrian connection between NW Naito Parkway and the Greenway trail includes landscaping elements and light fixtures like those that are used on other similar connections along the riverfront in the North Pearl.

 Light fixtures are proposed in the plaza/open space area on the north side of the property and along the Greenway trail. These are a simple, modern column-type fixture, which are similar (or perhaps identical) to those found along the Greenway trail and in similar plazas north of the Fremont Bridge.

Therefore, the Council finds that this guideline is met.

- A5. Enhance, Embellish and Identify Areas. Enhance an area by reflecting the local character within the right-of-way. Embellish an area by integrating elements in new development that build on the area's character. Identify an area's special features or qualities by integrating them into new development.
- A5-1. Reinforce Special Areas. Enhance the qualities that make each area distinctive within the River District, using the following "Special Area Design Guidelines" (A5-1-1 A5-1-5).
- A5-1-1. Reinforce the Identity of the Pearl District Neighborhood. This guideline may be accomplished by:
- Recognizing the urban warehouse character of the Pearl District when altering existing buildings and when designing new ones.
- Recognizing the urban warehouse character of the Pearl District within the design of the site and open spaces.
- Designing buildings which provide a unified, monolithic tripartite composition (base/middle/top), with distinct cornice lines to acknowledge the historic building fabric.
- 4) Adding buildings which diversify the architectural language and palette of materials.
- 5) Celebrating and encouraging the concentration of art and art galleries and studios with design features that contribute to the Pearl District's "arts" ambiance. Consider features that provide connectivity and continuity such as awnings, street banners, special graphics, and streetscape color coordination, which link shops, galleries, entrances, display windows and buildings. Active ground level retail that opens onto and/or uses the sidewalk can contribute to the attraction of the "arts" concentration.
- A5-1-5. Reinforce the Identity of the Waterfront Area. Reinforce the identity of the Waterfront Area with design solutions that contribute to the character of the waterfront and acknowledge its heritage. This guideline may be accomplished by:
- Recognizing the area's industrial history by incorporating remnants of maritime and rail infrastructure and/or providing docking facilities for a cruise line.
- 2) Orienting buildings toward the waterfront and adjacent parks and trails.
- Integrating an active mix of uses along the waterfront and making development open and accessible in order to maintain the publicness of the greenway.
- C4. Complement the Context of Existing Buildings. Complement the context of existing buildings by using and adding to the local design vocabulary.

Findings for A5, A5-1, A5-1-1, A5-1-5, & C4: The Council finds that the proposed building complements the context its area and reflects the local character of the Pearl District and the waterfront area by incorporating the following features:

 The proposed building continues the scale and massing pattern established by other tall buildings in the North Pearl Subarea. Some of the tower structures in this area, such as The Wyatt and The Asa, incorporate a similar podium base and "L"-shaped tower massing.

- The podium massing of the proposed building references the massing of historic buildings along the waterfront and in the Pearl District, such as Albers Mill, portions of Centennial Mill, and recent five- and six-story residential development southwest of the railroad tracks. The use of brick on portions of the podium reflects the historic brick warehouse context and is consistent with newer residential building context in the district.
- The proposed building also incorporates large areas of glazing all around its facades, balconies, roof decks, and active ground floor spaces—all of which are common features on taller buildings in the North Pearl. The proposed development also incorporates significant areas of open space around the building, which is a common feature of development in the North Pearl and the waterfront area, especially.
- The proposed building is oriented towards the river, with many of its balconies, roof decks, and ground floor retail space and outdoor deck facing the river.
- The composition of the proposed building's façade incorporates a large material palette, consisting of glass, two colors of spandrel glass, two colors of composite metal panels, two colors of fiber cement panels, ribbed metal panel, brick, and board-formed concrete. The material palette is well-integrated, utilizing similar colors and textures, despite the physical differences among the materials, and complements other well-composed buildings in the district.
- Private open areas along the Greenway trail are limited at the ground level of the proposed development and take the form of a long wrap-around deck at the restaurant/retail space, planters, and patio space in front of the two ground-level residential units at the southeast corner of the site. But for the significant constriction and overshadowing described herein, these open areas would follow the general pattern established at developments along the Greenway trail north of the Fremont Bridge and help to reinforce the identity of the North Pearl Waterfront. The planter, patio space, and trail "bulb-out" in front of the two residential units help to resolve previous issues with the lack of connection between the Greenway trail and the second level roof terrace above them, creating more of a place there as opposed to a decorated back-of-house condition.
- The proposal was revised to include a shorter end-wall condition at the south end of the site. This allows for somewhat increased flexibility on the adjacent parcel to locate pedestrian connections and future development while maintaining a pattern of disconnected buildings along the North Pearl Waterfront. However, the Council agreed with the appellant's assertion that the development pattern in the waterfront area of the North Pearl currently does not include buildings set up to interior lot lines. Instead, the typical development pattern includes setbacks and open space on all sides of buildings, with additional opportunities for landscaping and pedestrian connections. Furthermore, as set out above in the findings for A1 and A1-1, the project does not link the river to the community and reinforce the identity of the waterfront area. The constrictions in the Greenway path fail to maintain the publicness of the greenway and do not add to the local design vocabulary.

Therefore, the Council finds that these guidelines are met in part and not met in part.

A5-3. Incorporate Water Features. Incorporate water features or water design themes that enhance the quality, character, and image of the River District. This guideline may

be accomplished by:

- 1) Using water features as a focal point for integrated open spaces.
- Taking cues from the river, bridges, and historic industrial character in the design of structures and/or open space.
- 3) Integrating stormwater management into the development.

Findings: The proposal includes small stormwater management planters in the plaza/open space area and adjacent to the Greenway trail, and the Design Commission found that the primary water feature focal point is the Willamette River itself.

However, the Council finds that this guideline is intended to require the installation of new water features taking cues from the river, bridges, and industrial character in the design of structures and/or open space. The stormwater management planters proposed here are too utilitarian and do not rise to the level of water features contemplated by the guideline.

Therefore, the Council finds that this guideline is not met.

- A5-4. Integrate Works of Art. Integrate works of art or other special design features that increase the public enjoyment of the District. This guideline may be accomplished by:
- 1) Integrating art into open spaces or along pathways.
- Incorporating art within the structure of the building.
- 3) Using "found objects" that are remnants from the area's history.

Findings: No works of art are proposed on the site. The Design Commission found at the November 16, 2017 hearing that the proposed benches along the Greenway trail contained a sculptural quality which satisfies this guideline.

However, the Council finds that such "sculptural" benches do not comprise works of art sufficient to comply with this guideline on a site of this size and significance.

Therefore, the Council finds that this guideline is not met.

A7. Establish and Maintain a Sense of Urban Enclosure. Define public rights-of-way by creating and maintaining a sense of urban enclosure.

Findings: The proposed building is built up to the street edge along NW Naito Parkway. It is also engages the proposed plaza/open space on its north side and creates a sense of enclosure along the Greenway trail, as well. The ground floor includes pedestrian-scale storefront windows and canopies on all three frontages, helping to articulate the sense of urban enclosure.

Therefore, the Council finds that this guideline is met.

A8. Contribute to a Vibrant Streetscape. Integrate building setbacks with adjacent sidewalks to increase the space for potential public use. Develop visual and physical connections into buildings' active interior spaces from adjacent sidewalks. Use architectural elements such as atriums, grand entries and large ground-level windows to reveal important interior spaces and activities.

Findings: The proposal includes large glazed areas around its three public-facing frontages, and each frontage is programmed primarily with active ground floor spaces that help contribute to the vibrancy of the sidewalk along NW Naito

Parkway and the plaza/open space at the north side of the property.

The glazing proposed at the fitness room on the west elevation, and most-likely the south elevation, however, is proposed to be "fritted gradient" storefront glazing, which will limit views into and from this space, reducing vibrancy on the adjacent sidewalk along NW Naito Parkway. This glazing should be clear like the rest of the storefront glazing. Thus, the Council finds that, were the application to be approved, a condition of approval requiring clear glazing at the ground floor of the west and south elevations, rather than the proposed fritted glazing, would be required to meet this guideline.

Therefore, the Council finds that this guideline could be met with the condition of approval that the glazing at the ground floor of the west and south elevations shall be clear glass rather than fritted glazing.

- A8-1. Design Fences, Walls and Gateways to be Seen Over. Design fences, walls and gateways located between a building and the sidewalk to be seen over to allow for social interaction. This guideline may be accomplished by:
- 1) Elevating building entries higher than the public sidewalk or path.
- 2) Creating a low fence or wall to visually separate but not hide semi-private spaces.
- Using a low or stepped-down planting area or terraces to separate private development from a public sidewalk.

Findings: The site is generally quite open at the ground level, with no fences or walls blocking views. Building entries along NW Naito Pkwy and the Greenway trail are elevated slightly from the ground level, but still allow views from both the sidewalk and trail to the spaces inside. Semi-private outdoor spaces along the Greenway trail are also raised up slightly and set behind planters along the trail.

Therefore, the Council finds that this quideline is met.

- B1. Reinforce and Enhance the Pedestrian System. Maintain a convenient access route for pedestrian travel where a public right-of-way exists or has existed. Develop and define the different zones of a sidewalk: building frontage zone, street furniture zone, movement zone, and the curb. Develop pedestrian access routes to supplement the public right-of-way system through superblocks or other large blocks.
- **B1-1. Provide Human Scale to Buildings along Walkways.** Provide human scale and interest to buildings along sidewalks and walkways. This guideline may be accomplished by:
- Providing street furniture outside of ground floor retail, such as tables and chairs, signage and lighting, as well as large windows and balconies to encourage social interaction.
- Providing stoops, windows, and balconies within the ground floors of residential buildings.

Findings for B1 & B1-1: The proposed development includes several features which help to reinforce and enhance the pedestrian system and which provide human scale along its adjacent walkways:

The sidewalk along NW Naito Parkway will be improved to city standards, which includes defined building frontage, movement, and furnishing zones. A property dedication of 7 feet along NW Naito will allow this sidewalk to be brought up to city standards. Planters, street trees, ground floor windows, and canopies will help to provide a human scale to the sidewalk.

- The proposed plaza/open space area on the north side of the site serves as a new pedestrianway that will connect NW Naito Parkway to the Greenway trail along the river on the east side of the site, although it is placed at one extreme edge of the site and not at the optimal location. This connection will enhance the pedestrian system in this area. Planters, trees, paving materials, benches, and pedestrian-scaled lighting will help to provide a human scale to this space, along with ground floor storefront windows, multiple building entries, and canopies.
- Outdoor deck areas are proposed at the northwest and northeast corners of the building. These are slightly raised from the grade of the sidewalk along NW Naito Parkway and from the Greenway trail. Both deck areas are large enough to accommodate multiple tables and chairs, and these spaces will help to provide additional human scale to all three frontages.
- The Greenway trail segment will be retained along the eastern side of the site. Planters and landscaping, benches, ground floor storefront windows, and canopies will help to provide a human scale along this trail. However, the pinch points created along the Greenway trail, as described in Findings for A1 & A1-1, above, result in a trail that does not adequately supplement the public right-of-way system and, therefore, does not enhance the pedestrian system overall to a sufficient extent.
- No lighting along the trail segment is proposed, however. Lighting should be added, both to continue the pattern on other portions of the trail and to provide additional human scale along the trail. Absent any other proposal, the same light standards used along the Greenway trail segment between this development site and the Fremont Bridge should be used, and were the proposal to be approved, a condition of approval would be required to meet this guideline.

Therefore, the Council finds that these guidelines will be met in part and not met in part.

B2. Protect the Pedestrian. Protect the pedestrian environment from vehicular movement. Develop integrated identification, sign, and sidewalk-oriented night-lighting systems that offer safety, interest, and diversity to the pedestrian. Incorporate building equipment, mechanical exhaust routing systems, and/or service areas in a manner that does not detract from the pedestrian environment.

Findings: Several features of the proposed development work to protect the pedestrian:

- Vehicular entry into the parking garage and loading space are accommodated through one door serving both uses at the southwest corner of the site. This door, which faces NW Naito Parkway, is small in scale to the overall development and takes up a relatively little portion of the ground floor on this façade, reserving the rest of the ground-floor for pedestrian-supporting uses and open space.
- Canopies are provided along the ground floor on all three frontages with pedestrian spaces and sidewalks. These canopies, however, do not provide much in the way of protection from the weather, since they typically extend over planters or deck areas and not pedestrian movement zones.
- Each storefront bay along the west, north, and east elevations includes a recessed linear light fixture, which helps to provide additional nighttime lighting in the pedestrian realm.

