# Technology Oversight Committee

Quarterly Report

July – September 2016

Project Name: Bureau: Reporting Date: BDS IT Advancement Project (ITAP)
Bureau of Development Services
through May 2016 – contract expired

	Initial Estimate	Planned at Baseline*	Current	QA Assessment			TOC Assessment		
	at TOC Intake date: 3/7/2012 This was a speculative amount only.	date: 7/1/2013	Revision (Based on Phase One Planning and Analysis as of date: 8/1/14)						
Expected Completion	May 29, 2015	December 2015 Project schedule will be finalized during Project Phase 1 – Formal Project Plan	Winter 2015- 16	July	Aug	Se pt	Jul y	Aug	Se pt
Confidence Level	Low	Medium	Medium	Red	Red	Red	Red	Red	Red
Budget	Approx. \$8.2 mil \$2.75 mil in vendor services and software license costs <u>plus</u> \$5.5 mil in City capital costs (Ordinance allowing BDS to start RFP process included \$3 mil vendor service and license costs)	Approx. \$11.8 mil  \$6 mil in vendor services and software license costs plus \$5.8 mil in City capital costs (vendor costs does not include approx. \$1 mil for 5- years of maintenance fees or \$0.8 mil in vendor support post go-live)	Approx. \$11.8 mil Change in schedule may cause increase in City capital costs. (Budget changes not yet known.)	Red	Red	Red	Red	Red	Rec
Confidence Level	Low	High	Medium						
Scope Stability Confidence	High	High	High	Red	Red	Red	Red	Red	Rec

\*NOTE: Budget baselined on 7/1/2013 at \$11.8 M

### Technology Oversight Committee Quarterly Report (July – September 2016)

#### PART I – Technology Project Oversight in the City of Portland July – September 2016

**Background** 

On February 2, 2011, City Council approved Resolution #36844 creating an independent five-member citizen committee for City of Portland technology projects. On April 20, 2011, City Council adopted changes to City Code Chapter 3.15.010 and Chapter 3.15.070 to establish the duties and authorities of the Chief Administrative Officer and Chief Technology Officer respectively as they relate to Technology Project Oversight. On June 29, 2011, Council adopted an update to BTS Administrative Rule (A.R.) 4.01 – Technology Project Intake as well as a new rule (BTS A.R. 1.07) on Technology Project Oversight.

As stated in BTS A.R.1.07, technology project oversight for the City of Portland includes the following components:

- Citizen Oversight
- Quality Assurance
- Project Management

#### Citizen Oversight

The citizen members of the Technology Oversight Committee (TOC) are:

Appointed by	<u>Member</u>
Mayor Hales	Wilfred Pinfold, PhD
Commissioner Fish	Ken Neubauer Director Platform Engineering, Standard Insurance
Commissioner Fritz	Dyanna Garcia
Commissioner Novick	Joshua Mitchell Chief Technology Officer, Drupal Association
Commissioner Saltzman	Michael Lynch

#### **Quality Assurance**

Quality assurance (QA) – provided by external contractors – is a required component of the City's technology project oversight. The role of the QA consultants on a project overseen by the TOC is to provide guidance and oversight to the City staff on the technology project, but ultimately to report the QA's unbiased findings to the TOC.

#### **Project Management**

Staff from Office of Management & Finance (OMF) Business Operations and OMF Bureau of Technology Services provide committee support and technical expertise to the TOC.

There were no major developments this quarter. All the templates and tools are working well.

#### **New Projects under TOC Oversight**

- none
- anticipated: Data Center Move project, SAP Enterprise Asset Management

#### **Projects reviewed**

- Invoice Cloud electronic bill presentation and payment system
- 5E telephone switch migration

## PART II – Summary of Technology Projects under TOC Oversight July – September 2016

Project name:

Information Technology Advancement Project (ITAP)

Bureau:

Bureau of Development Services (BDS)

#### **Project Description:**

This project develops a paperless permit and case management process and allows complete, online access to the permitting and case review services. Project deliverables include digitization and online access of historical permits and property information, implementation of an updated permit and case review information management system, online case and permit application and review services, mobile online access for field staff, and implementation of an automated queuing system.

**Status**: The TOC continues to have concerns around project duration, budget, scope, and overall performance.

#### Major Accomplishments this Quarter:

• ProjectDox pilot first reviews are complete; a second project is being considered.

#### **Upcoming Milestones next Quarter:**

Project will re-examine scope of work.

#### Risks, Concerns, Comments from TOC:

- TOC requests clear documentation of the criteria that define "success"
- TOC requests a list of deliverables for what will be accomplished in each work order

**Project Name:** 

BDS IT Advancement Project (ITAP) Bureau of Development Services

Bureau: Reporting Date:

through May 2016 - contract expired

	Initial Estimate at TOC Intake date: 3/7/2012 This was a speculative amount only.	Planned at Baseline*  date: 7/1/2013	Current Revision (Based on Phase One Planning and Analysis as of date: 8/1/14)	QA Assessment			TOC Assessment		
Expected Completion	May 29, 2015	December 2015 Project schedule will be finalized during Project Phase 1 – Formal Project Plan	Winter 2015-16	July	Aug	Sept	July	Aug	Sept
Confidence Level	Low	Medium	Medium	Red	Red	Red	Red	Red	Red
Budget	Approx. \$8.2 mil \$2.75 mil in vendor services and software license costs <u>plus</u> \$5.5 mil in City capital costs (Ordinance allowing BDS to start RFP process included \$3 mil vendor service and license costs)	Approx. \$11.8 mil \$6 mil in vendor services and software license costs plus \$5.8 mil in City capital costs (vendor costs does not include approx. \$1 mil for 5- years of maintenance fees or \$0.8 mil in vendor support post go-live)	Approx. \$11.8 mil Change in schedule may cause increase in City capital costs. (Budget changes not yet known.)	Red	Red	Red	Red	Red	Red
Confidence Level	Low	High	Medium						
Scope Stability Confidence Level	High	High	High	Red	Red	Red	Red	Red	Red

<sup>\*</sup>NOTE: Scope and budget baselined on 7/1/2013 at \$11.