



CITY OF  
**PORTLAND, OREGON**

**OFFICIAL  
MINUTES**

A REGULAR MEETING OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF PORTLAND, OREGON WAS HELD THIS **19<sup>TH</sup> DAY OF OCTOBER, 2016** AT 9:30 A.M.

THOSE PRESENT WERE: Mayor Hales, Presiding; Commissioners Fritz, Novick and Saltzman, 4. Commissioner Saltzman left at 10:16 a.m.

OFFICERS IN ATTENDANCE: Karla Moore-Love, Clerk of the Council; Linly Rees, Senior Deputy City Attorney; and Jason King, Jim Wood and Mike Miller, Sergeants at Arms.

On a Y-4 roll call, the Consent Agenda was adopted.

The meeting recessed at 9:37 a.m. and reconvened at 9:45 a.m.  
The meeting recessed at 10:53 a.m. and reconvened at 11:03 a.m.

<b>COMMUNICATIONS</b>		<b>Disposition:</b>
<b>1153</b>	Request of Mister Eng to address Council regarding supernaturalism (Communication)	<b>PLACED ON FILE</b>
<b>1154</b>	Request of Joe Walsh to address Council regarding addressing activists (Communication)	<b>PLACED ON FILE</b>
<b>1155</b>	Request of Richard Gover to address Council regarding shelter for dads with children and why there is no number to call when IV needles are found (Communication)	<b>PLACED ON FILE</b>
<b>1156</b>	Request of Craig Marquardo to address Council regarding litany of red tape and excessive fees involved to offset a conditional use permit for Portland Meadows (Communication)	<b>PLACED ON FILE</b>
<b>TIMES CERTAIN</b>		
<b>1157</b>	<b>TIME CERTAIN: 9:45 AM</b> – Encourage the Oregon Legislature to create a transparent public process to ensure all Oregon residents have timely access to equitable, comprehensive, high quality and affordable health care (Resolution introduced by Commissioner Novick) 30 minutes requested (Y-3; Fish and Saltzman absent)	<b>37239</b>

October 19, 2016

<p><b>1158</b></p>	<p><b>TIME CERTAIN: 10:15 AM</b> – Authorize the Portland Bureau of Transportation to initiate the Keep Portland Moving pilot program for the Central City in 2017 to require advance notification and coordination for closures, detours for capital projects, capital maintenance, development and utility activities (Resolution introduced by Commissioner Novick) 15 minutes requested (Y-3; Fish and Saltzman absent)</p>	<p><b>37240</b></p>
<p><b>*1159</b></p>	<p><b>TIME CERTAIN: 10:30 AM</b> – Authorize contract with Access Sciences to provide project management and implementation for the Bureau of Transportation electronic file conversion project in the amount of \$294,000 (Ordinance introduced by Commissioner Novick) 15 minutes requested Rescheduled to October 19, 2016 at 2:00 p.m. (Y-4; Fish absent)</p>	<p><b>188046</b></p>
<p><b>1160</b></p>	<p><b>TIME CERTAIN: 10:45 AM</b> – Declare that no form of sexual violence should be tolerated and that Portland City Council stands in support of survivors of sexual assault and rape (Resolution introduced by Commissioner Novick) 15 minutes requested (Y-3; Fish and Saltzman absent)</p>	<p><b>37241</b></p>
<p style="text-align: center;"><b>CONSENT AGENDA – NO DISCUSSION</b></p>		
<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Mayor Charlie Hales</b></p>		
<p><b>1161</b></p>	<p>Appoint Diedre Hall to the Portland Commission on Disability, term to expire October 19, 2019 (Report)  (Y-4; Fish absent)</p>	<p><b>CONFIRMED</b></p>
<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Fire &amp; Police Disability and Retirement</b></p>		
<p><b>*1162</b></p>	<p>Extend contract with Online Business Systems, Inc. to provide systems design, maintenance and programming services for five years for the Fire and Police Disability and Retirement Application by \$500,000 for a not-to-exceed total of \$1,150,000 (Ordinance; amend Contract No. 30002409)  (Y-4; Fish absent)</p>	<p><b>188042</b></p>
<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Commissioner Steve Novick</b></p>		
<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Bureau of Transportation</b></p>		
<p><b>*1163</b></p>	<p>Extend contract with Portland Patrol, Inc. and increase the total amount by \$200,000 for Security Services for Parking Garages and Streetcar Facilities (Ordinance; amend Contract No. 30002191)  (Y-4; Fish absent)</p>	<p><b>188043</b></p>
<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Commissioner Nick Fish</b></p>		
<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Bureau of Environmental Services</b></p>		
<p><b>1164</b></p>	<p>Authorize an Intergovernmental Agreement with Clackamas County for construction and sharing of data network resources in the amount of \$230,000 (Ordinance)</p>	<p><b>PASSED TO SECOND READING OCTOBER 26, 2016 AT 9:30 AM</b></p>

October 19, 2016

<p><b>1165</b></p>	<p>Authorize grant agreement for \$5,250 to Columbia Land Trust for ecological restoration at Keller Woodlands (Ordinance)</p>	<p><b>PASSED TO SECOND READING OCTOBER 26, 2016 AT 9:30 AM</b></p>
<p style="text-align: center;"><b>City Auditor Mary Hull Caballero</b></p>		
<p><b>*1166</b></p>	<p>Assess property for system development charge contracts, private plumbing loan contracts and safety net loan deferral contracts (Ordinance; Z0820, K0163, T0176, T0179, W0051, Z1201, K0164, T0177, T0178, Z0821, W0052, P0141, P0142) (Y-4; Fish absent)</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>188044</b></p>
<p style="text-align: center;"><b>REGULAR AGENDA</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>Mayor Charlie Hales</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>Bureau of Police</b></p>		
<p><b>*1167</b></p>	<p>Apply for and accept a grant in the amount of \$45,499 from the Oregon Department of Transportation and appropriate \$34,125 for overtime reimbursement for the FY2017 Multnomah County DUII Intensive Supervision Program (Ordinance) Rescheduled to October 19, 2016 at 2:00 p.m. (Y-4; Fish absent)</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>188047</b></p>
<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Office of Management and Finance</b></p>		
<p><b>1168</b></p>	<p>Accept contract with McMillen Jacobs Associates for Owner's Representative Services on the Willamette River Crossing Project for a total not-to-exceed amount of \$3,950,000 (Procurement Report – RFP No. 00000253) <b>Motion to accept report:</b> Moved by Fritz and seconded by Novick. (Y-3; Fish and Saltzman absent)</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>ACCEPTED PREPARE CONTRACT</b></p>
<p><b>1169</b></p>	<p>Accept bid of Landis &amp; Landis Construction for the SW Terwilliger Blvd Sanitary Sewer Extension project for \$3,440,926 (Procurement Report – Bid No. 00000395) <b>Motion to accept report:</b> Moved by Novick and seconded by Fritz. (Y-3; Fish and Saltzman absent)</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>ACCEPTED PREPARE CONTRACT</b></p>
<p><b>*1170</b></p>	<p>Authorize purchase of replacement Police Patrol Vehicles at \$3,243,864 (Previous Agenda 1140) Rescheduled to October 19, 2016 at 2:00 p.m. (Y-4; Fish absent)</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>188048</b></p>
<p><b>1171</b></p>	<p>Authorize water revenue bonds to finance water system additions and improvements and to refund water revenue bonds (Second Reading Agenda 1141) (Y-3; Fish and Saltzman absent)</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>188045</b></p>
<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Commissioner Amanda Fritz</b> <b>Office of Neighborhood Involvement</b></p>		

October 19, 2016

<p><b>1172</b></p>	<p>Accept the Office of Neighborhood Involvement FY16-17 Housing Emergency Community Engagement Plan (Previous Agenda 1126; Report) <b>Motion to accept the report with amendment to clarify coordination of communications among project partners:</b> Moved by Fritz and seconded by Novick. (Y-3; Fish and Saltzman absent)</p>	<p><b>ACCEPTED</b> AS AMENDED</p>
<p><b>Portland Parks &amp; Recreation</b></p>		
<p><b>*1173</b></p>	<p>Authorize a competitive solicitation and contract with the lowest responsive and responsible bidder and provide payment for construction of Willamette Park Redevelopment Project for an estimated \$960,000 (Ordinance) Rescheduled to October 19, 2016 at 2:00 p.m. (Y-4; Fish absent)</p>	<p><b>188049</b></p>
<p><b>*1174</b></p>	<p>Authorize the acquisition of 12 acres of real property on NW 4<sup>th</sup> Place, adjacent to Forest Park, for \$860,000 to be used for Park purposes (Previous Agenda 1145) Rescheduled to October 19, 2016 at 2:00 p.m. (Y-4; Fish absent)</p>	<p><b>188050</b></p>
<p><b>Commissioner Nick Fish</b> <b>Bureau of Environmental Services</b></p>		
<p><b>1175</b></p>	<p>Authorize contract with the lowest responsive bidder for the construction of the Woodlawn-King Alleys Sewer Rehabilitation project No. E10698 for \$3,780,000 (Ordinance) 10 minutes requested</p>	<p><b>PASSED TO SECOND READING OCTOBER 19, 2016 AT 9:30 AM</b></p>
<p><b>Commissioner Dan Saltzman</b> <b>Portland Housing Bureau</b></p>		
<p><b>*1176</b></p>	<p>Extend contract with Housing and Development Services, Inc., for licensing and maintenance of the Portland Housing Bureau's core software system and increase compensation in amount of \$309,602 (Previous Agenda 1146; amend Contract No. 30002366) Rescheduled to October 19, 2016 at 2:00 p.m. (Y-4; Fish absent)</p>	<p><b>188051</b></p>
<p><b>1177</b></p>	<p>Authorize an Intergovernmental Agreement with the City of Gresham for \$928,067 for the HOME Investment Partnership Program (Previous Agenda 1147) Rescheduled to October 19, 2016 at 2:00 p.m. (Y-4; Fish absent)</p>	<p><b>188052</b></p>
<p><b>City Auditor Mary Hull Caballero</b></p>		
<p><b>1178</b></p>	<p>Assess property for sidewalk repair for the Portland Bureau of Transportation (Hearing; Ordinance; Y1090) 15 minutes requested Rescheduled to October 19, 2016 at 2:00 p.m.</p>	<p><b>PASSED TO SECOND READING OCTOBER 19, 2016 AT 9:30 AM</b></p>

At 11:58 a.m., Council recessed.

October 19, 2016

A RECESSED MEETING OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF PORTLAND, OREGON WAS HELD THIS **19<sup>TH</sup> DAY OF OCTOBER, 2016** AT 2:00 P.M.

THOSE PRESENT WERE: Mayor Hales, Presiding; Commissioners Fritz, Novick and Saltzman, 4.

Commissioner Saltzman arrived at 2:02 p.m.  
Mayor Hales left at 3:41 p.m. and Commissioner Saltzman presided.

OFFICERS IN ATTENDANCE: Karla Moore-Love, Clerk of the Council; Lory Kraut, Senior Deputy City Attorney; and John Paolazzi, Jim Wood and Mike Miller, Sergeants at Arms.

<p><b>1179</b>      <b>TIME CERTAIN: 2:00 PM</b> – Adopt Portland’s 2016 Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan, also known as the Mitigation Action Plan, which characterizes Portland’s natural hazard exposure; assesses potential impacts of natural hazard events, including physical and social vulnerabilities; and lists the strategies City bureaus intend to implement to reduce potential losses from natural hazards (Resolution introduced by Commissioner Novick) 1 hour requested (Y-3; Hales and Fish absent)</p>	<p><b>Disposition:</b></p> <p><b>37242</b></p>
---	--

At 4:04 p.m., Council adjourned.

**MARY HULL CABALLERO**  
Auditor of the City of Portland



By Karla Moore-Love  
Clerk of the Council

For a discussion of agenda items, please consult the following Closed Caption File.

**This file was produced through the closed captioning process for the televised City Council broadcast and should not be considered a verbatim transcript.**

**Key: \*\*\*\*\* means unidentified speaker.**

**OCTOBER 19, 2016 9:30AM**

**Hales:** Welcome to the October 19 meeting of the Portland city council. You need to let us go going here, ok. Thank you very much. We're going to started with the public communications in a minute. Call the roll, please.

**Novick: Fritz:** Here **Fish: Saltzman:** Here **Hales:** Here

**Hales:** Welcome, everybody. We have five -- four people signed up for the communications this morning. We'll take those first. And then we have some time certain items, the consent calendar, and our regular agenda. We're going to probably suspended the rules on item 1172 and let people speak on any subject whatever on that one. Obviously, normally we ask people to confine themselves to the item at hand when we are working on something. And if you would like to testify on a council calendar item today, just let our clerk know and she will make sure that you have access, or that you were on the list. Again, we have the communications items up front, and I will call those folks, are there any requests to take anything off the consent calendar? Ok, we'll act on it later, as it is. And welcome to the city council Meeting. We are happy to have you here, and if you want to speak, let our clerk know that you need only give your name. You don't have to give the address. We follow the rules of decorum in this chamber so that people can be heard. Doesn't always work but we are going to try. If you want to indicate your support for somebody's position, give them a thumbs up or a wave of the hand or some other hand gesture that's silent, and if you disagree with someone, a hand gesture to the negative, as well, but we ask that we do not make vocal demonstrations in favor of our citizen's points of view when they are in the room. We make exceptions for visiting dignitaries and school children, so if you are one or more you might get a round of applause, with that let's get started with item 1153.

**Item 1153.**

**Hales:** Let's move onto 1154.

**Item 1154.**

**Hales:** Good morning.

**Joe Walsh:** Good morning, I am joe Walsh, for the record, I represent individuals for justice. I would like to extend my time, two hours, because I want to list all your offenses, and I don't have time in three minutes. So would you give me the two hours?

**Hales:** We don't have two hours.

**Walsh:** I didn't think so. I come to you today, not to compliment you, I come here to mourn. This may be the last time that I get to address all five shirts as I call you in plight company, But the real title is not shirts. I want to acknowledge your wonderful accomplishments. I would just list a few because I only have three minutes. Starting with your last achievement, the authorization for the police to contact the protesters and throw them out last week. Number two the police contract that you voted on 3-1 last week, and in a setting that had own invited guest and the public was excluded. The rest of the dozens of activists and journalists and video journalists throughout the year. In order to arrest these and jail anyone who might object to your Mussolini style form of running the city council mayor, and at this point I would like to read a statement from Sarah Hobbs, you know Sarah she is

October 19, 2016

an advocate for suicide and testified before you on many occasions so you should know her, and she says the physical assault of citizens by police was not acceptable behavior, and if this has improved the policing, this city is in a lot of trouble. The city is. This is a complete corruption of the settlement agreement between the city and the department of justice, and we just heard this morning, that you may go to jail, mayor. The mayor may go to jail. We've been warning you now for three years, that the way that you run this council, the way that you run the police department, you are going to end up in jail, and I will bring you Cookies. I will bake them myself.

**Hales:** Thanks very much. Ok let's take the next item.

**Walsh:** A lack of transparency

**Hales:** 1155. Mr. Walsh you are done.

**Walsh:** I don't blame just the mayor.

**Hales:** Mr. Walsh your time has elapsed. Mr. Walsh you are done. Mr. Walsh, your time is up. Mr. Walsh, you are being disruptive and I am going to have to ask you to sit down. Mr. Walsh you need to be seated. We are recessed. Mr. Walsh you are excluded.

**At 9:37 a.m. council recessed.**

**At 9:45 a.m. council reconvened.**

**Hales:** Welcome back.

**Moore-Love:** Call the next item.

**Item 1155.**

**Hales:** Mr. Gover, are you here? Let's take 1156.

**Item 1156.**

**Hales:** Good morning and welcome.

**Craig Marquardo:** Good morning. I will try and fit everything in three minutes. I used to run the Portland music awards for six years, the Portland arts foundation, as well publish music spectator magazine. And I have been, for the last couple of years, working on a plan to produce a major jazz festival in the summer, more akin to the former mount hood festival, and the blue's festival, and the difficulty is you would think being Portland that we had more location options available for such a thing. The long and short, we narrowed it down to a few, one on sauvie island, and I am petrified of a bit of rain turning it into a mudfest. Portland meadows, apparently, in The 1990s, used to have excessively loud rock concerts, that pissed off the neighborhood, association, and that management had some contentiousness with the city council, and it resulted in them having a conditional use permit that they can only do one concert every two years. There's been two ownership changes, and several management changes, since then, and none of them from that period exist, and none of the city council from then exist, and we are trying to figure out a way to try and have that conditional use permit altered so that we can have the event there at Portland meadows, and honestly, it's a promoter's dream, and they have built-in parking, and they have electricity and water, and they have easy accessibility for public transportation and the, and the location between Portland and Vancouver, and unfortunately their poor behavior in the pass has penalized them. The problem is I have gone through the proper channels, and I have spoken with various offices, and I am told that there is a 500 early assistance meeting fee, and they have to pay 300 pre-application conference fee, and then eventually pay a 12,000 application fee just to get a permit approved to get reviewed on the chance that they might say yes, for me asking me to pay 18,000 out of my personal pocket to have the possibility of having something like that approved is not exactly business friendly. I am hoping, and I have given her my business card, and I am hoping that there is some way that this can be done in a less costly and more efficient way, I treat it as a new venue, as a new opportunity because it is, you know, an ideal scenario for the city and for other events that might come in, and I have spoken

October 19, 2016

with the neighborhood association and the appropriate, you know, people and fortunately commissioner Fish is not here. He was a big supporter of the music awards and whatnot being the jazz fan that he is. I am hoping that there is an easier way.

**Hales:** Have you gone through that first stage of the process with the reapplication?

**Marquardo:** I haven't. My concern is if I go through the -- the pre-application cost me \$6,000, by the time I get there, and so between the 500 and the 5300, so it's a very expensive process, and it's not my venue. We are trying to hold an event there, so they would have to be involved.

**Hales:** I can't remember the duration of those. Is it infinite? 20 years?

**Marquardo:** At this point, I don't know that there is a timetable on it. It was dictated in 2000.

**Hales:** Where should he start?

**Saltzman:** I would be happy to check in on that. I am not going to make any promises.

**Marquardo:** I talked to Dora Perry and various others, and I have talked to the right people. That's just what they have sent back to me and said this is the way it is.

**Hales:** Why don't you let commissioner Saltzman's office.

**Marquardo:** I think the commissioner's office, who sent me to Dora.

**Hales:** Well, we're going to try to avoid having you in a run-around. It's good you talked to the neighborhood associations, because that was the issue.

**Marquardo:** They were doing really loud rock concerts.

**Hales:** If you are able to get do that, that's helpful. It does not solve the red tape issue but the bureau of development services can show you what the path is, and I think that also answer the question for us, is there anything that can be done legislatively as opposed to you having to go through the application process. Legitimate question, and we will try to get you an answer.

**Marquardo:** Good luck with this.

**Hales:** Thank you.

**Hales:** Let's take the consent calendar please, a vote on that and we'll move to the time certain.

**Novick:** Aye. **Fritz:** Aye. **Saltzman:** Aye.

**Hales:** Aye ok. Time certain item 1157.

**Item 1157.**

**Hales:** Commissioner novick.

**Novick:** This was requested by healthcare for all. Insuring Portlanders have access to an affordable healthcare, which is a passion of mine, so in healthcare for all asked me to introduce this, I was very happy to do so. We have one panel of distinguished guests, who will speak to why they support this city resolution and I would like to welcome Senator Michael dembrow and Nancy Sullivan.