- Small, pedestrian-scaled step light fixtures are proposed in the planter walls along the sidewalk on NW Naito Parkway. Pedestrian-scaled light standards are proposed across the large plaza/open space at the north end of the site. With the condition of approval cited above in Findings for B1 & B1-1, pedestrian-scaled light standards will also provide lighting along the Greenway trail.
- Most of the building's mechanical systems are proposed to be located on the roof of the building. Large mechanical units are placed on the roof of the tower component. Other smaller exhaust vents are placed on lower roofs.
- Two studio apartment units are proposed at the southeast corner of the site, facing the Greenway trail. These units will provide additional eyes on the trail, helping to improve overall safety at what is currently a dead-end in the trail.

Therefore, the Council finds that this guideline is met.

B3. Bridge Pedestrian Obstacles. Bridge across barriers and obstacles to pedestrian movement by connecting the pedestrian system with innovative, well-marked crossings and consistent sidewalk designs.

Findings: The large plaza/open space at the north side of the site provides a pathway for pedestrians to move between NW Naito Parkway and the Greenway trail, enhancing connections within the larger pedestrian system.

Therefore, the Council finds that this guideline is met.

B4. Provide Stopping and Viewing Places. Provide safe, comfortable places where people can stop, view, socialize and rest. Ensure that these places do not conflict with other sidewalk uses.

Findings: The following components of the proposed development help to establish stopping and viewing places:

- Benches, seating platforms, and lawn panels are proposed in the plaza/open space at the north side of the site. The plaza area itself is quite expansive, providing ample opportunity for informal stopping and viewing.
- Benches and seating platforms are also proposed off the Greenway trail, providing additional stopping and viewing places along the trail and seawall. However, the Council finds that constriction of the Greenway trail, as described in Findings for A1 & A1-1, above, will create conflicts with other Greenway trail uses, especially running and bicycling.
- Outdoor deck areas are proposed at the northwest and northeast corners of the building. Both deck areas are large enough to accommodate multiple tables and chairs, providing additional stopping places on the site. However, the Council finds that the close proximity of the deck along the Greenway trail and its private uses may create uncomfortable conflicts with users of the Greenway trail.

Therefore, the Council finds that this guideline is met in part and not met in part.

B5. Make Plazas, Parks and Open Space Successful. Orient building elements such as main entries, lobbies, windows, and balconies to face public parks, plazas, and open spaces. Where provided, integrate water features and/or public art to enhance the public open space. Develop locally oriented pocket parks that incorporate amenities for nearby patrons.

Findings: The following building elements and design features help to make the proposed plaza/open space and the Greenway trail successful:

- The residential lobby and retail/restaurant space both face the proposed plaza/open space, with entry doors into each space opening onto the plaza. Large areas of windows along the ground floor on the north side allow for visual connections between the plaza and the building. Decks outside of each space face the plaza and provide outdoor seating areas for users of the building that further help to support and activate the plaza space.
- Balconies and Juliette balconies in each residential unit on the north and east facades of the building and orient to the plaza and Greenway trail, respectively.
- The roof terrace on the 6th floor of the proposed building is oriented to the Greenway trail.
- The proposed plaza/open space is designed in such a way that it can support flexible uses in addition to serving as a connection point between NW Naito Parkway and the Greenway trail.
- Two studio apartment units are proposed at the southeast corner of the site, facing the Greenway trail, in contrast to the mechanical room which was originally proposed in this location. These units will provide additional eyes on the trail, helping to improve overall safety at what is currently a dead-end in the trail and will help to make the trail more-successful overall than inactive mechanical space.
- The proposed plaza/open space originally had minimal engagement with the existing three-story office building immediately to the north of the site. A long stormwater planter and grade change between the plaza and the existing sidewalk adjacent to the office building generally separate the plaza from the existing office building; however, two short stairways cut through the planters, open the plaza to the existing office building, and provide a direct connection between the two sites.

However, as is further explained below, the Council finds that the proposed plaza/open space will not receive adequate sunlight pursuant to the shadow standard for the North Pearl Subarea, thereby rendering it unsuccessful. The treatment of the Greenway and Greenway trail are unsuccessful due to the overshadowing and constriction produced by the proposed design. The lack of integrated water features and public art, as stated in Findings for A5-3 and A5-4, respectively, also detract from the success of the open spaces in this proposal.

Therefore, the Council finds that this this guideline is met in part and not met in part.

- **B6.** Develop Weather Protection. Develop integrated weather protection systems at the sidewalk-level of buildings to mitigate the effects of rain, wind, glare, shadow, reflection, and sunlight on the pedestrian environment.
- C10. Integrate Encroachments. Size and place encroachments in the public right-ofway to visually and physically enhance the pedestrian environment. Locate permitted skybridges toward the middle of the block, and where they will be physically unobtrusive. Design skybridges to be visually level and transparent.

Findings for B6 & C10: Encroachments into the public right-of-way are very

limited. Five canopies are proposed to project over a portion of the sidewalk along NW Naito Pkwy. These provide a measure of weather protection along the sidewalk.

- Four of the proposed canopies are constructed out of steel C-channels to form a simple structural frame. No finish is indicated. Within the frame, a sloped corrugated metal roof provides drainage towards the street. The soffit is composed of metal as well.
- A steel and glass canopy is proposed over the street-facing lobby entry door. The structural members of this canopy are composed of double steel plate outriggers that project outward from the building face. A steel tee is sandwiched between each of the two plates. Glass sits atop these steel tees and slopes back towards the building, draining into a sheet metal gutter. No finishes are indicated.
- Additional canopies project from the north elevation. These are identical in
 design to those on the west elevation and comprise ten steel frame canopies
 and two glass and steel canopies. Several of these canopies provide protection
 over planter areas that are not accessible by pedestrians. Others provide
 protection over the retail/restaurant outdoor seating area. The two glass and
 steel canopies provide protection over two of the entry doors.
- The same steel frame canopies, again, are proposed on the east, river-facing elevation. Like many of the canopies on the north elevation, these provide protection over the outdoor seating area for the retail/restaurant space.
- Although information about proposed finishes is not provided, these canopies will be well integrated with the overall building design.

Therefore, the Council finds that these guidelines are met.

B7. Integrate Barrier-Free Design. Integrate access systems for all people with the building's overall design concept.

Findings: The proposal includes the following integrated systems that allow for barrier-free access:

 Although the ground floor of the building is elevated from the sidewalk and Greenway trail levels, a sloped pathway and plaza area provide connections between each to main entry doors on the north elevation for both the residential lobby and for the retail/restaurant space.

Therefore, the Council finds that this guideline is met.

- C1. Enhance View Opportunities. Orient windows, entrances, balconies and other building elements to surrounding points of interest and activity. Size and place new buildings to protect existing views and view corridors. Develop building façades that create visual connections to adjacent public spaces.
- C1-1. Increase River View Opportunities. Increase river view opportunities to emphasize the River District ambiance. This guideline may be accomplished by:
- 1) Designing and locating development projects to visually link their views to the river.
- Providing public stopping and viewing places which take advantage of views of River District activities and features.
- Designing and orienting open space and landscape areas to emphasize views of the river.

Findings for C1 & C1-1: The proposed building includes several elements that serve to enhance view opportunities and increase river view opportunities:

- Balconies and Juliette balconies are proposed on all four frontages of the building. These help to increase view opportunities from each residential unit.
- Roof decks are proposed on levels 2, 6, and 9. These all allow for river view opportunities for residents. The deck on level 9 also allows for views back towards the Pearl District.
- Large windows in the residential units, in the residential lobby, and in the retail/restaurant space allow for views into and from the building to the surrounding district and, on the east side of the building, to the river.
- Clear-glazed storefront windows are also currently shown on the north elevation, looking into the lobby and retail/restaurant space from the plaza.
- The proposed deck at the retail/restaurant space is slightly elevated above the Greenway trail. It faces the river, as well as the proposed plaza/open space, and allows views to and from both.
- Benches and seating platforms are proposed along the Greenway trail. Access
 to the seawall edge is also retained along portions of the Greenway trail. These
 allow additional opportunities for river views.
- The large plaza/open space on the north side of the site allows for views to the river from NW Naito Parkway and from portions of the Pearl District.
- The glazing proposed at the fitness room on the west elevation, and most-likely the south elevation, however, is proposed to be "fritted gradient" storefront glazing, which will limit views into and from this space, reducing vibrancy on the adjacent sidewalk along NW Naito Parkway. If this proposal were to be approved, this glazing should be clear like the rest of the storefront glazing.
- Conversely, two storefront bays on the north elevation open into back-of-house uses—specifically the FCC room and the fire riser room. Views into these spaces will not help to create visual connections to the adjacent plaza or support the public space. If this proposal were to be approved, the glazing here should be translucent.

However, the Council finds that this project does not create a sufficient particular area on the Greenway as a public amenity that could be said to be a river-viewing opportunity, as is envisioned by these guidelines and discussed in Findings for A1 & A1-1.

Therefore, the Council finds that these guidelines are met in part and not met in part.

C2. Promote Quality and Permanence in Development. Use design principles and building materials that promote quality and permanence.

Findings: The proposed development includes proposed materials and detailing that promotes quality and permanence:

- The podium levels of the proposed building are partially-clad in <u>dark</u>, <u>rusticated brick</u>—a high-quality and durable material. Detailing proposed at the brick piers shows that the overall system will demonstrate permanence.
- <u>Composite metal panels</u> are proposed to be used in various places around the building. Composite metal panels are typically of very high-quality and not

prone to oil-canning or pillowing, due to their rigidity.

- The details provided show that the metal panels will be attached from behind, which furthers the high-quality of this material.
- Kynar 500 finish is proposed for these panels, which is a resilient finish that will help to ensure permanence of the color and protect the material from weathering.
- A <u>deeply-ribbed metal panel</u> is proposed at the mechanical screen atop the tower roof. This material will also extend down the length of the tower on the south courtyard elevation.
 - The metal is proposed to be 24-gauge, which, with the proposed profile of 2-1/4" wide ribs with the 4-1/2" depth of each rib, should be sufficient to resist oil-canning and pillowing.
 - o The panels will be attached with exposed fasteners. These will be located in the recessed portions of the panel, so they should be very difficult to see, due to their size. No information is provided about the finish or color of the proposed fasteners, however. They should be finished to match the ribbed metal panels. Were the proposal to be approved, a condition of approval requiring this finish would be needed to meet this guideline.
 - A PVDF finish (of which Kynar 500 is a type) is also proposed for the ribbed metal panel, which will help to ensure permanence of the color and protect the material from weathering.
- Equitone fiber cement panels are also proposed on the building. The color of
 the fiber cement is intrinsic to the Equitone material, meaning that scrapes or
 dents won't result in raw fiber cement being visible. This helps to ensure a
 higher quality in the system than systems which do not have throughcoloration.
 - The Equitone panels are proposed to be fastened to a rainscreen system from the exterior; the fasteners will, therefore, be visible, except at the lower two floors, where the drawings indicate they will be concealed (Exhibit C.34).
 - For the exposed fasteners, no indication of fastener type or color is proposed. Prefinished fasteners that match the color of the panels are available from the manufacturer, however, and these should be used.
 - For the concealed fasteners, no method of attachment is shown in the drawings. These attachments should be located entirely behind the panel, via a clip system, or similar system, for higher quality and permanence.
 Nail or screwed and patched fasteners should not be used, as these weather more-easily and require paint-matching an integral-color material.
- A <u>structurally-glazed curtain wall system</u> is proposed at the northwest corner
 of the building and at the main building entries. This is another high-quality
 cladding system, and proposed details support the permanence of the system.
 The glazing color is proposed to be clear, with Solarban 72 proposed as the
 basis of design.
- Aluminum storefront systems are proposed across much of the ground floor. The same clear glazing proposed in the curtain wall is also proposed in the storefront systems, with the exception of glazing on the west and south elevations at the fitness room, which is shown with fritted gradient glazing. This has already been conditioned in Findings for A8 to be the same clear

glazing, rather than the proposed fritted glazing. The aluminum storefront frames and mullions will be colored either a light or dark metal to match the proposed metal panel colors and the materials that the windows will be next to.

