Portland, Oregon

FINANCIAL IMPACT and PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT STATEMENT **For Council Action Items**

(Deliver original to Financial Planning Division. Retain copy.)

	1. Name of Initiator M. Riddick	2. Telephone No. Ext. 3-0066		3. Bureau/Office/Dept. OCT			
	4a. To be filed (date): June 27, 2012	4b. Calenda		onsent 4/5ths	5. Date Submitted to Commissioner's office and FPD Budget Analyst: June 14, 2012		
	6a. Financial Impact Section:	6b. Public Invo			vement Section:		
	X Financial impact section comple	eted		X Public involvement section completed			
*External Put External Put External Put External Put External Put External Put External Put	egislation Title: end term of a right-of-way agreement ance 178519) rpose of the Proposed Legis and the term of a right-of-way a hich area(s) of the city are at	lation: greeme	ent thro	ough January 31	, 2013.		
are b	ased on formal neighborhoo	d coali	ition be	oundaries)?	(Check an that	appry—areas	
,	☐ City-wide/Regional☐ Central Northeast☐ Central City☐ Internal City Government		lorthea louthea	st 🔲 N	Northwest Southwest	☐ North ☐ East	
		<u>FINA</u>	<u>NCIAI</u>	L IMPACT			
Answ from so at	venue: Will this legislation (lity? If so, by how much? If yer: Beginning July 1, 2012, the \$5,000 to \$7,500 per pole per the new rate, revenue will be a rum, LP will also submit a \$2,	'so, ple e annu year. S lbout \$6	ease ide al fee for print S 60,000	entify the source or facilities on pectrum, LP pro per year if no n	ce. poles in the streets esently has 8 sites new facilities are a	s will increase in the streets, dded. Sprint	

5) Expense: What are the costs to the City related to this legislation? What is the source of funding for the expense? (Please include costs in the current fiscal year as well as costs in

facilities on poles in residential areas.

future years. If the action is related to a grant or contract please include the local contribution or match required. If there is a project estimate, please identify the **level of confidence**.) None.

6) Staffing Requirements:

- Will any positions be created, eliminated or re-classified in the current year as a result of this legislation? (If new positions are created please include whether they will be part-time, full-time, limited term, or permanent positions. If the position is limited term please indicate the end of the term.) No.
- Will positions be created or eliminated in future years as a result of this legislation?
 NO

(Complete the following section only if an amendment to the budget is proposed.)


7) <u>Change in Appropriations</u> (If the accompanying ordinance amends the budget please reflect the dollar amount to be appropriated by this legislation. Include the appropriate cost elements that are to be loaded by accounting. Indicate "new" in Fund Center column if new center needs to be created. Use additional space if needed.) N/A

Fund	Fund Center	Commitment Item	Functional Area	Funded Program	Grant	Sponsored Program	Amount

[Proceed to Public Involvement Section — REQUIRED as of July 1, 2011]

PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT

8) Was public involvement included in the development of this Council item (e.g. ordinance, resolution, or report)? Please check the appropriate box below: \[\sum \text{YES}: Please proceed to Question #9. \] \[\sum \text{NO}: Please, explain why below; and proceed to Question #10. \]
This Ordinance is a routine franchising item with no new impact on the community. The Ordinance will extend the term of current franchise while the City and the franchisee negotiate the renewal of the current franchise agreement. All other franchise provisions will remain identical to the franchise previously approved by Council.
9) If "YES," please answer the following questions:
a) What impacts are anticipated in the community from this proposed Council item?
b) Which community and business groups, under-represented groups, organizations, external government entities, and other interested parties were involved in this effort, and when and how were they involved?
c) How did public involvement shape the outcome of this Council item?
d) Who designed and implemented the public involvement related to this Council item?
e) Primary contact for more information on this public involvement process (name, title, phone, email):
10) Is any future public involvement anticipated or necessary for this Council item? Please
describe why or why not.
No future public involvement is anticipated or necessary as this Ordinance extends the term of an
existing franchise.
Mully
BUREAU DIRECTOR (Typed name and signature) David C. Olson, Director



Broadband & Communications Technology Policy | Cable Regulation & Consumer Protection | Utility Franchises, Licenses & Wireless

185476

City Council Agenda Item Staff Supplemental Report

TO:

Commissioner Dan Saltzman

THROUGH:

Office for Community Technology

FROM:

David C. Olson, Director

Contact Person: Melvin Riddick, x 3-0066

DATE:

June 14, 2012

RE:

*Extend term of a right-of-way agreement granted to Sprint Spectrum, LP

(Ordinance; Amend Ordinance 178519)

Requested Placement Date: Regular Agenda on June 27, 2012

I. RECOMMENDATION. Extend the term of a right-of-way agreement through January 31, 2013.

BACKGROUND. Over the last seven years the City Council has granted several wireless right-of-way agreements. The general approach has been to have these agreements expire in 2012 to allow the City to develop consistently applicable terms and conditions. City staff has now reviewed all of these wireless right-of-way agreements, and worked with citizens (see Section VII below), the wireless industry, and the utility pole owners, in an effort to standardize and modernize the terms and conditions of all wireless right-of-way agreements.

II. FINANCIAL IMPACT. The city will continue to receive franchise fees from this wireless carrier's use of the streets. There will be an increase to \$7,500 per year per wireless site in the right-of-way. As Sprint Spectrum currently uses 8 poles, its fees will increase to \$60,000 per year. In addition, when carriers use poles in residential areas they will now need to provide a one-time fee to OCCFM in the sum of \$2000 to cover increased staff costs.

III. LEGAL ISSUES. None



- IV. CONTROVERSIAL ISSUES. The amendments address the need to provide a better balance between the need for maintaining the character of residential neighborhoods against the need to increase antennae in those areas to increase the provision of wireless services. Compensation for street use is being raised, but industry has been consulted and a special meeting held with them to discuss it. Because wireless is a rapidly growing area the extension is for another three years, after which another evaluation of terms, including compensation, can occur.
- V. LINK TO CURRENT CITY POLICIES: http://www.portlandonline.com/cable/index.cfm?c=34422&a=67688
- VI. CITIZEN PARTICIPATION. These amendments incorporate input from 2006 to present from the Bureaus of Development Services, Planning, and Parks, and the Bureau of Transportation. In addition, staff has met repeatedly with utility pole owners, citizens, and wireless carriers. Staff analyzed their input, refined the amendments, and finalized the proposed amendments.
- VII. OTHER GOVERNMENT PARTICIPATION. None
- IX. IF THIS IS A CONTRACT, DOES CONTRACTOR HAVE A CURRENT BUSINESS LICENSE? _yes

WHAT IS THEIR BUSINESS LICENSE NUMBER? 433636

IS THEIR ACCOUNT WITH THE CITY CURRENT? _yes_

IF NOT, HOW MUCH IS OWING? _N/A____