

City of Portland, Oregon Bureau of Development Services Office of the Director FROM CONCEPT TO CONSTRUCTION

Chloe Eudaly, Commissioner Paul L. Scarlett, Director Phone: (503) 823-7308 Fax: (503) 823-7250 TTY: (503) 823-6868 www.portlandoregon.gov/bds

Report to Council

January 18, 2017

FROM:

TO: Mayor Ted Wheeler Commissioner Chloe Eudaly Commissioner Nick Fish Commissioner Amanda Fritz Commissioner Dan Saltzman

Paul L. Scarlett, Director Sebauch Sievert Momin fr Bureau of Development Services Phul L, Scarlett

SUBJECT: Bureau of Development Services and Development Review Advisory Committee Residential Demolition Ordinance Implementation Report

The attached Residential Demolition Ordinance Implementation Report was prepared by the Bureau of Development Services with input from the Development Review Advisory Committee. The Report provides information on what has worked, what didn't work, and key recommendations for amending the Ordinance.

The City Council adopted a Residential Demolition Delay Ordinance ("Ordinance"), which became effective in April 2015. The Ordinance removed the one-for-one exception, expanded the notice provisions and completely amended the appeals process. It also included a new section requiring notice for major alterations and additions, which provides surrounding neighbors notice of construction activities that could affect them. From April 20, 2015 through October 31, 2016, BDS has accepted approximately 562 residential demolition permit applications that were subject to the Ordinance. Implementation costs, including staff, printing, mailing and appeal fees, totals approximately \$224,734.

Ordinance Successes:

Overall, the Ordinance implementation process has gone well, and the Ordinance has accomplished many of its intended goals, including:

- Standardizing the demolition delay period for all non-historic one-and-two-family houses in areas with a residential Comprehensive Plan Map designation
- Alerting neighbors that a nearby house will be demolished
- Providing an opportunity for any interested party to seek an extension of the demolition delay to try to save the house
- Providing notice to neighbors of major addition or alteration projects
- Conducting outreach and training to the building community, neighborhood organizations and City staff on the new Ordinance

• Developing implementation guides that have been helpful in addressing specific scenarios and interpreting the Ordinance

Ordinance Challenges:

Because the Ordinance significantly changed requirements for residential demolitions and added new requirements for major alterations and additions, stakeholders anticipated there would be some implementation challenges. These challenges included:

- Need for more clarity in some of the appeal language and criteria
- Lack of incentives for property owners to negotiate to try to save a structure
- Equity issues regarding who has the ability to file an appeal
- Need to determine whether the door hanger requirements should be amended to make them more effective
- Need to align the Ordinance language with new State Building Code provisions

Key Recommendations:

The Implementation Report contains several recommendations. The following are the most significant:

- Add a definition of "residential structure" that narrows the scope of the Ordinance to just 1-2 family houses
- Create administrative rules based on the BDS implementation guides to help clarify technical issues that have arisen while applying the Ordinance
- Make minor modifications to the definitions and appeal language for clarity
- Consider adding incentives for property owners to meet and negotiate with neighbors
- Consider expanding the scope of door hanger notifications

TO THE COUNCIL

The Commissioner of Public Safety concurs with the recommendations of the Director of the Bureau of Development Services and the Development Review Advisory Committee and

RECOMMENDS:

That the Council accepts this Residential Demolition Ordinance Implementation Report to City Council and the report as set forth in Exhibit A.

Respectfully submitted,

Commissioner Chloe Eudaly

Agenda No. **REPORT** Title

Accept the Residential Demolition Ordinance Implementation Report to City Council from the Portland Bureau of Development Services and Development Review Advisory Committee (Report)

| P2 | |
|--|------------------------------------|
| INTRODUCED BY Commissioner/Auditor: Commissioner Eudaly | CLERK USE: DATE FILED JAN 1 0 2017 |
| COMMISSIONER APPROVAL | Mary Hull Caballero |
| Mayor—Finance & Administration - Wheeler | Auditor of the City of Portland |
| Position 1/Utilities - Fritz | 1 0 |
| Position 2/Works - Fish | By: Juan Taran |
| Position 3/Affairs - Saltzman | Deputy |
| Position 4/Safety - Eudaly | ACTION TAKEN: |
| BUREAU APPROVAL Bureau: Bureau of Development Services Bureau Head: Down Mr PLS Paul L. Scarlett | JAN 1 8 2017 ACCEPTED |
| Prepared by: Leanne Torgerson Date Prepared:1/6/17 | |
| Impact Statement | |
| Completed 🛛 Amends Budget 🗆 | |
| City Auditor Office Approval: required for Code Ordinances | |
| City Attorney Approval: required for contract, code. easement, franchise, charter, Comp Plan | |
| Council Meeting Date 1/18/17, 9:45 TC | |

AGENDA

TIME CERTAIN Start time: 9:45 TC

Total amount of time needed: <u>30 minutes</u> (for presentation, testimony and discussion)

CONSENT

REGULAR

Total amount of time needed: ______(for presentation, testimony and discussion)

| FOUR-FIFTHS AGENDA | COMMISSIONERS VOTED AS FOLLOWS: | | |
|--------------------|------------------------------------|--------------|------|
| | | YEAS | NAYS |
| 1. Fritz | 1. Fritz | \sim | |
| 2. Fish | 2. Fish | ~ | |
| 3. Saltzman | 3. Saltzman | \checkmark | |
| 4. Eudaly | 4. Eudaly | 3 | |
| Wheeler | Wheeler | \checkmark | |