ORTHAND OR BEST

CITY OF

PORTLAND, OREGON

OFFICIAL MINUTES

A REGULAR MEETING OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF PORTLAND, OREGON WAS HELD THIS **25**TH **DAY OF AUGUST, 2010** AT 9:30 A.M.

THOSE PRESENT WERE: Commissioner Saltzman, Presiding; Commissioners Fritz and Leonard, 3.

OFFICERS IN ATTENDANCE: Karla Moore-Love, Clerk of the Council; Tracy Reeve, Sr. Deputy City Attorney; and Ron Willis, Sergeant at Arms.

	DUE TO THE ABSENCE OF TWO COUNCIL MEMBERS NO EMERGENCY ORDINANCES WERE CONSIDERED AND ITEMS WERE NOT HEARD UNDER A CONSENT AGENDA	Disposition:
	COMMUNICATIONS	
1177	Request of Arthur Lewellan to address Council regarding CRC consensus and development (Communication)	PLACED ON FILE
1178	Request of Mike O'Callaghan to address Council regarding shelterlessness and unanswered questions (Communication)	PLACED ON FILE
	REGULAR AGENDA Mayor Sam Adams	
1179	Authorize a grant agreement with CASH Oregon for \$75,000 to provide financial education and counseling and free tax preparation services to low-income families and individuals in Portland (Second Reading Agenda 1154) (Y-3)	184074
	Bureau of Transportation	
1180	Create a local improvement district to construct street and stormwater improvements from south of NE Whitaker Way to north of NE Prescott Ct in the NE 136 th Ave Phase I Local Improvement District (Hearing; Ordinance; C-10036) 10 minutes requested	PASSED TO SECOND READING SEPTEMBER 1, 2010 AT 9:30 AM
	Office of Emergency Management	

1181	Authorize Memorandum of Understanding with Oregon Department of Forestry for qualified City personnel to participate in ODF Incident Management Team Shadow Program (Resolution)	36811
	(Y-3)	
	Commissioner Dan Saltzman Position No. 3	
	Bureau of Environmental Services	
1182	Authorize the Bureau of Environmental Services to acquire certain permanent easements necessary for construction of the NE 148 th Water Quality Facility Project, BES Project E07177, through the exercise of the City's Eminent Domain Authority (Ordinance)	PASSED TO SECOND READING SEPTEMBER 1, 2010 AT 9:30 AM
1183	Authorize an Add Work Letter Agreement with the Oregon Department of Transportation for sewer work completed on the MLK/Grand Viaduct Project No. E10165 (Second Reading Agenda 1171) (Y-3)	184075
	Commissioner Nick Fish Position No. 2	
	Portland Parks & Recreation	
1184	Amend contract with Berger Abam for engineering, permitting and construction administration of the Circle Avenue Bridge Repair project (Ordinance; amend Contract No. 30000076)	PASSED TO SECOND READING SEPTEMBER 1, 2010 AT 9:30 AM
	City Auditor LaVonne Griffin-Valade	
1185	Appoint a pool of community volunteers to be available to serve on the Police Review Board (Report) 15 minutes requested	CONFIRMED
	(Y-3)	

At 10:21 a.m., Council adjourned.

LAVONNE GRIFFIN-VALADEAuditor of the City of Portland

By Karla Moore-Love Clerk of the Council

For a discussion of agenda items, please consult the following Closed Caption File.

WEDNESDAY, 2:00 PM, AUGUST 25, 2010

DUE TO LACK OF AN AGENDA THERE WAS NO MEETING

August 25, 2010 Closed Caption File of Portland City Council Meeting

This file was produced through the closed captioning process for the televised City Council broadcast and should not be considered a verbatim transcript.

Key: **** means unidentified speaker.

AUGUST 25, 2010

Saltzman: Please call the roll. [roll call]

Saltzman: So we -- our first items are communications. If you could read -- Karla, read 1177.

Item 1177.

Saltzman: Good morning.

Arthur Lewellan: Good morning this makes me nervous coming in here.

Saltzman: You'll do fine. But if 'you could just give us your name and then you have three minutes

and there's a clock in front of you that will indicate how much time you're using.

Lewellan: I ask the council to allow me to finish. I think I can do it within three minutes. If I

can't, just -- i'll summarize. But I should be able to -- quickly.