**Hales:** Good morning, and welcome.

**Michael Dembrow:** Hello. Commissioners, I am very pleased to be here, I am Michael dembrow, the state senator for district 23, that is northeast and southeast Portland. I want to thank commissioner novick for presenting this important resolution and the council for hearing it. You know back in 2010, we passed the affordable care act -- well, when congress passed the affordable care act, we knew that it would do good things, certainly, around Medicaid expansion and some other pieces. We also knew that even when it's fully phased in, there is still going to be problems. Healthcare or access to quality healthcare is still going to be largely a function of employment. The high cost of healthcare is not really addressed in that act. There are a number of things that we are going to have to do to keep working on providing universal healthcare that's quality care that's affordable for everyone. One of the positive things that happened in the passage of the affordable care



October 19, 2016

act was a provision was put in, and actually that was largely due to the work of our own senator Ron Wyden, that allows the states to look at and ultimately request waivers for alternative programs. This could not happen until 2017, to give the affordable care act time to roll out, but it's something that states were encouraged to do, and we have followed up on that here in Oregon. Looking at crafting in the legislature, working with advocates, bills that would be an Oregon version of the universal healthcare, starting in 2011 we have provided a bill in each session that would look at what it might look like here in Oregon. We doubled the number of sponsors of the bill. In order to keep this conversation going within the legislature and to be a vehicle for the state to be talking about this and for organizing to happen, because we knew that this was not going to be something that the legislature alone could fix. Ultimately, something like the universal healthcare in this state, which is so badly needed, would require a vote of the people, and would require organizing all over the state. We knew that to do that, we would have to give the voters confidence that this was a good, responsible plan, so in 2013, the legislature enacted house bill 3260, which laid out the principles of what universal healthcare could look like, and crafted a study that could be done by an outside group to look at what would be the best way of getting to that vision. In 2015 the legislature funded that study, and it's underway by the RAND corporation in connection with a local group HMA Associates. We'll get the results of that study mid-November, so just a little over a month away from the results of that. We don't obviously want this to be a study that just goes up on the shelf. The next step is to look at this study and to operationalize it, and so we'll -- I have a bill in for next session to create a task force, that will take the findings of the study and craft a plan that could go out to the voters ultimately. We want to make sure that we have the most support possible for this -- for the work of this task force, and so we're asking local governments to weigh in and to encourage the legislature to keep doing this work. Hence this resolution, which is in concert with the resolutions in governments all over the state. Ashland, Corvallis, and Eugene, and you will probably hear more. This is really a fine resolution, and I really appreciate your putting forward, and I will stop there. Be happy to answer any particular questions -- questions that you might have about the bills and the study and etc.

**Fritz:** I have one and that is why does it take a vote of the people?

**Dembrow:** For universal healthcare we'll require a different funding mechanism, and so the legislature could pass it, but we know that it's probably the kind of thing that would inevitably be referred to the people. It makes sense to me and to my colleagues, let's be proactive about this. Let's assume that something this that will have to go to the people and do the organizing to build the support for it. And what we're talking about in coming up with something which is, you know, really very comprehensive, and that would address the needs of all Oregonians, this really is something that all Oregonians should weigh in on.

**Fritz:** Thank you for your leadership.

**Saltzman:** How does this relate to getting a Medicaid waiver in 2017?

**Dembrow:** This is not just a Medicaid waiver that we are talking about. The state always is asking for different kinds of Medicaid waivers and we're looking for another one related to our cco's. That's a different story. This is a waiver, and I don't remember the clause in the affordable care act. That's how professionals refer to it. But it's that waiver which allows the states to create their own public option or a more comprehensive system.

**Hales:** Welcome.

**Ben Gerritz:** Good morning and thank you commissioners, people in the hall this morning for your support of that access to affordable healthcare. As the vice president of the healthcare for all Oregon, our grassroots statewide coalition, I appreciate this opportunity for coming together, an opportunity to take action for improving healthcare access, for everyone in our state. I am also here today as a case manager from cascade aids project,

October 19, 2016

and an seiu 503 bureau member, both of which are among the healthcare for all Oregonians, 120-member Organizations across the united states. As a case manager, I see the negative impacts that the ever increasing healthcare costs have on working families. I see it in the fleeting smile of a houseless, single mom, doing her best to stay healthy while providing for her kids, affording healthcare for her family as a luxury, far from her reach. I feel the impact on my own family. I was shocked, this year my husband's health insurance premium increased over 30%. A 30% increase with reduced benefits. Having enough money for food and housing is challenging enough. Too many of our families are forced into hoping for the best while worried that illness could result in us losing everything. With more than a quarter million people in Oregon uninsured, including over 18,000 children, leadership on this issue is lifesaving. Equitable access to affordable healthcare is the right thing to do for our families, the right thing for our friends, and our neighbors, and the right thing for our communities. A healthy Portland is an economically empowered one, and as commissioners representing our state's largest city you can make a powerful statement today. Raise your voices, champion the economic opportunity that the rand report may offer by calling on Salem to empower the task force to move forward on healthcare. If you believe we all deserve affordable healthcare, vote yes on this resolution. To go we can make health equity a reality. I brought a copy of the letter which lee Mercer, from our organization, I believe, sent across to each of you electronically, and we just wanted to make sure that each of you received it, as well, so would it be ok?

**Hales:** Thanks very much. Welcome, good morning.

**Nancy Sullivan:** Good morning, I am Nancy Sullivan. For the record I am a former board member of the healthcare for all Oregon, and a current board member of the nurses for single payer. I am here as a retired nurse midwife to speak in support of the resolution to ask the Oregon state legislature to create a task force based on the results of the rand study on financing the universal healthcare in Oregon. Thank you for taking some time to listen to the advocates for universal single payer healthcare, and those of us who have been actively supporting this issue appreciate the opportunity to tell you why we believe that the best solution to our current out of control healthcare system is solution adopted by every other developed country. Support for the universal single payer healthcare in the united states has been around for decades. Efforts to legislate it into being have been attacked and defeated in every case by heavily funded insurance, pharmaceutical, and hospital industry lobbies. As a grassroots volunteer, proponents in Oregon know that our strategy to achieve our goal must be to educate the public and to enlist activist supporters. As nurses for single payer, we believe that nurses being effective advocates. We are reaching out to recruit and engage from among the 55,000 registered nurses in Oregon, and we are working with the Oregon nurses' association, already a contributing member of healthcare, the healthcare for all Oregon coalition, and nursing educators throughout the state. To take a leading role in our campaign. The American model of healthcare is twice as expensive and less effective than care in many other countries. Of particular interest to me as a midwife, u.s. Women are more likely to die during childbirth than women in any other developed country, leading the u.s. To be ranked 33rd among 179 countries on the health and wellbeing of women and children. The burden of this increased mortality falls not only on the poor women without access to the care, but also on middle and upper class women who receive too much care, unnecessary cesareans, elective inductions and so forth. Likewise, our society as a whole is affected by skewed levels of care, too little for some and too much for others. We can do better, and we must do better. Thank you again for your interest in our campaign for better health for all Oregonians. We hope to have your active support in approving the resolution before you today, and in future steps towards the universal healthcare in Oregon.

October 19, 2016

**Hales:** Thank you very much. I have a question which I think that probably is answered by the membership of the coalition but I wanted to get it into the discussion, and that is we as a city government, intersect with this issue in several ways. One we are an employer. So we spend a great deal of money providing our employees with good healthcare, and that's a blessing that they receive that not everybody obviously does. And secondly we have an interest in is the prosperity and the livability of our city, so we see the effects of people that can't afford their healthcare and maybe you are getting a big rent increase at the same time. So those economic justice issues end up again landing on our agenda, and how do we respond to those downstream crises that the issue causes. Another way, and this is why I am getting to my question, do we specifically intersect with this issue is mental health and addiction? And although the state and county governments are the primary caregiver for mental health and addiction. The police bureau is the first responder. Or the fire bureau. For example, our firefighters and police officers so far have used the anecdote to heroin addiction. I think it's now 812 times. it is a blessing, and at 812 people were saved from an overdose but it illustrates that those two, those areas of drug addiction and mental health end up intersecting with our work and, of course, with, you know, the whole livability of the city. So big, long winded introduction, and bear with me for that. To what extent does your vision and the program that you ultimately have in mind, encompass mental health care and addiction care, as opposed to the traditional preventative care and responsive care for more typical, physical healthcare because obviously it's a true healthcare system is going to pay attention, and our national one doesn't do a very good job of that right now. And a comprehensive healthcare system is going to pay, pay attention to addiction because that's a massive health problem in our community and across the country. So how are you thinking about those two facets of the healthcare as you develop this vision?

**Dembrow:** I think that the core of the vision is integration in all ways. Integration of the delivery. Integration of the funding. Integration of every piece of a person's health. That is specifically called out in the vision of the healthcare. I won't read each of the elements, but you should take a look at it sometime because I am really proud that the legislature did say it finds the best system for the delivery and the financing of the healthcare in this state, will follow these principles. Integration is really at the heart of it.

**Hales:** Thank you very much. Other questions? Thank you very much for being here today and I appreciate this.

**Dembrow:** Thank you.

**Hales:** Other invited testimony, Steve? Others that would like to speak on this item. Let Karla call you, sorry.

**Hales:** Good morning.

**Shedrick Wilkins:** I am Shedrick wilkins, and I was a supporter of the Oregon health plan. Unfortunately, some of the support was fought in the legislature by the educational lobby, and in 1993, there was a sales tax for schools, which failed. I was part of that. Because of that, Barbara Roberts did not run for a second term. I have dentistry from the county. It is covered -- they just did dentistry on me for \$400, covered by the Oregon health plan. This is real stuff. I do not believe -- soon there will be amnesty for Hispanic Oregonians. 100,000 of them. They all need healthcare and they probably cannot afford it. It should be free. There is countries like England, where healthcare is free. Canada is free, except for dentistry. You have to have \$300. It's Canadian officials came Down to Oregon and wondered how Oregon could pay for dentistry on the Oregon health plan. It was really weird. So at least there is some remnants of the Oregon health plan left. That money is directed towards women who need abortions and cannot financially support a child, and that is, that was done by the federal government, administered by the state of Oregon. I

October 19, 2016

want to remind Amanda Fritz the first time I ever talked in front of city council was the fact that the healthy kids did not cover non-residents of Oregon, and you said that would be no backlash, and you were right. So I, actually, if I want to be a lobbyist for Salem, I will be for a single payer, Canadian style healthcare. Unfortunately, there was a catch that in Canadian they have a 5% national sales tax. So if you ever see people talk about the single payer they only say Canadian style, and Oregon will probably never have a sales tax, but I support it. I was in the army, I got free healthcare, and I also believe that food stamps should be administered to all Oregonians. Nobody should starve in this state. And food is a matter of healthcare. If you don't get food you die. So it's a healthcare issue. On education issues, I believe in private schools. I believe in home schooling. I will vote for measure 97, but I don't think it will pass and neither does the mayor-elect Ted Wheeler. It has been to the -- the Willamette week announced the measure 97 is a sales tax. Some people have said that. I don't think it will pass, and that will make sure that the education lobby does not fight the healthcare lobby for the next couple of years. We have a good -- we have a good owning here for single payer in Oregon.

**Hales:** Thanks very much. Good morning.

**Mary Hennrich:** Good morning, I am Mary Lou Hennrich, and I am a retired public health nurse and administrator, and know most of you from my past experience as working on issues that are near and dear to both of our -- to all of our hearts. I am not going to repeat everything. I just wanted you to know that I am really pleased, Steve, that you are putting this forward, and I believe that it will get passed. It's a small step. And I think that it's important that the study that everybody has fought for, is getting done so we can look at the devil in the details, what would it really look like because as you say the city, the county, the state, the private employers and everybody is throwing a ton of money at healthcare, and, and it's just -- the costs keep rising, and you keep hearing about the increases in the insurance costs, and in the cost of -- I looked at -- when I was the director of care Oregon and looking at costs of MRI's and the early days, you know, they were about 250. Now you know that they are 1800, and 2000 and more, it's like that's only ten years. So really indeed we have got to get our hands around, and I really agree with him the answer is a single payer where you have got your arms around it and you can look at the prevention activities and the things that need to be done community-wide and the parks and all those pieces, housing and that really are things that make people -- allow them to be healthy, and then you have times when your genetics and other things, accidents whatever get in the way, and you have to have the care that comes in, and it has to be affordable and has to be coordinated and our heads and our bodies are not disconnected, and so our mental health and our physical health need to be integrated. But when we have got this system, it's like the house that Jack built, is going to be difficult, to dismantle the pieces to change the pieces, and everybody hangs onto the devil that they know, and versus the devil that they don't know, and it's a scary proposition. So moving it forward, and pushing, and I laughed when I heard Nancy talk about how many decades working on this in 1965, and I was a state champion from a small school, and our topic was hereby resolved that the United States of America will have national healthcare.

**Hales:** Some good ideas take time. Good morning.

**Chris Lowe:** Good morning. I am Chris Lowe, and I am an activist with healthcare for all Oregon. I am also on the board of the Oregon Physicians for Social Responsibility which is a member of the healthcare for Oregon. I wanted to talk to you today about politics. The politics of healthcare. This council in 2009 passed a resolution led by Commissioner Randy Leonard endorsing HR 676 a national single payer bill at the time. Commissioner Fritz was on the council at the time and Commissioner Saltzman, I think you were out of town, when the vote happened. I think Commissioner Fish was on the council. So Portland as a city is

October 19, 2016

on record supporting the principle of the single payer, which is what was at stake in that resolution. That same year I attended an event that included representative Blumenauer, and the state senator Allen bates who passed away earlier this year from Ashland, and mike Huntington, who was the first president of the current incarnation of hcao, one of the doctors who toured around. And senator bates, if you follow the healthcare politics, he was one of the real crafters of our current system, the transformation, the merger of that with the Oregon version of a kind of, you know, sort of like with the aca is nationally. He said that, this interesting thing, if you look at the way that the cost of healthcare are going, this is the curve for income goes up, and the curve for the healthcare costs go up this much, and at some point they cross. And you know healthcare is the entire income, and that's not, obviously, really going to happen. The other thing that he said was right now, 60% of people are more afraid of losing what they have, and like it well enough, not that it's great but good enough that they are not going to support a single payer system. He was agnostic about that. Saying it might be great. But I don't think that it's going to happen so let's see if we can do things in the meantime to solve the problems by other means. He said that if that does not happen, the rise in the costs are going to make it worse and worse, and eventually we're going to get to a point that 60% of the people are more afraid of what happens to them under what they have than in the future. And what we see happening now is that dynamic is playing out. Those of us who have been consistent single payer advocates have always thought this would be the case. The dynamics of the system. The aca does not address the underlying crisis. In terms of moving to politics, this task force gets the Oregon legislature more into the practical's of how we take the next steps. So I am here to ask you to support commissioner novick's resolution as tribunes of the people of Portland to the legislature. Thank you.

**Hales:** Thank you very much. Thanks. Go ahead.

**Jessie Sponberg:** I am Jessie Sponberg I would just ask you support commissioner Novick's resolution and thank you for your time.

**Hales:** Thank you. Good morning.

**Jim Robinson:** Jim Robinson, mayor and commissioners, I just wanted to share with you a recent incident that highlighted for me the importance of taking action on this. I am a state board member of the healthcare for all Oregon. And I have seen far too many people over the years who just suffer from our current system. It needs to be fixed. One thing highlighted that for me the other day, some of you have met my mom, and she fell the other day and broke her hip. Her first comment after she fell was I hope that my insurance will cover this. This system is so bad, an 86-year-old retired icu nurse is concerned about whether her injury would be covered. It should not be the first thought on anyone's mind when they have an injury of whether or not they are going to be covered for treatment on that. Now fortunately she is covered by Medicare. So she is able to get that treatment. But for many, there is no option. They have to either go without treatment or go deep into debt. That should not be the system. I really support this. I appreciate the work being done on this, and I also greatly appreciate the leadership of senator dembrow and Representative smith warner in the legislature in moving forward with this. Thank you.

**Hales:** Thank you very much. I hope your mom is doing ok and that she was covered.

**Robinson:** Yeah. And she is in very good spirits.

**Hales:** Thanks very much. Let's move on but first an announcement, commissioner Saltzman had to leave the chambers. He's in charge of the fire bureau and we are going to have to move the other items because he'll be on the scene. We have had a gas explosion at a bakery at 23rd and glisan, and we have a couple of firefighters, at least a couple injured. They are looking for other victims of this, so obviously it's a serious situation, and as the commissioner charged the fire bureau, he needs to be there so he will be absent for

October 19, 2016

the remainder of the session, and we'll act on items or hear items that don't require four of us to be here so we can continue with this discussion and other stuff on the agenda that we can do this morning and we will move the other items to this afternoon.

**Hales:** Come on up. Go ahead.

**Barbara Council:** When I walked in I didn't know that I was speaking, but I don't mind. I just signed up to come in. Thank you very much for your time. So usually when I talk about this I talk about my experience. In 2005 I had a great job, insurance, very fortunate. I had a very bad accident, which totally disabled me. I was very lucky. As I was getting out of the hospital I found out that my bill would have been 450,000, and they were not done with me yet because well, I nearly lost my life. While I was recouping at home, I had family to take care of me instead of putting me in the nursing home. And I was reading articles in the Oregonian that were making me sick. One, I read about a child who is six months old, who died because their parents waited to take the child to the emergency room knowing how expensive it was. These were working parents. They did not have the access to healthcare. The second one was so disturbing. Originally I felt so guilty surviving. I found out that a 15-year-old died because her parents both working and they had insurance and they denied them, and the care, to care for their daughter who needed a liver transplant. I just had one so I knew that the insurance company was full of baloney. It's risky but it's doable. And they made their decisions based on profit instead of on what this child needed. And instead of staying home and being depressed I went and fought for it. I met the doctors and the physicians group and joined the healthcare for all Oregon. So that is why I'm a volunteer for healthcare for Oregon because I know it's necessary. I don't think children obviously Oregon has done better, and we do have pretty good access but it's not enough. And I do not think that we should allow profits to make decisions over people's healthcare.

**Hales:** Thank you very much for being here. Good morning. Who would like to be next?

**Charles Johnson:** Good morning commissioners. I am Charles Johnson. It's been my privilege to occasionally volunteer with hcao, for those who don't know the quickest way to find out more about this issue is on the internet, simply hcao.org healthcare for all Oregon. We have a chance to treat our neighbors, to be ground breakers in the treatment of the neighbors, to move ahead, hopefully, ahead of the state of Vermont, be hard to catch Colorado depending on how the vote goes, and this fall, but anybody who is you know, we sometimes have, have unfortunate experiences with our elderly relatives and a little glitch in Medicaid. But almost everybody knows that the inequitable way that we have a single payer system for older Americans, and not for younger Americans, clearly illustrates that nine times out of ten people will agree younger Americans, get a worse run-around from a worse private insurance system than anything that our parents or grandparents have to face. Because of the power of the insurance lobby, we need to engage the way that hcao works is through neighborhood area regional coalitions as part of a statewide organizations. If you really want your children to have the best outcomes possible, for healthcare, it's important that obviously the council passed this resolution to help move the state in the right direction. You engage on the local level. Not just in the biennial elections but go to the website, hcao, and meet some good people and work on a very important issue. Thank you.

**Hales:** Thank you. Good morning.

**David Davis:** I wanted to talk about expanding healthcare and being progressive and actually sending people that have drug problems out of the country to Mexico or to Canada to go to clinics, which actually has been proven to cure addiction instead of prolong it with programs like methadone and other stuff. The reason why it is not legal in America is because the pharmaceutical industry owns America, and I would like to see progressive

October 19, 2016

health policies like ibigain clinics possibly coming to Portland. We have an iowasca church here, which, you know, is legal under Oregon constitution. There is religions that are based on the iboga plant in Africa. Maybe with a little twerking of laws based on religious premium, they could -- we could expand healthcare here in Oregon. Do any of you know about ibigain?

**Hales:** I heard about it.

**Davis:** You should google it. There is -- it's known all around the world, and it, actually, has an amazing rate of Curing addiction, which would lower the crime and all kinds of stuff. That is something that you could do to actually expand the healthcare. In Oregon and be progressive leaders and cure addiction and things like that. Next three.

