DATE: June 22, 2016
TO: Inclusionary Housing Panel of Experts
FROM: Lorelei Juntunen, Mike Wilkerson, Emily Picha
SUBJECT: NEXUS STUDY/IZ STUDY COST INPUTS - DRAFT, DO NOT DISTRIBUTE

The Portland Housing Bureau is working with David Rosen Associates (DRA) and ECONorthwest to undertake a financial analysis of possible inclusionary housing and linkage fee programs. This memorandum proposes draft financial inputs for this study, for review and confirmation by a panel of experts the City has convened. They were developed based on a review of market data and interviews with 11 Portland area developers. The cost assumptions reflect the ranges that a developer would assume in a pro forma for new construction for each use type as of June 2016.

Housing Cost Assumptions

|  | Residential - rental, 2-3 story low-rise | Residential rental, 5-6 story mid-rise | Residential - rental 7-12+ story high rise | Residential - condo |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Operating Revenues and Expenses |  |  |  |  |
| Rent Per NSF | Low: $\$ 2.25$ <br> Medium: \$2.50 <br> High: \$2.75 | Low: $\$ 2.50$ <br> Medium: \$2.75 <br> High: \$3.00 | Low: \$2.75 <br> Medium: \$3.00 <br> High: \$3.25 | N/A |
| Parking revenue per space | \$125 per month | \$150 per month | \$200 per month | Comes with unit |
| Annual OpEx Per Unit (controllable and non controllable-property tax) | Low: \$6,000 <br> Medium: \$7,000 <br> High: \$8,000 | Low: \$6,500 <br> Medium: \$7,000 <br> High: \$8,000 | Low: \$7,000 <br> Medium: $\$ 8,000$ <br> High: \$9,000 | HOA covered, taxes individually assessed |
| Construction \& Sales/Stabilization Period (Months) | 12 mo. construction 10 mo. leaseup 6-9 mo. lookback | 14 mo . construction 10 mo. leaseup 6-9 mo. lookback | 24 mo. construction 10-12 mo. leaseup 6-15 mo. lookback | 3\% |
| Development Costs | Wood frame | Podium | Steel \& concrete/ <br> Light gauge | Steel \& concrete |
| Site improvement cost (per SF site area) | Included in hard cost | Included in hard cost | Included in hard cost | Included in hard cost |
| Construction Hard Cost Per GSF (without Parking) | Low: \$110 <br> Medium: \$125 <br> High: \$150 | Low: \$145 <br> Medium: \$165 <br> High: \$185 | Low: \$200 <br> Medium: \$230 <br> High: \$250 | Low: \$220 <br> Medium: \$250 <br> High: \$270 |
| Contingency (hard cost, just for developer) | 5-8\% | 5-8\% | 5-8\% | 5-8\% |
| Soft Costs (\% of Hard Costs, including land)* | 30\% | 30\% | 30\% | 30\% |
| Parking Cost Per Space | \$10,000 surface <br> \$20,000 tuck-under | $\$ 45,000$ podium \$60,000 undergrour |  |  |
| Market Assumptions |  |  |  |  |
| Stabilized Vacancy \% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% <br> Leaseup at 15 months | N/A |
| Cap rate | 4.5\%-5\% | 4.75\% - 5.25\% | 4.75\% - 5.25\% | N/A |
| Construction and Permanent Financing |  |  |  |  |
| Loan to Value Ratio | 70\%-75\% | 70\%-75\% | 70\%-75\% |  |
| Loan to Cost Ratio | 65\% | 65\% | 65\% |  |
| Debt Coverage Ratio | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 |  |
| Interest Rate | 5.50\% | 5.50\% | 5.50\% |  |
| Amortization Period | 30 years | 30 years | 30 years |  |
| Target for Return | 1.5\% over cap | 1.5\% over cap | 1.5\% over cap |  |

* Original estimates at $24-27 \%$, increased to account for new SDCs and proposed CET

