

infrastructure risks, the proposed code draft breaks faith with the resolution the Council passed last year. I urge you to amend it to severely limit any potential expansion of existing infrastructure, and to include steps to make the industry reduce their pollution levels and fire (and other) risks.

Moreover, as indicated in the original resolution, the implementing code draft is an opportunity to flesh out much further some concrete steps the City is willing to take to help ensure a Just Transition occurs as our area, and the whole country, shift more and more to a renewable-energy economy. This is a chance to reach out to communities of color and low-income people to train them for the green economy, and to expand the use of Community Benefits Agreements as piloted successfully in the City previously, working with unions to ensure their apprenticeship programs have opportunities to engage fully in a partnership with the City for a Just Transition. The draft code could and should spell out a City responsibility to develop these kinds of programs.

Thank you for your attention to these matters.

Name: MacKenzie Stout

Email: merlinsgarden@yahoo.com

Comment:

I want a full ban of new fossil fuel infrastructure, in particular oil, gas, and coal terminals in Portland.

The Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments discussion draft has fallen far short of the 2015 Fossil Fuel Resolution that was passed last year. Where the resolution was bold, visionary, and historic, this discussion draft is short-sighted and prioritizes the fossil fuel industry over the health and safety of Portlanders.

I want:

- 1 – A full ban on new bulk terminals
- 2 – Stronger limitations on expansions at existing facilities
- 3 – Provisions to require existing fossil fuel terminals to make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River.

These changes are crucial because of the urgent threat that fossil fuel terminals pose to both the environment and public safety. Presently, the discussion draft allows new bulk terminals to be built and allows existing facilities to expand, which will grow our carbon footprint past the point of being able to meet our local and state carbon reduction goals. Further, transporting and storing fossil fuels are never safe, with risks ranging from oil train derailments and pipeline spills to storage tank explosions and tank destabilization from earthquakes. The City of Portland needs to hold these industries accountable for improving their safety without promising them more room to grow. We need to shrink fossil fuel use, not grow it!

It is vital that the city strengthen the current draft of the fossil fuel code to reflect the intent of the original resolution that myself and thousands of other Portlanders supported last year.

Sincerely,

Name: Thomas Brown

Email: tawb2007@hotmail.com

Comment:

Please do not allow the fossil fuel industry to build any more infrastructure which will contribute to the end of life on our planet.

Thank you, Thomas Brown

Name: Julia Cochrane

Email: willowtree@olypen.com

Comment:

Because I care about the next generation and those beyond, I want a full ban of new fossil fuel infrastructure, in particular oil, gas, and coal terminals in Portland.

The Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments discussion draft has fallen far short of the 2015 Fossil Fuel Resolution that was passed last year. Where the resolution was bold, visionary, and

historic, this discussion draft is short-sighted and prioritizes the fossil fuel industry over the health and safety of Portlanders.

I want:

- 1 – A full ban on new bulk terminals
- 2 – Stronger limitations on expansions at existing facilities
- 3 – Provisions to require existing fossil fuel terminals to make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River.

Name: Craig Heverly

Email: heverlyjc@hevanet.com

Comment:

I want a full ban of new fossil fuel infrastructure, in particular oil, gas, and coal terminals in Portland.

The Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments discussion draft has fallen far short of the 2015 Fossil Fuel Resolution that was passed last year. Where the resolution was bold, visionary, and historic, this discussion draft is short-sighted and prioritizes the fossil fuel industry over the health and safety of Portlanders.

I want:

- 1 – A full ban on new bulk terminals
- 2 – Stronger limitations on expansions at existing facilities
- 3 – Provisions to require existing fossil fuel terminals to make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River.

These changes are crucial because of the urgent threat that fossil fuel terminals pose to both the environment and public safety. Presently, the discussion draft allows new bulk terminals to be built and allows existing facilities to expand, which will grow our carbon footprint past the point of being able to meet our local and state carbon reduction goals. Further, transporting and storing fossil fuels are never safe, with risks ranging from oil train derailments and pipeline spills to storage tank explosions and tank destabilization from earthquakes. The City of Portland needs to hold these industries accountable for improving their safety without promising them more room to grow. We need to

shrink fossil fuel use, not grow it!

It is vital that the city strengthen the current draft of the fossil fuel code to reflect the intent of the original resolution that myself and thousands of other Portlanders supported last year.

Sincerely,

Message *

Name: Diana Richardson

Email: licketysplit777@gmail.com

Comment:

As a responsible citizen of the bioregion known as Cascadia, the state of Oregon, the city of Portland, and my neighborhood of Hillsdale, I demand a total ban on new bulk fossil fuel terminals.

This means BINDING legislation.

The stakeholders have been identified to include the fossil fuel mega businesses. This is an unconscionable bias: the stakeholders are We, The People who live here and who will be egregiously affected by disasters such as rolling bomb trains like the one which exploded in Mosier not long ago.

May you have the spine to stand up for what is right; not bow to pressure from the powerful and rich, nor succumb to other temptation which will result in your betrayal of the moral values with which you were raised.

Name: maria nazzaro

Email: marianazzaro@mail.com

Comment:

Ban new bulk fossil fuel terminals. Please limit expansion at existing facilities. Please include provisions that require existing terminals have seismic upgrades to protect our river and our communities.

Name: Nancy Shimeall

Email: nshimeall@gmail.com

Comment:

I am deeply worried about climate change. The science is clear. The news of extreme heat, drought, hurricanes, rain, wildfires, and melting glaciers is the earth speaking to us. We must stop all new bulk fossil fuel terminals. We must stop expansion of existing terminals. And we must require upgrades to seismic resilience at existing terminals. Our great great grandchildren cannot speak. But in the future we want them to know that we acted with moral responsibility on their behalf. Please. Do the right thing to save our coming generations.

Name: Steve Rauworth

Email: steve.rauworth@gmail.com

Comment:

I just heard of your recommendations. How puzzling and disconcerting. You have heard of climate change, right? You do understand what the word sustainability in your title means, right? And you work for the city of Portland, and it's people, right?

If the answers to these questions are yes, then it's simple. Really, it is. Do the right thing. Without compromise, because however much compromise is touted as necessary in politics, in this case it translates to clearly foreseeable death and destruction.

We need a full ban on new bulk fossil fuel terminals. Expansions at existing facilities must be limited. And existing terminals must be required to make upgrades for seismic resilience.

Perhaps you were floating this joke just to see what the people would say. That's the only way I can understand your work. Ok, charade's over. Time to get real.

Name: Louise Shawkat

Email: louise40208@gmail.com

Comment:

I want a full ban of new fossil fuel infrastructure, in particular oil, gas, and coal terminals in Portland.

The Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments discussion draft has fallen far short of the 2015 Fossil Fuel Resolution that was passed last year. Where the resolution was bold, visionary, and historic, this discussion draft is short-sighted and prioritizes the fossil fuel industry over the health and safety of Portlanders.

I want:

- 1 – A full ban on new bulk terminals
- 2 – Stronger limitations on expansions at existing facilities
- 3 – Provisions to require existing fossil fuel terminals to make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River.

These changes are crucial because of the urgent threat that fossil fuel terminals pose to both the environment and public safety. Presently, the discussion draft allows new bulk terminals to be built and allows existing facilities to expand, which will grow our carbon footprint past the point of being able to meet our local and state carbon reduction goals. Further, transporting and storing fossil fuels are never safe, with risks ranging from oil train derailments and pipeline spills to storage tank explosions and tank destabilization from earthquakes. The City of Portland needs to hold these industries accountable for improving their safety without promising them more room to grow. We need to shrink fossil fuel use, not grow it!

It is vital that the city strengthen the current draft of the fossil fuel code to reflect the intent of the original resolution that myself and thousands of other Portlanders supported last year.

Lets get real think pf the
Childrens future

Name: Charlotte Stahl

Email: grandmacharlottes@yahoo.com

Comment:

I also want –

Limitations on expansions at existing facilities

Provisions that require existing fossil fuel terminals make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River

Name: Judy Sierra

Email: judysbooks@gmail.com

Comment:

I oppose expanded fossil fuel terminals in Portland. In the short-term, these pose a health risk to our community. No fossil fuel transportation is safe, and we, the taxpayers, will end up paying for the negligence (and profits) of the multinational fossil fuel corporations. I want a different future for Portland, for Oregon, and for the planet.

Name: Lori Benton

Email: loribtn30@yahoo.com

Comment:

Please ban new and expanded fossil fuel facilities and require that our current facilities be altered to withstand the impending earthquake. Our health and safety should be the priority. Thank you

Name: Ellen Mekjavich

Email: mekjavich@gmail.com

Comment:

I want a full ban of new fossil fuel infrastructure, in particular oil, gas, and coal terminals in Portland.

The Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments discussion draft has fallen far short of the 2015 Fossil Fuel Resolution that was passed last year. Where the resolution was bold, visionary, and historic, this discussion draft is short-sighted and prioritizes the fossil fuel industry over the health and safety of Portlanders.

I want:

- 1 – A full ban on new bulk terminals
- 2 – Stronger limitations on expansions at existing facilities
- 3 – Provisions to require existing fossil fuel terminals to make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River.

These changes are crucial because of the urgent threat that fossil fuel terminals pose to both the environment and public safety. Presently, the discussion draft allows new bulk terminals to be built and allows existing facilities to expand, which will grow our carbon footprint past the point of being able to meet our local and state carbon reduction goals. Further, transporting and storing fossil fuels are never safe, with risks ranging from oil train derailments and pipeline spills to storage tank explosions and tank destabilization from earthquakes. The City of Portland needs to hold these industries accountable for improving their safety without promising them more room to grow. We need to shrink fossil fuel use, not grow it!

It is vital that the city strengthen the current draft of the fossil fuel code to reflect the intent of the original resolution that myself and thousands of other Portlanders supported last year.

Sincerely,

Name: Carolyn Stuart
Email: carstu517@gmail.com

Comment:

I want-

A full ban on new bulk fossil fuel terminals
Limitations on expansions at existing facilities
Provisions that require existing fossil fuel terminals make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River

Name: Janice Vranka
Email: javranka@gmail.com

Comment:

I respectfully demand a full ban on new bulk fossil fuel terminals, limitations on expansions at existing facilities, as well as provisions requiring existing fossil fuel terminals protect the health and safety of neighboring communities.

Name: Heather Davis

Email: heatherannedavis@hotmail.com

Comment:

NO NO NO NO terminal we don't want it anywhere

Name: Marian Carter

Email: carterwstcvn@aol.com

Comment:

I want a full ban of new fossil fuel infrastructure, in particular oil, gas, and coal terminals in Portland.

The Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments discussion draft has fallen far short of the 2015 Fossil Fuel Resolution that was passed last year. Where the resolution was bold, visionary, and historic, this discussion draft is short-sighted and prioritizes the fossil fuel industry over the health and safety of Portlanders.

I want:

- 1 – A full ban on new bulk terminals
- 2 – Stronger limitations on expansions at existing facilities
- 3 – Provisions to require existing fossil fuel terminals to make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River.

These changes are crucial because of the urgent threat that fossil fuel terminals pose to both the environment and public safety. Presently, the discussion draft allows new bulk terminals to be built and allows existing facilities to expand, which will grow our carbon footprint past the point of being able to meet our local and state carbon reduction goals. Further, transporting and storing fossil fuels are never safe, with risks ranging from oil train

derailments and pipeline spills to storage tank explosions and tank destabilization from earthquakes. The City of Portland needs to hold these industries accountable for improving their safety without promising them more room to grow. We need to shrink fossil fuel use, not grow it!

It is vital that the city strengthen the current draft of the fossil fuel code to reflect the intent of the original resolution that myself and thousands of other Portlanders supported last year.

Sincerely,

Name: Cheryl Trospen

Email: cherylt4235@gmail.com

Comment:

We want provisions that require existing fossil fuel terminals make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River.

Name: Ronald Brown

Email: coolportlandtrees@gmail.com

Comment:

Get it right now...

A full ban on new bulk fossil fuel terminals
Limitations on expansions at existing facilities
Provisions that require existing fossil fuel terminals make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River

Name: Kris Deelane

Email: youbigcat@aol.com

Comment:

I want a full ban of new fossil fuel infrastructure, in particular oil, gas, and coal terminals in Portland.

The Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments discussion draft has fallen far short of the 2015 Fossil Fuel Resolution that was passed last year. Where the resolution was bold, visionary, and historic, this discussion draft is short-sighted and prioritizes the fossil fuel industry over the health and safety of Portlanders.

I want:

- 1 – A full ban on new bulk terminals
- 2 – Stronger limitations on expansions at existing facilities
- 3 – Provisions to require existing fossil fuel terminals to make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River.

These changes are crucial because of the urgent threat that fossil fuel terminals pose to both the environment and public safety. Presently, the discussion draft allows new bulk terminals to be built and allows existing facilities to expand, which will grow our carbon footprint past the point of being able to meet our local and state carbon reduction goals. Further, transporting and storing fossil fuels are never safe, with risks ranging from oil train derailments and pipeline spills to storage tank explosions and tank destabilization from earthquakes. The City of Portland needs to hold these industries accountable for improving their safety without promising them more room to grow. We need to shrink fossil fuel use, not grow it!

It is vital that the city strengthen the current draft of the fossil fuel code to reflect the intent of the original resolution that myself and thousands of other Portlanders supported last year.

Sincerely,

Kris Deelane

Name: Jynx Houston

Email: jynxcdo@gmail.com

Comment:

**NO EXPANSION OF EXISTING FOSSIL FUEL TERMINALS &
NO NEW FF TERMINALS IN
PORTLAND. THIS IS CRITICAL AS A PUBLIC HEALTH**

MATTER.

Name: Annie McCuen

Email: mccuen7691@comcast.net

Comment:

Please lean towards clean power, not towards dirty fuel terminal and transportation.

Thank you

Name: Matthew Kaminker

Email: jzmnk@yahoo.com

Comment:

I want the City of Portland to enact stronger regulations on fossil fuel terminals including:

A full ban on new bulk fossil fuel terminals

Limitations on expansions at existing facilities

Provisions that require existing fossil fuel terminals make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River

Name: Alan Smith

Email: a23smith@yahoo.com

Comment:

I want a full ban of new fossil fuel infrastructure, in particular oil, gas, and coal terminals in Portland.

The Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments discussion draft has fallen far short of the 2015 Fossil Fuel Resolution that was passed last year. Where the resolution was bold, visionary, and historic, this discussion draft is short-sighted and prioritizes the fossil fuel industry over the health and safety of Portlanders.

I want:

1 – A full ban on new bulk terminals

2 – Stronger limitations on expansions at existing facilities

3 – Provisions to require existing fossil fuel terminals to make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River.