**Robert West:** I am Robert west. There is a few things that I wanted to bring up first, I am all for the healthcare thing, and the other thing that I wanted to bring up is that there has to be some kind of healthcare because for instance last week when the fascist goons attacked peaceful protesters at city hall, one guy got a broken arm out of it, and I believe that three or four people were sent to the hospital. I think that something needs to come of that. They need medical care, some kind of -- where the city can pay for it or where the state can pay for it. One of the people that had a broken arm is, actually, actually stays at r2d2 and doesn't have money, and when he, when he was at the hospital, he was met with a security force. That stayed outside his door, and dealt with doctors and stuff that had really bad bedside manner. We heard a girl was also transported to the hospital with pepper spray injuries. I found it ironic that the Portland police found it necessary to pepper spray a four-year-old girl. To me that's just beyond what I would consider even social, being social. There is no reason why the police should have forced only women, children, and adults, and for some, basically, to shove them out the doors, stomping, stomping and stumbling on other people, an officer got injured. I don't really care. I was there, I was in the front part. And the police actually were the ones that initiated the actions. That why I called them fascist goons because they did not care about the public safety, and they did not care about anyone else's safety. They wanted to force people out and like I said, several people got injured. They went to the hospital, and 20 had to lay in the street. And some of those that laid in the street were charged with disorderly conduct. I have not heard anything from the city hall or the police on any kind of apologies. The only thing that I have heard is that it's the protester's fault for being in the hallway. People need, you know, the society needs, of Portland needs to decide do they want the mayors and the commissioners that decided they are going to allow employees to assault peaceful people. And what's next, the police force, and you talked about how you want to bring the police officers in, and the actions like that won't bring good police officers in. It will bring in the racist cops, the cops that have vendettas against people. That's the kind of police force that you are trying to bring in. We can use the universal Healthcare as a, as an incentive, but the truth is, is that very few people like, like bullies, and I have seen a lot of bullies with badges. And the mayor and the commissioners really should be ashamed of themselves at the way that the police reacted. They made sure that people that had -- I still got all six of my tickets, were not able to speak, the police were not allowing certain people upstairs to speak. I really think that the city commissioners and the mayor really needs to look at the situation. They definitely owe the public an apology. There is people out there, when the protesters got out and was on the sidewalk and the police came out and was pepper spraying people, they had bystanders looking around going what's going on and why are they spraying people on the sidewalk and stuff. One lady was injured and couldn't move, and there was an officer standing over her, and she wound up being arrested, too. Two people were charged with cohorsion, which was ridiculous, that they dropped those charges immediately. So that's, that's why, that's what I wanted to bring up. I wanted to let

October 19, 2016

you guys know that me and other people are getting really sick and tired of the brutality of the police force. That I intend on doing everything that I can to document and bring -- and also if possible bring civil and Criminal charges against any officers or city workers that assist the police, condone the police or anything like that. As far as assaulting, injuring or anything like that to protesters or anyone else. A four-year-old girl got pepper sprayed, and wound up in the hospital, the police said nothing about that.

**Hales:** Thank you very much. Let's take a vote, please.

**Novick:** Thank you very much, to the senator, and Nancy Sullivan for speaking today, and to all the others who spoke, especially I have to say Mary Lou hennrick, and I feel guilty about not supporting you years ago. Thanks to lee mercer for his role on this issue.

Without question the affordable care act has exceeded in improving healthcare for many people. Specifically, the Medicaid expansion has been a tremendous help in reducing the number of Oregonians and Portlanders who are uninsured. [inaudible] cap in the program. This made a huge difference to many, driving down Oregon to just 5%. Mayor-elect wheeler and I went to san Antonio to view a homeless services campus that they have there and there is a medical component of the services, and that cost \$4 million a year, I asked how much would be covered if Texas had accepted the Medicaid expansion, which it rejected and they said all would be coverage, so I am grateful that we did accept the expansion in Oregon. The affordable care act has not made healthcare affordable and has not solved issues related to portability and benefits that are born by employers and employees, it's important to note that healthcare is economic development. If we could drive down the cost of the health insurance for employers, we get a long way towards improving their economic competitiveness. This resolution crawls on the legislature to take the next step towards the goal of comprehensive, affordable healthcare for all, and I am pleased to support the efforts of healthcare for all Oregon and pleased to vote aye.

**Fritz:** Thank you commissioner novick for introducing this resolution. I was proud to work with mayor Adams and the commissioner randy Leonard in 2009, calling on the congress to do this. Of course they have not yet, but we have president Obama having given us a great step forward with the affordable care act and significant Chris lowe and mark and many people here working on this for a long time. And you can tell by my accent, which is more British because my family just came over for my son's wedding, and it got stuck. So a couple people said that healthcare is free in England or in Canadian, and it is not. It cost quite a lot and that's why they are suggesting we send it to the voters, and the city of Portland has a great plan with wonderful benefits and we have not had a cost increase for several years in a row. It is possible if you have got a well-managed system that focuses both on prevention and wellness, as well as getting people to see the primary care provider at the right time rather than the emergency room, we can do it. So please add my name as a retired registered nurse to the thousands of Oregon nurse's association members, over which I remain one, to support this, and thanks to commissioner novick and Laura Hanson who works very hard on this resolution as did Goldann Salazar from my office. It's good and we will be having a legislative agenda setting process in November and I encourage you to be part of that, and we are going to not only to see what other things did the citizens want to include on the cities agenda but also have training on how to advocate effectively at the legislature. So watch out for that date coming soon to a venue near you. Thank you very much for your work and aye.

**Hales:** Thank you commissioner novick and all of you add advocates for good public policy because that's what this is. This resolution is timely and influential. Timely because as commissioner Fritz just mentioned every legislative cycle we develop a package of issues that we care about, and we -- and our lobbyists go to the legislature in coalitions like this, and try to make things happen, and it tends to work lately. Thanks to an excellent



October 19, 2016

legislature. So things like getting the ban on the preemption of inclusionary housing was accomplished last session are, and just such a coalition effort where it was in our agenda developed with the public advice like commissioner Fish just said and carried down there. So this is timely. And just in time for us to add that to our package, and I believe obviously that we should. Secondly it is influential and I want to reinforce your belief that in this kind of grassroots' effort because I see three areas where policy-making at the local level and sometimes rising to the state level then starts to have a national or a global impact. One is the environment. I was a newly minted city commissioner sitting where Steve sits in 1993 when we passed the first climate action plan, and now there is cities all over the world with this plan and we are one of 80 some cities that are in the c40 group, and those cities turned out to be really influential in Paris when the latest climate change accords were adopted not to mention the fact that if we do what we have gotten in our plans it will have a huge impact on climate so there is a case where grassroots' actions has risen to the global level. We take up the question of fossil fuels in the city, and how much if any expansion that they should have. And another area is human rights. We passed a domestic partner's ordinance when I was on the city council the first time, and we supported marriage equality and other cities and states did likewise, and it became a law of The land. On the human rights' issues the same sort of bottom up grassroots' driven effort, yes, and it has changed the country for the good. And then the third is economic justice. We take an action here on wages for the employees and banning the box and again we are seeing that kind of upwell from the community level to the state level to the national level. I believe that that's what's going to happen. It's just the force of history, maybe it's taken way too long for those of you who have been working on this for a long time, thank you, but I do believe that more and more, we're seeing that pattern where the people have their say at the local level. It turns into state policy, and eventually it turns into the law of the land, at least with the good supreme court. So we appreciate all of you very much, and Steve thank you for your leadership on this. Good work. Aye. [gavel pounded] thank you very much. All right let's move onto our next time certain item which is 1158.

**Item 1158.**

**Hales:** Commissioner novick.

**Novick:** Colleagues, what we're going to talk about is better coordination in the right-of-way. Our streets are the bones of our city. They carry pedestrian's, bicyclist, and motorist and is they carry underground pipes and utilities, and emergency response routes and special events. Here's an example of an issue that could be addressed with the keep Oregon, keep Portland moving program. Imagine a new building being developed to utilize a street for seven months, the project would create a detour. Imagine the water bureau needs to upgrade the pipes of a nearby street, and that project could create a detour. The problem arises that one project is detoured by the other. And now with better coordination between the multiple projects and the dense area, the engineers can better coordinate the detours and be mitigated with the disruption of the public is minimized, that's one example of how improved coordination helps the city work better. And now I will turn things over to pbot asset manager replacing the great Jamie Walsh, Emily Tritsch.

**Emily Tritsch, Portland Bureau of Transportation:** Thank you very much. Good morning mayor hales and commissioners novick and Fritz. I am Emily tritsch the asset manager with the bureau of transportation, and I am pleased to speak with you today about the keep Portland moving resolution. Keep Portland moving may sound familiar to you from about 2008 to 2011, my bureau led an effort to coordinate work in the right-of-way to support the mobility of Portlanders downtown despite the construction. This new keep Portland moving program endeavors to do the same with the addition of improved coordination and communication between city bureaus and with our sister agencies for

October 19, 2016

work in The central city so why now? we expect 2017 to be a busy year for construction. On your screen, as a quarter by quarter snapshot of what we expect to occur, from full to partial closures of three bridges, to transit work on the west side to improvement at pioneer square, 2017 looks to be a full year. You can see from this image that there is a great need for coordination downtown so people can get to where they need to go. In favor of preserving mobility and accessibility downtown through 2017 and consistent with the administrative rule for safe accommodation of the pedestrian cyclists in the work zones, and keep Portland moving would underscore the existing requirements for advanced notification for work within the right-of-way of at least five business days. Notification within 24 hours for emergency work was in the right-of-way, and both consistent with city code. Some bureaus are providing this advanced notification to pbot, in particular the bureau of environmental services who provides contractor's schedules three weeks ahead of planned work. This would also require the identification of the liaisons from the capital projects and the maintenance groups to serve on a keep Portland moving group in order to support effective communication of work. In order to support our coordination efforts and improve the outreach to Portlanders, this resolution would have a focus on the central city and on the cully neighborhood in east Portland. We wanted to include as part of the resolution, a focus on the outer east Portland neighborhood and identify cully because of the projects and programs of significance slated for next year, including the connected cully program and neighborhood streets and a proposed smart trip grant. For the keep Portland moving group we intend to engage the liaisons from the capital maintenance groups of each infrastructure bureau as well as partners at tri-met, odot and Multnomah county, and the streetcar and our franchise utilities. And I believe that you received the letters of support from the bureau of environmental services and tri-met, in support of the resolution. We would like to engage stakeholder groups throughout 2017 comprised of agencies concerned with mobility and access. This list includes a few, and we intend to come back in December with an evaluation of the keep Portland moving program to detail how it performed and the resources required to expand this effort in the future. I would like to invite any questions or comments on this proposal.

**Hales:** Questions. You have this.

**Hales:** Thank you very much. Do you have some testimony invited on this item?

**Novick:** I don't believe so.

**Hales:** So see if anyone signed up?

**Moore-Love:** Two people signed up.

**Charles Johnson:** Good morning, for the record I am Charles Johnson. And I don't know if immaterial to give thanks to condolences to Steve novick for these back-to-back programs that really rival the bridge crane. One thing that I wish that we had presented testimony on is within the past few months, we had a number of citizens testify specifically on the issue of sidewalk mobility and signage. I think that -- is there any other way than just the general information number, the 823-4000 the number for people to communicate when they think that keep Portland moving is glitching?

**Novick:** What other numbers can people call?

**Tritsch:** 823-4000 and 823-safe, as well as pdx reporter to file issues or complaints. We also have a keep Portland moving website, and that's where you can get information, and as part of this effort we propose additional outreach to the public.

**Novick:** Thank you.

**Fritz:** Since you're here what provisions are there in this for pedestrians as opposed to other businesses and road uses?

**Tritsch:** The intention is to improve our communication to the public so that they can make plans and detour the routes accordingly, and investigating the possibility of coordinating

October 19, 2016

with ways in their connected citizens' program in order to use tools that people have in hand in order to plan their routing, and certainly that's a primary use for the motor vehicles, and it's another consideration for the pedestrians and cyclists. This resolution is intended to focus on communicating these activities in the right-of-way and insuring that there is a safe-through pass, and in terms of additional safety provisions for the pedestrians, that's relying on the traffic control plans approved prior to the actions.

**Fritz:** If you could continue to look into the request we've had from people who are not able to see to get the audible and have a way so that the pedestrians don't have to go very much around the difficult route.

**Hales:** I am sorry you may have answered this while I was out of the room, but last time we looked at this set of issues, we were looking at it from the regulatory side, and what, what do we require of the developers, you know, and does that need to change? Where are we in that path? In other words, have we, in your opinion Steve or the bureau, have we gotten our regulations to the, to the correct level in terms of saying sorry, I know that you don't want to spend the money on doing this, but you need to build this as you are doing a project that interferes with the right-of-way.

**Novick:** I think we made progress and we will always be monitoring to see if we can do better. What do you think Emily?

**Tritsch:** I think it's still in the work and we hope to have a robust process working with our developers and our perimeters to make sure that we are doing all the right work in consideration for protecting our users of the right-of-way.

**Fritz:** I appreciate that and also Mr. Johnson I appreciate you bringing that up.

**Hales:** The second question, Charles may have brought this up but our record this year is really awful. We have had 34 traffic deaths in the city so far this year, and I hope that none of them was a result of a constrained right-of-way where somebody was at risk because they were walking too close to the traffic. I don't think that that's the case. That highlights the need to do this the right way, and if we have to raise the level of effort by the private parties that are building this or building that, much less our own agencies when they are out digging up a street, we just have to really double what we're doing, if we are serious about vision zero and 34 to the negative, 34 lost Portlanders away from vision zero and that's terrible.

**Tritsch:** This is a terrific opportunity to hold your city bureaus more accountable in their efforts with each other. By holding us to a higher standard we can meet that challenge and deliver a more robust and safe right-of-way for movement to the city.

**Hales:** We have had this discussion a couple times, and I hope what you are hearing from us is, you know, go, go to the, go to the responsible limit on this issue. Thank you. Jesse did you get to speak?

**Johnson:** During the brevity of the remarks, I think that in addition to the inter-bureau communication I hope that now that we have the tech companies like google here, who does excellent math interfacing also uber has a office and they bought a mapping company so I hope that we can find the bridges, the pbot mentioned ways but to get that data into, essentially, right to the, into the palm of people's hands, so that they are, you know, if we are going to reduce the congestion that will only happen if they have knowledge.

**Hales:** Good morning.

**Jessie Sponberg:** Good morning, guys. I probably shocked you a minute ago when I didn't take the single payer healthcare and turn it into my own soapbox but I think few enough people spoke on this that I can take this moment so we can do this two ways. I can dance around it, I can bridge crane and create euphemisms or I can just ask you very simply, why Charlie? Why? Why did I deserve to get thrown on my back last week when all

October 19, 2016

that I was doing was filming your police officers, hurting women? When you can hear on the videos, I am just screaming, please, please stop hurting women. You know how it feels to beg a cop to stop hurting a woman? Where I am going I promise I will get all these people out of here if you just stop this stampede that's created. It was authorized by you. What have I ever done wrong in the city? I consider myself is a pillar in this community. I get blankets for the homeless people, and in here I have never been nothing but a gadfly. I have never committed a crime. I have never done anything besides just voicing my opinion as a citizen. Because of that you sent your goons to beat us up. I have not been able to sleep in a week. I've been to the emergency room two times in a week. Every time I bend my neck it pops and I am up all night, and muscle relaxers, and heating pads. All I did was break out my video camera. I was not in the way or standing in the city hall. I was not each standing in the city hall. Now I am a national embarrassment, and now I am on the front pages of the newspapers, getting thrown on my back by the biggest police officer. Now I have to hug my sisters out front when the cops walk by, and because they start shaking and sweating and I can see the tears in their eyes. And what did they do wrong? All they did was try to speak their opinion. Isn't that why we're here? Isn't that why we come here? And you found it ok to authorize those people to be hurt. I mean to be hurt. I really hurt. I would not just come here and cry. If I was not sincerely hurt. And if my friends were not sincerely hurt. I really just want to know why, and I think that you owe that to me.

**Hales:** Thanks, I am sorry that you got hurt and I hope that obviously that you have started the process of asking for a complete review of what happened. I have, as well so you know how that process works, and I hope that you avail yourself of it. I am not going to try to convict anybody in this room, either a citizen or a police officer. But that process is there for a reason, and we should all make sure that it works.

**Sponberg:** Without being hyperbolic if I could have one more sentence. I think that you bought incredible shame to our city. I think you made us a national shame. We are a national and international news for how terribly you have handled not just this police issue but the whole city, and I really, really, sir, I encourage you to resign and spare the rest of the city, the next ten weeks of the bullshit only created because of you. Thank you for your time.

**Hales:** Let's go on. Others that want to speak on this? Ma'am I have warned you before you are going to need to stop disrupting this. You've been warned. We are recessed, you are excluded ma'am. I told you if you kept interrupting you would be excluded. [recess taken]

**At 10:53 a.m. council recessed.**

**At 11:03 a.m. council reconvened.**

**Hales:** Let's resume our work, please. Have we taken all the testimony on this item?

**Moore-Love:** Yes.

**Hales:** Any further council discussion? Let's take a vote, please.

**Novick:** Aye.

**Fritz:** Thanks to mayor Sam Adams who was mentioned at the previous version of this. I'm glad to see the improvement. It was a fantastic presentation from you as a staff. Thank you. I remember when commissioner novick made the announcement that Leah treat was going to be the next director of the Portland bureau of transportation she had some experience in Chicago with exactly this kind of management and I appreciate that you followed through on that, commissioner novick. I appreciate also that we're looking at not only vehicles including bicycles as well as motor vehicles, also pedestrians and in particular thinking about people with disabilities and how they will be able to get around in a safe manner. Thank you for that. Aye.

**Hales:** Thanks for your work on this, commissioner novick, and the bureau. This is

October 19, 2016

obviously important in terms of safety. The rate development activity we're experiencing in the city is apparently not likely to let up soon so really important that we get this right not just for convenience sake but for safety sake. Thank you. Aye.

**Hales:** Okay, I understand we're going to move 1159 to the regular agenda. So without objection we'll move 1159 to a time certain to the regular agenda and take 1160, please.

**Item 1160.**

**Hales:** Commissioner novick.

**Novick:** A couple of Fridays ago I was appalled to see Donald trump bragging about committing numerous instances of sexual assault, but I have to say I was even more appalled by the news I heard shortly thereafter. There was a poll of Donald trump supporters in two major states in which they said that that tape had no impact on their view of him. Not just in spite of it they were still planning to support him. Had no impact of their view of him. That brought home something that women in America already know which is not only are they at risk of sexual violence but they live among far too many people who think that sexual assault apparently is no big deal. And let's not kid ourselves. I don't think this is a purely partisan issue. If that many trump supporters feel that way, we have to assume a fair number of Hillary Clinton supporters feel that way. That is not acceptable. And I think it's very important for us as elected leaders to let survivors of sexual assault know that we believe them, that we stand with them, and that we are committed to creating a society in which sexual assault is not tolerated. We have invited other leaders from other communities to talk about the work they are doing to support survivors and. And I would like to offer them a majority of the time scheduled for this item we're going to hear from Multnomah county chair Deborah kafoury, Lisa wendt, director of human services, don reach, deputy district attorney Multnomah county, Annie wins and meg Darwin. Chair kafoury.

