



CITY OF
PORTLAND, OREGON

OFFICIAL
 MINUTES

A REGULAR MEETING OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF PORTLAND, OREGON WAS HELD THIS **10TH DAY OF NOVEMBER, 2010** AT 9:30 A.M.

THOSE PRESENT WERE: Mayor Adams, Presiding; Commissioners Fish, Fritz, Leonard and Saltzman, 5.

Commissioner Leonard arrived at 9:34 a.m.
 Commissioner Fish arrived at 9:36 a.m.

Mayor Adams was excused to leave at 10:00 a.m. and returned at 10:28 p.m.

OFFICERS IN ATTENDANCE: Karla Moore-Love, Clerk of the Council; Ben Walters, Chief Deputy City Attorney was replaced by Roland Iparraguirre at 11:45 a.m.; and Pat Kelley, Sergeant at Arms.

On a Y-5 roll call, the Consent Agenda was adopted.

COMMUNICATIONS	Disposition:
1444 Request of Charles E. Long to address Council regarding improving Portland's pedestrian infrastructure (Communication)	PLACED ON FILE
1445 Request of Derry Jackson to address Council regarding a recommendation for saving Memorial Coliseum (Communication)	PLACED ON FILE
1446 Request of Sydney Moran to address Council regarding a recommendation for saving Memorial Coliseum (Communication)	PLACED ON FILE
1447 Request of James B. Lee to address Council regarding economic design for Sellwood Bridge (Communication)	PLACED ON FILE
1448 Request of Wendy Ann Wright to address Council regarding evidence of her experience with oppression in the City (Communication)	PLACED ON FILE
TIMES CERTAIN	
*1449 TIME CERTAIN: 9:30 AM – Adopt budget adjustment recommendations and the Supplemental Budget for the FY 2010-11 Fall Supplemental Budget process and make budget adjustments in various funds (Ordinance introduced by Mayor Adams) 30 minutes requested (Y-5)	184229

November 10, 2010

<p>1450 TIME CERTAIN: 10:00 AM – Approve the designation of eight trees as Portland Heritage Trees (Ordinance introduced by Commissioner Fish) 20 minutes requested</p> <p>Motion to remove #9 from Directives (Japanese Larch): Moved by Commissioner Fish and seconded by Commissioner Fritz (Y-3; Leonard and Adams absent).</p>	<p align="center">PASSED TO SECOND READING AS AMENDED NOVEMBER 17, 2010 AT 9:30 AM</p>
<p>*1451 TIME CERTAIN: 10:20 AM – Extend term of Comcast cable franchises (Ordinance introduced by Commissioner Fritz) 10 minutes requested for items 1451 and 1452</p> <p>(Y-5)</p>	<p align="center">184227</p>
<p>*1452 Amend contract with Comcast Illinois/Ohio/Oregon, LLC and Comcast of Oregon II, Inc. to extend end term of Comcast I-Net/IRNE Interconnection Agreement (Ordinance introduced by Mayor Adams; amend Contract No. 51785)</p> <p>(Y-5)</p>	<p align="center">184228</p>
<p align="center">CONSENT AGENDA – NO DISCUSSION</p> <p align="center">Mayor Sam Adams</p> <p>1453 Reappoint Maryhelen Kincaid and Kelly Sweeney to the Port of Portland Citizen Noise Advisory Committee for terms to expire November 11, 2013 (Report)</p> <p>(Y-5)</p>	
<p align="center">Bureau of Police</p>	
<p>*1454 Add the Portland Police Association Cover Foundation to the list of those organizations eligible to use the payroll deduction system (Ordinance; amend Code Section 5.08.140)</p> <p>(Y-5)</p>	<p align="center">184215</p>
<p align="center">Bureau of Transportation</p>	
<p>1455 Accept contract with Tri-State Construction Inc. for the 2009 Local Improvement Districts contract as complete and make final payment (Report; Contract No. 30000806)</p> <p>(Y-5)</p>	<p align="center">ACCEPTED</p>
<p>*1456 Amend contract with Star Park, LLC to extend contract termination date and add contractual spending authority on a contingency basis only (Ordinance; amend Contract No. 34879)</p> <p>(Y-5)</p>	<p align="center">184216</p>
<p>*1457 Amend contract with Star Park, LLC to extend contract termination date and add contractual spending authority on a contingency basis only (Ordinance; amend Contract No. 35401)</p> <p>(Y-5)</p>	<p align="center">184217</p>

November 10, 2010

<p>*1458 Accept a grant in the amount of \$538,380 from the Oregon Department of Transportation for design engineering and authorize an Intergovernmental Agreement for disbursement of funds for the North Portland Road and Columbia Boulevard Intersection Project (Ordinance) (Y-5)</p>	<p align="center">184218</p>	
<p>1459 Repeal Ordinance No. 151741 in its entirety and remove any setback requirements established by the Ordinance on the eastside of SW Moody Ave from SW Sheridan St to SW Woods St (Ordinance)</p>	<p align="center">PASSED TO SECOND READING NOVEMBER 17, 2010 AT 9:30 AM</p>	
<p align="center">Commissioner Randy Leonard Position No. 4</p> <p align="center">Bureau of Water</p> <p>1460 Authorize a contract and provide payment for construction of the Westside Header Relocation Phase 2 Project (Ordinance)</p>		<p align="center">PASSED TO SECOND READING NOVEMBER 17, 2010 AT 9:30 AM</p>
<p>1461 Amend contract with Shearer & Associates, Inc. to increase compensation, decrease the performance period and increase the scope of work for the Burlingame Tanks Improvements Project (Second Reading Agenda 1432; amend Contract No. 30000960) (Y-5)</p>	<p align="center">184219</p>	
<p align="center">Commissioner Nick Fish Position No. 2</p> <p align="center">Portland Housing Bureau</p> <p>*1462 Authorize Intergovernmental Agreement with Multnomah County Department of County Human Services in support of outreach and permanent housing placement activities for people experiencing homelessness in East County (Ordinance) (Y-5)</p>		<p align="center">184220</p>
<p align="center">Portland Parks & Recreation</p> <p>*1463 Accept a grant in the amount of \$94,215 from Oregon Department of Transportation for the Springwater Corridor Trail McLoughlin Bridge Staircase (Ordinance) (Y-5)</p>		<p align="center">184221</p>
<p>*1464 Authorize acquisition of property in northeast Portland on Mocks Crest for park purposes from J Jene Holdings LLC (Ordinance) (Y-5)</p>	<p align="center">184222</p>	
<p>1465 Designate City-owned property for park purposes and assign to the Portland Parks & Recreation and designate City-owned property as public right-of-way and assign to the Bureau of Transportation, all located along N Greeley Ave (Ordinance)</p>	<p align="center">PASSED TO SECOND READING NOVEMBER 17, 2010 AT 9:30 AM</p>	

<p style="text-align: center;">Commissioner Dan Saltzman Position No. 3</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Bureau of Environmental Services</p> <p>*1466 Approve settlement of claims with Kerr Contractors, Inc. (Ordinance) (Y-5)</p>	184223
<p>REGULAR AGENDA</p>	
<p>1467 Dedicate \$285,000 to create a shelter for juvenile human trafficking victims in Portland and to fund two additional sexual abuse victim advocate positions (Resolution introduced by Mayor Adams and Commissioner Saltzman) 15 minutes requested (Y-5)</p>	36824
<p>Mayor Sam Adams</p>	
<p>Bureau of Planning & Sustainability</p>	
<p>1468 Update the residential franchise customer cap to reflect forty percent of the customer base (Second Reading Agenda 1436; amend Code Section 17.102.130) (Y-5)</p>	184224
<p>Office of Management and Finance</p>	
<p>1469 Adopt the Budget Calendar for FY 2011-12 (Resolution) (Y-5)</p>	36823
<p>*1470 Amend contract with MCA Architects, PC to increase contract amount by an additional \$11,600 to provide additional architectural and engineering services for Fire Station 18 seismic upgrade and remodel and extend termination date (Ordinance; amend Contract No. 30000809) (Y-5)</p>	184230
<p>1471 Authorize interim financing for local improvement projects (Second Reading Agenda 1438) (Y-5)</p>	184225
<p>1472 Authorize borrowing for renovation of park maintenance facilities (Second Reading Agenda 1439) (Y-5)</p>	184231
<p>1473 Approve agreements with Peregrine Sports, LLC and Providence Health & Services–Oregon for the use and occupancy of a sports medical clinic at PGE Park (Second Reading Agenda 1440) (Y-5)</p>	184232

November 10, 2010

Commissioner Randy Leonard Position No. 4	
Bureau of Development Services	
1474 Authorize negotiation of an Intergovernmental Agreement with the State of Oregon and negotiation of a Vendor Contract for the implementation and support of the Bureau of Development Services Computerized Permit Tracking System in coordination with the State of Oregon eBuilding Permit system (Second Reading Agenda 1443) (Y-4; N-1, Fritz)	184226 AS AMENDED
Bureau of Water	
*1475 Authorize a Joint Funding Agreement with the Water Research Foundation for a Tailored Collaboration titled Matrix Effects in the Bull Run Watershed on Cryptosporidium Oocyst Recovery (Ordinance) 15 minutes requested (Y-5)	184233
1476 Authorize five individual contracts for on-call water main projects for Portland Water Bureau Type I and Type II Water Mains Projects (Ordinance) 10 minutes requested	PASSED TO SECOND READING NOVEMBER 17, 2010 AT 9:30 AM
1477 Authorize four contracts for on-call professional technical services for Portland Water Bureau's Capital Improvement Program (Ordinance) 10 minutes requested	PASSED TO SECOND READING NOVEMBER 17, 2010 AT 9:30 AM
Commissioner Nick Fish Position No. 2	
Portland Housing Bureau	
1478 Support the Housing Authority of Portland application to the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development for a FY 2010, HOPE VI revitalization grant to redevelop the Hillsdale Terrace public housing project (Resolution) (Y-5)	36825
Portland Parks & Recreation	
1479 Authorize Collaborative Agreement between Portland Parks & Recreation and Portland Public Schools for the joint use of facilities (Second Reading Agenda 1421) (Y-5)	184234

At 12:15 p.m., Council recessed.

November 10, 2010

A RECESSED MEETING OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF PORTLAND, OREGON WAS HELD THIS **10TH DAY OF NOVEMBER, 2010** AT 2:00 P.M.

THOSE PRESENT WERE: Mayor Adams, Presiding; Commissioners Fish, Fritz, Leonard and Saltzman, 5.

Commissioner Saltzman arrived at 2:05 p.m.

At 2:14 p.m., Council recessed.

At 2:30 p.m., Council reconvened.

OFFICERS IN ATTENDANCE: Karla Moore-Love, Clerk of the Council; Tracy Reeve, Senior Deputy City Attorney; and Pat Kelley, Sergeant at Arms.

<p>*1480 TIME CERTAIN: 2:00 PM – Adopt updated Federal Emergency Management Agency Flood Insurance Rate Map and ensure regulations are consistent (Ordinance introduced by Mayor Adams; amend Titles 24 and 33, Special Flood Hazard Map) 30 minutes requested (Y-5)</p>	<p>Disposition: 184235</p>
<p>*1481 TIME CERTAIN: 2:30 PM – Amend the expenditure authorization for subrecipient contracts for an additional \$1,477,500 for services to further the goals of the Portland Housing Bureau through the 10-Year Plan to End Homelessness and provide for payment (Ordinance introduced by Commissioner Fish; amend Ordinance No. 184012) 30 minutes requested (Y-5)</p>	<p>184236</p>

At 2:53 p.m., Council adjourned.

