

ORDINANCE No. **188077** *As Amended*

Amend Title 33, Planning and Zoning to reduce regulatory restrictions and processes for short-term housing and mass shelters. (Ordinance; amend Title 33)

The City of Portland Ordains:

Section 1. The Council finds:

General Findings

1. The Portland Housing Bureau data indicates that on any given night, about 4,000 people sleep on the streets or in shelters across Portland, and the number of affordable housing units, mass shelter beds, and short-term housing options are far from meeting the demand for shelter.
2. A 2015 (most recent available) point-in-time count of homelessness in Portland and Multnomah County found that on any given night in Multnomah County, more than 1,887 people experience homelessness without access to even basic emergency shelter. They were found sleeping on the streets, or in parks, cars, abandoned buildings, or other places not meant for human habitation.
3. Areas throughout the City are experiencing large camps of homeless persons. These camps occur without appropriate sanitation facilities or proper trash receptacles. These conditions pose potential health and safety threats for both the campers and the surrounding communities.
4. Despite the increase in numbers of homeless, there have been few permanent mass shelters that have opened in recent years. Housing providers and non-profits cite the burdens of meeting land use requirements as a barrier for locating potential locations suitable for the siting of shelters and other transitional housing.
5. Land use development standards identified as constraints to addressing homelessness include limits on the number of beds allowed and the required separation between shelters. Shelters not meeting standards are required to go through a Type III Conditional Use review which can be time consuming and expensive.
6. On October 7, 2015, after hearing testimony concerning the number of persons and families experiencing homelessness citywide, the lack of affordable housing for rent or sale in the City, and the significant rent increases facing city residents, the City Council passed Ordinance #18370 to amend the city code to give the Council the authority to declare a housing emergency and also voted through Ordinance #18371 to formally declare a Housing Emergency in Portland.
7. In adopting the code amendments on October 7, 2015, the City Council expressly acknowledged both short-term and longer-term measures to address unmet housing needs and to modify or remove regulatory obstacles that prevent development of housing or shelter to meet these needs.
8. During 2015-2016, the City Council, in conjunction with Multnomah County opened up a series of temporary mass shelters to help alleviate the shortage.
9. On March 9, 2016, City Council passed Resolution #37196 which directed the Bureau of Planning and Sustainability (BPS) to develop a legislative proposal that amends Title 33 "to simplify

regulations, remove regulatory obstacles and expedite processes for land use reviews and permits for affordable housing projects, mass shelters and short-term housing". Exhibit A is the response to this directive.

10. During the first half of 2016, BPS staff reviewed current Title 33 regulations for shelters and housing and interviewed housing and shelter providers within the city to determine the types of regulatory obstacles. Staff also researched other city's approaches to mass shelters.
11. From July through September, 2016, BPS staff met with members of nine neighborhood coalitions and business groups to develop and discuss proposed zoning code amendments in response to the Council's May 2016 directive.
12. On August 4, 2016, notice of the proposed amendments was mailed to the Department of Land Conservation and Development in compliance with the post-acknowledgement review process required by OAR-660-18-020.
13. On August 10, 2016 notice of the proposed amendments and the September 13, 2016 Planning and Sustainability Commission (PSC) hearing was mailed to all neighborhood associations, neighborhood coalitions, and business associations in the city of Portland, as well as other interested persons, as required by ORS 227.186 and PCC 33.740.
14. At the September 13 PSC hearing, Commission members listened to the presentation, heard public testimony and directed staff to consider some amendments prior to their next meeting.
15. On September 27, the PSC voted to recommend to the City Council approval of the staff proposal with amendments. These amendments included some minor changes to the allowed number of mass shelter beds, parking requirements, and provisions for transitional housing. The PSC also removed the staff proposal providing a Type IIX option for Design and Historic reviews for affordable housing projects.
16. On October 13, 2016, notice of the November 2 City Council hearing was mailed to those who presented testimony orally or in writing to the PSC and provided a name and address, those who asked for notice, and other interested persons.
17. On November 2, 2016, the City Council held a hearing on the PSC's recommendation on the Mass Shelter and Housing Zoning Code Update, and took testimony.