- A glazed <u>window wall system</u> is proposed on the residential-level stories of the building. Window walls are typically very durable building components, and proposed detailing supports this.
 - An integrated sliding glass door system is proposed at many of the residential units. These will use similar detailing, glazing color, and framing color, helping them integrate into the overall system.
 - Clear glazing is proposed, though the glass will have reduced transmissivity compared to the storefront and curtain wall glazing.
 - Two colors of spandrel glass are also proposed, and the color will be painted on the fourth (interior-most) surface of the glazing, ensuring its durability as well as ensuring its integration with the rest of the glazing system.

Therefore, the Council finds that, with the condition of approval that exposed metal panel attachments shall be finished to be the same color as the metal panel; and,

With the condition of approval that exposed fasteners used with the fiber cement panel system shall be prefinished by the manufacturer to match the color of the panels, and the concealed fasteners used with the fiber cement panel system shall be attached entirely from the back side of the panel via a clip or similar system, this guideline could be met.

- **C3-1. Integrate Parking.** Design parking garage exteriors to visually integrate with their surroundings. This guideline may be accomplished by:
- Designing street facing parking garages to not express the sloping floors of the interior parking.
- Designing the sidewalk level of parking structures to accommodate active uses, display windows, public art or other features which enhance the structure's relationship to pedestrians.
- C9-1. Reduce the Impact of Residential Unit Garages on Pedestrians. Reduce the impact on pedestrians from cars entering and exiting residential unit garages by locating garage access on alleys, and active spaces on ground floors that abut streets. This guideline may be accomplished by:
- 1) Locating residential unit garage access on alleys.
- 2) Locating garage access on less trafficked streets.

Findings for C3-1 & C9-1: Parking is enclosed within the building on all sides. Only a single garage door is proposed along NW Naito Parkway to provide access to both the ground level parking garage and the basement-level parking garage. Access is kept separate from the pedestrian-oriented plaza/open space at the north end of the site.

Therefore, these guidelines are met.

C5. Design for Coherency. Integrate the different building and design elements including, but not limited to, construction materials, roofs, entrances, as well as window, door, sign, and lighting systems, to achieve a coherent composition.

Findings: Massing of the proposed building can be divided into three primary components.

- An eight-story podium mass lies along part of the west and south sides of the site.
- A five-story podium mass lies along much of the proposed plaza area at the north end of the site and continues to the east along much of the Greenway trail.
- Between these two podium masses, an "L"-shaped tower extends up to the full 17-story height. This "L" is slightly longer in the east-west direction than in the north-south direction, matching the overall proportions at the base of the building.

Articulation and fenestration of the three primary masses follows fairly consistent rules.

- Street, plaza, and Greenway trail-facing podium masses—perhaps more-appropriately referred to as "side-cars" to the primary "L"-shaped massing—are articulated with brick and deep-set, vertically-oriented bays of windows and doors and a flat brick cornice band/parapet. The brick expression dissolves at both podiums' interior courtyard-facing ends, which are clad in metal and glazed window walls and articulated with balconies. This metal and glazing system has a more horizontally-oriented expression, like the tower mass described below, and wraps around to the interior courtyard elevations of the building, reflecting the different character of this space.
- The "L"-shaped tower mass has a generally-flatter material composition, incorporating composite metal panels and fiber cement panels in addition to the proposed window wall system. The mass is divided up on each floor level into horizontally-oriented bays of window walls composed of clear and spandrel glazing.
 - Though the window bays have a horizontal orientation on the tower, they
 are arranged into vertical bays that extend from the parapet to the ground
 floor base. Where proposed, the consistency and alignment of these bays
 helps to unify the tower and podium masses and give the building a
 greater sense of verticality.
 - At either end of the tower "L", the mass narrows, subtly articulating this large mass and helping to reinforce its vertical character.
 - The tower mass is subdivided into a distinct, outwardly protruding mass at its northwest corner, which helps to identify the main entrance to the site and the building at the intersection of the proposed plaza and NW Naito Parkway.
 - The composition of the north elevation of the tower, which, as originallyproposed, was composed with a much more-vertical orientation has since been revised to continue the horizontal bay expression found around the rest of the tower.
 - o The north elevation also retains a massing protrusion, which staff had originally recommended be pulled back into the main mass of the tower to help to better define the difference between the tower mass and the podium mass below. The Design Commission mostly-disagreed with staff's original assessment at the September 28, 2017 hearing, and the development team, since then, has worked to further integrate the protrusion into the rest of the tower by extending balconies eastward from

the mass towards the river.

A four-story tall massing "appendage" also originally protruded eastward from the primary "L" tower mass onto the five-story northern podium. This mass formed a complicating step in the north and south elevations and was referred to as a "ziggurat" by the Design Commission at the Design Advice Hearing held for this proposal on June 1, 2017. Commissioners repeatedly pushed for either its removal or further integration into the rest of the building's composition. The revised drawing set presented to the Design Commission at the November 30, 2017 hearing shows that this massing element has been removed, and its dwelling units have been reallocated to the western and southern podium mass. This move helps to increase the overall coherency of the building's massing and articulation.

Finally, although the building incorporates a large palette of materials, the Design Commission found at the September 28, 2017 hearing the material palette was well-integrated, utilizing similar colors and textures, despite the physical differences among the materials, and formed a cohesive façade expression overall.

Therefore, the Council finds that this guideline is met.

C6. Develop Transitions between Buildings and Public Spaces. Develop transitions between private development and public open space. Use site design features such as movement zones, landscape elements, gathering places, and seating opportunities to develop transition areas where private development directly abuts a dedicated public open space.

Findings:

- Transition space is provided between the plaza/open space at the north end of the site and building entries on the north elevation. Doors are recessed into the building face, and the large plaza area is separated from the building entries by landscaping.
- The residential lobby entry facing NW Naito Parkway is setback from the sidewalk, up a short flight of stairs. This stairway provides a distinct transition space between the building and the public realm.
- Decks proposed at the northwest and northeast corners of the building also provide transition space from the pedestrian realm along NW Naito, the plaza, and the Greenway trail.

Therefore, the Council finds that this quideline is met.

C7. Design Corners that Build Active Intersections. Use design elements including, but not limited to, varying building heights, changes in façade plane, large windows, awnings, canopies, marquees, signs and pedestrian entrances to highlight building corners. Locate flexible sidewalk-level retail opportunities at building corners. Locate stairs, elevators, and other upper floor building access points toward the middle of the block.

Findings: Design elements that help to build active intersections include:

 The northeast and northwest corners of the building are programmed with active ground floor uses—a retail/restaurant space and large residential lobby, respectively. These spaces can be accessed from either the plaza/open space on the north side of the site, or from the sidewalk along NW Naito for the lobby and from the Greenway trail for the retail/restaurant space.

- The southwest corner of the building is currently programmed with a fitness room and proposed to be glazed with gradient-fritted glazing on the west elevation and likely on the south elevation as well. The glass here should be clear, like the other storefronts, to increase the flexibility of this space at the southwest corner.
- Stairs and elevators to the upper stories are located deeper inside the building away from the corners of the building.
- Bays of large storefront windows and canopies are proposed at both corners of the building.
- The northwest corner is identified by a two-story, structurally-glazed curtain wall which looks into the double-height lobby space.
- The largest building signs are proposed to be located at the northwest corner
 of the building, as well, near the two-story curtain wall.

The Council finds that this guideline could be met with the condition of approval that the glazing at the ground floor of the west and south elevations shall be clear glass rather than fritted glazing.

C8. Differentiate the Sidewalk-Level of Buildings. Differentiate the sidewalk-level of the building from the middle and top by using elements including, but not limited to, different exterior materials, awnings, signs, and large windows.

Findings:

- The sidewalk level is defined by large bays of storefront windows and canopies on the north, east, and west facades of the building.
- A raised dock and planter system on the west elevation, facing NW Naito Parkway, also helps to differentiate the ground level.

Therefore, the Council finds that this guideline is met.

C9. Develop Flexible Sidewalk-Level Spaces. Develop flexible spaces at the sidewalk-level of buildings to accommodate a variety of active uses.

Findings:

- A flexible retail/restaurant space is proposed at the northeast corner of the building, facing both the plaza/open space and the Greenway trail and providing access to the space from both. This space is augmented by an outdoor deck with room for outdoor seating.
- The large residential lobby is located at the northwest corner of the building, facing both the plaza/open space and NW Naito Parkway. Access to the lobby is provided from both the plaza and NW Naito Parkway. The space is large enough to accommodate significant residential amenities and potentially a future small retail use. This space is also augmented by an outdoor deck with room for outdoor seating.
- A large fitness room space is located along the southern half of the west elevation. The space could conceivably be reprogrammed in the future to accommodate other uses, and with the condition of approval recommended in Findings for A8 and Findings for C7, the exterior will include the same clear glazing storefront found elsewhere along the ground floor.

Therefore, the Council finds that this guideline is met.

C11. Integrate Roofs and Use Rooftops. Integrate roof function, shape, surface materials, and colors with the building's overall design concept. Size and place rooftop mechanical equipment, penthouses, other components, and related screening elements to enhance views of the Central City's skyline, as well as views from other buildings or vantage points. Develop rooftop terraces, gardens, and associated landscaped areas to be effective stormwater management tools.

Findings:

- Large mechanical units are proposed to be located on the roof of the tower mass. These will be screened with architectural screening that is integrated into the overall design of the building. This screening is composed of a dark, deeply ribbed metal panel that is also proposed to clad a portion of the south elevation. The color of the metal will also match the dark-colored composite metal panel proposed that is incorporated into the building massing which extends down from the screen on the south and east elevations. This integrated screen, which extends around all four sides of the building's roof, will help these systems to fit into the skyline and views from The Fields Park and the Fremont Bridge, especially.
- Eco-roofs composed of sedum mats and trees in planters are proposed on roof surfaces that are not otherwise occupied by residential rooftop amenity uses on levels 6 and 9. The eco-roofs are a very low impact building component when viewed from ground level, and views from above, such as fleeting views from the Fremont Bridge, should appear similarly well-integrated. Planters on both levels contain small trees that should also have minimal impact on the skyline.
- Levels 6 and 9 also contain roof decks with low built-in furnishings on both.
 These decks are unlikely to have a significant impact on the city's skyline.
- The roof terrace on level 2 originally included a paved deck surrounding a pool and hot tub for residents' use. This has since been removed, and the proposed terrace now contains planters and eco-roof components, which are low in scale relative to the tower which surrounds two sides of this terrace space, as well as a deck space with low furnishings like those found on levels 6 and 9. Dogwood trees are proposed in planters around the south and north edges of this terrace. These are also small relative to the scale of the adjacent tower. Three larger Stewartia trees are proposed, and these are located towards the alcove created by the two podium wings and will be visually encapsulated by the building. These elements all, therefore, appear to be well-integrated on the rooftop and compatible with the rest of the building. Their low height relative to the tower also limits any impact on the city's skyline.

Therefore, the Council finds that this guideline is met.

C12. Integrate Exterior Lighting. Integrate exterior lighting and its staging or structural components with the building's overall design concept. Use exterior lighting to highlight the building's architecture, being sensitive to its impacts on the skyline at night.

Findings: Four different types of light fixtures are proposed around the exterior of the building:

Columnar light standards are proposed around the periphery of the

plaza/open space on the north side of the site. These are similar in design to light standards used along the Greenway trail north of the Fremont Bridge and through the Riverplace development. They should integrate well with the proposed design of the plaza, as well, and will have little impact on the skyline due to their location on the ground.

- Small architectural step light fixtures are proposed in the cast-in-place concrete dock wall along the sidewalk on NW Naito Parkway. These are simple in design and will essentially disappear during the daytime.
- Recessed linear fixtures are proposed in soffits above each of the ground floor storefront bays around the west, north, and east facades of the building, except for the bays with glass canopies. These fixtures should integrate well with the proposed composite metal panel soffits and will have little to no impact on the skyline.
- The fourth light fixture type is a linear "edge" light. These are proposed to be located at each of the buildings glass canopies, which are located over main entries to the lobby and the retail/restaurant space and are well-integrated into the overall canopy design.

Therefore, the Council finds that this guideline is met.

C13. Integrate Signs. Integrate signs and their associated structural components with the building's overall design concept. Size, place, design, and light signs to not dominate the skyline. Signs should have only a minimal presence in the Portland skyline.

Findings: Proposed building signage is primarily located near the northwest corner of the building and at lobby entry doors. These signs are all smaller than 32 square feet in size, and are therefore not subject to Design Review, per zoning code section 33.420.041.F. If signs exceeding this threshold are proposed in the future, another Design Review will be required.

