



Fossil Fuel Policy Code Comments: Discussion Draft

November 2, 2016 /

Name: Judith Lienhard

Email: lienjud@aol.com

Comment:

I want a full ban of new fossil fuel infrastructure, in particular oil, gas, and coal terminals in Portland.

The Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments discussion draft has fallen far short of the 2015 Fossil Fuel Resolution that was passed last year. Where the resolution was bold, visionary, and historic; this discussion draft is short-sighted and prioritizes the fossil fuel industry over the health and safety of Portlanders.

I want:

- 1 – A full ban on new bulk terminals,
- 2 – Stronger limitations on expansions at existing facilities
- 3 – Provisions to require existing fossil fuel terminals make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River. These changes are crucial because of the urgent threat that fossil fuel terminals pose to both the environment and public safety.

Transporting and storing fossil fuels are never safe. The risks range from oil train derailments, pipeline spills, storage tank explosions and earthquakes, which is why the City of Portland needs to hold these industries accountable for improving their safety without promising them more room to grow. Presently, the discussion draft exempts existing facilities from regulation, which will only grow our carbon footprint past the point of being able to meet our carbon reduction goals. We need to shrink Fossil Fuel use, not grow it!

It is vital that the city strengthen the current draft of the fossil fuel code to reflect the original resolution that myself and thousands of other Portlanders supported last year. The revised version of the proposal should create a true ban on new fossil fuel infrastructure, have regulations that make Portland a safe place to live, and be legislation that will be sustainable for the earth in the long term.

Sincerely,

Name: Brian Johnson

Email: nogmo55@centurylink.net

Comment:

Hey this is Brian Johnson a lifelong resident of Multnomah and Clackamas Counties, aside from my military experience after high school (saving for and attending some) college and earning GI bill credits. A family man with two kids and a wife I met in college. I attended the hearings for Ambre Energy Coal and Pembina, in Portland, and also Millennium Bulk, and Oil by rail, in Longview and Olympia because I live near the tracks and have a vested interest in the Pacific NW, the nation and the planet. I'm very proud the mayor of Pdx and Milwaukie have declared climate change a high priority with proposals for no new fossil fuel infrastructure, and now in disbelief that, at least in Portland, this isn't happening. When the mayor makes a proposal like that, to me it means no new infrastructure, whether that's expanding existing plants, pipes, mines, wells, refineries, storage tanks, tracks, roads, bridges, for express purpose to support operations, etc. In fact, taken to the letter, it may also

include roads, roofs, flooring, and anything else composed of petroleum, etc. But I get it, and Portland certainly has its share of necessary maintenance and repairs to sidewalks, highways and roads. And if provisions for upgrades to minimize seismic disasters aren't made, at least make sure all energy companies are held responsible for all damages, not nothing at all or pennies on the dollar. If not them, their underwriters. Before you write this bill, be sure to google Arch and Peabody Coal companies treatment of miners and retirees "guaranteed" health coverages and pensions. And how they simultaneously wrote their chiefs millions in bonuses, presumably for successful bankruptcies which provided amnesty from their previous agreements to cover environmental costs and clean ups around their closed and closing mines. Come on, Portland, don't be like that! Show us what you're made of!

Name: Adam Brunelle

Email: brunelleadam@gmail.com

Comment:

1. A full ban on new bulk fossil fuel terminals
 2. Limitations on expansions at existing facilities
 3. Provisions that require existing fossil fuel terminals make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette & Columbia rivers.
 4. Invest heavily in renewables with an urgency befitting the climate crisis, and in particular, in such a way that vulnerable communities are more resilient to the negative effects of climate change. Our strategy for confronting climate change should primarily focus on those communities most vulnerable, not corporate "bridge solutions" which are actually just death sentences.
-

Name: Daniela Molnar

Email: dnmolnar@gmail.com

Comment:

I want a full ban of new fossil fuel infrastructure, in particular oil, gas, and coal terminals in and around Portland.

The Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments discussion draft has fallen far short of the 2015 Fossil Fuel Resolution that was passed last year. Where the resolution was bold, visionary, and historic, this discussion draft is short-sighted and prioritizes the fossil fuel industry over the health and safety of Portlanders.

We need:

- 1 – A full ban on new bulk terminals
- 2 – Stronger limitations on expansions at existing facilities
- 3 – Provisions to require existing fossil fuel terminals to make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River.

These changes are crucial because of the urgent threat that fossil fuel terminals pose to both the environment and public safety. Presently, the discussion draft allows new bulk terminals to be built and allows existing facilities to expand, which will grow our carbon footprint past the point of being able to meet our local and state carbon reduction goals. Further, transporting and storing fossil fuels are never safe, with risks ranging from oil train derailments and pipeline spills to storage tank explosions and tank destabilization from earthquakes. The City of Portland needs to hold these industries accountable for improving their safety without promising them more room to grow. We need to shrink fossil fuel use, not grow it!

It is vital that the city strengthen the current draft of the fossil fuel code to reflect the intent of the original resolution that myself and thousands of other Portlanders supported last year.

Sincerely,

Name: Robert Scheelen

Email: scheelen@earthlink.net

Comment:

Pleas go ahead and enact a full ban on new bulk fossil fuel terminals;
limitations on expansions at existing facilities;

provisions that require existing fossil fuel terminals make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River.

Name: virginia feldman

Email: feldmanvi@gmail.com

Comment:

Dear Portland Bureau of Planning and Sustainability,

As a Portland resident, I urge you to implement:

1. A full ban on new bulk fossil fuel terminals.
2. Limitations on expansions at existing facilities.
3. Make provisions that require existing fossil fuel terminals to make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River.

Thank you,

Dr. Virginia Feldman

11230 SW Collina Ave

Portland, Or. 97219

Name: Brenda Weber

Email: brendaweber@cavtel.net

Comment:

The Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments discussion draft has fallen far short of the 2015 Fossil Fuel Resolution that was passed last year. Presently, the discussion draft allows new bulk terminals to be built and allows existing facilities to expand, which will grow our carbon footprint past the point of being able to meet our local and state carbon reduction goals. Further, transporting and storing fossil fuels are never safe, with risks ranging from oil train derailments and pipeline spills to storage tank explosions and tank destabilization from earthquakes.

Please make these changes due to the urgent threat that fossil fuel terminals pose to both the environment and public safety:

A full ban on new bulk fossil fuel terminals

It is vital that the city strengthen the current draft of the fossil fuel code to reflect the intent of the original resolution that myself and

thousands of other Portlanders supported last year.

Limitations on expansions at existing facilities

Provisions that require existing fossil fuel terminals make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River

It is vital that the city strengthen the current draft of the fossil fuel code to reflect the intent of the original resolution that myself and thousands of other Portlanders supported last year.

Name: John Nettleton

Email: jpn5710@yahoo.com

Comment:

I want a full ban of new fossil fuel infrastructure, in particular oil, gas, and coal terminals in Portland.

The Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments discussion draft has fallen far short of the 2015 Fossil Fuel Resolution that was passed last year. Where the resolution was bold, visionary, and historic, this discussion draft is short-sighted and prioritizes the fossil fuel industry over the health and safety of Portlanders.

I want:

- 1 – A full ban on new bulk terminals
- 2 – Stronger limitations on expansions at existing facilities
- 3 – Provisions to require existing fossil fuel terminals to make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River.

These changes are crucial because of the urgent threat that fossil fuel terminals pose to both the environment and public safety. Presently, the discussion draft allows new bulk terminals to be built and allows existing facilities to expand, which will grow our carbon footprint past the point of being able to meet our local and state carbon reduction goals. Further, transporting and storing fossil fuels are never safe, with risks ranging from oil train derailments and pipeline spills to storage tank explosions and tank destabilization from earthquakes. The City of Portland needs to hold these industries accountable for improving their safety without promising them more room to grow. We need to

shrink fossil fuel use, not grow it!

It is vital that the city strengthen the current draft of the fossil fuel code to reflect the intent of the original resolution that myself and thousands of other Portlanders supported last year.

Respectfully,

Name: Anthony Albert

Email: albert2910@msn.com

Comment:

A full ban on new bulk fossil fuel terminals

Limitations on expansions at existing facilities

Provisions that require existing fossil fuel terminals make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River

Name: Eileen Fromer

Email: efromer@msn.com

Comment:

I want a full ban of new fossil fuel infrastructure, in particular oil, gas, and coal terminals in Portland.

The Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments discussion draft has fallen far short of the 2015 Fossil Fuel Resolution that was passed last year. Where the resolution was bold, visionary, and historic, this discussion draft is short-sighted and prioritizes the fossil fuel industry over the health and safety of Portlanders.

I want:

- 1 – A full ban on new bulk terminals
- 2 – Stronger limitations on expansions at existing facilities
- 3 – Provisions to require existing fossil fuel terminals to make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River.

These changes are crucial because of the urgent threat that

fossil fuel terminals pose to both the environment and public safety. Presently, the discussion draft allows new bulk terminals to be built and allows existing facilities to expand, which will grow our carbon footprint past the point of being able to meet our local and state carbon reduction goals. Further, transporting and storing fossil fuels are never safe, with risks ranging from oil train derailments and pipeline spills to storage tank explosions and tank destabilization from earthquakes. The City of Portland needs to hold these industries accountable for improving their safety without promising them more room to grow. We need to shrink fossil fuel use, not grow it!

It is vital that the city strengthen the current draft of the fossil fuel code to reflect the intent of the original resolution that myself and thousands of other Portlanders supported last year.

Sincerely,
Eileen Fromer

Name: Nancy Pfeiler
Email: nancypfeiler6@gmail.com

Comment:

I want:

A full ban on new bulk fossil fuel terminals

Limitations on expansions at existing facilities

Provisions that require existing fossil fuel terminals make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River

This matters to all Oregonians.

Thank you.

Name: Judy Todd
Email: judy@yournatureconnect.com

Comment:

It seems like the message of earlier this year has gotten truncated as it has passed through City Hall and planning for our

future.

Please re-initiate the full on, kep 'em in the ground intentions citizens want!

No NEW TERMINALS (We will keep working on other options for energy and employment, of course! Like renewables...)

NO EXPANSIONS at exisiting sites of fossil fuel processing or distribution (I kow you cannot affect Hanford's nuke plans, darn it)

WATCHDOG VIGILANCE on existing infrstructure by any fossil fuel company to be certain they are complying fully.

Thank you for being a good citizen and a future thinker!

Name: Joan Levine

Email: jlevinehummingbird@gmailc.om

Comment:

Dear Portland Planning Bureau Members,

I want a full ban of new fossil fuel infrastructure, in particular oil, gas, and coal terminals in Portland.

The Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments discussion draft has fallen far short of the 2015 Fossil Fuel Resolution that was passed last year. Where the resolution was bold, visionary, and historic, this discussion draft is short-sighted and prioritizes the fossil fuel industry over the health and safety of Portlanders.

I want:

- 1 – A full ban on new bulk terminals
- 2 – Stronger limitations on expansions at existing facilities
- 3 – Provisions to require existing fossil fuel terminals to make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River.

These changes are crucial because of the urgent threat that fossil fuel terminals pose to both the environment and public safety. Presently, the discussion draft allows new bulk terminals to be built and allows existing facilities to expand, which will grow our carbon footprint past the point of being able to meet our local and state carbon reduction goals. Further, transporting and storing fossil fuels are never safe, with risks ranging from oil train

derailments and pipeline spills to storage tank explosions and tank destabilization from earthquakes. The City of Portland needs to hold these industries accountable for improving their safety without promising them more room to grow. We need to shrink fossil fuel use, not grow it!

It is vital that the city strengthen the current draft of the fossil fuel code to reflect the intent of the original resolution that myself and thousands of other Portlanders supported last year.

Sincerely,
Joanie Levine

Name: bruce bauer

Email: bbauer1942@yahoo.com

Comment:

Do not ban fossil fuels for us, but do ban fossil fuels for our children, grandchildren and all generations that come after us because fossil fuel damage our young people's lungs and is a major contributor to climate change. What are you going to tell your grandchildren in 20 years?

Name: Jeff Stookey

Email: jstookey108@gmail.com

Comment:

We must keep fossil fuels in the ground.
We currently have all the scientific and technical knowledge and the physical resources to get all of the energy we need from renewables, according to Mark Z. Jacobson, Director of the Atmosphere/Energy Program and Professor of Civil and Environmental Engineering at Stanford University. Now add to that a new analysis, called "World Energy Revolution: A Sustainable World Energy Outlook 2015", produced by Greenpeace in collaboration with researchers from the German Aerospace Center, that says our world can make the switch to 100% renewable energy by 2050. Feed-in-tariffs—which have helped put Germany and Ontario, Canada at the forefront of the

transition to green energy—are promoted by Oregonians for Renewable Energy Progress (OREP) as an important policy tool to incentivize this transition.

America's path to prosperity lies in a rapid switch-over to abundant, homegrown, renewable energy to power our homes, businesses, and vehicles—NOT in facilitating extracting and exporting of dirty, polluting coal, oil, and gas, which represents a retreat from the 21st Century economy. Renewable energy already employs 2.7 million workers (more than the fossil fuel industry) and studies have shown that green energy will continue to create far more jobs than the fossil fuel industries. [see: Sizing the Clean Economy, A National and Regional Green Jobs Assessment by the Metropolitan Policy Program at the Brookings Institute, 2011. Also, One Million Climate Jobs, 3rd Edition, 2014, edited by Jonathan Neale, published by the Campaign Against Climate Change] A U.S.-led, green, industrial revolution will move our economy forward, create millions of new jobs, and help ensure a livable planet for future generations.