**Hales:** Good morning.

**Deborah Kafoury:** Thanks so much for having us today. Mayor hales, commissioners, I'm Deborah kafoury, Multnomah county chair, proud to be here in support of this resolution. I was introduced early in life to the pain that sexual violence causes and the way it can affect a person's life. I'm sure you've heard my stories about when I was a child my mother would open up our home to women escaping domestic violence and overcoming the trauma of sexual assault at a time when shelter and services were in short supply. Some mornings I would find a stranger at our kitchen table, someone who needed a safe place far away from her abuser. My mother helped start the Bradley Engle house, the first domestic violence shelter on the west coast. Later in the legislature she dedicated the marriage license to support domestic violence and sexual assault victims. Decades later I fought for the first general fund allocation to expand these services and in Multnomah county I'm proud to say we're adding funding for survivors of sexual assault. In Multnomah county approximately 2600 women are raped annually and 14,000 experience other forms of sexual assault. 75% of sexual assaults in Multnomah county are not reported. Women from communities of color and other marginalized communities are significantly less likely to report the assault to law enforcement. Significantly less likely to show up in our hospital emergency rooms, and therefore are significantly less likely to receive any victim services. So what we have come a long way since my mother opened our home to those who needed shelter, we have a lot of work to do. That's why I really appreciate commissioner novick bringing forward this resolution today. It is a reminder of the need in our community and will help us focus our work. Funding is critical, but it's equally critical that we treat survivors with respect at all levels. In health care, in criminal justice, in housing, and in our supportive services. We have a plan to focus on services, crisis intervention, long term help for the adverse effects of trauma, as well as prevention of future violence. The sexual

October 19, 2016

assault advisory committee, which is led by nuke's domestic and sexual violation coordination office, has identified a need to prioritize enhanced services for sexual assault survivors from marginalized and under-served communities. As we enhance services to make our systems more responsive to people who need help I look forward to our continued partnership with the city of Portland. Now I would like to introduce our director of the department of county of human services, Lisa Wendt.

**Lisa Wendt:** Good morning. I'm Lisa Wendt. As you heard from the chair, it's common sense that we invest in sexual assault services and in fact at the county we recently named our domestic violence coordination office and expanded it to include sexual assault realizing we need to identify it as a priority for policy and future investment. We have looked at innovative states and communities that have designed high quality programs to reduce and prevent sexual assault. They are the kind of innovations we're looking to implement in Multnomah county. As we developed our program from response to needs identified by the committee and conversations with advocates, communities of color and other service providers. This year we have a small investment. This is what we plan to do. We're launching a project to give advocates the flexibility they need to get in the community and work with people who for a variety of reasons are not in a position to identify sexual assault within different agencies. Advocates will go to schools, churches, community health clinics and homeless shelters to identify people in crisis. We will work with providers already seeing survivors to increase capacity throughout the county. We'll learn how to remove barriers to service and remove the conditions in our community that cause sexual assault. This is just the beginning. I also wanted to mention that I'm the parent of two college age kids both extremely involved in their campuses. Over their time as college students they have raised my awareness of sexual assault on college campuses as they have had friends or watched the communities respond correctly or incorrectly to sexual assault. What I know I have a fairly opinionated daughter. I told her I was coming here today and she says, this is what you should say. She really said we have to raise awareness about this topic. Remove the stigma for people to talk about their experiences. Not just for young kids, for adults as well to create that atmosphere where we're not afraid to acknowledge what's happening in our community and to create places for people to be supportive. She went on but that's the reader's digest version. I thank you for your support today. I think we have an opportunity to educate our community and change the social norms in Portland as commissioner Novick noted we may not be able to influence the national dialogue but I sincerely hope by today's action and continued leadership this can become a safe place for survivors and a place where sexual assault is not a chronic issue in our community. Thank you.

**Hales:** Thank you both. Questions? Thank you. Do you have other invited testimony, Steve?

**Novick:** We do.

**Hales:** Good morning. Welcome.

**Don Reese:** Thank you, Mayor Hales, Commissioner Fritz, Commissioner Novick. I'm Don Reese, one of Rod Underhill's chief district attorneys. First thank you for this important resolution, second, I had two comments to share with you. First I wanted to introduce Annie Wynn, one of the newest members of our staff whose job is focused on helping survivors of sexual assault and other crimes. Two, I wanted to update you on an important project in my office that is aimed squarely at helping victims of sexual assault. Annie Wynn joined us this summer. She has a master's in social work. She has ten years of experience helping survivors of sexual assault and other crimes in Orange County, California, although she's returned to us by way of Oregon being an Oregon State University graduate. We're glad we were able to extract her from southern California. She heads a program of 14

October 19, 2016

victim advocates in my office who all help survivors and victims of crime navigate through the criminal justice system whether their case is prosecuted or not. She's doing that work and overseeing our expanded staff. Secondly in terms of an update I'm sure you're aware of the national problem of backlogged sexual assault kits. These are forensic, sometimes called rape kits, examinations of biological evidence taken from the bodies of women and sometimes men who have been sexually assaulted then unfortunately simply put on shelves untested. Rod Underhill early on identify this was a problem in Portland. With the generous assistance of cy Vance, the elected district attorney in Manhattan, New York, who offered tens of millions of dollars for this testing nationwide, rod was able to receive a \$2 million grant. That money is being used exclusively to test 3,000 backlogged cases or kits in Multnomah, Marion and Lane counties. The work is being done by a private lab in Salt Lake City because the Oregon state police crime lab is already at overcapacity. They couldn't take on a project of this magnitude. The update is the first kit has been shipped off to the laboratory for testing and examination and we're starting to get the results back, they are being analyzed by a dedicated investigator, amity kirk in my office, one of our top prosecutors, who is assigned solely to this process, and a victim advocate who is assigned solely to this project as well. Undoubtedly through this work as we look at these 3,000 rape kits, we're going to identify sexual offenders who previously have gone undetected and unpunished. We will identify and prosecute and hold accountable these offenders. In doing this work we're sending a message to survivors of sexual assault that they are important and these cases are important. Finally, our goal in addition to all of that is to identify the systemic reasons why the backlog occurred in the first place and to ensure that we don't have a backlog in the future. Thank you again.

**Hales:** Thank you. Good morning.

**Annie Wynn:** Hello. I'm happy to be here. I appreciate the introduction, don. I'm Annie Wynn, new program supervisor with the district attorney's office. Just to add quickly to what don already shared, our office efforts in this area of sexual assault, sexual violence our office recently has expanded our victim assistance program dedicating resources so we have now 14 full-time victim advocates compared to the eight we had in years previous. We also have been able to designate specific assignments to some of these advocates in addition to our domestic violence unit we now have one full-time advocate dedicated to trafficking and one as don mentioned for the sexual assault kit initiatives. That's our full-time responsibility to respond to the needs of victim survivors of these crimes. We're proud to offer 24-hour response to all local area hospitals for victims who are seeking a sexual assault exam as well as coordinate the sexual assault response team for the county. Thank you.

**Hales:** Good morning.

**Mag Garvin:** Good morning. I am meg Garvin. I'm the executive director of the national crime victim law institute based here at Lewis and Clark law school where I'm also a law professor. I was thrilled that this resolution was being put forward. I'm very grateful to the commissioner. We're in domestic violence awareness month so the timing is interesting but it's critical we talk about sexual violence 12 months a year recognizing that it happens inside and outside intimate partner relationships. The timing of this is both timely from a national conversation but also to acknowledge it happens within intimate partner relationships. For survivors of sexual violence victimization, the moment is horrific. But sadly, the aftermath can be equally horrific for them. When they choose to come forward, when they choose to come forward they are faced with a backlash at times, a backlash that questions them, their history, their choices, their motivations, all of it. What is critical is that we as a community start to create spaces where survivors' voices can be heard, where they feel safe, disclosing their victimization, then we create space for them to

October 19, 2016

choose their path to how they survive. Criminal justice is one path to surviving sexual violence and we have some amazing partners here in Multnomah county and in the city working in criminal justice. Other avenues to surviving also matter. Creating space for survivors to choose their path is important. The national crime victim law institute we work predominantly on the criminal case to ensure that survivors are provided no cost legal services to protect their rights during that process. The right to privacy, protection from invasive subpoena requests, to dignity, to respect, to being present or not present in courtrooms when being questioned by pro se or other persons. We work incredibly hard to ensure that criminal justice and civil justice are accessible for every survivor so if that's the road they choose to take in the aftermath of their victimization they can access it in the immediate aftermath or decades later. So this resolution is critical. It's critical because it is saying that the city of Portland and each of us as individuals in this city say no to sexual violence and say we're willing to hear you when you have experienced sexual violence and create safe space for you to survive. So incredibly grateful for this and for all the partners that worked to make sure survivors can have a voice in the system.

**Hales:** Thank you all. Thanks very much for being here. Steve, do you have any others?

**Novick:** I think that's it for invited testimony.

**Hales:** Karla, anyone signed up?

**Moore-Love:** Three people. Robert west, Jessie sponburg and David Davis.

**Hales:** Anyone want to come up?

**David Davis:** Don't leave. I wanted to talk to you, actually, more than address you. I wanted to address the fact that this city is number one for child sex trafficking and other issues and it doesn't seem to be taken very seriously amongst the mayor and city council in general. I'm all for helping out victims of sexual assault and all that, and you know, I would like to see all the untested rape kits in this county and in the state be taken care of. More services provided and more law enforcement actually targeting sexual predators and pimps that are pimping kids out. They are going around to Lloyd center recruiting girls all over the city, you know. I don't ever really hear much about any of these pimps being busted except occasionally and usually through some big major fbi sting that takes place around the country like what recently happened down in Eugene, and I know they busted a couple people in Portland, but I'm not sure that has not made the paper, the actual people involved, but you know, this town is filled up with child sex trafficking pimps and scumbags of all sorts, then you have, you know, the mayor and the chief of police going and meeting up with a child rapist pastor, matt Hennessey, instead of don't shoot Portland a couple of weeks ago. Who also was a Portland police employee for 12 years. And then everyone on city council minus Amanda Fritz, who doesn't take campaign donations, has taken campaign donations from terry bean. I hope ted wheeler isn't amongst the people that are feeding at the terry bean trowel to get campaign funds, but I really haven't looked into that yet. Hopefully ted wheeler is not one of those people. The attorney general just denied terry bean some campaign donations and sent them back, but I would like to see this city really work on not being number one for child sex trafficking and maybe dropping down to like number 5 or 10 or somewhere lower on the list within the next year or two. Because I know government moves slow.

**Hales:** Thank you very much. Let's move on to the next person. Thanks, Mr. Davis. Shedrick?

**Shedrick:** I believe in this --

**Hales:** Put your name on the record.

**Wilkins:** Shedrick Wilkins.

**Hales:** There you go.

**Wilkins:** I believe that rape and assault on women means that these women if they get



October 19, 2016

pregnant should have a free abortion. I believe in abortion rights. A woman should choose the genetic makeup of the father. When I was going to psu, I had an argument with one of my professors. Is 50% of what you are genetic or environment. The professor says 75% is genetic. After that I kind of agree there is like a rape gene or aggressive men and women should not father their children. As another supportive argument I have a natural fear, natural fear of heights. My ex-wife likes roller coasters and my kids don't have a fear of heights so my fear got bred out my by ex-wife. So just as there are aggressive genes or people who are not afraid of things like committing assault, there are people who don't commit assault. I have a natural fear; I have never shoplifted because I'm afraid of getting caught. Some of these things are genetic. No woman should if she says I have been raped or involved with sex trafficking she should not -- we should pay for her not to have that child because things are genetic. But you know, my ex-wife married me because I graduated from Portland state university and she thought I was naturally smart. She found out otherwise. So you know, but I didn't say -- there is 75% of what you are is probably genetic and there are aggressive genes and fear genes and these are genes and those kind of genes were bred out of me. That's kind of proof.

**Hales:** Thank you. Good morning.

**Lightning:** Yes, I'm lightning. I represent lightning watchdog pdx. In the past one of the concerns I had was on the forensic labs as you know these untested rape kits are being tested now at a private lab. One of the concerns I have is that I wanted more emphasis on the state labs to be upgraded, better equipment. I would like more input from the forensic scientists. I want to make sure that the employees there are receiving the proper education and understanding that they have the best equipment that we currently have out in the marketplace. Another thing that I have stated is that I never thought there should have been so many untested rape kits. There are organizations out there that when they are aware of the situation that they come in and actually give grants. If somebody would have brought this to the attention of various groups there are groups that will privately fund testing these rape kits. Whoever allowed this to happen should be fired, removed from their job. This should have never taken place in this manner. We need to understand that there are ways to do checks and balances on these rape kits. When you have the state lab, you can also have a private -- you can do five tests at the state lab, come back in and bring a private lab and test one of the five. That keeps check and balance on these rape kits. As you know on some of the labs there have been potential fraud and they are watching this very close but again, my emphasis is that we need to keep upgrading the state labs, rely upon the state labs, hold them responsible, and continue to make them more efficient so we don't have this backlog again. When you're talking about lack of funding, I disagree with that. I disagree and there have been studies done on this and again, for that to happen who was ever in charge of that should have been fired immediately, replaced and made sure that this did not happen in the past and it will not happen in the future because they are right on top of this now to make sure that does not happen. Some of these untested rape kits if you study up on this they are getting hits on this. They are being able to find out some of the perpetrators at this time. So it's very effective. It should have never happened where this evidence was jeopardized by not being tested in a timely manner and again from my position someone needs to be fired and removed from their job. Thank you.

**Hales:** Thank you. Anyone else?

**Moore-Love:** That's all who signed up.

**Hales:** Let's take a vote, please.

**Novick:** Thank you so much to all of our speakers. I really appreciate your coming here today. Thank you so much to Laura Hansen of my staff who prepared this resolution and is

October 19, 2016

a tireless, determined advocate for survivors of sexual assault. My purpose in bringing forth this resolution is to help make this a community where as numerous speakers have said the goal should be where victims of sexual assault feel safe talking about their experience, where they know they will be believed and supported and action will be taken against their assailants. Aye.

**Fritz:** Thank you, commissioner novick, for bringing this forward. Thanks to chair kafoury for coming from Lents to tell us what the county is doing now. The statistics are horrendous. That is probably an underestimate. I appreciate the time that we have come in the nearly four years I have been on the council with my colleagues to decide in 2013 we had a question about funding for survivors and as a result in the fall we added extra money to what had been done previously. With that the police bureau though the women strength and boy strength program established a voice print program which provides training to middle school boys or young men about what is appropriate sexual behavior and relationship behavior. What do you do when you're angry? Maybe some of these young men have not had that discussion in their homes. So we really have got to get ahead, upstream to discuss what is appropriate behavior in our city, in our country. I share commissioner novick's concerns about the debates at the national level. It's indicative of all the people coming forward saying, yes, that happened to me. It's good that we're having this conversation. I need to apologize to Katie Shriver, she was on the transportation management issue and I got mixed up on the times on the four time certainly you're doing today. Thanks to Laura Henson who worked on this resolution. Also Goldann Salazar on my staff who's now my executive assistant who also worked at the national center for crime victim law institute at Lewis and Clark. I appreciate everyone who came in to shine a light on this. It's not by any means fixed. I would also like to thank jerry Jimenez, formerly jerry Williams, who work in the office of neighborhood involvement who was sent with commissioner randy Leonard really brought attention to this issue in 2008 and 2007. Looking at what is happening on 82nd avenue. Also to recognize in addition to Deborah Kafoury and commissioner Gretchen Kafoury a lot of effort and much of it has been done in this past several years. We need to be clear, though, that we're talking about all crime victims and all victims of sexual assault. The Portland women's crisis line has recently changed their name to a call for action because it's not only people who identify as women who are targeted in this way and at least 50% of the women who are outside on the street are there because it's safer on the street than it was in their abusive household. Similarly, for trans women and transgender people it's even worse. Appreciate the work that is being done to make our streets safer as well as shine a light on this. This is happening in far too many homes in our city. Aye.

**Hales:** Thank you, Steve, very much. Aye. Okay, we are going to turn to our regular agenda and do a little bit of work. We have had a catastrophic situation on northwest 23rd. We have at least three firefighters injured and civilians injured in a gas explosion. Commissioner Saltzman is there along with folks from obviously the fire and police bureaus. I would like to get out there myself by about noon. So what I propose that we do is take some of the regular agenda items that we can act on with three of us and obviously move the rest to this afternoon. So we can -- we're going to move 1167 to this afternoon. We'll take up 1168 next.

**Item 1168.**

**Hales:** Good morning.

**Larry Pelatt, Procurement Services:** Good morning. I'm Larry Pelatt from procurement services. You have before you the procurement report recommending a contract award to McMillan Jacobs company, the owners represent for the Willamette river crossing project, in the amount of \$3,950,000. The original estimate was \$4 million. The bureau's

October 19, 2016

confidence level was high. We posted the rfp 253 on march 4, 2016, publicly notified all professional services and the community. Six proposals were received none from certified firms unfortunately. On April 13 and were evaluated in accordance with Portland city code. A short list was issued and two proposers were invited to interview. June 13 the notice of intent was issued. No protests were received. The contract has been negotiated with the consult and for \$3,950,000, just not quite 2% under the original project estimate. Identified that \$957,000 of the work will be performed by state certified dmwesb firms representing 24.2% of the contract dollars and divided as follows. Dbe, 509,000.

**Fritz:** Could you tell people at home what those stand for?

**Pelatt:** I'm sorry. Disadvantage business enterprise, 509,000, wb, women business enterprise, 132,000, esb, emerging small business firms, 316,500. McMillan Jacobs is a Portland, Oregon based firm but not a state certified dmw or e firm. McMillan Jacobs is in compliance with all the city's contracting requirements. If the council has any questions regardless the evaluation or rfp process, I can answer those or Dave peters from the water bureau is here for any technical questions about the project.

**Hales:** Any questions? Anyone signed up to speak on this item? Then is there a motion to adopt the report?

**Fritz:** So moved.

**Novick:** Second.

**Hales:** Roll call, please.

**Novick:** Thank you very much. Aye.

**Fritz:** Thank you for good work and a very succinct presentation. Aye.

**Hales:** Aye. Thanks. Okay, let's see. Looks like we can do 1169.

**Item 1169.**

**Hales:** Ms. Moody.

**Christine Moody, Procurement Services:** Good morning, mayor, commissioners. Christine moody, procurement services. You have the procurement report recommending a contract award to Landis and Landis construction. The engineer's estimate was 3.8 million. On September 8, 2016, seven bids were received and Landis and Landis was the low bidder at 3,440,925.50. That's 9.5% below the engineer's estimate. The bureau of environmental services has reviewed the bid items and accepts the proposed pricing as good. The city put forward an aspirational goal for dmwesb subcontractor and utilization at 20% of hard construction costs of this project. Landis identified five divisions of work for dmwesb opportunities with participation at 21.06 or \$725,000 with work being performed in flagging, signs, trucking and concrete cutting. I will turn this back to council if there are any questions regarding the bidding process. There's someone from bes here if you have technical questions.

**Hales:** Thanks. Questions? Thank you. Anyone want to speak on this item? Motion then, please.

**Novick:** So moved.

**Fritz:** Second.

**Hales:** Roll call.

**Novick:** Thank you. Aye.

**Fritz:** Thank you. Aye.

**Hales:** Aye. Okay, looks like we need to do 1170 this afternoon when we have a majority of the council here to act on it. No, you may not. 1171. [audio not understandable] there are not four people here. It's an emergency item. We're going to move on. 1171.

**Item 1171.**

**Hales:** Roll call, please.

**Novick:** Aye. **Fritz:** Aye.

October 19, 2016

**Hales:** Aye. And do you want to do 1172?

**Fritz:** Yes, Please. Some members of the public weren't here this morning when mayor suspended the rules and said that anyone who is signed up last week can testify on anything they want under this item.

**Hales:** Let's take 1172, please.

**Item 1172.**

**Hales:** Commissioner Fritz.

**Fritz:** Thank you mayor, Just as a brief reminder last week you heard presentations from mark Jolin, director of the joint office for homeless services and also from several community members about this engagement plan. This report is coming back to council as required in the budget note. I have had discussion with commissioner Saltzman's office and they are now comfortable with the way forward.