Therefore, the Council finds that this guideline does not apply.

(2) Modification Requests (33.825)

33.825.040 Modifications That Will Better Meet Design Review Requirements: The review body may consider modification of site-related development standards, including the sign standards of Chapters 32.32 and 32.34 of the Sign Code, as part of the design review process. These modifications are done as part of design review and are not required to go through the adjustment process. Adjustments to use-related development standards (such as floor area ratios, intensity of use, size of the use, number of units, or concentration of uses) are required to go through the adjustment process. Modifications that are denied through design review may be requested as an adjustment through the adjustment process. The review body will approve requested modifications if it finds that the applicant has shown that the following approval criteria are met:

- Better meets design guidelines. The resulting development will better meet the applicable design guidelines; and
- B. Purpose of the standard. On balance, the proposal will be consistent with the purpose of the standard for which a modification is requested.

Modification #1: 33.140.210.B.2 – Height. To allow rooftop mechanical equipment and screening to extend above the height limit of 175' (per the North Pearl Subarea height opportunity area standards), to be located closer than 15' to the roof edges on street-facing facades and to cover more than 10% of the roof area. The proposed mechanical screen will enclose 73% of the tower roof area and will extend up to the parapet of the tower in some locations.

Purpose Statement: The height standards work with the FAR, building setback, and building coverage standards to control the overall bulk and intensity of an area. The EG1 zone height limit is the same as the General Commercial zone because the EG1 zone often functions as a transition zone between industrial and residential or commercial zones. The EX zone height limit reflects its use in intense urban areas and the range of uses that are allowed. The other zones do not have height limits because tall buildings in these areas have traditionally not been a problem.

Standard: 33.140.210.B.2. Rooftop mechanical equipment and stairwell enclosures that provide rooftop access may extend above the height limit as follows, provided that the equipment and enclosures are set back at least 15 feet from all roof edges on street facing facades:

- Elevator mechanical equipment may extend up to 16 feet above the height limit; and
- b. Other mechanical equipment and stairwell enclosures that cumulatively cover no more than 10 percent of the roof area may extend up to 10 feet above the height limit.
- A. Better meets design guidelines. The resulting development will better meet the applicable design guidelines; and

Findings: The proposed mechanical screening is composed of a dark, deeply ribbed metal panel that is also proposed to clad a portion of the south elevation. The color of the metal will also match the dark-colored composite metal panel proposed that is incorporated into the building massing which extends down from the screen on the south and east elevations. This integrated screen, which extends around all four sides of the building's roof, will help these systems to fit into the skyline.

However, the extension of the rooftop mechanical equipment and screening does not need to better meet design guidelines. The Council rejects the Design Commission's finding that the applicant cannot comply with Guidelines C5 – Design for Coherency and C11 – Integrate Roofs and Use Rooftops without this modification. The applicant can reduce the height to avoid placing the large mechanical units at lower elevations, or the ground level, and still meet Guidelines A8 – Contribute to a Vibrant Streetscape, B2 – Protect the Pedestrian, and C9 – Develop Flexible Sidewalk-Level Spaces. In other words, the applicant did not need the modification in order to better meet applicable design guidelines.

B. Purpose of the standard. On balance, the proposal will be consistent with the purpose of the standard for which a modification is requested.

Findings: Height limits work with the FAR, building setback, and building coverage standards to control the overall bulk and intensity of development. Without adequate justification for the requested additional bulk and increase in permissible roof coverage, the purpose of the standard is not met.

Therefore, the Council finds that this Modification does not merit approval.

Modification #2: 33.266.220.C.3.b - Standards for all bicycle parking, Bicycle racks: To allow long-term bicycle racks to be spaced at 1'-4" x 6' rather than the required 2' x 6'.

Purpose Statement: These standards ensure that required bicycle parking is designed so that bicycles may be securely locked without undue inconvenience and will be reasonably safeguarded from intentional or accidental damage.

Standard: 33.266.220.C.3.b: A space 2 feet by 6 feet must be provided for each required bicycle parking space, so that a bicycle six feet long can be securely held with its frame supported so that the bicycle cannot be pushed or fall in a manner that will damage the wheels or components. See Figure 266-11.

A. Better meets design guidelines. The resulting development will better meet the applicable design guidelines; and

Findings: The proposal states that a total of 481 long-term bicycle parking spaces are proposed. Of these, 206 are proposed to be located in a common bike storage room on the ground floor. These will be hung vertically on walls in the bike room. The narrower spacing would allow for more bikes to be placed in smaller amount of space on the ground floor, ensuring that there remains plenty of room for active uses along the street- and walkway-facing edges of the building—a development pattern which also fits in well with nearby development—better meeting Guidelines A1 – Integrate the River, A5 – Enhance, Embellish, and Identify Areas, A5-1-1 – Reinforce the Identity of the Pearl District Neighborhood, A5-1-5 – Reinforce the Identity of the Waterfront Area, A8 – Contribute to a Vibrant Streetscape, B5 – Make Plazas, Parks, and Open Space Successful, C4 – Complement the Context of Existing Buildings, and C9 – Develop Flexible Sidewalk-Level Spaces. Bike racks provided within the residential units show that the full-sized 2'x 6' space will be provided there.

B. Purpose of the standard. On balance, the proposal will be consistent with the purpose of the standard for which a modification is requested.

Findings: The Council finds that the narrower spacing and the need to hang bikes vertically may present a physical obstacle for some riders, limiting functionality of the racks. Thus, the proposed modification may not allow the purpose statement—that the standards ensure that bikes can be locked without undue inconvenience and are reasonably safeguarded from damage—to be met. Additional evidence is needed in the record to demonstrate if the purpose of the standard can be met successfully.

Therefore, the Council finds that this Modification does not yet merit approval.

Modification #3: 33.510.205.H.2 - North Pearl Subarea height opportunity area.

- To allow the height of the building to be 175'-0", exceeding the maximum allowed base height of 100'-0"; and,
- To allow the length of facades above 100' to exceed 120' in length. Proposed façade length on the southwest and northeast facades of the building is 125'-2". Proposed façade length on the southeast and northwest facades of the building is 142'-8".

Purpose Statement:

In the North Pearl Subarea, additional building height may be appropriate to support the goals of the North Pearl Plan. The regulations of this subsection:

- Promote the use of development bonus and transfer provisions to create and support a range of community amenities to serve the diversity of residents and employees in the Central City;
- Create a skyline and urban form that is visually permeable by providing visual access to locations within and beyond the subarea;
- Encourage the development of taller buildings that may accommodate a range and diversity of land uses;
- Result in a dynamic and varied skyline and urban form that contributes to the health, vibrancy, and livability of urban living;
- Shape building massings that allow light and air to penetrate to the street level, enhance pedestrian scale, and create a pleasant, versatile, and active public realm; and
- Provide flexibility to allow a range of uses and building types to be developed in a manner that fulfills the design objectives of this purpose statement.

Additionally, along the waterfront of the North Pearl Subarea the regulations of this subsection also:

- Increase access to sunlight along the greenway and within public and private open space areas developed along the waterfront;
- Develop a dense, active urban waterfront with a vibrant public realm;
- Work with the open area and waterfront development provisions of the North Pearl Subarea in the creation of well designed public and private urban open space amenities;
- Facilitate visual and physical access to and along the riverfront for all members of the public;
- Create expanded opportunities for views of the river as viewed from Naito Parkway and Front Avenue, landward portions of the subarea, and locations west of the subdistrict; and
- Ensure bonus height granted to sites adjacent to the Fremont Bridge does not significantly affect views of or diminish the aesthetic qualities of the bridge or its iconic stature in the Portland skyline.

Standard: 33.510.205.H.2 through 2.c. Additional building height above the maximum height limits shown on Map 510-3 may be approved as a modification through design review if H.2.a and b are met, and either H.2.c or d. Except as specifically allowed, adjustments and modifications to this paragraph are prohibited.

- a. The site must be in the height opportunity area shown on Map 510-16.
- b. The floor area of the building above the maximum height limit shown on Map 510-3 must be:
 - Earned through bonus FAR provisions;
 - (2) Transferred by a Central City Master Plan; or
 - (3) Transferred from an Historic Resource in conformance with 33.510.200.H, Transfer of floor area from Historic Resources in specified areas.
- c. The regulations of this subparagraph apply to sites northeast of SW Naito Parkway. Building heights may be increased to 175 feet in the height opportunity area if the following are met:

 The floors of the building above 100 feet are limited to 12,500 square feet in area or less; and

The length of any façade above 100 feet may not exceed 120 feet. However, a dimension of up to 150 feet may be requested as a modification through design review.

A. Better meets design guidelines. The resulting development will better meet the applicable design guidelines; and

Findings: The first portion of the Modification request—to exceed the maximum height limit of 100 feet by an additional 75 feet—begins to address a potential problem with any development of significant size on this site, which is the obstruction of views of the Fremont Bridge from the Pearl District at large and from The Fields Park, in particular. The applicants have demonstrated this on sheets App.24 and App.25 in the drawing package sent to the Design Commission for the November 30, 2017 hearing (Exhibit H-42), as well as in older drawing packages sent to the Design Commission for hearings in September and November, that development up to 100-feet in height will block much of the view of the Fremont Bridge from The Fields Park, and that adding bonus floor area on top of that will further block the view. They attempt to demonstrate that shifting the mass of the building podium to one side by increasing the height of that side can help to restore a portion of the view of the bridge; however, the Council itself has found, above, that objections raised by the appellant and other testifiers to the overall tower height of 175 feet are not relevant.

The proposed low podium and tower building typology is also used elsewhere in the North Pearl Subarea. Shortening the height of the podium and emphasizing the tower helps to reinforce this pattern, which begins to better meet Guidelines A5 – Enhance, Embellish, and Identify Areas, A5-1-1 – Reinforce the Identity of the Pearl District, and Neighborhood C4 – Complement the Context of Existing Buildings. Further, although the "L"-shaped tower may appear more massive from vantage points other than The Fields Park, Design Commissioners, overall, have found at the September 28, 2017 and November 16, 2017 hearings that the massing composition achieves its goals and meets these guidelines well and will be a well-composed building, consistent with the character of other buildings in the Pearl District.

However, the second portion of the Modification request presents a more difficult question. Again, the proposed façade length on the southwest and northeast facades of the building is 125'-2", and the proposed façade length on the southeast and northwest facades of the building is 142'-8". Both exceed the allowed length of 120 feet for building façades above 100 feet in height. While the excess of 5'-2" on the southwest and northeast seems insignificant, the Council finds that an increase of 22'-8" on the southeast and northwest is substantial and does not better meet any applicable design guidelines than meeting the standard outright.

B. Purpose of the standard. On balance, the proposal will be consistent with the purpose of the standard for which a modification is requested.

Findings: The purpose of the standard aims to achieve numerous goals in the North Pearl Subarea and along the North Pearl waterfront. Several parts of the purpose statement aim to increase visual permeability, preserve visual and

physical access to the river, allow for light and air to penetrate to the public realm, and to ensure that bonus height on sites adjacent to the Fremont Bridge does not significantly affect views of the bridge.

The applicants have provided massing studies on sheets App.24 and App.25 in the drawing package presented to the Design Commission on November 30, 2017 (Exhibit H-42) which show that a building occupying the majority of the site and built up to the 100-foot height limit would severely impact views of the Fremont Bridge from The Fields Park. Shifting some of that building mass and program from the podium to a tower helps to lower the height of the podium, which allows for some of the existing view to be retained, although the Council finds that retaining this view is not relevant.

Allowing the length of the building above 100-feet in height and running parallel to NW Naito Parkway to exceed the length limit by 5'-2" will have a negligible additional impact on the view. The façade length running perpendicular to the river and NW Naito Parkway, though, will block some additional view of the bridge with the additional 22'-8" length requested, and does not meet the purpose of the standard. In particular, the Council finds that this increase fails to create a skyline and urban form that is visually permeable by providing visual access to locations within and beyond the subarea, and does not increase access to sunlight along the Greenway and other public open space areas developed along the waterfront.

The purpose of the standard in allowing for buildings taller than the base 100-foot height limit also aims to achieve a range and diversity of land uses as well as a range of community amenities to serve residents and employees in the Central City. The standard also exists to develop a dense and active urban waterfront with a vibrant public realm. The applicants have proposed a retail/restaurant ground floor use at the northeast corner of the building, facing the proposed plaza/open space and the Greenway trail and river. This use is critical to have a vibrant waterfront and public realm. Thus, were the application to be approved, a condition of approval requiring this use to be developed and maintained over time should be included as partial justification for the additional building height.

