Portland, Oregon

FINANCIAL IMPACT and PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT STATEMENT **For Council Action Items**

		inal to Fin	ancial Pl	anning Division. Ret	ain copy.)	
	1. Name of Initiator		2. Telephone No.		3. Bureau/Office/I	
	Al Burns, AICP Senior City Plann	er 503-823-7832		Planning and Susta	inability	
	4a. To be filed (date):	4b. Calendar (Check One)		5. Date Submitted to Commissioner's office		
	February 15, 2012	Reg [ular Consent 4/5ths		and FPD Budget February 6, 2012	: Analyst:
	6a. Financial Impact Section:			6b. Public Involve	ement Section:	
	Financial impact section comp					leted
*Acc and I 2) Pu The p	egislation Title: ept a grant in the amount of \$ Development to update parts of urpose of the Proposed Legis ourpose of the ordinance is to a	f the Ci lation: accept a	ty Con	nprehensive Plan	n (Ordinance) nandated planning	g work. The
Comp	is in a process called "periodic prehensive Plan. This mandat hich area(s) of the city are a ased on formal neighborhoo	e is des ffected	cribed by thi	in ORS 197.625 S Council item?	and OAR 660-0	0025.
	☐ City-wide/Regional ☐ Central Northeast ☐ Central City ☐ Internal City Government	□ N □ S	lorthea outhea	st 🔲 N	orthwest outhwest	☐ North ☐ East
		FINA	NCIA	L IMPACT		
	venue: Will this legislation lity? If so, by how much? If	_				e coming to
is mar gener	grant will contribute \$100,000 and ted, in the absence of the gal funds. The grant source is a were appropriated to the Ore	grant, th State of	e City Orego	would have to p on Biennium FY	perform the same 2011/2013 gene	work with City ral funds,

subsidize costs to local governments for updating their comprehensive plans.

5) Expense: What are the costs to the City related to this legislation? What is the source of

Version effective July 1, 2011

funding for the expense? (Please include costs in the current fiscal year as well as costs in future years. If the action is related to a grant or contract please include the local contribution or match required. If there is a project estimate, please identify the **level of confidence**.)

None.. The grant does not require a local match.

6) Staffing Requirements:

• Will any positions be created, eliminated or re-classified in the current year as a result of this legislation?

No.

• Will positions be created or eliminated in future years as a result of this legislation?

No.

7) Change in Appropriations

The awarded grant funds will be expended during FY 12-13, and have been included in the BPS requested budget.

Fund	Fund Center	Commitment Item	Functional Area	Funded Program	Grant	Sponsored Program	Amount

PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT

8) Was public involvement included in the development of this Council item (e.g.
ordinance, resolution, or report)? Please check the appropriate box below:
☐ YES: Please proceed to Question #9.
NO: Please, explain why below; and proceed to Question #10.

This is an ordinance to accept a grant, strictly ministerial in nature. Eligible work is limited to Tasks on Portland's state-approved periodic review work program. Extensive public involvement preceded the request by informing which work was included, and not included, on the Periodic Review work program. When awarded, grant supported work would be subject to the involvement described in the answer to question 10 below.

The development and implementation of the City's Periodic Review work program was authorized by a resolution and prior ordinance of Council. Periodic Review is supported by extensive public involvement, including an 18 member Community Involvement Committee, appointed by the Mayor and confirmed by the Council. This committee is charged with ensuring that all planning work meets rigorous public involvement standards, and no work is submitted to Planning and Sustainability Commission for a recommendation, or to the City Council for adoption, without an endorsement from the Community Involvement Committee.

Only planning work on the City's state-approved Periodic Review work program is eligible for grant funding. All of the work must be performed, whether or not a grant is awarded, so the application does not affect the content of timing of planning work. Furthermore, the grant program was announced on July 25, 2011 with an application deadline of September 1, 2011. This short timeline provided no meaningful opportunity for public involvement in the ministerial task of preparing the grant request.