**Hales:** Great. No staff presentation at this point because we had it before. Anyone want to speak on this item?

**Moore-Love:** I show four people signed up.

**Hales:** Come on up.

**Fritz:** Were there any people signed up last week who --

**Moore-Love:** We just started a whole new signup sheet.

**Fritz:** Thank you.

**Robert West:** My name is Robert west. I wanted to bring up the fact that, you know, we can talk about anything on this item, I wanted to bring up the fact that several people were assaulted by police last week. And traffic was blocked and the police maliciously filed charges against people including people that were laying in the street. Some of the people that were laying in the street that was pepper sprayed. I really condemn the police commissioner and police chief for taking such drastic actions as allowing the fascist goons from attacking peaceful protesters and filing malicious charges against people for standing up for their rights to protest. There's no reason why the protesters were removed to city hall. There's no reason why the city council meeting moved from downstairs to upstairs. They should have kept the meeting where people could go in there. I still got tickets from last week because they wouldn't let me upstairs because I'm an activist. I was talking to other people that had the same problem. I really think that something should be done to the police department. I have also seen g4s grab kif today. They have also assaulted joe Walsh before too. He's got injuries from g4s. I would really consider reconsider the g4s contract. For some reason, the police and g4s think it's all right to assault and harass people that are protesting, and people that just come to city hall meetings and stuff like that. All kif did was walk over like this, walk around like this, and he was grabbed by staff security guard right there, which is where he grabbed me for walking in here. I thought that was absolutely ridiculous. He wasn't doing anything. He wasn't touching anyone. You guys weren't in here. And the security guard had no reason to grab kif there except to say, kif is in a restricted area. Then he threatened to have --

**Hales:** Thank you, Mr. West. We're going to move on to the next person now. We're recessing in 15 minutes. We want to give people a chance. Go ahead.

**David Davis:** What is this about?

**Hales:** Anything you want.

**Davis:** I wanted to talk about getting arrested last week. I'm David kif davis with Multnomah county cop watch separate from Portland cop watch. But I seem to be getting targeted by you guys all the time, you know. I know, you know, that you basically now are out of compliance with the doj settlement. Because you covered up for chief o'day shooting his friend in the back then you didn't report that. You know, it's pretty damning when we have a police union contract that went through and, you know, you couldn't even get the

October 19, 2016

union contract through last week without launching a doj investigation against your own city for the treatment of protesters. That's rather damning right there. But also, you know, as the police commissioner/mayor, in your dual position of power it lends to corruption. So I basically don't even view any of your votes, Mr. Hales/mayor-police commissioner, as being valid at all. Is there any police stuff that you haven't rubber stamped? So you basically last week pushed a union contract through for \$10 million with the Portland police. And right after that was done, there was a violent celebration by the police and they shoved women, children, handicapped people, people that have amputated legs even, they pushed people down the stairs, sergeant Michael Poole is the guy who pushed jessie sponburg backwards and also Sarah long. He assaulted several other protesters. I saw people getting maced in the face, point blank range, linda san, who is a regular fixture at coab meetings. She was sitting by the door at the back entrance. She got blasted in the face. Biachini, I think is how you pronounce his name.

**Hales:** Thanks very much. Go ahead.

**Shedrick Wilkins:** I am Shedrick Wilkins. No matter what you're talking about, Mr. Davis, this is not a church. People that come here and say the same thing over and over again. Go find a church and chant over and over again. Obviously. [shouting] I'm going to tell you to shut up.

**Hales:** You need to let him speak, Mr. Davis.

**Wilkins:** The mayor has every right -- if you keep doing this stuff. This is not a church. You know, I can say repeat things twice like I don't like the police contract either but if I say it more than twice it's a church. You're just repeating the same thing. [speaking simultaneously] he has every right to hold a meeting somewhere else if you keep cutting him off.

**Hales:** Thanks. Let's take anyone else that wants to speak. [shouting]

**Hales:** Come on up, Mr. Johnson.

**Wilkins:** I have a right to say that. [shouting]

**Chalres Johnson:** Good morning, commissioners. Charles Johnson. Probably won't be able to join you for whatever you're able to piece together this afternoon after we see how things are hopefully better in northwest from the gas explosion. At 2:00 we may be able to touch base with mark jolin. I hope this open comment realizes that homeless is such a crisis that it was unfortunate the way he was scheduled and Mr. Walsh not being able to comment was a point of contention for a lot of people. I also in light of what just occurred between Shedrick and Mr. Davis want to say that it's still unclear I think in the eyes of many people including attorneys at ball janic what you did last week actually is within the confines of the law. I'm sure the 40 staff attorneys at the city attorney's office with their \$100,000 a year salaries will come with paperwork that say it is, but it will be unfortunate if city resources and time needs to be wasted to litigate the fact that we were unable to have leadership that brought us together as a community to address what is really an insult. I think if most employees will think about it, they should feel insulted by all of you except Steve novick. I don't know, and I hope others will work with me on researching this, if any collective bargaining agreement for any agency of the city has ever been approved eight months in advance with raises and no criteria for approved performance. So dtcu, I don't know who else is here, I hope that they will really think about what happened and if we have a city where some police bullying and insider things -- a lot of people have been displeased with the way Amanda Fritz saw things and I hope that she will continue to be open to realizing that many people see that she chose Charlie hales and fear over the opinion of the naacp, the aclu of Oregon, even the frickin' sierra club, which is supposed be worried about owls and fish saw inequity in the police contract and our rush to approve it. Thank you for that open comment period. Maybe I'll see you if I get a chance. Thank

October 19, 2016

you.

**Hales:** Anyone else?

**Fritz:** Could you sit down, please?

**Hales:** There are other folks -- Mr. Davis, can you give up that chair so somebody else can come up?

**Dan Handelman:** Good morning, mayor and commissioners. Dan Handelman with Portland cop watch. I want to point out that last week three people who got the opportunity to testify to you about this issue brought up either directly the police issue or talked about how you should listen to the community. We split that testimony around the contract issue which I have never seen you do before. You stopped the testimony and took testimony from one more person then shut it down. Did you contact the people signed up last week know that it was continued to this week? I don't see a whole roomful of people like there were last week. You have to stop moving agenda items around. You have to vote on moving items around. That's what the city code says. This is my third week in a row coming to testify on something and being denied the opportunity to testify. I'm not paid to be here. You are. Very disrespectful to me and everyone else that you keep moving the agenda around. What I was asking before can't you remove the emergency clause from the police car item and then vote on it with the three of you here. If it were an emergency, you wouldn't have delayed it from last week. You said you were doing more work but it was reposted this week exactly no change at all, the pdf even had the same label on it from last week. It was only pulled from the agenda so you could avoid taking testimony. We had prepared testimony about the police cars, how it's cost just this near \$192,000 in settlements about crashes in police cars. Wondering whether that's caused by them looking at their computers while they drive around. That's what my testimony was going to be about. Also wanted to talk about the things others brought up about last week's vote on contract that it violated three aspects of city code including remove yourself from these chambers to take the positive vote of the council to do that. The mayor can't declare that your moving to the rose room, you violated city code. You'll have to take a vote on. It as with any item anyone who voted in the majority and feels bad about what they did can bring up a vote within ten days for another vote, especially given the composition of council today that would be a brave and appropriate thing to do to bring that up for a revote the ppa contract. We're going to pursue our legal recourses against the what I you held council on that item. Thank you.

**Hales:** Anyone else? Okay, then we can take action on this item. Vote, please.

**Moore-Love:** This is the report?

**Hales:** Yes. Thank you. A vote on the motion to adopt the report.

**Fritz:** Move the report.

**Novick:** Second.

**Hales:** Roll call vote.

**Novick:** Aye.

**Fritz:** Karla you do amazing work. Thank you very much. Thank you to Brian hoop who helped put this together. He's the manager of the community and neighborhood involvement center in the office of neighborhood involvement. Thank you to mark jolin, Felicia Williams and others and to all the people throughout the community that are looking at what is this going to do to get the word out about the home for everyone plan that we spent two years putting together. What is the plan for, how neighborhoods and people can help to provide services and to provide support for people who are living outside, and what is the appropriate way to engage if you have policy discussions because we do have this plan? So this ongoing policy discussion by the coordinating committee and we will be returning to council with an item on inclusionary housing, mass shelter code coming to

October 19, 2016

council from the bureau of planning and sustainability. We need people to know there's a plan that we want to help each other and that we can address the issue of so many people living outside right now. Thank you. Thank you to my colleagues for supporting it in the budget last year and to commission novick and commissioner Saltzman and commissioner Fish who are not here today support it. Aye.

**Hales:** Good work. Thank you very much. 1172 is approved. 1173 like the other emergency items will be set over until this afternoon. 1174 set over because it's an emergency item. 1175 we can do.

**Item 1175.**

**Hales:** Good morning.

**Scott Gibson, Bureau of Environmental Services:** Good morning. We're here to ask for authorization to enter into a contract to construct the Woodlawn king alley sewer reconstruction. My name is Scott Gibson I'm a principle engineer for the Portland Bureau of environmental services with me is David Hammond, construction manager for this effort. Before we get into the details of the Woodlawn king project in specific, I would like to talk more about the program.

**Fritz:** The mayor needs to go.

**Hales:** I have to leave in five minutes.

**Gibson:** Got it.

**Fritz:** Very challenging morning.

**Gibson:** So this is very similar to items in the past. It's authorization for a sewer rehabilitation effort. We have done our best to make sure we are coordinating with everyone that needs coordinating with and the results will be presented by Christine moody when they are received and you'll have an opportunity to ask questions now or then. Turn it over to council.

**Hales:** Thanks. Appreciate your cooperation with that. We have a crisis to deal with. Any questions for staff about this item? Thank you. Anyone want to speak on this item? If not is there a motion to adopt the report?

**Fritz:** So moved.

**Novick:** Second.

**Hales:** It's an ordinance, not a report. I got it backwards.

**Fritz:** I would like to mention since this is a second reading I was at the Elliott neighborhood association meeting two nights ago talking about other issues and before me was the contractor you needed to hire for so many projects. She was doing some public outreach and she did a fantastic job and really explained the whole issue to the neighborhood. So I know that you would have done a fantastic job explaining it had we had the presentation. Thank you very much.

**Hales:** It goes to next week's calendar for second reading. Unless there's anything we particularly have to deal with in the remainder of the morning calendar I'm going to set those over for this afternoon. We're recessed until 2:00.

**At 11:58 a.m. council recessed.**

October 19, 2016  
**Closed Caption File of Portland City Council Meeting**

This file was produced through the closed captioning process for the televised City Council broadcast and should not be considered a verbatim transcript.

Key: \*\*\*\*\* means unidentified speaker.

**OCTOBER 19, 2016                      2:00 PM**

**Hales:** Good afternoon everyone and welcome to the afternoon October 19<sup>th</sup> meeting of the Portland city council. Would you please call the roll?

[roll call taken]

**Hales:** I think commissioner Saltzman will be back with us for part of this afternoon. Here's what we're going to do. We have a press conference at 4:00 that he and I both have to attend so we will try to get as much of this done in the next hour and a half as we can. Obviously there's some emergency items left from this morning that will need four of us here, so bear with us. He's still on scene. Just a quick update, we apparently have no deaths from the explosion on northwest 23rd. The building is still being deconstructed, the remnants of the building are still being deconstructed but we hope and pray there's nobody inside. We have three firefighters and two police officers that are injured, some more seriously than others, Dan, I was just saying we'll have a press conference at 4:00 so we'll get as much done as we can in an hour and a half then we'll have to move things over to next week. For anyone that's trying to get information out to people there's a reception center open at 1972 northwest Flanders. For people displaced from their homes or their businesses there are a lot of folks literally on the intersections wondering what's going on. 1972 northwest Flanders is where the red cross has set up a reception center.

**Fritz:** May I comment I'm very grateful to the firefighters and police officers who ran toward danger to get people out. It's a miracle nobody apparently has died and also I very much appreciate what public safety staff do day in and day out.

**Hales:** They did an amazing job. So let's proceed. Probably want to take the remaining items from our morning calendar first. There were some that we held over because we didn't have four of us. The first is 1159, right?

**Item 1159.**

**Novick:** Colleagues according to order reports for fy 15/16 Pbot uses 4 million sheets of paper stacked up would be taller than the empire state building. This contract would allow pbot to transition to electronic filing in a three-step process assessment, develop, and implementation. Pbot will form an internal steering committee to engage employees in the change process. Alissa Mahar can explain the details.

**Hales:** Come on up, please.

**Alissa Mahar, Portland Bureau of Transportation:** Good afternoon, council members. Alissa Mahar Executive director of finance and business operations at pbot. I also have with me mary beth allen for admin supervisor for pbot. pbot has needed bureau-wide electronic records management environment for a number of years. With the Portland building move upon us we have realized that we need to work hard to move forward with reducing the amount of paper we produce and advance an electronic filing process using the existing city system.

**Mary Beth Allen, Portland Bureau of Transportation:** Improving the efficiency of our work flow is key benefit. Implementing more standardized filing classifications will help deliver more security, accessibility, customer service, and greater adherence to legal demands. Pbot currently uses upwards of 4 million sheets of paper in a year so by



October 19, 2016

reducing dependency on paper files will only provide operational costs and carbon footprint improvements for the future. Our city enterprise system, records management, will be utilized for this transition to ensure consistency and security of data. In August of 2016, Pbot issued an open RFP for the e-files conversion project. It was posted approximately three weeks and with received two proposals. Each contract proposal was stated as self-performing with no subcontractors. A specialized knowledge for electronic file systems and city government retention needs is essential for successful transition of this size and timeline we're under. Pbot has chosen to work with Access Sciences for this project. Access is located in Houston, Texas, and has an array of experience with transitioning government agencies into electronic filing. Access is a nationally certified as a women-owned business by the National Women's Business Enterprise Council and in the state of Texas and 59% of its work force is women.

**Mahar:** So this project is part of Pbot's two-year Portland progress work plan. We identified it a couple years ago. The associated costs are included in the budget. The roll-out plan is about 41 weeks so times out nicely with the Portland building move out requirements. There are three areas Access and engage with staff, govern and train and tactical implementation, Mary Beth Allen will lead this project with a 21-person steering committee and work with conductants on section specific needs and procedures throughout the agency. We have been working with records retention as well as the city attorney's office and will continue to do so. They have a vested interest in our work and will be close partners with us. This includes staff only at the Portland building at this point in time. We'll be expanding that following the Portland building staff. If you have any questions we're happy to answer those at this time.

**Hales:** Thank you. Questions.

**Fritz:** I'm wondering how it matches or doesn't with the bureau of development services itap projects.

**Mahar:** Because we're moving to electronic files, we are getting ourselves into an electronic state. This is moving into an existing city system, hprm, which is also called efiles and trim. So it doesn't really interfere with that project. I think it will help us be ready to go when that project is live. It will move everything primarily paper today into electronic form and allow us to access things remotely and from other locations. One key benefit is huge reduction in paper usage.

**Fritz:** Will customers still be allowed to file with paper?

**Mahar:** Yes. That won't change. It's more internally focused getting all of our city staff to stop hitting print. Get them to move it into the efiles electronic system, have it accessible in an electronic way where it has a really strong search engine. It should not impact the public but it will help in terms of accessing files for public records requests or other legal matters. It will make the information more accessible by more people.

**Fritz:** Will you have that posted on the transportation website or with the city auditor with the trim files?

**Mahar:** It will be accessible through the auditor city trim files.

**Fritz:** And has the technology oversight committee had a look at what you're doing?

**Mahar:** I don't believe it has to go through the talk because it's not a new implementation. We're basically moving our system, our paper process into the existing system, similar to transition we did at the housing bureau with we moved all the files into the electronic files storage system.

**Fritz:** How are they been saved now?

**Mahar:** They are on servers, in people's desks and filing cabinets in a myriad of locations throughout the bureau.

**Fritz:** And will people have to scan everything?

October 19, 2016

**Mahar:** Not necessarily. In a lot of cases I think because retention schedules people will be able to shred records. In other cases, where we realize something needs to be digitized we will do that but we have anticipated only about 10% of the work will be digitization. The remainder will be putting in archive boxes or destruction of the records because they are outside of the retention schedule for the city.

**Fritz:** In my office when we have questions on do I need to keep this, do I not, we talk with the city auditor and his staff. What kinds of training will be given to the pbot employees, whom there are many, to make sure everybody knows what gets tossed and what has to be saved?

**Mahar:** Training is part of the program, part of this contract. We'll be working closely with auditor's office and archiving and records retention so every employee part of this protect and transitioning to the new system will have intensive training, support to know if anything should be retained, destroyed or boxed. One of the biggest things is they are afraid to get rid of things. Having that resource to say yes, it's okay to let that go and shred it, don't keep it on the server, let's go ahead and reduce that usage and move it into e-files.

**Fritz:** Has the auditor said they have staff to be able to help you with this?

**Mahar:** Yes. Obviously we needed contract support because we didn't have enough capacity in-house. Brian brown and max Johnson have been very supportive as has Jennifer Johnson. They have already signed up to be part of the project. They are part of the steering committee. They realize how important it is.

**Fritz:** Thank you. When you get to the point of how to make sure the public is aware of it I hope you'll ask the public involvement advisory committee to advise on that interface. It's difficult for me even when I know where something is supposed to be to find things on the city's website. Looks like great project. Thank you for answering my questions.

**Hales:** Semiserious question. When you move back into the Portland building you'll be able to send all those files to archives and not use up space with filing cabinets any more so we'll have floor space available for people.

**Mahar:** That's part of our plan, we can reduce our footprint, both carbon and actual footprint, both in our temporary space and when we move back in. We want to achieve that before west move to temporary space, then allowing options for employees to access files.

**Hales:** Great. Other questions? Thank you both very much. Does anyone want to speak on this item? It's an emergency ordinance. Let's take a vote.

**Novick:** Colleagues, before we vote, I want to take a moment to celebrate Alissa's 26-year long career with the city. She's leaving us to begin as vice president at community college. She has been at the city for 26 years and with the Portland bureau of transportation since 2012 as executive director of finance and business operations. When she worked at the fire bureau she developed efr's first standard for emergency response coverage, co-led tfnr's general obligation bond campaign to seismically retrofit fire stations. While with the bureau of housing and community development she played a leadership role in the Portland development commission/housing division to create the housing bureau and helped establish the first housing advisory commission. She has accomplished many things including led 18 months planning initiative team which laid the ground for new funding through media budget briefings, community engagement information sharing and transparency in financial data. Shoed her commitment to equity and diversity through her work on the equity teams of both housing and pbot, her establishment of more stringent hiring practices and protocols and her engagement in the mwesb pilot program that increased utilization on pce contracts. Commission to thorough customer intake review. I want to elaborate on Alissa's contribution to transparency and financial data. One of my obsessions in life is making sure people know where their tax dollars go. It's a high priority of the bureau of transportation to explain our complicated budget in this simple terms as

October 19, 2016

possible. We do have a complicated budget. We have so many different funding sources and strings one described it as visa teen. She has worked tirelessly to make it as transparent and accessible as possible. As we worked together to develop all sorts of different charts and graphs which taken together can navigate the public through all the things we do and show them here's how your money is being spent. Here's how it's raised. And I think that's critical in government across the board, especially in the last few years ago we were asking the public for additional money for transportation. When we do that they have to trust that your existing money is being spent wisely. I really, really appreciate Alissa's efforts and I feel quite confident that the people of Clackamas county will know everything that Clackamas community college is doing with their money. Alissa's staff know her as a trusted manager, collaborative leader. Always shown tremendous respect for the talented employees that work for her. They are going to miss her. We are going to miss her. I am going to miss her. In lieu of flowers at pbot you have a candy bowl in front of your office. That bowl has become a defacto watering hole for your employees. A gathering place. We wanted to send you off to your new position with new infrastructure for such a gathering place. [laughter] [applause] Infrastructure by the way is a candy bowl with some skittles in it thank you, Alissa. Aye.

**Fritz:** Coincidentally I've been participating in city government for 26 years and I'm glad you noted which different things alissa has done. Didn't she used to do that? She's done this. This last project is a great one that's going to stand us in good stead for the next probably 100 years. Obviously our loss is Clackamas counties gain. Thank you for your work. I'm very pleased to support this bill. Aye.

**Saltzman:** Thank you for your service, Alissa. Great news. I wish you well at Clackamas community college. Pleased to have worked with you. Pleased to vote aye.

**Hales:** I have had the pleasure of working with you as well. You've done great work here at the city and this is just one more little brush stroke on your way out the door. A big painting you've done of better government. Thank you. Aye. Let's move on to the next item that we had to pass over this morning, which was 1167.