The Council finds that the purpose of the standard will be met in part and not met in part.

Therefore, the Council finds that this Modification merits approval in part and denial in part.

Modification #4: 33.510.251.C.3 - Required open area development standards, Shadow standard. To allow more than 50% of the plaza area on the north side of the site to be covered by shadows at noon on April 21st of any year, not including shadows from trees. Approximately 84% of the plaza will be in shadow at noon.

Purpose Statement: The open area requirement promotes adequate amounts of light and air, year-round opportunities for outdoor active and passive recreation, visual relief from the built environment, and facilitates circulation for pedestrians to and throughout the North Pearl Subarea. The open area requirement is intended to produce open areas at a scale comparable to what large sites would have if divided by the 200-foot street grid pattern.

Standard: 33.510.251.C.3, Shadow standard. Parks and plazas must be sited so that shadows from buildings cover no more than 50 percent of a park or plaza at noon and 75% at 3:00 PM on April 21 of any year. Trees are not to be included in consideration of the limitation on shadows.

A. Better meets design guidelines. The resulting development will better meet the applicable design guidelines; and

Findings: The Council finds that the proposed modification to allow the proposed plaza area on the north side of the site to be in more than 50% shadow at noon on April 21st does not better meet the design guidelines. Rather, the placement of the proposed open space/plaza on the north side of the tower portion of the project results in a self-imposed violation of the shadow standard.

B. Purpose of the standard. On balance, the proposal will be consistent with the purpose of the standard for which a modification is requested.

Findings: The North Pearl Subarea open area shadow standard is intended to allow for adequate amounts of light and air in addition to providing opportunities for active and passive recreation, visual relief, and increasing options for pedestrian circulation. The amount of shaded area on the plaza at noon is significant, and the remaining with sun is centered around a shared loading and pedestrian area along NW Naito Parkway. The applicant argues that by 1:00pm the area of the plaza in the sun will have increased by a little over 5,000 square feet, leaving only 26.5% of the plaza in the shade. while the entire north side of the plaza will be in sun. However, the Council finds that the purpose of the standard is to provide a reasonable amount of sunlight at the noon hour, when citizens are most likely to be making use of the plaza and participating in active and passive recreation there.

Therefore, the Council finds that this Modification does not merit approval.

Modification #5: 33.510.251.D.3.b & c – North Pearl Subarea waterfront development standards:

- b. <u>Setbacks for all development from the Willamette River:</u> To allow portions of the building over 35' in height to extend into the setback area, which requires the building to be set back from the Greenway setback line by 1' for every 1' of height above 35'. (These portions of the building are not yet clearly identified on the plan drawings.)
- c. <u>Maximum building dimension</u>: To allow the building dimension to be 230'-9" in the northeast-southwest direction, i.e., perpendicular to the river, rather than the maximum allowed 200' dimension.

Purpose Statement: These standards are intended to assure both frequent views of the river and physical connections to the river and its activities.

Standard: 33.510.251.D.3.b, Setbacks for all development from the Willamette River. The minimum setback for all development from the Willamette River is regulated by the Greenway Overlay zones; see Chapter 33.440. In addition, buildings or portions of buildings over 35 feet in height must be set back from the Greenway setback line 1 foot for every 1 foot of height above 35 feet.

Standard: 33.510.251.D.3.c, Maximum building dimension. The maximum building dimension is 200 feet. This standard applies to both length and depth.

A. Better meets design guidelines. The resulting development will better meet the applicable design guidelines; and

Findings for subparagraph b: The modification request to allow portions of the building to extend into the setback area does not serve to better meet the design guidelines. Specifically, it does not better meet Guidelines A2 - Emphasize Portland Themes, A5 - Enhance, Embellish, and Identify Areas, A5-1-1 -Reinforce the Identity of the Pearl District Neighborhood, and A5-1-5 - Reinforce the Identity of the Waterfront Area. The Council finds that this is an iconic location, the development of which will set a precedent for future riverfront development in the North Pearl. Here, what is proposed is a narrow Greenway trail with a structure looming over it. This would serve to benefit the residents of the building and their private views of the river, but not public users of the Greenway and riverfront. It would stand in stark contrast to the generous, open, welcoming Greenway treatments of the Bridgetown Lofts downstream and the Waterfront Pearl upstream from this site. By contrast, the Council finds that, with the proposed modification, this project would not emphasize Portland themes; enhance, embellish, and identify areas; reinforce the identity of the Pearl District neighborhood; or reinforce the identity of the waterfront area. Indeed, it would be destructive of the identity of the waterfront area the city wishes to establish, and which the design guidelines are intended to achieve.

Findings for subparagraph c: The Council finds that the modification request to allow the building dimension in the east-west direction to be up to 230'-9" in length will not better meet the applicable design guidelines, including A1-1 – Link the River to the Community, A2 – Emphasize Portland Themes, A5-1-5 – Reinforce the Identity of the Waterfront Area, and B5 – Make Plazas, Parks, and Open Space Successful. Rather, as described in the Findings for these aforementioned guidelines, above, the Council finds that allowing the building dimension to exceed the 200' standard will result in an undesirable constriction of the Greenway trail.

B. Purpose of the standard. On balance, the proposal will be consistent with the purpose of the standard for which a modification is requested.

Findings: The North Pearl Subarea waterfront standards are intended to assure both frequent views of the river and physical connections to the river and its activities. The Council finds that the encroachment of the building into the 1-foot setback per 1-foot in height above 35 feet from the Greenway setback will not be consistent with this purpose, looming over the riverfront and diminishing visual connections to the river and its activities. The proposed increase in the maximum building dimension from 200 feet to nearly 231 feet lies in the direction perpendicular to the river is similarly inconsistent with this purpose; a narrower building that meets the standard would afford more visual connections to the river and its activities. The proposed modifications would serve the opposite purpose. Thus, the Council finds that the proposal is not consistent with the purpose of the North Pearl Subarea waterfront standards.

Therefore, the Council finds that this Modification does not merit approval.

Modification #6: 33.140.240.B.4 - Pedestrian Standards, EG1 and EX zones. To allow landscaping between the sidewalk and the building along NW Naito Parkway to be

planted with groundcover and other low plants, which is less than the required L1 landscaping standard that requires trees to be planted in addition to groundcover.

Purpose Statement: The pedestrian standards encourage a safe, attractive, and usable pedestrian circulation system in developments in the employment zones. They ensure a direct pedestrian connection between abutting streets and buildings on the site, and between buildings and other activities within the site. In addition, they provide for connections between adjacent sites, where feasible.

Standard: 33.140.240.B.4, EG1 and EX zones. The land between a building and a street lot line must be landscaped to at least the L1 level and/or hard-surfaced for use by pedestrians. This area may be counted towards any minimum landscaped area requirements. Vehicle areas and exterior display, storage, and work activities, if allowed, are exempt from this standard. Bicycle parking may be located in the area between a building and a street lot line when the area is hard-surfaced.

A. Better meets design guidelines. The resulting development will better meet the applicable design guidelines; and

Findings: The Council finds that the modification request to allow a planter without trees between the sidewalk edge and the building along NW Naito Parkway does not better meet guidelines which seek to reinforce the character and context of NW Naito and the waterfront, specifically, A4 – Use Unifying Elements, A5 – Enhance, Embellish, and Identify Areas, and A5-1-5 – Reinforce the Identity of the Waterfront Area. Rather, if a fully hardscaped edge is not provided between the public sidewalk edge and the building, the Council finds that trees are highly desired along NW Naito Pkwy and characteristic of that street.

B. Purpose of the standard. On balance, the proposal will be consistent with the purpose of the standard for which a modification is requested.

Findings: The purpose of the standard is to encourage a safe, attractive, and usable pedestrian circulation system, as well as ensuring a direct connection between buildings and other activities on the site. The Council finds that a safe, attractive, and usable pedestrian circulation system includes the planting of the required trees in the proposed planters between the sidewalk edge and the building. Were the applicants to pave the proposed planter area, instead, to be used by pedestrians, this standard would be met and the Modification would not be required.

Therefore, the council finds that this Modification does not merit approval.

Modification #7: 33.266.130.G.2.c – [Parking area] Setbacks. To allow required landscaping between the south lot line and the driveway leading from NW Naito Pkwy to the structured parking garage to be screened with 3-foot tall evergreen shrubs for a portion of its length and with a 3-foot tall concrete wall for the rest of its length instead of the required L2 landscaping (which consists of trees, shrubs, and ground cover). The proposed planted area will be 2 feet in width instead of the required 5-foot width.

Purpose Statement: The development standards promote vehicle areas which are safe and attractive for motorists and pedestrians. Vehicle area locations are restricted in some zones to promote the desired character of those zones.

Together with the transit street building setback standards in the base zone chapters, the vehicle area restrictions for sites on transit streets and in Pedestrian Districts:

- Provide a pedestrian access that is protected from auto traffic; and
- Create an environment that is inviting to pedestrians and transit users.

The parking area layout standards are intended to promote safe circulation within the parking area, provide for the effective management of stormwater runoff from vehicle areas, and provide for convenient entry and exit of vehicles.

The setback and landscaping standards:

- Improve and soften the appearance of parking areas;
- Reduce the visual impact of parking areas from sidewalks, streets, and especially from adjacent residential zones;
- Provide flexibility to reduce the visual impacts of small residential parking lots;
- Direct traffic in parking areas;
- Shade and cool parking areas;
- Reduce the amount and rate of stormwater runoff from vehicle areas;
- Reduce pollution and temperature of stormwater runoff from vehicle areas; and
- Decrease airborne and waterborne pollution.

Standard: 33.266.130.G.2.c, Setbacks. The minimum required setbacks for surface parking areas are stated in Table 266-5. Protective curbs, tire stops, bollards or other protective barriers are not allowed within the minimum required setbacks.

Per Table 266-5, Minimum Parking Area Setbacks and Landscaping: For all zones except EG2 and IG2, a lot line abutting a C, E, or I zone lot line shall have 5 feet of L2 landscaping.

A. Better meets design guidelines. The resulting development will better meet the applicable design guidelines; and

Findings: Placing the driveway access to the structured parking garage close to the south lot line allows for the rest of the street frontage along NW Naito Pkwy to be programmed with either active ground floor uses within the building or to be devoted to pedestrian-oriented open space, better meeting Guidelines A7 – Establish and Maintain a Sense of Urban Enclosure and C8 – Contribute to a Vibrant Streetscape. Though shifting the driveway three feet further to the north would meet the standard, it would also negatively impact the rhythm of bays in the podium building mass along NW Naito Pkwy.

B. Purpose of the standard. On balance, the proposal will be consistent with the purpose of the standard for which a modification is requested.

Findings: Part of the purpose of the standard is to create an environment that is inviting to pedestrians and transit users. The proposal accomplishes this through the design of the building, which has an urban frontage along NW Naito Pkwy. The pedestrian environment created by the placement of the building close to the sidewalk edge along NW Naito Pkwy visually extends around the southwest corner to the south elevation of the building, where two podium bays extend down to the ground. Aside from the driveway, the parking area itself is fully-enclosed within the building, substantially reducing its impact on stormwater and its visual impact on the street. The short run of the driveway and the setback of the garage door also reduce visual impact on the sidewalk and the street. The driveway is softened close to the sidewalk with 3-foot tall evergreen shrubs, which should also allow for adequate sightlines from between

the driveway and the sidewalk. On balance, therefore, the proposal is consistent with the purpose of the standard.

Therefore, the Council finds that this modification merits approval.

(3) GREENWAY REVIEW (33.440.350)

33.440.350 Greenway Review Approval Criteria

The approval criteria for a Greenway review have been divided by location or situation. The divisions are not exclusive; a proposal must comply with **all** of the approval criteria that apply to the site. A Greenway review application will be approved if the review body finds that the applicant has shown that all of the approval criteria are met.

A. For all Greenway reviews. The Willamette Greenway design guidelines must be met for all Greenway reviews.

Findings: The purpose of the Willamette Greenway design guidelines is to help attain the goal and objectives of the Willamette Greenway Plan, particularly objectives 2, 3, and 4. The design guidelines address the quality of the environment along the river, and require public and private developments to complement and enhance the riverbank area, particularly with regard to riverbank treatment, landscape enhancement, public access, and the relationship of structures to the Greenway Trail, the siting and design of viewpoints, and the design of view corridors.