Name: Alex Johnson

Email: ajohnson0@gmail.com

Comment:

Dear Bureau of Planning and Sustainability,

Although I have been very excited by the passage of the ban on new fossil fuel terminals, I am now concerned that the actual bill does not go as far as I was led to believe it would. The world is at a critical turning point, and if we are lucky, we can stop this devastating climate change before it's too late. Please do what's inevitable and ban ALL new bulk fossil fuel terminals. If they aren't banned today, they will certainly be banned in 40 years once our air is toxic and our oceans are empty.

Name: Kenneth Deveney

Email: kenndev@yahoo.com

Comment:

The Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments discussion draft

has fallen far short of the 2015 Fossil Fuel Resolution that was passed last year. Where the resolution was bold, visionary, and historic, this discussion draft is short-sighted and prioritizes the fossil fuel industry over the health and safety of Portlanders.

I want:

- 1 – A full ban on new bulk terminals
- 2 – Stronger limitations on expansions at existing facilities
- 3 – Provisions to require existing fossil fuel terminals to make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River.

Presently, the discussion draft allows new bulk terminals to be built and allows existing facilities to expand, which will grow our carbon footprint past the point of being able to meet our local and state carbon reduction goals. We need to shrink fossil fuel use, not grow it!

Name: Gabrielle Karras

Email: gk2829@hotmail.com

Comment:

I am a resident of Portland, Oregon. I live in the Woodstock neighborhood. I urge the city government to pass a full ban on new bulk fossil fuel terminals, set limitations on expansions at existing facilities, and require provisions that would upgrade existing fossil fuel terminals for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River. I have not forgotten what happened in Mosier. We need to ban fossil fuel not encourage its use. Our children will thank us for doing the right thing and not caving into the fossil fuel industry.

Name: Ruth Harmon

Email: Tashamail@comcast.net

Comment:

I am writing to urge to you adopt regulations that would result in a full ban of new fossil fuel infrastructure, in particular oil, gas,

and coal terminals in Portland.

The Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments discussion draft has fallen far short of the 2015 Fossil Fuel Resolution that was passed last year. Where the resolution was bold, visionary, and historic, this discussion draft is short-sighted and prioritizes the fossil fuel industry over the health and safety of Portlanders.

I am asking, specifically, that you include in those regulations:

- 1 – A full ban on new bulk terminals
- 2 – Stronger limitations on expansions at existing facilities
- 3 – Provisions to require existing fossil fuel terminals to make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River.

These changes are crucial because of the urgent threat that fossil fuel terminals pose to both the environment and public safety. Presently, the discussion draft allows new bulk terminals to be built and allows existing facilities to expand, which will grow our carbon footprint past the point of being able to meet our local and state carbon reduction goals. Further, transporting and storing fossil fuels are never safe, with risks ranging from oil train derailments and pipeline spills to storage tank explosions and tank destabilization from earthquakes. The City of Portland needs to hold these industries accountable for improving their safety without promising them more room to grow. For the sake all of humanity, and many of the species with whom we share this world, we need to take responsible action to reduce our harmful impacts on climate. It's time to shrink fossil fuel use, not grow it!

It is vital that the city strengthen the current draft of the fossil fuel code to reflect the intent of the original resolution that myself and thousands of other Portlanders supported last year.

Sincerely,

Name: Linny Stovall

Email: linnystovall@me.com

Comment:

I urge you to create strong fossil fuel regs: no new bulk fuel terminals, limit expansions at current facilities, and require seismic upgrades.

Name: Ellen Yarnell

Email: yarnell3439@comcast.net

Comment:

I want a full ban of new fossil fuel infrastructure, in particular oil, gas, and coal terminals in Portland and I thought we had this settled with the 2015 Fossil Fuel Resolution that passed last year.

Whereas the resolution was bold, visionary, and historic, the current Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments discussion draft is short-sighted and prioritizes the fossil fuel industry over the health and safety of Portlanders. This is not acceptable!

I want:

- 1 – A full ban on new bulk terminals
- 2 – Stronger limitations on expansions at existing facilities
- 3 – Provisions to require existing fossil fuel terminals to make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River.

These changes are crucial because of the urgent threat that fossil fuel terminals pose to both the environment and public safety. Presently, the discussion draft allows new bulk terminals to be built and allows existing facilities to expand, which will grow our carbon footprint past the point of being able to meet our local and state carbon reduction goals. Further, transporting and storing fossil fuels are never safe, with risks ranging from oil train derailments and pipeline spills to storage tank explosions and tank destabilization from earthquakes. The City of Portland needs to hold these industries accountable for improving their safety without promising them more room to grow. We need to shrink fossil fuel use, not grow it!

It is vital that the city strengthen the current draft of the fossil fuel code to reflect the intent of the original resolution that myself and thousands of other Portlanders supported last year.

Sincerely,

Name: Sheila Golden

Email: goldensheila6@gmail.com

Comment:

I want a full ban of new fossil fuel infrastructure, in particular oil, gas, and coal terminals in Portland.

The Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments discussion draft has fallen far short of the 2015 Fossil Fuel Resolution that was passed last year. Where the resolution was bold, visionary, and historic, this discussion draft is short-sighted and prioritizes the fossil fuel industry over the health and safety of Portlanders.

I want:

- 1 – A full ban on new bulk terminals
- 2 – Stronger limitations on expansions at existing facilities
- 3 – Provisions to require existing fossil fuel terminals to make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River.

These changes are crucial because of the urgent threat that fossil fuel terminals pose to both the environment and public safety. Presently, the discussion draft allows new bulk terminals to be built and allows existing facilities to expand, which will grow our carbon footprint past the point of being able to meet our local and state carbon reduction goals. Further, transporting and storing fossil fuels are never safe, with risks ranging from oil train derailments and pipeline spills to storage tank explosions and tank destabilization from earthquakes. The City of Portland needs to hold these industries accountable for improving their safety without promising them more room to grow. We need to shrink fossil fuel use, not grow it!

It is vital that the city strengthen the current draft of the fossil fuel code to reflect the intent of the original resolution that myself and thousands of other Portlanders supported last year.

Sincerely,

Sheila Golden

Name: James Rankin

Email: jim.rankin@oregonstate.edu

Comment:

Please make sure Portland & the Columbia & Willamette Rivers are not thoroughfares for transportation of fossil fuels, & that no new fossil fuel terminals are built, nor should existing ones be expanded but instead phased out.

Name: Barbara Scharff

Email: buscharff@msn.com

Comment:

Dear City of Portland planners: I just finished reading "This Changes Everything" by Naomi Klein. We cannot keep making expanded growth and mechanistic views of our planet the core principles of our economic policy. Our Earth is in peril. Please strengthen the current draft of the fossil fuel code to honor the original resolution. We need to make Portland a resilient, life-giving place. Thank you, Barbara Scharff

Name: Karen Deora

Email: karendeora@gmail.com

Comment:

I want a full ban of new fossil fuel infrastructure, in particular oil, gas, and coal terminals in Portland.

The Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments discussion draft has fallen far short of the 2015 Fossil Fuel Resolution that was passed last year. Where the resolution was bold, visionary, and historic, this discussion draft is short-sighted and prioritizes the fossil fuel industry over the health and safety of Portlanders.

I want:

- 1 – A full ban on new bulk terminals
- 2 – Stronger limitations on expansions at existing facilities
- 3 – Provisions to require existing fossil fuel terminals to make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety

of neighboring communities and the Willamette River.

These changes are crucial because of the urgent threat that fossil fuel terminals pose to both the environment and public safety. Presently, the discussion draft allows new bulk terminals to be built and allows existing facilities to expand, which will grow our carbon footprint past the point of being able to meet our local and state carbon reduction goals. Further, transporting and storing fossil fuels are never safe, with risks ranging from oil train derailments and pipeline spills to storage tank explosions and tank destabilization from earthquakes. The City of Portland needs to hold these industries accountable for improving their safety without promising them more room to grow. We need to shrink fossil fuel use, not grow it!

It is vital that the city strengthen the current draft of the fossil fuel code to reflect the intent of the original resolution that myself and thousands of other Portlanders supported last year.

Sincerely,

Name: Kate Miller

Email: millerjkate@gmail.com

Comment:

I want a full ban of new fossil fuel infrastructure, in particular oil, gas, and coal terminals in Portland.

The Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments discussion draft has fallen far short of the 2015 Fossil Fuel Resolution that was passed last year. Where the resolution was bold, visionary, and historic, this discussion draft is short-sighted and prioritizes the fossil fuel industry over the health and safety of Portlanders.

I want:

- 1 – A full ban on new bulk terminals
- 2 – Stronger limitations on expansions at existing facilities
- 3 – Provisions to require existing fossil fuel terminals to make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River.

These changes are crucial because of the urgent threat that

fossil fuel terminals pose to both the environment and public safety. Presently, the discussion draft allows new bulk terminals to be built and allows existing facilities to expand, which will grow our carbon footprint past the point of being able to meet our local and state carbon reduction goals. Further, transporting and storing fossil fuels are never safe, with risks ranging from oil train derailments and pipeline spills to storage tank explosions and tank destabilization from earthquakes. The City of Portland needs to hold these industries accountable for improving their safety without promising them more room to grow. We need to shrink fossil fuel use, not grow it!

It is vital that the city strengthen the current draft of the fossil fuel code to reflect the intent of the original resolution that myself and thousands of other Portlanders supported last year.

Sincerely,

Name: Randall Webb

Email: lawrkw@comcast.net

Comment:

We need a comprehensive solution to the fossil fuel issue in Oregon and in Portland. At the least this solution should include:

1. A full ban on new bulk fossil fuel terminals
2. Limitations on expansions at existing facilities
3. Provisions that require existing fossil fuel terminals make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River

Thank you for your consideration. Keep it in the ground!

Randall Webb

Name: Dean Sigler

Email: muchcatfur@comcast.net

Comment:

We already have oil terminals along the Willamette and Columbia Rivers, stupid locations in the first place. The storage

and refinery areas near the Willamette are on literally shaky ground, with liquefaction a near sure thing with any significant earthquake. We should be dismantling and moving these to safer ground or decommissioning them entirely.

We must not expand any existing site, but put our efforts into replacing the fossil fuels with clean alternatives.

We cannot allow Big Oil to jeopardize our land and rivers for short-term gain. Our air, land, water and populace all stand to lose.

Name: Evan Heidtmann

Email: evan.heidtmann@gmail.com

Comment:

The Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments discussion draft has fallen far short of the 2015 Fossil Fuel Resolution that was passed last year. Where the resolution was bold, visionary, and historic, this discussion draft is short-sighted and prioritizes the fossil fuel industry over the health and safety of Portlanders.

I want:

- 1 – A full ban on new bulk terminals
- 2 – Stronger limitations on expansions at existing facilities
- 3 – Provisions to require existing fossil fuel terminals to make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River.

These changes are crucial because of the urgent threat that fossil fuel terminals pose to both the environment and public safety. Presently, the discussion draft allows new bulk terminals to be built and allows existing facilities to expand, which will grow our carbon footprint past the point of being able to meet our local and state carbon reduction goals. Further, transporting and storing fossil fuels are never safe, with risks ranging from oil train derailments and pipeline spills to storage tank explosions and tank destabilization from earthquakes. The City of Portland needs to hold these industries accountable for improving their safety without promising them more room to grow. We need to shrink fossil fuel use, not grow it!

It is vital that the city strengthen the current draft of the fossil fuel code to reflect the intent of the original resolution that myself and thousands of other Portlanders supported last year.

Sincerely,

Name: Ben Poe

Email: benpoe17@hotmail.com

Comment:

I am writing to urge you to adhere to the spirit and principles of the November resolution and enforce a full ban on new bulk fossil fuel terminals and strict limits on any expansion of existing facilities.

Ben Poe
St Johns

Name: Adriana Voss-Andreae

Email: vossandreae@gmail.com

Comment:

The Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments discussion draft falls far short of the 2015 Fossil Fuel Resolution that was passed unanimously by City Council last year. The resolution was bold and historic, while the discussion draft prioritizes the fossil fuel industry over the health and safety of Portlanders.

I urge you to include strong draft language that places:

- A full ban on new bulk terminals
- Stronger limitations on expansions at existing facilities
- Provisions to require existing fossil fuel terminals to make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River.

It is unacceptable that the current discussion draft allows new bulk terminals to be built and allows existing facilities to expand, which will only serve to increase our carbon footprint past the point of being able to meet our local and state carbon reduction goals and increases our City's risk for pipeline spills, storage

tank explosions and seismic tank destabilization.

It is critical that the city strengthen the current draft of the fossil fuel code to reflect the intent of the original resolution that thousands of us supported last year.

Sincerely,

Dr. Voss-Andreae

Name: Eric John Kaiser

Email: ericjohn@ericjohnkaiser.com

Comment:

I want a full ban of new fossil fuel infrastructure, in particular oil, gas, and coal terminals in Portland.

The Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments discussion draft has fallen far short of the 2015 Fossil Fuel Resolution that was passed last year. Where the resolution was bold, visionary, and historic, this discussion draft is short-sighted and prioritizes the fossil fuel industry over the health and safety of Portlanders.

I want:

- 1 – A full ban on new bulk terminals
- 2 – Stronger limitations on expansions at existing facilities
- 3 – Provisions to require existing fossil fuel terminals to make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River.

These changes are crucial because of the urgent threat that fossil fuel terminals pose to both the environment and public safety. Presently, the discussion draft allows new bulk terminals to be built and allows existing facilities to expand, which will grow our carbon footprint past the point of being able to meet our local and state carbon reduction goals. Further, transporting and storing fossil fuels are never safe, with risks ranging from oil train derailments and pipeline spills to storage tank explosions and tank destabilization from earthquakes. The City of Portland needs to hold these industries accountable for improving their safety without promising them more room to grow. We need to shrink fossil fuel use, not grow it!