Findings on Statewide Planning Goals

State planning statutes require cities to adopt and amend comprehensive plans and land use regulations in compliance with state land use goals. Only the state goals addressed below apply.

18. **Goal 1, Citizen Involvement**, requires provision of opportunities for citizens to be involved in all phases of the planning process. The preparation of these amendments has provided numerous opportunities for public involvement. The findings addressing Portland Comprehensive Goal 9, Citizen Involvement and its related policies and objectives also demonstrate consistency with this goal. The processes identified below comply with this goal in the following ways:

- a. Staff attended nine meetings held with different neighborhood coalition offices, business interest groups and the city-wide land use group between July and September to discuss code concepts and proposed regulations for mass shelters, short-term housing and the design or historic review of affordable housing projects.
 - b. The *Mass Shelters and Housing Zoning Code Update Proposed Draft* was made available to the public on August 9, 2016. The report was posted on the City's Planning and Sustainability website and mailed to those who requested a copy.
 - c. Notice of the Planning & Sustainability Hearing and staff open house was mailed on August 10, 2016 to over 400 recipients, including neighborhood associations, neighborhood coalitions, business associations, and other interested parties. The notice included information on acquiring the *Proposed Draft* and on the location and time of the open house.
 - d. Staff briefed the Historic Landmarks Commission and the Design Commission on August 15, 2016 and August 18, 2016 respectively on proposed changes affecting the design and historic review of affordable housing projects.
 - e. Staff held a project open house on August 31, 2016 at the main Multnomah County Library and provided information to attendees.
 - f. The PSC held a public hearing and took testimony on the Proposed Draft on September 13, 2016. The PSC held the record open until September 16, 2016 and directed staff to consider a list of amendments.
 - g. The PSC held a work session on September 27, 2016. After consideration of a range of amendments, they voted to make 4 amendments to the staff proposal and voted to forward the amended proposal to City Council for adoption.
 - h. The *Mass Shelters and Housing Zoning Code Update Recommended Draft* was made available to the public on October 13, 2016. The report was posted on the Bureau of Planning and Sustainability's website and mailed to those who requested a copy.
 - i. Notice of the November 2, 2016 City Council hearing on the Mass Shelters recommended draft was mailed on October 12, 2016 to all those who testified orally or in writing at the PSC hearing, to other persons who requested said notice, and to other interested persons.
19. **Goal 2, Land Use Planning**, requires the development of a process and policy framework that acts as a basis for all land use decisions and assures that decisions and actions are based on an understanding of the facts relevant to the decision. The amendments support this goal because development of the recommendations followed established city procedures in PCC Chapter 33.740 for legislative land use actions. These procedures ensure that proposed legislative land use decisions are based on factual information presented at a public hearing and consider the policy framework established by in the City of Portland's Comprehensive Plan. See also findings for Portland Comprehensive Plan Goal 1, Metropolitan Coordination.
20. **Goal 5, Open Space, Scenic and Historic Areas, and Natural Resources**, requires the conservation of open space and the protection of natural and scenic resources. The amendments are consistent with this goal because they do not specifically change policy or legislative intent related to open space, scenic, historic or natural resources.

21. **Goal 9, Economic Development**, requires provision of adequate opportunities for a variety of economic activities vital to public health, welfare, and prosperity. The amendments support this goal because they expand the situations where certain mass shelters and short-term housing may be located. Providing shelter for homeless individuals and families enables them to access services to find permanent housing and jobs. This flexibility is balanced with our existing land use regulations to ensure that larger shelters are not a drain on the livability and vitality of surrounding businesses and neighborhoods.