Commercial Cost Assumptions

|  | Office | Retail (Ground Floor) | Arterial Retail | Distribution Warehouse |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Operating Revenues and Expenses |  |  |  |  |
| Rent Per NSF NNN | Low: \$28 <br> Medium: \$32 <br> High: \$34 | Low: \$15 <br> Medium: \$20 <br> High: \$25 | Low: \$15 <br> Medium: \$20 <br> High: \$25 | \$5.65 PSF1 |
| Parking Revenue per leased space | \$200-\$250 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| OpEx Per NSF | \$10-\$12 per SF, incl taxes (assuming \$0.60 SF for Class A specific amenities) (Source: Apex Real Estate, 2015) | \$10 PSF, incl taxes (Source: Apex Real Estate, 2015) | \$10 PSF, incl taxes (Source: Apex Real Estate, 2015) | N/A |
| Construction and Sales/Stabilization Period (Months) | 3 years | 3 years | 10 months | 12 months |
| Development Cost |  |  |  |  |
| Hard Cost Per GSF excluding parking and Tl's | Low: \$125 <br> Medium: \$150 <br> High: \$175 | N/A | Low: \$110 <br> Medium: \$120 <br> High: \$130 | \$44 PSF for total site development |
| Tenant Improvement Allowance | Low: \$50 <br> Medium: \$60 <br> High: \$70 | Low: \$30 <br> Medium: \$45 <br> High: \$60 | Low: $\$ 20$ <br> Medium: \$30 <br> High: \$40 | Low: \$6 <br> Medium: \$9 <br> High: \$12 |
| Soft Cost Per GSF | 30\% of hard costs | 30\% of hard costs | $30 \%$ of hard costs | $30 \%$ of hard costs |
| Parking Cost Per Space | Same as residential per construction type | Same as residential per construction type | $\$ 10,000$ <br> surface | N/A |
| Market Assumptions |  |  |  |  |
| Stabilize Vacancy | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% |
| Cap rate | 6.5\%-7\% | 6.5\% - 7\% | 5.5\%-6\% | 4.5\%-5\% |
| Construction and Permanent Financing |  |  |  |  |
| LTV Ratio | 60\% | 60\% | 60\% | 60\% |
| Interest | 5.5\% | 5.5\% | 5.5\% | 5.5\% |
| Amortization Period | 30 years | 30 years | 30 years | 30 years |

${ }^{1}$ Calculated by taking the base rate $\$ .44$ psf per month plus an additional office surcharge of $\$ .80 \mathrm{psf}$ of office space.

## Hotel Assumptions

|  | Hotel (mid-scale, branded, downtown limited service) | Stick-built hotel |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Operating Revenues and Expenses |  |  |
| OpEx per GSF income | \$55 | \$59/GSF |
| Operating Expenses As \% of Gross Income | 53\% | 60\% |
| ADR | \$180 (Source: Travel Portland State of the Industry, 2016) BW: \$190 | \$175 |
| Construction and Sales/Stabilization Period (Months) | 3 years | 2 years |
| Physical Assumptions |  |  |
| Average Unit Size (GSF) | 350 | (796 w parking) |
| Gross to Net SF Ratio | 70\% |  |
| Parking Requirements | 0.4 spaces per room, $\$ 30$ per leased space BW: Need higher parking ratio plus parking for employees and hotel vehicles. | . 29 spaces/room |
| Development Cost |  |  |
| Hard construction cost | \$250,000/room | \$140,000/Room |
| Soft Cost Per GSF | Assume 25\% of hard costs | \$60/GSF |
| FF\&E cost per unit | \$28,000/room includes common area and lobby. | \$15,000/Room |
| Pre opening and working capital | \$17,000/room | \$2,000/Room |
| Parking Cost Per Space | Same as res | \$90/SF |
| Construction period | 2 years | 18 Months |
| Market Assumptions |  |  |
| Stabilized Occupancy | 80\% (given today's market conditions, but current conditions are extremely favorable, and realistic occupancy over the long-run would be $72 \%-74 \%$ ) | N/A |
| Cap rate | 7\% | 7.5\% |
| Construction and Permanent Financing |  |  |
| LTV Ratio | 80\% | N/A |
| Interest | 6.00\% | 6.00\% |
| Amortization Period | 30 years | 30 years |

## Condo Specific Assumptions

| Category | Sales Price | Price per Square Foot | Monthly HOA |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| High | $\$ 620,000$ | $\$ 425$ | $\$ 600$ |
| Medium | $\$ 410,000$ | $\$ 325$ | $\$ 430$ |
| Low | $\$ 200,000$ | $\$ 200$ | $\$ 250$ |

## Land Value Assumptions

| Land Price per SF | Commercial Land <br> (Multifamily, Office, Retail) | Industrial <br> Land |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| High | $\$ 200+$ | $\$ 12+$ |
| Medium | $\$ 100$ | $\$ 6$ |
| Low | $\$ 30$ | $\$ 3$ |

## City of Portland Property Value and Rent Maps (for all properties)

The first map below displays the median sales price by census block group for all single family homes sold during the calendar year of 2015. In order to calculate the median sales price, ECONorthwest exported parcel level sales data from RLIS and binned by census block group. The median observation for each block group is displayed on the map.

The second map below displays the average monthly rent per square foot for market rate apartments by census block group. For this map, ECONorthwest exported from CoStar at the property level in April 2016 and then aggregated by block group. ${ }^{2}$ The average rent within each census block group is reported on the map.

It should be noted that the maps display values for all properties, and are therefore not representative of prices or market rents for newly constructed properties. They do not directly correspond to the tables above, which only display the range of market rents for newly constructed properties. The maps are useful for considering policy impacts across submarkets within the City, and serve as one input into developing the financial assumptions recommended in the first part of this memorandum.

[^0]


6/14/2016.

## DRAFT


[^0]:    ${ }^{2}$ CoStar does not update each property on a consistent basis, although the data is regularly updated for all properties. The data represent an approximation for market rents in the first quarter of 2016.