The Willamette Greenway Design Guidelines address the quality of the environment along the river and require public and private developments to complement and enhance the riverbank area. The Design Guidelines are grouped in a series of eight Issues:

Issue A. Relationship of Structures to the Greenway Setback Area: This issue "applies to all but river-dependent and river-related industrial use applications for Greenway Approval, when the Greenway trail is shown on the property in the Willamette Greenway Plan." These guidelines call for complementary design and orientation of structures so that the Greenway setback area is enhanced;

Guidelines:

 Structure Design. The Greenway Setback area should be complemented and enhanced by designing, detailing, coloring, and siting structures and their entrances to support the pedestrian circulation system, including both the Greenway trail and access connections.

Findings: The proposed building complements the Greenway Setback with the following features:

- The proposed building includes an active ground level, programmed with a proposed retail/restaurant space and designed with large retail bays infilled with storefront windows. One entrance for this space opens towards the Greenway trail and provides a direct connection to it, and another opens to the plaza/open space that connects the trail to NW Naito Parkway.
- Upper stories of the proposed building, which are programmed primarily with residential dwelling units, are dominated by large glazed areas that overlook the Greenway and the proposed connecting plaza/open space at the north side of the site.

The building's podium, which faces both the Greenway and the plaza/open space on the north end of the site, is proposed to be detailed with a dark black, heavily-rusticated brick. The brick material is used to form deep piers that divide the north and east facades into vertical bays along the lower levels of most of the two facades. These deep piers, combined with large areas of glazing, Juliette balconies, and canopies above the ground floor level all help to add articulation and detailing to the building's massing, enhancing the experience along both the Greenway trail and the plaza.

However, the Council finds that the proposed building ultimately does not complement the Greenway Setback. Instead, the building constricts the Greenway trail, detracting from the overall Greenway trail system, and places restaurant patrons on an adjacent deck too close to pedestrians, runners, and bicyclists using the narrow Greenway trail.

Therefore, the Council finds that this guideline is not met.

2. Structure Alignment. Where surrounding development follows an established block pattern, alignment with the block pattern should be considered in structure placement. Structure alignment should also take into account potential view corridors from existing public rights-of-way or acknowledged viewpoints. The pedestrian access system should be designed to take advantage of these alignments.

Findings:

Development along the north reach of the Willamette River in the Central City follows a pattern that approximates the city's typical 200' grid north of the Fremont Bridge in the Riverscape area/Rivernorth subdivision. This spacing is oriented perpendicular to the river. Development between the Fremont and Broadway bridges is more scattered, though a similar configuration currently exists in some areas, such as at the Waterfront Pearl development and with the spacing of the remaining buildings at the Centennial Mills site. The warehouse immediately south of the subject site is also approximately the size of a typical Portland block.

The proposed new development roughly follows this pattern, creating a building approximately 180-feet wide in the direction parallel to the river and establishing a wide view corridor and pedestrian accessway on its north side.

- Existing development on the subject site is auto-oriented and its building forms are slightly more broken up, with large parking areas located between and on either side of the two buildings currently on the site. Both buildings are set back from the street, but both back up close to the Greenway trail, leaving a narrow strip of landscaping between the trail and the buildings. This contrasts with newer developments to the north of the Fremont Bridge, which tend to be set back farther from the Greenway trail.
- The Council finds that proposed building should follow the pattern of newer developments along the Greenway, setting the building farther back from the Greenway and allowing a wider trail consistent with that found both upstream and downstream.

Therefore, the Council finds that this guideline is met in part and not met in part.

Issue B. Public Access: This issue "applies to all but river-dependent and river-related industrial use applications for Greenway Approval, when the Greenway trail is shown on the property in the Willamette Greenway Plan." These guidelines call for integration of the

Greenway trail into new development, as well as the provision of features such as view points, plazas, or view corridors;

Guidelines:

 Public Access. New developments should integrate public access opportunities to and along the river into the design of the Project. This includes the Greenway trail, formal viewpoints, access connections to the Greenway trail, and internal site pedestrian circulation.

Findings:

- A new pedestrianway is proposed at the north end of the subject site—part of a larger plaza and open space area that extends from NW Naito Pkwy to the Greenway trail.
- The Greenway trail segment presently exists and will continue through the subject site.
- No formal viewpoints are located on the subject site.
- Internal site pedestrian circulation is limited; however, connections from the Greenway trail to the retail/restaurant space and to the second-floor roof terrace are proposed.

Therefore, the Council finds that this guideline is met in part and not met in part.

2. Separation and Screening. The pedestrian circulation system, including Greenway trail, viewpoints, and trail access connections, should be designed to ensure adequate separation and screening from parking, loading, circulation routes, external storage areas, trash dumpsters, exterior vents, mechanical devices, and other similar equipment.

Findings:

- Parking, trash and recycling dumpsters, and loading are located within the building, away from the Greenway trail and other pedestrian circulation routes.
- The mechanical room at the southeast corner of the building, which originally
 faced the Greenway trail, has been shifted to the west behind two ground-level
 residential units that now open onto the Greenway trail. This provides
 satisfactory separation and screening of this use from the Greenway trail.

Therefore, the Council finds that this guideline is met.

Signage. Access connections should be clearly marked.

Findings: No information is yet provided regarding signage to mark the connection from NW Naito Pkwy to the Greenway trail. Signage that denotes public access to the Greenway trail and which meets the Directional signs standard in Portland sign code section 32.32.030.H should be provided at both ends of the pedestrianway at the north end of the site.

The Council finds that, with the condition of approval that signage denoting public access to the Greenway trail shall be placed at both ends of the pedestrianway and that the signs shall meet the Directional signs standard in Portland sign code section 32.32.030.H, this guideline will be met.

4. Access to Water's Edge. Where site topography and conservation and enhancement of natural riverbank and riparian habitat allow, safe pedestrian access to the water's edge is encouraged as part of the Project. Findings: Site topography does not allow for safe pedestrian access to the water's edge, due to the existing seawall.

Therefore, the Council finds that this guideline does not apply.

Issue C. Natural Riverbank and Riparian Habitat: This issue "applies to situations where the river bank is in a natural state, or has significant wildlife habitat, as determined by the wildlife habitat inventory." These guidelines call for the preservation and enhancement of natural banks and areas with riparian habitat;

Guidelines:

 Natural Riverbanks. The natural riverbank along the Willamette River should be conserved and enhanced to the maximum extent practicable. Modification of the riverbank should only be considered when necessary to prevent significant bank erosion and the loss of private property, or when necessary for the functioning of a river-dependent or river-related use.

Findings: No natural riverbank exists along the subject site, since the riverbank has been replaced with an existing seawall.

Therefore, the Council finds that this guideline does not apply.

2. Riparian Habitat. Rank I riparian habitat areas, as identified in the wildlife habitat inventory, should be conserved and enhanced with a riparian landscape treatment. Other riparian habitat should be conserved and enhanced through riparian landscape treatments to the maximum extent practical. Conservation however does not mean absolute preservation. Some discretion as to what vegetation should remain and what can be removed and replaced should be permitted. Riparian habitat treatments should include a variety of species of plants of varying heights that provide different food and shelter opportunities throughout the year.

Findings: The subject site is identified as having only Rank V habitat area and no Rank I habitat.

Therefore, the Council finds that this guideline does not apply.

Issue D. Riverbank Stabilization Treatments: This Issue "applies to all applications for Greenway Approval." This guideline promotes bank treatments for upland developments that enhance the appearance of the riverbank, promote public access to the river, and incorporate the use of vegetation where possible;

Guidelines:

Riverbank Enhancement. Riverbank stabilization treatments should enhance the
appearance of the riverbank, promote public access to the river, and incorporate the
use of vegetation where practical. Areas used for river-dependent and river-related
industrial uses are exempted from providing public access.

Findings:

- The existing seawall along the river is proposed to remain. Public access to the river from the subject site is therefore precluded.
- Additional landscaping is proposed in pockets along the river side of the Greenway trail. Proposed species are not identified. Most of the area on the upland side of the Greenway setback is proposed to be developed; only an area at the southeast corner incorporates proposed landscaping.

Therefore, the Council finds that this guideline is met.

Issue E. Landscape Treatments: This Issue "applies to all applications for Greenway Approval which are subject to the landscape requirements of the Greenway chapter of Title 33 Planning and Zoning of the Portland Municipal Code." This Issue calls for landscaping treatments that create a balance between the needs of both human and wildlife populations in the Greenway Setback area or riverward of the Greenway Setback.

Guidelines:

1. Landscape Treatments. The landscape treatment should create an environment which recognizes both human and wildlife use. Areas where limited human activity is expected should consider more informal riparian treatments. Areas of intense human use could consider a more formal landscape treatment. The top of bank may be considered a transition area between a riparian treatment on the riverbank and a more formal treatment of the upland.

Findings:

- New landscape plantings are proposed at the top of the seawall. These are grouped into four discrete pockets—one on the riverward side of the Greenway trail and three on the landward side. These landscape pockets define an area of the Greenway for passive human use, with benches provided along portions of the landward pathway edge.
- Since the original design proposal was presented to the Design Commission on September 28, 2017, the applicants have revised their proposal to include new shrubbery at the base of the seawall, removing the invasive species currentlypresent and replanting with native species. (Some of these species do not appear on the native plant list, however, and may need to be swapped out with other species to meet Greenway development standards. Ground cover may also be required to meet the standards.) These plantings will help to restore the more informal riparian area along the base of the seawall and will benefit wildlife as well as humans.

Therefore, the Council finds that this guideline is met.

2. Grouping of Trees and Shrubs. In areas of more intense human use, trees and shrubs can be grouped. The grouping of trees and shrubs allows for open areas for human use, and has the secondary value of increasing the value of the vegetation for wildlife.

Findings: New landscape plantings are proposed at the top and base of the seawall. Those at the top are grouped into four discrete pockets as described above. These landscape pockets define an area of the Greenway for passive human use, with benches provided at either end of the landscaped area.

Therefore, the Council finds that this guideline is met.

3. Transition. The landscape treatment should provide an adequate transition between upland and riparian areas and with the landscape treatments of adjacent properties.

Findings: The transition between the upland and riparian areas of the Greenway is essentially delineated by the seawall on the subject site. Existing landscape in this area is defined by human intervention, thus any transition between riparian and upland habitat will be abrupt.

Therefore, the Council finds that this guideline does not apply.

Issue F. Alignment of Greenway Trail: This issue "applies to all applications for Greenway Approval with the Greenway trail shown on the property in the Willamette Greenway Plan." These guidelines provide direction for the proper alignment of the Greenway trail, including special consideration for existing habitat protection and physical features in the area of the proposed alignment;

Guidelines:

 Year-round Use. The Greenway trail should be located so as to be open for public use year round. The trail may be constructed along the top of bank, on a floating platform, or in a series of tiers adjacent to the river, provided that at least one of these levels will remain unsubmerged.

Findings: The proposed Greenway trail will be constructed at the top of an existing seawall, which forms the top of bank. Except during extreme flooding events, this trail will remain unsubmerged.

Therefore, the Council finds that this guideline is met.

2. Habitat Protection. The Greenway trail should be routed around smaller natural habitat areas to reduce the impact on the habitat area.

Findings: No natural habitat areas currently exist within the Greenway setback on the subject site, as the current setback is occupied by the existing Greenway trail and seawall.

Therefore, the Council finds that this guideline does not apply.

3. Alignment. The Greenway trail alignment should be sensitive to and take advantage of topographical and environmental features of the site, views of the river, existing and proposed vegetation, and sunlight.

Findings: The proposed Greenway trail alignment largely follows its existing position along the seawall on the east side of the site, though it is shifted closer to the wall to allow for additional opportunity for views of the river. Much as it exists today, the trail will terminate at the south edge of the site. Here, however, the trail diverts landward from the river, responding to the existing top-of-bank condition on the adjacent site to the south, which cuts in landward from the seawall. The proposed alignment of the trail at this terminus sets up the potential for a (perhaps near) future trail extension on the adjacent property. This is revised from the original proposal which had the Greenway trail terminate in its existing location, which limited future trail connections at the south end of the site and did not respond to the changing topographic conditions immediately to the south of the subject site.

At the north end of the Greenway trail, the proposed angled planter has been shifted closer to the seawall to better align with the existing planter to the north, better meeting this guideline and eliminating a condition of approval proposed at the November 30, 2017 Design Commission hearing.

