

Portland Planning and Sustainability Commission

Katherine Schultz, Chair

André Baugh, Vice Chair Jeff Bachrach Mike Houck Katie Larsell Gary Oxman Chris Smith, Vice Chair Michelle Rudd Eli Spevak Teresa St Martin Maggie Tallmadge

February 26, 2016

Mayor Charles Hales and Members of Portland City Council Portland City Hall 1221 SW Fourth Ave Portland, OR 97204

Subject: Powell-Division Portland Local Action Plan

Dear Mayor Hales and City Commissioners:

On January 12, 2016, the Planning and Sustainability Commission (PSC) held a public hearing on the Portland Local Action Plan for the Powell-Division Transit and Development Project.

The Powell-Division Transit and Development Project proposes to create a high-capacity transit service between Portland and Gresham within the Powell-Division corridor. The route anticipates to connect downtown Portland to downtown Gresham and Mt. Hood Community College, via the Jade District and Division Midway Neighborhood Prosperity Initiative (NPI) investment areas. The high-capacity transit service is anticipated to be in the form a "bus rapid transit" (BRT)-type service. More details about the transit portion of the project is available in a separate document, The Transit Action Plan, June 2015.

The Powell-Division Portland Local Action Plan ("the Local Action Plan") is a five-year land use and community development action plan for the City of Portland. The Action Plan provides broad land use growth concepts at potential major station areas while also charting out actions to address community development—housing and economic development—concerns. The timeline for the plan is between now and 5 years. Actions are anticipated to be completed, or at least started, by the time the new transit service is fully operational in 2020 or 2021.

The Local Action Plan's main goals aim to fund housing and economic development in the Powell-Division corridor. Together, the proposed actions cost approximately \$38.7 million—\$32.8 million for housing-related actions and \$5.9 million for economic development actions. Today, we have about \$6.3 million for housing and \$1.6 million for economic development. We need to raise additional funding to bridge a \$30.8 million gap—about \$26.5 million for housing and \$4.3 million for economic development.



5-year costs and funding estimates for the local actions:

#	Item	New/	Rough Cost	%	Notes	Funding	Notes	Rough Amount	%
		On-		Total		Source			Total
		going		Costs					Funds
НО	USING ACTIONS								
1	300 Affordable Housing	On-	\$30,000,000	91%	Citywide	Housing	PHB Federal	\$500,000	8%
	Units	going			goal of units	Bureau	funds allocation		
					apportioned		to corridor over		
					to corridor		5 years		
		New				PDC existing	Clinton Triangle	\$5,500,000	87%
		New				URA	Cuiton mangle	\$5,500,000	07/0
2	Better Multi-dwelling	New	\$310,500	1%	Project	Metro CPDG	2-year funding	\$310,500	1%
	Standards				funded over	2015-2017			
					next 2 years				
3	Stronger Tenant Protections	New	\$2,500,000	8%	Est. at \$500K			\$2,500,00	8%
					per year X 5y				
		AL COST	\$30.040.F00				TOTAL FUNDING	\$4.240.F00	
	101	\$32,810,500				TOTAL FUNDING	\$6,310,500		
HOUSING ACTIONS GAP								(\$26,500,000)	