Saltzman: Your name?

Lewellan: Excuse me. I'm arthur llewellyn. I live downtown and have been following Portland's transportation planning process for many years. And am supportive of light rail and streetcar and that kind of development patterns that Portland practices. My principle philosophy is aligned with metro. I believe in regional planning and urbanism on the regional scale. I have been following the columbia river crossing process for quite a few years now. And on the fifth of this month, there was an open house at jantzen beach where I learned of officially proposed design for dealing with jantzen beach that really seemed to me like it was the best one i've seen yet. And I gave testimony then. There was a public opportunity, I think, two minutes to tell them what you think and I told them, this is the best one i've seen yet and it's entitled the concept number one and two days later, it was off the table and concept d was the consensus, quote, consensus, of the stakeholders in the planning bipartisan bistate planning committee for what's to be done on the columbia river crossing and I feel it's unfair for the public to not learn more about this concept number one. Which to me, it is an elegant solution that literally cuts the cost of the project in half. I think it would work better than anything we've come up with so far. So i'm here today to present this material you have before you. And ask the city council to assure that the public gets a chance to see this and weigh in on what it could do. I -- I could take more than three minutes. I have 30 seconds left to discuss what I think is fine about it, because i'm very analytical why I come -- here's what I think, and if there's some project I don't think I can support, i'll say here's why I don't support. So I don't have enough time to get into exactly why, but I will say that I can't just have no answer. Say, well, we don't care what your concerns are. We're not going to answer your concerns. That's not right for anyone. And so there was two papers that I handed out to you, the one has the comparison between concept d and concept number one and the other one is a comparison between the 12-lane bridge, an earlier version, and a smaller version of an earlier design. Roughly, the same. That would allow this project, bring its cost down in size, and find public support.

Saltzman: Thank you.

*****: Ok

Saltzman: Thank you, mr. Llewellyn.

Fritz: I wanted to mention -- thank you very much for all your diligence over the years. I remember you coming to the planning commission when I was on it 15 years ago, and mayor Adams is in charge on the response on this. The columbia river crossing. And i'll make sure he gets the response.

Lewellan: It's fairly new and I don't think the public really got -- to me, if it were presented to the hayden island residents, that community, they would be more supportive -- i'm not sure about that, but -- that's my take.

Saltzman: Ok. *****: Ok.

Saltzman: I'd like to read 1178.

Item 1178.

Saltzman: Good morning.

Mike O'Callaghan: Good morning, thank you.

Saltzman: I think you know the drill. Just give us your name and you have three minutes.

O'Callaghan: Ok, i'm off. First of all, I don't know if you saw this morning headlines in the paper.

25% drop in housing.

Saltzman: Can you move the mic up?

O'Callaghan: Mic up? Ok. As I predicted a year ago, homelessness is going to dramatically increase and it has and it's going to dramatically increase again and from my perspective, shelterlessness is being ignored. I'd like to give you a couple of ideas about loos. Bicycle loos. Put portable loos on bicycles. The guy at street roots has a county-approved. I think king countyapproved, loo. Another one, add -- at construction sites mandate wherever they put up loos, they put up one for the public. Easy solutions and really no cost. Another is to double the tax on unoccupied buildings. It's a blight on the community. Double the taxes. Have the money go toward shelterlessness or homelessness. Another one, tax vehicles that create air pollution can be done today. Please stop the traffic jams. Another one is a zoned camping ordinance. Here's my 10 unanswered questions. Why is my life criminalized when I have no home? And i've asked a lot of authorities these questions and received zero answers. What authority allows the creation of community court and why was I forced to give up my right to a jury trial if I pleaded not guilty? Why do the pps -- ppd, pps, parks and metro -- write exclusions that are not generated legally under city code? I've taken this issue with numerous north, no response. Why do authorities harass and intimidate while writing pew citations. What authority are the notices of voluntary compliance generated? We get these notices of voluntary compliance and we need to move our stuff if they're going to steal it. What authority do parks have? Don't see any. What authority allows park employees to search my tent and papers on non-parks property? Why is the city not in compliance with state law regarding camping? Why do authorities prohibit self-governing area where is people can construct shelters. Why not a carping ordinance where we can build a place where we can be warm and dry if we want to and have it fenced and be secure from outside influences. Thank you, I appreciate your time. I'll leave the 10 questions, and actually, there are only nine. [laughter] thank you for your time.