Therefore, the Council finds that this guideline is met.

Issue G. Viewpoints: This issue "applies to all applications for Greenway Approval with a public viewpoint shown on the property in the *Willamette Greenway Plan* and for all applications proposing to locate a viewpoint on the property". These guidelines provide direction about the features and design of viewpoints, as required at specific locations;

Findings: No viewpoints are identified on the subject site in the Willamette Greenway Public Access Map of the Willamette Greenway Plan.

Therefore, the Council finds that this guideline does not apply.

Issue H. View Corridors: This issue "applies to all applications for Greenway Approval with a view corridor shown on the property in the Willamette Greenway Plan." These guidelines provide guidance in protecting view corridors to the river and adjacent neighborhoods; Guidelines:

- 1. Right-of-way Protection. View corridors to the river along public rights-of-way are to be protected. These rights-of-way should not be vacated.
- 2. View Protection. Buildings, structures, or other features must be located to avoid blocking view corridors.
- 3. Landscape Enhancement. Landscape treatments within view corridors should frame and enhance the view of the river.

Findings for 1, 2, & 3: No view corridors are identified on the subject site in the Willamette Greenway Public Access Map of the Willamette Greenway Plan.

Therefore, the Council finds that these guidelines do not apply.

B. River frontage lots in the River Industrial zone. In the River Industrial zone, uses that are not river-dependent or river-related may locate on river frontage lots when the site is found to be unsuitable for river-dependent or river-related uses. Considerations include such constraints as the size or dimensions of the site, distance or isolation from other river-dependent or river-related uses, and inadequate river access for river-dependent uses.

Findings: The subject site is not located in the River Industrial overlay zone.

Therefore, the Council finds that this criterion does not apply.

C. Development within the River Natural zone. The applicant must show that the proposed development, excavation, or fill within the River Natural zone will not have significant detrimental environmental impacts on the wildlife, wildlife habitat, and scenic qualities of the lands zoned River Natural. The criteria applies to the construction and long-range impacts of the proposal, and to any proposed mitigation measures. Excavations and fills are prohibited except in conjunction with approved development or for the purpose of wildlife habitat enhancement, riverbank enhancement, or mitigating significant riverbank erosion.

Findings: The subject site is not located in the River Natural overlay zone.

Therefore, the Council finds that this criterion does not apply.

D. Development on land within 50 feet of the River Natural zone. The applicant must show that the proposed development or fill on land within 50 feet of the River Natural zone

will not have a significant detrimental environmental impact on the land in the River Natural zone.

Findings: The subject site is not located within 50 feet of the River Natural Overlay zone.

Therefore, the Council finds that this criterion does not apply.

E. Development within the Greenway setback. The applicant must show that the proposed development or fill within the Greenway setback will not have a significant detrimental environmental impact on Rank I and II wildlife habitat areas on the riverbank. Habitat rankings are found in the Lower Willamette River Wildlife Habitat Inventory.

Findings: Reconstruction of the Greenway trail is proposed. No Rank I or Rank II wildlife habitat areas are identified on the subject site in the Lower Willamette River Wildlife Habitat Inventory.

Therefore, the Council finds that this criterion does not apply.

- F. Development riverward of the Greenway setback. The applicant must show that the proposed development or fill riverward of the Greenway setback will comply with all of the following criteria:
 - The proposal will not result in the significant loss of biological productivity in the river:
 - 2. The riverbank will be protected from wave and wake damage;
 - The proposal will not:
 - a. Restrict boat access to adjacent properties;
 - Interfere with the commercial navigational use of the river, including transiting, turning, passing, and berthing movements;
 - c. Interfere with fishing use of the river;
 - d. Significantly add to recreational boating congestion; and
 - 4. The request will not significantly interfere with beaches that are open to the public.

Findings for 1, 2, 3, & 4: The proposal does not include any development or fill riverward of the Greenway setback.

Therefore, the Council finds that these criteria do not apply.

G. Development within the River Water Quality overlay zone setback. If the proposal includes development, exterior alterations, excavations, or fills in the River Water Quality overlay zone setback the approval criteria below must be met. Riverdependent development, exterior alterations, excavations, and fills in the River Water Quality zone are exempt from the approval criteria of this subsection

Findings: The proposal is not located in the River Quality overlay zone.

Therefore, the Council finds that this criterion does not apply.

- H. Mitigation or remediation plans. Where a mitigation or remediation plan is required by the approval criteria of this chapter, the applicant's mitigation or remediation plan must demonstrate that the following are met:
 - Except when the purpose of the mitigation could be better provided elsewhere, mitigation will occur:
 - a. On site and as close as practicable to the area of disturbance;

- b. Within the same watershed as the proposed use or development; and
 c. Within the Portland city limits.
- The applicant owns the mitigation or remediation site; possesses a legal
 instrument that is approved by the City (such as an easement or deed
 restriction) sufficient to carry out and ensure the success of the mitigation or
 remediation plan; or can demonstrate legal authority to acquire property
 through eminent domain;
- 3. The mitigation or remediation plan contains a construction timetable and a minimum 1 year monitoring and maintenance plan that demonstrates compliance with Subsection 33.248.090.E and includes the following elements:
 - a. Identification of the responsible party or parties that will carry out the mitigation or remediation plan;
 - Identification of clear and objective performance benchmarks that will be used to judge the mitigation or remediation plan success; and
 - c. Contingency plan that indicates the actions to be taken in the event that performance benchmarks are not met.

Findings: No mitigation or remediation plans are required.

Therefore, the Council finds that these criteria do not apply.

DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS

Unless specifically required in the approval criteria listed above, this proposal does not have to meet the development standards in order to be approved during this review process. The plans submitted for a building or zoning permit must demonstrate that all development standards of Title 33 can be met, or have received an Adjustment or Modification via a land use review prior to the approval of a building or zoning permit.

33.510.210.C - Bonus floor area options. The proposal's program relies on achieving floor area bonuses totaling at least 161,378 square feet—which is equivalent to an additional FAR of approximately 2.24:1—for a total gross floor area of 305,538 square feet.

- Out of the total development program, the first 144,160 square feet are achieved through the 2:1 base FAR available for the 72,080-square foot site.
- Bonus floor area totaling an additional 2:1 FAR, or another 144,160 square feet, are achieved through the <u>residential bonus</u> provisions in section 33.510.210.C.1.a.(2), which allows an additional square foot of floor area for each square foot of floor area developed and committed as housing.
 - Therefore, the Council finds that the proposed bonus floor area has been earned.
- Additional bonus floor area of 15,200 square feet, or approximately 0.21:1, is earned through the <u>locker room bonus</u> provisions in section 33.510.210.C.8, which allows an additional 40 square feet for each square foot of area developed and committed as locker room facilities to encourage bicycling. A locker room of 380 square feet is proposed. The locker room facility must include showers, a dressing area, and lockers. All tenants of the building must be able to use the locker room, and at least 110% of the required long-term bicycle parking spaces for the site must be provided—a minimum of 457 (481 are proposed)—and must meet the standards of 33.266.220.C, Standards for all bicycle parking. The applicants have requested a Modification to those standards, which the Council has found should be denied. The applicants show 206 units in a ground floor

bike storage room adjacent to the locker room. The applicants also show through typical unit plans that the remainder of the required long-term bicycle parking spaces can be accommodated within the 275 individual dwelling units. At the time of permit, these long-term bicycle parking spaces must be shown on the drawings within each unit to verify that the bonus has been earned. Ultimately, the Council finds that the remaining minimum of 182 bike parking spaces must be accommodated through other means that meet the bike parking standards in order to earn bonus floor area through this provision.

Therefore, the Council finds that the bonus floor area is not yet earned.

Additional bonus floor area of at least 2,018 square feet must be earned to achieve the full development program. The applicants propose to earn additional bonus floor area through the eco-roof bonus provisions in section 33.510.210.C.10. This bonus option allows additional floor area of 2 square feet for every square foot of eco-roof, where the total area of eco-roof is at least 30% but less than 60% of the building's footprint. The Bureau of Environmental Services has certified that a bonus of 36,434 square feet of floor area is earned. Please see Exhibit H-39 for details.

Therefore, the Council finds that the proposed bonus floor area has been earned.

V. CONCLUSIONS

The City Council heard relevant testimony from the applicant, the appellant, and members of the public. This site is zoned for a wide variety of land uses, including residential, various commercial, and industrial use types, and the Council expects it to be developed. It is possible that it will ultimately be developed to a greater height than that proposed. However, based upon the findings set out above, the Council concludes that the applicant has failed to demonstrate compliance with several important approval criteria, particularly those that discuss the building's relationship with the Greenway and the resultant constriction of the trail from. Additionally, the Council expressed significant discomfort with the cumulative effects of the requested modifications—six of which did not merit approval, even when considered individually—on the public space components of the proposal, including the sidewalk along NW Naito Pkwy, the plaza/open space, and the Greenway trail. The Council therefore finds that there is substantial evidence in the record to support the findings made herein. This evidence supports denial of the applications for Design Review with Modifications and Greenway Review.

VI. DECISION

It is the decision of Council to: uphold the appeal, thereby overturning the Design Commission's decision to approve the proposal with conditions, and denying the applications in this matter.

The appellant prevailed.

VII. APPEAL INFORMATION

Appeals to the Land Use Board of Appeals (LUBA)

This is the City's final decision on this matter. It may be appealed to the Oregon Land Use Board of Appeals (LUBA), within 21 days of the date of the decision, as specified in

the Oregon Revised Statute (ORS) 197.830. Among other things, ORS 197.830 requires that a petitioner at LUBA must have submitted written testimony during the comment period or this land use review. You may call LUBA at 1 (503) 373-1265 for further information on filing an appeal.

EXHIBITS NOT ATTACHED UNLESS INDICATED

- A. Applicant's Submittals
 - 1. Original Drawing Set
 - 2. Project Narrative, dated and received 01/30/2017
 - 3a. Letter requesting to deem the application complete, received 05/24/2017
 - 3b. Letter requesting to postpone scheduling the Design Review hearing, received 05/25/2017
 - Site Plan Study, dated and received 06/15/2017
 - Site Plan Study, received 06/21/2017
 - Revised Drawing Set, dated and received 07/21/2017
 - Revised Narrative, dated and received 07/21/2017
 - Geotechnical Report, dated 03/22/2017 and received 07/21/2017
 - Revised Drawing Set (sent to Design Commission), dated 09/28/2017 and received 09/08/2017
 - Revised Narrative (sent to Design Commission), dated 09/07/2017 and received 09/08/2017
 - 11. Stormwater Report, dated 09/07/2017 and received 09/08/2017
 - 12. Eco-roof Documentation, received 09/15/2017
 - 13. Revised Building Elevations, received 09/19/2017
 - 14. Public comments + applicant responses, received 09/21/2017
- B. Zoning Map (attached)
- C. Plan & Drawings
 - 01. Not used.
 - 02. Site Plan (attached)
 - 03. Floor Plan Level P1
 - 04. Floor Plan Level 01
 - Floor Plan Level 02
 - 06. Floor Plan Level 03-05
 - 07. Floor Plan Level 06
 - Floor Plan Level 07
 - 09. Floor Plan Level 08
 - Floor Plan Level 09
 - 11. Floor Plan Level 10-17
 - Roof Plan
 - Building Elevations North (attached)
 - 14. Building Elevations West (attached)
 - 15. Building Elevations South (attached)
 - Building Elevations East (attached)
 - 17. Building Elevations Courtyard
 - 18. Building Elevations North B/W
 - 19. Building Elevations West B/W
 - 20. Building Elevations South B/W
 - Building Elevations East B/W
 - Building Elevations Courtyard B/W
 - Not used.
 - 24. Building Section E/W
 - 25. Building Section N/S

