### Portland, Oregon

# FINANCIAL IMPACT and PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT STATEMENT For Council Action Items

	(Deliver orig	inal to Fir	nancial P	lanning	Division. Re	tain copy.)		
	1. Name of Initiator		2. Telephone No.			3. Bureau/O	ffice/Dept.	7
	Terri Williams	5-2469 date): 4b. Calenda		)		Revenue		
	4a. To be filed (hearing date):			ar (Check One)		5. Date Submitted to		1
				Consent 4/5ths		Commission	oner's office	
	11/20/2013					and FPD E	Budget Analyst:	
		2	$\leq$			11/5/2013		
ľ	6a. Financial Impact Section:	6b. Public Involvement Section:						1
		leted		Public involvement section c			completed	
Amer the Lito rec Chapt  2) Pu This of the 20 prope non-re Addit provision any gifthe recaded	gislation Title: and the District Property Manage loyd Business District, add a yommend against it, and make ter 6.06)  rpose of the Proposed Legister of the District Board and the property of the District Board of the District Board of the District Board of the District of the District of the District of the District.	lation: y mana include perty va new fee actor of ard the istrict is ature of	gemen e increa alues fi e cap fo f 2.3% ability s chang f this E	t licent to require the requirements of the requireme	se fee form he amount 1999 to 201 ain resident een added uest City (1) to reflect both as more r	nd District I (Ordinance; mula and car ts owed per 0, to increa- ntially zone to the calcu Council to roth the servi	Board's opporture, amend Code  ps beginning was square footage se the fee cap for distriction along with educe that factories provided an roperties are be	ith of or th a or in id sing
3) Wł are ba	nich area(s) of the city are at ased on formal neighborhoo  City-wide/Regional  Central Northeast  Central City	d coalii N	by this tion be ortheas	ounda st	ries)? □ N	(Check all orthwest outhwest	that apply—a  North East	reas
		FINA	NCIAI	<u>. IM</u> P	<u>PACT</u>			

4) <u>Revenue</u>: Will this legislation generate or reduce current or future revenue coming to the City? If so, by how much? If so, please identify the source.

This code change will increase the amount of the revenues collected. However, most of the revenues from the property management license fee generated by the District funds the contract with Lloyd BID Inc to provide the enhanced services within the District. 1.25% of the license fee billings is retained by the Revenue Bureau to help offset our administration of the program.

The increase in the amounts billed will increase the Revenue Bureau's administration fee by approximately \$2,000.

5) Expense: What are the costs to the City as a result of this legislation? What is the source of funding for the expense? (Please include costs in the current fiscal year as well as costs in future year, including Operations & Maintenance (O&M) costs, if known, and estimates, if not known. If the action is related to a grant or contract please include the local contribution or match required. If there is a project estimate, please identify the level of confidence.)

There are no additional expenses. The administration of this program is included in the Bureau's current year budget.

### 6) Staffing Requirements:

• Will any positions be created, eliminated or re-classified in the current year as a result of this legislation? (If new positions are created please include whether they will be part-time, full-time, limited term, or permanent positions. If the position is limited term please indicate the end of the term.)

No.

Will positions be created or eliminated in future years as a result of this legislation?
 No.

(Complete the following section only if an amendment to the budget is proposed.)

7) <u>Change in Appropriations</u> (If the accompanying ordinance amends the budget please reflect the dollar amount to be appropriated by this legislation. Include the appropriate cost elements that are to be loaded by accounting. Indicate "new" in Fund Center column if new center needs to be created. Use additional space if needed.)

