Agenda Item 581-582

9:30 AM TIME CERTAIN

FY 2012-13 BUDGET ADJUSTMENTS

IF YOU WISH TO SPEAK TO CITY COUNCIL, PRINT YOUR NAME, ADDRESS, AND EMAIL.

NAME (print)	ADDRESS AND ZIP CODE	Email
+ Floy Jones	S.E PDX 97215	floy 2 Q MSW. Con
- TWAKL		
Charles J		simcha@gmX.

Date 06-19-13

Page of



Suite 2400 1300 SW Fifth Avenue Portland, OR 97201-5610

John DiLorenzo, Jr. 503.778.5216 tel 503.276.5716 fax

37022

johndilorenzo@dwt.com

Submitted by Floy Jones

June 17, 2013

Terence L Thatcher Portland Office of City Attorney 1221 SW 4th Ave Ste 430 Portland, OR 97204

Re: Anderson, et al. v. City of Portland Multnomah County Circuit Court Case No. 1112-05957

Dear Terry:

It has come to my attention that the agenda for the City Council meeting for Wednesday, June 19, calls for discussion and action on item 582 authorizing operating loans from various city funds to other purposes pending receipt of federal grant funds. In particular, the resolution http://www.portlandonline.com/auditor/index.cfm?c=50265&a=452752 calls for the following:

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that a temporary, interest-free operating loan is hereby authorized immediately from the Sewer System Operating Fund to the Grants Fund in the not-toexceed amount of \$365,000 with a repayment of principal due by June 30, 2014;

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that a temporary, interest-free operating loan is hereby authorized immediately from the Water Fund to the Grants Fund in the not-to-exceed amount of \$700,000 with a repayment of principal due by June 30, 2014; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the primary source of repayment of the loans collectively mentioned above is earned and deferred revenues for reimbursement of eligible grant expenses in FY 20T3-T4,

We believe that use of the Water and Sewer funds for these purposes violates the charter provisions at issue in our lawsuit for the following reasons:

DWT 22155533v1 0094650-000002

Anchorage Bellevue Los Angeles

New York Portland San Francisco Seattle Shanghai Washington, D.C.

www.dwt.com

1. The charter does not authorize these funds to function as a lender;

2. The terms of the loan are such that should the federal government refuse to move forward with the grants (due to sequester or other budget reasons) there is no source of repayment; and

3. Both lending and the uses to which the "loaned funds" will be put are outside the scope of permitted uses for these funds.

We ask that the City Council pull this resolution from the agenda or amend it in such a way as to eliminate the provisions that require the sewer and water funds to loan the above referenced amounts. Should the Council adopt the resolution, we will file a supplemental complaint to add this particular to the list of expenditures we believe are being made illegally from these two funds.

Thank you for your time concerning this matter.

Very truly yours

I UGU Z

Davis Wright Tremaine LLP ohn DiLorenzo, Jr. AD:rmp

cc: Client

DWT 22155533v1 0094650-000002