Within the EG1 and EG2 Employment zones, mass shelters will be allowed if they receive a conditional use land use approval. This is consistent with Goal 9 because it allows for a limited expansion of a certain type of community service use within Employment Zones which provides more flexibility in providing community services within these zone. However, any proposal for a mass shelter must demonstrate that it is compatible with the surrounding area has adequate services and is consistent with any area plans, as the code criteria require. Due to the requirement for a land use review, it is expected that only a few mass shelters will elect to locate within EG1 or EG2 zones, likely covering fewer than five acres city-wide.

22. **Goal 10, Housing**, requires provision for the housing needs of citizens of the state. The amendments support this goal because they allow greater flexibility to provide short-term housing and mass shelters within the city. These facilities are often the first step in providing the transition from homelessness to more permanent living arrangements. Providing shelter for these individuals and families enables them to access services to find permanent housing. Allowing certain institutional uses to also provide transitional housing on a temporary basis as an accessory use also enables these institutions to help households find permanent housing. See also findings for Portland Comprehensive Plan Goal 4, Housing and Metro Title 1.
23. **Goal 12, Transportation**, requires provision of a safe, convenient, and economic transportation system. The amendments are consistent with this goal in that they do not substantially change current transportation policy. One amendment amends the minimum parking regulations for certain mass shelters and short-term housing that are allowed by right. Facilities allowed by right would be in centrally located commercial and employment zones which generally have good access to transportation infrastructure and services. See also findings for Portland Comprehensive Plan Goal 6, Transportation, and its related policies and objectives.

The Oregon Transportation Planning Rule (TPR) was adopted in 1991 and amended in 1996 and 2005 to implement State Goal 12. The TPR requires certain findings if the proposed Zone Change, regulation will significantly affect an existing or planned transportation facility. This proposal will not have a significant effect on existing or planned transportation facilities because the amendments only affect mass shelters and short-term housing, a small subset of the community service use category, and does not result in significant changes in housing units or jobs.

Findings on Metro Urban Growth Management Functional Plan

The following elements of the Metro Urban Growth Management Functional Plan are relevant and applicable to the Mass Shelter and Housing amendments.

24. **Title 1, Housing Capacity**, ensures that each jurisdiction contribute its fair share to meeting the regional housing needs by requiring each city and county to maintain or increase its housing capacity. This requirement is to be generally implemented through citywide analysis based on calculated capacities from land use designations. The amendments are consistent with this title because they do

not alter the current housing capacity of the city. The amendments to provide more options for locating transitional housing and help people transitioning from homelessness to a more permanent living situation. See also findings under Comprehensive Plan Goals 4 (Housing).

25. **Title 4, Industrial and Other Employment Areas**, limits retail and office development in Employment and Industrial areas to those that are most likely to serve the needs of the area and not draw customers from a larger market area. The amendments are consistent with this title because they does not change retail or office allowances that are intended to be limited within Title 4 Employment Lands. The amendments provide an option for a mass shelter to be approved through a conditional use process in EG1 or EG2 zones. This process allows for a limited expansion of a certain type of community service use within Employment Zones which provides more flexibility in providing community services within these zones. However, any proposal for a mass shelter must make findings that it is compatible with the surrounding area has adequate services and is consistent with any area plans.
26. **Title 6, Centers, Corridors, Station Communities and Main Streets** ensures that these areas be recognized as the principal centers of urban life in the region. The amendments support this title because they provide more flexibility to locate mass shelters within the more intense commercial and employment zones in order to provide more short-term housing options in areas that have the required services and public infrastructure for people in need of these community services. This includes supportive community facilities and public transit, which are more prevalent in the city's centers and corridors.
27. **Title 7, Housing Choice**, calls for establishment of voluntary affordable housing production goals and reporting on progress toward increasing the supply of affordable housing. While none of the amendments directly impact housing choice or the supply of permanent affordable housing units, the amendments are consistent with this title because they allow more flexibility to provide mass shelters and short-term housing within certain zones. This increases the opportunity to provide basic shelter to people experiencing homelessness and can be the first step to securing more permanent housing for previously homeless individuals.
28. **Title 12, Protection of Residential Neighborhoods**, is intended to protect the region's existing residential neighborhoods from air and water pollution, noise and crime, and to provide adequate levels of public services. The amendments for mass shelters and short-term housing are consistent with this title, because they continue to require a discretionary conditional use review in most cases when a facility is proposed within a residential zone to ensure that issues related to livability and public services are addressed, while providing some additional flexibility in the siting of these facilities.