Saltzman: Thank you, mike. Well, we have no consent agenda. So we'll move right to our regular agenda. Karla, please read 1179.

Item 1179.

Saltzman: This is the second reading. Vote only.

Leonard: Aye.

Fritz: To all the advocates and volunteer who is wrote in to ensure that the state's in the budget.

Saltzman: Aye. The item passes and we'll read 1180.

Item 1180.

Saltzman: Good morning, andrew.

Andrew Aebi, Local Improvement District Administrator: Good morning, andrew aebi, local improvement district administrator. We received no remonstrances against the local improvement district formation.

Saltzman: This is our first hearing.

*****: I don't believe anyone is here to testify.

Saltzman: Oh, we have -- are you here to testify on this issue.

*****: [inaudible]

Saltzman: Yeah, now is the time. Come on up and give us your name and then you have three minutes.

Steve Morasch: I brought a copy of a letter. It's a two-page letter printed front and back. The letter was -- first, my name, my name is steve morasch. I was here before when the resolution of intent was up for consideration. I'm submitting a letter written by my partner who is the main local counsel for public storage. And she's asked me to come and do the hearing and basically explain to you what's in her letter. The first part of her letter is public storage is a little bit upset because the notice of hearing on this matter went to its p.o. Box in glendale, california, and no copy was provided to our office or to chris tucker who is the in-house counsel at public storage tracking this issue and both myself and mr. Tucker have been in communication with the city on this issue. I came to the last hearing. So the city knew we were involved. I'm sure it was an oversight but they were not happy because by the time it got to chris tucker's desk, the deadline of august 18th had passed because it had to work its way up through corporate channels. So public storage would like to remind the city, it submitted a remonstrance previously with the written letter of july 28th that was signed by myself on behalf of public storage objecting to the lid to the extent that this would require a property acquisition. I think another property owner, supreme steel submitted a letter. That's only 50% of the total ownership so I don't know if it's enough to stop the lid and there's a legal issue of a prior recorded waiver of remonstrance and whether that includes the right to acquire -- acquire property or not. But they wanted to make that point that about half the property owners object to the acquisition element of this particular lid. Now, one way that that acquisition element can be addressed is through the use of curb tight sidewalks and the last time, public storage was asking for no sidewalks as part of the proposal and I know the city encourages sidewalk so they're backing off of that to the point where we think that curb tight sidewalks would be acceptable to public storage and then the city could have most of the property owners supporting the lid. The other thing that public storage wants me to say and it's in the letter, they intend to oppose any acquisition with their full resources. So take that as you will. I'm available for any questions. And if there are no questions, that concludes my presentation. Thank you.

Saltzman: I was going to ask andrew the same question. What is curb tight sidewalk mean? **Morasch:** I'm sure andrew will come up and comment further. But a typical sidewalk has a planting strip where you can put trees and landscaping. Curb tight means you eliminate that, where it's just a curb and then the street. The reason we're asking for that, if you eliminate the planting strip, I think there will be room to do the improvement in the existing right-of-way and they won't have to acquire property from the property owner. And the reason public storage doesn't want to give the property up is either they have to give it up for free, or they have to get compensated but because it's an lid. They have to pay for their own property through the assessments and they don't think that's fair.

Saltzman: Thank you. Andrew, would you like to come back up and respond to testimony? **Aebi:** Thank you, commissioner Saltzman. Andrew aebi, local improvement district administrator we send all notices to the legal address of record. We do also endeavor to send courtesy notification to folks who are not the legal address of record, such as counsel to the property owners. I will say that when we did a previous lid at 135th and prescott, I sent notification to public storage over the course of the three years we worked on the lid and they tend to get lost in the mailroom at public storage in glendale. We can't assume responsibility for what happens after the postal service it addresses it—or delivers it, I should say. More importantly, I had a conversation with steve earlier this month after the resolution of intent was adopted and he and I had a telephone conversation and talked about the upcoming hearing and I walked him through the options and this