These changes are crucial because of the urgent threat that fossil fuel terminals pose to both the environment and public safety. Presently, the discussion draft allows new bulk terminals to be built and allows existing facilities to expand, which will grow our carbon footprint past the point of being able to meet our local and state carbon reduction goals. Further, transporting and storing fossil fuels are never safe, with risks ranging from oil train derailments and pipeline spills to storage tank explosions and tank destabilization from earthquakes. The City of Portland needs to hold these industries accountable for improving their safety without promising them more room to grow. We need to shrink fossil fuel use, not grow it!

It is vital that the city strengthen the current draft of the fossil fuel code to reflect the intent of the original resolution that myself and thousands of other Portlanders supported last year.

Sincerely,

Name: Cass Martinez

Email: cass@zzz.com

Comment:

I live near the Central Energy Hub that the City should decide is risky enough as it is. Oregon Public Broadcasting's Field Guide made a 55-minute program illustrating what the state is in for during an expected, overdue earthquake, with special reference to the risks to fossil fuel tanks in Portland on the Willamette River. <http://www.opb.org/news/series/unprepared/>

The City should prohibit new or enlarged fossil projects, and the City should mandate the processes that Japan uses to stabilize liquefy-able ground under existing fuel projects.

Name: dick harmon

Email: dickwisingup@hevanet.com

Comment:

Dear Council Members: I support the 350PDX position. My family and I want the strongest possible restrictions on FF expansion and strong regs and incentives for steady, deep reductions in current use. Why? My grandson and great grandson live in this region. WE HAVE RUN OUT OF TIME ON CLIMATE. (See 7.21.16 NASA report.) Timidity in this case leads us to catastrophe for them. Restrict, divest, re-invest in renewables and efficiency. Thank you. Dick Harmon

Name: Deborah Romerein

Email: romerein@gmail.com

Comment:

I want a full ban of new fossil fuel infrastructure, in particular oil, gas, and coal terminals in Portland.

The Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments discussion draft has fallen far short of the 2015 Fossil Fuel Resolution that was passed last year. Where the resolution was bold, visionary, and historic, this discussion draft is short-sighted and prioritizes the fossil fuel industry over the health and safety of Portlanders.

I want:

- 1 – A full ban on new bulk terminals
- 2 – Stronger limitations on expansions at existing facilities
- 3 – Provisions to require existing fossil fuel terminals to make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River.

These changes are crucial because of the urgent threat that fossil fuel terminals pose to both the environment and public safety. Presently, the discussion draft allows new bulk terminals to be built and allows existing facilities to expand, which will grow our carbon footprint past the point of being able to meet our local and state carbon reduction goals. Further, transporting and storing fossil fuels are never safe, with risks ranging from oil train derailments and pipeline spills to storage tank explosions and tank destabilization from earthquakes. The City of Portland needs to hold these industries accountable for improving their

safety without promising them more room to grow. We need to shrink fossil fuel use, not grow it!

It is vital that the city strengthen the current draft of the fossil fuel code to reflect the intent of the original resolution that myself and thousands of other Portlanders supported last year.

Sincerely,
Deborah Romerein
Business Owner, Portland

Name: Kay Romerein
Email: romerein@gmail.com

Comment:

I want a full ban of new fossil fuel infrastructure, in particular oil, gas, and coal terminals in Portland.

The Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments discussion draft has fallen far short of the 2015 Fossil Fuel Resolution that was passed last year. Where the resolution was bold, visionary, and historic, this discussion draft is short-sighted and prioritizes the fossil fuel industry over the health and safety of Portlanders.

I want:

- 1 – A full ban on new bulk terminals
- 2 – Stronger limitations on expansions at existing facilities
- 3 – Provisions to require existing fossil fuel terminals to make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River.

These changes are crucial because of the urgent threat that fossil fuel terminals pose to both the environment and public safety. Presently, the discussion draft allows new bulk terminals to be built and allows existing facilities to expand, which will grow our carbon footprint past the point of being able to meet our local and state carbon reduction goals. Further, transporting and storing fossil fuels are never safe, with risks ranging from oil train derailments and pipeline spills to storage tank explosions and tank destabilization from earthquakes. The City of Portland needs to hold these industries accountable for improving their safety without promising them more room to grow. We need to

shrink fossil fuel use, not grow it!

It is vital that the city strengthen the current draft of the fossil fuel code to reflect the intent of the original resolution that myself and thousands of other Portlanders supported last year.

Sincerely,
Kay Romerein
Retired Business Owner, Portland

Name: d goldsmith
Email: dell.goldsmith@gmail.com

Comment:

I strongly oppose new bulk fossil fuel terminals and a limit on expansion of the harmful facilities we already have. This is crucial. We are on our way to incredible damage from heat in the environment and climate damage. Can't you see this?

Existing terminals should be required to prepare and retrofit their facilities to withstand a 9 level earthquake that is predicted. Citizens and all living things need these actions that includes you.

Name: Patricia Hine
Email: zap_oregon@Msn.com

Comment:

Let's be responsible and do what's necessary to transition quickly to a fossil free energy infrastructure. We need to STOP building terminals for build fossil fuels, require existing fossil fuel terminals to make upgrades for seismic resilience. The science is clear. Rapid and just changes to current "business as usual" thinking is what we expect from our public officials. Please get going, quit stalling and do what is needed. Thank you.

Name: Jane Robson
Email: janerobsonphd@gmail.com

Comment:

I want a full ban of new fossil fuel infrastructure, in particular oil, gas, and coal terminals in Portland.

The Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments discussion draft has fallen far short of the 2015 Fossil Fuel Resolution that was passed last year. Where the resolution was bold, visionary, and historic, this discussion draft is short-sighted and prioritizes the fossil fuel industry over the health and safety of Portlanders.

I want:

- 1 – A full ban on new bulk terminals
- 2 – Stronger limitations on expansions at existing facilities
- 3 – Provisions to require existing fossil fuel terminals to make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River.

These changes are crucial because of the urgent threat that fossil fuel terminals pose to both the environment and public safety. Presently, the discussion draft allows new bulk terminals to be built and allows existing facilities to expand, which will grow our carbon footprint past the point of being able to meet our local and state carbon reduction goals. Further, transporting and storing fossil fuels are never safe, with risks ranging from oil train derailments and pipeline spills to storage tank explosions and tank destabilization from earthquakes. The City of Portland needs to hold these industries accountable for improving their safety without promising them more room to grow. We need to shrink fossil fuel use, not grow it!

It is vital that the city strengthen the current draft of the fossil fuel code to reflect the intent of the original resolution that myself and thousands of other Portlanders supported last year.

Sincerely,

Jane Robson, PhD

Name: Alan Locklear

Email: gardeneral@comcast.net

Comment:

Portland must implement its promised full ban of new fossil fuel infrastructure, in particular oil, gas, and coal terminals in Portland.

The Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments discussion draft has fallen far short of the 2015 Fossil Fuel Resolution that was passed last year. Where the resolution was bold, visionary, and historic, this discussion draft is short-sighted and prioritizes the fossil fuel industry over the health and safety of Portlanders.

I want:

- 1 – A full ban on new bulk terminals
- 2 – Stronger limitations on expansions at existing facilities
- 3 – Provisions to require existing fossil fuel terminals to make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River.

These changes are crucial because of the urgent threat that fossil fuel terminals pose to both the environment and public safety. Presently, the discussion draft allows new bulk terminals to be built and allows existing facilities to expand, which will grow our carbon footprint past the point of being able to meet our local and state carbon reduction goals. Further, transporting and storing fossil fuels are never safe, with risks ranging from oil train derailments and pipeline spills to storage tank explosions and tank destabilization from earthquakes. The City of Portland needs to hold these industries accountable for improving their safety without promising them more room to grow. We need to shrink fossil fuel use, not grow it!

It is vital that the city strengthen the current draft of the fossil fuel code to reflect the intent of the original resolution that myself and thousands of other Portlanders supported last year.

Best regards,

Name: Dr.Kathleen Shelley
Email: kshelley@epud.net

Comment:

Why, when we are trying to eliminate the use of fossil fuels, is it

reasonable to add to an/or expand existing facilities? No more should be allowed, and those in existence should be eliminated.

Name: margaret Hartman

Email: mhartman1943@hotmail.com

Comment:

Please vote to ban new bulk fossil fuel terminals, to limit expansions of existing fossil fuel terminals, and to require safety measures against earthquakes on existing terminals. We must be prepared for the future which includes earthquakes and climate change. We are losing the battle to save our planet from destruction due to that climate change. Banning future fossil fuel terminals as well as safety for existing terminals and not expanding are things we can do to help to save our planet. Thank you.

Name: Jason Fiske

Email: jasondanielfiske@gmail.com

Comment:

If we do not make these kinds of sacrifices moving forward, then we are no different than these Oil Titans themselves.

We must be brave by Championing the Struggles and Hardships that come with the friction caused by living by Pioneer standards.

We must adjust and adapt as quickly as possible, because Our Only Resource is in danger. Earth.

Everyone reading this shares a home, it is time to force our systems & governments to acknowledge our cries.

We the people will not trust you any longer if you do not have the ability to react & change With Your Heart. Compassion for our own history is vital for moving forward.

Our energy usage and approach to living in harmony and unison is fundamentally flawed.

It is on us to progress without compromise. We cannot afford to

compromise. Our voices must be heard. We are in touch with a deeper reality by detaching ourselves from old ideas.

It is safer for the Earth, Our Children, and Ourselves.

If you listen to the Needs of Your People, others will see. Your courage could inspire a Nation, a World even.

We are Oregon, We are America, We are Human, let us carve a path for the rest, for now it looks like risk, but history will show that this is an act of Heroism.

Name: Margaret Maloney

Email: meg4now97@gmail.com

Comment:

I have learned that strong public protest led to a meeting of mayors from several West Coast cities, who then took into consideration those protests and agreed to develop rules aimed at reducing fossil fuel infrastructure, and consumption. Now I have heard that a decision has been made to have public employees write their own rules instead. WHY?

Sabotaging the public will is not public service!

I want a full ban on new and expanded fossil fuel terminals! AND limitations on any expansion of existing such facilities!!! Our nation and indeed the world desperately needs to cease use of fossil fuels and move toward cleaner, more sustainable energy sources in order to fight against climate change and the fragile ecosystem. Continuing to pour money into infrastructure supporting gas & oil use is totally counter to wise resource management.

I also want the existing terminals to immediately take action to ensure seismic resilience in the light of predicted earthquakes. Lessons must be learned from the recent derailing of fuel cargo along the Willamette valley. Our environment is precious and needs protection as does the human population.

Name: Beth Levin

Email: bethagl@yahoo.com

Comment:

Ban fossil fuel terminal

Name: Laney Kibel

Email: laneykibel@hotmail.com

Comment:

I want a full ban of new fossil fuel infrastructure, in particular oil, gas, and coal terminals in Portland.

The Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments discussion draft has fallen far short of the 2015 Fossil Fuel Resolution that was passed last year. Where the resolution was bold, visionary, and historic, this discussion draft is short-sighted and prioritizes the fossil fuel industry over the health and safety of Portlanders.

I want:

- 1 – A full ban on new bulk terminals
- 2 – Stronger limitations on expansions at existing facilities
- 3 – Provisions to require existing fossil fuel terminals to make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River.

These changes are crucial because of the urgent threat that fossil fuel terminals pose to both the environment and public safety. Presently, the discussion draft allows new bulk terminals to be built and allows existing facilities to expand, which will grow our carbon footprint past the point of being able to meet our local and state carbon reduction goals. Further, transporting and storing fossil fuels are never safe, with risks ranging from oil train derailments and pipeline spills to storage tank explosions and tank destabilization from earthquakes. The City of Portland needs to hold these industries accountable for improving their safety without promising them more room to grow. We need to shrink fossil fuel use, not grow it!

It is vital that the city strengthen the current draft of the fossil fuel code to reflect the intent of the original resolution that myself and thousands of other Portlanders supported last year.

Sincerely,

Laney Kibel

Name: Desiree Tullos

Email: desiree.tullos@gmail.com

Comment:

I am writing to strong urge the City of Portland to immediately establish smart regulations to limit the use of fossil fuels, including:

- A full ban on new bulk fossil fuel terminals
- Limitations on expansions at existing facilities
- Provisions that require existing fossil fuel terminals make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River

Thank you for the opportunity to provide comment on this critical issue.

Warm regards,
Desiree Tullos

Name: Tracy Farwell

Email: farwellt@msn.com

Comment:

Although I'm curious how you perverted the mandate you were given, I really don't want to know what you think you are paid to do. This is what I pay for:

1. A full ban on new bulk fossil fuel terminals.
2. Provisions that require existing fossil fuel terminals make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River.
3. No expansions at existing facilities, unless meeting same provisions required for existing fossil fuel terminals: upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River.

Name: Merna Blagg

Email: nerb3sons@yahoo.com

Comment:

Please honor the plain language of the City's resolution and prohibit the expansion of fossil fuel terminals and infrastructure that would not directly serve the regional market.

Name: Douglas Kinnaird

Email: aquadoug@usa.net

Comment:

Bringing fossil fuels through the Columbia River Gorge and into our city of Portland is dangerous to our environment and human health and safety now, as well as contributing to the extreme and growing dangers it portends for future generations. Stop this! No new terminals, no expansion of existing facilities, and make the existing facilities safe against the major geologic shifts that scientists say could happen at any time in the near future.

Name: Susan Christenson

Email: sue2hawaii@gmail.com

Comment:

Dear Mayor and City of Portland Council,

The last time I attended your vote on fossil fuel terminals in your court, I was proud to see you in action taking the word of the people to heart, listening to what they had to say, and agreeing with the children for the need to ban fossil fuel terminals. I hope you have the same courage and insight to continue your determination to make this a safer place for Oregonians.

I would like to see...

A full ban on new bulk fossil fuel terminals

Limitations on expansions at existing facilities

Provisions that require existing fossil fuel terminals make

upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River

I have now completed my basic CERT (Community Emergency Response Team) training, am working on the plans for the September 17, 2016 West Coast Emergency Drill, and have complete confidence that you will support these restrictions to save the City of Portland and its people in the surrounding communities.

We are making plans to support you, and I have faith that you will continue to support us with the full ban on fossil fuel terminals that would completely destroy what Oregonians have built since the late 1800s.

Thank you for considering this support for us all.

Sincerely,

Susan Christenson
15655 SW 114 Court Unit 14
Tigard OR 97224
503 701-9657

PS I live 12 miles from the blast zone if this terminal is completed. According to Sierra Club materials, the blast zone goes out 14 miles!

Name: Kima Garrison
Email: kimasuegarrison@gmail.com

Comment:

This message is simple and to the point—I, as a native Portlander, want to see a full BAN on all new and expanded fossil fuel terminals....As a city, Portland usually leads the way on environmental issues, and this should be no exception!

Name: Stephen Couche
Email: steveco1948@comcast.net

Comment:

We need to be getting off our addiction to fossil fuels! Which if we commit to that means no more infrastructure for fossil fuels!