Findings on Portland's Comprehensive Plan Goals

This project is subject to the goals and objectives of the current Comprehensive Plan. The proposed Comprehensive Plan Update has not been approved and ratified and so is not reviewed within the context of this project. The following goals, policies, and objectives of the current Portland Comprehensive Plan are relevant and applicable to these amendments.

29. **Goal 1, Metropolitan Coordination**, calls for the Comprehensive Plan to be coordinated with federal and state law and to support regional goals, objectives and plans. In general, the amendments

are consistent with this goal because they do not change policy or intent of existing regulations relating to metropolitan coordination and regional goals.

30. **Policy 1.4, Intergovernmental Coordination**, requires continuous participation in intergovernmental affairs with public agencies to coordinate metropolitan planning and project development and maximize the efficient use of public funds. The amendments support this policy because a number of other government agencies, including the Department of Land Conservation and Development (DLCD), Metro, and Multnomah County, were notified of this proposal and given the opportunity to comment. DLCD provided comments prior to the first evidentiary hearing at the Planning and Sustainability Commission. Their comments are incorporated into the findings of the Ordinance under State Goal 9.
31. **Goal 2, Urban Development**, calls for maintaining Portland's role as the major regional employment and population center by expanding opportunities for housing and jobs, while retaining the character of established residential neighborhoods and business centers. The amendments support this goal because they provide additional flexibility for the siting of mass shelters and short-term housing, while continuing to require land use review in situations where the facilities could have an impact on surrounding neighborhoods or commercial and employment areas.
32. **Policy 2.2 Urban Diversity**, calls for promoting a range of living environments and employment opportunities for Portland residents in order to attract and retain a stable and diversified population. The amendments support this policy by providing greater flexibility to site mass shelters and short-term housing which is a type of living arrangement that is currently underserved within Portland, with nearly 2,000 people within the city without shelter for the night. This flexibility can lead to the creation of additional shelter facilities to reduce this number.
33. **Policy 2.9 Residential Neighborhoods**, allows for a range of housing types to accommodate increased population growth while improving and protecting the city's residential neighborhoods. The amendments are consistent with this policy by providing more flexibility for mass shelters and short-term housing, which are a transitional form of shelter between homelessness and permanent housing. The amendments still require most mass shelters and short-term housing within residential zones to receive discretionary approval based upon findings of livability and public services.
34. **Goal 3, Neighborhoods**, calls for the preservation and reinforcement of the stability and diversity of the city's neighborhoods while allowing for increased density. The amendments are consistent with this goal by providing more flexibility for mass shelters and short-term housing within zones that have greater access to services while still requiring discretionary review for facilities sited within most residential zones.
35. **Goal 4, Housing**, calls for enhancing Portland's vitality as a community at the center of the region's housing market by providing housing of different types, density, sizes, costs and locations that accommodates the needs, preferences, and financial capabilities of current and future households. The amendments support this goal because they allow greater flexibility to provide short-term housing and mass shelters within the city. These facilities are often the first step in providing the transition from homelessness to more permanent living arrangements. Providing shelter for these individuals and families enables them to access services to find permanent housing. Allowing certain institutional uses to also provide transitional housing on a temporary basis as an accessory use also enables these institutions to help with the current housing crises and help households find permanent housing. See also the findings for specific housing policies below, Statewide Planning Goal 10, Housing and Metro Title 7.