should not be a surprise to him in terms of the deadline. In terms of the curb tight sidewalk, I had a conversation with steve earlier this month and what I suggested to steve is that council had given me direction at the july 28th hearing that we weren't going to drop the sidewalks. What I suggested to steve, we could get the lid formed, go ahead and order the survey, see what we're really dealing with in terms of obstacles to separating the sidewalks and if there's topographical constraint that would provide a good reason for not separating the sidewalk, we could look at that. I wanted to reiterate that I have a project under construction in parkrose and the property owners were cooperative on the right-of-way acquisition and we're trending significantly below budget. My hope is with a relatively small lid of just four property owners, once we get the survey, I can hold a property owners meeting and discuss the options and hopefully come to an agreement quickly. I would remind public storage that right-of-way costs are legitimate costs of transportation projects, including lid-funded projects. So to the extent that a property owner is not cooperative on right-of-way acquisition on a lid results in more costs for the property owner. My hope in moving forward, we can be cooperative on options for reducing costs. Right-of-way doesn't have to be expensive if the property owners are cooperative on it. Happy to answer any questions you have.

Aebi: How much -- how many feet of right-of-way and what's the approximate value, do we know? **Aebi:** We don't put values on it, commissioner, until we go out and do the appraisals. I've been advised by right-of-way not to throw out numbers. What I will say in general and steve is absolutely correct, the cost of right-of-way acquisition are broader than the payment for the easement, there's the staff time am appraisal and there's costs in there. But we're looking at a five-foot taking on each side of the street and I might also let council know, part of the reason we like to separate sidewalks, particularly in a freight area, if you have a truck with wide mirrors parked up against the curb and mirrors hanging over the sidewalk and if you have it will poles in the sidewalk area, your effective six-feet of clearance can be reduced by the mirrors hanging over the sidewalk, and no-parking signs and utility poles and the clearance distance can be small. You may remember from the yamhill lid that we had previously, I showed you a picture of what a sidewalk looks like when you get the utility poles in there and the clearance for pedestrians can be small. Bottom line, we have different options in terms of how we build the sidewalks. I'm not asking council it make a decision. You're free to do that if you wish, but those are normal design issues we can work through in the course of survey and design.

Fritz: Do we ever do acknowledgment of donation of right-of-way in terms of the rest of the lid costs like for donation properties that go against sdcs?

Aebi: I'm not aware of the sdc component but I can have the conversation with the property owners to gauge interest in donating right-of-way. We save the cost of appraisals --

Fritz: In that case, do we give them a lesser assessment on the lid?

Aebi: Absolutely. To the extent the lid comes in below budget, we only charge what the actual cost of the lid.

Fritz: Would the property owner donating the easement get a break on the cost compared with other lid participate who are participating?

Aebi: Candidly no. If there's a larger lid it is hard to get people to donate. But my hope is with four property owners we can sit down and have a conversation. But candidly, if two out of the four agree to donate and the other two don't, then we can't charge a higher cost to the other folks.

Saltzman: Any other questions? Ok, thank you, andrew. This is a first reading, right?

Aebi: Yes.

Saltzman: This will move to second reading next week.

Aebi: I wanted to mention one other thing. David mentioned the testimony entered into the record on july 28th. It came in after 5:00 the day before the hearing. I didn't have a chance to write a response. But in the last exhibit in the ordinance has responses to all of those issues that were

raised by steve and supreme steel and I urge council to make a look at that before the second reading next week.

Saltzman: Thank you very much. They will this will move to second reading and now go to item 1181.

Item 1181.

Saltzman: Good morning.

Patty Reuter: Good morning. Patty, Portland office of emergency management.

John Nohr, Portland Fire and Rescue: And john, emergency operations for Portland fire and

rescue.

Rueter: This mou allows qualified personnel in the city of Portland to shadow oef personnel on the site of an major fire within the state. And so this allows experiential learning and open to all bureaus that have personnel that work within their ecc -- Emergency operations center and emergency coordination center. The people eligible have had to take national incident management training up to the level of 200 and actively involved within the eec area or eoc. So a limited group and this stems from a regional emergency management technical committee of coordinated effort to have a one-stop shopping, so to speak well, odf. The process that is odf calls, Washington county emergency management and says we -- and tells them we need help, it's a very rare indication. They then, the emergency management office of Washington county then calls our office and we put out an all-call for participants in this process and then they have to have approval by their bureau. They -- and then they put in their names and are considered to go to the site of the fire.