- Street-Level Elevation North
- 27. Street-Level Elevation West
- 28. Street-Level Elevation South
- 29. Street-Level Elevation East
- Not used.
- NW Corner
- 32. North Entrance
- 33. NW Corner North Facade
- NW Corner West Facade
- West Entrance
- West Facade Canopy
- 37. Garage Entrance
- 38. Southeast Corner
- Restaurant East Patio
- Restaurant Entrance
- Roof Terraces Level 6, 8, 10
- 42. Podium Parapet
- 43. Tower Parapet
- 44. Balconies
- 45. Details
- 46. Details
- 47. Details
- 48. Details
- 49. Details
- 50. Details
- 51. Details
- 59. Unnamed. [Details]
- 60. Zone Diagram
- 61. Site Level Landscape Plan
- 62. Entry Court Site Diagram and Precedents
- 63. Entry Court Site Materials, Furnishings & Planting
- 64. Entry Court Sections | Elevations
- 65. Entry Court Sections
- 66. Greenway Site Materials, Furnishings & Planting
- 67. Right of Way Existing and Proposed
- 68. Level 02 Landscape Plan
- 69. Level 02 Materials, Furnishings & Planting
- 70. Level 02 Section and Precedents
- 71. Overlook Landscape Plan
- 72. Overlook Materials, Furnishings & Planting
- 73. Overlook Section and Precedents
- 74. The Nest Landscape Plan
- 75. The Nest Materials, Furnishings & Planting
- 76. The Nest Section Looking North
- 77. The Nest Precedents
- 78. Existing Conditions
- 79. Site Plan

- 80. Grading and Erosion Control Plan
- 81. Utility Plan
- 82. Exterior Lighting
- 83. Lighting Cutsheet
- 84. Lighting Cutsheet
- Building Materials
- Cutsheet Fiber Cement Panel
- 87. Cut Sheet Brick
- 88. Cutsheet Ribbed Metal Panel
- Cut Sheet Composite Metal Panel
- 90. Cutsheet Window Wall / Storefront / Louvers
- 91. Cut Sheet Curtainwall
- 92. Cut Sheet Sliding Door
- 93. Cutsheet Tinted Glass
- Cut Sheet Clear Glass
- 95. Cutsheet Garage Door
- Cut Sheet Long Term / Short Term Bike Racks
 Cutsheet Transformers
- 98. Signage
- 99. Greenway Technical Planting Plan
- 100. Greenway Multi-Path Dimensions and Adjustments
- Zoning Standards FAR (Sheet App.34)
- 102. Zoning Standards FAR (Sheet App.35)
- 103. Zoning Standards: Open Space & Plazas (Sheet App.36)
- 104. Zoning Standards Bicycle Parking & Loading (Sheet App.39)
- 105. Zoning Standards Bike Rack in Typical Units (Sheet App.40)
- 106. Modifications (Sheet App.42)
- 107. Proposed evergreen plantings in response to Modification #7
- D. Notification information:
 - 1. Request for response
 - Posting letter sent to applicant
 - 3. Notice to be posted
 - Applicant's statement certifying posting, received 08/28/2017
 - Mailed notice
 - 6. Mailing list
- E. Agency Responses:
 - Bureau of Environmental Services comment
 - 2. Fire Bureau
 - 3. Bureau of Parks, Forestry Division
 - 4. Life Safety Review Section of BDS
 - 5. Bureau of Transportation Engineering
 - Bureau of Environmental Services formal response
 - 7. Water Bureau

F. Letters

- Theodore Zava, 08/23/2017, email in opposition
- 2. Suzanne Gaudina, 08/24/2017, email in opposition
- 3. Glenn Traeger, 08/31/2017, email asking for copy of narrative and clarifications
- 4. Glenn Traeger, 09/10/2017, email discussing past planning efforts
- 5. Tiffany Sweitzer, 09/12/2017, email asking for clarifications
- David Dysert, 09/18/2017, three emails, two asking for clarifications, another with comments
- 7. David Dysert, 09/21/2017, email asking for clarifications
- David Dysert, 09/25/2017, email asking for clarifications

- David Dysert, PDNA Planning and Transportation Committee Co-Chair, 09/25/2017, letter with concerns, neither for nor against proposal
- 10. Yoshio Kurosaki, 09/27/2017, letter with concerns about proposal

G. Other

- 1. Original LUR Application
- 2. Pre-application Conference Summary (EA 16-262122 PC)
- 3. Design Advice Request Summary (EA 17-148879 DA)
- 4. Signed 120-Day Waiver
- 5. Incomplete Application Letter
- 5a. Letter to applicants regarding upcoming application expiration date, sent 04/27/2017
- Email from staff re: garage and loading access door, greenway trail and required open space, and greenway review, sent 07/10/2017
- 7. Email from staff re: garage door location, sent 07/10/2017
- Email string between staff and applicants re: site area and property boundary, dated 07/28 – 08/02/2017
- Email from staff re: notes on drawing set and modification requests, sent 08/11/2017
- Copy of Driveway Design Exception approval from PBOT, dated 08/07/2017 and received 08/15/2017
- 11. Email from staff re: design comments & utility vault info, sent 08/24/2017
- Email string between applicants and staff re: utility vault issues, dated 08/31 09/01/2017
- 13. Email from BES re: eco-roof floor area bonus, received 09/15/2017
- Email string between staff and applicants re: bureau comments received, dated 09/15/2017
- Email from applicants re: summary of neighborhood association meeting, received 09/20/2017
- Original Staff Report, issued 09/22/2017
- Staff memo to Design Commission, issued 09/22/2017

H. Hearing

[Exhibits submitted at the September 28, 2017 Design Commission hearing]

- Revised Staff Report, issued 09/28/2017
- 2. Staff Presentation to the Design Commission, 09/28/2017
- 3. Applicant's Presentation to the Design Commission, 09/28/2017
- 4. Testimony Sign-in Sheet from 09/28/2017 Design Commission hearing
- Photos, plan, and code sheet submitted as part of testimony at the 09/28/2017 Design Commission hearing

[Exhibits submitted after the September 28, 2017 Design Commission hearing]

- Email between David Dysert and staff, 09/29 10/03
- 7. Email comments from David Dysert and staff response, 10/04/2017
- 8. Email chain between applicants and staff re: Top of Bank, 10/03/2017
- Email chain between applicants and staff re: underground vaults and rescheduling hearing, 10/10 – 10/11/2017
- 10. Email chain between applicants and staff re: underground vaults and Greenway setback issues, 10/17 11/06/2017
- Email from the Site Development Section of BDS re: flood protection elevation and garage entrance, 11/03/2017
- 12. Revised Drawing Set, received 11/03/2017 and dated 11/16/2017
- 13. Revised Eco-roof Diagrams, 11/03/2017
- 14. Email chain between applicant and staff re: top of bank, 11/06 11/07/2017

- Site Development Section of BDS response, 11/06/2017
- 16. Revised Drawing Set, received 11/07/2017 and dated 11/16/2017
- 17. Technical Planting Plan received 11/07/2017
- 18. Email between David Dysert and staff, 11/07 11/09/2017
- Email chain between PBOT and development team re: underground utility vault, 11/08 – 11/09/2017
- 20. Revised Site Development Section of BDS response, 11/08/2017
- 21. Revised eco-roof diagrams, 11/09/2017
- 22. PBOT memo re: lack of support for the proposal, 11/09/2017
- 23. Ecoroof FAR Bonus Letter of Certification, 11/09/2017
- 24. Staff Memo to Design Commission, 11/09/2017
- 25. Email testimony from Glenn Traeger, in opposition, 11/12/2017
- 26. Email comments from David Dysert, 11/15/2017

[Exhibits submitted at the November 16, 2017 Design Commission hearing]

- 27. Staff Presentation to Design Commission, 11/16/2017
- 28. Applicants' Presentation to Design Commission, 11/16/2017
- 29. Guidelines Matrix presented to Design Commission, 11/16/2017
- 30. Testimony Sign-in Sheet from 11/16/2017 Design Commission hearing

[Exhibits submitted after the November 16, 2017 Design Commission hearing]

- 31. Email between applicants and staff re: Nov 16, 2017 hearing and design revisions, 11/17 11/20/2017
- Email comments from David Dysert and staff responses, re: procedure and North Pearl standards, 11/20/2017
- 33. Email between applicants and staff re: design revisions, 11/21/2017
- 34. Email between applicants and staff re: Modification #7, 11/21 11/22/2017
- 35. Massing Design Studies, 11/22/2017
- 36. Email testimony from Kurt Sorensen, in opposition, 11/26/2017
- Applicant response to written testimony, 11/27/2017
- 38. Email from applicant re: revised eco-roof diagrams, 11/27/2017
- Revised Ecoroof FAR Bonus Letter of Certification, 11/27/2017
- 40. Not used.
- 41. Revised Applicants' Narrative, 11/28/2017
- 42. Revised Drawing Set, received 11/28/2017 and dated 11/30/2017
- 43. PBOT Memo recommending support, 11/28/2017
- Email from applicants re: short- and long-term bike parking revisions and standards, 11/28 – 11/29/2017
- 45. Email testimony from Sharon Ruhwedel, in opposition, 11/28/2017
- 46. Email testimony from Jane Pedrick, in opposition, 11/28/2017
- 47. Email testimony from Christoph & Lori Lebl, in opposition, 11/28/2017
- 48. Email testimony from Jenni Leasia, in opposition, 11/28/2017
- 49. Email from applicants re: planting proposal for Modification #7, 11/28/2017
- 50. Email testimony from Lloyd Taylor, in opposition, 11/29/2017
- 51. Email testimony from Lianne Bannow, in opposition, 11/29/2017
- Email testimony from M. David Green & Julie Howell Green, in opposition, 11/29/2017
- 53. Email testimony from Diana Hiros, in opposition, 11/29/2017
- 54. Email testimony from Harry and Lynn Kingston, in opposition, 11/29/2017
- 55. Email testimony from Jim Motroni, in opposition, 11/29/2017

- Email testimony from Richard M. Rogers and Tricia Vakknen-Rogers, in opposition, 11/29/2017
- 57. Email testimony from Dan McNair, in opposition, 11/29/2017
- 58. Email testimony from Pat Roberts, in opposition, 11/30/2017
- 59. Email comment from David Dysert, 11/30/2017
- Email testimony from Richard M. Rogers and Tricia Vakknen-Rogers, in opposition, 11/30/2017
- 61. Email testimony from Geri Marz, in opposition, 11/30/2017

[Exhibits submitted at the November 30, 2017 Design Commission hearing]

- 62. Email testimony from Luanne Zoller, in opposition, 11/30/2017
- 63. Staff Memo to the Design Commission, 11/22/2017
- 64. Revised Staff Report, dated 11/30/2017
- 65. Applicant's Presentation, 11/30/2017
- 66. Testimony Sign-in Sheet from the 11/30/2017 hearing
- Written testimony submitted by Richard M. Rogers and Tricia Vakknen-Rogers, in opposition, 11/30/2017
- 68. Written testimony submitted by Lawrence F. Mazer, in opposition, 11/30/2017
- 69. Written testimony submitted by Kurt Sorensen, in opposition, 11/30/2017

[New Exhibits, submitted after the November 30, 2017 Design Commission hearing and before Noon on 12/08/2017]

- Email testimony from Lawrence F. Mazer, testimony in opposition, 12/04 & 12/05/2017
- 71. Email testimony from Ellen Drumheller, testimony in opposition, 12/05/2017
- Emailed letter from David Dysert, Pearl District Neighborhood Association Planning & Transportation Committee Co-chair, testimony in favor with conditions, 12/05/2017
- 73. Email testimony from Larry Mazer, follow-up testimony, 12/07/2017
- Greenway Multi-Path Dimensions and Adjustments plan, received 12/08/2017
- 75. Revised Site Plan, received 12/08/2017

[Responses to New Exhibits, submitted before Noon on 12/15/2017]

- 76. Email testimony from George Galster, in opposition, 12/11/2017
- 77. Design Packet Sheets App 44 Greenway Setback Comparison with Nearby Buildings, App 45 Greenway Sections at Various Widths, App. 46 Building Length Modification Comparison, C.100 Greenway Multi-path Dimensions & Adjustments, 12/14/2017
- 78. Applicant's Written Response to New Evidence, 12/14/2017
- 79. Response from Portland Parks & Recreation, 12/15/2017
- Emailed letter from Stanley Penkin, Pearl District Neighborhood Association President, testimony amending Exhibit H-72 to oppose the proposal, 12/15/2017

[Applicants' Final Rebuttal, submitted before 5:00pm on 12/18/2017]

- 81. Applicant's Written Response to New Evidence, 12/18/2017
- 82. Revised Staff Report, dated 12/20/2017
- 83. Revised Staff Report, dated 12/21/2017

Note: Please include exhibits received when a Type III Appeal is submitted starting with I's. And Delete this.

I. Appeal

- 1. Appeal Submittal
- Appealed Decision
- Notice of Appeal
- 4. NOA Mailing list
- 5. Dave Jones letter, received July 10, 2001
- 6. Committee/Commission (choose one) Packet Memo

Check with Council Clerk for additional Exhibits to be added. And delete this.