Name: Larry Hon

Email: lhonharpster55@yahoo.com

Comment:

I want a full ban of new fossil fuel infrastructure, in particular oil, gas, and coal terminals in Portland.

The Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments discussion draft has fallen far short of the 2015 Fossil Fuel Resolution that was passed last year. Where the resolution was bold, visionary, and historic, this discussion draft is short-sighted and prioritizes the fossil fuel industry over the health and safety of Portlanders.

I want:

- 1 – A full ban on new bulk terminals
- 2 – Stronger limitations on expansions at existing facilities
- 3 – Provisions to require existing fossil fuel terminals to make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River.

These changes are crucial because of the urgent threat that fossil fuel terminals pose to both the environment and public safety. Presently, the discussion draft allows new bulk terminals to be built and allows existing facilities to expand, which will grow our carbon footprint past the point of being able to meet our local and state carbon reduction goals. Further, transporting and storing fossil fuels are never safe, with risks ranging from oil train derailments and pipeline spills to storage tank explosions and tank destabilization from earthquakes. The City of Portland needs to hold these industries accountable for improving their safety without promising them more room to grow. We need to shrink fossil fuel use, not grow it!

It is vital that the city strengthen the current draft of the fossil fuel code to reflect the intent of the original resolution that myself and thousands of other Portlanders supported last year.

Sincerely,

Larry Hon

Name: John Nettleton

Email: jpn5710@yahoo.com

Comment:

I want a full ban of new fossil fuel infrastructure, in particular oil, gas, and coal terminals in Portland.

The Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments discussion draft has fallen far short of the 2015 Fossil Fuel Resolution that was passed last year. Where the resolution was bold, visionary, and historic, this discussion draft is short-sighted and prioritizes the fossil fuel industry over the health and safety of Portlanders.

I want:

- 1 – A full ban on new bulk terminals
- 2 – Stronger limitations on expansions at existing facilities
- 3 – Provisions to require existing fossil fuel terminals to make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River.

These changes are crucial because of the urgent threat that fossil fuel terminals pose to both the environment and public safety. Presently, the discussion draft allows new bulk terminals to be built and allows existing facilities to expand, which will grow our carbon footprint past the point of being able to meet our local and state carbon reduction goals. Further, transporting and storing fossil fuels are never safe, with risks ranging from oil train derailments and pipeline spills to storage tank explosions and tank destabilization from earthquakes. The City of Portland needs to hold these industries accountable for improving their safety without promising them more room to grow. We need to shrink fossil fuel use, not grow it!

It is vital that the city strengthen the current draft of the fossil fuel code to reflect the intent of the original resolution that myself and thousands of other Portlanders supported last year.

Sincerely,

Name: Sandra Hill

Email: sandhill-13@comcast.net

Comment:

Please – we need a full ban on new and expanded fossil fuel terminals.

Did you not notice the response from the public on this????

Name: Hilary Thompson

Email: h.d42011@yahoo.com

Comment:

As a citizen of Portland, I demand our voices heard and respected. I vote for a Full ban on fossil fuel terminals, strict limits on expansion of existing plants, and a serious community protection act to make upgrades for seismic resilience.

Name: Amanda Yampolsky

Email: amandakateyampolsky@me.com

Comment:

I am learning about Vancouver,BC and their plans to have all their city infrastructure fossil fuel free. We can and should follow in these footsteps. Therefore expanding existings fossil fuel infrastructure is the wrong direction, but banning bulk storage facilities is the right direction. I am counting on you to recognize that now is the time to take actions to ban new fossil fuel infrastructure and limit the expansion of existing infrastructure. And finally it is time to maintain and upgrade the facilities that do already exist.

You can regulate wisely for a transformed energy system.

Amanda Yampolsky

Name: Duncan Baruch

Email: c25cle@gmail.com

Comment:

Do not retreat from or compromise the will of the people of Portland. We require:

A full ban on any new bulk fossil fuel terminals, limitations as most — better a ban — on expansions at existing facilities, and requiring that existing fossil fuel terminals make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River

Name: Tina Kolpakowski

Email: tinasis@gmail.com

Comment:

To the Bureau of Planning and Sustainability-

I want a full ban of new fossil fuel infrastructure, in particular oil, gas, and coal terminals in Portland.

The Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments discussion draft has fallen far short of the 2015 Fossil Fuel Resolution that was passed last year. Where the resolution was bold, visionary, and historic, this discussion draft is short-sighted and prioritizes the fossil fuel industry over the health and safety of Portlanders.

I want:

- 1 – A full ban on new bulk terminals
- 2 – Stronger limitations on expansions at existing facilities
- 3 – Provisions to require existing fossil fuel terminals to make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River.

These changes are crucial because of the urgent threat that fossil fuel terminals pose to both the environment and public safety. Presently, the discussion draft allows new bulk terminals to be built and allows existing facilities to expand, which will grow our carbon footprint past the point of being able to meet our local and state carbon reduction goals. Further, transporting and storing fossil fuels are never safe, with risks ranging from oil train derailments and pipeline spills to storage tank explosions and tank destabilization from earthquakes. The City of Portland needs to hold these industries accountable for improving their safety without promising them more room to grow. We need to shrink fossil fuel use, not grow it!

It is vital that the city strengthen the current draft of the fossil fuel code to reflect the intent of the original resolution that myself and thousands of other Portlanders supported last year.

Sincerely,

Tina Kolpakowski

Name: Janice Clark

Email: janicerclark@gmail.com

Comment:

We need a ban on new fossil fuel terminals and limits of expansion at existing facilities. Upgrading for earthquake safety is also necessary. Some facilities are in such risky places that they should be moved or be much better secured.

I am concerned that we still have such an emphasis on fossil fuels when we have other sustainable options. The threat to our environment of these fuels is real and becoming more and more obvious.

Name: Jill Riebesehl

Email: riebes@gmail.com

Comment:

The City Council passed a ban on new bulk fossil fuel terminals. That should be honored, as well as placing limitations on expansions at existing facilities.

Also, we need provisions that require existing fossil fuel terminals to make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River

Name: Joy Jaquillard

Email: decker02@nwlinc.com

Comment:

Portland should join the jurisdictions that are banning new or

expanded oil, gas, and coal terminals and other infrastructure. Fossil fuel terminals present the potential for great harm to the environment and our citizens. Allowing them here allows the owners and backers to profit at our expense. It's time to stop allowing corporate interests to externalize costs.

The discussion draft does not live up to the 2015 Fossil Fuel Amendment. We need:

- 1 – A full ban on new bulk terminals
- 2 – Stronger limitations on expansions at existing facilities
- 3 – Provisions to require existing fossil fuel terminals to make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River.

Name: John Thiemeyer

Email: jaythiemeyer@yahoo.com

Comment:

The bureau of planning and sustainability must represent the will and the best interests of the people of greater Portland. Saying one thing in November, then doing the opposite in July won't be tolerated. Though you are not elected, you will be held to account. Ultimately, you answer to the people. You are in the frontline to stop our dependency on fossil fuels and that industry's destruction of our planet.

Name: Mary McGaughey

Email: marymcgaughey@yahoo.com

Comment:

Oregon has voted to support clean energy during this 2016 legislative session. Sending oil energy around the world does nothing to support world wide clean energy initiative. We are doing this to even our terrible trade deficit. A polluted planet, beyond recovery, will definitely not support trade or life.....a dead planet is not a wise investment. Please divest from GREED before it is too late!

Name: Carleen Wuitschick
Email: cdwuitschick@hotmail.com

Comment:

No oil or coal trains in Oregon or Washinton.

Name: Katherine Anne Stansbury
Email: kathycallaway@whiz.to

Comment:

I expect the Bureau of Planning and Sustainability to draft and implement:

- 1 – A full ban on new bulk fossil fuel terminals.
- 2 – Limitations on expansions at existing facilities.
- 3 – Provisions that require existing fossil fuel terminals make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River.

We are watching this issue closely and expect the rules to “actively oppose expansion of infrastructure whose primary purpose is transporting or storing fossil fuels in or through Portland or adjacent waterways.”

Thank you for a better effort on this matter,
Katherine Stansbury, Portland

Name: Dolores Wood
Email: pгна_greening@yahoo.com

Comment:

The use of fossil fuel literally leads to a dead end. No new fossil fuel facilities should be built, and existing ones must be phased out as soon as possible. For a viable future clean green energy must be made available to replace fossil fuels.

I advocate for a full ban on new fossil fuel facilities, and no expanded fossil fuel terminals.

Name: Diana Oxley
Email: dianaoxley@gmail.com

Comment:

Dear BPS,

Regarding Portland's policy for transportation and storage of fossil fuels, I am writing to urge that plans make provision for the seismic resilience of all fossil fuel infrastructure.

Name: Christine Hart

Email: christine.el.hart@gmail.com

Comment:

A full ban on new bulk fossil fuel terminals

Limitations on expansions at existing facilities

Provisions that require existing fossil fuel terminals make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River

Name: Rose Christopherson

Email: rose.christopherson@comcast.net

Comment:

Please, please, no more new bulk oil terminals. You know that these fuels need to be kept in the ground. It is our duty as a port city to keep them from being put on ships and sent overseas. No matter where they are burned, they will contribute CO2 to the atmosphere that will enhance warming of our beautiful planet.

Name: Rob Lee

Email: roblee43@gmail.com

Comment:

We need a full ban on bulk fossil fuel terminals in Portland, and serious limitations on expanding existing facilities, as well as seismic upgrades to current facilities. It's laughable public policy to have 90% of Oregon's petroleum supplies located in one earthquake prone place. Do you work for energy companies or the citizens of Portland?

Name: Lynda Byers

Email: lebyers484@gmail.com

Comment:

City of Portland: As a citizen concerned about environment and health of our community, there must be a complete BAN on any new fossil fuel facilities and limitations on expansions of current ones. Think about earthquakes and global warming!

Name: James Newcomer

Email: jnewcomer@spiretech.com

Comment:

I want a full ban of new fossil fuel infrastructure, in particular oil, gas, and coal terminals in Portland.

The Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments discussion draft has fallen far short of the 2015 Fossil Fuel Resolution that was passed last year. Where the resolution was bold, visionary, and historic, this discussion draft is short-sighted and prioritizes the fossil fuel industry over the health and safety of Portlanders.

I want:

- 1 – A full ban on new bulk terminals
- 2 – Stronger limitations on expansions at existing facilities
- 3 – Provisions to require existing fossil fuel terminals to make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River.

These changes are crucial because of the urgent threat that fossil fuel terminals pose to both the environment and public safety. Presently, the discussion draft allows new bulk terminals to be built and allows existing facilities to expand, which will grow our carbon footprint past the point of being able to meet our local and state carbon reduction goals. Further, transporting and storing fossil fuels are never safe, with risks ranging from oil train derailments and pipeline spills to storage tank explosions and tank destabilization from earthquakes. The City of Portland needs to hold these industries accountable for improving their safety without promising them more room to grow. We need to shrink fossil fuel use, not grow it!

It is vital that the city strengthen the current draft of the fossil fuel code to reflect the intent of the original resolution that myself and thousands of other Portlanders supported last year.

Sincerely,

James R Newcomer

Name: Anne Hamburg

Email: akaseyh@yahoo.com

Comment:

I want a full ban of new fossil fuel infrastructure, in particular oil, gas, and coal terminals in Portland.

The Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments discussion draft has fallen far short of the 2015 Fossil Fuel Resolution that was passed last year. Where the resolution was bold, visionary, and historic, this discussion draft is short-sighted and prioritizes the fossil fuel industry over the health and safety of Portlanders.

I want:

- 1 – A full ban on new bulk terminals
- 2 – Stronger limitations on expansions at existing facilities
- 3 – Provisions to require existing fossil fuel terminals to make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River.

These changes are crucial because of the urgent threat that fossil fuel terminals pose to both the environment and public safety. Presently, the discussion draft allows new bulk terminals to be built and allows existing facilities to expand, which will grow our carbon footprint past the point of being able to meet our local and state carbon reduction goals. Further, transporting and storing fossil fuels are never safe, with risks ranging from oil train derailments and pipeline spills to storage tank explosions and tank destabilization from earthquakes. The City of Portland needs to hold these industries accountable for improving their safety without promising them more room to grow. We need to shrink fossil fuel use, not grow it!

It is vital that the city strengthen the current draft of the fossil fuel

code to reflect the intent of the original resolution that myself and thousands of other Portlanders supported last year. Let Portland be a leader and a healthy future for our country.

Sincerely,

Name: Lynda Byers

Email: lebyers484@gmail.com

Comment:

City of Porland: As a citizen concerned about environment and health of our community, there must be a complete BAN on any new fossil fuel facilities and limitations on expansions of current ones. Think about earthquakes and global warming!

Name: Deborah Einbender

Email: pursona@teleport.com

Comment:

Nothing less than a full ban on fossil fuel terminals is acceptable including limitations on existing terminals. Seismic upgrades are essential. Do the right thing for our future generations.

Name: Richard Houle

Email: houle5@comcast.net

Comment:

As a community and a collection of responsible citizens, it is incumbent upon us to recognize that the decisions we make now regarding expansion of fossil fuels and its infrastructure will dramatically affect future generations not only in our immediate vicinity, but throughout the world. Citizens here in the Pacific Northwest, and myself included do not want to add to the problem of global warming and climate change. Supporting the fossil fuel industry over renewable energy does exactly that, and it's flat out bad policy. Therefore, I do not support any expansion of existing fossil fuel infrastructure and certainly see no

justification for building new terminals. Please do right by us.

Name: Michael Mitchell

Email: iceink1@gmail.com

Comment:

In favor of a full ban on new bulk fossil fuel terminals with limitations on expansions at existing facilities along with provisions that require existing fossil fuel terminals make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River.

Name: Jennifer Darling

Email: contrabandcuisine@frontier.com

Comment:

Having grown up in Portland, and now raising my kiddo here, the health of the air, water and soils are incredibly important to me. But now we live in an era where we also need to take into account global climate patterns and changes. The only rational course of action is to fully ban all building of fossil fuel infrastructure, both new and expanded terminals. There are so many immediate threats to human and ecological life as well as extended threats to dependence on the crumbling, antiquated fossil fuel industry. Resilience equals diversifying OUT of fossil fuels. Survival equals leaving fossil fuel dependence as soon as possible.

Name: Hal Anthony

Email: threepines@jeffnet.org

Comment:

Dear Portland, City of:

There are virtually zero safety measures required for oil depots for incidence like earthquakes. This needs to be addressed, ASAP, for existing plants, as we are quite overdue for a major

NW seismic event, to mention one region.

Also, if future energy decisions, and all decisions by all people for that matter, are not made on the definitive side of “sustainable”, then “adults” will continue to prove their hatred for children – period. Fact.

Think about it – all children are the most important beings on the planet. They MUST be protected sustainably and well so they can bail us out of our tragic and deadly human errors.

“Sustainable” means “permanency”, and it is the only path that can continue human viability.