LAVONNE GRIFFIN-VALADE
Auditor of the City of Portland

By Karla Moore-Love
Clerk of the Council

For a discussion of agenda items, please consult the following Closed Caption File.

November 10, 2010
Closed Caption File of Portland City Council Meeting

This file was produced through the closed captioning process for the televised City Council broadcast.

Key: ***** means unidentified speaker.

NOVEMBER 10, 2010 9:30 AM

[Technical difficulties at opening of meeting]

Item 1444. (Did not show)

Item 1445. (Did not show)

Item 1446. (Did not show)

Item 1447.

Adams: Hi Mr. Lee, welcome back.

James B. Lee: I'm first in line, now I was fourth in line.

Adams: That happens, some days.

Lee: Thank you mister mayor, mister mayor, members of the council, My name is James B Lee I reside at SE Mitchell street. Also I am here to make you an offer which you may very well refuse. Many years ago a friend of mine in the engineering business explained to me the poor state of the Sellwood bridge, this was about 20 years ago. And so what I've been doing from time to time is going down there and thinking about how it might be replaced. Naturally when Multnomah County started the actual process, they said, they were looking for public input, I thought this is good. So I got out my engineering books. I got out a report on bridge design by the redoubtable Condy McCullugh who used to be Chief Bridge Engineer for the State of Oregon. Got out my calculator and pencils and work this out. And we had a little meeting about three years ago and they said no they didn't want a design as complete as that, so as the price for the original 80 million dollars kept on inflating, I said OK, well, what is this going to cost? I figured something less than 80 million dollars. So I'm going to ask the council if you would like to be informed of another possibility, much cheaper, and physically a better possibility for redesigning the Sellwood bridge and if any members of the council could give me an hour or so of their time in a meeting, I would be happy to explain that. So the contact information is right here along with a sketch design of the bridge. Thank you mister mayor.

Adams: Your going to pass that up?

Lee: Yes I will, thank you.

Adams: Thank you Mr. Lee.

Item 1448.

Adams: Good morning, welcome.

Wendy Ann Wright: Good morning mayor adams, good morning councilors, commissioners, excuse me. Is my time starting?

Adams: Yes as soon as you start talking.

Wright: Alright, my name is Wendy Ann Wright, I live at 4216 N Mississippi #213 in the Boise Elliott neighborhood. One day late this summer I was traveling home from my friend Mary Winzigs house it was after 8 pm and my roommate, Paul Salimono was watching my son, Linus Carl Ruckman. I witnessed a black man on his bicycle, run through a red light at the intersection of Fremont and Williams, there were already 3 black bystanders, I pulled up, I was the white bystander. The white police officer said, ma'am, can I help you? No, thank you. I'm a neighbor. And then one of the black guys high-fived me and said, we're in this together now and the white officer asked me to leave and I said, no thank you, and now I need contact information and he

November 10, 2010

refused. Continued to argue and waited patiently for backup because I know how to interact with police officers because of my experience of organizing a union at powell's book store LW local five. And then my world blew up because I got post-traumatic stress disorder and mayor Adams didn't call me back. Even though I gave hundreds of volunteer hours and Jennifer Yocom his mayoral campaign officer did nothing for family outreach. And what girl did something for families? This girl did. This girl did. And the mayor didn't call me back and the mayor needs to resign because the mayor got forgiven for something but you messed up and I got impeached as pta president I was 2nd term at Boise Elliot neighborhood school and I got impeached because I dropped my title in an inappropriate place. And I respect that.

Wright: That's what happens when you make a mistake. You make amends. And there's reparations. And I don't deserve to be evicted two times and I don't deserve to have my baby being taken into custody of my piece of work baby daddy, Nathan Allan Ruckman, who is the web master for powell's bookstore. This isn't right. This is not right. I shouldn't have been laid off from Portland state university department of anthropology, by Kenneth M. Aimes, the husband of Jane Aimes who worked for Sam. I shouldn't have been arrested by the PSU campus safety, I shouldn't have been put in Portland -- sorry, Multnomah county detention for seven hours where I worked it and then I got out at 10:30 at night without a bus ticket or keys to my house or my only mode of transportation, my bicycle. Or all the money I had in the world. And I shouldn't have had to sleep with men to have a place to stay. That's human trafficking. We don't want that. I don't need any more time.

Adams: Wendy, we're concerned about you.

Wright: Yeah, I'm concerned too.

Adams: And we have some folks here that can help you out.

Wright: That's great, but you still need to resign sir, you make a lot of money for this town, you do. You're good at it. But single low-income moms that spent fricking sixty thousand dollars on college and maybe \$14.50 an hour and don't have any health insurance. Come on: That's not what we're talking about Sam.

Adams: We're concerned about you.

Wright: Good. Great. You still need to resign. Sir.

Adams: Thanks for your testimony: That gets us to the consent agenda. Any items that anyone wishes to pull from the consent agenda? Please call the roll on the consent agenda.

Leonard: Aye. **Fritz:** Aye. **Fish:** Aye. **Saltzman:** Aye.

Adams: Aye consent agenda's approved. [gavel pounded] let's see. We've got regular agenda. Do we have the right folks in the room for 1467? Let's go with 1468. Can you please read the title and call the vote for 1468.

Item 1468.

Leonard: Aye.

Fritz: Thank you, Mayor Adams for allowing this to be on the regular agenda. We did hear some concerns about allowing one of the companies to be as large as 40% of the customer base although as Commissioner Fish pointed out last time, it's the same in percentage as the original cap. I would have liked to have had advice from the planning and sustainability commission or the perb on this, however, I appreciate Megan and others in the bureau of planning and sustainability giving me information over the course of the week and I'm ok with what we're doing. Aye.

Fish: Aye. **Saltzman:** Aye.

Adams: Aye. So approved. We're going to --

Leonard: Would you mind if I made a request, I've got key members of Bds staff who might otherwise have to sit here all morning and they have a lot going on. If we can do 1474.

Adams: Yeah, I was going to run through the seconds. And start with 1474. Please read the title and call the vote.

November 10, 2010

Moore-Love: 1474.

Fritz: Could we -- I was thinking it was going to be later. Be right back.

Adams: Sure. Please call the title and call the vote for 1471.

Item 1471.

Leonard: Aye. **Fritz:** Aye. **Fish:** Aye. **Saltzman:** Aye.

Adams: Aye. I see you need a break to go back to get your notes? OK So we'll hear staff presentation on 1469 but not vote until you come back. If you could go and grab your e notices.

Fritz: Right.

Adams: 1469 is a resolution required by state law.

Item 1469.

Adams: It's fine.

Adams: This is the budget calendar for fiscal year '11-'12 it's required by state law that city council pass a resolution outlining the budget calendar that can be modified as we go along. And it's a robust calendar. We'll have a moment of genuflection on 1469.

Leonard: I think it's a wonderfully crafted timed resolution that's been thoughtfully composed and brought before us and --

Fish: Commissioner Leonard, will you yield?

Leonard: Yes, I would happily, I'm running out of things to say.

Fish: Mr. Leonard, There's no fewer than 25 items here. For those who are listening and not watching today I wonder if the mayor could review some of the highlights for us.

Adams: Well, I'm glad you asked Today we will be voting on, we've got some second readings. Please call the vote. [laughter]

Fritz: Did you vote without me? You know the audio is not working in the office either.

Adams: Really? We actually spent the time singing your praises. All the reasons why we love you.

Fritz: Oh yeah right.

Leonard: Aye.

Adams: OK go ahead.

Fritz: I had a question on this. But i'll find out later. Aye.

Adams: It can be amended.

Fish: Aye. **Saltzman:** Aye.

Adams: Aye. 1469 is approved. Can you please read the title for 1474.

Item 1474.

Adams: And you had a question, commissioner Fritz?

Fritz: No.

Adams: You wanted to make -- oh, i'm sorry. Ok, please call the vote on 1474.

Leonard: This has been a critical project that the bureau of development services has been working on diligently for quite some time. And it comes at a time when some might think it would be better to wait, but as I articulated on a number of occasions, this is the most opportune time to go forward with a project like this, if for no other reason, that we have the employee groups represented by unions on board with this, wanting to move forward and the obvious benefit, being it streamlines the permitting process so that people who otherwise have to come in with three sets of plans can now do that all over the convenience of their computer. Send their plans in Via the internet have them reviewed digitally without having them shopped around in hard copies and stored in hard copies. It's nothing short of revolutionizing how we do the permitting process at the bureau of development services. And we couldn't have gotten to this point without the key work of the staff led by paul scarlett at the bureau of development services and their diligence in making sure we identified a system that had experience in other communities and in fact the one we have identified is used by the state of Oregon and as we heard last week, a number of communities around Oregon

November 10, 2010

and I am very proud of the work they've done and I appreciate the support of the council moving forward. Aye.

Fritz: I certainly agree with commissioner Leonard that the team has done a really good job on this. And I especially commend Hank McDonald And the entire team at bds for formulating this proposal. And particularly also Denise, Kleim who got back to us in my office asking us questions last week. However I disagree I do believe this is the right project, however, I believe it's the wrong time -- excuse me -- the wrong time. We should wait for a year to see how the construction industry is faring, instead of risking the general fund now. The city treasurer stated that repayment of the debt obligation is fully dependant On a significant economic recovery over the next two years and that there are potentially significant financial burdens on the bureau that may ultimately force the general fund to assume responsibility for the debt. Pdc has stated that the new condo market won't [inaudible] bounce back for a decade and commercial properties such as Kruse Way are facing 40% vacancy rates and there is a glut of commercial real estate. While building permits are up 20%, from last year at this time, they're still one-fifth of what they were two years ago. We had to lay off 150 employees from the bureau and now we're hiring back seven at high salaries which could instead be devoted to replacing some of the staff lost and providing the service at the permit counter that would bring in more revenue. If we can't afford the needed staff, then I don't believe we can afford this project right now either. And particularly it's different from some of the others on the agenda today. Most of this money is going into technology and as I said, into this -- a few highly paid jobs, it's not going out into the community as a local stimulus package and I think it's the wrong time to borrow the money for this. I believe that this project may come back to haunt us if the revenues don't materialize it pay for it and the general fund will be the bailout. I believe we're gambling with the general fund and I'm not a gambler. I think the city should be fiscally prudent right now especially. I understand the need to update the permit system and bring it into the 21st century but I believe we need to wait. Aye -- I mean, no.