**Item 1167.**

**Hales:** Good afternoon. Nice shirt.

**\*\*\*\*:** Thank you.

**Hales:** Welcome.

**Bill Balzer, Portland Police Bureau:** Good afternoon, council members. I'm bill balzer, police officer of the city and employee in the traffic division. Part of the traffic division are one of our main goals is the arrest of dui drivers. Subsequently out of the officers in the city we arrest the majority of the dui drivers. What we find and within partnership with Multnomah county's disp, its Dui intensive supervision program, there are some individuals arrested for dui that have multiple duis that we're seeing incarceration is not working so we now have a super intensive treatment court that we work with them on and this is a grant funded through odot for our partnership to work with Multnomah county. What it entails is officers that go in. When we initially started doing it, it was just to go in and arrest people that failed to follow the rules of the program but in all honesty that was really doing nothing. It was just bringing them back into jail. It wasn't working. We found the biggest if you want to say bang for the buck was we're actually doing in-home visits. So part of the program they realize when they sign up you may be visited by parole and probation or officers. We have the availability to go and visit them at their home, see how they are doing, seeing if they are having problems, maybe something that might not be working out with their case manager or just whatever it may be. That's where the funds go to. The funds come for the officers that go on an overtime basis but it's entirely paid for by odot. So it's the partnership that we go and work with this treatment court to help the people that need help.

October 19, 2016

**Hales:** Thank you.

**Fritz:** What kind of outcomes have you had?

**Balzer:** So the further we can get someone through the program, the less likely they are to reoffend. A client who gets through the program which is a minimum of three years, some take longer than others, we see that after they successfully complete and the statistics we see is that after three years ending their probation only a little over 7% reoffend. Then if we extend that out to another five years, those people, it goes up a little bit but still only 11% that they reoffend. This last year we did way more home visits, got into more houses and really started this partnership. People would call the case managers to say the police are here: The police are here, thinking we were coming to get them. In all honesty we're coming to check to see how they were doing. It's just to check on that, getting partnerships with the people and allowing them to know they can call us if something is going wrong or might not be working right.

**Fritz:** Do you ever go with the project response team?

**Balzer:** So far we have not had to do that. The people that are in the program haven't been in a crisis situation. They are in the program; they go to treatment providers. They go to treatment court and they have their own appointments that they go to work with issues like that. Most of it is for sobriety. At this point we have not had a need and when we go out to do that we need to have that come with us. That facility come with us.

**Fritz:** You haven't had any of these kind of welfare checks go bad.

**Balzer:** No.

**Fritz:** Presumably now that the program is more established the expectation is set up to the start is that the officers will come and check on you once in a while on a regular basis.

**Balzer:** Yes. Part of that to back up on that question about going bad we make sure that -- we try to make sure that before we go to check to see if there are any dangers that the person may have some, you know, past history with the police so they would be shocked to have us come up. We don't want that to happen. Same as when we go if there's a mental health crisis or issue in the past we want to know about it. We try to check those boxes to make sure we're not going to deal with that before we even get to the door. We know that could happen we are not going to go or set it up properly before we go so that's covered before we knock on the door.

**Fritz:** I do appreciate you wearing the pink breast cancer I assume that's what it's for it's actually very friendly. I encourage you to think about doing that on an ongoing basis. We want people to know our police are trying to help in many situations.

**Balzer:** It's been unbelievable the entire month, just the response. Exactly what you said, it's the easing of tensions that all people wave at me and I'll look, are they waving at me? It's been a really fun thing to do and a great thing just to push out to help with awareness.

**Fritz:** Thank you, I appreciate that.

**Hales:** Thanks very much. Anyone want to speak on this item? Come on up.

**Robert West:** With the traffic division as low as they are --

**Hales:** Name on the record.

**West:** Robert West. I'm with police 911. With the traffic division as low as it is, you know, I have talked to officers and supervisors and stuff like that, and there's not that many traffic officers left. My concern is that if you're going to pull traffic officers even to do overtime to do house checks and stuff like that, that's to me that's not proper thing for the traffic division to do. We have had, what, 34 fatal accidents this year. We have had incidents where one of them an old lady was pulling out and got hit by two people that were racing. We need the officers on the roads. We don't need them going door to door even if the state is paying for it. The other concern I have is the officers' overtime and stuff like that. I hate to -- for the city to be in a position where we're going to be guaranteeing these

October 19, 2016

officers overtime and then something happens and the state department of transportation goes we can't fund the program any more, and you guys sit there the city holding the bag. I believe it was early last year, mayor, you wanted to give the police a raise, and you wanted to raise taxes to do it and when they decided not to do that you go, well, you can't give the police a raise and you're saying that these homeless programs are in jeopardy and stuff like that. Now you just gave the police a huge raise on their contracts. My concern is where is all this money coming from. Like I said, if it's department of transportation has to back out for some reason, the city of Portland will be holding the money -- they still got to pay the officers the overtime. So I hate to put for those reasons I hate to put them in -- I hate to put the city in a situation where they have to pay for overtime. I don't like our officers out doing traffic doing house calls. I think that's an inappropriate use of money and stuff. We should have other officers do it or have councilors. I don't think officers should be doling counseling work anyway.

**Hales:** Thank you. Welcome.

**Casey Milne:** Hi. I'm Casey Milne. My background is in public health. I think sometimes what happens is we get silos creating very similar activities and services that are actually available in other parts of our community. So my concern while I totally support the intention of what's being proposed and I wasn't planning on speaking. I was actually here for another issue, but I'm concerned that we're not tapping the expertise of public health nurses, social workers, even though Multnomah county has a health department I'm not sure of the collaborations that are going on and I think we're much more apt to create healthy communities when we work together. I think the services that people are most trained for in this respect are people that have that background. You're going to find that in public health. So my concern would be putting funds, what are we going to get the biggest bang for the buck and in this situation, I think it would be for collaboration.

**Hales:** Thank you both. Anyone else?

**Fritz:** Why is it officers rather than --

**Hales:** We should address those questions. What about other -- we don't have community service officers yet but maybe this would be the kind of function they could fulfill in the future. What about the question of scarce resources of people in the bureau right now? Why spend time this way? Are the public health folks from the county, nurses, social workers, are they integrated with this work?

**Balzer:** We do have for the second part we do have the social work in that. There's a meeting that happens every Friday with the judges that run the program, treatment providers, case workers and parole and probation. All the information comes in to share and it's guided or formed together to give the best answer or treatment to the person in the program. So it's not just us going out. The program has been going longer than the police have been involved, but there's only so much the parole and probation can do to go do the home visits. So we're just adding to it. It gives a different element of it. As for the -- there was a thing that came out that if the funds went away. If the funds go away from odot it won't be funded. Our portion of it will stop. We won't do. That the reason why we're doing it is there's funds through odot to do it. It's not something the city is going to pick up. We would have to unfortunately call and say we can't assist right now because the funds are sent through odot. The question about time, it's not mandatory. If we don't get to it and if we can't do it because of other events we're doing, mandatory events, whatever it may be, we have to call and let the staff know, sorry, we can't help you this week. The money would just go back and not -- just wouldn't be used.

**Fritz:** The question is why have police officers going rather than social workers.

**Balzer:** It's just there are social workers involved with it so it's just a different element to add to it. It gives -- some of the people are people that the officers have actually arrested,

October 19, 2016

mostly interaction or relationship was built between them. It's a new way, a new way of policing. It's not just out arresting and convicting people, it's a treatment and education and we're part of that to help with that. So it's different. It's way different than I have dealt with in the last 20 years but I think it's a good difference, personally.

**Fritz:** That's a great response. So that then develops a relationship they can see the person after they are done with parole. They know you, you know them.

**Balzer:** Yes.

**Fritz:** Could the department of transportation give this money to social workers and public health or is it specifically for law enforcement?

**Balzer:** I don't know that. I know it has to be dui involved. There are some people in the inflammatory are in there for drug related arrests. We specifically go towards dui. The dui portion from the Oregon department of transportation. So whether they could give that to someone else I can't answer that for you right now.

**Fritz:** If we had more money is this -- are there still some gaps that could be filled?

**Balzer:** To be honest with you the last two years I have seen it if we had more money it may be difficult to spend the money, at least the part we have.

**Fritz:** Thank you.

**Hales:** Thanks very much. Ready to take action on this? Vote, please.

**Novick:** Thank you for the explanation. Aye.

**Fritz:** I really appreciate the opportunity to hear about this program and to get those questions answered that we hear all the time about the effectiveness, the fact that you are doing things differently in the bureau than was done 20 years ago, the fact that you develop human relationships with people which is very different from the way that it used to be. A credit to county commissioner Judy shiprack and others who have done good work on the joint public safety committee and mayor hales. It was a very good presentation. I appreciate more explanation than in the past.

**Saltzman:** Thank you for the presentation. Sounds like a new way of trying to deal with some very chronic problems we have in our society. So I hope it works. Aye.

**Hales:** I like this approach. I mean, on one hand I'm a table pounder about it, in that it is a heinous offense and people are hurt and killed and if you kill somebody with your car it's homicide in my opinion. Then driving is a privilege, not a right, and if you drive under the influence you should lose that privilege. Having been that clear about the hammer side of the equation, I think it is clear that simply throwing people in jail for these offenses doesn't necessarily cure them of their addiction to alcohol and therefore getting at the root cause of the bad behavior is important and how that work gets done, which professionals are involved in doing it, this is the kinds of situation where diversion out of traditional jail sentencing is a legitimate strategy and might work better for everyone first and most importantly the victims of drunk drivers. So that's why this is worth a try. If it's also more beneficial to those offenders in getting out of the cycle of addiction so much the better. This is really worth a try. It may be once we have community service officers they may be doing this. You make the valid point that the original arresting officer is an important ingredient in perhaps the progress of that individual to sobriety and responsibility. I wish you well with this program. Aye. Our next item set over from this morning is 1170.

**Item 1170.**

**Hales:** Good afternoon, assistant chief. You going to lead this presentation or is our fleet manager.

**John Hunt, City Fleet:** To begin. First of all, hello, mayor, council. It's a pleasure to be before you today with the item to replace the 86 patrol vehicles for the Portland police bureau. These vehicles that are to be replaced are well beyond their economic life cycle so they are just wore out and needing to go. We have put aside funding each month of the

October 19, 2016

vehicle's life over its economic life cycle so we're able to use the money set aside out of the fleet equipment and replacement fund and with that I would like to have Mike introduce himself then we would be happy to answer any questions.

**Hales:** John, are these the new vehicles we went through a long process to figure out what kind of vehicle we're going to be purchasing? Is that what we're about here?

**Hunt:** That correct. They would be the new what I would call utility or crossover type vehicle.

**Hales:** Great. Thank you.

**Mike Leo, Portland Police Bureau:** Mike Leo Assistant chief, Portland police bureau. These are the five-year life cycle, five and seven-year rotation. We have slowed down in about 2012.

**Hales:** Questions? You've already -- so this is -- sorry, catching up to myself. This is authorizing --

**Hunt:** Correct. This is just the authorization telling us that we would like to go and we'll see how the whole purchasing process takes us. We'll be back to you later with the purchasing agent's report.

**Hales:** Is this strictly low dollar or do we have a local preference?

**Hunt:** We have a local preference. Unfortunately, with all the different dealers that we have there's only one really within the city limits. They have so far chosen not to go through like the state bid to supply vehicles but we would be happy to work with any dealership that is willing to work with us.

**Fritz:** I must have misunderstood. The Gresham company has already been chosen?

**Hunt:** Well, through the state bid they are the local dealer that has a fleet program that allows the vehicles to flow through their -- again the fleet program. Even though they are purchased through a state bid that's how they come to us. We still do a lot of work with the others as far as buying parts and things that are -- we go as local as we can get.

**Fritz:** That's likely where you would get them from.

**Hunt:** Correct.

**Fritz:** At least Multnomah county gets the business tax deductions.

**Hales:** Anyone want to speak on this item?

**Moore-Love:** I have people signed up from earlier today.

**Hales:** Go ahead.

**West:** I'm Robert West from film the police 911. Police have enough vehicles. I live over by one of the precincts. North precinct. I see at least half the parking lot with cars just sitting in it. The city says they are low on police officers. Why don't they use the cars that are just sitting around in the parking lot? What I see is the apartment complex that's next to the parking lot, across the street, they took all that away from the elderly parking and gave that to the police. Signs up there say police parking only now. So the elderly people can only park on one part of the street. But there's never any police cars there. But they do have them in their parking lots and they do have them in the parking garages and stuff. The city doesn't need more police cars. Especially when they are low on police officers. What, 85 officers short or something like that. They don't need a bunch more police cars. How are we going to be able to afford all this? If we could afford to give the officers wages last year how can we give them brand new police cars? I mean, your mayor here put you're -- the new mayor is going to wind up having to eat. You know. It's going to harm the city more than it's going to help the city. That's all I got to say about that.

**Hales:** Thank you. Good afternoon.

**Nancy Newell:** Nancy Newell. Very concerned about the testimony here today. The quality of oversight by this council. This police department is out of control in expenditures. There's not enough oversight. And the public is trying to make a better police department.

October 19, 2016

Part of that is transport but it's also responsibility financially. The police commissioner I believe has as you are, mayor, both titles, the responsibility to make sure that these equations are satisfied. You have just been told by the department of justice because of your non-transparency in an incident that they are going to not -- what was the wording they used? Anyway the process has been dead stopped. The process has been dead stopped by you for oversight for better performance, better cost all of that is very key to this city's health and you'll be leaving soon and we have to live with that. I would call to your attention if you have removed a police chief why aren't you resigning? You were part of that covering it up, not the public not knowing and the department of justice has just said that the whole process of the settlement issue in they city has failed. This is serious stuff. We're here because we believe that this government should function with ethical standards. Those are not ethical standards. I can't understand why this continues to be allowed in our community. The money that you're spending, people don't have that kind of money in their pockets. My property tax has gone up \$400 this year. I have fixed income. How many people are being forced out of their homes of these social problems that you're trying to solve and they are added to the problem. Because there isn't oversight. It's just a vicious circle. In every incident oh, this is necessary. This is absolutely necessary. And the efforts that the public put in resulted in not much because we were blocked every step of the way in trying to make improvements. That is a fact. I could line that up for you but that's not part of this specific issue but it's the major issue. I just don't know and I do know that I would request that you remove yourself over these contracts. Because you haven't been transparent, because we're not getting the kind of results that we should be getting. I think it's the ethical thing to do and I'm asking for that publicly and I stated this a month ago, that this is out of character for the police commissioner's requirements. It's totally a question of legalities and some of the people have been treated, thrown down steps. Horrible record. Horrible. Injuries. Their lives have changed the and you get to walk away with a big pension. So I just would like to see more accountability.

**Hales:** Thank you very much. Anyone else? Come on up.

**Fritz:** I want to put into the record two of the women who signed up told me they were not able to stay. One had a child care issue, the other said she didn't feel safe in city hall after what happened last week. They were both here to say we shouldn't give any more resources to the police. I told them I would put that into the record.

**Shedrick Wilkins:** Shedrick wilkins. I don't like this crazy attitude people have that you're not listening to people and police stuff. It was he didn't vote for it, he abstained. The city council is not listening to people they should resign is crap. You listen to people. It wasn't unanimous. You heard people's considerations. They didn't shut people off from talking. You didn't shut these people off.

**Hales:** Thank you. Anyone else? Let's take a vote, please.

**Novick:** Aye.

**Fritz:** This is a routine purchase to keep officers in safe vehicles and updated. It's our responsibility to do this. Aye.

**Saltzman:** Aye.

**Hales:** I'm going to rely on our fleet manager to make sure we're purchasing the right number of vehicles and that we're getting a good deal and purchasing them at the right point in the life cycle. I'm going to accept for the moment that five years is the right life cycle. Though I think that always needs to be reexamined. As someone who drives a 1987 Toyota pickup I can tell you sometimes they last longer than five years. So let's keep an eye on that question. The question was raised about whether our inventory of vehicles is too large for the number of officers we have. Fair question because we are 80 officers down. I guess I'm going to ask you to keep an eye on that question. Obviously we don't



October 19, 2016

want to purchase police vehicles that are not used. Not utilized. We certainly don't want to purchase them more frequently than cost benefit curve would indicate. I'm going to trust that both of you will keep an eye on those two questions. It comes down to where we spend a dollar I would rather spend it on people first and equipment second as long as we have equipment to do the job. Aye. Next item we have left over from this morning we'll still try to get to this afternoon's item. Looks like commissioner Saltzman and I probably will not have to leave at 4:00. We'll probably be okay. 1173.

**Item 1173.**

**Hales:** Commissioner Fritz.

**Fritz:** Thank you. What number are we doing?

**Hales:** 1173.

**Fritz:** Thank you.

**Hales:** Welcome.

**Lauren McGuire, Portland Parks and Recreation:** Good afternoon. Mayor Hales, members of council I'm Lauren McGuire I'm the development program manager for Portland parks. With me is Ross Swanson, capital project manager lead for the Willamette park redevelopment project. We're here to request council approval to authorize the competitive solicitation and contracts for construction of the Willamette park redevelopment project. Willamette park is a 26-acre regional waterfront park east of West Macadam Avenue along the Willamette River. The park is an important renal natural destination with a variety of recreational functions. The park offers a regional boat launch, unprogrammed and programmed lawn areas, a play area, tennis courts and is a destination for cyclists on the Willamette Greenway Trail. It's also an important component in the habitat along the Willamette River. Need to rethink the components of the park, projects include the Hannah Pump Station, the Centennial Oaks Project, Tillikum Crossing Bridge and Sellwood Bridge Project. Both those two bridge projects likely will increase the bike and pedestrian traffic along the trail. So the goals for this project are to enhance the Greenway Trail and improve internal park circulation for all users. You can see number one on the map that includes widening and realigning the western portion of the Willamette Greenway. It will help handle some of that increased traffic. Second is to relocate the dog-off-leash area. The older location of the dog-off-leash area right now is unfenced, it's in a swale so unusable during the wet portions of the year. The new design is anticipated to be all year round and to be fenced in. The third number 3 on the map is restoration of the habitat which runs the former location of the dog-off-leash area. Number 4 are three different entries into the park we're redesigning for improving the directional signage and more attractive entry features. This gives you some images of the entries. You can see Nebraska Street entry on the top. The two bottom entries are north and south Greenway entrances from River Point Lane and from Willamette Place. The slide shows you some of the design elements that you'll see upon completion. There will be entry monuments with steel gabions and native stone, the gabion and stone detail will move into entry signage shown in the bottom panel. We were also to get a donation from the Portland Garden Club of 31 Eddie White Wonder Dogwood trees.

**Fritz:** 31, Very good name. White Wonder.

**McGuire:** We have had extensive public process for this project. In December of 2012 Parks completed a planning effort called the redevelopment and phasing plan for Willamette park. That was guided by Parks and we had a project advisory committee comprised of neighbors and engaged citizens. The incorporated both short term opportunities and longer term changes and proposed park design came out of that public process and was facilitated by the Portland-based firm of Myer Reese. So our purpose today is to ask council to authorize the competitive solicitation and contract with the lowest

October 19, 2016

responsive bidder and provide payments for construction of the redevelopment project. Hard construction costs are estimated at just under \$1 million. The funding is from a combination of locations, parks sdc funds, System development charges, also from the sellwood bridge mitigation funding. We had funds from the land acquisition from the hannah mesa pump station and parking revenues from the Willamette park itself. The project is currently on budget and anticipated to be completed in the fall of next year. So with that if you have any questions we would be happy to answer them.

**Hales:** One question then maybe a comment. Is the sailing club, are they leasing land from us or do they own or lease private land? The sailing club just to the north.