Name: Pennellope Allee

Email: pennellope99@yahoo.com

Comment:

We should be urgently transitioning to clean energy sources and only investing in those. Expanding fossil fuel terminals is exactly the wrong course and an incredible waste of money. The sooner we wean ourselves from fossil fuel dependency, the better off we will be, environmentally and financially.

Name: Joe Chasse

Email: joetruck@gmail.com

Comment:

This is a great opportunity for Oregon and Washington to stand up to the coal and oil big shots. Citizens take all the risk. The corporations (they are not people) take all the profits. This is NOT a good scenario.

Name: Meredith Cocks

Email: meredithlcocks@gmail.com

Comment:

I was very disappointed to learn that the BPS’s recent draft Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments backtracked on the

language passed by City Council in November. The City Council passed a resolution clearly outlining that no new fossil fuel infrastructure should exist in the city, nor should existing infrastructure be expanded. This was groundbreaking and the city rightly received accolades and national media attention. Was this just for show? Now that you have received the credit for taking a tough stance for clean air and climate justice, you are willing to contradict what the people and city leaders asked? This is not only upsetting to me as someone who has lived in the city for a decade and fought hard to make Oregon a more healthy place to live, it is dishonest on the part of the BPS and city leaders to go against their word.

Please do what you said you would do and put a full ban on new bulk fossil fuel terminals and limit expansions on existing facilities. Please require existing fossil fuel terminals to upgrade for seismic resilience to protect our community. In a world where business rules all these seem radical, but these are nothing more than common sense approaches to address the severity and reality of climate change. And here in the Northwest, the impact (35% chance in the next 50 years) of a devastating earthquake. Furthermore, given recent revelations about problems with air quality in Portland neighborhoods, including several I have lived in, and lead in PPS water, the city needs to start taking seriously the risk industry poses to our health, not just offer us lip service while poisoning our children.

Failing to create strong and meaningful regulations that ban new bulk fossil fuel infrastructure and limit expansion of existing would be a missed opportunity for the city to take real leadership and establish a true green legacy.

Thank you for considering my comments. I am sure you will do the right thing.

Name: Gar Tuyls

Email: garebear1946@gmail.com

Comment:

Please undertake a full ban of new fossil fuel infrastructure, in

particular oil, gas, and coal terminals in Portland. The Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments discussion draft has fallen far short of the 2015 Fossil Fuel Resolution that was passed last year. Where the resolution was bold, visionary, and historic, this discussion draft is short-sighted and prioritizes the fossil fuel industry over the health and safety of Portlanders.

I want:

- 1 – A full ban on new bulk terminals
- 2 – Stronger limitations on expansions at existing facilities
- 3 – Provisions to require existing fossil fuel terminals to make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River.

These changes are crucial because of the urgent threat that fossil fuel terminals pose to both the environment and public safety. Presently, the discussion draft allows new bulk terminals to be built and allows existing facilities to expand, which will grow our carbon footprint past the point of being able to meet our local and state carbon reduction goals. Further, transporting and storing fossil fuels are never safe, with risks ranging from oil train derailments and pipeline spills to storage tank explosions and tank destabilization from earthquakes. The City of Portland needs to hold these industries accountable for improving their safety without promising them more room to grow. We need to shrink fossil fuel use, not grow it!

It is vital that the city strengthen the current draft of the fossil fuel code to reflect the intent of the original resolution that myself and thousands of other Portlanders supported last year.

cheers, Gar

Name: Michael Linehan

Email: mikealin48@gmail.com

Comment:

The US does not need to export any raw materials, especially dirty energy sources. Exporting raw materials does not create jobs it destroys them. It turns us into a third world country. Transporting fossil fuels is dangerous and bad for the environment. The recent incident in Columbia Gorge should be a

lesson. We can create more jobs and have a better , healthier, and cleaner future by developing clean alternatives and eventually eliminating the use of fossil fuels. CLIMATE CHANGE IS REAL. It is killing the planet.

Name: Jadene Fourman

Email: jmayla4@gmail.com

Comment:

I'm writing to support a full ban of new fossil fuel infrastructure, in particular oil, gas, and coal terminals in Portland.

The Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments discussion draft has fallen far short of the 2015 Fossil Fuel Resolution that was passed last year. Where the resolution was bold, visionary, and historic, this discussion draft is short-sighted and prioritizes the fossil fuel industry over the health and safety of Portlanders.

I want:

- 1 – A full ban on new bulk terminals
- 2 – Stronger limitations on expansions at existing facilities
- 3 – Provisions to require existing fossil fuel terminals to make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River.

These changes are crucial because of the urgent threat that fossil fuel terminals pose to both the environment and public safety. Presently, the discussion draft allows new bulk terminals to be built and allows existing facilities to expand, which will grow our carbon footprint past the point of being able to meet our local and state carbon reduction goals. Further, transporting and storing fossil fuels are never safe, with risks ranging from oil train derailments and pipeline spills to storage tank explosions and tank destabilization from earthquakes. The City of Portland needs to hold these industries accountable for improving their safety without promising them more room to grow. We need to shrink fossil fuel use, not grow it!

It is vital that the city strengthen the current draft of the fossil fuel code to reflect the intent of the original resolution that myself and thousands of other Portlanders supported last year.

Sincerely,

Name: Carol Gonzalez

Email: carolgonzalez@frontier.com

Comment:

First of all, thank you for your work and commitment to the City of Portland, its residents and the residents for generations to come, and for putting and keeping Portland on the national and international map as a beacon of forward-thinking planning, sustainability and principled actions.

I am adding my voice to reinforce the public demand for a full ban on any new bulk fossil fuel terminals, that there be severe limitations to expanding existing facilities, and that it be mandatory for the existing fossil fuel terminals to make seismic upgrades commensurate with the large-scale seismic activity predicted with 99% certainty to occur within the foreseeable future.

Thank you.

Name: Grace Weinstein

Email: graced4today@comcast.net

Comment:

You must keep the promise made in November to ban all new bulk fuel fossil fuel terminals. If your actions are weak, we will know the consequences — and there will surely be consequences—before long. The future is in your hands. Strong leadership and strong commitments will begin a process of restraining the collapse of our environment. Do not give in to the moneyed interests. We hold you responsible.

Name: Laura Gamse

Email: lauragamse@gmail.com

Comment:

I want a full ban of new fossil fuel infrastructure, in particular oil,

gas, and coal terminals in Portland.

The Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments discussion draft has fallen far short of the 2015 Fossil Fuel Resolution that was passed last year. Where the resolution was bold, visionary, and historic, this discussion draft is short-sighted and prioritizes the fossil fuel industry over the health and safety of Portlanders.

I support:

- 1 – A full ban on new bulk terminals
- 2 – Stronger limitations on expansions at existing facilities
- 3 – Provisions to require existing fossil fuel terminals to make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River.

These changes are crucial because of the urgent threat that fossil fuel terminals pose to both the environment and public safety. Presently, the discussion draft allows new bulk terminals to be built and allows existing facilities to expand, which will grow our carbon footprint past the point of being able to meet our local and state carbon reduction goals. Further, transporting and storing fossil fuels are never safe, with risks ranging from oil train derailments and pipeline spills to storage tank explosions and tank destabilization from earthquakes. The City of Portland needs to hold these industries accountable for improving their safety without promising them more room to grow. We need to shrink fossil fuel use, not grow it!

It is vital that the city strengthen the current draft of the fossil fuel code to reflect the intent of the original resolution that myself and thousands of other Portlanders supported last year.

Sincerely,

Laura

Name: Kelly McConnell
Email: prvt@2ezgroup.com

Comment:

I want CLEAN air and water and I'm damned tired of begging for them. Corporate profits DO NOT trump human health or the viability of our planet. STOP selling us out to the greedy fucking

rich!

Name: Melanie Plaut

Email: melanie.plaut@gmail.com

Comment:

I want a full ban of new fossil fuel infrastructure, in particular oil, gas, and coal terminals in Portland.

The Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments discussion draft has fallen far short of the 2015 Fossil Fuel Resolution that was passed last year. Where the resolution was bold, visionary, and historic, this discussion draft is short-sighted and prioritizes the fossil fuel industry over the health and safety of Portlanders.

I want:

- 1 – A full ban on new bulk terminals
- 2 – Stronger limitations on expansions at existing facilities
- 3 – Provisions to require existing fossil fuel terminals to make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River.

These changes are crucial because of the urgent threat that fossil fuel terminals pose to both the environment and public safety. Presently, the discussion draft allows new bulk terminals to be built and allows existing facilities to expand, which will grow our carbon footprint past the point of being able to meet our local and state carbon reduction goals. Further, transporting and storing fossil fuels are never safe, with risks ranging from oil train derailments and pipeline spills to storage tank explosions and tank destabilization from earthquakes. The City of Portland needs to hold these industries accountable for improving their safety without promising them more room to grow. We need to shrink fossil fuel use, not grow it!

It is vital that the city strengthen the current draft of the fossil fuel code to reflect the intent of the original resolution that myself and thousands of other Portlanders supported last year.

Sincerely,

Melanie Plaut MD

Name: Sandy Polishuk
Email: sandypolishuk@gmail.com

Comment:

Dear City Council,

When you passed the resolution last fall we truly believed you were committing to no expansion of fossil fuel infrastructure in our city. When I look at the draft, I see ideas that don't seem to be doing that.

We all know fossil fuels are on their way out so it makes no sense to be making investments in new infrastructure for it. That's why I thought it was so smart of you to pass the new policy. The investment in new infrastructure must be on clean energy, renewable energy. We must follow thru on the commitment to the new renewable economy. Please make sure the rules for implementing this resolution does this.

We need a complete ban on any new fossil fuel terminals. We need limits on expansion of existing fossil fuel terminals. And we very much need to feel safe in our city, that the fossil fuel storage at the existing terminals are seismically safe when the big one comes. Please make sure these terminals must seismically upgrade.

Thank you

Name: Peggy Ellis
Email: peggy.ellis1623@gmail.com

Comment:

The Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments discussion draft is not what the original resolution intended. In fact, the draft is just about opposite the original intention. Here's what it should include: 1) a full ban on new fossil fuel infrastructure
2) strong limits on expanding existing fossil fuel structures
3) requirements that existing fossil fuel facilities add seismic safety features to protect Portland citizens. It is extremely important that the city strengthen the draft to reflect the original intentions that I and thousands of other Portland citizens supported last year. Thank you, Peggy Ellis

Name: Shelley Baxter
Email: shelldolphin@gmail.com

Comment:

We need to transition to safer cleaner fuels. I live in the gorge and live every day in dread as the oil trains rumble by.

Name: Jerry Smith, MSW
Email: digjerrydig@gmail.com

Comment:

No fossil fuel transport through Oregon. It is dangerous to Oregonians; and burning fossil fuels is the greatest danger to our planet.

Name: Marilyn Marcus
Email: shefa97405@yahoo.com

Comment:

I want a full ban of new fossil fuel infrastructure, in particular oil, gas, and coal terminals in Portland.

The Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments discussion draft has fallen far short of the 2015 Fossil Fuel Resolution that was passed last year. Where the resolution was bold, visionary, and historic, this discussion draft is short-sighted and prioritizes the fossil fuel industry over the health and safety of Portlanders.

I want:

- 1 – A full ban on new bulk terminals
- 2 – Stronger limitations on expansions at existing facilities
- 3 – Provisions to require existing fossil fuel terminals to make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River.

These changes are crucial because of the urgent threat that fossil fuel terminals pose to both the environment and public safety. Presently, the discussion draft allows new bulk terminals to be built and allows existing facilities to expand, which will grow

our carbon footprint past the point of being able to meet our local and state carbon reduction goals. Further, transporting and storing fossil fuels are never safe, with risks ranging from oil train derailments and pipeline spills to storage tank explosions and tank destabilization from earthquakes. The City of Portland needs to hold these industries accountable for improving their safety without promising them more room to grow. We need to shrink fossil fuel use, not grow it!

It is vital that the city strengthen the current draft of the fossil fuel code to reflect the intent of the original resolution that myself and thousands of other Portlanders supported last year.

Sincerely

Name: Dave King

Email: landd_2@dog.com

Comment:

I want a full ban of new fossil fuel infrastructure, in particular oil, gas, and coal terminals in Portland.

The Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments discussion draft has fallen far short of the 2015 Fossil Fuel Resolution that was passed last year. Where the resolution was bold, visionary, and historic, this discussion draft is short-sighted and prioritizes the fossil fuel industry over the health and safety of Portlanders.

I want:

- 1 – A full ban on new bulk terminals
- 2 – Stronger limitations on expansions at existing facilities
- 3 – Provisions to require existing fossil fuel terminals to make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River.

It is crucial to make the workers in these terminals whole by providing income and education preferably to work in new clean energy jobs as their old jobs disappear.

Name: Daniel L Brunner

Email: daniel.l.brunner@gmail.com

Comment:

As someone who lives in the Kenton neighborhood of north Portland, and as an evangelical Christian committed to the care of the Earth, I am respectfully asking the City of Portland for:

- 1 – A full ban on new bulk terminals
- 2 – Stronger limitations on expansions at existing facilities
- 3 – Provisions to require existing fossil fuel terminals to make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River.

Name: Rev. Mary Sue Evers

Email: pastor@chucc.org

Comment:

I'm writing to encourage you to implement the full ban of new fossil fuel infrastructure, in particular oil, gas, and coal terminals in Portland that you previously committed to.

The Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments discussion draft has fallen far short of the 2015 Fossil Fuel Resolution that was passed last year. Where the resolution was bold, visionary, and historic, this discussion draft is short-sighted and prioritizes the fossil fuel industry over the health and safety of Portlanders. 1. A full ban on new bulk fossil fuel terminals

2. Limitations on expansions at existing facilities
3. Provisions that require existing fossil fuel terminals make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River

I was just up in eastern Washington and saw train after train after long train carrying coal and oil, destined for the gorge and after that, Portland and Vancouver. We skirted disaster in Morrow but we were very lucky. It is only a matter of time before our luck runs out. Further, all of this oil and coal contributes to the global load of climate change, and we have a wonderful opportunity to be on the front lines of keeping these fossil fuels out of the atmosphere.

I implore you to stand fast to the commitments you made in 2015.

Name: Laura Stoops

Email: lstoops79@yahoo.com

Comment:

To be responsible citizens and politicians, We all need to come together and say no to profits from the fossil fuel industry. These fossil fuel terminals and trains endanger 96th lives and the beautiful city we live in and love. It's time to put all our focus into renewable and sustainable energy and stop supporting the fossil fuel industry. We need a full ban on new bill fossil fuel terminals and limitations on expansions.

Name: emmer holbrook

Email: maehah@comcast.net

Comment:

The Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments discussion draft has fallen far short of the 2015 Fossil Fuel Resolution that was passed last year. That resolution was bold, visionary, and historic; this discussion draft is short-sighted and prioritizes the fossil fuel industry over the health and safety of Portlanders.

DON'T CAVE IN!!