Fish: Well, I think the elephant in the room is that a recent audit issued by the auditor hovers over our discussion today and will hover over our discussion about every technology upgrade that we consider for some time and while I think we all take the lessons from that audit, those who were here when that project was launched, those who joined the body at some point during that project, there's much to be gleaned from that audit. The reality is we can't be paralyzed and stop acting to move forward on technology upgrades. The audit is a cautionary tale but it should not close the door on our deliberations about the need from time to time to move forward. So for me there is three questions; Number one, is there a need for this technology upgrade? Number two, has it been vetted properly? And three, can we pay for it? And I believe that captures some of the spirit of what my colleague and friend commissioner Fritz has raised. The question of whether we needed it was settled for me in 1996 when I bought my first home in Portland and I had a real estate agent represent to me as the buyer, that the house I was buying was up to code and from that I learned some very interesting lessons about how we maintain records in the city and ultimately it led to having to go through some files and find some scribble on a little card, five-by-seven card which turned out to be borderline indecipherable. I think the person who wrote in the card was choosing between service at bds and maybe the medical profession and even that information was open to some kind of interpretation and ultimately it turned out that the building, the house I was going to buy was not up to code. Fortunately, thanks to my broker being fully engaged, that was corrected and the seller had to make over \$20,000 worth of improvements to the house before we closed. But I have to tell you, the experience of reviewing records that are done in pencil and pen on a five-by-seven card that deal with in this case, a life safety issue. The question in this case was whether a third floor addition to a house, putting a bedroom in what was an attic crawlspace was up to code. And it turned out no one had bothered to actually consider bring an engineer in to determine whether the house could support it. That's not an incidental matter since I was planning to place

November 10, 2010

one of my children on the third floor. So I get the question of the why. The second question is, has this been vetted and we had a robust discussion when this first came before council and a number of us felt we needed to go a little deeper to understand this, and that led to the formation of a committee with some outside independent experts giving us some guidance and Paul you put a lot of time and energy and you were faithful to what the council asked for and we got a report and that report to me answers most of my questions and satisfies most of my concerns and I appreciate that the commissioner in charge went the extra mile in order to get us that information. And the third question is can we afford to pay for it? And I acknowledge, based on the last hearing, that there is some risk here. I also think that taking the most conservative projections about your revenues and your plans to rebuild your reserves, it's a risk we can and should take. But I think it's fair to put on the record that there's a risk. And as -- as with many things that we do, not unique to this case, as with many things, technically, the general fund is a backstop. That's really our full faith and credit.

We all understand that. But again, the question is not whether that's inherently a bad thing. The question is a risk assessment. We have to make a judgment. Does the benefit outweigh the risk and is there an alternative financing scheme we can pursue that would help get us there? I've not been presented with an alternative, so I have to go back to the balance. And on the balance, I think I'm prepared to take that risk. And the payoff, is that we'll join the 20th century, not the 21st in terms of how we maintain our records at a very important bureau and based on what I read in the paper about a week ago, it appears that our computer is having some kind of trauma. Based on what I read, about a week ago, there seemed to be some promising signs of new development downtown and based on what we're seeing nationally, there seems to be some promising signs that maybe we've hit the bottom and we're bouncing back. Either way, there's some risk, but I think it's a risk we can imagine and I'm convinced that the upside, in terms of having technology to address these concerns will be good for the bureau and perhaps more importantly, good for the people we serve in the city. Commissioner Leonard, it's a -- I think this is a tough call, but I believe you've made the case. Aye.

Saltzman: Well, when we adopted our budget last year, or I should say, earlier this year, we put in a budget note about this project and we wanted to be sure that the revenues that would be generated in the bureau of development services would be sufficient to repay the cost of installing this new software. That will truly as commissioner Fish just said, will truly revolutionize and make a lot more paperless and a lot more efficient the way we do permits and inspections and that's a laudable goal. The budget note was really called for the appointment of three to seven outside experts to review the economic variables that are used in the bureau of development services, financial forecast model and that exercise was done. I believe very thoroughly. We heard that last week. I was very impressed with the depth of the presentation and the responsiveness to the budget note. My only lingering concern is that the financial model that BDS will be presenting in February will have as I'm led to believe, more real estate sensitive variables in that model. I'm hoping, like a lot of us, with the refinements, we're still going to see a solid financial plan and the ability to repay the cost of this system. I'll be watching that in February closely to make sure of that. But I do believe that the case has been made, the presentation last week was really thorough, so I want to thank commissioner Leonard, the bureau of development services, so as commissioner Fish said, it's not without risk but I think we should also bear in mind this is software already being used by the state of Oregon and has been used for some time and several other local governments are also using it. So we are not taking something off the shelf and that's reassuring to me. Anytime we do a new software project. But I appreciate the thorough scrutiny and I appreciate the citizens who spent some time reviewing this. Appreciate the treasurer's input as well. So with that said, I'm voting aye.

Adams: Well, I think of the project avoids major pitfalls of the SAP software implementation by the fact it's choosing a -- to join a system as others have alluded to, to join a computer system that is

November 10, 2010

already functioning in the state and including in different projects within the region. That was not true with sap. Sap has to be recreated or virtually recreated every place it's been implemented and we've come to learn. I think the other -- on the positive side, just net positive, is by Portland adopting or by Portland joining with the state, we stand the best chance ever of having a unified system that can actually talk to each other across jurisdictions and the state has regulatory responsibilities in the 146 square miles that is the city of Portland. For example, Any brewery that wants to do work in the city of Portland, uses this system or their permit request uses this system in our city right now. Being able to unify between bureaucracies that provide development services, I think is an upside. In terms of is now the best time to do it? I think that we have to pause and as that old proverb says, you know, if you're sawing wood and never pause to sharpen the saw, you're just going to work harder and get less done. There's so many changes and there is such a high quality of talent in bureau of development services and in other regulatory bureaus within the city, but our system is a joke. And as talented as our folks are, they will never overcome this byzantine ancient antiquated system and now is probably one of the better times to invest in sharpening the saw and to improving the tools. Having said all that, you'll be held accountable as will your commissioner in charge and this council and parenthetically, i'm going to ask the auditor in the future, that whenever one of these big projects are in place and there's a change in the terms of who is responsible in terms of commissioners in charge, i'm going to ask that the audit or some outside financial expert step in and do a hand-off report. So that the new commissioner, potentially taking it on, whether it's sap or any other large project sort of understands what they're inheriting. I think this is, again, not without risks but I think it is a smart risk for us to take. Aye. [gavel pounded] so approved. I will turn the gavel over to president Saltzman so that I can go fulfill my duties on ground breaking and i'll be back.

Saltzman: We're doing our 10:00 time certain.

Moore-Love: We have the two communications people who were not here.

Saltzman: Oh, I see derry jackson and -- ok. Welcome. So you have three minutes.

Item 1445.

Derry Jackson: Three minutes and I would appreciate the council's deference. School starts at 9:00 and in gresham, we practically all ran here. I still beat them in this building for as old as I am. I'm am going to introduce jamir. He's going to talk a little bit about a project that we're working on and then I'm just going to finish up the back end for it.

Saltzman: So you're both --

Jackson: Yes.

Saltzman: You're going to do this Within three minutes?

Jackson: Well, i'd like to --

Saltzman: We have pretty stringent rules about --

Jackson: Yes, we'll do it within three minutes.

Saltzman: Could you give us your name, please?

Jamir Hardy: Jamir hardy. And i'm a student from Rosi Hinton high school I'm in twelfth grade and my goals in career are to be a professional singer and dancer. And I'd also like to introduce my teammates. I'm sorry. Jessica, eduardo and savar. And these are the -- some of the teammates I have that are with us. And the other ones are at school right now, and they would have been here, but another one that was going to actually make the speech had a hard time with a grandparent so i'm stepping in for her. So -- um -- but, I would like to take a moment of silence and -- for the veterans. So, that way, we can honor them and -- save the memorial coliseum. [silence]

Hardy: We have unique opportunity to be a worldwide example. We already own a legacy of the bottle bill, recycle. But we want to continue to be the world's top leader in recycling. A major but important civic structure. That's pretty much all I have.

November 10, 2010

Jackson: I'm going to jump in here, with Jamir. I appreciate Jamir stepping up -- we've got about 43 minutes, Jamir, you see everything we talked about. Our kids are being prepared to engage council and government. This is part of it. They'll be getting their efforts here does count toward their curriculum. Jamir and I and our team have been talking about a unique opportunity we have in Portland. Namely to save very important building. That namely is the Memorial coliseum. And we have the engineering and creativity to do so. One of the things we wanted to do was share with you guys, drawings. We'll go ahead and send that to you by emails, some concepts. And one thing we wanted to emphasize, this was sydney's point. Is that When you do pull out a project like this, that you remember we have excellent kids looking for jobs too. Appreciate you guys having time with us today.

Saltzman: Where do you go to school, again?

Hardy: [inaudible] Rosi Hinton high school.

Jackson: That's part of the Oregon outreach effort and the city's been good to help becky black and these kids.

Saltzman: You did a great job.

Hardy: Thank you.

Saltzman: Thank you for coming down here.

Jackson: Thank you.

Saltzman: So now we'll move to our 10:00 time certain. 1450.

Item 1450.

Saltzman: Commissioner Fish.

Fish: Thank you. Thank you, president Saltzman. I'd like to invite brian Kree and diana Shurby to come forward. They are the chair of the urban forestry commission and a member of the commission respectively. Today, we're going to be making a presentation on heritage trees which as everyone knows is commissioner Saltzman's favorite presentation of the year.

Saltzman: Pictures.

Fish: The urban forestry commission knows that trees add much to our livability. Here in Portland and they provide a range of environmental benefits. Trees improve the quality of our air and water. They serve as important habitat for birds and wildlife. They help us reach our climate action goals and they bring shade and beauty to our neighborhoods. Each year, the urban forestry commission asks council to consider certain trees for a special heritage tree designation. The heritage tree ordinance dates back to 1993 when it first became part of our code and it states that certain trees are so special they will be designated as heritage trees and given special protections. They can not be damaged or removed without the consent of the city council and the urban forestry commission. Brian and diana are before us today to recommend that eight specific trees within Portland be added to the list of those with special heritage tree status. We'll have an amendment, which i'll offer president Saltzman after the presentation and then some additional thank yous. But at this point, let me recognize brian Kreed. Brian Thanks, as always.

Brian Kree: Thank you. President Saltzman and commissioner Fish, commissioner Fritz. The -- the -- ok. I'm a little shorter than most. The urban forestry commission is happy to be here today to present with you eight more trees to add to the collection that Portland has. It's a very important thing and one of the things that distinguishes this city and i'm going to actually turn over the presentation to my fellow commissioner, diana Shurby. Michael McKlosky is our the normal chair for the heritage committee, heritage tree committee, he was not able to join us today but will be back next time to regale you of our trees later in the year. I'd like to just mention at this point that the commission has had a very, very busy year, along with these trees, other projects we have are going on but the main thing we've been working on is the new city tree code. Working with various bureaus across the city working hand in hand with the Portland planning commission also the sustainability committee we will be coming to you hopefully in the very near future with a new tree

November 10, 2010

code that I think will also enhance our urban canopy and the environment of Portland. At this point I'd like to turn it over to Diana.

Saltzman: Welcome.

Diana Shurby: Thank you. My name is Diana Shurby. And I'm a member of the urban forestry commission and the heritage tree committee and -- I would like to thank you all for your time this morning. I know you're busy. So let's get right to the pictures. First one is a northern red oak, it's a private tree in southeast Portland. We have seven in the system right now, this one compares quite favorably to the others at 85 feet high with a spread of 88 feet. It's really an impressive tree. It's obvious it's well care for and the property owner is enthusiastic about preserving this tree for years to come. The japanese larch. At this point I need to ask you to amend the ordinance to remove number two from the list. We have a few housekeeping issues to deal with on this one. But hopefully be back.

Fish: So what we'll do is We'll go through the presentation and then we'll modify the resolution. Thank you.

Saltzman: This one we're looking at your saying we're removing? The japanese larch?

Shurby: The Japanese Larch, yes. Hopefully We'll be back with this one at a later date.

Saltzman: O.K.

Shurby: The London Plane this is a right of way tree so it's on the street. We currently have six in the system, London Planes are fairly -- common in Portland however this is an impressive example of the species. It has a circumference of 16 and a half feet which makes it one of the bigger ones in this system. It's truly a beautiful tree. And It's a dominant feature on this street.