Saltzman: In a shadowing type of format?

Rueter: Yes, they don't -- they don't fight fire. They work alongside of the incident commander or the finance person or the section, logistics section, operations section, public information. And odf is known -- Oregon department of forestry is known for its expertise in icf and it's a heart life out there, but you learn a lot. I've done it before and it's great experience.

Nohr: I wanted to speak on behalf the fire bureau in support of this. These positions, these are high-level management positions within an intimate command structure. These are not people on the ground putting out the fire. But it's a opportunity -- we don't get extremely large incidents, community-wide disasters here. We're good to handle day-to-day incidents but a community-wide disaster, our incident managers needle the experience, finance section chief, incident commanders, things of that nature to run a community-wide disaster and that's what this will get us.

Saltzman: Any questions?

*****: Thank you.

Saltzman: Karla, please call the roll.

Moore-Love: I didn't have a sign-up sheet on this.

Saltzman: Anyone wish to testify? Seeing none, call the roll.

Leonard: Aye.

Fritz: Thank you for your work. Aye.

Saltzman: Aye. That brings us to item 1182.

Item 1182.

Saltzman: This is an exciting project, the bureau of environmental services will construct a water quality facility on n.e. 148th avenue to treat stormwater runoff from the acres that drain into the columbia slough and will protect the water quality and help the city meet its requirements under the federal stormwater permit we hold. The construction of the facility requires environmental services to acquire permanent sewer easements and the ordinance requires the bureau to use the city's eminent domain authority to acquire the easements. Public outreach has been conducted with individual businesses and property owners and everyone is on board with this project. So -- that's what this is and if there are questions -- do we have anyone signed up who wishes to testify on this. Or, anyone in the room who wishes to testify on this.

Fritz: I have one question. **Saltzman:** Staff up here.

Fritz: You may be able to answer, anyway. Just want to know if these are friendly condemnations.

Linda Birth, Bureau of Transportation: Yes, the negotiations so far have been very smooth.

Saltzman: Name?

Birth: Linda birth, Portland transportation. We don't anticipate needing to file --

Fritz: Thank you.

Saltzman: Thank you. So nobody here to testify. This will move to second reading.

*****: Thank you.

Saltzman: And that brings us to item 1183.

Item 1183.

Saltzman: This is a second reading, so vote only. Please call the roll.

Leonard: Aye. Fritz: Aye.

Saltzman: Aye. Brings us to item 1184.

Item 1184.

Saltzman: Good morning.

Emily Hicks, Commissioner Fish's Office: Good morning. Emily hicks, commissioner Fish's office. This was intended for the consent agenda. It's a contract previously approved by council in 2008 for the repair and partial replacement of the circle avenue bridge over johnson creek and it's an amendment that allows additional work related to difficulties and new bridge supports which require additional time, field inspections and permitting requirements related to watershed habitat. An additional \$15,000 to the contract.

Fritz: Why is it parks rather than transportation bringing this forward?

Hicks: And not transportation? **Fritz:** Yeah, is it in a park?

Hicks: Yes, park land and connected to the springwater corridor, I believe.

Fritz: So parks normally does these rather than transportation? Because I saw a picture of it. It's a pretty big bridge. I mean, it's --

Hicks: Yeah. It is, I can get more information about where our partnership is with pdot on this.

But it will go it for a second reading for next week so I can get that question answered.

Fritz: I was interested to figure out how it works. Thank you.

Hicks: Ok.

Saltzman: Thanks, emily. Anyone wish to testify on 1184? Seeing no one. This will move to second reading. And that brings us to our last item. 1185.

Item 1185.

Saltzman: Good morning, auditor.