We need:

- 1 – A full ban on new bulk terminals
- 2 – No expansions at existing facilities
- 3 – To require existing fossil fuel terminals to make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of all METRO communities and the Willamette River.

The City of Portland needs to hold these industries accountable for improving their safety without promising them more room to grow. We need to shrink fossil fuel use, not grow it!!

Portland must strengthen the current draft of the fossil fuel code to reflect the intent of the original resolution that tens of thousands of Portlanders supported last year.

Name: Breanna Lundvall

Email: breanna.lundvall@gmail.com

Comment:

Hello fellow Portlanders! (who also love their home, right?)

Here is what I want, as well as many of my fellow neighbors:

A full ban on new bulk fossil fuel terminals, FULL. A limit on expansions of current structures. I would prefer NO expansions whatsoever.

This draft no where near honors the Fossil Fuel Resolution made last year. I attending that hearing. This does not make me feel safe, and it definitely does not honor Portlanders' health and safety.

Especially after the oil train explosion that somewhat recently happened in the Gorge, it is clearly urgent that we keep oil, coal, and gas out of here as quick as possible before more people and the environment are harmed.

Sincerely,

Breanna Lundvall

Name: Vesta McGee

Email: vstmcgee@gmail.com

Comment:

I want a full ban of new fossil fuel infrastructure, in particular oil, gas, and coal terminals in Portland.

The Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments discussion draft has fallen far short of the 2015 Fossil Fuel Resolution that was passed last year. Where the resolution was bold, visionary, and historic, this discussion draft is short-sighted and prioritizes the fossil fuel industry over the health and safety of Portlanders.

I want:

- 1 – A full ban on new bulk terminals
- 2 – Stronger limitations on expansions at existing facilities
- 3 – Provisions to require existing fossil fuel terminals to make

upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River.

These changes are crucial because of the urgent threat that fossil fuel terminals pose to both the environment and public safety. Presently, the discussion draft allows new bulk terminals to be built and allows existing facilities to expand, which will grow our carbon footprint past the point of being able to meet our local and state carbon reduction goals. Further, transporting and storing fossil fuels are never safe, with risks ranging from oil train derailments and pipeline spills to storage tank explosions and tank destabilization from earthquakes. The City of Portland needs to hold these industries accountable for improving their safety without promising them more room to grow. We need to shrink fossil fuel use, not grow it!

It is vital that the city strengthen the current draft of the fossil fuel code to reflect the intent of the original resolution that myself and thousands of other Portlanders supported last year.

Sincerely,
Vesta McGee

Name: Matt Phillips

Email: fireball.inferno@gmail.com

Comment:

Our city has made strong commitments in the past to reduce its reliance on fossil fuels and to help prevent catastrophic climate change.

It seems like current decision-making is trying to reverse decisions made in the last year to ban new fossil fuel terminals, which is unacceptable. Climate change is real and due in large part to actions we can control.

We need to reduce fossil fuel support, financing and use across the board. The City of Portland needs to support every possible path for this to happen. There will have to be compromises to our way of life if we want a planet worth living on for all the world's children.

Name: Harry Kershner

Email: harrykershner@msn.com

Comment:

You must enact:

A full ban on new bulk fossil fuel terminals

Limitations on expansions at existing facilities

Provisions that require existing fossil fuel terminals make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River

Name: Stuart Sandler

Email: s2wes@spiritone.com

Comment:

I want a full ban of new fossil fuel infrastructure, in particular oil, gas, and coal terminals in Portland.

The Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments discussion draft has fallen far short of the 2015 Fossil Fuel Resolution that was passed last year. Where the resolution was bold, visionary, and historic, this discussion draft is short-sighted and prioritizes the fossil fuel industry over the health and safety of Portlanders.

I want:

1 – A full ban on new bulk terminals

2 – Stronger limitations on expansions at existing facilities

3 – Provisions to require existing fossil fuel terminals to make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River.

These changes are crucial because of the urgent threat that fossil fuel terminals pose to both the environment and public safety. Presently, the discussion draft allows new bulk terminals to be built and allows existing facilities to expand, which will grow our carbon footprint past the point of being able to meet our local and state carbon reduction goals. Further, transporting and storing fossil fuels are never safe, with risks ranging from oil train derailments and pipeline spills to storage tank explosions and tank destabilization from earthquakes. The City of Portland

needs to hold these industries accountable for improving their safety without promising them more room to grow. We need to shrink fossil fuel use, not grow it!

It is vital that the city strengthen the current draft of the fossil fuel code to reflect the intent of the original resolution that myself and thousands of other Portlanders supported last year.

Sincerely,

Stuart Sandler
Jeanne Bevis

Name: Jan Madill
Email: jan@janmadill.com

Comment:

Please, no new terminals or expansions of existing ones!

Name: Ellen Rubinstein
Email: ellen.rubinstein@gmail.com

Comment:

I want a full ban of new fossil fuel infrastructure, in particular oil, gas, and coal terminals in Portland.

The Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments discussion draft has fallen far short of the 2015 Fossil Fuel Resolution that was passed last year. Where the resolution was bold, visionary, and historic, this discussion draft is short-sighted and prioritizes the fossil fuel industry over the health and safety of Portlanders.

Specifically, I want:

- 1 – A full ban on new bulk terminals
- 2 – Stronger limitations on expansions at existing facilities
- 3 – Provisions to require existing fossil fuel terminals to make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River.

These changes are crucial because of the urgent threat that fossil fuel terminals pose to both the environment and public

safety. Presently, the discussion draft allows new bulk terminals to be built and allows existing facilities to expand, which will grow our carbon footprint past the point of being able to meet our local and state carbon reduction goals. Further, transporting and storing fossil fuels are never safe, with risks ranging from oil train derailments and pipeline spills to storage tank explosions and tank destabilization from earthquakes. The City of Portland needs to hold these industries accountable for improving their safety without promising them more room to grow. We need to shrink fossil fuel use, not grow it!

It is vital that the city strengthen the current draft of the fossil fuel code to reflect the intent of the original resolution that myself and thousands of other Portlanders supported last year.

Sincerely,

Name: Dayna Jones

Email: daynalouise2275@yahoo.com

Comment:

Any investment that is done in energy infrastructure in Portland needs to be an investment in renewable energy. We can't say we are adhering to a Climate Action Plan with integrity while simultaneously allowing for expansion of existing fossil fuel terminals. There are jobs in clean energy and Portland has the chance to be a leading city in modeling a just transition. The Pacific Northwest has already begun to feel the effects of climate change and it is inevitably only going to get worse. We must do our part, even more than our part when we can, to stop the unsustainable and toxic practice of fossil fuel reliance. Now is the time for the City of Portland to embrace the science of climate change and discontinue it's contributions toward it. The water, air, soil, and health of Portland's residents have all been placed in jeopardy due to a lack of industry regulation and it is the moral imperative of all decision makers to place people over industry profits.

Name: Lee Schmidt

Email: leeschmidt1938@gmail.com

Comment:

I want a full ban of new fossil fuel infrastructure, in particular oil, gas, and coal terminals in Portland.

The Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments discussion draft has fallen far short of the 2015 Fossil Fuel Resolution that was passed last year. Where the resolution was bold, visionary, and historic, this discussion draft is short-sighted and prioritizes the fossil fuel industry over the health and safety of Portlanders.

I want:

- 1 – A full ban on new bulk terminals
- 2 – Stronger limitations on expansions at existing facilities
- 3 – Provisions to require existing fossil fuel terminals to make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River.

These changes are crucial because of the urgent threat that fossil fuel terminals pose to both the environment and public safety. Presently, the discussion draft allows new bulk terminals to be built and allows existing facilities to expand, which will grow our carbon footprint past the point of being able to meet our local and state carbon reduction goals. Further, transporting and storing fossil fuels are never safe, with risks ranging from oil train derailments and pipeline spills to storage tank explosions and tank destabilization from earthquakes. The City of Portland needs to hold these industries accountable for improving their safety without promising them more room to grow. We need to shrink fossil fuel use, not grow it!

It is vital that the city strengthen the current draft of the fossil fuel code to reflect the intent of the original resolution that myself and thousands of other Portlanders supported last year.

Name: Christy Kelley

Email: christyckelley@yahoo.com

Comment:

I want a full ban of new fossil fuel infrastructure, in particular oil,

gas, and coal terminals in Portland.

The Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments discussion draft has fallen far short of the 2015 Fossil Fuel Resolution that was passed last year. Where the resolution was bold, visionary, and historic, this discussion draft is short-sighted and prioritizes the fossil fuel industry over the health and safety of Portlanders.

I want:

- 1 – A full ban on new bulk terminals
- 2 – Stronger limitations on expansions at existing facilities
- 3 – Provisions to require existing fossil fuel terminals to make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River.

These changes are crucial because of the urgent threat that fossil fuel terminals pose to both the environment and public safety. Presently, the discussion draft allows new bulk terminals to be built and allows existing facilities to expand, which will grow our carbon footprint past the point of being able to meet our local and state carbon reduction goals. Further, transporting and storing fossil fuels are never safe, with risks ranging from oil train derailments and pipeline spills to storage tank explosions and tank destabilization from earthquakes. The City of Portland needs to hold these industries accountable for improving their safety without promising them more room to grow. We need to shrink fossil fuel use, not grow it!

It is vital that the city strengthen the current draft of the fossil fuel code to reflect the intent of the original resolution that myself and thousands of other Portlanders supported last year.

Sincerely,

Name: Maya Jarrad

Email: maya@350pdx.org

Comment:

Dear Portland Bureau of Planning and Sustainability,

As an environmental economist and young adult, the project that most gives me hope for my future is Portland's Fossil Fuel

Resolution. The Resolution acknowledges the risks of investments in fossil fuel infrastructure to a greater extent than I have seen in any other policy document, and I am proud to live in Portland as a result of this.

However, I feel that the draft zoning code amendments do not fully apply the Resolution. It is important to apply the Resolution as fully as possible by including language addressing these three points:

1. A full ban on new bulk fossil fuel terminals
2. Limitations on expansions at existing facilities
3. Provisions that require existing fossil fuel terminals make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River.

It is vital that the suggested draft BPS submits to City Council address these three points in addition to the existing draft amendments.

Name: Paul Spindel

Email: pspindel@msn.com

Comment:

Live up to the commitments made earlier this year in City Hall.
No new fossil fuel terminals or expanded existing fossil fuel terminals.

Keep it in the ground

Name: Nancy Pfeiler

Email: nancypfeiler6@gmail.com

Comment:

I live in Salem. I need you to go forward in this issue as an example to the rest of us. Thank you for your efforts.

I want a full ban of new fossil fuel infrastructure, in particular oil, gas, and coal terminals in Portland.

The Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments discussion draft has fallen far short of the 2015 Fossil Fuel Resolution that was passed last year. Where the resolution was bold, visionary, and

historic, this discussion draft is short-sighted and prioritizes the fossil fuel industry over the health and safety of Portlanders.

I want:

- 1 – A full ban on new bulk terminals
- 2 – Stronger limitations on expansions at existing facilities
- 3 – Provisions to require existing fossil fuel terminals to make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River.

These changes are crucial because of the urgent threat that fossil fuel terminals pose to both the environment and public safety. Presently, the discussion draft allows new bulk terminals to be built and allows existing facilities to expand, which will grow our carbon footprint past the point of being able to meet our local and state carbon reduction goals. Further, transporting and storing fossil fuels are never safe, with risks ranging from oil train derailments and pipeline spills to storage tank explosions and tank destabilization from earthquakes. The City of Portland needs to hold these industries accountable for improving their safety without promising them more room to grow. We need to shrink fossil fuel use, not grow it!

It is vital that the city strengthen the current draft of the fossil fuel code to reflect the intent of the original resolution that myself and thousands of other Portlanders supported last year.

Sincerely,

Name: Cody Tucker

Email: cctucker5@gmail.com

Comment:

I want a full ban of new fossil fuel infrastructure, in particular oil, gas, and coal terminals in Portland.

The Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments discussion draft has fallen far short of the 2015 Fossil Fuel Resolution that was passed last year. Where the resolution was bold, visionary, and historic, this discussion draft is short-sighted and prioritizes the fossil fuel industry over the health and safety of Portlanders.

I want:

- 1 – A full ban on new bulk terminals
- 2 – Stronger limitations on expansions at existing facilities
- 3 – Provisions to require existing fossil fuel terminals to make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River.
- 4 – Investment in sustainably sourced renewable energy like solar and wind

These changes are crucial because of the urgent threat that fossil fuel terminals pose to both the environment and public safety. Presently, the discussion draft allows new bulk terminals to be built and allows existing facilities to expand, which will grow our carbon footprint past the point of being able to meet our local and state carbon reduction goals. Further, transporting and storing fossil fuels are never safe, with risks ranging from oil train derailments and pipeline spills to storage tank explosions and tank destabilization from earthquakes. If the earthquake were to come tomorrow the entirety of the Willamette-Columbia delta will become a dead zone, an environmental catastrophe. As a citizens of Portland we depend on the health of our environment.

The City of Portland needs to hold these industries accountable for improving their safety without promising them more room to grow. We need to shrink fossil fuel use, not grow it!

It is vital that the city strengthen the current draft of the fossil fuel code to reflect the intent of the original resolution that myself and thousands of other Portlanders supported last year, not do the exact opposite.

Portland needs to remain the example of sustainable development in the United States. Expanding fossil fuel infrastructure will lead the city down a path much like every other American city. You need to do as the citizens asked, that is your job. We want a city that will lead not only Oregon, but America into a future that reallys on sustainable renewable energy sources that do not cause irreversible damage to future generations. Think of the future, the long term, not short term profits.

Sincerely,

Cody Tucker

Name: Lynn Youngbar

Email: lynn@lynnyoungbar.com

Comment:

Dear City Council,

Last year when you passed the resolution ban on new fossil fuel facilities, I was in the audience supporting your audacious move. Now you need to complete what you started. I understand from 350PDX that your Zoning Amendment discussion draft falls far short of the resolution. Please don't let this happen. We need a full ban on new bulk terminals, good strong limitations on existing facilities and provisions for existing terminals to retrofit for seismic resilience to protect our communities.

In addition, any new regulations that can decrease our carbon footprint would be welcome.

Sincerely, Lynn Youngbar

Name: Turner Masland

Email: turner.masland@gmail.com

Comment:

For the sake of future generations and for the safety of our current community, we need a full ban on new and expanded fossil fuel terminals

Name: P Anna Johnson

Email: aj@mercedlake.com

Comment:

A full ban on new bulk fossil fuel terminals
Limitations on expansions at existing facilities
Provisions that require existing fossil fuel terminals make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River

Name: Lynn Hager
Email: lhager@pdx.edu

Comment:

I want a full ban of new fossil fuel infrastructure, in particular oil, gas, and coal terminals in Portland.

The Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments discussion draft has fallen far short of the 2015 Fossil Fuel Resolution that was passed last year. Where the resolution was bold, visionary, and historic, this discussion draft is short-sighted and prioritizes the fossil fuel industry over the health and safety of Portlanders.

I want:

- 1 – A full ban on new bulk terminals
- 2 – Stronger limitations on expansions at existing facilities
- 3 – Provisions to require existing fossil fuel terminals to make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River.

These changes are crucial because of the urgent threat that fossil fuel terminals pose to both the environment and public safety. Presently, the discussion draft allows new bulk terminals to be built and allows existing facilities to expand, which will grow our carbon footprint past the point of being able to meet our local and state carbon reduction goals. Further, transporting and storing fossil fuels are never safe, with risks ranging from oil train derailments and pipeline spills to storage tank explosions and tank destabilization from earthquakes. The City of Portland needs to hold these industries accountable for improving their safety without promising them more room to grow. We need to shrink fossil fuel use, not grow it!

It is vital that the city strengthen the current draft of the fossil fuel code to reflect the intent of the original resolution that myself and thousands of other Portlanders supported last year.

IT WOULD BE NICE IF THE MAYOR WOULD UPHOLD AT LEAST SOME OF HIS PROMISES BEFORE HE LEAVES OFFICE!!

Sincerely,
Lynn Hager

Name: Christine Taylor

Email: litasberrypatch@gmail.com

Comment:

I am writing to urge that you enact a full ban on new fossil fuel terminals and that you strengthen limitations on any expansions at existing facilities. In addition, I ask that you require existing fossil fuel terminals to make seismic upgrades.

I believe that it is essential that our city strengthen the present draft of the fossil fuel code to bring it into alignment with the intent of the original resolution that was so broadly supported by Portlanders last year.

As a retired Public Health Nurse and grandmother of children growing up here in Portland, I am deeply concerned about the health risks posed by fossil fuel transportation through and terminals in the communities where we live. I urge you to put public safety before financial gains / profits for fossil fuel companies.

Sincerely,
Christine Taylor

Name: Deva Sunya

Email: dragon@350pdx.org

Comment:

Climate change is happening faster even than the scientific communities worst case scenarios from just a few years ago. It is no exaggeration to say it is a planetary emergency. I find it really frustrating how city officials, planners, and business people all just keep acting as if climate change is not real. I'm sure the point will come when many people will be ashamed of their inaction. Will it be too late by then?

I want a full ban of new fossil fuel infrastructure, in particular oil, gas, and coal terminals in Portland.

The Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments discussion draft has fallen far short of the 2015 Fossil Fuel Resolution that was

passed last year. Where the resolution was bold, visionary, and historic, this discussion draft is short-sighted and prioritizes the fossil fuel industry over the health and safety of Portlanders.

Sincerely,
Deva

Name: tricia zigrang
Email: tazigrang@yahoo.com

Comment:

We should not be allowing infrastructure that supports fossil fuel. This will only slow our transition to clean energy. Please stand up to the fossil fuel industry and enforce a FULL BAN on new bulk fossil fuel terminals. We also want to see strict limitations of expansions at existing facilities. It is imperative that existing terminals receive seismic upgrades.

Name: carolyn partridge
Email: carpart97405@yahoo.com

Comment:

What happened to the resolution passed last November? I want a full ban on new and expanded fossil fuel terminals. Oil is dirty and there are many chances for leaks and spills, especially considering our location in the Cascadia Subduction Zone. More importantly, we should be moving rapidly AWAY from fossil fuels due to global heating. Future lives matter. Thank you.

Name: mary lane
Email: ryla2015@gmail.com

Comment:

To whom this concerns:

As a citizen of Portland, I expect a full ban on new fossil fuel terminals.

I also expect no expansion on existing facilities. Further, I expect

seismic upgrades to all existing fossil fuel terminals.

Sincerely,

Mary T. Lane

Name: Anais Tuepker

Email: anaistuepker@yahoo.com

Comment:

Dear Bureau of Planning and Sustainability,

Last fall, the Portland City Council took a strong and beautiful step towards a clean energy future when it voted to ban new fossil fuel terminals and set limits on expansions at existing facilities. Don't betray that moment, or the desires of the people of Portland, by implementing rules that will not enforce the strong intentions of the Council. Please ensure that implemented rules will enforce:

- A full ban on new bulk fossil fuel terminals;
- Limitations on expansions at existing facilities; and
- Required seismic safety upgrades for existing fossil fuel terminals.

We are truly at a moment where we cannot afford to delay a strong, rapid, transition off of fossil fuels. For the safety of our communities, and the health and well-being of our entire planetary ecosystem, please step up and do your part by crafting a strong and meaningful ban that means what the name implies: no new fossil fuel terminals. Thank you.

Name: Susan Watt

Email: susniam@gmail.com

Comment:

City of Portland Bureau of Planning & Sustainability,
Please honor the intent of the Fossil Fuel Policy adopted by the City of Portland. The amendment should require a full ban on new bulk fossil fuel terminals and impose limitations on expansions of existing facilities. You should also require existing fossil fuel terminals make upgrades for seismic resilience to

protect the health of the river and communities. Being a nearby Linnton resident, I am very concerned about the outcome of a major earthquake and the potential disaster from leaking and/or exploding tanks. Please honor the Fossil Fuel Ban!

Thanks, Susan Watt

Name: Melba Dlugonski

Email: melbajde@hotmail.com

Comment:

Funny, I thought last year you guys were taking a stand I could be proud of. You were just teasing? Please, show us the leadership we hoped we could count on. New or expanded infrastructure is a vote for burning up the earth. Time is up. Thank you.

Name: Theodora Tsongas

Email: ttsongas@gmail.com

Comment:

To the City of Portland Bureau of Planning and Sustainability
Re: Proposed draft Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments

The discussion draft indicates that you apparently did not read the fossil fuel resolution passed by the city council. Your draft proposes the following: " The Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments project would restrict the development of large new Bulk Fossil Fuel Terminals, while providing for expansion at existing terminals and moderately sized new terminal development to accommodate the fuel needs of the region's growing population." This is unacceptable. You have managed to reverse the intent of the resolution to allow the expansion of fossil fuel infrastructure! This is unconscionable! You are ignoring the people of this city who have passed this resolution and who also are taxpayers who pay your salaries. You are not listening to the will of the people of this city. Go back and correct this mess.

We want a FULL BAN on new bulk fossil fuel terminals. We want NO expansion at existing facilities except for health and safety

upgrades. We want requirements that existing fossil fuel terminals make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River. We definitely do NOT want expansion of existing fossil fuel facilities or any new facilities to “accomodate the regions’ growing population”. We must address these needs with expansion of sustainable clean sources of energy, not fossil fuels and not nuclear power. This is the point of the resolution: to address climate change NOW. Please read and remember all the whereas statements in the resolution so you will understand why this is necessary to the survival of our city, and the planet. You have an opportunity to be leaders. Please don’t waste the chance to do something historical.

Sincerely,

Theodora Tsongas, PhD, MS

Name: Ellen Mendoza

Email: ellenmendoza_lido@yahoo.com

Comment:

Hi City of Portland,

You are my city, I have lived here for 30 years and I want to go living here. I don’t want any new fossil fuel infrastructure and I want what is already here to be safe from earthquakes, and rising water levels. You should keep your pledge to have no more fuel terminals, storage, or refining of coal, oil, or gas.

Commit to 100% Renewable Energy in Portland by 2035. Do not give in to the Fossil Fuel Companies and the Railroads.

Thank you, ellen

Name: Mary Forst

Email: mcforst@igc.org

Comment:

I want a full ban of new fossil fuel infrastructure, in particular oil, gas, and coal terminals in Portland.

The Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments discussion draft has fallen far short of the 2015 Fossil Fuel Resolution that was

passed last year. Where the resolution was bold, visionary, and historic, this discussion draft is short-sighted and prioritizes the fossil fuel industry over the health and safety of Portlanders.

I want:

- 1 – A full ban on new bulk terminals
- 2 – Stronger limitations on expansions at existing facilities
- 3 – Provisions to require existing fossil fuel terminals to make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River.

These changes are crucial because of the urgent threat that fossil fuel terminals pose to both the environment and public safety. Presently, the discussion draft allows new bulk terminals to be built and allows existing facilities to expand, which will grow our carbon footprint past the point of being able to meet our local and state carbon reduction goals. Further, transporting and storing fossil fuels are never safe, with risks ranging from oil train derailments and pipeline spills to storage tank explosions and tank destabilization from earthquakes. The City of Portland needs to hold these industries accountable for improving their safety without promising them more room to grow. We need to shrink fossil fuel use, not grow it!

It is vital that the city strengthen the current draft of the fossil fuel code to reflect the intent of the original resolution that myself and thousands of other Portlanders supported last year.

I live on a houseboat on Sauvie Island, downstream from the Critical Infrastructure Hub where 90% of Oregon's fossil fuels are stored, in aging buildings built on fill land, which will not survive an earthquake. We are particularly aware of these dangers which should be mitigated.

Sincerely,
Mary Forst

Name: Bobbee Murr
Email: bobbeemurr@gmail.com

Comment:

Keep the sustainability in your bureau's title real: No new facilities and no expansions of existing ones. Help break our addiction to all fossil fuels. We can do it.

Name: Bernadette Rodgers

Email: bernadetterodgers350@gmail.com

Comment:

I want a full ban of new fossil fuel infrastructure, in particular oil, gas, and coal terminals in Portland. This is what we fought for last year and what was approved unanimously by the City Council with the Fossil Fuel Infrastructure Ban Resolution in 2015.

It is disturbing that the Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments discussion draft has fallen far short of the intent of that resolution! Where the resolution was bold, visionary, and historic, this discussion draft is short-sighted and prioritizes the fossil fuel industry over the health and safety of Portlanders. Exactly the OPPOSITE of the point of the resolution!!

I want:

- 1 – A full ban on new bulk terminals
- 2 – Stronger limitations on expansions at existing facilities
- 3 – Provisions to require existing fossil fuel terminals to make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River.

These changes are crucial because of the urgent threat that fossil fuel terminals pose to both the environment and public safety. Presently, the discussion draft allows new bulk terminals to be built and allows existing facilities to expand, which will grow our carbon footprint past the point of being able to meet our local and state carbon reduction goals. Further, transporting and storing fossil fuels are never safe, with risks ranging from oil train derailments and pipeline spills to storage tank explosions and tank destabilization from earthquakes. The City of Portland needs to hold these industries accountable for improving their safety without promising them more room to grow. We need to shrink fossil fuel use, not grow it!

It is vital that the city strengthen the current draft of the fossil fuel code to reflect the intent of the original resolution that myself and thousands of other Portlanders supported last year.

Sincerely,

Name: BEVERLY BURKE

Email: bevburke@comcast.net

Comment:

When the earths resources are gone they are gone. Resource destruction is the result of overuse and lack of care and maintenance. The first is senselessness, or stupidity and the last is laziness and stupidity. Capital profits don't change these basic facts. Hoarding capital or helping the destructive corporations to do so is a short term strategy that kicks the problem into the future for generations, Please be a part of the solution, not the problem

Name: Helen Hays

Email: hlhays@ccgmail.net

Comment:

The City of Portland's Bureau of Planning and Sustainability should support a full ban of new bulk fossil fuel terminals. There should be limitations on expansions at existing facilities as well. Finally, provisions should be made that require existing fossil fuel terminals make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River.

Name: teresa mcgrath

Email: bone1953@msn.com

Comment:

renewables are the only logical action

Name: Adriana Escobedo-Land

Email: landified@hotmail.com

Comment:

I want a full ban of new fossil fuel infrastructure, in particular oil, gas, and coal terminals in Portland.

The Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments discussion draft has fallen far short of the 2015 Fossil Fuel Resolution that was passed last year. Where the resolution was bold, visionary, and historic, this discussion draft is short-sighted and prioritizes the fossil fuel industry over the health and safety of Portlanders.

I want:

- 1 – A full ban on new bulk terminals
- 2 – Stronger limitations on expansions at existing facilities
- 3 – Provisions to require existing fossil fuel terminals to make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River.

These changes are crucial because of the urgent threat that fossil fuel terminals pose to both the environment and public safety. Presently, the discussion draft allows new bulk terminals to be built and allows existing facilities to expand, which will grow our carbon footprint past the point of being able to meet our local and state carbon reduction goals. Further, transporting and storing fossil fuels are never safe, with risks ranging from oil train derailments and pipeline spills to storage tank explosions and tank destabilization from earthquakes. The City of Portland needs to hold these industries accountable for improving their safety without promising them more room to grow. We need to shrink fossil fuel use, not grow it!

It is vital that the city strengthen the current draft of the fossil fuel code to reflect the intent of the original resolution that myself and thousands of other Portlanders supported last year.

Sincerely,

Adriana Escobedo-Land

Name: Ruth Gundle

Email: ruth.gundle@icloud.com

Comment:

We want:

A full ban on new bulk fossil fuel terminals.

Limitations on expansions at existing facilities.

Provisions that require existing fossil fuel terminals make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River.

Name: Deena Grossman

Email: deenatgrossman@gmail.com

Comment:

Dear Portland Bureau of Planning and Sustainability Members,

Please implement a full ban of new fossil fuel infrastructure, in particular oil, gas, and coal terminals in Portland.

The Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments discussion draft has fallen far short of the 2015 Fossil Fuel Resolution that was passed last year. Where the resolution was bold, visionary, and historic, this discussion draft is short-sighted and prioritizes the fossil fuel industry over the health and safety of Portlanders.

We need:

1 – A full ban on new bulk terminals

2 – Stronger limitations on expansions at existing facilities

3 – Provisions to require existing fossil fuel terminals to make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River.

These changes are crucial because of the urgent threat that fossil fuel terminals pose to both the environment and public safety. Presently, the discussion draft allows new bulk terminals to be built and allows existing facilities to expand, which will grow our carbon footprint past the point of being able to meet our local and state carbon reduction goals. Further, transporting and storing fossil fuels are never safe, with risks ranging from oil train derailments and pipeline spills to storage tank explosions and tank destabilization from earthquakes. The City of Portland needs to hold these industries accountable for improving their

safety without promising them more room to grow. We need to shrink fossil fuel use, not grow it!

It is vital that the city strengthen the current draft of the fossil fuel code to reflect the intent of the original resolution that myself and thousands of other Portlanders supported last year.

Sincerely yours,
Deena T. Grossman, PPS Teacher

Name: Jeanne Alberts
Email: jeanne.alberts@gmail.com

Comment:

I want a full ban of new fossil fuel infrastructure, in particular oil, gas, and coal terminals in Portland.

The Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments discussion draft has fallen far short of the 2015 Fossil Fuel Resolution that was passed last year. Where the resolution was bold, visionary, and historic, this discussion draft is short-sighted and prioritizes the fossil fuel industry over the health and safety of Portlanders.