Fish: For those who maybe aren't so familiar with the london Plane tree-- it's a first cousin of what?

Shurby: It's a cross between an oriental plane and the american sycamore and it's a pretty common urban tree in most urban areas because it's very resistant and resilient it can take a lot of abuse and still go strong. There's a few disease issues with it, but this one is spectacular. It has no indication of the things that can attack these trees. Sycamore maple, this is also a right-of-way tree not too far away from the london plane. Sycamore maples are fairly uncommon in Portland. We Only two in the system right now. It's native to more of the -- to europe and western asia although it's been introduced for hundreds of years. This is a very beautiful tree. It is a little bit taller than the other ones we have in the system. Again, we thought it was notable not only for it's beauty but because there aren't that many of this size in the city. This Loblolly pine is a private tree, it's in the front yard of a historical house in the irvington neighborhood. The house was built in 1910. I wasn't able to find any pictures to see if the tree coincided with the early days of this house. There are no other loblolly pines in the city, at least on the heritage tree list, and I'm not aware of any other ones in the city. It's pretty unique. It's Native to southeastern united states. Coming as far west as probably texas or oklahoma. It's over 100 feet tall. It's very visible and again, in front of this historic house, it's really quite striking. Burr oak, this is a right-of-way tree in southeast Portland. Burr oaks are also not common in the city. We don't have any other ones in the heritage tree portfolio at this time. It's native more to the northern, northeastern part of the united states, and canada. This, again, is a large beautiful tree. Southern catalpa. This is a private tree in southeast Portland. We have one other one in the system. This one compares pretty favorably in size. It's not quite as tall. It's 40 feet tall but has a significant spread of 94 feet. The canopy spread. That's kind of indicative of this species. They're more squat spreading trees. This one, Again while it's not very common in Portland, we thought for that reason, as well as this unique branching structure, it's really a beautiful tree and I think it will be beautiful in the spring when it's in bloom but also this time of year after its lost its leaves and you can really appreciate its branching structure. Deodar Cedar This is in southeast Portland just up the street from reed college. Deodar cedars are fairly common in the city of Portland. We have seven others in the system. This one is About 80 feet tall with a spread of 80 feet wide. It's a spectacular tree. It's hard to appreciate it until you stand underneath it and look up.

November 10, 2010

When we looked at this one, I was kind of lukewarm on it. But when I stood underneath it on the sidewalk and looked up and saw the branching, it's really a nice tree and I think it's worthy of inclusion in the heritage tree system.

Fish: I think if we didn't save it, it was going to end up in rockefeller center. [laughter]

Shurby: It might.

Fish: Congratulations.

Shurby: The last tree I have this morning is a Coast redwood. This is A private tree on the grounds of a former monastery in southeast Portland, kind of the back side of mount tabor. This tree is 120 feet tall. It's hard to appreciate just how enormous it is until you're standing next to it. You drive up to it and say, yeah, it's a big tree and then we walked over to it and started taking the measurements and it is magnificent. Really a beautiful tree. Coast redwoods are native just to the fog belts of the pacific ocean. So From about southern Oregon-ish to about san francisco and while this tree is impressive at 120 feet tall keep in mind these are truly the forest giants and some of the largest ones recorded are 350-plus feet tall. In the tree world, it's kind of a baby but in an urban setting, it's spectacular. Those are the trees we have to present.

Fish: It's a wonderful lineup. Last year, we -- actually, this summer, we did a little event at lone fir cemetery. Brian you were there. Where we officially sort of designated a couple of the heritage trees you had selected last year. So if someone is at home and wants to do a tour in Portland and see these trees, where do they go to get the map?

Kree: Commissioners, the website for urban forestry does have all the heritage trees on it. And then, a new project that's part of some things that mayor Adams has been working at, and i'm sorry, he had to be away at this moment, because he corralled me yesterday to tell me, Hey, the new app for the iphones with the heritage trees on it is working. You got to check it out. And I did last night and it's quite good, You can put where you're at on it and a bunch of pins drop down and there is little things pop up on a screen and tell you about the tree and you can email that to your friends and tell them how to get to the tree and it's interesting.

Fish: Do we put plaques on each tree? There will be a plaque ultimately at every tree if you happen to stumble across one?

Kree: Yes.

Fritz: How do people find the urban forestry site and how do they find the app?

Kree: Well, it's on the city's website and --

Fritz: Which is Portlandonline.com, right?

Kree: Correct.

Fritz: And how do they find urban forestry from there. Through Parks?

Kree: You can find it through parks. I haven't done it for a long time. I have it bookmarked of course on the computer. I believe if you type in urban forestry you do get over to it. If you type in heritage trees, I believe also you will get to it--

Fish: You can google urban forestry or you can go to Portlandparks.org which is our shortcut and then you can pull up the urban forestry page.

Fritz: Is the app there too?

Fish: I'm not sure the app, is the app ready?

Kree: I don't think it's really been announced but the mayor was so excited when he saw me yesterday and knew that we were coming in to talk about this he was wanting to say something about it

Fish: We don't have that set up yet We do have park scan for people that want to go online and bring to our attention any problems they encounter in any of our parks system. And park scan we hope people will register for that. As soon as the app is available for general use, we'll do some outreach on that.

Kree: Great.

November 10, 2010

Fish: I have some housekeeping matter and I have some thank yous. Karla, anyone signed up to testify.

Moore-Love: No one signed up.

Saltzman: Before did you that, did you explain how one goes about getting a tree nominated as a heritage -- or designated.

Shurby: You can -- property owners, if they think they have a significant tree, they have a form they can get through urban forestry, I believe it's online, that they can get and mail it in. Just average people walking around town, seeing a tree that thinks, wow, that's really a nice tree, can also nominate a tree. If someone else nominates your tree, for example, we obviously have to get the property owner's permission, as well as notify the adjacent property owners if the tree hangs over their property. Because there are some restrictions around heritage trees. But it is basically anyone who sees a tree in Portland that they really like. And then as a committee, we schedule, depending on how many come in, generally two field trips, one in the spring and one in late summer, early fall and good out as a committee look at the trees. And see, you know, how they stack up, basically, so -

Saltzman: When it's on private property, the property owner agrees, it's protected in perpetuity? Is that correct?

Shurby: Yes. And I believe now it's also recorded on the deed so it stays with the piece of property as it changes hands.

Saltzman: Thanks.

Fish: So President Saltzman, This goes to a second reading next week but because we have the principles here, I wonder if we could do our comments today and also, I have a housekeeping matter, which is i'd like to amend the ordinance to remove the japanese larch tree from the list.

Fritz: Second.

Saltzman: Call the roll on the amendment, please, Karla.

Fritz: Aye. **Fish:** Aye.

Saltzman: Aye. Well, i'll start the comments. As commissioner Fish said this is one of the most exciting things for me that we do. I think all the people on the city council and anybody who has sort of been involved in grassroots politics, spends a lot of time going door to door in different neighborhoods of the city and as i've said before, what often astounds me is when I come across these what I call show-stopping trees. They're just incredible. So i'm really glad we have a process in place to afford some permanent protections. When property owners agree, for these trees that truly, it's just amazing to me the variety of trees we have in the city. And I think that it's really good that we're doing our best to preserve these and to improve not only our tree canopy, but just the -- as I said, just the amazing wonders that grow around us and so I really thank you for your work on this. Look forward to voting for this next week.

Fritz: Yes indeed. Thank you so much, not only for your work on this but all the urban forestry commission does and you've been working very hard on the trees. Ordinance I'm happy to hear that will be coming to council, hopefully soon and thank you to all the volunteers there. I think we've long recognized that trees provide color and a visual buffer in our urban environment we're also starting to realize they're an essential part of our infrastructure in that there's economic value to trees as well as the beauty of them. As commissioner Saltzman says the show-stopping trees are ones that we should definitely make every effort we can to preserve. I'm very interested to hear that Others can Nominate heritage trees, if a neighbor hasn't quite gotten around to doing it yet and that also that you will check in with the owner, to make sure that its O.K. that it's not done against any commission. We recognize that there are benefits and responsibilities to trees. We're currently hearing a lot about the leaf removal fee from those who will be paying it now and then also from those who don't get leaf removal in their neighborhoods and recognize also the benefits of our urban

November 10, 2010

forest so thank you for all of your work over many years and thanks to dave McAllister and others in Parks who worked on this, and i'm going to -- aye -- i'm going to vote aye.

Saltzman: Next week.

Fish: Let me add my voice of thank you. We have a, colleagues, we have a very strong urban forestry commission. Made up of very dedicated citizen volunteers who as commissioner Fritz noted in the front lines of helping us on the tree code which is incredibly complicated and has been very time consuming and in addition to many other important functions we have this happy day of the heritage trees. So to brian and Diana thank you very much for your good work. I want to acknowledge dave mcallister, who's here, our city nature manager. And his team a nominating committee, has been eluded to, spent countless hours reviewing nominations and researching the various trees and we send our best wishes to the chair Michael McCluskey, who's home, and we hope we see him next year. And to The other committee members, John Warner, Steven Peacock and ed Washington, who is also a former urban forestry commission chair. I also want to acknowledge the folks at Portland parks & rec. Joe Hince, who is a tree inspector, rob Crouch , who is a program coordinator. Angie Desalvo, who is a botany specialist too in forestry and dan muller, I will note in passing with some sadness, that we've lost dan, he's been poached by metro and he was really one of the terrific professionals in our bureau. And now we have a colleague at metro. With the passage of this ordinance likely to be completed next week, we'll have a total of 287 heritage trees in Portland representing 122 species. And they are all working hard to preserve and promote a healthy and diverse urban tree canopy. Thank you both for your work.

Saltzman: Thank you. Our next item is the 1020 -- 10:20 time certain. Please read that.

Item 1451.

Saltzman: Commissioner Fritz.

Fritz: Thank you, president Saltzman. I'm pleased to forward this initial item and we have a related one in 1452. This 1451 provides for a six-month extension to the city's comcast cable franchises in order to allow the parties to continue negotiations. I thank mayor Adams, and the bureau of technology services for making sure that this related item is on immediately afterwards which provides for a parallel six-month extension of the current operating agreement between comcast and the city to provide crucial broad band services to our community partners. The current comcast cable franchises, which were renewed in the 1990's expire county wide, on december 31st, 2010. Our representatives on the mount hood cable regulatory commission, which is staffed by the cities office of cable communications and franchise management, have worked diligently on a lengthy process to negotiate the terms of a cable franchise renewal agreement, which will be agreeable to all concerned. The comcast cable renewal is significant not only to the city of Portland but also to our other jurisdictional partners in Eastern Multnomah County including Multnomah County, and the cities of Gresham, Troutdale, Fairview and wood village. The proposed renewal is not just about more television choices for Comcast customers in our area. It is also about crucial services and public benefits on which local governments, schools and libraries depend. I'm happy to introduce alan alexander, who is the current chair of the mount hood cable regulatory commission, along with david olson, the city's cable communications and franchise management director, to provide additional comments on the first term comcast franchise extension.

Saltzman: Should we read 1452 also?

Fritz: We could. We have other stuff to present for 1452. They're right, we could do them.

Saltzman: All right, do you want it read the title of 1452 so we can at least take testimony on both?

Item 1452.

Saltzman: Thank you. Please go ahead.