LaVonne Griffin-Valade, City Auditor: Good morning, lavonne griffin-valade, city auditor. On march 31st, the city council amended the city code which governs the process and makeup of Portland police bureau and use of force review boards. The new procedures take effect on september 11th, 2010 as part of the changes approved by council, the police bureau will select citizen members from a pool of volunteers recommended by the city auditor and appointed by city council. So since march 31st, we've conducted a recruitment and I enlisted staff from the auditor he is independent review committee and a member who had served on police review boards and a police -- assisted by the chief to assist me in the pool of volunteers available to participate in the police review boards f. Confirmed, their term begins september 1st, 2010 and ends august 31st, 2013. For your approval, I recommend the 19 individuals who are listed on the report. And whose bios also appear before you. And you should have a copy of their brief biographies in front of you. Before I list them and provide highlights of their biography, i'd like to acknowledge their

willingness to contribute to their community and participate in this very important board. So bear with me as a read the names and tell you a little bit about each one of them at least one of the hopeful appointees is here. First is carlos calderón-marcial. A downtown business advisor for the hispanic metropolitan chamber and a member of the security fund which helps clients who suffer financial losses as a result of attorney misconduct. Number two is b.j. Finley branch, worked as a adult parole and probation officer and child welfare supervisor with the state and a past chair on black affairs. Edward hershey is a communications director for service employees international union 503 and covered criminal justice issues. Number four is mariann hyland, is an attorney and works as the affirmative action and equal opportunity director at Oregon health & sciences university where she administers a grievance process. Number five is rob kodirov, a manager for the immigrant and refugee community organization, and provides training and assistance to irco clients. Next is diane marsh, a surgical nurse at Oregon health & sciences university and served on prior police review boards and believes in doing so, she serves as a public voice to improve the community-police relationship. Anthony mcnamer is partner of mcnamer and company and owner of expunged records and an attorney who represents hip-hop artists and went to public schools in north and northeast Portland. Number eight is patrick messinger -- number nine is patricia o'sullivan, and reported on dhs diversity to the legislature. Number 10 is bradley perkins, a selfemployed real estate broker and in the past has helped organize discussions between the police bureau and the faith community, and involved in multiple community development -- community organizations. And number 11 is ayoob ramjan. He is a research and development manager for hewlett-packard in the printer division and works with the citizens against domestic violence and the refugee community and served previously. Number 12, grace reed, is retired with continued experience in mediation, education and drama therapy and addiction counseling and experience with conflict resolution and worked with at-risk individuals and families and youth dealing with addictions and served on the mayor's gang violence taskforce. Number 13 is llewellyn robison. She previously served on police review boards. And number 14, danny rosen, a retired software quality analyst and has volunteered for a number of organizations and been a member of the northwest gender alliance for over 20 years and a past member of the police review board. Number 15 is charles rosenthal. He is a retired engineer and served on the public citizen review board. And number 16 is wilson sulman, a retired estimator who worked with mechanical contractors and served in the navy as a radar operator and volunteered with the salvation army and involved in the homeowners association working on neighborhood liveability concerns. Number 17 is eric terrell. Was a vice president of students services at sacramento city college and he was a member of the citizen review committee and now volunteers as a advocate for the complainants appealing the decisions of the Portland police bureau. And for the record, previously served on the police review board but this would be his first time serving on the police review board. So I make that correction. Number 18 is robert -- robert ueland, is a self-employed consultant and volunteers for advocates for complainants appealing the decisions of the Portland police bureau. And number 19, the last name that I submit to you, is sheri winkelman, a retired elementary and middle schoolteacher and counselor and in addition to working for the state's children's services division and has taught workshops, volunteered at a youth detention facility. I think the group represents a broad group of our community and happy to submit their names for your approval.

Saltzman: Mr. Sulman, would you like to introduce yourself or -- you're welcome to come up and say a few words if you wish. You don't have to, but --

*****: I'd like to say --

Saltzman: Sit down and give your name for the record.

Wilson Sulman: Wilson sulman.

Saltzman: Ok.

Sulman: I'd like to say that it's time for me to pay back the city for everything it's done for me and look forward to serving in this capacity.

Saltzman: Thank you very much. We look forward to having you. Questions? **Fritz:** Remind everyone at home as to how this works. All 19 don't serve at once.

Griffin-Valade: I'm sorry. That's the point of this being a pool. They rotate through as their schedules permit and they take turns and they are selected. One community member serves on the performance review board, so that's kind of like minor issues, and two community members will serve on the review boards that are dealing with issues of force.

Fritz: My understanding is you take the list as it comes and whoever can serve, but it's not random, but there's -- you don't select particular people.

Griffin-Valade: No. **Fritz:** Thank you.

Leonard: How will we make sure that happens?