It is vital that the city strengthen the current draft of the fossil fuel code to reflect the intent of the original resolution that myself and thousands of other Portlanders supported last year.

Sincerely,

Name: John Simonsen

Email: john.simonsen.mail@gmail.com

Comment:

The Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments discussion draft has fallen far short of the 2015 Fossil Fuel Resolution that was passed last year. Where the resolution was bold, visionary, and historic, this discussion draft is short-sighted and prioritizes the fossil fuel industry over the health and safety of Portlanders and all Oregonians.

I want a full ban of new fossil fuel infrastructure, in particular oil, gas, and coal terminals in Portland.

Name: Michael Mitchell

Email: iceink1@gmail.com

Comment:

I want a full ban of new fossil fuel infrastructure, in particular oil, gas, and coal terminals in Portland.

The Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments discussion draft has fallen far short of the 2015 Fossil Fuel Resolution that was passed last year. Where the resolution was bold, visionary, and historic, this discussion draft is short-sighted and prioritizes the fossil fuel industry over the health and safety of Portlanders.

I want:

- 1 – A full ban on new bulk terminals
- 2 – Stronger limitations on expansions at existing facilities
- 3 – Provisions to require existing fossil fuel terminals to make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River.

These changes are crucial because of the urgent threat that

fossil fuel terminals pose to both the environment and public safety. Presently, the discussion draft allows new bulk terminals to be built and allows existing facilities to expand, which will grow our carbon footprint past the point of being able to meet our local and state carbon reduction goals. Further, transporting and storing fossil fuels are never safe, with risks ranging from oil train derailments and pipeline spills to storage tank explosions and tank destabilization from earthquakes. The City of Portland needs to hold these industries accountable for improving their safety without promising them more room to grow. We need to shrink fossil fuel use, not grow it!

It is vital that the city strengthen the current draft of the fossil fuel code to reflect the intent of the original resolution that myself and thousands of other Portlanders supported last year.

Sincerely,

Patricia and Michael Mitchell

Name: Michael Carter

Email: incandescent.dusk@gmail.com

Comment:

I want a full ban of new fossil fuel infrastructure, in particular oil, gas, and coal terminals in Portland.

The Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments discussion draft has fallen far short of the 2015 Fossil Fuel Resolution that was passed last year. Where the resolution was bold, visionary, and historic, this discussion draft is short-sighted and prioritizes the fossil fuel industry over the health and safety of Portlanders.

I want:

- 1 – A full ban on new bulk terminals
- 2 – Stronger limitations on expansions at existing facilities
- 3 – Provisions to require existing fossil fuel terminals to make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River.

These changes are crucial because of the urgent threat that fossil fuel terminals pose to both the environment and public

safety. Presently, the discussion draft allows new bulk terminals to be built and allows existing facilities to expand, which will grow our carbon footprint past the point of being able to meet our local and state carbon reduction goals. Further, transporting and storing fossil fuels are never safe, with risks ranging from oil train derailments and pipeline spills to storage tank explosions and tank destabilization from earthquakes. The City of Portland needs to hold these industries accountable for improving their safety without promising them more room to grow. We need to shrink fossil fuel use, not grow it!

It is vital that the city strengthen the current draft of the fossil fuel code to reflect the intent of the original resolution that myself and thousands of other Portlanders supported last year.

Sincerely,

Michael Carter

Name: Eliot Cole

Email: eliot.cole1@gmail.com

Comment:

I want a full ban of new fossil fuel infrastructure, in particular oil, gas, and coal terminals in Portland.

The Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments discussion draft has fallen far short of the 2015 Fossil Fuel Resolution that was passed last year. Where the resolution was bold, visionary, and historic, this discussion draft is short-sighted and prioritizes the fossil fuel industry over the health and safety of Portlanders.

I want:

- 1 – A full ban on new bulk terminals
- 2 – Stronger limitations on expansions at existing facilities
- 3 – Provisions to require existing fossil fuel terminals to make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River.

These changes are crucial because of the urgent threat that fossil fuel terminals pose to both the environment and public safety. Presently, the discussion draft allows new bulk terminals

to be built and allows existing facilities to expand, which will grow our carbon footprint past the point of being able to meet our local and state carbon reduction goals. Further, transporting and storing fossil fuels are never safe, with risks ranging from oil train derailments and pipeline spills to storage tank explosions and tank destabilization from earthquakes. The City of Portland needs to hold these industries accountable for improving their safety without promising them more room to grow. We need to shrink fossil fuel use, not grow it!

It is vital that the city strengthen the current draft of the fossil fuel code to reflect the intent of the original resolution that myself and thousands of other Portlanders supported last year.

Sincerely,

Name: Craig Perry

Email: theangrytoy@hotmail.com

Comment:

Don't backtrack on what COULD be historic legislation on fossil fuel infrastructure. Make sure there is a full ban on new bulk fossil fuel terminals, limitations on expansions at existing facilities, and provisions that require existing fossil fuel terminals make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River

Name: Steven Schafer

Email: steven.schafer@gmail.com

Comment:

Come on City of Portland. We ask you to do the right thing and be an example to the rest of the world. No new or expanded fossil fuel terminals.

Name: Che Lowenstein

Email: ctlowenstein@comcast.net

Comment:

When you bait and switch, you lose trust and loyalty forever.
Why would you want to do that? Just keep your promises. Is that too much to ask?

Name: Duncan Baruch

Email: c25cle@gmail.com

Comment:

The initial draft of proposed code changes that would translate the City's sweeping resolution into binding land use rules is inadequate.

I ask you to fully resist the fossil fuel industries' attempts to water down the City's strong, far-sighted resolution.

- Ban all new fossil fuel terminals.
- No expansion of existing facilities.
- Require existing fossil fuel terminals to make upgrades for seismic resilience for the protection and health of neighboring communities and the Willamette River.

Stand fast. Yield nothing to the Industry.

Thank you.

Name: Maggie Mackenzie

Email: maggiemackenzie@gmail.com

Comment:

I want the a full ban on new bulk fossil fuel terminals. Limitations on expansions at existing facilities.

Provisions that require existing fossil fuel terminals make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River. Do not weaken the original wording. Global warning threatens life on earth. Be bold. Resisted corporate pressure. Be an example.

Name: Arthur Bright

Email: arthurabright@gmail.com

Comment:

I want a full ban of new fossil fuel infrastructure, in particular oil, gas, and coal terminals in Portland.

The Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments discussion draft has fallen far short of the 2015 Fossil Fuel Resolution that was passed last year. Where the resolution was bold, visionary, and historic, this discussion draft is short-sighted and prioritizes the fossil fuel industry over the health and safety of Portlanders.

I want:

- 1 – A full ban on new bulk terminals
- 2 – Stronger limitations on expansions at existing facilities
- 3 – Provisions to require existing fossil fuel terminals to make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River.

These changes are crucial because of the urgent threat that fossil fuel terminals pose to both the environment and public safety. Presently, the discussion draft allows new bulk terminals to be built and allows existing facilities to expand, which will grow our carbon footprint past the point of being able to meet our local and state carbon reduction goals. Further, transporting and storing fossil fuels are never safe, with risks ranging from oil train derailments and pipeline spills to storage tank explosions and tank destabilization from earthquakes. The City of Portland needs to hold these industries accountable for improving their safety without promising them more room to grow. We need to shrink fossil fuel use, not grow it!

It is vital that the city strengthen the current draft of the fossil fuel code to reflect the intent of the original resolution that myself and thousands of other Portlanders supported last year.

Sincerely,

Name: Tricia Zigrang

Email: tazigrang@yahoo.com

Comment:

We have to quit using fossil fuels if we want to avoid extinction. We need to do everything we can to discourage the consumption of fossil fuels. DO NOT ADD NEW BULK FOSSIL FUEL TERMINALS!!! DO NOT ALLOW EXPANSION AT EXISTING FACILITIES!!! REQUIRE SEISMIC UPGRADES OF EXISTING TERMINALS!!! Avoid being corrupted by the morally bankrupt fossil fuel industry.

Name: Lin Reedijk

Email: greengirlpdx@yahoo.com

Comment:

I want a full ban of new fossil fuel infrastructure, in particular oil, gas, and coal terminals in Portland.

The Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments discussion draft has fallen far short of the 2015 Fossil Fuel Resolution that was passed last year. Where the resolution was bold, visionary, and historic, this discussion draft is short-sighted and prioritizes the fossil fuel industry over the health and safety of Portlanders.

I want:

- 1 – A full ban on new bulk terminals
- 2 – Stronger limitations on expansions at existing facilities
- 3 – Provisions to require existing fossil fuel terminals to make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River.

Fossil fuel terminals pose a serious threat to the environment and to public safety. These changes to the policy are crucial to protect our communities and our natural surroundings. Presently, the discussion draft allows new bulk terminals to be built and allows existing facilities to expand, which will grow our carbon footprint past the point of being able to meet our local and state carbon reduction goals. Further, transporting and storing fossil fuels are never safe, with risks ranging from oil train derailments and pipeline spills to storage tank explosions and tank destabilization from earthquakes. The City of Portland needs to hold these industries accountable for improving their safety

without promising them more room to grow. We need to shrink fossil fuel use, not grow it!

It is vital that the city strengthen the current draft of the fossil fuel code to reflect the intent of the original resolution that myself and thousands of other Portlanders supported last year.

Sincerely,

Lin Reedijk

Name: Betty and Edgard Abadia

Email: amarone3@gmail.com

Comment:

Let's clean up the old terminals and secure them against seismic damage as First Priority! The Columbia River Gorge accident is merely a taste of what could occur, and we should take it as a viable warning: No new oil terminals! Thank you.

Name: G. Gibson

Email: mistergibson@gmail.com

Comment:

I want a full ban of new fossil fuel infrastructure, in particular oil, gas, and coal terminals in Portland.

The Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments discussion draft has fallen far short of the 2015 Fossil Fuel Resolution that was passed last year. Where the resolution was bold, visionary, and historic, this discussion draft is short-sighted and prioritizes the fossil fuel industry over the health and safety of Portlanders.

I want:

- 1 – A full ban on new bulk terminals
- 2 – Stronger limitations on expansions at existing facilities
- 3 – Provisions to require existing fossil fuel terminals to make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River.

These changes are crucial because of the urgent threat that

fossil fuel terminals pose to both the environment and public safety. Presently, the discussion draft allows new bulk terminals to be built and allows existing facilities to expand, which will grow our carbon footprint past the point of being able to meet our local and state carbon reduction goals. Further, transporting and storing fossil fuels are never safe, with risks ranging from oil train derailments and pipeline spills to storage tank explosions and tank destabilization from earthquakes. The City of Portland needs to hold these industries accountable for improving their safety without promising them more room to grow. We need to shrink fossil fuel use, not grow it!

It is vital that the city strengthen the current draft of the fossil fuel code to reflect the intent of the original resolution that myself and thousands of other Portlanders supported last year.

Sincerely,

Name: Heather Davis

Email: heatherannedavis@hotmail.com

Comment:

Ban all fossil fuels coming or going from our ports and in the city

Name: Wendy Bartlett

Email: wendyvw74@yahoo.com

Comment:

Fossil fuels are dirty, unsafe and unhealthy when extracted, and fatally toxic upon transporting by rail. The record speaks for itself: Keep It In The Ground!

Name: Tom Lichatowich

Email: tjlich@yahoo.com

Comment:

Dear Mr Mayor and Council,

What is going on in the City? While the previous Mayor and Council were basking in the admiration of the public in finally passing a good sense, people oriented resolution, the corporate powers were obviously working behind the scenes to erode that move.

If this move is a mark of the new Mayor and council to pander to corporate fossil fuel interests, he is in for a long term fight for every inch he expects to make in promulgating his nefarious agenda.

I want a full ban on fossil fuel terminals, strict people approved expansion to existing facilities and people monitored surveys of existing facilities with regard to adequate seismic preparedness as well as general protection of the environment from spills and other known health and safety related results of this industry.

Name: Kyle Collins

Email: kalecollins85@gmail.com

Comment:

I want a full ban of new fossil fuel infrastructure, in particular oil, gas, and coal terminals in Portland.

The Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments discussion draft has fallen far short of the 2015 Fossil Fuel Resolution that was passed last year. Where the resolution was bold, visionary, and historic, this discussion draft is short-sighted and prioritizes the fossil fuel industry over the health and safety of Portlanders.

I want:

- 1 – A full ban on new bulk terminals
- 2 – Stronger limitations on expansions at existing facilities
- 3 – Provisions to require existing fossil fuel terminals to make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River.

These changes are crucial because of the urgent threat that fossil fuel terminals pose to both the environment and public safety. Presently, the discussion draft allows new bulk terminals to be built and allows existing facilities to expand, which will grow our carbon footprint past the point of being able to meet our local

and state carbon reduction goals. Further, transporting and storing fossil fuels are never safe, with risks ranging from oil train derailments and pipeline spills to storage tank explosions and tank destabilization from earthquakes. The City of Portland needs to hold these industries accountable for improving their safety without promising them more room to grow. We need to shrink fossil fuel use, not grow it!

It is vital that the city strengthen the current draft of the fossil fuel code to reflect the intent of the original resolution that myself and thousands of other Portlanders supported last year.

Sincerely,

Name: Christine Yun

Email: cypdx@gmail.com

Comment:

When City Council voted to oppose expansion of infrastructure used for the manufacture/transport/distribution of fossil fuels, I understood this to mean:

A full ban on new bulk fossil fuel terminals

Limitations on expansions at existing facilities

Provisions that require existing fossil fuel terminals make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River

It appears that the proposed draft code changes fall far short of the above goals. Please reconsider and be forward-thinking in promoting action that addresses the causes of climate change.

