Portland, Oregon FINANCIAL IMPACT and PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT STATEMENT For Council Action Items

(Deliver original to Financial Planning Division. Retain copy.)									
 Name of Initiator Keith Witcosky 	2. Tele X 3-32	ephone No. 43	3. Bureau/Office/Dept. Portland Development Commission						
4a. To be filed (date): May 3, 2012	4b. Calendar (Check One) Regular Consent 4/5ths		5. Date Submitted to Commissioner's office and FPD Budget Analyst: May 3, 2012						
6a. Financial Impact Section:	pleted	6b. Public Involvement Section:							

1) Legislation Title:

Authorize Intergovernmental Agreement with the Portland Development Commission, Multnomah County and Portland State University (Ordinance; Agreement)

2) Purpose of the Proposed Legislation:

In connection with the Education Urban Renewal Plan, this action will provide funding, support and partnerships to implement multi-jurisdictional policy objectives which provide a regional benefit. The IGA will provide financial resources to Multnomah County for a development project (the "Project") which will serve as a home for functions of the County Department of Human Services. PSU will strive to co-locate compatible academic and research programs with this Project.

In addition to the Project, PSU and the County will form a Joint Human Services and Community Health Initiative to enhance existing, and initiate new, research, evaluation, education, and training projects (the "Initiative").

3) Which area(s) of the city are affected by this Council item? (Check all that apply—areas are based on formal neighborhood coalition boundaries)?

City-wide/Regional

nal 🗌 Northeast

- Central Northeast
- Southeast
- □ Northwest

☐ Southwest

□ North □ East

Central City

□ Internal City Government Services

FINANCIAL IMPACT

4) <u>Revenue</u>: Will this legislation generate or reduce current or future revenue coming to the City? If so, by how much? If so, please identify the source.

This action will not affect current or future revenues coming to the City.

5) <u>Expense</u>: What are the costs to the City related to this legislation? What is the source of funding for the expense? (Please include costs in the current fiscal year as well as costs in future years. If the action is related to a grant or contract please include the local contribution or match required. If there is a project estimate, please identify the level of confidence.)

The IGA directs \$19,125,000 of tax increment financing to Multnomah County. If the IGA was not in existence these resources would be available for other projects in the proposed URA.

6) Staffing Requirements:

• Will any positions be created, eliminated or re-classified in the current year as a result of this legislation? (If new positions are created please include whether they will be part-time, full-time, limited term, or permanent positions. If the position is limited term please indicate the end of the term.)

We do not anticipate creating, eliminating, or reclassifying any positions this year due to this legislation.

• Will positions be created or eliminated in *future years* as a result of this legislation?

We do not anticipate creating or eliminating positions in future years due to this legislation.

(Complete the following section only if an amendment to the budget is proposed.)

7) <u>Change in Appropriations</u> (If the accompanying ordinance amends the budget please reflect the dollar amount to be appropriated by this legislation. Include the appropriate cost elements that are to be loaded by accounting. Indicate "new" in Fund Center column if new center needs to be created. Use additional space if needed.)

Fund	Fund Center	Commitment Item	Functional Area	Funded Program	Grant	Sponsored Program	Amount

2

PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT

8) Was public involvement included in the development of this Council item (e.g. ordinance, resolution, or report)? Please check the appropriate box below:

 \boxtimes **YES**: Please proceed to Question #9.

 \square NO: Please, explain why below; and proceed to Question #10.

9) If "YES," please answer the following questions:

a) What impacts are anticipated in the community from this proposed Council item?

The Project, with a potential synergistic co-location, will leverage PSU's academic and research expertise with the County's proficiency in service design and delivery to advance programs supporting social and community prosperity. In addition to the Project, PSU and the County expect that the Initiative will be a long term mutually beneficial relationship for both parties and last at least 20 years. Projects within the scope of the Initiative will benefit the region and may include:

- a. Conducting a longitudinal evaluation of the Schools Uniting Neighborhoods program;
- b. Identifying factors related to changes in local crime rates and determining the causal relationship between such factors and crime rates; and
- c. Scaling up and conducting further studies on the efficacy of Trauma-Informed Care at the County.

b) Which community and business groups, under-represented groups, organizations, external government entities, and other interested parties were involved in this effort, and when and how were they involved?

Two separate public hearings occurred to discuss the IGA. The PDC Board of Commissioners voted unanimously (5-0) to approve the IGA on April 11, 2012 and the Multnomah County Commission voted 3-2 to approve the IGA on April 26, 2012.

c) How did public involvement shape the outcome of this Council item?