36. **Policy 4.1 Housing Availability**, ensures that an adequate supply of housing is available to meet the needs, preference, and financial capabilities of Portland's households now and in the future. The amendments are consistent with this policy because they allow more flexibility to provide mass shelters and short-term housing within certain zones. This increases the opportunity to provide basic shelter to people experiencing homelessness and can be the first step to securing more permanent housing for previously homeless individuals.
37. **Policy 4.4 Housing Safety**, ensures a safe and healthy built environment and assists in the preservation of sound existing housing and the improvement of neighborhoods. The amendments are consistent with this policy because the ability to provide more shelter space and short-term housing creates a safer and healthier environment than living on the streets or without shelter.
38. **Policy 4.12 Housing Continuum** ensures that a range of housing from temporary shelters, to transitional, and to permanent housing for renters and owners is available, with appropriate supportive services for those who need them. The amendments support this policy by providing greater flexibility to site mass shelters and short-term housing, which is a type of living arrangement that is currently underserved within Portland. The amendments also provide the flexibility for certain institutions to host temporary transitional housing as an accessory use. With nearly 2,000 people in the city without any shelter for the night, the increased flexibility can lead to the creation of additional shelter facilities to reduce this number.
39. **Policy 4.15 Regulatory Costs and Fees** requires the city to consider the impact of regulations and fees in the balance between housing affordability and other objectives such as environmental quality, urban design, maintenance of neighborhood character, and protection of public health, safety, and welfare. The amendments support this policy by removing some of the regulatory and financial barriers for siting mass shelters and short-term housing, allowing a lower review process, while still requiring some level of review in cases where the facility can have a greater impact on neighborhood character. Reducing the process and financial barriers will help in establishing temporary shelter and housing opportunities reducing the prevalence of homelessness which affects public health and safety.
40. **Goal 5, Economic Development**, calls for the promotion of a strong and diverse economy that provides a full range of employment and economic choices for individuals and families in all parts of the city. The amendments support this goal because they expand the situations where certain mass shelters and short-term housing may be located. See also findings for Statewide Planning Goal, Goal 9, Economic Development.
41. **Policy 5.6, Area Character and Identity Within Designated Commercial Areas** calls for enhancing the special character and identity of Portland's designated commercial areas. The amendments are consistent with this policy because they continue to require mass shelters above certain size thresholds within commercial and EX zones, as well as all facilities in EG1 and EG2 zones to go through a discretionary conditional use review to determine that the facility is consistent with the intent of any area plans, and that it is physically compatible with its surroundings and there are adequate public services.
42. **Goal 6, Transportation**, calls for developing a balanced, equitable, and efficient transportation system that provides a range of transportation choices; reinforces the livability of neighborhoods; supports a strong and diverse economy; reduces air, noise, and water pollution; and lessens reliance on the automobile while maintaining accessibility. The amendments are consistent with this goal in that they do not substantially change current transportation policy. One amendment amends the minimum parking regulations for certain mass shelters and short-term housing that are allowed by right. Facilities allowed by right would be in commercial and employment zones which generally

have good access to transportation infrastructure and services. Facilities not meeting the standards that would allow them by right (due to size and/or zone location) are subject to a discretionary conditional use review process which requires findings in most cases that the public services, including transportation facilities, are sufficient to serve the uses in addition to existing uses in the area. See also findings for Statewide Planning Goals, Goal 12, Transportation.