Name: Andrea LePain

Email: aclepain@gmail.com

Comment:

Hello.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this important issue.

In addition to asking for no further fossil fuel infrastructure to be

built and of no expansion of existing infrastructure, I would also ask for the existing infrastructure to be upgraded asap.

I live directly across from the tank farms just north of the St John's bridge. It's obvious that there is a great risk, in fact an almost certain probability, that those tanks will be impacted by an earthquake.

What will happen to the river?

What will happen to this community?

What will happen to the railway and Hwy 30 if there are fires, explosions, and/or leaks?

Is any agency or company or lawmaker looking in to this issue?

Is there any substantive action on it?

Obviously if something happens (and it eventually will) it will be a very big deal even if everyone who can do something has, at this time, their heads somewhere besides between their shoulders.

Name: Lenny Dee

Email: ldeepdx@yahoo.com

Comment:

Portland needs to set an example for the rest of the country by banning new and expansion of old fossil fuel facilities

Name: Basey Klopp

Email: bklopp@gmail.com

Comment:

If Oregon is serious about its future, it must address climate change seriously beginning with: a full ban on new bulk fossil fuel terminals, limitations on expansions at existing facilities and provisions that require existing fossil fuel terminals make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of all Oregonians and our rivers.

Name: Randy Harrison

Email: ran6711@comcast.net

Comment:

I want a full ban of new fossil fuel infrastructure, in particular oil, gas, and coal terminals in Portland.

The Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments discussion draft has fallen far short of the 2015 Fossil Fuel Resolution that was passed last year. Where the resolution was bold, visionary, and historic, this discussion draft is short-sighted and prioritizes the fossil fuel industry over the health and safety of Portlanders.

I want:

- 1 – A full ban on new bulk terminals
- 2 – Stronger limitations on expansions at existing facilities
- 3 – Provisions to require existing fossil fuel terminals to make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River.

These changes are crucial because of the urgent threat that fossil fuel terminals pose to both the environment and public safety. Presently, the discussion draft allows new bulk terminals to be built and allows existing facilities to expand, which will grow our carbon footprint past the point of being able to meet our local and state carbon reduction goals. Further, transporting and storing fossil fuels are never safe, with risks ranging from oil train derailments and pipeline spills to storage tank explosions and tank destabilization from earthquakes. The City of Portland needs to hold these industries accountable for improving their safety without promising them more room to grow. We need to shrink fossil fuel use, not grow it!

It is vital that the city strengthen the current draft of the fossil fuel code to reflect the intent of the original resolution that myself and thousands of other Portlanders supported last year.

Sincerely,

Name: Cassie McDonald

Email: cassie.a.mcdonald@gmail.com

Comment:

To Whom it May Concern;

I want a full ban of new fossil fuel infrastructure, in particular oil, gas, and coal terminals in Portland.

The Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments discussion draft has fallen far short of the 2015 Fossil Fuel Resolution that was passed last year. Where the resolution was bold, visionary, and historic, this discussion draft is short-sighted and prioritizes the fossil fuel industry over the health and safety of Portlanders.

I demand:

- 1 – A full ban on new bulk terminals
- 2 – Stronger limitations on expansions at existing facilities
- 3 – Provisions to require existing fossil fuel terminals to make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River.

These changes are crucial because of the urgent threat that fossil fuel terminals pose to both the environment and public safety. Presently, the discussion draft allows new bulk terminals to be built and allows existing facilities to expand, which will grow our carbon footprint past the point of being able to meet our local and state carbon reduction goals. Further, transporting and storing fossil fuels are never safe, with risks ranging from oil train derailments and pipeline spills to storage tank explosions and tank destabilization from earthquakes. The City of Portland needs to hold these industries accountable for improving their safety without promising them more room to grow. We need to shrink fossil fuel use, not grow it!

It is vital that the city strengthen the current draft of the fossil fuel code to reflect the intent of the original resolution that myself and thousands of other Portlanders supported last year.

Sincerely,

Cassie McDonald

Name: Avery Leinova

Email: averyleinova@gmail.com

Comment:

What we need to keep the city of Portland safe: A full ban on

new bulk fossil fuel terminals. Limitations on expansions at existing facilities.

Provisions that require existing fossil fuel terminals make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River

Name: Robert Frost

Email: bobfrost34@gmail.com

Comment:

I want Portland's proposed ban on all new fossil fuel to be carried out as prommissed!

Name: Jonathan Strunin

Email: struninja@gmail.com

Comment:

Dear Commission Members

Thank you to you and your staff for working every day as best you can to promote the safe, equitable, and sustainable growth of our city. There is no doubt this is not an easy job, and I appreciate your efforts to balance the many needs of the City.

I'm writing in regards to Resolution 37168, which contains the mandate for City Council to "actively oppose expansion of infrastructure whose primary purpose is transporting or storing fossil fuels in or through Portland or adjacent waterways." This is clear and unequivocal language that sets a clear mandate for a ban on all new or expanded facilities related to fossil fuels (with exemptions acknowledged).

Yet the proposed Zoning Code Amendments clearly state the goals of the amendments as to "allow for new terminal development large enough to accommodate forecast growth" and to "Allow existing terminals to expand, particularly to support seismic upgrades and access to greener fuels."

Simply put, limiting the size of new developments and creating a

broad allowance for existing terminals to expand, even for safety reasons, does not match the intent of the Resolution. The direction is to “actively oppose” new or expanded infrastructure, not balance the needs of the regional market or allow for expansions. For this reason, there should be NO new infrastructure allowed.

Additionally, while the Resolution exempts expansion for safety reasons, the burden of proof for need of expansion should be on the national and multinational corporations who run these facilities, and a broad allowance for expansion should not be codified, rather it should be applied for on an individual basis. The motive for allowing for broadly allowing expansion to increase their revenue so they can cover safety costs is not a valid one, as oil and gas companies are the richest organizations in the world. Allowing them concessions to make more money so they can have safer facilities is an incredibly distorted way of viewing protecting the public, as it is these companies that are in fact endangering the public through their industry.

Most importantly, the scope of Zoning Changes completely misses the point of the Resolution and of the climate challenge we face. Simply put, according to most experts, emissions need to STOP by 2017 to avoid 2 degrees in warming, already nearly catastrophic levels. The impacts will be felt locally as climate refugees flock to the Pacific Northwest, causing severe stress on the city in every imaginable capacity. Already the Northwest is in the midst of a major drought, one that will only get worse as warming increases. This is the time for governments, including the City of Portland to step up and ACTIVELY OPPOSE any new fossil fuel infrastructure development, not to allow for limited growth or expansion.

Now is NOT time for a balanced approach, rather a clear moral and ethical stance. Think of it this way: What world do you want YOUR children to live in? If you knew they were at risk (which they are), what choice would you make? Allow more fossil fuels, or set clear laws that give us a chance for a better future, or at least a less worse one?

As a Portland resident I cannot understand why the City would justify allowing for expanded fossil fuel growth in our borders

when it has passed resolutions barring it from doing so and fully recognizes the impact that climate change will have locally, regionally and worldwide.

Please ensure that the zoning code update clearly meets the intent of the resolution and **ACTIVELY OPPOSES** all new and expanded infrastructure development. As citizens, we will be sure to be present to ensure the City keeps its word.

Thank you very much,
Jonathan Strunin, Sabin Community Resident

Name: Barbara Bernstein

Email: mediapro@spiritone.com

Comment:

I want a full ban of new fossil fuel infrastructure, in particular oil, gas, and coal terminals in Portland, as was promised in the resolution voted on by the Portland City Council last fall.

The Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments discussion draft has fallen far short of the 2015 Fossil Fuel Resolution that was passed last year. Where the resolution was bold, visionary, and historic, this discussion draft is short-sighted and prioritizes the fossil fuel industry over the health and safety of Portlanders.

I want:

- 1 – A full ban on new bulk terminals
- 2 – Stronger limitations on expansions at existing facilities
- 3 – Provisions to require existing fossil fuel terminals to make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River.

These changes are crucial because of the urgent threat that fossil fuel terminals pose to both the environment and public safety. Presently, the discussion draft allows new bulk terminals to be built and allows existing facilities to expand, which will grow our carbon footprint past the point of being able to meet our local and state carbon reduction goals. Further, transporting and storing fossil fuels are never safe, with risks ranging from oil train derailments and pipeline spills to storage tank explosions and

tank destabilization from earthquakes. The City of Portland needs to hold these industries accountable for improving their safety without promising them more room to grow. We need to shrink fossil fuel use, not grow it!

It is vital that the city strengthen the current draft of the fossil fuel code to reflect the intent of the original resolution that myself and thousands of other Portlanders supported last year.

Sincerely,

Name: Karen Alexander-Brown
Email: kjalexander@hotmail.com

Comment:

Our survival is at stake! There is no time to back paddle. Here is what Oregonians want:

1. A full ban on new bulk fossil fuel terminals
2. Limitations on expansions at existing facilities
3. Provisions that require existing fossil fuel terminals make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River

Thank you,
Karen Alexander-Brown

Name: Anthony Bencivengo
Email: bencivea@reed.edu

Comment:

The current draft proposal for proposed code changes would fail miserably at making our city's historic anti-fossil fuels resolution a reality. The proposal must be changed to include:

1. A full ban on new bulk fossil fuel terminals
2. Limitations on expansions at existing facilities
3. Provisions that require existing fossil fuel terminals make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety

of neighboring communities and the Willamette River

Our city has an opportunity to display unprecedented leadership in support of climate justice. If we take it, we can say we were phasing out fossil fuel transport infrastructure “before it was cool”. Why would we ever pass up a chance to do that?

Thank you for your time,

Name: Rachel Lileet-Foley

Email: lileet@spiritone.com

Comment:

I want a full ban of new fossil fuel infrastructure, in particular oil, gas, and coal terminals in Portland.

The Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments discussion draft has fallen far short of the 2015 Fossil Fuel Resolution that was passed last year. Where the resolution was bold, visionary, and historic, this discussion draft is short-sighted and prioritizes the fossil fuel industry over the health and safety of Portlanders.

I want:

- 1 – A full ban on new bulk terminals
- 2 – I actually want an absolute ban on expansion of current facilities. We must stop the use of fossil fuels in its tracks and we must do so NOW!
- 3 – Provisions to require existing fossil fuel terminals to make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River.

These changes are crucial because of the urgent threat that fossil fuel terminals pose to both the environment and public safety. Presently, the discussion draft allows new bulk terminals to be built and allows existing facilities to expand, which will grow our carbon footprint past the point of being able to meet our local and state carbon reduction goals. Further, transporting and storing fossil fuels are never safe, with risks ranging from oil train derailments and pipeline spills to storage tank explosions and tank destabilization from earthquakes. The City of Portland needs to hold these industries accountable for improving their safety without promising them more room to grow. We need to

shrink fossil fuel use, not grow it!

It is vital that the city strengthen the current draft of the fossil fuel code to reflect the intent of the original resolution that myself and thousands of other Portlanders supported last year.

Sincerely,

Name: Sarah Prowell

Email: sprowell@ix.netcom.com

Comment:

I want a full ban of new fossil fuel infrastructure, in particular oil, gas, and coal terminals in Portland.

The Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments discussion draft has fallen far short of the 2015 Fossil Fuel Resolution that was passed last year. Where the resolution was bold, visionary, and historic, this discussion draft is short-sighted and prioritizes the fossil fuel industry over the health and safety of Portlanders.

I want:

- 1 – A full ban on new bulk terminals
- 2 – Stronger limitations on expansions at existing facilities
- 3 – Provisions to require existing fossil fuel terminals to make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River.

These changes are crucial because of the urgent threat that fossil fuel terminals pose to both the environment and public safety. Presently, the discussion draft allows new bulk terminals to be built and allows existing facilities to expand, which will grow our carbon footprint past the point of being able to meet our local and state carbon reduction goals. Further, transporting and storing fossil fuels are never safe, with risks ranging from oil train derailments and pipeline spills to storage tank explosions and tank destabilization from earthquakes. The City of Portland needs to hold these industries accountable for improving their safety without promising them more room to grow. We need to shrink fossil fuel use, not grow it!

It is vital that the city strengthen the current draft of the fossil fuel

code to reflect the intent of the original resolution that myself and thousands of other Portlanders supported last year.

Sincerely,

Name: Alicia Cohen

Email: cohenalicia@gmail.com

Comment:

Recently, Portland passed a resolution to “actively oppose expansion of infrastructure whose primary purpose is transporting or storing fossil fuels in or through Portland or adjacent waterways.”

The elected representatives of Portland spoke on behalf of the majority of the city’s residents in this historic vote. Mayor Hales said about the vote, “The reality is, while climate change could be even more catastrophic, it’s not too late to do something about it. If we’re aggressive about carbon reduction, we can, city by city, make a difference.”

Now, City staff have offered an initial draft of proposed code changes that would translate the City’s sweeping resolution into binding land use rules. Instead of a ban these rules would do the exact opposite: allow new bulk fossil fuel terminals to be built and allow already existing ones to expand.

As a citizen of Portland I call for the rules to be rewritten to reflect precisely the intents and commitments of our City, our mayor, and our citizens. We must have a Fossil Fuel Policy that does indeed “actively oppose expansion of infrastructure whose primary purpose is transporting or storing fossil fuels in or through Portland or adjacent waterways.”.

Name: Phillip Norman

Email: pjnorman@gmail.com

Comment:

Ban new and expanded terminals. End all existing allowance of public facilities for export of fossil fuel, too. The greedy investors

must lose.

Name: Rachel `Hampton

Email: hamptonrachel2002@outlook.com

Comment:

It is vital that the city strengthen the current draft of the fossil fuel code to reflect the intent of the original resolution that myself and thousands of other Portlanders supported last year.

