Agenda Item 1018

TESTIMONY

3:00 PM TIME CERTAIN

PROVIDENCE PARK STADIUM EXPANSION

IF YOU WISH TO SPEAK TO CITY COUNCIL, PRINT YOUR NAME, ADDRESS, AND EMAIL.

NAME (print)	ADDRESS AND ZIP CODE (Optional)	Email <i>(Optional)</i>
JULIE LIVINGETON	PORTLAND DESIGN COMMISSION	JULIE, LIVINGSTONG, HOMEFORWARD, ORG
PROSERT BUTCER	226 Su Ma. XSIDE DA	2

Robert Butler 226 SW Parkside Dr. Portland, Or. 97205 hand delivery 3 pm, Sept,13, 2107.

To: City of Portland, Oregon, the City Council City Hall Portland, Oregon ,97204

Public Testimony Ordinance 1018, 3 pm Certain Providence Park Stadium Expansion Above Grade Encroachment

This memo accompanies testimony presented at the hearing, and is requested for the record which record is requested to remain open 10 days from completion of the City Council hearing on ordinance 1018, if not at least open as any appropriate law may require.

Introduction: The subject report is absent important negative impacts on the public's present use and enjoyment of the right of way and lacking important detail and evidence supporting its conclusions.

During event opening for attendance during major events (usually about 30 times per year) following existing right of way ("ROW") benefits and or needs are relinquished either without adequate remediation or not adequately identified:

- 1. Curb side parking public safety vehicles
- 2. bicycle parking of about 100 spaces
- 3. Loading /unloading charter busses for teams
- 4. Loading /unloading charter busses for fans or attendees
- 5. Emergency Evacuation accommodations (e.g. new railing at curb as obstruction to street access)

During about 90% of the days in a year the following detrimental takings:

- 1. Ada and public metered parking at 8 spaces.
- 2. Six mature street Trees (Row Shade and beauty)
- 3. Curb side truck access for delivery / pick up equipment and materials for advance set up of events.
- 4. Curb side truck access delivery, service and facility vehicles accessing west side of 18th Ave.
- 5. curbside drop of and pick up for 'soccer' moms
- 6. impediments for public sidewalks to be attractive nuisance for public choosing to sit or lie on . (i.e. providing about 5,000 sf of new sheltered sidewalk in a single ROW location as attractive to homeless
- 7. Easy public maintained sidewalk for cleanliness.
- 8. Restraints to blighting effect of homeless in a major corridor of downtown.

Claims made with out justification by economics nor evidence.

- 1. No other engineering outside of the ROW will allow economic feasibility for stated seating.
- 2. No lesser amount of seating excluding ROW is economically feasible.
- 3. Sheltered sidewalk will improve stadium attendance significantly
- 4. That such a sheltered, tree less corridor is better for the neighborhood livability.

Submitted by: Robert Beiten