43. **Policy 6.18, Adequacy of Transportation Facilities**, ensures that amendments to the Comprehensive Plan, zone changes, conditional uses, master plans, impact mitigation plans, and land use regulations that change allowed land uses are consistent with the function, capacity and performance of transportation facilities. The amendments are consistent with this policy because they further refine the conditional use approval criteria for mass shelters and short-term housing to address the transportation system in cases where the facility may have more of an impact on the system due to its size or expansion.
44. **Policy 6.25, Parking Management**, calls for managing the parking supply to achieve transportation policy objectives for neighborhood and business district vitality. The amendments support this policy by reducing the minimum parking requirements for mass shelters or short-term housing that are allowed by right. Facilities allowed by right are mostly located within the commercial and EX zones that provide alternative transportation services for the occupants and employees at these facilities. Facilities that require a conditional use review will generally have their parking requirements determined through the review.
45. **Policy 6.27, Off-Street Parking**, calls for regulating off-street parking to promote good urban form and the vitality of commercial and employment areas. The amendments support this policy by reducing the minimum off-street parking requirements for mass shelters or short-term housing that are allowed by right. Facilities allowed by right are mostly located within the commercial and EX zones that provide alternative transportation services for the occupants and employees at these facilities. Facilities that require a conditional use review will generally have their parking requirements determined through the review.
46. **Goal 7, Energy**, calls for promotion of a sustainable energy future by increasing energy efficiency in all sectors of the city. The amendments are consistent with this goal because they create additional flexibility in the siting of mass shelters and short-term housing which are needed to provide a housing continuum and better link the homeless to services.
47. **Goal 8, Environment**, calls for the maintenance and improvement of the quality of Portland's air, water, and land resources, as well as the protection of neighborhoods and business centers from noise pollution. The amendments are consistent with this goal because they create additional flexibility in the siting of mass shelters and short-term housing which can help reduce the number of people living on the streets or within the city's natural areas.
48. **Goal 9, Citizen Involvement**, calls for improved methods and ongoing opportunities for citizen involvement in the land use decision-making process, and the implementation, review, and amendment of the Comprehensive Plan. This project supports this goal because it followed the process and requirements specified in Chapter 33.740, Legislative Procedure. See Statewide Planning Goal 1, Citizen Involvement for additional detailed findings that demonstrate compliance with this goal.
49. **Goal 10, Plan Review and Administration**, calls for periodic review of the Comprehensive Plan, for implementation of the Plan, and addresses amendments to the Plan, to the Plan Map, and to the Zoning Code and Zoning Map. Policy 10.4, Comprehensive Plan Map designates the general uses and

development types for each designation. The amendments are consistent with this policy because they do not substantially change the allowed uses within the various designations. One amendment provides an option for mass shelters to apply for conditional use review in EG1 and EG2 zones which implement the Mixed Employment designations. The Mixed Employment designation allows a wide variety of uses, but limits commercial and residential uses. Mass Shelters are classified as a Community Service use, and so is not limited, and other Community Service uses are allowed by right in these zones. The conditional use process ensures physical compatibility with the surrounding employment area and conformance with any area plans. Due to the requirement for a land use review, it is expected that only a few mass shelters would elect to locate within EG1 or EG2 zones, likely covering fewer than five acres city-wide.

50. **Policy 10.10, Amendments to the Zoning and Subdivision Regulations**, requires amendments to the zoning and subdivision regulations to be clear, concise, and applicable to the broad range of development situations faced by a growing, urban city. The amendments are consistent with this policy by creating regulations that simplify and remove regulatory barriers for the siting of mass shelters and short-term housing, for which there is a shortage within the city.
51. **Goal 11, Public Facilities**, includes a wide range of goals and policies: **General Goal 11-A** calls for provision of a timely, orderly and efficient arrangement of public facilities and services that support existing and planned land use patterns and densities. The amendments support this goal because they provide additional flexibility for the siting of mass shelters and short-term housing, while continuing to require land use review in situations where the facilities could have an impact on surrounding neighborhoods or commercial and employment areas. The facilities are often managed by non-profits or public agencies and provide a necessary public good to the city.

NOW, THEREFORE, the Council directs:

- a. Adopt Exhibit A, *Mass Shelters and Housing Zoning Code Update: Recommended Draft*, dated October 2016 as amended by Council.
- b. Amend Title 33, Planning and Zoning, as shown in Exhibit A, *Mass Shelters and Housing Zoning Code Update: Recommended Draft*, dated October 2016 as amended by Council.
- c. Adopt the commentary and discussion in Exhibit A, *Mass Shelters and Housing Zoning Code Update: Recommended Draft*, dated October 2016, as further findings and legislative intent.