Alan Alexander: Ok. Good morning council. My name is alan alexander, I am the chair of the mount hood regulatory commission I'm one of three appointed representatives that you have to that body also with me is david olson, staff director of the commission and mark Greinke, chief

November 10, 2010

technology officer for the city of Portland. We're here to present a recommendation for the commission to extend comcast current franchise agreement for six months until June 30th, 2011. As you know the commission is responsible for negotiating a cable franchise renewal with comcast on your behalf and also on behalf of five other local jurisdictions in Multnomah county who are your partners in the Mount Hood regulatory commission. Cable franchise renewals must follow requirements of federal law and we began this process more than two years ago with a community needs ascertainment, we began face-to-face negotiations with comcast in late March. Our franchise renewal negotiations are concluded and the MHCRC will then make a recommendation to all six MHCRC jurisdictions. We expect the overall process to conclude with public hearings and action by each city council. And the county commission. The current Portland comcast franchise expires December 31st and negotiations thus far have been challenging for both sides particularly given the robust level of public benefits in the current franchise and the current cable television business environment. Never the less, MHCRC wants you to know that recommending a term extension was not an easy decision or a decision made lightly. Realistically however the parties needed more time to reach conclusions that meets comcast's business needs but also continues to preserve the significant public benefits and safeguards for customers and customer service that our communities depend on. The commission and we believe all of the elected bodies we serve are facing this franchise renewal negotiations very seriously and this is why. Franchise fee revenues arising -- from the cable franchise are critical to the city's general fund and other general fund resources. Public benefits under the franchise provided for dedicated grant funds that stay here in our community, these grant funds help provide services to schools, libraries, local governments throughout the county and cable grants leveraged at least \$3 million in matching resources in 2009 alone. The franchise also provides for the comcast i-net/irne inter-connection, this combined network provides high speed broadband and discounted rates to nearly 300 schools, libraries, public safety agencies, and local governments throughout the city and Multnomah county. A six-month extension of the city's i-net/irne agreement with comcast is also on your agenda and the MHCRC remains strongly supportive of this interconnection. The services provided by Portland community media also rely on the public benefits under this franchise. Pcm provides training and media coverage, media services throughout the city. Also provides transparency for city government through live coverage of this city council and other public meetings. 80% of pcm's funding and all of its community cable access channels are dependent on the terms of the comcast cable franchise agreement. In conclusion, by extending the current agreement, the commission will be able to keep working with comcast to ensure that these public benefits and resources stay in Multnomah county and continue to benefit our citizens. At the same time, the commission is making every effort to reach an agreement with comcast on overall terms that will allow the company to successfully meet its business goals in this market. The city's five jurisdictional partners in the mhrc are also considering and acting on this extension request which has been agreed to by comcast. On behalf of the MHCRC We respectfully request council approve the extension of the franchise and also urge favorable consideration of the i-net/irne interconnection extension. And David or I will be happy to answer any questions that you have. Thanks.

David Olson: Thank you, councilmembers. I'm here in a supportive role. And, of course, Mark Greinke is here to address the i-net/irne connection. And I would say and I'm sure all of you appreciate the countless dedicated voluntary hours of work put in by the citizens who serve on the Mount Hood regulatory commission. We really never get a chance to thank them enough. I certainly would join you in thanking them for their work.

Mark Greinke: Just a few brief words. My name is Mark Greinke, the city's chief technology officer. I'm here today to seek your support in extending this Agreement, it's for Comcast institutional network. Otherwise known as the i-net. And it provides low cost high band with broadband services to government agencies throughout Multnomah county through this

November 10, 2010

interconnection with the city's integrated regional network otherwise known as irne. As Alan mentioned, We provide broadband services to over 275 offices, schools and libraries throughout the county. And broadband's really a critical capability for the city and our local government partners we each depend on continuing these services at affordable rates. I'm proud of our relationship with Comcast, the cable office sincerely appreciate all the hard work of the mount hood cable regulatory commission, particularly under the leadership of alan. A six-month extension of this interconnection agreement in conjunction with the cable franchise will allow a sufficient time to complete contract renewal negotiations. Thank you for your time.

Adams: All right, Thank you, gentlemen. We're considering both 1451 and 1452. O.K. Is there Anyone that wishes to testify on this matter?

Moore-Love: No one signed up.

Adams: Alright, please call the vote on both, starting with 1451.

Item 1451 roll.

Leonard: I appreciate alan's work on the commission and david's and Mark's ongoing great work. Aye.

Fritz: Thank you. Alan alexander is an amazing chair of the mount hood cable regulatory commission and indeed all of our jurisdictional partners are to be commended. David Olson's team does a wonderful job of staffing them, and is working diligently with Comcast to get to a favorable outcome for everybody. And thanks to Tim Crail in my office for being my liason to this project. Aye.

Fish: Aye.

Saltzman: Thank you, mount hood cable regulatory commission and david and everybody else for working on this franchise. It's a very important franchise for the city as Alan pointed out and I hope the negotiations can lead to a successful outcome. Aye.

Adams: Aye. [gavel pounded] 1452. Please call the vote.

Item 1452 roll.

Leonard: Aye.

Fritz: Thanks to mark Greinke, the city's chief technology office and all of our partners in all of the different offices. There's a lot of work going on technology issues. This is an important extension for i-net and irne. And I've learned a lot about those things over the last two years and I am looking forward to the renewed agreement. Aye.

Fish: Aye. **Saltzman:** Aye.

Adams: Thanks to commissioner Fritz for leading the team to bring this forward. Thanks to all the volunteers and staff at community cable and to the great team at the bureau of technology services. Appreciate it. Aye. [gavel pounded] so approved. We'll now take the item number 1449.

Item 1449.

Andrew Scott, Financial Planning Manager, Office of Management and Finance: Good morning, i'm andrew scott, financial planning manager. We had a previous work session on the fall budget monitoring process, or what we call the bump on october 29th. So I'm going to give a broad overview today, but I'm not going to go over the specifics again. I think Overall in terms of this bump, there's some good news in the sense that we ended last year in a better financial position than what we had included in the forecast.

Saltzman: Could you once again explain what the fall bumpis?

Scott: Yes, So -- thank you. Three times a year, after budget adoption, the council, the city goes back and adjusts its budgets and we have a fall, winter around spring budget monitoring process and the bureaus are able to make different changes that need council approval. And fall bump in particular is where we look back at last year and sort of true up to any imbalances in all the funds, including the general fund. So again, the good news here is, The general fund ended in a better financial position than we had forecast however, I'd say that's tempered by some caution. We still

November 10, 2010

have some national and global economic uncertainty and obviously there are some state and local budget shortfalls that may impact the city. And then we are experiencing overall a weakened and somewhat jobless economic recovery. So with that context, this bump and again i'll focus a little bit on the general fund, it appropriates an additional \$15.2 million of beginning fund balance. Again that is Additional from last year. \$5.5 million of that is being used to cover encumbrance carryovers, those are contracts that city bureaus had entered into by the end of last year and will continue on into this year. We're making \$814,000 of restorations to cuts that were made last year related to bureau compensation – set aside amounts there is also \$1.8 million of carryover and new requests in the bump, and in addition we're making an adjustment to the utility license fee payments that are being transferred to pbot, again Part of the overall true-up. The remaining balance of a little bit over \$9 million is this bump allocates \$465,000 of that to the current year general fund contingency. It allocates An additional \$1.5 million into our compensation set aside. And that is Targeted to deal with police and fire potential retirements coming up next year. It sets aside \$2.4 million, as per the city financial policy, for infrastructure maintenance projects and finally it sets aside \$3.9 million for next year's budget, the f.y. 2011-12 budget is set aside for that. The only changes your seeing in the ordinance filed today, from what we talked about at the work session on october 29th, There is a \$285,000 draw from contingency to fund the human trafficking program and I think there's a resolution later on the agenda for that. But that's included in the bump and also \$6,000 of program carryover has been added to ONI's appropriation at commissioner Fritz's request to reflect the full amount of their bureau request. --

Fritz: So that \$285,000 Going to the human trafficking shelter, that's why the contingency – we put into contingency and we took it out again in the same action?

Scott: Right, in the same action, right. So we're increasing contingency by \$750,000 but then, pulling out that 285.

Fritz: Thank you.

Scott: And otherwise the bump is the same as what we had talked about earlier. I'm happy to answer questions.

Fritz: The \$2.4 million for infrastructure maintenance and -- by the way, thank you. This is very clearly laid out. So I appreciate that very much. So my understanding of the \$274 million infrastructure maintenance and projects is that they're for projects that were requested in the budget are not funded. Does that mean that there will be some process for council to review which particular projects are done with that \$2.4 million?

Adams: I've asked the bureau of financial planning return after working with the bureaus with a list that shows what was selected and what was not for our review.

Fritz: What's eligible for that? Only infrastructure or other requests?

Scott: The financial policies note that it's infrastructure maintenance and then it's stresses the priorities given to projects requested in the last budget but not funded. Obviously, council gets to makes the final decision in terms of where that goes.

Fritz: That -- that would be things like, things in the transportation capital improvement project, maintenance budget that we didn't have enough money for?

Scott: Yeah, the general fund -- general fund capital set-aside can be used for pretty much anything. **Fritz:** Thank you.

Adams: That qualifies as major maintenance or capital.

Scott: Correct.

Adams: Right, Not any thing --

Scott: Well, yeah, sorry, Within that parameter.

Saltzman: So it's \$2.4 million we're adding to the current fiscal year for capital projects.

Scott: Yeah it will be a set-aside in contingency but again designated for structural maintenance and capital.

November 10, 2010

Saltzman: What will our contingency be after we pass everything here today?

Scott: In net, we're adding again the 400, yeah we're adding the 465. So it will be about \$1.1 million.

Saltzman: For the current fiscal year.

Scott: For the current fiscal year, Correct. That's the unallocated contingency.

Scott: Right, We are also then setting aside the \$3.9 million for next year's budget.

Adams: Any additional council discussion? Anyone wish to testify on this matter?

Moore-Love: No one signed up.

Adams: Please call the vote.

Scott: And actually, mayor, because there's something that qualifies as a major supplemental use, you need to open a budget hearing. I should have noted that before.

Adams: All right. Let me turn to the right page. I don't have a script -- under Oregon state budget law, I hereby open the hearing on the major supplemental budget amendment.

Scott: Correct, And now we just need to see if there's testimony and then close the hearing.

Adams: O.K. Again, is there any testimony on this matter? Hearing none, I under state law close the budget hearing on the major budget supplemental amendment. Now, can you please call the vote.

Item 1449 roll.

Leonard: Aye.

Fritz: We're Very happy to see the healthy and better than expected ending fund balance. That's very helpful and it's a tribute to our thrifty city employees and to wise budgeting under the mayor's leadership. Aye.

Fish: Andrew, You and your team, deserve a lot of credit for the way you've managed this process and I join with commissioner Fritz in thanking you for the clarity of the written and oral presentations on this. And I also want to just express my thanks to my colleagues not only for the \$60,000 we restored for one time funding for aging and disability services that we helped to fund with the county, which is, of course, important funding for older adults but also for building into the forecast starting in f.y. 2013 2014, operations, onm funding for gateway green phase one which we think will be a very promising project in the gateway area and has the strong support of our partners there. Again, thank you for your good work. Aye.

Saltzman: Thanks to everybody for their hard work. Pleased to support it. Aye.

Adams: Thanks. Aye. [gavel pounded] 1449 is approved. Can you please read the title for 1467.

Item 1467.

Adams: Thank you, commissioner dan Saltzman and I have some initial comments.
Commissioner.