I believe we should have:

- A full ban on new bulk fossil fuel terminals
- Limitations on expansions at existing facilities
- Provisions that require existing fossil fuel terminals make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River

Sincerely,

Name: Nancy Newell

Email: ogec2@hotmail.com

Comment:

Animal species are disappearing massively by the week all over Earth.

Our spoiled habits have to shift off oil and coal as major climate change sources and it is more doable than ever to start the shift now.

Name: Dean Loros

Email: autocrosser1@gmail.com

Comment:

I want a full ban of new fossil fuel infrastructure, in particular oil, gas, and coal terminals in Portland.

The Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments discussion draft has fallen far short of the 2015 Fossil Fuel Resolution that was passed last year. Where the resolution was bold, visionary, and historic, this discussion draft is short-sighted and prioritizes the

fossil fuel industry over the health and safety of Portlanders.

I want:

- 1 – A full ban on new bulk terminals
- 2 – Stronger limitations on expansions at existing facilities
- 3 – Provisions to require existing fossil fuel terminals to make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River.

These changes are crucial because of the urgent threat that fossil fuel terminals pose to both the environment and public safety. Presently, the discussion draft allows new bulk terminals to be built and allows existing facilities to expand, which will grow our carbon footprint past the point of being able to meet our local and state carbon reduction goals. Further, transporting and storing fossil fuels are never safe, with risks ranging from oil train derailments and pipeline spills to storage tank explosions and tank destabilization from earthquakes. The City of Portland needs to hold these industries accountable for improving their safety without promising them more room to grow. We need to shrink fossil fuel use, not grow it!

It is vital that the city strengthen the current draft of the fossil fuel code to reflect the intent of the original resolution that myself and thousands of other Portlanders supported last year.

Sincerely,

Name: Jan MacWilliams

Email: janmacwill@gmail.com

Comment:

The people of this community want and need:

- A full ban on new bulk fossil fuel terminals because we need to leave fossil fuels in the ground! The transportation used to move fossil fuels around is just too dangerous and dirty. And the effects of the continued and increased use of fossil fuels is too damaging to our environment.
- Limitations on expansions at existing facilities for all the reasons mentioned above and,
- Provisions that require existing fossil fuel terminals make

upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River

Name: Kathleen Hoffman
Email: kacky@dsl-only.net

Comment:

I want a full ban on all new fossil fuel infrastructure in Portland.

Name: Molly Jackson-Nielsen
Email: m.l.j.nielsen@gmail.com

Comment:

To the Bureau of Planning and Sustainability,

The 2015 Fossil Fuel Resolution passed in the City of Portland gives us the opportunity to chart a path for the rest of the United States. The resolution is brave – exactly what we need to be as a city and as a nation, in order to have any significant reduction in fossil fuel development and usage. The Zoning Amendments discussion draft, on the other hand, is weak. It upholds the status quo, supports fossil fuel development, and prevents Portland from setting a historic path for our country.

Portland is a special city. We aren't perfect, and we fall behind others in the areas of affordable housing, racial equality, and more. We do, however, have a strong history of environmental planning and legislation. We are the city most prepared, out of all the cities in the United States, to enact necessary fossil fuel restrictions and transitions to green energy. If the bold and visionary intents of the resolution are not upheld, then we fail in our duty as an American city – and as Americans – to make our country the best that it can be.

To reiterate: It is our duty as an American city to enact legislation that raises the United States up as a leader of the free world. But our country cannot be a leader while we are dependent, and plan for dependence, upon fossil fuels. Portland must set an example of independence from fossil fuels for the rest of the

country. As a city, we are brave, forward-thinking, and unique. If we do not leverage these qualities for fossil fuel independence, we fail.

Please do not let fear motivate your decisions. Rather, let your decisions be motivated by hope, solidarity, bravery, and a sense of responsibility. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,
Molly Jackson-Nielsen

Name: Tim Holbert
Email: timholbert2002@yahoo.com

Comment:

To The Members of the Bureau of Planning and Sustainability,

I want a full ban of new fossil fuel infrastructure, in particular oil, gas, and coal terminals in Portland! More than "I" want — our world needs it. We are in absolutely dire circumstances and Portland needs to lead the way, not traipse along with half-hearted measures a day late.

The Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments discussion draft has fallen far short of the 2015 Fossil Fuel Resolution that was passed last year.

We ALL need:

- 1 – A full ban on new bulk terminals
- 2 – Stronger limitations on expansions at existing facilities
- 3 – Provisions to require existing fossil fuel terminals to make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River.

sincerely,
Tim Holbert
Resident SE Portland 97215

Name: Dan Jaffee
Email: dsjaffee@gmail.com

Comment:

Dear BPS Staff:

I was very happy last year when Mayor Hales directed BPS to develop a policy that would ban new fossil fuel facilities in Portland, gaining us major media coverage and bolstering Portland's reputation as a climate leader.

However, I was shocked to learn yesterday that instead, BPS has proposed a policy that dramatically *weakens* this directive, and would permit new and expanded fossil fuel facilities within the city of Portland. This is completely contrary to the will of the tens of thousands of citizens who commented, protested, and spoke at hearings on this issue.

I insist that this proposed policy be dramatically strengthened to include the following:

- A complete prohibition on new bulk fossil fuel terminals.
- Strict limitations on expansions of existing facilities
- A requirement that existing fossil fuel terminals (such as the NW Energy Hub) make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of Portland residents and the Willamette River.

Portland residents were told clearly in 2015 that Mayor Hales had committed to permitting NO new fossil fuel terminals in the city of Portland. Portland received international media attention for this decision. The proposed policy is Orwellian: the exact opposite of what it was intended to be. If you do not dramatically strengthen this proposal, you will be violating those promises, endangering local health and safety as well as the climate, and jeopardizing Portland's national leadership on this issue.

Thank you for your attention.

Name: Mona McNeil

Email: monarandy@monarandy.com

Comment:

Don't allow new fossil fuel terminals, or expansion of existing ones. We need to protect our environment and our people from the health and safety risks they create.

Name: Lisa cohn

Email: cohnmerk@comcast.net

Comment:

Hi!

I'm very proud to live in the city of Portland because of it's green policies.

Let's keep it up with a full ban on new and expanded fossil fuel terminals, plus

limitations on expansions at existing facilities.

I also support provisions calling for existing fossil fuel terminals to make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River.

Very important!

Thanks!

Lisa Cohn

www.LisaECohn.com

Name: Rose Christopherson

Email: rose.christopherson@comcast.net

Comment:

Please don't allow any new fossil fuel terminals, and please don't let existing terminals be expanded. They should be allowed to quietly die of old age. We need to keep all these fossil fuels in the ground.

Also, remember that we are in a quake zone, and that all fuel terminals should be made resilient to quakes.

Name: Kelly McConnell

Email: prvt@2ezgroup.com

Comment:

I want a full ban of new fossil fuel infrastructure, in particular oil, gas, and coal terminals in Portland.

The Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments discussion draft has fallen far short of the 2015 Fossil Fuel Resolution that was passed last year. This discussion draft is short-sighted and prioritizes the fossil fuel industry over the health and safety of Portlanders and it is NOT what we want or what we already approved.

I want:

- 1 – A full ban on new bulk terminals
- 2 – Stronger limitations on expansions at existing facilities
- 3 – Provisions to require existing fossil fuel terminals to make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River.

These changes are crucial because of the urgent threat that fossil fuel terminals pose to both the environment and public safety.

The City of Portland needs to hold these industries accountable for improving their safety without promising them more room to grow. We need to shrink fossil fuel use, not grow it!

Name: John Kemmick

Email: jpkemmick@gmail.com

Comment:

I am writing in support of a full ban on fossil fuel terminals as well as no growth on existing terminals. If we are actually going to be leaders on combating climate change, this is the only option. Thank you.

Name: Michael Gaskill

Email: moneyglass@gmail.com

Comment:

The proposed fossil fuel infrastructure zoning amendments fall are antithetical to the spirit of the resolution that was passed last year. I Join with the community in demanding zoning amendments that reflect the actual intent of the 2015 Fossil Fuel

Resolution, including:

- 1 – A full ban on new bulk terminals
- 2 – Stronger limitations on expansions at existing facilities
- 3 – Provisions to require existing fossil fuel terminals to make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River.

I no longer live in Portland, but while I did I attended several BPS open house sessions on Portland's long term climate plan, and I helped organize to pass the 2015 Fossil Fuel Resolution. Stop watering down and sabotaging the work of thousands of your community members, and enact real and meaningful zoning amendments

Thank you

Name: Tom Kane

Email: tomkane13@gmail.com

Comment:

To the City of Portland's Bureau of Planning and Sustainability,

I am writing to express my dismay at the weak proposal being put forth on new fossil fuel infrastructure. In terms of the changing climate, the hour is late. There is no need for new fossil fuel infrastructure. More accurately we cannot afford to build any new fossil fuel infrastructure. We must not build any more fossil fuel infrastructure.

If it is jobs you want to create for the trades, there are jobs. Yes, green jobs for sure—retrofitting buildings for efficiency, wind turbines, solar water heaters and panels and more. And how about we look at our roads, our schools and crumbling bridges. Let's put people to work on these projects. We also have a seismic disaster coming our way and we can see how we need to upgrade our bridges, roads and our airport. These are jobs that are positive work for the long-term health of our communities.

If you must throw a bone to the fossil fuel companies, have them work on upgrading the seismic resilience of the terminals on the Willamette. This will protect the health and safety of the people

that live there. Furthermore, if they do the job well those facilities will be available for use after the big earthquake.

To conclude, fossil fuel infrastructure is hazardous to the immediate well being of people living near these projects and secondly, it is absolutely lethal to our future well being. No new fossil fuel infrastructure. No loop holes. No exceptions.

Name: Colleen McNally-Murphy
Email: cmcnallymurphy@gmail.com

Comment:

Dear City of Portland,

I am proud to live in a city that is on the forefront of environmental progress, and that has a forward thinking vision of the best way to create a livable environment. Portland has been coasting on the environmental innovations of two decades ago, and it is time for the next generation of environmental leadership. In this time of changing climate, oil train derailments, environmental devastation from extractive industries, Portland's next steps are clear.

I write to encourage the full ban on new bulk fossil fuel terminals, limitations on expansions at existing facilities, and provisions that require existing fossil fuel terminals to make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette and Columbia Rivers.

Sincerely,
Colleen McNally-Murphy

Name: Gene Stubbs
Email: stubbsgene@comcast.net

Comment:

1. I would request a full ban on new bulk fossil fuel terminals.
2. Also, limitations on expansions at existing facilities.
3. Provisions that require existing fossil fuel terminals make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety

of neighboring communities and the Willamette River.

4. We should be shifting away from fossil fuels toward renewal energy sources to protect our environment and protect us from climate change.

Name: Sharon Miller

Email: smilertoo@aol.com

Comment:

Dear Bureau Members,

I have reviewed the draft resolution and thank you for your time spent protecting Portlander's health and safety. I would, however, request that the following be added to the draft::

- 1 – A full ban on new bulk terminals
- 2 – Stronger limitations on expansions at existing facilities
- 3 – Provisions to require existing fossil fuel terminals to make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River.

These changes are crucial because of the urgent threat that fossil fuel terminals pose to both the environment and public safety. Presently, the discussion draft allows new bulk terminals to be built and allows existing facilities to expand, which will grow our carbon footprint past the point of being able to meet our local and state carbon reduction goals. Further, transporting and storing fossil fuels are never safe, with risks ranging from oil train derailments and pipeline spills to storage tank explosions and tank destabilization from earthquakes. The City of Portland needs to hold these industries accountable for improving their safety without promising them more room to grow. We need to shrink fossil fuel use, not grow it!

It is vital that the city strengthen the current draft of the fossil fuel code to reflect the intent of the original resolution that myself and thousands of other Portlanders supported last year.

Sincerely,

Sharon Miller

Name: JoAnne Eggers

Email: ejo.eggert@gmail.com

Comment:

I grew up in Portland tho I do not live there now.
However, Portland has this opportunity to be a leader while taking effective significant action on greenhouse gas emissions. Your methane and CO2 affect me and mine (in Ashland) affect you and the whole planet. Thus I am very interested and concerned.

Please institute the strongest possible ban on new fossil fuel facilities and require upgrades on existing facilities to protect the health and safety of us all. That also means no expansions.

Thank you.

Name: Rogue Robertson

Email: djwobli@gmail.com

Comment:

Yo, you know what to do 😊 Thanks

Name: Ed Momford

Email: edumom2012@gmail.com

Comment:

There needs to be a full ban on new bulk fossil fuel terminals in the Portland area, limitations on expansions at existing facilities and provisions that require existing fossil fuel terminals make seismic upgrades for resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River.

Name: lorraine foster

Email: lorraine@spiretech.com

Comment:

want a full ban of new fossil fuel infrastructure, in particular oil, gas, and coal terminals in Portland.

The Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments discussion draft has fallen far short of the 2015 Fossil Fuel Resolution that was passed last year. Where the resolution was bold, visionary, and historic, this discussion draft is short-sighted and prioritizes the fossil fuel industry over the health and safety of Portlanders.

I want:

- 1 – A full ban on new bulk terminals
- 2 – Stronger limitations on expansions at existing facilities
- 3 – Provisions to require existing fossil fuel terminals to make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River.

These changes are crucial because of the urgent threat that fossil fuel terminals pose to both the environment and public safety. Presently, the discussion draft allows new bulk terminals to be built and allows existing facilities to expand, which will grow our carbon footprint past the point of being able to meet our local and state carbon reduction goals. Further, transporting and storing fossil fuels are never safe, with risks ranging from oil train derailments and pipeline spills to storage tank explosions and tank destabilization from earthquakes. The City of Portland needs to hold these industries accountable for improving their safety without promising them more room to grow. We need to shrink fossil fuel use, not grow it!