**Ross Swanson, Portland Parks and Recreation:** I don't believe they are leasing from us.

**Hales:** I was just out there at the sailing club for this launching of a boat by the wind and oar boat school, which has young people building amazing wooden boats, and they launched one there. Then commissioner Fritz and I were out celebrating the reuse of those dead buildings on Ankeny square. It seems to me other park agencies are farther along than we are on sometimes on the relationship with concessionaires that make sense where there's a little bit of an integration between the nonprofit sector or the private sector on one side and the parks agency on another. It seems to me that where there's sort of a beneficial synergy like there is between that nonprofit sailing club and the park that we ought to be thinking about that when we're remodeling. Maybe you already have. Maybe that's already been addressed. Just as a general point I think again i'm not saying we should have turn our parks over to the private sector, quite the contrary, but if you go to great parks like central park and you see the role concessions play there or if you see the positive outcome that we had at Ankeny square where you see the synergy between all the different recreational uses in the city, whether it's rowers or sailing lessons I want us to be thinking about that when we're doing the physical remodeling of the park. They have a boat ramp. We have a boat ramp. Where there are opportunities for responsible partnership is I guess the rhetorical question that I would want to have people think about when we do this work.

**Swanson:** Good question. The sailing club did not interject on this latest round of design but we did talk with the two -- gorge performance, which they do a lot of rentals for kayaks and paddle boarding. We had a good conversation about how we can improve their access to the river here. Kayak company to the north of the park as well.

**Hales:** That's great. We have a shared interest in getting people on the river now that it's clean. [laughter] thank you very much. Other questions, comments? Thank you both. Anyone want to speak on this item? All right. Then it's an emergency ordinance. Let's take a vote, please.

**Novick:** Very exciting project. Thank you. Aye.

**Fritz:** Thank you to the capital project managers Lauren McGuire and ross Swanson and also to earl straley, Terry Davis, Rachel Felice, Lisa Tyler in Portland parks. It's been a great team effort. Pooja Bhat on my staff and also the south Portland neighborhood association when I happened to visit on several occasions. Elizabeth kennedy and her team have included the neighborhood and I felt very pleased with that many opportunities to get input. Should be a great project. Aye.

**Saltzman:** Thank you. Aye.

**Hales:** This is a jewel of a park. Congratulations. Good luck. Aye. Thanks a lot. Let's move to 1174.

**Item 1174.**

**Fritz:** Thank you, mayor. Zalane Nunn will give us a summary of this. She's interim property and development manager for parks and recreation.

October 19, 2016

**Zalane Nunn, Portland Parks and Recreation:** I'm Zalane Nunn with Parks is really excited about this acquisition for a variety of reasons. We targeted it back in about 2006 when we started the natural area bond program as a priority acquisition due to its significant natural resource value and it has truly amazing views. I really encourage you to go there once we open it to the public. Funding for this, this will be our final acquisition with that bond money. So the purchase price of 860,000 we paid primarily with natural area bond money and we're also asking for \$200,000 in sdc funds that must be used to develop or acquire parks. Like I said, the property has great views, it's got mature oak trees. It's everything that we're looking for in a property and it's in the Linton neighborhood so it will help them and be added to forest park. I also wanted to point out because there were some questions, there are no homes on this property. There's an old barn, no homes on this property. This is just a vacant property. It's been there for years. The owner lives across the street and is excited to see it be part of forest park for the future. Thank you.

**Hales:** Thank you. Questions? Excellent. Thanks very much. Anyone want to speak on this item? If not then let's take a vote, please.

**Novick:** Thank you. Aye.

**Fritz:** Thank you to Zalane for your work. Also Trang Lam, Rachel Felice, dennis james. Astrid Dragoy who is now no longer with the city of Portland. She's spending time with her family but this is one of her lovely parting gifts to us. She came to my office and told me why this is such a special property. So I'm very pleased to be able to support it with again good mixture of different kinds of funding to make sure we can have this property in ownership forever. I was just with the forest park neighborhood association last night, this is in Linton, but it's always astonishing when I go to forest park to the water bureau building where the neighborhood association meets how very, very different it is from everything else in the city, of course every neighborhood is very different, but that's very, very different. Thank you very much for your work. Aye.

**Saltzman:** Thank you. It's always nice to add more property to forest park. Aye.

**Hales:** It's one of the great Portland stories that 116 years ago the son of frederick law olmsted came to town and told us you should create a forest park because it will be a long way to the woods someday in Portland. Bit by bit, some pieces larger than others over the years we have acquired 5,000 acres to make this treasure. So it's got to be exciting for you and certainly is wonderful for us to add one more piece to the puzzle that's almost complete. Thank you. Aye. Okay, 1176.

**Item 1176.**

**Hales:** This is just a previous agenda item we carried over. Does anyone want to speak on this item? We can take a vote. It's an emergency ordinance.

**Novick:** Aye.

**Fritz:** I appreciate commissioner Saltzman's ongoing work to upgrade the computer software. Aye.

**Saltzman:** Aye.

**Hales:** Aye. 1177.

**Item 1177.**

**Hales:** this is also a carried over item.

**Saltzman:** This I believe is a distribution of federal monies we get to Gresham's fair share of that federal money.

**Hales:** Anyone want to speak? Let's take a vote, please.

**Novick:** Aye. **Fritz:** Aye. **Saltzman:** Aye.

**Hales:** Aye. Okay, and 1178.

**Item 1178.**

October 19, 2016

**Hales:** Good afternoon. Are you I know you were here this morning. Thanks for coming back to make this presentation.

**Sherree Matias, Auditors Office:** Good afternoon. Sherree matias from the auditor's office.

**Mike Zeller, Portland Bureau of Transportation:** Mike seller, sidewalk inspector with maintenance operations.

**Chris Rawlins, Portland Bureau of Transportation:** Chris rawlins, public works supervisor for sidewalk repair.

**Matias:** This ordinance is for sidewalk repair on property required by the city. Remonstrance's have been pulled and not in this ordinance.

**Hales:** Questions? Anyone here to speak or remonstrate on this item? All right.

**Fritz:** I have a question actually. I see a small number of homeowners did remonstrate. I understand when that happens you then go through and see what, is the charge going to stay the same, go away or somewhere in between. What happens to those particular properties? Do they come back to us in another package?

**Matias:** They do, yes. Any remonstrance's we remove out of this ordinance, put into a pending file and then once the bureau of maintenance reviews those and determines whether or not they want to cancel or reduce or keep the same we put them in the next project depending on the outcome.

**Fritz:** Thank you.

**Hales:** No one wants to speak?

**Moore-Love:** Mr. West does.

**Hales:** Come on up if you do.

**West:** Yes, I'm Robert west from the police 911. Sidewalks are very important. I see people where there is no sidewalks walking in the streets and stuff which can be dangerous. It's also a crime to walk in the middle of the street. So sidewalks are important, not just for transportation but for people to walk back and forth. People in wheelchairs, on crutches, and stuff like that. The disabled really need sidewalks and even where there are areas with no sidewalks, sidewalks should be put in for people who are disabled, people in wheelchairs and so people can walk without walking in the street. That's my take on the sidewalks situation.

**Hales:** Thank you very much. Then this item passes to second reading for next week.

**Fish:** Now we're finally, thanks for your patience, folks, to 1179.

**Item 1179.**

**Hales:** Mr. Novick.

**Novick:** The mitigation action plan or map is a plan to describe the city's exposure to natural hazards risk and strategy to reduce those risk over time through systematic investments and programs in capital projects most cities and counties in the u.s. have mitigation plans because fema requires such a plan for an entity to be eligible for mitigation grant funds. Mitigation plans must be updated every five years. This is Portland's third iteration of this plan. There are a few points about this plan we want to highlight, equity. This plan was developed with an equity lens. We believe it's the first fema compliant mitigation plan also used an equity lens. In some was this is reflected in the plan. We had a 32-member steering committee that included three code chairs from the ohsu office of disability and health from the east Portland action plan and the Portland African American leadership forum. We offered two training in equity, vulnerability and natural hazards to the steering committee and the interested public. The plan identifies and prioritizes projects that serve populations that are vulnerable to natural hazards. People under 15, over 65, renters, people of color, people with disabilities and limited English speaking households. The plan also represents a strong collaboration between bureaus the following bureaus

October 19, 2016

participated in the plan process. Every single bureau sent a letter of intent to work to implement their project. Bureau of development services, bureau of environmental services, planning and sustainability, city-wide asset managers group. Office of equity and human rights. The Portland Bureau of Emergency management. Office of manages and finance, office of neighborhood involvement, Portland bureau of transportation, fire and rescue, parks and recreation and the Portland water bureau. I want to thank these bureaus and the commissioners and the mayor for doing pbems work. Finally, I want to find out that this is not a completely funded plan. In fact, many of the items are unfunded. There's a lot of projects in the plan, 159 to be exact. Some we can do with existing funding, putting them into the plan records our commitment to carry them out. Others are reach projects that we can't do without outside funds and we don't know how likely that is. It's not our expectation that all of the projects in the plan will be implemented however the plan included a rough qualitative benefit assessment. We could say all the projects are worth doing if we find an opportunity. While it's great some items are funded its worth pointed out fema continues to send funds toward post disaster mitigation rather than pre-disaster mitigation. Now I'll turn it over to Danielle from the Portland bureau of emergency management. Thank you.

**Danielle Butsick, Portland Bureau of Emergency Management:** It's an honor and a pleasure to be here. We have been working on this for the last year and a half. It's very exciting to finally be here today. So we're here today to ask you to adopt this plan. My name is Danielle butsick, with the Portland bureau of emergency management for one more day. I was brought here to update this plan and that's what I have done. Here to my left is Rob slater is with tetra tech, with the consultant company that helped up update this plan. So like I said, this project has been just a huge effort over the last year and a half and many, many bureau representatives have helped with this plan and also members of the community. This has been just a monumental outreach effort and it's just been so exciting to see the community coming together around this topic of hazard mitigation. Today I want to talk a little bit about the plan content, what is in the plan. It's an 860-some page document so I trust you've read it all. [laughter] it's a large document but there's a lot of good stuff in there. But I do want to cover what mitigation planning is, what's in the plan, and talk about how we included equity in this planning process and why that is so important. I'll give just a brief snapshot of what we found in the risk assessment. I'll highlight the earthquake risks because that's what is at the top of everyone's mind now. I'll cover just a few of the actions that were listed in the plan. I believe there are 161 actions in the plan. We have added a couple after the draft comment period. And there was also a separate breakout study, the critical energy infrastructure hub risk assessment and recommendations study. I want to briefly cover that and then talk about the next steps for the plan. Mitigation action plan is the city's overall strategy to reduce our risk from natural hazards over time. That means reducing our potential for injury, loss of life, property damage, and economic impact. It helps us establish eligibility for federal grants and as you'll see in these photos I specifically wanted to call attention to the seismic -- residential seismic strength anything project we implemented and are in the process of implementing with federal funds. The Johnson creek restoration project. That's another one of these photos that's here. I know you're all well familiar with those. What the mitigation action plan does is it characterizes our risks from natural hazards. It describes our vulnerabilities and lists actionable strategies that city bureaus can take over the next five years ideally to reduce those risks. To reduce those potential losses. The mitigation action plan like most plans has a mission that it's intended to accomplish and this is our mission developed with the guidance of the steering committee. We want to equitably reduce risk and the adverse impacts of natural hazards by building community resilience through collaborative, cost

October 19, 2016

effective actions and strategies. This plan really focused on equity for a lot of reasons. But it's especially important in hazard mitigation because we think that if you don't intentionally build equity into these planning processes you end up reinforcing our exacerbating existing historical inequities and disparities. With hazard mitigation, with natural hazards there's this concept of the social amplification of risk, which is the interaction of social demographic factors that work together with other vulnerabilities, physical vulnerability and hazard exposure to create enhanced risk. Social vulnerability looks at the who, the who might be impacted by natural hazards. Certain demographic characteristics might make someone more likely to experience detrimental impacts from natural hazards. That might be someone who has a physical disability, someone who has a language barrier, and is less able to access information and services, it might be a person of color who experiences institutional racism and is often faced with barriers to services because of that. And so we think that social vulnerability is a big factor in this. Physical vulnerability is when structures are either old and haven't been built to very high code standards or they may just be built of materials that are incompatible with a hazard to which they are exposed such as a wooden structure in a flood zone or a fire hazard zone or an unreinforced masonry building in an earthquake hazard zone. The hazard exposure piece is the where. What areas do hazards occur in. So a flood plain or a seismic risk zone. So all of those work together to create risk or potentially impacts from natural hazards. The way that we incorporated equity into this process and I assure you it's not a perfect process, but our attempts at incorporating equity into this process was by creating an equity lens. We asked ourselves questions about each of the actions listed in the plan about how we could maximize the benefits of the plan for the people who are likely to suffer most from natural hazards. We asked how we could reduce the burden of the actions on those particular communities. The bureaus -- I have to say the bureaus did an amazing amount of work going through each of their actions and doing this whole work sheet asking themselves these hard questions and refining their actions to be included in this plan to make sure they were maximizing those benefits. Like I said, there were a lot of people involved in this process. We had a 32-person steering committee that met monthly for the last year to talk about the planning process. They helped us refine the process. They identified our goals and helped us with actions that the bureaus could implement. And they also were a huge contributor to our public engagement strategy, which is how we interface with the public on this plan update. The bureaus provided their expertise on city infrastructure and existing programs or policies that we could use to work with this plan to help implement actions. And the public of course attended our workshops. They asked great questions. They helped us understand what their priorities were, and they really helped us refine the messaging in this plan to make sure that it was clear and was going to be useful to our public.

**Fritz:** Before you move on from that slide I just want to note and maybe explain for the people at home that's using the consensus model which is what the office of neighborhood involvement use in our budget process. People holding up a green card means they are fine with it. A yellow card means slow down, stop and let's talk about it some more. I find it very helpful.

**Butsick:** That was a fantastic tool. It was actually something that came up after a couple of meetings where it was clear there was a very large committee that needed a pool like that. But it was really effective. So thank you. Of course city council plays a huge role in this plan because you're the ones who will adopt this plan and ideally, and set hazard mitigation as a priority for the city and provide resources to implement the actions that are included in the plan. So if you haven't read through the entire 860-some pages you may wonder what exactly is in this plan. First of all, there is a risk assessment, which is the

October 19, 2016

where. It talks about our exposure to natural hazards. It shows a bunch of maps which are very colorful and beautiful and they show us where our highest risk areas are. The vulnerability analysis talks about who is at risk. We did a lot of demographic analysis to show what populations are in higher concentrations in certain areas, where there are natural hazard risks. Then there's the mitigation strategy, which intends to implement or lists potential actions that we can take as a city to reduce our potential losses from natural hazards. This is a snapshot from the risk assessment. This is a liquefaction potential map as you can see the brighter pink is where our highest liquefaction risk is. It coincides with the river, with rivers because liquefiable soils are found mostly in places where the water table is high, near rivers or streams. Liquefaction for those of you who may not know is a condition in which the ground is shaken and ground which is normally solid under normal conditions is shaken to the point where the soil particles actually separate and the ground behaves more like a liquid than a solid and can cause severe damage to structures on the surface as indicated by this very informative graphic. Another map from our plan, this is the shake map of the Cascadia subduction zone earthquake scenario. A shake map is a map that's developed by the United States Geological Survey and uses data on what type of soil or rocks configurations are present in an area and it's important to note that this map itself is actually a very zoomed in version of a large scale map. So if you zoom out it actually looks sort of like a bull's eye around the Cascadia subduction zone scenario. So there were two scenarios that we really looked at closely, actually four scenarios in the plan. But some of the scenarios that we really focused on were the Cascadia subduction zone and the fault scenario. This map indicates light to strong shaking from the Cascadia subduction zone earthquake which contrary to what is sort of in the media right now it may not be that bad from the Cascadia subduction zone. We still consider this our worst case scenario because of the duration of the shaking and the regional impacts that we're likely to see from Cascadia subduction zone earthquake. It's really important also to note that there are local faults, I'll call your attention to the purple fault running along the west side of the Willamette river. That is the Portland hills fault. That was the other scenario that we ran for this plan, and as you can see the shaking is looking a lot more severe for the Portland hills earthquake scenario. That's for a couple of reasons. It's a surface fault. It's a crustal fault, very close to the surface, and it's also very close to where we are. It's right along the Willamette river, so it's within our boundaries. So the proximity to us and proximity to the surface makes it a pretty rough ride for us when this actually breaks.

**Novick:** It's my understanding that unlike with the Cascadia subduction zone where we can estimate the probability of the earthquake happening within -- 20% chance in the next 50 years, we don't have the ability to make those projections on our local faults.

**Butsick:** That's very, very true. It is likely that the recurrent interval is far greater. Thousands of years. A lot of the -- some of the reason we aren't able to understand what the timeline is on these faults is because much of the evidence that we would see like with the Cascadia subduction zone earthquake we learned a lot about the timeline from under water landslides and we could figure out the time on those. But with some of the geology all evidence has been destroyed by landslides and geologic movement over time, so it's tougher to find out that information.

**Hales:** I assume that in the 800 pages not all of which we have all read -- just because the danger is somewhere between light and moderate or light and strong, doesn't mean you should relax, right?

**Butsick:** Oh, absolutely not.

**Hales:** But to me it seems like -- I think we could give people false signals in a couple of directions. One is to make it look like this isn't that big of a threat or that it's so apocalyptic a threat there's no point in doing anything. But we're actually in the middle, right? That you

October 19, 2016

should go ahead and strap your house down. You should have an emergency kit. In other words, the risk is in that we can do some things range rather than forget about it, it's never going to happen or forget about it, we're just all going to be refugees without housing or hope if it does happen. In that range of action matters, right?

**Butsick:** That's exactly right. Exactly right. We are probably all going to survive. So you want to have a plan and you want to have your infrastructure back online for the days after. So as I mentioned, we did a very, very robust public engagement effort on this plan. The first step of that public engagement strategy was to put out a preparedness survey. These images we used to graphically represent the hazards that we were focusing on for the plan, and you might notice there's kind of a strange looking one in the bottom left corner. That is for space weather. That was something our steering committee decided to cover as an emerging hazard. There's not a lot of data to support what our risks might really be from space weather, but it's something that the committee found interesting and wanted --

**Saltzman:** What is it?

**Butsick:** Coronal natural mass ejection basically. A sun spot explosion.

**Bob Flaner:** Solar flares.

**Butsick:** Yes. Which would create sort of an electronic pulse that might damage infrastructure. So we received several --

**Fritz:** One more thing to worry about.

**Hales:** That one we can't do anything about.

**Butsick:** It's tough. It's tough. But we received over 3,000 responses to this survey and we got a whole lot of information from the public. They were overwhelmingly interested in seismic retrofits for our schools. It was just a recurring comment that came up in many, many of the questions. The survey focused on what steps people have taken to prepare or to reduce their risk from natural hazards. What their experiences are with natural hazards and what they think the city should be doing. The next step in the public --

**Fritz:** Great job. Sorry to keep interrupting. I want to caution everybody we need to talk to our children and maybe others who have just come to this area, perhaps from overseas, about the relative risk of things. I think I overdid it when my kids were growing up and my daughter is now terrified that our house will be swept away imminently. I want to remind everyone that yes we need to pay attention to these things and we need to remember that young people especially can be easily scared.

