

2013 IPR Proposed Police Accountability Reforms




Response by Portland Police Bureau


Chief Michael Reese


Captain David A. Famous

Citizen Complaints Received 2000-2012



Force Complaints Received 2004-2012






Number and % of Force Incidents Involving:										
	All Force	Point Firearm	Takedowns	Taser	Hands/ Feet	Hobble	Control Holds	Pepper Spray	Bean Bag	Baton
2008	1,677	813/48%	539/32%	378/23%	215/13%	76/5%	59/3%	58/3%	25/1%	13/1%
2009	1,477	709/48%	426/29%	324/22%	205/14%	56/4%	51/3%	70/5%	35/2%	11/1%
2010	1,278	589/46%	372/29%	301/24%	150/12%	64/5%	58/2%	54/4%	23/2%	7/<1%
2011	1,116	509/46%	341/31%	228/20%	143/13%	74/7%	34/2%	70/6%	13/1%	12/1%
2012	1,039	484/47%	377/36%	156/15%	141/14%	70/7%	43/4%	50/5%	11/1%	10/1%
% Change 2008-2012	-38%	-40%	-30%	-59%	-34%	-8%	-27%	-14%	-56%	-23%

Sustained Complaint Example

- IPR – Initial intake investigation
- IA – Investigation related to one allegation
- IPR – Review of investigation
- Commander – Finding is sustained
- Assistant Chief – Review of finding
- IPR & IA – Review of finding
- PRB – Convene Police Review Board
 - Voting members are: Citizen, IPR Director, Peer, Assistant Chief, Commander
 - Recommendation to Chief
- Chief's proposed finding and discipline letter to member
 - Reviewed by City Attorney
- Due process hearing with member
 - Chief, Commander, Assistant Chief, representatives of BHR, Professional Standards, Mayor's office, and member's union
- Final letter of discipline signed by Chief and Mayor
 - After review by City Attorney

180 Day Timelines



Internal Affairs Investigations