It is vital that the city strengthen the current draft of the fossil fuel code to reflect the intent of the original resolution that myself and thousands of other Portlanders supported last year.

Sincerely,

Name: Philip Brunner
Email: pdbrunner@gmail.com

Comment:

I want a full ban of new fossil fuel infrastructure, in particular oil, gas, and coal terminals in Portland.

The Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments discussion draft has fallen far short of the 2015 Fossil Fuel Resolution that was passed last year. Where the resolution was bold, visionary, and

historic, this discussion draft is short-sighted and prioritizes the fossil fuel industry over the health and safety of Portlanders.

I want:

- 1 – A full ban on new bulk terminals
- 2 – Stronger limitations on expansions at existing facilities
- 3 – Provisions to require existing fossil fuel terminals to make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River.

These changes are crucial because of the urgent threat that fossil fuel terminals pose to both the environment and public safety. Presently, the discussion draft allows new bulk terminals to be built and allows existing facilities to expand, which will grow our carbon footprint past the point of being able to meet our local and state carbon reduction goals. Further, transporting and storing fossil fuels are never safe, with risks ranging from oil train derailments and pipeline spills to storage tank explosions and tank destabilization from earthquakes. The City of Portland needs to hold these industries accountable for improving their safety without promising them more room to grow. We need to shrink fossil fuel use, not grow it!

It is vital that the city strengthen the current draft of the fossil fuel code to reflect the intent of the original resolution that myself and thousands of other Portlanders supported last year.

Sincerely,
Philip Brunner

Name: Craig Heverly
Email: heverlyjc@hevanet.com

Comment:

Craig Heverly
3712 SE 9th Avenue
Portland, OR 97202

Name: Michael Litt
Email: littm10@comcast.net

Comment:

The Portland city council already voted to ban new and expanded fossil fuel terminals that might be used for export of these materials. Yet I understand that the draft plan for fossil fuel terminal zoning would not do this.

Climate change is already happening much more rapidly than predicted just 5-10 years ago, leading to record high temperatures in many parts of the world, increasing frequency of severe, multi-billion dollar storms and forest fires. this is no time to be facilitating the use of fossil fuels. Please honor the letter and spirit of the City council's resolution limiting fossil fuel transport through Portland.

Also, i am very worried about the high susceptibility of Portland's existing fossil fuel storage facilities to damage from a severe earthquake that is certain to come one of these days. High priority must be given to retrofitting these facilities to strengthen them seismically so that fuels will be available after a mega-quake to aid in our recovery.

Name: Mary Rose

Email: zambonirose@gmail.com

Comment:

I want a full ban of new fossil fuel infrastructure, in particular oil, gas, and coal terminals in Portland.

The Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments discussion draft has fallen far short of the 2015 Fossil Fuel Resolution that was passed last year. Where the resolution was bold, visionary, and historic, this discussion draft is short-sighted and prioritizes the fossil fuel industry over the health and safety of Portlanders.

I want:

- 1 – A full ban on new bulk terminals
- 2 – Stronger limitations on expansions at existing facilities
- 3 – Provisions to require existing fossil fuel terminals to make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River.

These changes are crucial because of the urgent threat that fossil fuel terminals pose to both the environment and public safety. Presently, the discussion draft allows new bulk terminals to be built and allows existing facilities to expand, which will grow our carbon footprint past the point of being able to meet our local and state carbon reduction goals. Further, transporting and storing fossil fuels are never safe, with risks ranging from oil train derailments and pipeline spills to storage tank explosions and tank destabilization from earthquakes. The City of Portland needs to hold these industries accountable for improving their safety without promising them more room to grow. We need to shrink fossil fuel use, not grow it!

It is vital that the city strengthen the current draft of the fossil fuel code to reflect the intent of the original resolution that myself and thousands of other Portlanders supported last year.

Sincerely,
Mary Rose

Name: Rebecca Smith
Email: biankasmith95@gmail.com

Comment:

In order to honor the Fossil Fuel Resolution, I urge you to ban new fossil fuel terminals and make it more difficult to expand existing terminals in Portland, two things that the current Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments do not support, but instead go against directly.

It is very important to combat climate change in this way by reducing our carbon footprint, as fossil fuels are extremely destructive and dangerous to transport. This danger was just seen in Mosier, a situation that could have been much worse, especially if the derailment had happened in the much-more populated Portland area. In addition, many of the fossil fuel terminals in the city are located in dangerous seismic zones that would make an inevitable earthquake much more of a dire situation than it otherwise would be.

By continuing the expansion of these terminals and the fossil fuel

transportation such expansions would require, the city would putting in danger its citizens lives, the environment in the terminal and transportation blast zones, and the greater global community.

The Fossil Fuel Resolution was an unprecedented and radical step in the right direction when it comes to reducing and eventually eradicating fossil fuels in our city! Let's continue to be leaders in the climate justice movement by respecting the resolution through improvements to the Fossil Fuel Zoning Amendments!

Sincerely,
Rebecca Smith

Name: Dale Allen
Email: dmallen174@gmail.com

Comment:

I was so excited by the city council's vote to ban new fossil fuel infrastructure. Imagine my dismay when I learned that the zoning draft not only allows for expansion of existing facilities but construction of new ones! I'm want our water and our children to be safe from this kind of threat.

Please re-write the zoning codes to reflect Portland residents' need for safety. Please do your part to require earthquake-proofing of existing facilities and a permanent freeze on new ones.

Thank you,
Dale Allen

Name: Jynx Houston
Email: jynxcdo@gmail.com

Comment:

THE CITY OF PORTLAND NEEDS A FULL BAN ON FOSSIL FUEL TERMINALS.

Name: Jack Blashchishen

Email: jacktherussiann@gmail.com

Comment:

It's important that in the future we do not expand the use of fossil fuels, but limit it and eventually make it obsolete. This legislation is a sneaky and dishonest way to go behind the backs of the people of Portland. Turn back, we know you can do what is right.

Name: sandy sanders

Email: sandyssanders@att.net

Comment:

Solar and wind is the future. Carbon and nukes are the deadly and consumptive past.

Name: Diane Jacobs

Email: dianejacobs2@icloud.com

Comment:

I want a full ban on new fossil fuel terminals and no expansions of existing facilities and provisions that require existing fossil fuel terminals to make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette river. I don't want any trains transporting crude oil passing through our communities. Everything in our power must be done to curb climate change.

Name: Susan DeFreitas Timmons

Email: susan.defreitas@gmail.com

Comment:

The time for a few weak regulations has passed. We are in a climate crisis that's worsening every day, and Portland has the opportunity to lead the way.

The vast majority of Portland residents want a full ban on new

bulk fossil fuel terminals—as well as on expansions of existing fossil fuel terminals, which could easily be just as damaging, not only to the environment, but to our local quality of life.

And while we're at it, let's address the looming threat to the health of our region and the ecosystems on which we depend by making those fossil fuel terminals we do have ready for the The Big One—because we know it's only a matter of time until a monster earthquake hits. Therefore, we want provisions in that require existing fossil fuel terminals to make upgrades for seismic resilience.

These are the baseline measures we need NOW to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River.

Thank you for taking bold action now, on behalf of both current residents and future generations.

Best wishes,

Susan DeFreitas Timmons

Name: Ann Littlewood

Email: annlittlewood3@gmail.com

Comment:

The proposed code changes must be in accordance with the November city council resolution to ban fossil fuel infrastructure expansion. I don't understand why the draft doesn't follow this. The last thing we need is new fossil fuel bulk terminals, expanded terminals, or to have existing terminals remain unsafe. Please rewrite the code changes to accommodate these requirements.

Name: Eve Heidtmann

Email: eveandden@gmail.com

Comment:

Please put teeth into the City's fossil fuel ban! We want

absolutely no new fossil fuel terminals and want existing ones made safe from earthquakes while preparing for an eventual shutdown. The Earth is telling us the age of fossil fuels is over. The sooner we get free of them, the better for our children and all species.

We are counting on you to move Portland into a sustainable future.

Thank you,
Eve Heidtmann

Name: Lyle Funderburk
Email: lyle.funderburk@gmail.com

Comment:

New bulk fossil fuel terminals must be banned. All existing terminals need to be upgraded to provide seismic resilience. Emphasis on energy needs to shift more strongly on conservation and wind and solar energy.

Name: Courtney Stanton
Email: courtney@superopinionated.com

Comment:

I want a full ban of new fossil fuel infrastructure, in particular oil, gas, and coal terminals in Portland!

The Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments discussion draft has fallen far short of the 2015 Fossil Fuel Resolution that was passed last year. Where the resolution was bold, visionary, and historic, this discussion draft is short-sighted and prioritizes the fossil fuel industry over the health and safety of Portlanders.

I want:

- 1 – A full ban on new bulk terminals
- 2 – Stronger limitations on expansions at existing facilities
- 3 – Provisions to require existing fossil fuel terminals to make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River.

These changes are crucial because of the urgent threat that fossil fuel terminals pose to both the environment and public safety. Presently, the discussion draft allows new bulk terminals to be built and allows existing facilities to expand, which will grow our carbon footprint past the point of being able to meet our local and state carbon reduction goals. Further, transporting and storing fossil fuels are never safe, with risks ranging from oil train derailments and pipeline spills to storage tank explosions and tank destabilization from earthquakes. The City of Portland needs to hold these industries accountable for improving their safety without promising them more room to grow. We need to shrink fossil fuel use, not grow it!

It is vital that the city strengthen the current draft of the fossil fuel code to reflect the intent of the original resolution that myself and thousands of other Portlanders supported last year.

Sincerely,
Courtney Stanton

Name: Helen Hays
Email: hlhays@ccgmail.net

Comment:

Please support a full ban on new bulk fossil fuel terminals. Oregonians coping with climate change cannot afford more carbon released when they have issues that need change. Expansions at existing facilities must also be eliminated. At the least, Portlanders require existing fossil fuel terminals make upgrades for seismic resilience for their safety.

Name: Benjamin Sibelman
Email: ben@bensibelman.info

Comment:

I was told that the city where I grew up had passed an ordinance that took a bold, exciting step toward meeting our state and national commitments to help stop runaway climate disruption. Please don't give up on that goal with an "implementation plan"

that effectively reverses the ban.

Name: P Horter

Email: lacengh@yahoo.com

Comment:

Dear Bureau Members,

I want a full ban—just like we were promised. Why is the City administration ignoring the City Council's resolution to “actively oppose expansion of infrastructure whose primary purpose is transporting or storing fossil fuels in or through Portland or adjacent waterways”?

We want and need:

- 1) A full ban on new bulk fossil fuel terminals.
- 2) No expansions at existing facilities.
- 3) Provisions that require existing fossil fuel terminals make upgrades for seismic resilience (to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River) within 3 years.

Please carry out the will of the people—as passed by the City Council.

Thank you.

Name: satya vayu

Email: satyavayu@gmail.com

Comment:

I want a full ban of new fossil fuel infrastructure, in particular oil, gas, and coal terminals in Portland.

The Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments discussion draft has fallen far short of the 2015 Fossil Fuel Resolution that was passed last year. It is crucial that we keep to that original vision, as the climate crisis is arguably the most urgent issue in the world today.

I demand:

- 1 – A full ban on new bulk terminals
- 2 – Stronger limitations on expansions at existing facilities
- 3 – Provisions to require existing fossil fuel terminals to make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River.

It is vital that the city strengthen the current draft of the fossil fuel code to reflect the intent of the original resolution that myself and thousands of other Portlanders supported last year.

Sincerely,
Satya Vayu

Name: Danny Hull

Email: voterspetition@voterspetitions.com

Comment:

Dear City of Portland Bureau of Planning and Sustainability:

In and through Portland and Portland-adjacent waterways, I want:

1. A full ban on new bulk fossil fuel terminals,
2. Limitations on expansions at existing fossil fuel facilities,
3. Provisions that require existing fossil fuel terminals make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River.

Thank you for your help with this petition of my voting.

Respectfully yours,

Danny Hull, B.S. Biology, A.A.S. Environmental Health
Technology

Name: Alison Charbonneau

Email: charbo5@comcast.net

Comment:

Please stay focused on an environmentally sustainable future. Let's make Portland the city that thought ahead, and found a creative and unique way to support our needs, if not always our wants. The nation looks to Portland for environmental solutions,

let's not become part of the status quo by supporting out dependency on fossil fuels which we can see are a limited resource and an unhealthy choice in the long run.

Name: Emma Rosen

Email: emmarosen22@gmail.com

Comment:

This fossil fuel discussion draft, as I'm sure you're aware, is not what I was promised when the Fossil Fuel Policy resolution was passed. I came out to support a BAN on fossil fuels, not weak regulations that benefit large industries over the climate and the safety of the city. While I'm sure the blame for this discussion draft does not land solely on you, please hear my concerns during this comment period and MAKE CHANGES

I want:

- 1) A full ban on new bulk fossil fuel terminals
- 2) Limitations on expansions at existing facilities
- 3) Provisions that require existing fossil fuel terminals make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River

Thank you so much for reading,

Name: Marian Carter

Email: carterwstcvn@aol.com

Comment:

I want a full ban of new fossil fuel infrastructure, in particular oil, gas, and coal terminals in Portland.

The Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments discussion draft has fallen far short of the 2015 Fossil Fuel Resolution that was passed last year. Where the resolution was bold, visionary, and historic, this discussion draft is short-sighted and prioritizes the fossil fuel industry over the health and safety of Portlanders.

I want:

- 1 – A full ban on new bulk terminals

- 2 – Stronger limitations on expansions at existing facilities
- 3 – Provisions to require existing fossil fuel terminals to make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River.