**Butsick:** The second part of the public engagement strategy was a series of workshops. We held nine what we referred to as planning for real workshops to which we invited members of the community to come and participate with us and look at maps and figure out what the city's priorities should be for natural hazard mitigation. We asked them what they were most concerned about and if they had any experience with natural hazards. Also similar to the survey we asked what people thought that the city should be doing. What are the actions that our public thinks that we should be doing? Throughout this process we engaged neighborhood coalitions, primarily, and used a lot of their networks to outreach to the public. We also had a meeting with the immigrant and refugee community through the community engagement liaison program. You might be familiar with the program. It's basically how the city engages with our immigrant and refugee communities. They in turn the community engagement liaisons we worked withheld workshops in their communities in their primary language to ask questions like we were asking of them about priorities and hazard mitigation and what those folks worry most about. We also worked with the coalition of communities of color and had a follow-up meeting with them on how their feedback was incorporated into this plan. The last part of the public engagement strategy was a series of summer socials. We had socials in the park where we invited the public to come and ask us questions. We talked about the draft plan and did some science



October 19, 2016

experiments with volcanos and liquefaction demonstrations. It was just an opportunity for the public to come and interact with us, pbem staff and our neighborhood emergency team volunteers. I want to highlight just a few actions that are included in the mitigation action plan. This photo is a photo of a solar pilot project basically. In Eugene, Oregon, to help homeless populations with their electricity needs when they don't have traditional way to plug in a laptop or phone or something like that. That's something that the bureau of planning and sustainability is really interested in exploring. That's actually a few of the actions in the mitigation action plan talk about solar plus battery storage projects. Pilot projects in various locations. Community centers and in low income neighborhoods that could really benefit from this technology. Second one is for us to really continue coordinating with the levy districts on permitting for new structures around the levees. As you can see this home was actually built into the side of the levee. And very, very important from a levee management perspective to not have structures built into the levee. So that is something that bureau of development services is working on. They are coordinating with the levee management district but that's something we want to highlight as very important in this plan. The next one I want to talk about is expanding neighborhood emergency teams into every neighborhood including and other communities. Not just neighborhood structure but also other communities like immigrant and refugee communities and faith-based organizations. We also want to look at strategically replacing water pipes with earthquake resistant materials. That's something that the water bureau is really looking closely at with the replacement of the Willamette river crossing and they have recently completed a seismic assessment of a lot of their infrastructure and they really want to look at where we can most effectively reduce our risk to our water system by replacing piping. The community was very interested in seeing additional training on disaster preparedness, home safety, and emergency response training. It isn't necessarily as demanding of their time as neighborhood emergency team training. As you may know, neighborhood emergency team certification takes a lot of time and you have to maintain it with volunteer hours but people are very interested in learning how they can make their families safer and they are interested in learning about how they can do nonstructural seismic retrofits like installing safety latches on their cabinets so when an earthquake happens all of the stuff in their cabinets doesn't fall out. That's something that we added to the plan in response to community interest. The last one that I want to highlight is for office of equity and human rights and all of the bureaus as they implement action to review for unintended consequences that might happen. To find ways that we can really work to reduce disparities in how hazard mitigation takes place. I have a photo here of the Johnson creek restoration project, and I put that photo up there because that is an example of a project that could really benefit from an evaluation like that. Because a project like that when you're offering even a willing seller program there are potential negative consequences to the community, renters might be displaced from their homes if their landowner decides to sell. So that's something that could really be evaluated to see if there's a way to provide renter support or something like that. I also wanted to just talk briefly about the critical energy infrastructure hub risk assessment. The critical energy infrastructure hub is a six-mile stretch along the southwest side of the Willamette river where a lot of our petroleum infrastructure and electrical and natural gas infrastructure resides. This is a map indicating where our study area was and this is not an area that we invented. It was actually based on a study in 2012. It's very important to us. Then the Linton neighborhood is the only residential neighborhood located within the critical energy infrastructure hub. There were four main findings from this study. The first being that the lack of data leads to really significant understatement of risk. We didn't have a lot of data from the stakeholders in our study as we had hoped. We asked for data on vulnerability of

October 19, 2016

the critical energy infrastructure. We didn't have a lot of response to that. We really think that it's important that we expand on this assessment and collect better data and more comprehensive data that can really give us a better picture of our risk. Most of the facilities in the critical energy infrastructure hub are on liquefiable soils. Assets on the critical infrastructure hub are as old as over 100 years old, but some of them are relatively new about 70% of them were built before 1974 when Oregon adopted a state wide building code. And as I mentioned the Cascadia subduction zone is not our only risk of course of the Portland hills fault is a huge risk to us as well, and the fault as you can see in this map there's actually an indication of where the Portland hill fault is and it runs right through that area. Some of the recommendations that came from the report there were nine of them I'm going to highlight just a couple. First of all, and most important is a critical energy infrastructure hub disaster resilience work group. We think it's really important that all of the stakeholders in these issues get together and talk about potential policy options and strategies that we could use together to increase our resilience in this area. This group could implement some of the other actions or recommendations that we have in the report and they can also work together to advocate for building code revisions at the state level. Secondly, one of the other recommendations is policy implementation. So, a short while ago, the city council passed a resolution to reject any fossil fuel infrastructure, whose sole purpose is to bring fossil fuel into the city of Portland. I think this is a huge step for climate change and it's an opportunity for us to -- to focus on seismic safety of these facilities, as well. Bureau of planning and sustainability is actually working on terminal zoning regulations that could potentially implement this recommendation. There's also a facility inventory project that is in this infrastructure hub so we can have a better picture of what our risk are out there.

**Hales:** There's been really good work done between the bureaus on that effort that is to both implement no fossil fuel and signal to owners that are attractive towards replacing older, more vulnerable. Multiple folks came up with a solution for that.

**Butsick:** Right, right, it's a great opportunity. Next steps for the plan are -- it's currently under review by the federal emergency management agency and Oregon emergency management agency and that should be complete by December. We're hoping to have approval, with your adoption, by the end of the year. At that point, we will be -- it will be on us to start implementing the actions in the plan and the plan will also be maintained and annually reviewed and updates and we will produce annual progress reports so that the public can be aware of the progress that's been made on this plan. I'd be happy to answer any questions.

**Hales:** An implementation on this, there's small funding that's been requested to do more research, for pbem on critical infrastructure. So, just want to flag that as one of the action items in the action plan.

**Butsick:** Uh-huh. Okay --

**Hales:** Other questions?

**Novick:** What happens if the state and the feds reject our plan but we've already adopted it? Does that mean we have to start back over and readopt something else?

**Butsick:** It's possible. There is language in the resolution that says it would be conclusive of any non-substantive changes. It would mean coming back to council for readoption. The reason for this sort of rush for the end of this project is because we're hoping to have the plan approved by the end of the year so that we can apply for -- for pre-disaster mitigation funding that we became eligible for because of the -- the December storms last year. So, that money has been available -- made available to us if we have an approved plan.

**Hales:** Great. Anything else either of you want to add? Thank you very much. I know we have folks here to speak on this item so we'll take them and move forward.

October 19, 2016

**Novick:** We have Jeremy O'Leary, action plan. Micah Meskel and Kathryn Hartinger from bps.

**Hales:** I'm probably going to be leaving us a little bit early. I'll turn it over to you, Dan.

**Jeremy:** I was speaking of disasters. I want to applaud them in shoe-horning them into an amendment that was never required. After the fact, there's room for improvement afterwards. So, thank you, and there's a lot more work to do on that. Please continue the great work on community outreach and equity when you -- all the future plans around seismic retrofits. It needs to be -- as now; map -- the mitigation action plan was how the bureaus can figure out what they're doing. Map is not about, hey, this is how we make a resilient population. Like, one of the things, school -- doing the seismic upgrades to the schools, by all means. There's life safety upgrading and functionality. It's been shown around that world until the local school is online again after the earthquake, the community doesn't get going again. But that's now other jurisdictions. And, I'm one of the co-chairs for the action plan. Speaking specifically for these east Portland, is for -- we are blessed with seismically stable. Given that I have the privilege of worrying about only this hypothetical disaster, where a decent chunk of the population is worrying about the day-to-day one and food insecurity, rent increases, et cetera, et cetera. Let me look at my notes. Also, as much as possible in the future, be thinking about your capital projects and how do we make the recovery phase after the earthquake or whatever disaster easier? Yes, there is the sprint to make it through the disaster and what do we do after the fact? Thank you.

**Micah Meskel:** Mayor and commissioners, thanks for having us. I was on the committee. Also, took part in the breakout CEI hub workgroup. So, I wanted to second commissioner Novick and Danielle and Jeremy's points on the praise for this process. Specifically, around the equity work. As the mission states, the intent is to reduce overall risk. This is a daunting task, as much of the city in for structure and in habitants are in hazard zones. We have people and property in harm's way and we put the ecological health of our community at risk. We determined there was more than 9,500 people and \$6 billion worth of property at risk from a 100-year flood. And also, 89,000 people and \$20 billion worth of property on landslide prone slopes. These are significant human and economic losses. Events are becoming more freak went. One of the key take-aways from the plan is we need to do a much better job in it grating the built jobs. This includes -- these are included as action items, accelerating our commitment to green infrastructure and widening buffers along rivers and creeks and reducing development on flood plains and steep slopes. We feel this plan is a call to reinvest in those programs. We need to address some of the most hazardous situations. This is the hub study, the fossil fuel infrastructure that we have established along the Willamette. The CEI hub receives and distributes 90% of fossil fuel. It is located in the extreme hazard zone of six of the seven natural hazards. The vast majority are constructed on soft soil. With most of them being constructed to low or no code standards. I would note that you have a significant opportunity. This is a critical first-step laid out in the CEI hub that we don't further exacerbate the problem we have. That is the first step, the fossil fuel infrastructure ban. We need to look how to reduce the risk and increase the safety of existing facilities. A couple ways we see that being accomplished is to fund to do a comprehensive analysis, run a community-led process and formulate a legislature strategy for building codes to be altered to require seismic upgrades. Further, we need to strengthen the code from planning and sustainability commission and have them nonconforming use. It will require the necessary review processes and further enable them to process their process their upgrades into the future. So, finally, to end, I'd like to note two ways that we feel that this plan could have been improved. One is that we felt it failed to recognize the new flood plain protections that were put in place earlier this year as a result of a biological opinion released by national marine fishery services. But, contrary

October 19, 2016

to the assertion to the plan, Portland will need to improve this flood plain protection in order to maintain the eligibility. We think that needs to be noted in the plan. Second, there were - - if you -- community members felt the plan should have been more aggressive in terms of addressing natural hazards. The future regulations will be held to under the new biological opinion. And additionally protecting specific areas. We appreciate the opportunity to participate in this process and look forward to seeing it implemented.

**Saltzman:** Thank you.

**Kathryn Hartinger, Bureau of Planning and Sustainability:** Hi, thanks for having me. I'm a planner with the bureau of planning and sustainability. I was previous on the central city team and the bureau rep on this process. I'm a emergency coordination. I'm a mom. This is a really great opportunity to do something that was meaningful both professionally and personally. As a stakeholder advisory committee member, I attended the meetings and brought the information back. That was a really interesting process because thinking about who at my bureau needed to be and it touched everybody. So, I facilitated conversations with sustainability, solid waste staff, climate action plan people, environmental river team, et cetera. That was a really great opportunity. One thing that was really unique was this plan's emphasis on equity. It was part of the comprehensive plan. It is not a fema requirement and I applaud them for asking community members and bureau members to think about equity. We came together to review and narrow down action items, many of which were provided by community members. It was a really engaging conversation and it made us think about our Portland community and how differences in race, income, ability, language and age and housing, tenure and type might affect how people can mitigate, prepare for and recover. I hope this conversation continues. And that ongoing outreach conversations strive to reach underserved and underrepresented communities so we can understand the needs that families face in mitigation and preparation. I want to thank pbem, carmen Merlo for their leadership and Danielle for managing the process. And I look forward to our bureaus working together and moving forward.

**Saltzman:** Thank you. Any questions for the panel? Thank you, all. To we have anybody signed up to testify?

**Moore-Love:** I show three people left. Brian hoop, darise Weller and Danielle?

**Saltzman:** Come on up.

**Brian Hoop:** So, my name's Brian hoop, I'm wearing my private community member hat today. I live in Linton. I'm on the board for the neighborhood association and the president was unable to come to attend today to give comments. I will -- I have the comments that we approved back in early September that we gave to commissioner novick which you may already have. May not. I just want to comment that I've been in Linton for 20 years now and have grown to see it as a feisty and resilient community ready to address the geographical challenges we face and had the privilege to be on the committee for this. We're going through a staffing transition. I got to also -- I want to recognize darise Weller and rob lee, representing the association on that committee process for a year or more. I want to appreciate pbem and commissioner novick's leadership on this effort. I really admire the effort. They have done a great job of helping us get a neighborhood emergency team going. Thanks for that. The neighborhood association agreed with a lot, but not all. The neighborhood association is looking for commitment from our leadership of the council, our state legislatures, metro and county that there needs to be a long-term strategy for decentralizing the state of Oregon's energy and infrastructure hub. As has already been said, the Portland harbor, mostly energy, sits on a fill area. It's not an appropriate site and it's at great risk when an earthquake happens. You know, this issue should have been included in the comprehensive plan, something we should be thinking

October 19, 2016

about long-term and this is more than just an issue that affects that kind of immediate area that was on the map. It's a regional and state-wide challenge. There was a truck accident this December where a truck ran into a train parked along highway 30 this past December. The toxic clouds didn't really impact Linton as much as they impacted the folks of north Portland so that's something to think about. Of utmost concern to us with the hub issue is a -- it's hinted as a possible buffer zone and buy-out. The Linton neighborhood association will fight and oppose that in the future. If I had -- a few more ideas important to us, we feel there is a need for a fire station, emergency phone supplies. We would love to work with the city to look at what kind of rule changes could happen so that rail cars shouldn't be parked within an urban area along highway 30. And we really appreciate that -- I came to learn there is an evacuation plan but we need to work with the residents to educate folks that it exists and what to do about it when an emergency happens. Thanks for your time.

**Darise Weller:** Hi. My name is Darise Weller I'm on the board of the Linton community neighborhood association. I'm a member of the NW toxic community coalition for region 10. So, my power was out until 12:30 today so you got part of my testimony that is appropriate for this for the Portland planning commission. That's what you have. So, the mitigation plan is a rare opportunity to correct the locations. Yomo wnaq states a worst site could not have been found due to liquefaction and lateral shifting. Lives will be lost. The river, contaminated, forest park and many communities seriously affected. Goal seven of Oregon land use goals and guidelines prohibits hazardous facilities. So, I suggest you require rebuilding of the tanks to seismic standards of the nine earthquake. Surety bonds for the loss of life and property and environment if a natural disaster occurs or accidents. Current tanks, they should be designated nonconforming use to facilitate upgrades. A plan was suggested during the process to -- for a voluntary buy-out of the buffer using the fee of sales from houses in Portland. Our community has been established since 1843, easily 100 years before the majority of the tank farms were built. We have four generations of families living in our neighborhood who don't want to be displaced. There is a much larger area than the small buffer zone. Saint Johns, forest park, the Columbia, the industry employees and northwest Portland and all of Portland that a hazard that will occur in there. And, another question is, why are the citizens asked to pay for the risk posed by multi-billion dollar corporations? Shouldn't they be supplying the money for the risk? We have dodged several bullets recently, as I have listed in the papers -- distributed to you. Please use this opportunity to save lives and the environment and avoid environmental catastrophe.

**Fritz:** You mentioned your power was out until 12:30 today, when did it go out?

**Weller:** Last night some time.

**Fritz:** Does that happen often that the Linton neighborhood is without power?

**Weller:** It happens, especially -- I live on [indiscernible] road and often times, the trucks are not legal there and they go driving through there and knock the branches down and put the power lines out. There is degradation of the road. This is a power pole that was right next to the road that went down and took out all the power.

**Fritz:** Thank you.

**Hales:** Go ahead?

**Kathleen Melley:** My name is Kathleen Melley. Also Casey. And I want to just make a -- three points. One, that -- to just acknowledge the work of the committee, which I was part of and the leadership, it was absolutely an honor to be part of that. The points being made, I absolutely support. The earlier piece, I have a background in nursing and public health. What's been presented here has another element that is important to consider. Look at how we're developing Portland. I live in a condominium that is in the downtown area. And as we build higher and higher buildings, it's not that the buildings won't stand, but when

October 19, 2016

you've got a loss of power, of plumbing, electricity, et cetera, we are asking for much more complexity in the recovery and care of people. A lot of the areas that we wanted to make sure we take a look at in this plan are going to be risk in the downtown area, the areas that are most at-risk. We need to be considering how to prepare for the influx of people in Portland, totally get it, totally know that's going to happen. I just hope we don't increase the chances of more problems in that process. Thank you.

**Saltzman:** I think we had one or two more people? Start with you, sir.

**Dan Serres:** My name's dan serras, I'm with Columbia river keeper. I want to support the hazard mitigation action plan and support the testimony that mike gave earlier. I think the most important piece that I would like to convey is there is an overlap between this and the fossil fuel. The interplay between the two is important for you to consider. You'll have people coming to you, talking about the other policy. We support the idea of funding a plan with further study and further community involvement and a path forward to find a way to improve the seismic readiness of the tanks that exist on the Columbia river and the Willamette river. This is a deep concern for over 10,000 members for the safety and ecological implication. The Portland fossil fuel policy, I think, is ready to be a nice fit with this plan and they fit well together, particularly in using the nonconforming use designation for existing facilities. We think that would properly incent the type of seismic upgrades that are really spelled out as necessary in this plan. So, I think funding pbem and bureau of development services to collect information, involve the community and form a strategy to require seismic upgrades is a proper path laid out in this plan. Thank you.

**Saltzman:** Mr. Wilkins?

**Shedrick Wilkins:** I believe if there was an earthquake at mount hood and we don't filter the bull run water system it would stir up. I wish this city would help school children, because of the led issue and the led pipe is not controlled by the city, to drink bottled water. I make \$8,000 a year, I'm on food stamps and I can buy bottled water. Low-income can have their kids go to school with bottled water. The school situation is also -- several school officials have resigned because they wouldn't admit there was led in it. Have your kid drink bottled water? Benson high school -- Benson bubbles didn't want his employees to drink alcohol downtown. Benson high school should maybe manufacture or study how to make purified water. It's not easy. You have to boil it or run it through filtration systems. You have to test it. Maybe they should investigate it and drink the water they make and give it in honor of Benson. The sun emits a coronal ejection every 11 years. Between Apollo 16 and Apollo 17, they worried the astronauts may die on the moon. Not the person around the moon, but the people around the moon. In 1850, there was a coronal ejection that destroyed telegraph wires and melted railroad tracks.

**Saltzman:** Thank you, all. Okay. This is a resolution. So, please call the roll, Karla?

**Novick:** Thank you very much to Danielle and John and the rest of the team. Thanks for everybody who participated. People raised some difficult questions. It is absolutely true that this is a bad place -- it is a bad place to have a critical energy infrastructure hub. Where would they be disbursed to and how would that be consistent with not wanting new fossil fuel terminals? I really appreciate all the work that's gone into it, all the community groups that have been involved and I really hope that the feds and the state will sign off and we'll be eligible for mitigation. Aye.

**Fritz:** It's quite remarkable to me how different this report is from 2010 and the process that went into it and how far we've come, as a city. Since we established the office of equity and human rights in 2011 and the community engagement that's gone into this whole preparation. I'll be astonished if they don't accept it at the higher levels which they say is night and day. Thank you, Danielle, wonderful presentation and a great job in writing. Carmen Merlo has taken the bureau to great heights and I very much respect the

October 19, 2016

attention to doing things in the way that we all used to do them, this is now being thinking about equity and who to talk to, how to talk to people and as I mentioned, the importance of not scaring people at the same time, as we are. And helping prepare. So, thank you to Jana Papaefthimiou who did great work with this and mayor Sam Adams and are now continuing on with the work. I appreciate the citizens, the community members who have been very much involved in that and I'm hoping that as we move forward with this, we'll build on the collaboration between the bureaus and the community so especially in parks and neighborhood involvement, we can use this as a central organizing theme that maybe people don't have the capacity to come to the meetings on land use and transportation, but everyone should be able to come to neighborhood meeting about how to manage after a natural disaster. I think that can be building community rather than scaring community. So, thank you very much. Thank you, commissioner novick for your work and I appreciate the detail. Aye.

**Saltzman:** Thanks, commissioner novick and Danielle, for all the good work on this mitigation action plan and the other bureaus that participated in this and our public. I remember getting briefed on this when we had a disaster policy council meeting and hearing about the meetings that would be held. I wondered who would come out. I think they did. I think this plan is a better plan for that. But it really does contain a lot of good information and a lot of good recommendations and information we need to heed. So, thank you all for doing this. Aye. Resolution's adopted and we have no further business today. We are adjourned until next Wednesday at 9:30.

**At 4:04 p.m. council adjourned.**