Business Retention New S500,000 8% Est. at \$100K per year X 5y 2015-2017 need to secure additional funding S100,000 6% S2015-2017 need to secure additional funding S100,000 S2015-2017 need to secure additional funding S200,000 S2015-2017 S2015-2016 need to secure additional funding S200,000 S200,00	ECC	DNOMIC DEVELOPMENT ACTION	1S							
Program Technical Assistance going funded over next 2 years for PY 2015-2016 frequest freques	1	Expanded Program	New	\$500,000	8%			need to secure additional	\$100,000	6%
Development Opportunity Services (DOS) Program 4 NPI community-led Business Competitiveness and Property Development Prgm 5 Workforce Navigation and Development Services 6 Business Micro Loan Prgm New S500,000 8 Est. at \$100K per year X 5y 7 Anchor Institution Strategy PDC NPI District grants and program operations On- going S1,000,000 8 Est. at \$100K per year X 5y PEst. at \$100K per year X 5y PEst. at \$100K per year X 5y Per year X 5y Per year X 5y Set. at \$100K Per year X 5y Per year X 5y Set. at \$150K Per year X 5y Per year X 5y Set. at \$215K Per year X 5y Per year X 5y Per year X 5y Set. at \$215K Per year X 5y Per year X 5y Per year X 5y Per year X 5y Set. at \$215K Per year X 5y Set. at \$215K Per year X 5y	2	Program Technical		\$1,000,000	17%	funded over	allocation for	fund budget	\$200,000	12%
Competitiveness and Property Development Prgm going per district (2) per year X 5 years 5 Workforce Navigation and Development Services 6 Business Micro Loan Prgm New \$500,000 8% Est. at \$100K per year X 5y 7 Anchor Institution Strategy New \$750,000 13% Est. at \$150K per year X 5y 8 PDC NPI District grants and program operations going 9 Community Outreach Coordinator New \$500,000 8% Est. at \$100K per year X 5y 9 Community Outreach Coordinator \$500,000 8% Est. at \$100K per year X 5y TOTAL COST \$5,951,000 TOTAL COST \$5,951,000 Por district (2) per year X 5y set stable to the community of t	3	Development Opportunity	New	\$96,000	2%	one time			\$96,000	6%
Solution	4	Competitiveness and		\$1,000,000	17%	per district (2) per year	PDC NPI TIF	\$1M over 5 years	\$1,000,000	60%
per year X 5y 7 Anchor Institution Strategy New \$750,000 13% Est. at \$150K per year X 5y 8 PDC NPI District grants and program operations going Sing Coordinator Special Spe	5		New	\$530,000	9%	Est. at \$100K		Not yet funded	\$0	
7 Anchor Institution Strategy New \$750,000 13% Est. at \$150K per year X 5y	6	Business Micro Loan Prgm	New	\$500,000	8%			Not yet funded	\$0	
program operations going per year X 5y allocation for FY 2015-2016 request 9 Community Outreach Coordinator Partial funding; need to secure additional funding TOTAL COST \$5,951,000 per year X 5y allocation for FY 2015-2016 request Best. at \$100K per year X 5y 2015-2017 per year X 5y 2015-2017 reduced to secure additional funding \$1,655,000	7	Anchor Institution Strategy	New	\$750,000	13%	Est. at \$150K		Not yet funded	\$0	
Coordinator per year X 5y 2015-2017 need to secure additional funding TOTAL COST \$5,951,000 TOTAL FUNDING \$1,655,000	8		_	\$1,075,000	18%		allocation for	fund budget	\$215,000	13%
	9	Coordinator			8%	·		need to secure additional funding		3%
		ТОТ	AL COST	\$5,951,000			ECON DE			

TOTAL COST \$38,761,500 TOTAL AVAILABLE FUNDING \$7,965,500

TOTAL FUNDING GAP (\$30,796,000)



Given that additional funds are needed, the project team is looking to leverage other opportunities (citywide) to address housing affordability, displacement, and economic development in the corridor, including:

- Working with State representatives to repeal ban on inclusionary zoning
- Incentive zoning for the City (along with the Comp Plan)
- Linkage fee
- Opportunity to bond against local transient lodging tax (AirBnB tax)
- \$10M PHB East Portland projects asks for the FY16-17 budget
- Code enforcement opportunities
- URA potential
- Land banking strategies in other areas of the city

Discussion

Minority Perspective

Commissioner voting against the recommendation expressed the following concerns:

- Equity and displacement issues need to be addressed before completion of the transit project.
- We need to have a cohesive timeline for transit funding and community development funding—one that fills the gaps and meet the needs for housing that is affordable and supports economic development in the corridor.

Public Testimony

We heard testimony from 5 members of the community, 3 of whom are on the steering committee. Testifiers generally support the plan with these conditions:

- Community stabilization is a high priority for area residents, especially in East Portland. Along with the transit investment, housing and jobs investment are paramount, especially with rising housing prices. Ensure that anti-displacement measures are put in place before it is too late.
- If an urban renewal area is expected to help pay for the project, ensure that it will be spent first on housing and economic development.
- Ensure that the new transit service improves the transit experience for transitdependent households in the area. There is concern that local bus service may be greatly reduced.



Commission Vote

The Commission voted 8-1 to recommend the plan to City Council, with additional recommendations.

Recommendations

The Portland Planning and Sustainability Commission recommends that City Council take the following actions:

- 1. Consider for adoption the *Powell-Division Transit and Development Project Portland Local Action Plan*, dated January 2016, with the following conditions:
- 2. While recognizing and applauding the steering committee's diverse structure and efforts in the planning process, the PSC also recommends:
 - A. Council support the transit project only with commitments to substantially fund the Portland Local Action Plan;
 - B. Council should increase funding levels and the affordable housing target beyond what the current plan provides, and
 - C. Council mandates that the plan seek additional strategies to maximize affordable housing, including land banking and affordable housing retention programs.

Thank you for the opportunity to participate in the review of this project and for considering our recommendations.

Sincerely,

Katherine Schultz

Kadew Kehut

Chair, Portland Planning and Sustainability Commission