Griffin-Valade: Because we also serve on the police review board and as you recall, if we have a concern about any of the members, the auditor or the police chief can ask for their removal.

Leonard: But more importantly, if you have a concern, you can do that.

Griffin-Valade: Yes.

Leonard: And then also, just to reiterate, they -- you wouldn't have a person serve on two boards in a row, unless everybody else was not available?

Griffin-Valade: Right, right. My goal is to create a large enough pool for the bureau to draw from and make sure there's a balance. And so through our participation, we'll make sure that happens.

Saltzman: Ok. No further questions? Do we have anybody who wishes to testify? I see michael o'callaghan. Ok. If you again, give us your name for the record and you have three minutes.

Mike O'Callaghan: Michael o'callaghan and since i've been before the police review commission, I have a little experience with that, as I testified you had hearings on it. In my process, the commission got one thing right and that was that the Portland police department was involved. Everything else they did was wrong. All of their facts were wrong. Their conclusions were wrong. It's difficult to me to support a process like that. What i've heard here and in my experiences is that I would -- all of these people are nice people, don't get me wrong, and this process is ok. Not to be classist, if you will, but I wouldn't assume that most of these people drive cars if not all of them drive cars which put you in a category separate from interaction with the police department. If you're on a bicycle, you have a little more exposure with the police department, but not much. Walk and put a pack on your back and see how much interaction you have with the police department. I would appreciate one person on the police conduct, or police review that has had contact frequently with the police, because they understand how the police operate. They are out of control. Ok? And this commission isn't going to do anything about that. And it is going to be, as we've seen in the past, tragic that that is the case. But such is the case for the people who walk with packs. As I have stated in my 10 unanswered questions, most of them deal with out of control authority. Where's the control? None. The courts. Maybe. Who can sue in the courts? But -thank you for your time. Appreciate that.

Saltzman: Thank you. Anyone else wish to testify? Ok. Then Karla, please call the roll. **Leonard:** Well, this particular change is -- it's -- the impact on police transparency in Portland cannot be under-estimated. As opposed to a review board that prior to this change, was -- was literally hand-picked by the police chief and excluded the auditor's office. Specifically. And I mean that even to include at times threats to the auditor's office, and couldn't even sit and observe the ipr director. From a system where really transparency was frowned upon and was looked at skeptically, to this system, which will be implemented on september 1st, where the auditor is represented through the ipr director or the citizens that used to be appointed by the police chief are now appointed by the auditor and confirmed by the council, as the action will codify today,

including having the meetings run not by an assistant police chief but rather a professional facilitator. I cannot over-state the impact that this significant change in how the police bureau reviews uses of force incident, misconduct amongst officers will have on the operations of the police bureau, and an mean that in a positive sense. None of this would have happened -- I want to repeat -- none of this would have happened without the current auditor, auditor lavonne griffinvalade, agreeing to take the lead on challenging issues that were difficult to not only negotiate but to navigate to get to this place and I want to applaud her leadership for putting this group of people together and contrary to what we've heard today, I do think this is not business as usual and something that the community focuses on as a significant change that the community's demanded for years in terms of transparency in what happens in police incidents. Whether people are on the receiving end of excess I force or suspect malfeasance on the part of a police officer, it is this board that will review that and make recommendation to the chief that will be weighed in with the auditor and the auditor's office and reports will be published semiannually, as I recall. Of what the board does. So i'm very pleased with where we're at today and anticipating with great positive feelings, the board taking its seat on september 1st and look for a new day at the police bureau. Aye. Fritz: Thank you, auditor lavonne griffin-valade and also mary beth baptista, the director of the independent police review and all of the citizens who are giving time to be on the board. And this is a step forward. And trust building, is a must. The diversity of a board like this is crucial and I appreciate you going through the members and explaining their background. And the members bring a wide variety of skills and come from a variety of skills and ethnic backgrounds and pleased to support this. Ave.

Saltzman: This looks like a great group of people from the bios, many of the members I do know, personally, and familiar with their competence and impartiality and it sounds like a very diverse group and pleased to support this and wish them well on their way. September 1st. Aye. So the resolution passes. And we are adjourned until wednesday, september 1st, at 9:30.

At 10:21 a.m., Council adjourned.