

Portland, Oregon
FINANCIAL IMPACT and PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT STATEMENT
For Council Action Items

(Email copy to Financial Planning Division. Retain copy.)

1. Name of Initiator Veronica L. Nordeen		2. Telephone No. 503-823-0331	3. Bureau/Office/Dept. Police/Fiscal
4a. To be filed (hearing date): 12 9/8/12	4b. Calendar (Check One) Regular <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consent <input type="checkbox"/> 4/5ths <input type="checkbox"/>		5. Date Submitted to Commissioner's office and FPD Budget Analyst: 9/8/12
6a. Financial Impact Section: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Financial impact section completed		6b. Public Involvement Section: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Public involvement section completed	

1) Legislation Title:

* Authorize application to the Oregon Association Chiefs of Police for a grant in the amount of \$70,000 for the 2013 DUII Traffic Safety and High Visibility Enforcement (HVE) program for sworn personnel overtime (Ordinance)

2) Purpose of the Proposed Legislation:

The City of Portland, Oregon Police Bureau wishes to apply for Oregon Association Chiefs of Police (OACP) grant funding. Traffic Division sworn personnel will provide direct enforcement. The grant period is October 1, 2012, through September 30, 2013.

3) Which area(s) of the city are affected by this Council item? (Check all that apply—areas are based on formal neighborhood coalition boundaries)?

- | | | | |
|---|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> City-wide/Regional | <input type="checkbox"/> Northeast | <input type="checkbox"/> Northwest | <input type="checkbox"/> North |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Central Northeast | <input type="checkbox"/> Southeast | <input type="checkbox"/> Southwest | <input type="checkbox"/> East |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Central City | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Internal City Government Services | | | |

FINANCIAL IMPACT

4) Revenue: Will this legislation generate or reduce current or future revenue coming to the City? If so, by how much? If so, please identify the source.

If awarded, OACP will reimburse the City a maximum of \$70,000 for wage expenses incurred by the bureau for Traffic Division sworn personnel overtime hours worked on the 2013 DUII and HVE program. The reimbursement rate is \$50.00 per hour.

5) Expense: What are the costs to the City as a result of this legislation? What is the source of funding for the expense? (Please include costs in the current fiscal year as well as costs in future years. If the action is related to a grant or contract please include the local contribution or match required. If there is a project estimate, please identify the level of confidence.)

The local match may include any agency resources used to support the overtime activity, including scheduling and report compilation, as well as straight-time enforcement. Local match shall be provided from City funds in the form of personnel expenses (straight time hours in support of DUII enforcement, overtime expenses incurred above the grant's \$50.00 per hour

reimbursement rate and all benefit expenses related to the grant's straight time and overtime in the Police Bureau's FY 2012-13 and FY 2013-14 budgets.

Using the match formula used with the OACP Safety Belt grant and its 2:1 ratio, the match will be approximately:

\$70,000/\$50 per hour = 1,400 OT hours
1,400 OT hours x 2 = 2,800 straight time hours
2,800 ST hours x \$35.56 Traffic officer ST/hour = \$99,568 in straight time dollars

\$56.54 Traffic officer OT/hour - \$50/hour reimbursement rate = \$6.54/hour
1,400 OT hours x \$6.54 = \$9,156 in OT not reimbursed by the grant
\$70,000 x 0.0145 FICA = \$1,015
Plus PERS and TriMet payroll tax expenses

\$99,568 + \$9,156 + \$1,015 = \$109,739 (minimum estimate)

6) Staffing Requirements:

- **Will any positions be created, eliminated or re-classified in the current year as a result of this legislation?** *(If new positions are created please include whether they will be part-time, full-time, limited term, or permanent positions. If the position is limited term please indicate the end of the term.)*

No positions will be created, eliminated or re-classified in the current year as a result of this legislation.

- **Will positions be created or eliminated in future years as a result of this legislation?**

No positions will be created, eliminated or re-classified in future years as a result of this legislation.

(Complete the following section only if an amendment to the budget is proposed.)

7) Change in Appropriations *(If the accompanying ordinance amends the budget please reflect the dollar amount to be appropriated by this legislation. Include the appropriate cost elements that are to be loaded by accounting. Indicate "new" in Fund Center column if new center needs to be created. Use additional space if needed.)*

No changes to appropriations with this legislation.

Fund	Fund Center	Commitment Item	Functional Area	Funded Program	Grant	Sponsored Program	Amount

[Proceed to Public Involvement Section — REQUIRED as of July 1, 2011]

PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT

8) Was public involvement included in the development of this Council item (e.g. ordinance, resolution, or report)? Please check the appropriate box below:

- YES: Please proceed to Question #9.
 NO: Please, explain why below; and proceed to Question #10.

As a matter of course the Police Bureau Traffic Division would normally conduct criminal investigations and coordination of efforts when responding to incidents. Bureau personnel assigned to the Traffic Division remain under the supervision of the Portland Police Bureau. When statutory, constitutional or decisional law of Oregon restricts investigative methods more than federal law, the investigative methods employed by Portland police officers shall conform to the requirements of Oregon law. Portland police officers may not take action or exercise authority under the agreement if the action or exercise of authority is prohibited by Oregon law.

For these reasons the bureau deems this a routine and non-controversial item that would not require public involvement.

9) If "YES," please answer the following questions:

- a) What impacts are anticipated in the community from this proposed Council item?
- b) Which community and business groups, under-represented groups, organizations, external government entities, and other interested parties were involved in this effort, and when and how were they involved?
- c) How did public involvement shape the outcome of this Council item?
- d) Who designed and implemented the public involvement related to this Council item?
- e) Primary contact for more information on this public involvement process (name, title, phone, email):

10) Is any future public involvement anticipated or necessary for this Council item? Please describe why or why not.

For the same reasons identified in item eight, above, the bureau deems this a routine and non-controversial item that would not require future public involvement.



MICHAEL REESE, Chief of Police