Saltzman: Well, before us today is the request -- a request to start funding and operating for possibly up to eight additional beds for juveniles who are subject to sex trafficking. Minor Victims of sex trafficking and i'm proud to be here with mayor Adams to cosponsor this. Our community has been serving these victims, but these will be the first shelter beds in our city specifically dedicated for commercially sexually exploited youth. The funding for the advocates will mean more outreach to victims and getting them the necessary services and today's action by the council will build on the work that's already underway and sort of gets us to the stage where we have all cylinders clicking. Senator ron wyden is leading the effort to bring federal resources to bear in the city of Portland and indeed nationwide. Commissioner diane mckeel, Multnomah county commissioner, Diane Mckeel, has been an early champion of this issue and she will continue do so and in january, the county will be beginning a john school. Mayor Adams has increased staffing at the police bureau to dedicate more officers and investigators to human trafficking and the city council just a few weeks ago approved a asset forfeiture, ordinance, that will take any funds or assets from pimps and johns and use those funds to support victim services and shelter services, of

November 10, 2010

which we are acting on today. So this will eventually build, I think, to be a sustainable source of funding for some operations to which we're jump-starting today. And then I also want to acknowledge the relentless work of the Oregon department of human services. The Portland police bureau, the janus youth programs the sexual assault resource center and Oregonians against trafficking humans and the Multnomah County community justice who are all working together on this problem. The list continues as does our work, but today is a big step forward and to say that -- to say that Portland does not tolerate sex trafficking of our children. So, I am Pleased to cosponsor this with you, mayor.

Adams: And I too am pleased to cosponsor this with commissioner Saltzman who's been working on this issue and other human services issues and social services issues for a very long time. Off the top, I want to recognize commissioner Diane McKeel as well for the early attention that she paid. Coming up -- come on up, commissioner -- to this issue. Many of you may have seen some of the national coverage about Portland as a hub for human trafficking. This is not the kind of recognition I want for the city I love or the city I help lead. Human trafficking, especially juvenile human trafficking goes against everything that the city believes in and stands for and we must do everything we can now to combat the causes and symptoms of this problem. Here are some of the important and brutal facts about the -- that helps to paint the picture of juvenile human trafficking in the city of Portland. Juvenile human traffic victims are exploited coerced, and physically and sexually assaulted by their pimps and johns to perform numerous acts. National statistics indicate one of three missing teens who ends up on the street will be lured and forced into prostitution within 48 hours. The Portland police bureau reports an average of five cases of human trafficking each week and at least two of these victims are juveniles. The city of Portland, the Portland police bureau, committed volunteers,

Adams: faith organization nonprofits community activists have been working hard to combat this issue for a number of years. As commissioner Saltzman mentioned, we've doubled the size of the human trafficking detail at the police bureau and equally important are going through a educational and training program for all police officers to recognize the signs, because many of the juvenile victims will not offer up that they are victims of human trafficking to recognize the signs and be able to ask the right questions about human trafficking. The city with this financial commitment will double the size of the outreach workers that commissioner Saltzman mentioned. With SARC that Does an excellent job and performs a service and makes a connection that uniformed police officers just can't do. And Esther is here. And hopefully we'll hear from her, I appreciate that. And with the, our collaboration with Multnomah county and the advent conspiracy, which is a group of local churches that encourages [inaudible] giving around the holiday season, increased giving around the holiday season. That, and along with the forfeiture ordinance that commissioner Saltzman passed through council a couple weeks ago will begin to build a sustainable funding source for a continuum of services. I too want to thank US senator Ron Wyden and the delegation for the legislation that he has introduced into congress and I also want to recognise the federal government for the \$500,000 to Multnomah county for the commercial sexual exploitation of children steering committee that provides the overall coordination and accountability for this and other efforts. This is a start. It's not a panacea. But it's the first time the state will have secure shelter beds with the services attached to them for juvenile victims of human trafficking and I think it's an important day for Portland and the county. And I'm very pleased to bring it forward. Along with Multnomah county commissioner Diane McKeel. welcome.

Diane McKeel: Thank you very much. Mayor and city councilors. This is indeed an exciting day and I want to thank all of you for stepping up. Commissioner Saltzman, with your ordinance on forfeiture several weeks ago and now the dedicated shelter beds. What we've heard consistently throughout this issue, is we need safe shelter. That's the biggest missing piece we need for the victims and this is a wonderful first step, along that continuum to work on. It will -- along with

November 10, 2010

senator wyden's legislation, it will work toward providing that continuum and so it is a very exciting day. I'm happy to be here on behalf of the county. And partnering with the city of Portland. And janus youth on this project. So I want to thank all of you for stepping up to this commitment. Thank you.

Adams: Thank you, and one of the benefits of having a non-profit like janus youth in the community, is we're able to allocate the money with existing contracts that we have with the county, we're able to get more from the dollar invested in terms of value. Because janus youth has the economies of scale and of existing -- the benefits of existing facilities will provide -- some resources to upgrade them but it's a great partnership to have because they then can also look for federal, state and other money along with the county and state, to match our dollars and make them go further. For the public listen, that's why it's a range of four to eight. It depends somewhat on the nature of the challenges facing the individual that's brought into the shelter -- the nature of the challenge. Dictates what kind of matching funds might be available.

McKeel: Thank you.

Adams: Thank you. Would everyone else come up? The three right here in the middle row come on up and talk about your thoughts on this. Yeah? Come on up. Yep. You're a special guest today. Esther, maybe you'd be willing to start and talk a little about SARC and what you do and what this will allow you to do.

Esther Nelson: Sure, Hi, my name is Esther Nelson from the sexual assault resource center and I'm an advocate and case manager there for sexually exploited youth and we have a 24-hour hot line, where we triage calls from survivors and their families, as well as law enforcement and other social service providers. We have a 30-minute response time to anywhere in the tri county area and we respond to hospitals, law enforcement precincts and out to the scene if law enforcement is present and we triage the needs of the survivor and work with them long term. We are a very relational model, and so we believe that relationship is what will sustain someone in cycling through their attempts to leave and eventually leaving the industry. And we value the long term commitment to them, over the short term. And I think that is why I'm so thankful we are coming up with creative ways to use existing resources and fund more positions because we believe that long-term commitment to them is what's going to ultimately help them transition out. Thank you.

Adams: The important point there that I've come to understand is that sometimes there is -- what would you call it? Juveniles that come forward. They want to turn away from this life. Sometimes they, though, go back. And you stay with them.

Nelson: Yeah.

Adams: Your relationship stays with them and sometimes it takes more than once, or twice. For them to decide they've had enough of this terrible life.

Nelson: That's correct. We look at domestic violence statistics, where an adult woman who might have more resources in development, may attempt to leave an average of nine times before she's able to exit a relationship that is not safe for her. And if we consider this organized crime as opposed to one abusive person and we're talking about adolescent development, we know that they are going to need that unconditional care and support in order to make that actual safe transition.

Adams: Can you talk about the -- this is an opportunity to introduce Portlanders who are not watching because our video is down, but to introduce to those listening, Janus, your program and how you will approach this.

Dennis Morrow: I'm Dennis Morrow, executive director with Janus youth programs. Janus, for people that aren't aware, Janus is one of the largest adolescent service agencies in the state in terms of working with ultra high-risk or at risk youth. We serve over 600 teenagers every day, everything from moms with infant children, that we work with through our teen parent program. We operate the homeless youth shelters, in downtown Portland, part of which the funding comes from the city. And we work with girls and boys in long-term residential care but the primary area that Janus

November 10, 2010

focuses on is the emergency services and shelter, a 24-hour safety off of the streets for both runaway and homeless youth. We have a pretty unique perspective because we not only operate programs for those youths in Portland, we also operate the only programs in southwest Washington and when we look at the trafficking issue, we've actually seen a regional inflow we see a number of the girls coming into our Washington state shelters for over a year now. On any given day, we work with 600 hundred kids but we have almost 200 who are living us. And the exciting thing for me is this won't have more youth who are living with us it will have specialized places for the youths we know are already there and a safe place to go off of the street for these young women. Personally I can tell you I've been at Janus since 1980 and working with the youth since the mid '70s and there's not a moment in my career I would be more proud than today. Not proud of Janus, But proud of this city and this county and this state. Because the complication of setting up services and a service network for these young women is beyond anything I've ever seen. I sit here Proud that we can work with the police, that we can work with the city council that we can work with Multnomah county that we can work with nonprofit agencies together, that we work with the FBI. we had a young woman recently who came into one of our runaway programs that didn't have any symptoms or signs but the staff felt something was wrong. Staff talked to her, she didn't admit to that. The police talked to her, she didn't admit to that, and the next day, an FBI agent came and talked to her and she basically dumped the whole story. So what we're seeing is As we get these systems trained and working together, and they have never ever worked together the way we are working together now, we're beginning to create miracles. Which is amazing. But at the same time, I would say I don't think I've ever been sadder, in my whole career, because the stories you hear of these young women, are ones personally, as a professional I can't not take home. I have a 13-year-old daughter I have a 14-year-old daughter and I try to imagine what the picture would be like of them getting picked up in Lloyd Center and ending up in this business. And it's not anything we want to see happen to a child in this city. So I'm proud to be here with you, I'm proud to sit beside folks that are partners in this and as we're speaking today, the county also is completing a planning process which Janus is facilitating, that by early December, we'll have an entire plan for the community representing a continuum of care. From the first moment they touch the system all the way through to long term after care and support for them to become leaders in the community. I believe we'll be the first community in the country that doesn't have just a program but has an entire design for how we work with these young women over time and do a really amazing job. So this is the first step, but it will be a step into a much larger plan that's going to have huge significance for the community.

Fritz: Can I clarify? Thank you, that's very helpful. We're not adding any beds but what we're doing is designating these four to eight to provide wrap-around services for this particular group of folks who need it?

Morrow: Here's the unique thing. If you said, let's set up a shelter. We're talking about \$500,000 to \$750,000 a year. What Janus has done is collected resources already from Federal, county, state and then some private donations and we operate the shelter for runaway kids, for both girls and boys, and two of the beds in that shelter historically have been contracted to the DHS system. Specifically, to help keep homeless youth out of the downtown core. We've been so successful in doing that, over the last year that, the beds have gone virtually unutilized and so DHS, here's the partnership, they came to Janus and said could we take our beds and what do we know, most of these girls are going to be under DHS custody – could we take our beds and would you be willing to convert our beds in your shelter, specifically for these girls? Not adding new beds but we're taking capacity that's has not been utilized, and we're beginning to now

Adams: I've come to understand there's, folks answer this question, and -- let me see if I can tease it apart. There's the actual physical bed. And there's the slot, you know, the client slot available in the system. And right now, they're not being utilized and so our resources allows them, partnered

November 10, 2010

with the other resources, allows us to open up -- the use of beds, we're not paying the full freight because of the partnerships that exist among the agencies and exist between janus and government and other nonprofit funders, and as opposed to just warehousing individuals with a literal place and a shelter and a bed, they're getting the services with this program that they otherwise wouldn't get because the other funding is not -- you cannot use the other funding necessarily for the kinds of services that we, our money, is going to allow to be provided?

Morrow: Well, close.