I want:

- 1 – A full ban on new bulk terminals
- 2 – Stronger limitations on expansions at existing facilities
- 3 – Provisions to require existing fossil fuel terminals to make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River.

These changes are crucial because of the urgent threat that fossil fuel terminals pose to both the environment and public safety. Presently, the discussion draft allows new bulk terminals to be built and allows existing facilities to expand, which will grow our carbon footprint past the point of being able to meet our local and state carbon reduction goals. Further, transporting and storing fossil fuels are never safe, with risks ranging from oil train derailments and pipeline spills to storage tank explosions and tank destabilization from earthquakes. The City of Portland needs to hold these industries accountable for improving their safety without promising them more room to grow. We need to shrink fossil fuel use, not grow it!

It is vital that the city strengthen the current draft of the fossil fuel code to reflect the intent of the original resolution that myself and thousands of other Portlanders supported last year.

Sincerely,

Jeanne Miller Alberts

Name: Kristin Gross

Email: hazypictures@gmail.com

Comment:

I want a full ban of new fossil fuel infrastructure, in particular oil, gas, and coal terminals in Portland.

The Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments discussion draft has fallen far short of the 2015 Fossil Fuel Resolution that was passed last year. Where the resolution was bold, visionary, and historic, this discussion draft is short-sighted and prioritizes the fossil fuel industry over the health and safety of Portlanders.

I want:

- 1 – A full ban on new bulk terminals
- 2 – Stronger limitations on expansions at existing facilities
- 3 – Provisions to require existing fossil fuel terminals to make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River.

These changes are crucial because of the urgent threat that fossil fuel terminals pose to both the environment and public safety. Presently, the discussion draft allows new bulk terminals to be built and allows existing facilities to expand, which will grow our carbon footprint past the point of being able to meet our local and state carbon reduction goals. Further, transporting and storing fossil fuels are never safe, with risks ranging from oil train derailments and pipeline spills to storage tank explosions and tank destabilization from earthquakes. The City of Portland needs to hold these industries accountable for improving their safety without promising them more room to grow. We need to shrink fossil fuel use, not grow it!

It is vital that the city strengthen the current draft of the fossil fuel

code to reflect the intent of the original resolution that myself and thousands of other Portlanders supported last year.

Sincerely,

Kristin Gross

Name: Inga Fisher Williams

Email: iingafw@gmail.com

Comment:

July 27, 2016

Inga Fisher Williams

2824 NE Cesar Chavez Blvd.

Portland, Oregon 97212

COMMENTS to Bureau of Planning and Sustainability
re Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments discussion draft,
2016

CC. Mayor Hales

Commissioners, Fish, Fritz, Saltzman, Novick

I> the following points are specific language changes / additions
to the DRAFT

A. In section 1. INTRODUCTION on Climate Change include
explicitly the language of the November 2015, Portland City
Council adopted Resolution 37168 calling for opposition to
expansion of fossil fuel infrastructure.

> ADD: exact language of resolution as policy direction.

B. In PROJECT SCOPE AND TIMING, what is the project that is
referred to with this sentence: "This project is proposing a
prompt, focused response to these market changes". Why limit
the response to market changes?

>ADD : among factors show that public acceptance has changed
[not merely market forces] as a result of climate change
considerations post Paris. Numerous resolutions by Gorge
communities opposing expansion of coal, oil propane delivering
trains to feed export facilities [for inventory see Columbia River
Keepers]

C. Make the project list in FIGURE 2 comprehensive to show WHEN these projects were proposed and their CURRENT STATUS. In addition, include also all those projects which are no longer being considered {withdrawn; not permitted; etc. as your reports states in other section , see 2nd sentence under GROWTH OPPORTUNITIES IN FOSSIL FUEL DISTRIBUTION] > MODIFY TABLE in Figure 2. Accordingly

D. In section 3 PUBLIC AND STAKEHOLDER INVOLVEMENT, in paragraph What have we heard? >ADD participation from multiple tribes in the Columbia River region.

E. Throughout the document the phrase "regional demand' is used. >ADD definition to show that 'regional' means Portland, Oregon and SW Washington.

F. Members of the Oregon Congressional delegation have called for cessation of Baaken crude shipments till safety of the rail traffic is addressed. >ADD to section 4 Oil Train Accidents the increasing public awareness re substantial risks {Spokane city tax proposal; Vancouver City Council resolution, etc.

II> The following points are broad policy criteria for structuring the draft to make it consistent with policy direction of resolution and change in public acceptance of expanded fossil fuel delivery means in view of shifts post PARIS on climate change actions by local jurisdictions:

The proposed draft should be so structured as to include:

1. A full ban on new bulk fossil fuel terminals
2. More conservative Limitations on expansions at existing facilities
3. Provisions that require [at any license review or permit request to City] from existing fossil fuel terminals to make upgrades for seismic resilience as a condition of approval in order to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River,

Name: Robert Heydenreich
Email: bobheyden@gmail.com

Comment:

I want a full ban of new fossil fuel infrastructure, in particular oil, gas, and coal terminals in Portland.

The Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments discussion draft has fallen far short of the 2015 Fossil Fuel Resolution that was passed last year. Where the resolution was bold, visionary, and historic, this discussion draft is short-sighted and prioritizes the fossil fuel industry over the health and safety of Portlanders.

I want:

- 1 – A full ban on new bulk terminals
- 2 – Stronger limitations on expansions at existing facilities
- 3 – Provisions to require existing fossil fuel terminals to make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River.

These changes are crucial because of the urgent threat that fossil fuel terminals pose to both the environment and public safety. Presently, the discussion draft allows new bulk terminals to be built and allows existing facilities to expand, which will grow our carbon footprint past the point of being able to meet our local and state carbon reduction goals. Further, transporting and storing fossil fuels are never safe, with risks ranging from oil train derailments and pipeline spills to storage tank explosions and tank destabilization from earthquakes. The City of Portland needs to hold these industries accountable for improving their safety without promising them more room to grow. We need to shrink fossil fuel use, not grow it!

It is vital that the city strengthen the current draft of the fossil fuel code to reflect the intent of the original resolution that myself and thousands of other Portlanders supported last year.

Sincerely, Robert Heydenreich

Name: JILL JAMES
Email: jillpdx747@gmail.com

Comment:

We have a chance to make a difference in our city and in our world – so find the courage to make it so!

FULLY BAN any new fossil fuel terminals!

FULLY BAN expanding present fossil fuel terminals!

REQUIRE upgrades to existing fossil fuel terminals – including seismic resilience – and any other upgrades that will protect our people, our land, and our rivers!

Name: M. Andre

Email: andme@teleport.com

Comment:

want:

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the recently published Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments discussion draft allowing for a new bulk fossil fuel terminal to be built and already existing ones to expand.

This is exactly what would be so detrimental to our community, and to the environment! I urge you to withdraw this proposal and instead use your leadership to insist on the following crucial moves to address these urgent threats to both the environment and to public safety” :

1 – A full ban on new bulk terminals

2 – Stronger limitations on expansions at existing facilities

3 – Provisions to require existing fossil fuel terminals to make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River.

It is vital that the city strengthen the current draft of the fossil fuel code to reflect the intent of the original resolution that myself and thousands of other Portlanders supported last year.

Sincerely,

M.E. Andre

Name: Sascha Krader

Email: hungrytiger@gmail.com

Comment:

The fossil fuel infrastructure ban that was passed by the City Council was historic. It was a beautiful day and people were crying in City Hall. Now I hear that you have written the code so that the "ban" allows new fossil fuel terminals and allows the expansion of existing infrastructure. This is cynical and not in the spirit of the resolution that was passed.

Please, amend the code to make the ban real: no new terminals, no expansion of existing fossil fuel infrastructure, and upgrades for earthquake resilience.

Thank you.

Name: Daniel Yuhas

Email: daniel.yuhas@gmail.com

Comment:

I was upset to hear that the code of the fossil fuel infrastructure ban doesn't ban new terminals. Please, change the code to actually ban new terminals and expansions of existing terminals. It's the right thing to do. Thank you.

Name: Patricia Zoline

Email: patreezia@hotmail.com

Comment:

I want a full ban of new fossil fuel infrastructure, in particular oil, gas, and coal terminals in Portland.

The Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments discussion draft has fallen far short of the 2015 Fossil Fuel Resolution that was passed last year. Where the resolution was bold, visionary, and historic, this discussion draft is short-sighted and prioritizes the fossil fuel industry over the health and safety of Portlanders.

I want:

1 – A full ban on new bulk terminals

- 2 – Stronger limitations on expansions at existing facilities
- 3 – Provisions to require existing fossil fuel terminals to make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River.

These changes are crucial because of the urgent threat that fossil fuel terminals pose to both the environment and public safety. Presently, the discussion draft allows new bulk terminals to be built and allows existing facilities to expand, which will grow our carbon footprint past the point of being able to meet our local and state carbon reduction goals. Further, transporting and storing fossil fuels are never safe, with risks ranging from oil train derailments and pipeline spills to storage tank explosions and tank destabilization from earthquakes. The City of Portland needs to hold these industries accountable for improving their safety without promising them more room to grow. We need to shrink fossil fuel use, not grow it!

It is vital that the city strengthen the current draft of the fossil fuel code to reflect the intent of the original resolution that myself and thousands of other Portlanders supported last year.

Sincerely,

Name: Denise Jacobson

Email: dbjacobpdx@gmail.com

Comment:

I was deeply impressed by the Fossil Fuel Policy Resolution passed by the City Council last November. It seemed a definitive, and appropriate way to address a global climate crisis. It promised to “actively oppose expansion of infrastructure” for transporting and storing fossil fuels. But now when it comes to drafting code changes to implement policy I see a failure of nerve. It appears that staff have allowed the fossil fuel industry to write the code to allow new crude oil facilities, new LNG facilities, and new gasified fuel tanks based on some estimate of future needs.

I say NO. We need a full ban on new bulk fossil fuel terminals. We need restrictions on the existing infrastructure aimed at

increasing public health and safety of citizens and our environment—particularly our Willamette River. I should point out that while coal may be prohibited, we also need to assure that any existing oil, LNG, propane or methane facilities meet seismic safety standards. Any new infrastructure must be directed to these requirements, or to new clean fuels.

Name: Steven McGrath

Email: steve@solutions21st.com

Comment:

Please make sure the rules enacted look to the future, not the past. Don't let Mosier be a preview of a much larger disaster in Portland!

The Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments discussion draft has fallen far short of the 2015 Fossil Fuel Resolution that was passed last year. Where the resolution was bold, visionary, and historic, this discussion draft is short-sighted and prioritizes the fossil fuel industry over the health and safety of Portlanders.

We are asking for:

- 1 – A full ban on new bulk terminals
- 2 – Stronger limitations on expansions at existing facilities
- 3 – Provisions to require existing fossil fuel terminals to make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River.

These changes are crucial because of the urgent threat that fossil fuel terminals pose to both the environment and public safety. Presently, the discussion draft allows new bulk terminals to be built and allows existing facilities to expand, which will grow our carbon footprint past the point of being able to meet our local and state carbon reduction goals. Further, transporting and storing fossil fuels are never safe, with risks ranging from oil train derailments and pipeline spills to storage tank explosions and tank destabilization from earthquakes. The City of Portland needs to hold these industries accountable for improving their safety without promising them more room to grow. We need to shrink fossil fuel use, not grow it!

It is vital that the city strengthen the current draft of the fossil fuel code to reflect the intent of the original resolution that myself and thousands of other Portlanders supported last year.

Name: Betty and Edgard Abadia

Email: amarone3@gmail.com

Comment:

Fossil fuels corrupt and pollute our planet. The ONLY alternative is alternative fuels!

Name: John Stapp

Email: ethan2033@yahoo.com

Comment:

I support a complete ban on all fossil fuel terminals and limitations on existing ones. Furthermore it is imperative that existing terminals make the appropriate seismic upgrades to insure community safety. To do otherwise is to disincentive the development of alternative energy sources.

John Stapp

Name: Mike Horner

Email: hornervanzant@yahoo.com

Comment:

I am sorry to see that the BPS has drafted Zoning Amendments for Fossil Fuel Terminals that go explicitly against the will of the community and the sense and substance of the Resolution passed last year. It is apparent that the promoters of expanded Fossil Fuel Facilities have managed to influence the Bureau to gain an end run around the Resolution. Reducing these long term facilities is exactly what is needed now and the hardening of the existing ones against seismic damage is the only 'improvement' that should be allowed. The Bureau should reflect the will of the people and the council and remain faithful to the

Resolutions' intent which was to institute:

*A comprehensive ban on new bulk terminals

*Forbid the expansion of existing facilities

*Harden the existing very vulnerable riverside tank farms and infrastructure against seismic damage.

The time has come to get serious about reducing Fossil Fuel use and the resolution is a crucial first step on the path to a clean renewable energy future. The Bureau needs to adhere to that vision articulated by the council and the public.

Sincerely,

Mike Horner

Name: lynn merrick

Email: lynn@hevanet.com

Comment:

Even though the "slow cook" makes it hard to feel, the climate crisis is an emergency. It calls for the most far reaching, innovative thinking we can do. Because our country is moving at such a snail's pace, it is up to cities and states to take bold, aggressive action. We simply can not afford the delays. Portland, let's live up to your reputation and the promises you made just a few month's ago! Let's have a full ban on new bulk fossil fuel terminals, and all new infrastructure for oil, gas and coal terminals in Portland.

The Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments discussion draft has fallen far short of the 2015 Fossil Fuel Resolution that was passed last year. Where the resolution was bold, visionary, and historic, this discussion draft is short-sighted and prioritizes the fossil fuel industry over the health and safety of Portlanders.

I want:

- 1 – A full ban on new bulk terminals
- 2 – Stronger limitations on expansions at existing facilities
- 3 – Provisions to require existing fossil fuel terminals to make

upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River.

Thank you Portland for doing what's right for you citizens, and leading the way for our nation.

Best,
Lynn Merrick

Name: Joan Walker

Email: jmabwalker@verizon.net

Comment:

I want a full ban of new fossil fuel infrastructure, in particular oil, gas, and coal terminals in Portland.

The Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments discussion draft has fallen far short of the 2015 Fossil Fuel Resolution that was passed last year. Where the resolution was bold, visionary, and historic, this discussion draft is short-sighted and prioritizes the fossil fuel industry over the health and safety of Portlanders.

I want:

- 1 – A full ban on new bulk terminals
- 2 – Stronger limitations on expansions at existing facilities
- 3 – Provisions to require existing fossil fuel terminals to make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River.

These changes are crucial because of the urgent threat that fossil fuel terminals pose to both the environment and public safety. Presently, the discussion draft allows new bulk terminals to be built and allows existing facilities to expand, which will grow our carbon footprint past the point of being able to meet our local and state carbon reduction goals. Further, transporting and storing fossil fuels are never safe, with risks ranging from oil train derailments and pipeline spills to storage tank explosions and tank destabilization from earthquakes. The City of Portland needs to hold these industries accountable for improving their safety without promising them more room to grow. We need to shrink fossil fuel use, not grow it!