Section 2. If any section, subsection, sentence, clause, phrase, diagram, designation, or drawing contained in this Ordinance, or the plan, map or code it adopts or amends, is held to be deficient, invalid or unconstitutional, that shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions. The Council declares that it would have adopted the plan, map, or code and each section, subsection, sentence, clause, phrase, diagram, designation, and drawing thereof, regardless of the fact that any one or more sections, subsections, sentences, clauses, phrases, diagrams, designations, or drawings contained in this Ordinance, may be found to be deficient, invalid or unconstitutional.

Passed by the Council: NOV 09 2016

Mayor Charlie Hales
Prepared by: Phil Nameny
Date Prepared: October 10, 2016

Mary Hull Caballero

Auditor of the City of Portland
By


Deputy

Amend Title 33, Planning and Zoning to reduce regulatory restrictions and processes for short-term housing and mass shelters. (Ordinance); amend Title 33)

<p>INTRODUCED BY Commissioner/Auditor: Charlie Hales</p>	<p>CLERK USE: DATE FILED <u>OCT 25 2016</u></p>
<p>COMMISSIONER APPROVAL</p> <p>Mayor—Finance and Administration - Hales <i>[Signature]</i></p> <p>Position 1/Utilities - Fritz</p> <p>Position 2/Works - Fish</p> <p>Position 3/Affairs - Saltzman</p> <p>Position 4/Safety - Novick</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Mary Hull Caballero Auditor of the City of Portland</p> <p>By: <i>[Signature]</i> Deputy</p>
<p>BUREAU APPROVAL</p> <p>Bureau: Planning and Sustainability Bureau Head: Susan Anderson <i>[Signature]</i></p> <p>Prepared by: Phil Nameny Date Prepared: October 11, 2016</p> <p>Impact Statement Completed <input type="checkbox"/> Amends Budget <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Portland Policy Document If "Yes" requires City Policy paragraph stated in document. Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p> <p>City Auditor Office Approval: required for Code Ordinances</p> <p>City Attorney Approval: <i>[Signature]</i> required for contract, code, easement, franchise, comp plan, charter</p> <p>Council Meeting Date November 2, 2016</p>	<p>ACTION TAKEN:</p> <p>NOV 02 2016 PASSED TO SECOND READING As Amended NOV 09 2016 9:30 A.M.</p>

<p style="text-align: center;">AGENDA</p> <p>TIME CERTAIN <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Start time: 2:20pm</p> <p>Total amount of time needed: 90 minutes (for presentation, testimony and discussion)</p> <p>CONSENT <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>REGULAR <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Total amount of time needed: _____ (for presentation, testimony and discussion)</p>	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <th style="width: 30%;">FOUR-FIFTHS AGENDA</th> <th colspan="2">COMMISSIONERS VOTED AS FOLLOWS:</th> </tr> <tr> <th></th> <th>YEAS</th> <th>NAYS</th> </tr> <tr> <td>1. Fritz</td> <td style="text-align: center;">✓</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>2. Fish</td> <td style="text-align: center;">✓</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>3. Saltzman</td> <td style="text-align: center;">✓</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>4. Novick</td> <td style="text-align: center;">✓</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Hales</td> <td style="text-align: center;">✓</td> <td></td> </tr> </table>	FOUR-FIFTHS AGENDA	COMMISSIONERS VOTED AS FOLLOWS:			YEAS	NAYS	1. Fritz	✓		2. Fish	✓		3. Saltzman	✓		4. Novick	✓		Hales	✓	
FOUR-FIFTHS AGENDA	COMMISSIONERS VOTED AS FOLLOWS:																					
	YEAS	NAYS																				
1. Fritz	✓																					
2. Fish	✓																					
3. Saltzman	✓																					
4. Novick	✓																					
Hales	✓																					