Fund	Fund Center	Commitment Item	Functional Area	Funded Program	Grant	Sponsored Program	Amount

[Proceed to Public Involvement Section — REQUIRED as of July 1, 2011]

## **PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT**

8) Was public involvement included in the development of this Council item (e.g. ordinance, resolution, or report)? Please check the appropriate box below:  ☐ YES: Please proceed to Question #9.  ☐ NO: Please, explain why below; and proceed to Question #10.
9) If "YES," please answer the following questions:
a) What impacts are anticipated in the community from this proposed Council item? Renewing the District as is will allow the enhanced services currently provided in the District to continue. Increasing the fee formulas will bring in additional revenues to the District which will allow for new services to be funded.
b) Which community and business groups, under-represented groups, organizations, external government entities, and other interested parties were involved in this effort, and when and how were they involved? Since this is a license fee/tax on property managers within the District, the Lloyd BID Inc Board of Directors did substantial outreach with those property managers to get feedback about services being provided, discussed additional services that could be provided and discussed changes in the funding formula that could pay for those additional services. This comprehensive outreach report is attached to the Resolution (Exhibit A) that is also being heard that will renew the District for 10 years.
c) How did public involvement shape the outcome of this Council item? Over 76% of the property managers support the district and the proposed fee increases Because of this support, the Revenue Bureau has worked with the Lloyd District to make these code changes at the same time as City Council conducts the required Sunset Review hearing.
d) Who designed and implemented the public involvement related to this Council item? The Board of Directors of the Lloyd Business District, with some guidance provided by the Revenue Bureau.
e) Primary contact for more information on this public involvement process (name, title, phone, email): Rick Williams, Executive Director, Lloyd TMA, 503-236-6441, rick@lloydtma.org
10) Is any future public involvement anticipated or necessary for this Council item? Please describe why or why not.
Thomas W. Lannom Manual 5 NOV 2013

APPROPRIATION UNIT HETHOTTAGE Vom Landinghature)

Revenue Bureau Director



## CITY OF PORTLAND

OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND FINANCE

### Charlie Hales, Mayor Jack D. Graham, Chief Administrative Officer

Revenue Bureau Thomas W. Lannom, Director 111 SW Columbia St., Suite 600 Portland, OR 97201 (503) 823-6881

FOR MAYOR'S OFFICE USE ONLY

Reviewed by Bureau Liaison

DATE:

November 4, 2013

TO:

Mayor Charlie Hales

FROM:

Thomas W. Lannom, Revenue Bureau Director



RE: RESOLUTION TITLE Amend the District Property Management License code to update the fee and cap formulas for the Lloyd Business District, add a yearly fee payment escalator and District Board's opportunity to recommend against it, and make other housekeeping changes (Ordinance; amend Code Chapter 6.06)

- 1. **INTENDED THURSDAY FILING DATE: 11-14-2013**
- REQUESTED COUNCIL AGENDA DATE 2. 11-20-2013
- 3. CONTACT NAME & NUMBER: Terri Williams x52469
- PLACE ON: 4. \_CONSENT\_X REGULAR
- BUDGET IMPACT STATEMENT ATTACHED: x Y 5.
- (3) ORIGINAL COPIES OF CONTRACTS APPROVED AS TO FORM BY CITY 6. ATTORNEY ATTACHED: Yes No \_\_X\_N/A

## **BACKGROUND/ANALYSIS**

PCC 6.06.280 requires the City Council to conduct a public hearing to determine whether the Lloyd Business District property management license fee continue for another 10 years or be terminated. This first hearing is required to take place during 2013. This resolution, if adopted, will continue the District for another 10 years.

With this resolution and hearing, two ordinances are being filed. One makes code changes to PCC 6.06, including changes to the fee formulas and fee cap increased, simplifying the District name and adding a yearly escalator for inflation. The other combines the two current contracts for District services into one contract, and to sync up the timing of the contract to match the life of the District. The terms are unchanged from the current contracts.

#### FINANCIAL IMPACT

There is a small financial impact to the City as a result of the code changes to PCC 6.06. Since the annual amount of the license fees collected from property managers in the District will increase, the Bureau's administration fee will increase by approximately \$1,800 annually. Fund revenues and expenditures will increase equally, as this is a pass-through Fund.

## RECOMMENDATION/ACTION REQUESTED

The Bureau respectfully requests that the ordinance be passed to update PCC 6.06.