Adams: Ok. [laughter]

Morrow: You're accurate statistically. Let me be more specific. We're already seeing these girls in the shelter but we don't have two things that are essential. One is we don't have Staff trained to be able to deal with the challenges that come in. And secondly, equally important, is if we begin to focus more on specifically these girls, there's a security issue that goes up and the security is not actually as much around the girls as it is around the potential of the pimps coming in and creating difficulty for us. So actually what Your money is doing is two things, it is providing training, one-time money for training, all of the staff at the runaway program, Harry's mother that works with these youths. Were already connected to SARC and will be actually adding a SARC case advocate who will be specifically assigned to the girls in the shelter so they'll have that person that's always there. Because what do we know? They're going to come back to the shelter a half dozen times over two or three years too. But each time they come back, they'll have a trained staff and they'll have the same advocate they're connecting with so we're creating a long-term relationship with them and we're adding two staff positions. We can run the shelter right now, but we have for instance one night, I don't want three or four girls with pimps with only one night staffer awake at night. That's not safe for the staff. We have a community security issue. What your money does is allows those two position, a knit and swing shift staff that will ensure when the kids are there, we're able to provide safety from the community, basically, for them. And that's really the issue. It's got to be not secure in terms of locks but if terms of them feeling safe and you're right, approximately \$100,000, actually, is buying you the equivalent of a \$600,000 program. That's the truth of the deal.

Fritz: And that's wonderful. And just one further final clarification. Sometimes when I think of shelter it seems like something that folks show up when it gets dark and leave again in the morning, but you're telling me this is a 24 hour

Morrow: 24 hour yes we have 24 hour supervised care. We will have the SARC advocates, we also have case managers, and family therapists so the girls can go back home that work with them. They go to school, if they don't go to school then we have a day program where they're supervised under activities there. The homeless used shelters, our emergency shelters there is simply an overnight shelter, that's just getting kids off the street. This is keeping a girl safe so that she can begin to participate in the system. And we're estimating that could be maybe two to four weeks maximum. It really is not designed as a long term care. Part of what we'll be looking at with our system design is what's the next level needed, that we need to be able to move them to if they need a longer term safety. But the beauty of it is, again we anticipate we'll see them this year, we'll probably see them next month, we'll probably see them six months from now, and we might see them a year from now. But what we know is if you're there, eventually they stick. But what they have to know is that they have a place that they can stay. The police have a place they can take the girls to. We have the 24 hour reception center, which actually is the police drop off point right now, so we already have an existing, and that is awake staff, separate from the shelter, so that if you want to see how this works, the

Police pick up a girl. They bring her to what we call the reception center. We have staff there which we can immediately connect with SARC we've created what we call a swat team that basically will surround the girls and start providing support for the community. We say, guess what. We've got a safe place for you. You're not criminalized. You're demonized.

November 10, 2010

Fish: I'm going to enthusiastically support this q but I have a couple of questions based on what you said. So the city now spends just under a million dollars funding the shelters with the county funding shelter beds for minors, and I think there's at least three nonprofits that are benefited. Your organization and outside in. So we have a lot of experience with that, looking at outcomes and evaluating. I guess I'm a little less clear as to how we evaluate this program, so my two questions are how many more do you think you'll see in the course of a 12-month period and who is actually tracking outcomes and keeping an eye on the public investment to make sure we're getting a return?

Adams: I'm going to answer that last part first. Part of the reason that -- I mean, we are building our response to this as we go. I'm the first to say that up-front. But we are benefited in answering your very good question by the fact that we do have this federal grant of a half a million dollars that Multnomah county therefore has put together the multiagency task force called commercial sexual exploitation of children steering committee, sec sc. They are working to come up with a strategy, also coming up with the accountability metrics, and we will fine tune then as we go. We thought it was important to get the services out there as quickly as possible and the beds out there as quickly as possible, but if this is too much money, then we will be coming back with any sort of changes. I doubt it will be, but I think that's only an answer to part of the question if you could answer the first part.

Morrow: Again a portion of the money is one-time only funds, and that is for some minor upgrades in the building. Then we're back to an ongoing number, which is lower than that even. The issue of how many youths are out there --

Fish: No. How many minors do you expect to serve in the first year?

Morrow: Don't have a clue. There is somewhere between 100 and 200 girls who may be in the system somewhere but, because there are no services, they have not been brought forward. They don't volunteer into services. I'm not being flippant with "i don't have a clue." We have a contract with the county to lead the process with this group, and one of the issues has been, what is the number? The number that the planning group has settled on is about 125 to 150 girls at any one time that we're looking at services for. Today is actually the meeting where they're trying to go back and say how many of those do we think will be in shelter? How many will be in long term living situations? How many of them may actually go into the downtown homeless youth system where you have some prefunded services for long-term transitional living services? That's what we're trying to come up with at this point. Probably two to four at any given time to start with. Once we get the services on the stream, we just want them there. We're looking at safe exits. That's what we're measured by. The federal grant we have provide as 95% safe exit from the shelter which means, when a youth goes somewhere, they're going somewhere better than they came in. I don't think we'll hit 90% with these girls because they exit themselves. The issue of the tracking, just like you have with the homeless youth system downtown, that's actually the model we're using for how we're constructing this for trafficked girls. We're going to create a single integrated data system just like we have for the homeless data system downtown. We can punch up any given year.

Fish: I guess then, in light of that, and thank you for a very clear explanation of the whole thing, can you guesstimate how many young people are currently in our homeless continuum that otherwise would qualify under this program who may have either not disclosed that they are victims of sexual trafficking or have had some history or are at risk?

Morrow: The answer, the professional answer at this point is we believe very few. But the other side of the answer, and we've seen this in our Washington state programs is part of the reason is nobody is talking about it but the staff haven't been trained to ask the right questions.

Morrow: As we have trained our staff on the Washington state side, we found more girls than we ever knew were there because they're now seen and screened differently. When I say very few, we're seeing most of the youth in the homeless system often times engage in survival sex but they're not part of an organized pimp or sexually trafficked ring the same way these girls are. The target

November 10, 2010

group we're talking about here is 12 to 17. Over 90% of the youth in the homeless youth system now are 17 and over. We are predominantly dealing with 17 to 23- or 24-year-old group downtown. That's how we set up the relationship with them. We do think some of the resources, as you get into the 16-, 17-, 18-year-old young woman who maybe does not have a family to go back to, we think we'll be able to work with the homeless youth system and convert it just like we've done at the shelter, so you have an existing capacity. Wherever we can, these programs are extremely expensive to operate. If we can take current capacity and train people uptight, then we'll have a much more efficient use of resources we put into it. Actually, we started out thinking there would be large numbers of the girls in the system downtown, but the biggest piece is the age range. Our data has been very clear. Each year in the homeless youth system, that age has gone up, so we have very few of these kids. This will be 12 to 17. And really our target group for the shelter is going to be 12 to 15, little girls on the street who need a safe place.

Adams: I think its also interesting and maybe you can give us your perspective, on the commissioners question on numbers, I also think it's interesting that over the past couple of months that we've been working on this, the training you talked about, you mentioned how the better training of police officers will make the invisible, but existing, more visible. You mentioned runaways being vulnerable to this kind of challenge. The vulnerability is very high. But our officers haven't necessarily been trained after asking, are you a runaway? Are you a truant? Whatever. Not necessarily asking other kinds of questions that would go on and find a deeper and more accurate understanding of the truth in terms of exploitation. Can you talk about the numbers from your point of view and the need?

Nelson: Sure. I would agree that we don't know exactly if we're looking at numbers. I think that's an accurate answer right now. In terms of SARCS, capacity in what we've served since we started serving the population, we have about 150 people on our caseload right now, but we also don't close cases because we believe in that long-term commitment. I wouldn't say we've served 150 just this year. I would say it's probably been about two years now that we've been serving about 150 people total. That means there are two case managers so about 75 people are on each of our caseloads. So we see a lot -- a very broad range of their needs, just depending on where they're at in that continuum of trying to end the life for the sex industry, and we will serve them on any kind of that continuum. About 150 people total that SARC has served as an agency. We also do what we call warm handoffs so handoffs to other agencies in the country because they're very much in flux. We work with people from all over the country who are in Portland and came from elsewhere or are trying to get to Portland, usually for safety reasons.

Saltzman: I'd probably also add the SARC advocates are located at the Gateway Center for domestic violence.

Nelson: I'm glad you brought that up. We're currently fortunately located at the gateway one-stop domestic resource center. It's a great open door to services, because their experiences with their pimp are domestic violence. They also have a broad range of other traumas that they experience, but I think that the domestic violence model is so useful for them. So even though we serve predominantly minors, that's a great access point for them. Not just SARC advocacy.

Adams: All right, thank you very much.

Sarah Hobbs: My name is sarah hobbs. I'm here today -- I volunteer with stop child trafficking. Now i'm angry, and I want to say "thank you" for what you're doing. I am angry that my city gets listed in a dan rather report labeled porn land. I am angry. I have been very fortunate to have been mentored in the issues of human trafficking by colonel bill hillier. Colonel hillier lost his daughter to sex trafficking. It was his story that inspired the 2008 movie with liam neeson, "taken." I am grateful for what you're doing, but i'm going to encourage you stay the course. I have had things thrown at me from people I never thought i'd get, and it's a difficult issue. I've been dealing with a lot of apathy in this city. You know, one more person tells me it's just a third world country, they

November 10, 2010

will hear me screaming in a third world country. I mean -- so while personally -- and i'm just going to be honest and admit I feel what's being done is a small -- very small -- step. I want to thank you for taking the small step. I respect that we have to start somewhere for the sake of our children. This is our next generation. I was talking to somebody about concerns that these children are victimized and not helped. Just out of their confusion, we run the risk of having a whole other generation of victimizers. So I am very graceful. I can relate to what this gentleman said. I take the stories I hear and I look forward to the day I don't hear them. I'm sorry. I love you guys, but I want to put you out of a job. [laughter] so thank you.

Adams: Well said.

Hobbs: And also I was very excited, mayor Adams, as an sct volunteer to see our banner out front. I asked who die thank for that, and they told me to thank you, so thank you.

Adams: Your welcome, thank you for everything that you do. Yes sir.

Morrow: One final point I want to make that is, you triggered it when you said this needs to be a long term commitment. Not to Janus but to these girls. My personal experience, and I shared this with Diane McKeel, when the county adopted their resolution. I sat on a task force in 1983 and worked with a group of community agencies that put together a program to attack juvenile prostitution in downtown Portland. We got a federal grant that lasted two years and, for two years, we had a model of services in the county. When the federal grant went away, the services went away, 'cause it's not an easy issue to keep visible publicly. I would rather not open these beds than open these beds and close them in a girl, because these girls have been victimized enough. And once we make a commitment as a community, we have to institutionalize this, and our model again is the homeless youth system. 10 years ago, we put together a system much services that's now a national model and does more and better work with just kids than any other place in the country. I look at this as a down payment not in terms of the amount of money, but whatever we put in we've got to be willing to hold until we don't need it any more.

Adams: Well said, all of you. Thank you. Is anyone else signed up?

Hobbs: Alright, thank you.

Moore-Love: No one else signed up.

Adams: Does anyone else wish to testify on this matter? Ok. Detective, do you want to come up as well and say a few words? Go ahead and sit down.

Veronica Bernier: Good morning.

Adams: How are you? I haven't seen you for a while.