9) If "YES," please answer the following questions:

- a) What impacts are anticipated in the community from this proposed Council item?
- b) Which community and business groups, under-represented groups, organizations, external government entities, and other interested parties were involved in this effort, and when and how were they involved?
- c) How did public involvement shape the outcome of this Council item?
- d) Who designed and implemented the public involvement related to this Council item?
- e) Primary contact for more information on this public involvement process (name, title, phone, email):

10) Is any future public involvement anticipated or necessary for this Council item? Please describe why or why not.

Yes, future public involvement is both anticipated and required.

When awarded, eligible grant expenditures would be limited to work tasks on the City's state-approved periodic review work program. Since this is mandated work, it must be completed whether or not a grant is awarded.

All periodic review work, grant funded or not, benefits from the oversight of a dedicated Community Involvement Committee, composed of 15 members of diverse backgrounds and interests, supplemented by up to three members of the Planning and Sustainability Commission. This committee works under the terms of an involvement program and bylaws adopted by Council ordinance and approved by an order of the Oregon Department of Land Conservation and Development.

When awarded, all funded work would meet high standards for public involvement as provided in the Community Involvement component of Periodic Review.

Susan Anderson MSus Anderson

BUREAU DIRECTOR (Typed name and signature)

\



MEMO

DATE:

January 27, 2012

TO:

Mayor Sam Adams

FROM:

Susan Anderson, Director

1. Ordinance Title: *Accept a grant in the amount of \$100,000 from the Oregon Department of Land Conservation and Development to update parts of the City Comprehensive Plan (Ordinance)

2. Contact: Al Burns, AICP, Senior City Planner

Requested Council Date: February 15, 2012

4. Consent Agenda Item

Regular Agenda Item

Emergency Item (explain below)

□ Non-Emergency Item

The grant was offered on January 27, 2012, but contingent upon its being signed by an authorized person within 30 days. City authorization requires an ordinance, and only an emergency ordinance can take effect within the allotted time.

- 5. Purpose of Agenda Item: The purpose of the ordinance is to accept a state grant for state-mandated planning work. The City is in a process called "periodic review," which requires the City to update parts of its Comprehensive Plan. This mandate is described in ORS 197.625 and OAR 660-0025
- 6. History of Agenda Item/Background: The Portland City Council transmitted a periodic review work program to the Oregon Department of Land Conservation and Development (DLCD) in 2008. This work program was designed to update the economic development. housing, public facilities, transportation, and urbanization elements of the City's Comprehensive Plan. The DLCD approved Portland's periodic review work program in 2009. This approval made the Portland Bureau of Planning and Sustainability eligible for periodic review grants. The City has already received three periodic review grants. On July 25, 2011 DLCD announced the availability of a grant program, stating that the highest priority would be funding of approved periodic review work tasks. On January



- 27, 2012 the DLCD awarded a fourth period review grant to the City in the amount of a \$100,000.
- 7. Legal Issues: The grant supports a mandate to update the City's Comprehensive Plan. The mandate is called "Periodic Review," which is described in ORS 197.628 through 197.651, as amended by HB 2130 (2011). The periodic review mandate was first established in 1981. Because the mandate predates the Measure 30 amendment to the Oregon Constitution, the state is not required to cover the full cost of periodic review, but this grant will cover part of the City's costs.
- 8. What individuals or groups are or would be supportive or opposed to this action? No individual or group is known to either support or oppose this ordinance. However, anyone who would rather accomplish state-mandated work with state general funds, rather than City general funds, would probably support the ordinance.
- 9. How does this relate to current City policies? It is the city's policy to maintain, and as necessary, to update its Comprehensive Plan. An awarded grant would support a Comprehensive Plan update. [Please see: existing Comprehensive Plan Goal 10, and Policies 10.1 and 10.2; and Portland City Code 3.30.030(B).]

