

Portland, Oregon

# FINANCIAL IMPACT and PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT STATEMENT For Council Action Items

(Deliver original to Financial Planning Division. Retain copy.)

1. Name of Initiator Nolan Mackrill		2. Telephone No. 503-823-6830	3. Bureau/Office/Dept. PBOT/TSM/PE
4a. To be filed (date):  December 19, 2013	4b. Calendar (Check One)  Regular <input type="checkbox"/> Consent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4/5ths <input type="checkbox"/>		5. Date Submitted to Commissioner's office and CBO Budget Analyst: December 4, 2013
6a. Financial Impact Section: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Financial impact section completed		6b. Public Involvement Section: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Public involvement section completed	

1) **Legislation Title:** \*Extend the date of the privileges for regular disabled parking permits (Ordinance; amend Code Section 16.20.240)

2) **Purpose of the Proposed Legislation:** To change the expiration date of the temporary extension of parking privileges for regular disabled person parking permits from December 31, 2013 to June 30, 2014.

3) **Which area(s) of the city are affected by this Council item? (Check all that apply—areas are based on formal neighborhood coalition boundaries)?**

- |  |                                    |                                    |                                |
|--|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> City-wide/Regional | <input type="checkbox"/> Northeast | <input type="checkbox"/> Northwest | <input type="checkbox"/> North |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Central Northeast             | <input type="checkbox"/> Southeast | <input type="checkbox"/> Southwest | <input type="checkbox"/> East  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Central City                  |                                    |                                    |                                |

## FINANCIAL IMPACT

### Revenue and/or Expense:

Is ALL the Revenue and/or Expense a part of the current year's budget? or 5-yr CIP? \_\_\_\_\_

SAP COST OBJECT No(s): \_\_\_\_\_

All Revenue and Expense financial questions must be completed regardless of the current year's budget. Documents may be returned where the FIPIS portion has not been sufficiently completed.

4) **Revenue:** Will this legislation generate or reduce current or future revenue coming to the City? If so, by how much? If so, please identify the source. No

5) **Expense:** What are the costs to the City related to this legislation? What is the source of funding for the expense? There are no costs associated with this action; level of confidence optimal.

**6) Staffing Requirements:**

- Will any positions be created, eliminated or re-classified in the current year as a result of this legislation? No.
- Will positions be created or eliminated in *future years* as a result of this legislation? No.

*(Complete the following section only if an amendment to the budget is proposed.)*

**7) Change in Appropriations** *(If the accompanying ordinance amends the budget please reflect the dollar amount to be appropriated by this legislation. Include the appropriate cost elements that are to be loaded by accounting. Indicate "new" in Fund Center column if new center needs to be created. Use additional space if needed.)*

Fund	Fund Center	Commitment Item	Functional Area	Funded Program	Grant	Sponsored Program	Amount

**[Proceed to Public Involvement Section — REQUIRED as of July 1, 2011]**

PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT

8) Was public involvement included in the development of this Council item (e.g. ordinance, resolution, or report)? Please check the appropriate box below:

- ☒ YES: Please proceed to Question #9.  
☐ NO: Please, explain why below; and proceed to Question #10.

9) If "YES," please answer the following questions:

a) What impacts are anticipated in the community from this proposed Council item? No impacts as this preserves the status quo.

b) Which community and business groups, under-represented groups, organizations, external government entities, and other interested parties were involved in this effort, and when and how were they involved? The City of Portland Disabled Parking Task Force has been meeting and needs more time to complete their work, which is to make recommendations to the Commissioner-in-Charge.

c) How did public involvement shape the outcome of this Council item? The public involvement required this Council item in order to allow more time.

d) Who designed and implemented the public involvement related to this Council item? The initiator.

e) Primary contact for more information on this public involvement process (name, title, phone, email): Nolan Mackrill, Parking Enforcement Manager, 503-823-6830, nolan.mackrill@portlandoregon.gov.

10) Is any future public involvement anticipated or necessary for this Council item? Please describe why or why not. No. This Ordinance simply gives the Task Force more time.

KK 11-18-13

BUREAU DIRECTOR

LEAH TREAT, Bureau of Transportation