This IGA is a direct outcome of conversations with the County around creating a new central city URA, a concept which has been under discussion since 2009. The negotiation of this IGA began in December 2011 and was completed in March 2012. The IGA was publicly discussed in the broader context of creating an urban renewal area which reflects both the benefits and impacts to affected taxing jurisdictions.

d) Who designed and implemented the public involvement related to this Council item?

Portland Development Commission, Portland State University and Multnomah County staff designed and implemented the public involvement around the IGA.

4

e) Primary contact for more information on this public involvement process (name, title, phone, email):

Keith Witcosky Deputy Director, PDC 503-823-3243 <u>WitcoskyK@pdc.us</u>

10) Is any future public involvement anticipated or necessary for this Council item? Please describe why or why not.

The Initiative described above will be guided by a joint taskforce of faculty and administrators from PSU and the County. Among its activities, such taskforce will outline project scopes, identify and seek funding, and develop mutually acceptable deliverables that PSU will provide to the County.

Patrick Quinton BUREAU DIRECTOR



www.pdc.us

J. Scott Andrews Commission Chair

Aneshka Dickson Commissioner

John C. Mohlis Commissioner

Steven Straus Commissioner

Charles A. Wilhoite Gommissioner

Sam Adams Mayor

Patrick Quinton Executive Director

222 Northwest Fifth Avenue Portland, OR 97209-3859

tel: 503.823.3200 fax: 503.823.3368 TTY: 503.823.3366



DATE: May 3, 2012

TO: Mayor Sam Adams

185328

Reviewed by Bureau Liaison _

FROM: Keith Witcosky, Deputy Director

RE: Authorize Intergovernmental Agreement with the Portland Development Commission, Multnomah County and Portland State University (Ordinance; Agreement)

1. INTENDED THURSDAY FILING DATE: May 3, 2012 2. REQUESTED COUNCIL AGENDA DATE: May 9, 2012 3. PRIMARY CONTACT NAME & NUMBER: Keith Witcosky, 503-823-3243 4. SECONDARY CONTACT & PREPARED BY: Morgan Masterman, 503-823-6839 5. PLACE ON: CONSENT X REGULAR 6. FINANCIAL IMPACT & PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT STATEMENT ATTACHED: X Yes No N/A 7. (THREE) ORIGINAL COPIES OF CONTRACTS APPROVED AS TO FORM BY CITY ATTORNEY ATTACHED: Yes No Х N/A

8. BACKGROUND/ANALYSIS

Introduction and History – Attached is an ordinance for Council consideration on May 9, 2012. The ordinance authorizes the City to enter into an intergovernmental agreement ("IGA") with the Portland Development Commission ("PDC"), Portland State University ("PSU"), and Multnomah County (the "County"). Concurrently, the Council will consider an ordinance to create the Education Urban Renewal Area, which will fund this IGA.

This IGA will provide financial resources to the County for a development project (the "Project") which will serve as a home for functions of the County Department of Human Services. PSU will strive to co-locate compatible academic and research programs with this project. In addition to the Project, PSU and the County will form a Joint Human Services and Community Health Initiative to enhance existing, and initiate new, research, evaluation, education, and training projects (the "Initiative").

Legal Issues – None

Link to Current City Policies – This IGA advances goals in the Portland Plan related to equity, the alignment of public resources, public education and the provision of basic services.

Controversial Issues – Any controversy related to this item would be associated with the creation of an urban renewal area and a redirection of property taxes.

Citizen Participation – In 2009, Mayor Adams formed the Central City URA Evaluation Committee to provide recommendations on the creation of a new central city urban renewal area. This group held a series of 14 meetings between May 2009 and August 2010 and provided feedback on the initial framework used in the creation of this proposed Plan. Over the next year, this framework was further refined through discussion with major partners including PSU and Multnomah County.

From November 2011 to May 2012, PDC and PSU staff presented the draft Plan to various community groups and organizations including the Portland Business Alliance, Greater Portland Inc, the South of Market EcoDistrict Steering Committee and neighborhood groups, and held a public open house where more than 100 people attended.

This IGA is a direct outcome of conversations with the County around creating a new central city URA. This IGA and the partnerships it will support are critical components to the success of the proposed Education Urban Renewal Plan

Other Government Participation – The PDC Board of Commissioners voted unanimously to approve the IGA on April 11, 2012 and the Multnomah County Commission voted 3-2 to approve the IGA on April 26, 2012.

9. FINANCIAL IMPACT

This IGA provides a total of \$19,125,000 in tax increment revenues to the County in annual increments over a 20 year period. If the IGA was not in existence these resources would be available for other projects in the proposed Education URA.

10. RECOMMENDATION/ACTION REQUESTED

It is recommended that the City Council approve the ordinance authorizing the City to enter into an intergovernmental agreement with PSU, Multnomah County and PDC.

Kooth Wity

Keith Witcosky, Deputy Director