These changes are crucial because of the urgent threat that fossil fuel terminals pose to both the environment and public safety. Presently, the discussion draft allows new bulk terminals to be built and allows existing facilities to expand, which will grow our carbon footprint past the point of being able to meet our local and state carbon reduction goals. Further, transporting and storing fossil fuels are never safe, with risks ranging from oil train derailments and pipeline spills to storage tank explosions and tank destabilization from earthquakes. The City of Portland needs to hold these industries accountable for improving their safety without promising them more room to grow. We need to shrink fossil fuel use, not grow it!

It is vital that the city strengthen the current draft of the fossil fuel code to reflect the intent of the original resolution that I and thousands of other citizens supported last year.

Sincerely,

Name: David Kreisman

Email: dkreisman@hotmail.com

Comment:

I stand in solidarity with 350PDX in demanding that the No New Fossil Fuel Infrastructure bill passed by the Portland City Council be implemented AS INTENDED and NOT watered down. I want the regulations to enforce the following:

- 1) A full ban on new bulk fossil fuel terminals
- 2) Limitations on expansions at existing facilities
- 3) Provisions that require existing fossil fuel terminals make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River

Name: Ellen Bridges

Email: ellenwondermom@gmail.com

Comment:

1. A full ban on new bulk fossil fuel terminals
2. Limitations on expansions at existing facilities
3. Provisions that require existing fossil fuel terminals make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River

Name: Mary McGaughey

Email: marymcgaughey@yahoo.com

Comment:

We embrace clean energy, we are divesting in oil energy. Why would we invest in transporting oil energy around the planet? Why are we going backward at 'warp' speed into the dirty energy past? We must get the politics out of oil.....and keep the oil in the ground. For the Health & Safety of all life & Our Earth!

Name: Tom Bender

Email: tbender@nehalem.tel.net

Comment:

I want a full ban of new fossil fuel infrastructure, in particular oil, gas, and coal terminals in Portland.

The Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments discussion draft has fallen far short of the 2015 Fossil Fuel Resolution that was passed last year. Where the resolution was bold, visionary, and historic, this discussion draft is short-sighted and prioritizes the fossil fuel industry over the health and safety of Portlanders.

I want:

- 1 – A full ban on new bulk terminals
- 2 – Stronger limitations on expansions at existing facilities
- 3 – Provisions to require existing fossil fuel terminals to make upgrades for new seismic resilience standards (R 9.5 – 3000 year quake) to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River.

These changes are crucial because of the urgent threat that fossil fuel terminals pose to both the environment and public safety. Presently, the discussion draft allows new bulk terminals to be built and allows existing facilities to expand, which will grow our carbon footprint past the point of being able to meet our local and state carbon reduction goals. Further, transporting and storing fossil fuels are never safe, with risks ranging from oil train derailments and pipeline spills to storage tank explosions and tank destabilization from earthquakes. The City of Portland needs to hold these industries accountable for improving their safety without promising them more room to grow. We need to shrink fossil fuel use, not grow it!

It is vital that the city strengthen the current draft of the fossil fuel code to reflect the intent of the original resolution that myself and thousands of other Portlanders supported last year.

Sincerely,
Tom Bender

Name: Mikel Gisi

Email: loveofthewild@gmail.com

Comment:

All present focus and resources should be put toward transitioning this country into a new renewable-energy, and thus energy-independent state. New oil terminals do not serve that goal, but take time, focus, and resources, away from what our nation would benefit most from.

Name: Burton Merrill

Email: burtonmerrill936@yahoo.com

Comment:

If it is not renewable it is not worth our time. Save the planet for your children!

Name: Ryan Callahan

Email: Orevo94@yahoo.com

Comment:

If it is not renewable its not worth anyone's time or resources.
Lets take a step into the future.

Name: Elizabeth Brenner

Email: lpbrenner@earthlink.net

Comment:

In addition to a full ban on new bulk fossil fuel terminals, Limitat expansions at existing facilities, and require that existing fossil fuel terminals make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River

Name: Katy Wolf

Email: katyewolf@gmail.com

Comment:

Dear City of Portland Bureau of Planning Sustainability,
What the hell. Your "historic" draft resolution to ban fossil fuel terminals has somehow become one that would ALLOW new bulk fossil fuel terminals to be built and already-existing ones to EXPAND.

Portlanders want:

1. A full ban on new bulk fossil fuel terminals
 2. Limitations on expansions at existing facilities
 3. Provisions that require existing fossil fuel terminals make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River.
-

Name: martin frazier

Email: martinfrazier52@gmail.com

Comment:

A full ban on new bulk fossil fuel terminals

Limitations on expansions at existing facilities

Provisions that require existing fossil fuel terminals make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River

Name: John Vareldzis

Email: john.vareldzis@gmail.com

Comment:

I want a full ban of new fossil fuel infrastructure, in particular oil, gas, and coal terminals in Portland.

The Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments discussion draft has fallen far short of the 2015 Fossil Fuel Resolution that was passed last year. Where the resolution was bold, visionary, and historic, this discussion draft is short-sighted and prioritizes the fossil fuel industry over the health and safety of Portlanders.

I want:

- 1 – A full ban on new bulk terminals
- 2 – Stronger limitations on expansions at existing facilities
- 3 – Provisions to require existing fossil fuel terminals to make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River.

These changes are crucial because of the urgent threat that fossil fuel terminals pose to both the environment and public safety. Presently, the discussion draft allows new bulk terminals to be built and allows existing facilities to expand, which will grow our carbon footprint past the point of being able to meet our local and state carbon reduction goals. Further, transporting and storing fossil fuels are never safe, with risks ranging from oil train derailments and pipeline spills to storage tank explosions and tank destabilization from earthquakes. The City of Portland needs to hold these industries accountable for improving their safety without promising them more room to grow. We need to shrink fossil fuel use, not grow it!

It is vital that the city strengthen the current draft of the fossil fuel code to reflect the intent of the original resolution that myself and thousands of other Portlanders supported last year.

Sincerely,

John Vareldzis

Name: Jo Durand

Email: jodurand1947@gmail.com

Comment:

Please honor the intention of the fossil fuel policy resolution City Council passed by creating strong regulations that actually will accomplish what the resolution calls for including banning new bulk fossil fuel terminals, limit the expansion of existing facilities and require upgrades to existing facilities to prevent fuel spills in the event of an earthquake. Anything less will not protect our ground and waterways.

Name: Bill Michtom

Email: wdmichtom@gmail.com

Comment:

Portland, and Oregon & Washington in general, needs a full ban of new fossil fuel infrastructure, in particular oil, gas, and coal terminals in Portland.

The 2015 Fossil Fuel Resolution that was passed last year was bold, visionary, and historic. Unfortunately, the Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments discussion draft is none of those things. Instead of focusing on protecting the area and committing to ending fossil fuel use, it protects the fossil fuel industry.

We urgently need:

- 1 – A full ban on new bulk terminals
- 2 – Stronger limitations on expansions at existing facilities
- 3 – Provisions to require existing fossil fuel terminals to make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River.

These changes are crucial because the discussion draft allows new bulk terminals to be built as well as allowing existing facilities to expand, growing our carbon footprint and preventing

us from meeting our local and state carbon reduction goals.

Furthermore, as was recently emphasized in Mosier, transporting and storing fossil fuels is never safe. We face serious risks from oil train derailments, pipeline spills, storage tank explosions, and tank destabilization from earthquakes.

The City of Portland needs to hold fossil fuel industries accountable for improving their safety without promising them more room to grow. We need to shrink fossil fuel use, period!

It is vital that the city strengthen the current draft of the fossil fuel code to reflect the intent of the original resolution that I and thousands of other Portlanders supported last year.

Sincerely,

Name: John Nettleton

Email: jpn5710@yahoo.com

Comment:

I want a full ban of new fossil fuel infrastructure, in particular oil, gas, and coal terminals in Portland.

The Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments discussion draft has fallen far short of the 2015 Fossil Fuel Resolution that was passed last year. Where the resolution was bold, visionary, and historic, this discussion draft is short-sighted and prioritizes the fossil fuel industry over the health and safety of Portlanders.

I want:

- 1 – A full ban on new bulk terminals
- 2 – Stronger limitations on expansions at existing facilities
- 3 – Provisions to require existing fossil fuel terminals to make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River.

These changes are crucial because of the urgent threat that fossil fuel terminals pose to both the environment and public safety. Presently, the discussion draft allows new bulk terminals to be built and allows existing facilities to expand, which will grow our carbon footprint past the point of being able to meet our local and state carbon reduction goals. Further, transporting and

storing fossil fuels are never safe, with risks ranging from oil train derailments and pipeline spills to storage tank explosions and tank destabilization from earthquakes. The City of Portland needs to hold these industries accountable for improving their safety without promising them more room to grow. We need to shrink fossil fuel use, not grow it!

It is vital that the city strengthen the current draft of the fossil fuel code to reflect the intent of the original resolution that myself and thousands of other Portlanders supported last year.

Sincerely,

Name: Peter Miller

Email: peteskitoo@gmail.com

Comment:

I want a full ban of new fossil fuel infrastructure, in particular oil, gas, and coal terminals in Portland. I understand that much of the current infrastructure is built on seismically unstable landfill that will be very dangerous after an earthquake.

2015 Fossil Fuel Resolution that was passed last year is not matched by the Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments discussion draft which doesn't do enough. This discussion draft does not do enough and is not forward looking.

I want:

- 1 – A full ban on new bulk terminals
- 2 – Stronger limitations on expansions at existing facilities
- 3 – Provisions to require existing fossil fuel terminals to make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River.

These fossil fuel terminals are a threat to the environment and public safety.

We simply must continue to lower our carbon footprint AND guard against infrastructure that will fail in an earthquake. It is also clear that the transporting of these fuels is not safe.

Please strengthen the draft of the fossil fuel code to reflect the original resolution.

Sincerely,

Name: Diane Jacobs

Email: dianejacobs2@icloud.com

Comment:

I want a full ban on new bulk fossil fuel terminals. Limitations on expansions at existing facilities and provisions that require existing fossil fuel terminals make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River. We need to be proactive and make strong policies for the future generations.

Name: Susan Wechsler

Email: susanwechsler@comcast.net

Comment:

I support a full ban on new fossil fuel infrastructure, as well as limitations on expansions at existing facilities.

Additionally, existing terminals should be required to make the necessary seismic upgrades to withstand the inevitable Cascadia Subduction Quake, in order to protect the safety of the community, as well as the health of the Willamette River.

Name: Imogen Taylor

Email: imogen.taylor@gmail.com

Comment:

I write to express my strong support for a full ban of new fossil fuel infrastructure, in particular oil, gas, and coal terminals in Portland.

The Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments discussion draft has fallen far short of the 2015 Fossil Fuel Resolution that was passed last year. Where the resolution was bold, visionary, and historic, this discussion draft is short-sighted and prioritizes the fossil fuel industry over the health and safety of Portlanders.

I want:

- 1 – A full ban on new bulk terminals
- 2 – Stronger limitations on expansions at existing facilities
- 3 – Provisions to require existing fossil fuel terminals to make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River.

These changes are crucial because of the urgent threat that fossil fuel terminals pose to both the environment and public safety. Presently, the discussion draft allows new bulk terminals to be built and allows existing facilities to expand, which will grow our carbon footprint past the point of being able to meet our local and state carbon reduction goals. Further, transporting and storing fossil fuels are never safe, with risks ranging from oil train derailments and pipeline spills to storage tank explosions and tank destabilization from earthquakes. The City of Portland needs to hold these industries accountable for improving their safety without promising them more room to grow. We need to shrink fossil fuel use, not grow it!

It is vital that the city strengthen the current draft of the fossil fuel code to reflect the intent of the original resolution that myself and thousands of other Portlanders supported last year.

Sincerely,

Imogen Taylor

Name: Delia Tyrrell

Email: delialey@gmail.com

Comment:

Reading the Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments discussion draft was incredibly disappointing. After Portland was applauded by various news sources and environmental groups around the country for the resolution against new fossil fuel infrastructure, this discussion draft feels like a slap in the face. It is clear that fossil fuel companies have been pushing back against this progressive resolution, but we can't let them take away our future. These corporations are in it for the profit, but citizens of Portland need the ban for their lives and the lives of

their children.

There needs to be a full ban on all new fossil fuel infrastructure, and the only reason an existing fossil fuel terminal should be improved is for safety and seismic upgrades, not expansion.

Let's think about our planet and the safety of our friends, family, and all other human beings impacted by the dangerous extraction, transportation, and use of fossil fuels. Portland can be a leader in the fight for climate justice. Let's get this policy right.

Name: Ben Haney

Email: tirewrangler22@yahoo.com

Comment:

The city must embrace the future, and do all they can to leave fossil fuel dependency behind. Please shut down any and all attempts by the oil industry to poison our environment!

Name: Randall Nerwick

Email: rnerwick@gmail.com

Comment:

I support a full ban on new bulk fossil fuel terminals, plus limitations on expansions at existing facilities. I also support requiring existing fossil fuel terminals make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River.

Thanks for considering these points.

Name: Farrah Chaichi

Email: FNChaichi@gmail.com

Comment:

I want a full ban of new fossil fuel infrastructure, in particular oil, gas, and coal terminals in Portland.

The Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments discussion draft

has fallen far short of the 2015 Fossil Fuel Resolution that was passed last year. Where the resolution was bold, visionary, and historic, this discussion draft is short-sighted and prioritizes the fossil fuel industry over the health and safety of Portlanders.

I want:

- 1 – A full ban on new bulk terminals
- 2 – Stronger limitations on expansions at existing facilities
- 3 – Provisions to require existing fossil fuel terminals to make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River.