It is vital that the city strengthen the current draft of the fossil fuel code to reflect the intent of the original resolution that myself and thousands of other Portlanders supported last year.

Sincerely,
Joan Walker

Name: Eric Johnson
Email: kurtcornell710@yahoo.com

Comment:

I want a full ban of new fossil fuel infrastructure, in particular oil, gas, and coal terminals in Portland.

The Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments discussion draft has fallen far short of the 2015 Fossil Fuel Resolution that was passed last year. Where the resolution was bold, visionary, and historic, this discussion draft is short-sighted and prioritizes the fossil fuel industry over the health and safety of Portlanders.

I want:

- 1 – A full ban on new bulk terminals
- 2 – Stronger limitations on expansions at existing facilities
- 3 – Provisions to require existing fossil fuel terminals to make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River.

These changes are crucial because of the urgent threat that fossil fuel terminals pose to both the environment and public safety. Presently, the discussion draft allows new bulk terminals to be built and allows existing facilities to expand, which will grow our carbon footprint past the point of being able to meet our local and state carbon reduction goals. Further, transporting and storing fossil fuels are never safe, with risks ranging from oil train derailments and pipeline spills to storage tank explosions and tank destabilization from earthquakes. The City of Portland needs to hold these industries accountable for improving their safety without promising them more room to grow. We need to shrink fossil fuel use, not grow it!

It is vital that the city strengthen the current draft of the fossil fuel

code to reflect the intent of the original resolution that myself and thousands of other Portlanders supported last year.

Sincerely,

Name: Nancy Crumpacker

Email: nancycrumpacker@comcast.net

Comment:

I am appalled that the City's Planning and Sustainability Commission draft on land use code for the fossil fuel industry allows new crude facilities up to 21 million gallons, new liquefied natural gas storage up to 7 million gallons and new gas fuels (like propane) storage up to 7 million gallons. In other words, these code changes allow expansion of existing fossil fuel infrastructure.

If the proposed code prohibits coal storage facilities, it should do the same for all fossil fuels – crude, LNG, and propane and methanol.

Proposed code changes should require seismic upgrades and infrastructure for greener alternative fuels.

There is a significant risk of storing and transporting fossil fuels in our city to our public health such as long term heart and lung diseases in children and adults including cancer.

You should abide by the Resolution 37168 adopted Nov,12,2015: NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the City Council will actively oppose expansion of infrastructure whose primary purpose is transporting or storing fossil fuels in or through Portland or adjacent waterways; and BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that this Resolution does not restrict:

1. improvements in the safety, or efficiency, seismic resilience, or operations of existing infrastructure;

Please address these egregious errors in the code draft.

Thank you for your attention.

Name: Jack Lenehan

Email: jack.lenehan@gmail.com

Comment:

Dear City of Portland,

I was disappointed and angered to learn that the Bureau of Planning and Sustainability recently proposed a zoning draft that allows for the creation and expansion of fossil fuel infrastructure, in direct contravention of the historic resolution passed just last November. This draft must not become law. It will undermine the city's role as a leader in clean energy and sustainability, and it will undermine the public's trust in the city's government. This draft must be replaced with one that provides the following:

1. A full ban on new bulk fossil fuel terminals
2. Limitations on expansions at existing facilities
3. Provisions that require existing fossil fuel terminals make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River

Do the right thing, stand on the right side of history, and scrap this draft.

Sincerely,
Jack

Name: Rev. Katherine Jesch

Email: kathjesch@earthlink.net

Comment:

It surprises me that Portland's Planning and Sustainability Commission proposal for City Code changes is so wimpy! The City's fossil fuel goals and the landmark November 2015 City Council Resolution to "actively oppose expansion of infrastructure whose primary purpose is transporting or storing fossil fuels in or through Portland or adjacent waterways" is actively celebrated nationally and locally by almost every environmental and climate activist. Why would the PSC walk back from that commitment? Who is calling the shots here?

With regard to the proposed Code changes, I wish to make the following comments:

1. The proposed Code changes prohibit new coal terminals, but the language must be expanded to include all other fossil fuel terminals as well.
2. Proposed Code changes for existing infrastructure should prohibit upgrades that will support expanded capacity, while they must allow and encourage safety and seismic upgrades. In fact, existing facilities should be required to upgrade for basic safety, though seismic upgrades (in light of what we now know about the Cascadia deep subduction fault) could be phased in over time.
3. Do not accept the fossil fuel companies' claims of increased demand. It is true that consumers will continue to use fossil fuels as long as we allow it. Regardless, we must make plans for a fossil fuel free future so, consistent with State and City policies, the Code should plan for 100 percent renewables by 2035.
4. Portland's Bureau of Emergency Management must be involved in addressing the risks of fossil fuel storage and transport at existing facilities in the North West Industrial Area. These companies cannot be allowed to make up their own plans without the expertise of the City's experts. There is just too much at stake!

I will be following the process for amending the PSC's proposal for the City code, and I plan to attend the public hearing on September 13, 2016. I do sincerely hope you will do the right thing here and revise your proposal to be more consistent with City policy, especially the City Council Resolution on fossil fuel infrastructure.

Name: Connor DeVane

Email: connor@hikethedivide.com

Comment:

So many people worked so hard for the fossil fuel resolution. I personally invested all my free time for weeks helping to spread

awareness of the hearing, attended the hearing etc. I, as a member of the Portland community, see this as a humongous slap in the face. We are not interested in green wash nominal solutions so that we can Pat ourselves on the backs while we perpetuate the fossil fuel industry's crusade for capital that wrecks our planet, our economy and our health.

I want NO new terminals, as was demanded in the original resolution. I want NO expansion of existing facilities, or at the bare minimum strict limitations. I want existing facilities to upgrade their seismic resilience to help protect our city.

What happened to #citieslead ? Vancouver BC is going 100% renewable. Time to step up our game and put the well-being of Portland's citizens before all else.

Name: Brett Baylor

Email: baylorb@comcast.net

Comment:

The City of Portland, and especially our Bureau of Planning and Sustainability, need to now live up to the Fossil Fuel Resolution passed last fall as I and hundreds of other citizens watched and cheered. With such a short path to worldwide peril, my expectation is that the City of Portland will lead the way forward for other cities throughout the world to plan robust and comprehensive responses to global warming.

Somehow your draft changes in response to this resolution have devolved into something that could quite simply permit just the opposite of the intention of Council.

Please revise your draft to include:

- 1 – A full ban on new bulk terminals
- 2 – Stronger limitations on expansions at existing facilities
- 3 – Provisions to require existing fossil fuel terminals to make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River.

Thank you for those changes.

Name: Kathryn Peterson
Email: kitkatmcla@hotmail.com

Comment:

I want a full ban of new fossil fuel infrastructure, in particular oil, gas, and coal terminals in Portland.

The Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments discussion draft has fallen far short of the 2015 Fossil Fuel Resolution that was passed last year. Where the resolution was bold, visionary, and historic, this discussion draft is short-sighted and prioritizes the fossil fuel industry over the health and safety of Portlanders.

I want:

- 1 – A full ban on new bulk terminals
- 2 – Stronger limitations on expansions at existing facilities
- 3 – Provisions to require existing fossil fuel terminals to make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River.

These changes are crucial because of the urgent threat that fossil fuel terminals pose to both the environment and public safety. Presently, the discussion draft allows new bulk terminals to be built and allows existing facilities to expand, which will grow our carbon footprint past the point of being able to meet our local and state carbon reduction goals. Further, transporting and storing fossil fuels are never safe, with risks ranging from oil train derailments and pipeline spills to storage tank explosions and tank destabilization from earthquakes. The City of Portland needs to hold these industries accountable for improving their safety without promising them more room to grow. We need to shrink fossil fuel use, not grow it!

It is vital that the city strengthen the current draft of the fossil fuel code to reflect the intent of the original resolution that myself and thousands of other Portlanders supported last year.

Sincerely,

Name: Diane Schauer
Email: schauerbj@comcast.net

Comment:

The citizens of Portland deserve to live in a safe, healthy city. To reach that goal new fossil fuel bulk terminals and expansions of existing terminals should be banned. Fossil fuels are dangerous under any conditions but particularly in our area, which is experiencing major growth and which is overdue for a significant earthquake.

Name: Tanika McGuire

Email: faie@ymail.com

Comment:

I want a full ban of new fossil fuel infrastructure, in particular oil, gas, and coal terminals in Portland.

The Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments discussion draft has fallen far short of the 2015 Fossil Fuel Resolution that was passed last year. Where the resolution was bold, visionary, and historic, this discussion draft is short-sighted and prioritizes the fossil fuel industry over the health and safety of Portlanders.

I want:

- 1 – A full ban on new bulk terminals
- 2 – Stronger limitations on expansions at existing facilities
- 3 – Provisions to require existing fossil fuel terminals to make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River.

These changes are crucial because of the urgent threat that fossil fuel terminals pose to both the environment and public safety. Presently, the discussion draft allows new bulk terminals to be built and allows existing facilities to expand, which will grow our carbon footprint past the point of being able to meet our local and state carbon reduction goals. Further, transporting and storing fossil fuels are never safe, with risks ranging from oil train derailments and pipeline spills to storage tank explosions and tank destabilization from earthquakes. The City of Portland needs to hold these industries accountable for improving their safety without promising them more room to grow. We need to shrink fossil fuel use, not grow it!

It is vital that the city strengthen the current draft of the fossil fuel code to reflect the intent of the original resolution that myself and thousands of other Portlanders supported last year.

Sincerely,
Tanika McGuire

Name: Philip Queeley
Email: pqueeley@gmail.com

Comment:

I want a full ban of new fossil fuel infrastructure, in particular oil, gas, and coal terminals in Portland.

The Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments discussion draft has fallen far short of the 2015 Fossil Fuel Resolution that was passed last year. Where the resolution was bold, visionary, and historic, this discussion draft is short-sighted and prioritizes the fossil fuel industry over the health and safety of Portlanders.

I want:

- 1 – A full ban on new bulk terminals
- 2 – Stronger limitations on expansions at existing facilities
- 3 – Provisions to require existing fossil fuel terminals to make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River.

These changes are crucial because of the urgent threat that fossil fuel terminals pose to both the environment and public safety. Presently, the discussion draft allows new bulk terminals to be built and allows existing facilities to expand, which will grow our carbon footprint past the point of being able to meet our local and state carbon reduction goals. Further, transporting and storing fossil fuels are never safe, with risks ranging from oil train derailments and pipeline spills to storage tank explosions and tank destabilization from earthquakes. The City of Portland needs to hold these industries accountable for improving their safety without promising them more room to grow. We need to shrink fossil fuel use, not grow it!

It is vital that the city strengthen the current draft of the fossil fuel

code to reflect the intent of the original resolution that myself and thousands of other Portlanders supported last year.

Sincerely,
Philip Queeley

Name: Art Lewellan
Email: Lotilivo@gmail.com

Comment:

It seems to me the safest way to get Dakota Bakkan oil and gas to all our coasts and world markets is NOT through the Gorge. Instead, existing pipeline and rail corridors south through Oklahoma to Gulf refineries offers many safety advantages; should reduce demand for off-shore drilling in the Gulf, should build safety upgrades to existing petroleum facilities along the safer route.

It seems to me, Powder River coal CAN be left in the ground while the type of coal used to produce steel remain a vital industry necessary for national security.

It seems to me that electric vehicles (EVs) are safer cars and that plug-in hybrids (PHEVs) offer more potential to reduce CO2 emissions than all-battery (BEVs). PHEVs offer more economic incentives to drive less (reducing traffic), to walk and bike more and build communities to more conveniently use mass transit. It seems to me that the 4mpg standard 40' bus is obviously inappropriate for many routes and could be replaced with short wheelbase hybrid EVs based on new model paratransit vans. Seniors and disabled people need "Low-floor" entry and low-emission vans. It seems to me, municipalities should NOT continue to spend taxes on GM/Ford paratransit vans which are basic 1970's technology.

Name: Hal Glidden
Email: hglid@comcast.net

Comment:

I want a full ban of new fossil fuel infrastructure, in particular oil, gas, and coal terminals in Portland.

The Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments discussion draft has fallen far short of the 2015 Fossil Fuel Resolution that was passed last year. Where the resolution was bold, visionary, and historic, this discussion draft is short-sighted and prioritizes the fossil fuel industry over the health and safety of Portlanders.

I want:

- 1 – A full ban on new bulk terminals
- 2 – Stronger limitations on expansions at existing facilities
- 3 – Provisions to require existing fossil fuel terminals to make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River.

These changes are crucial because of the urgent threat that fossil fuel terminals pose to both the environment and public safety. Presently, the discussion draft allows new bulk terminals to be built and allows existing facilities to expand, which will grow our carbon footprint past the point of being able to meet our local and state carbon reduction goals. Further, transporting and storing fossil fuels are never safe, with risks ranging from oil train derailments and pipeline spills to storage tank explosions and tank destabilization from earthquakes. The City of Portland needs to hold these industries accountable for improving their safety without promising them more room to grow. We need to shrink fossil fuel use, not grow it!

It is vital that the city strengthen the current draft of the fossil fuel code to reflect the intent of the original resolution that myself and thousands of other Portlanders supported last year.

Sincerely,

Name: Paula Hood

Email: paula.e.hood@gmail.com

Comment:

I want a full ban of new fossil fuel infrastructure, in particular oil, gas, and coal terminals in Portland.

The Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments discussion draft has fallen far short of the 2015 Fossil Fuel Resolution that was passed last year. Where the resolution was bold, visionary, and

historic, this discussion draft is short-sighted and prioritizes the fossil fuel industry over the health and safety of Portlanders.

I want:

- 1 – A full ban on new bulk terminals
- 2 – Stronger limitations on expansions at existing facilities
- 3 – Provisions to require existing fossil fuel terminals to make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River.

These changes are crucial because of the urgent threat that fossil fuel terminals pose to both the environment and public safety. Presently, the discussion draft allows new bulk terminals to be built and allows existing facilities to expand, which will grow our carbon footprint past the point of being able to meet our local and state carbon reduction goals. Further, transporting and storing fossil fuels are never safe, with risks ranging from oil train derailments and pipeline spills to storage tank explosions and tank destabilization from earthquakes. The City of Portland needs to hold these industries accountable for improving their safety without promising them more room to grow. We need to shrink fossil fuel use, not grow it!

It is vital that the city strengthen the current draft of the fossil fuel code to reflect the intent of the original resolution that myself and thousands of other Portlanders supported last year.

Sincerely,
Paula Hood

Name: Maria Gifford

Email: mariagarciajaguarwoman@yahoo.com

Comment:

- 1.A full ban on new bulk fossil fuel terminals
- 2.Limitations on expansions at existing facilities
- 3.Provisions that require existing fossil fuel terminals make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River

Share this entry



© Copyright - 350PDX