These changes are crucial because of the urgent threat that fossil fuel terminals pose to both the environment and public safety. Presently, the discussion draft allows new bulk terminals to be built and allows existing facilities to expand, which will grow our carbon footprint past the point of being able to meet our local and state carbon reduction goals. Further, transporting and storing fossil fuels are never safe, with risks ranging from oil train derailments and pipeline spills to storage tank explosions and tank destabilization from earthquakes. The City of Portland needs to hold these industries accountable for improving their safety without promising them more room to grow. We need to shrink fossil fuel use, not grow it!

It is vital that the city strengthen the current draft of the fossil fuel code to reflect the intent of the original resolution that myself and thousands of other Portlanders supported last year.

Sincerely,
Farrah

Name: Ineke Deruyter
Email: ideruyter@hotmail.com

Comment:

I am excited to participate in a new ban on fossil-fuel industries during this comment period. The regulations for this plan need to be **STRONG**, without loop-hole possibilities. They need to include:

FULL ban on new bulk fuel terminals.

STRONG limitations on expanding existing facilities.

Make seismic upgrades to protect existing facilities from earth quake damage, as well as neighboring communities and rivers.

Let's keep endorsing to keep fossil fuels in the ground! Thank you, Ineke Deruyter

Name: Lucy Wong

Email: lucymwong@gmail.com

Comment:

I am writing to say the Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments are not strict enough. We need to put a full ban on new bulk terminals, limit expansions at existing facilities and require existing fossil fuel terminals to make upgrades for seismic resilience. These changes are the least Portland should enact. If we truly want to be a green city and start working towards our plans to reduce carbon, we should stop all transportation and storage of fossil fuels. It isn't safe and supporting its use rather than working for renewables is short-sighted.

Thank you,

Name: Darvel Lloyd

Email: darvlloyd@gmail.com

Comment:

Yes, I recall you made a resolution to ban new bulk fossil fuel terminals. Now, STAND BY your resolution and vote to ban them! Also, don't allow any expansion of existing fossil fuel facilities. They are going to be out of commission anyway in the next big subduction earthquake. And they won't be needed as wind and solar (and other renewable sources) energy facilities expand. WE MUST TRANSITION TO CLEANER ENERGY, AS THE EARTH IS RAPIDLY WARMING BECAUSE OF FOSSIL FUEL EMISSIONS! You know this as well as I do. So now is the time to do something about this crisis—the greatest ever faced my humankind!

Name: Jeff Stookey

Email: jstookey108@gmail.com

Comment:

Portland and the Pacific Northwest needs a full ban on new bulk fossil fuel terminals, such as have been proposed at Clatskanie, OR, Vancouver and Kalama, WA, and elsewhere.

Portland and the Pacific Northwest needs limitations on expansions at existing fossil fuel facilities.

We need provisions that require existing fossil fuel terminals make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette and Columbia Rivers. The recent New Yorker article on "The Really Big One," July 20, 2015, by Kathryn Schulz, points out the dangers of existing tank farms located along the Willamette River north of Portland's downtown.

We must keep fossil fuels in the ground.

We currently have all the scientific and technical knowledge and the physical resources to get all of the energy we need from renewables, according to Mark Z. Jacobson, Director of the Atmosphere/Energy Program and Professor of Civil and Environmental Engineering at Stanford University. Now add to that a new analysis, called "World Energy Revolution: A Sustainable World Energy Outlook 2015", produced by Greenpeace in collaboration with researchers from the German Aerospace Center, that says our world can make the switch to 100% renewable energy by 2050. Feed-in-tariffs—which have helped put Germany and Ontario, Canada at the forefront of the transition to green energy—are promoted by Oregonians for Renewable Energy Progress (OREP) as an important policy tool to incentivize this transition.

America's path to prosperity lies in a rapid switch-over to abundant, homegrown, renewable energy to power our homes, businesses, and vehicles—NOT in facilitating extracting and exporting of dirty, polluting coal, oil, and gas, which represents a retreat from the 21st Century economy. Renewable energy already employs 2.7 million workers (more than the fossil fuel industry) and studies have shown that green energy will continue to create far more jobs than the fossil fuel industries. [see: Sizing the Clean Economy, A National and Regional Green Jobs

Assessment by the Metropolitan Policy Program at the Brookings Institute, 2011. Also, One Million Climate Jobs, 3rd Edition, 2014, edited by Jonathan Neale, published by the Campaign Against Climate Change] A U.S.-led, green, industrial revolution will move our economy forward, create millions of new jobs, and help ensure a livable planet for future generations.

Name: Dawn Smallman

Email: dawnsmallman@gmail.com

Comment:

Dear Bureau of Planning and Sustainability,

I'm writing to urge you to enact a full ban on the building of any new fossil fuel infrastructure – to include, but not be limited to – oil, gas and coal terminals in the City of Portland.

The 2015 Fossil Fuel Resolution was supported by our community and a unanimous City Council vote – it offered the public a real promise of a future free of these terminals, and their associated and deadly health and safety risks. It promised the citizens of Portland a dramatic shift in City policy – away from fossil fuel consumption and towards renewable energy sources. As your bureau is charged with sustainability as a mission, I'm deeply disappointed that you all aren't working to uphold and further the 2015 resolution – a forward-thinking accomplishment created by a collaborative process between the City Council and members of the public.

Instead the Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments discussion draft has weakened the advances that were contained in the 2015 Resolution. I object to the way that the draft favors industry profits over public health and safety. I object to you not honoring and upholding the work accomplished by City Council and the public. The City often asks for public input and engagement, and the 2015 Resolution was a great example of citizens and elected leaders collaborating on an outcome that was unanimous – both entities were pleased with the outcome. It was held up by the City as a successful example of these kinds of collaborations. Why would the Bureau of Planning and Sustainability want to

undo that accomplishment? Actions like that only sour citizens on engaging with the City and make your bureau look like you feel “know better” and that you don’t feel an obligation to properly represent the public and City Council in your work. It gives the impression that you really only represent industry and their deep pockets.

I’m writing to urge you to do the following things:

- 1 – A full ban on new bulk terminals
- 2 – Stronger limitations on expansions at existing facilities
- 3 – Provisions to require existing fossil fuel terminals to make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River.

These changes are crucial because of the urgent threat that fossil fuel terminals pose to both the environment and public safety. Presently, the discussion draft allows new bulk terminals to be built and allows existing facilities to expand, which will grow our carbon footprint past the point of being able to meet our local and state carbon reduction goals. Further, transporting and storing fossil fuels are never safe, with risks ranging from oil train derailments and pipeline spills to storage tank explosions and tank destabilization from earthquakes. The City of Portland needs to hold these industries accountable for improving their safety without promising them more room to grow.

If you are truly looking at sustainable energy solutions, you cannot be committing to expansion of fossil fuel use. If you are informed by science, you know that its far past the time for action to eliminate fossil fuel consumption, in order to address the very real current and future global peril induced by climate change.

It is vital that the city strengthen the current draft of the fossil fuel code to reflect the intent of the original 2015 resolution that myself and thousands of other Portlanders supported last year.

Sincerely,
Dawn Smallman
1148 SE 50th Ave.
Portland, OR 97215

Name: Suzan Ireland

Email: suzan_ireland@hotmail.com

Comment:

I am writing to express my support for strengthening the current draft of the fossil fuel code. I want a full ban on new bulk terminals, stronger limitations on expansions at existing facilities and provisions to require fossil fuel terminals to make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities.

Sincerely,

Name: Meg Ruby, M.S.

Email: megativity1@gmail.com

Comment:

Dear Members of the City of Portland's Bureau of Sustainability and Planning,

My name is Meg Ruby. I own and I live at 3218 SE. Tibbets Street, Apt. A, Portland, OR 97202.

I am writing today to urged the city to finalize into law the fossil fuel ban enacted by Portland city Council this last year.

To cut to the chase, the following constitute the essential next steps:

A full ban on new bulk fossil fuel terminals;
Limitations on expansions at existing facilities;
Provisions that require existing fossil fuel terminals make necessary upgrades for seismic resilience in order to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River.

Thank you for your continued leadership and specifically for leading the city through the completion of the final actions needed to codify into law the ban on fossil fuel exports.

Sincerely,

Meg Ruby, M.S.

Name: Andrew Butz

Email: anbunz@yahoo.com

Comment:

Please ban any new bulk fossil fuel terminals in Portland and limit expansions at existing facilities.

We also must require existing fossil fuel terminals to make seismic upgrades to protect the health & safety of neighboring communities as well as the Willamette River.

Name: Annie McCuen

Email: mccuen7691@comcast.net

Comment:

Please, do not allow permits for new oil, gas terminal. We must all thrive to go toward clean energy if we want to save the planet now and for future generations.

Thank you.

Name: Walt Mintkeski

Email: mintkeski@juno.com

Comment:

I am concerned that the Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments discussion draft does not meet the intent of the 2015 Fossil Fuel Resolution that The Portland City Council passed in November, 2015. Where the resolution was bold, visionary, and historic, this discussion draft is insufficient and prioritizes the fossil fuel industry over the health and safety of Portlanders.

The improvements in the amendments which I request are as follows:

Prohibit new bulk fossil fuel terminals. Portland's resolution was clear in its intent to "actively oppose expansion of infrastructure whose primary purpose is transporting or storing fossil fuels in or

through Portland or adjacent waterways.” The proposed code changes prohibit coal, and the amendments should do the same for other fossil fuels like oil, LNG, propane, and methanol.

Proposed code changes should restrict expansion of existing fossil fuel infrastructure as non conforming uses.

Non-conforming facilities (existing fossil fuel terminals) should be required to invest in seismic upgrades and to post fossil fuel risk bonds to protect public health and water quality. These requirements can be phased in over time to address the resolution’s mandate to address the public safety risks of fossil fuels.

Rather than accept the fossil fuel companies’ claim of increased demand, the code changes should plan for greener fuels and transition to 100% Renewable Energy in Portland by 2050.

Involve the Portland Bureau of Emergency Management in addressing the risks of fossil fuel storage and transport at existing facilities in Portland’s NW Industrial Area.

These changes are crucial because of the threats that fossil fuel terminals pose to both the environment and public safety. The discussion draft allows new bulk terminals to be built and allows existing facilities to expand, which will grow our carbon footprint past the point of being able to meet our local and state carbon reduction goals. Further, transporting and storing fossil fuels pose risks ranging from oil train derailments and pipeline spills to storage tank explosions and tank destabilization from earthquakes. The City of Portland needs to hold these industries accountable for improving their safety without promising them more room to grow. We need to shrink fossil fuel use, not grow it!

It is vital that the city strengthen the current draft of the fossil fuel code to reflect the intent of the original resolution which I and thousands of other Portlanders supported last year.

Thank you,

Name: Ben Basin

Email: ben_basin@yahoo.com

Comment:

I want a full ban of new fossil fuel infrastructure, in particular oil,

gas, and coal terminals in Portland.

The Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments discussion draft has fallen far short of the 2015 Fossil Fuel Resolution that was passed last year. Where the resolution was bold, visionary, and historic, this discussion draft is short-sighted and prioritizes the fossil fuel industry over the health and safety of Portlanders.

I want:

- 1 – A full ban on new bulk terminals
- 2 – Stronger limitations on expansions at existing facilities
- 3 – Provisions to require existing fossil fuel terminals to make upgrades for seismic resilience to protect the health and safety of neighboring communities and the Willamette River.

These changes are crucial because of the urgent threat that fossil fuel terminals pose to both the environment and public safety. Presently, the discussion draft allows new bulk terminals to be built and allows existing facilities to expand, which will grow our carbon footprint past the point of being able to meet our local and state carbon reduction goals. Further, transporting and storing fossil fuels are never safe, with risks ranging from oil train derailments and pipeline spills to storage tank explosions and tank destabilization from earthquakes. The City of Portland needs to hold these industries accountable for improving their safety without promising them more room to grow. We need to shrink fossil fuel use, not grow it!

It is vital that the city strengthen the current draft of the fossil fuel code to reflect the intent of the original resolution that myself and thousands of other Portlanders supported last year.

Sincerely,

Name: Judy Arielle Fiestal

Email: judyarielle@gmail.com

Comment:

I was disappointed to see how far short of the hopes of the supporters for the ban on new and expanded fossil fuel terminals ended up being. The draft is allowing for new bulk terminals to be built as well as present terminals be expanded. These

provisions go against the sentiment that our carbon reduction footprint be reduced, not expanded. I am asking for the policymakers to strengthen the fossil fuel code to truly reflect the sentiment that we need to look for a new direction in our energy policy and fossil fuel use needs to be reduced for the future of our environment. In addition the facilities that are already in place should be upgraded for seismic resilience.

Thank you-

Judy Arielle Fiestal

Name: Kim Finley

Email: kimfinli@gmail.com

Comment:

DON'T DILLUTE THE ORIGINAL POLICIES!

BAN ALL NEW FOSSIL FUEL TERMINALS!

I AM FOR LIMITATIONS ON EXPANSIONS AT ALL EXISTING FACILITIES!!

AND, PROVISIONS THAT REQUIRE EXISTING FOSSIL FUEL TERMINALS TO MAKE UPGRADES FOR SEISMIC RESILIENCE.

WE MUST PROTECT THE HEALTH AND SAFETY OF NEIGHBORING COMMUNITIES AND THE WILLAMETTE RIVER!!!

Name: Rich Peppers

Email: richpeppers@gmail.com

Comment:

Dear City Council and Mr Mayor –

As a Portland resident, I'm writing to express my concern over the draft of the fossil fuel code currently before you as an implementation tool for the resolution prohibiting any further fossil fuel infrastructure development in the City that you passed last year. By allowing an enormous expansion of some existing facilities and not rolling out plans to control fossil fuel