Bernier: I know i've been away, but i've been busy. I'll just sit real quickly here. Good morning, commissioner Fritz. Good morning, randy Leonard, good morning, dan Saltzman, and good morning, nick Fish, wherever you are. A big howdy. Trying to focus this morning. Traveling's important. I know that our current mayor -- i'm from psu. I'm an alumni of 2005, and I majored in public health and community health education at Portland state. It's a wonderful, wonderful state college, and it's very expensive, and it's growing by leaps and bounds. You can't understand how big you're getting until you walk across the campus, and it's growing definitely. But traveling and trafficking are two adjectives that have to do with movement from one place to another. I understand, mayor, they're the commissioner of transportation. I would like to reflect for just a minute where transportation comes in. My nonprofit women's affordable housing form does dovetail with transportation and many different issues. There always to pick up domestic violence survivors at a bus stop, at the safeway, at a local place. I understand sarc and what they stand for, and I definitely and against what they stand for. I think at this point in time there needs to be a safe way for them to get from point a to point b where it's seattle or Oregon or Washington or across the board. That 1-800-d v number is so important. As these children go through life, imagine your 13-year-old -- I don't have a 13-year-old right now, but imagine your 13-year-old girl is standing on a corner running away from home because both parents are chemically dependent and she doesn't feel

November 10, 2010

like she's at fault but she has to escape. As she takes that first step forwards her freedom, does she not look at the city at large and the state at large and the entire united states and think where am I going to go to get out of this one? Chemical dependency is such a big deal in our country, and it's driving our teens away from our homes. I wanted to bring this up that, whatever you're doing, whether you have a swat team, swat -- I don't know what the acronym stands for. I would probably say sexual -- I don't know what the w stands for. Swat, when I think of swat of course I think of policing, and I think that's important. There's a tender side when we were a kinder and gentler nation according to former president george bush, there was another way to do it, and I just want to bring that up. Thank you.

Adams: Thank you Veronica, I want to take the opportunity to, I didn't see you back there, do want to briefly come up and explain the work that you're doing in the police bureau?

Michael Geiger: I'm sergeant michael geiger, and I supervise --

Adams: Can you get closer to the mic?

Geiger: I supervise the sex crimes and human trafficking unit. What I think is so amazing -- and I would not thank the mayor and city council as well as commissioner mckeel because I think, for the first time ever, since we've been dealing with this unit, we feel supported, and we feel like there is actually a positive outcome that can occur. What we've been faced with historically is a population that we couldn't identify. We couldn't track. And we had no relationships in place that were the kind that would adequately deal with this. And one of the first things that we began trying to do is identify exactly what this population is, what are our numbers, what are we faced with here that we would know who to devote resources to. We partnered with dhs child welfare, and what we came to realize is that there is this huge population out there that we were completely unaware of, because we didn't have the kind of dialogue that was necessary to even identify these youth. The other thing that happened is it was the old story. What do we do with this child at 2:00 in the morning? Where are we supposed to take her? How are we supposed to keep her out of the life when one of the things we came to know is not only are they evidence but that our evidence runs away, because there aren't the kind of support services, as we pick them up, that can care for them in the way they need to be cared for. We tried to recognize both what the problem was and how we were going to address it. One thing that is completely clear is that the police bureau, by itself, the sexual assault unit, the detective division, cannot handle this by ourselves. And so by developing the kind of relationships that are meaningful, we partnered with the sexual assault resource center. We worked so closely with them, not just on trafficking issues but on sexual assault cases as well. By partnering with dhs child welfare and also with harry's mother in the juvenile reception center, i'm getting to know their staff, and we understand that, by working with them, when it comes time for to us pass this child off to somebody else, we are confident that the group that they are being handed off to is trained and is appropriate to deal with this. So one of the things we know is that these girls need long-term care, the kind of care that police officers by themselves can't adequately deal with. They need very specifically trained advocates and the kind of shelter that is specific to their needs, because it's a population that has been abused horribly. They have been controlled, and they're children. I'm just grateful that we've come together so that we can bring a different message to these children, because what they keep hearing from their traffickers is that they can't trust anybody, and I think we now know that that's not true.

Adams: You're doing absolutely fantastic work, you and your team, and I want to thank you for that. All right. Anyone else wish to testify? Please call the vote.

Item 1467 roll.

Leonard: Well, interestingly, as I was listening to the excellent testimony, the only thing that I was sitting here wishing was happening was that a representative of the police bureau was here. I'm sorry, sergeant geiger, I didn't recognize you, but I was really happy you came up and testified because I was going to observe this was an excellent presentation but for the police bureau not

November 10, 2010

being present. You're present, and it's great. And the reason I say that is because I think, in the last few years, we've seen really a transformation in how the police bureau identifies issues that previously had been identified as strictly law enforcement issues, whether it's drug abuse, drug selling, alcoholism, the police bureau. Mayor Adams said earlier officers oftentimes encounter these victims and don't know what to ask. So it's important to me that not only do we provide the services that commissioner Saltzman has advocated for and commissioner mckeel and the mayor advocate for but that the police bureau recognizes this as part of a solution and not just a law enforcement issue when they deal with prostitution and pimps. This is another aspect, and i'm very pleased to support it. Aye.

Fritz: Thank you for your leadership on this issue and for everybody who's here today and everybody who you're connected with, this is a community effort. This is a series of partnerships, and that's why it's going to work. It's going to be a first step. It's going to be a long journey, and we're going to get there. And i'm the commissioner in charge of the office of human relations, and the human rights commission is very concerned about this. Some both on the human rights commission and the community are rightly concerned that we all become aware that human trafficking is more than just prostitution. As you so eloquently said, we have to start somewhere. When we did the forfeiture resolution, we had two men come in and say that prostitution is something that happens between two consenting adults and the government should stay out of it, so we have a long way to go. I hope we can agree, as a community that, prostitution, when it affects children under the age of 18, it's wrong. Those children are victims. It is not something they have a choice about. We as a community are doing something about that. As I say, that will be the first step, because I think the more we understand and know about this, thanks to the work that's been going on in the community and is now coming to attention. There's no more urgent need today than to immediately put it to use. I thank Mayor Adams and Commissioner Saltzman for figuring out the partnership with the community and we will do more if we have to do more. Aye.

Fish: I want to thank you for your leadership on this question and for putting it at the top of our respective agendas for action. I'm in charge of providing housing for people in the community, and it's not a criticism of me or people on our team that too many people are still falling through the cracks and too many people that don't have a safe and decent place to call home. This discussion gives us a context to talk about resolution a and what it means today, not what it means years ago when it was adopted. For those who don't know what resolution a is, that was an agreement which is now very dated where the city and county divided up some responsibilities. One of the responsibilities that went to the county was funding responsibility for victims of domestic violence. We retained responsibility for adult homeless. The county is primarily responsible for children and families. What I have come to appreciate in working with the heroes in our movement -- and there's none better than janice and dennis and the team that's one of the lead agencies that takes the money we appropriate and the county appropriates to deal with homeless youth and to operate community gardens and do many other things -- is that we don't have the money to meet the needs, and the old division of labor is meaningless. If you are vulnerable and in need of services in our community, I think you could care less whether the county or the city provides the service, but the reality is we are providing duplicative services or inefficiently delivers services because we still maintain two different systems. As I sit through these forums where we have these really powerful presentations on another part of our system that we're not meeting the needs and I think about what it takes -- what it will take in terms of government support to get to where we want to go, I would say that it, in my mind, means that we have to have even more focus on looking at the resolution a issues of who does what and how we found them, because one thing is for sure. The current model is not sustainable. And with the kinds of cuts coming down the pike, there's no way the county can continue to meet its service obligations to provide the services. In addition to the work -- the great work of my colleagues in bringing this to public attention and coming up with an innovative way to

November 10, 2010

begin to address this need, I would want to put a marker down that long-term we're going to have to figure out how to fund all of these very important programs. And we do not have a sustainable model to do that. At the core of it is, I think, that the resolution a division of labor no longer makes any sense. So at budget time, we'll have a chance to talk about that more robustly. In the work we're doing, we're looking at some of these resolution a issues, but I don't want people to think that we can meet the marker that dennis put down about continuing to invest and building on this system without a very frank conversation about where the money is going to come from and how we're going to find it. So, again, to my colleagues, the mayor, commissioner Saltzman and commissioner mckeel, thank you for your leadership and, to everyone who testified, thank you for your inspiring words. Aye.

Saltzman: Well, I think we're making progress. I think one of the things we're doing and maybe we've succeeded at doing this is really lifting the veil on unbelievable exploitation of minors, primarily young women. There's a lot of deniability associated with things like that along with child abuse and domestic violence. People just don't seem to want to believe it's their neighbor, care giver or somebody they know. It's easy to believe when you see it happening elsewhere. So I think we've succeeded in lifting the veil that this problem is a problem in the Portland area, in Multnomah county, and I think we're slowly but surely getting actions into place. As I said earlier, I think all cylinders are starting to click, but our work continues. And I think today we're sending a message that Portland does not tolerate sex trafficking of our children, and we'll keep bringing the necessary resources for victims while we pursue their exploiters. And i'd be remiss not to mention that we are working on the legislative agenda for salem that will do exactly that, that will increase penalties and severity of sanctions and crimes for those who are johns and those who are pimps, and we are hopeful we're pursuing no-cost options, simple law changes that will make it easier for our police, our district attorneys who obtain successful convictions of those who are exploiting minors. Thank you mayor Adams. Aye.

Adams: I want to thank robin springer in my office who worked in an interim capacity while we hired permanent staff for safety and peace making. Robin will be phasing out her interim work, but she, along with corey and amy, did a lot of the behind the scenes heavy lifting, and I want to acknowledge them for their fantastic work. Getting bureaucracies to work better and do it in an urgent fashion is no small feat, so i'm very grateful. I also want to take this opportunity to acknowledge sergeant geiger and the entire team at the Portland police bureau that has been working on this from chief reese all the way to the officers on the street. In the short time that i've been police commissioner, really building on the work of commissioner Saltzman before me, really made some fast and important changes not just in allocation of personnel but in the way that we're doing business and the partnership that we're creating. So thank you and thanks to the bureau. This is a relatively small step when you look at the magnitude of the problem, and it is a beginning. It is definitely in the context that commissioner Fish talked about, and the discussion council asked me to review a resolution and get back to them with recommendations, and that work with chair cogan has begun. Commissioner Fish is working on some of the human services, social services aspect of that question. Commissioner Leonard is looking at river-related issues. I've got law enforcement and river-related issues. So that will be a topic of the budget process moving forward. In the meantime, we have this horrible, horrible issue, and the action today says we're prioritizing it and intend to get on top of it, and this is a start. Thank you. Aye. So approved. That gets us to 1470, emergency ordinance.

Item 1470.

Connie Johnson: Good morning. My name is connie johnson. I'm a project manager with facilities bureau of internal business services. We are requesting this increase because, well, the majority of the increase, 85% of it, has to do with going from leed silver to leed gold. This will be the only fire station that will be leed certified, and we had the opportunity at the end of contract

November 10, 2010

documents -- we saw that we had five points into the leed gold, and we said, let's go for it. So that's what this increase is about.

Adams: Great. Any discussion from council? Anyone wish to testify on 1470? Karla, please call the vote.

Item 1470 roll.

Leonard: Thank you very much. Aye.

Fritz: Thank you for your work. This is an investment, and it's an investment of \$85,000, an increase of nearly 6% over the original contract amount, and it's testament to the city's commitment to making especially public buildings leed certified and to achieving our environmental goals at the same time as we achieve our public safety goals. Thanks to commissioner Leonard and to you, your staff. Aye.

Fish: Aye.

Saltzman: Really good work, connie. Thank you. Aye.

Adams: Great work. Aye. 1470 is approved. 1471, second reading.

Moore-Love: I thought we took care of that.

Adams: Sorry. 1472 second reading.

Item 1472.

Leonard: I appreciated the presentation commissioner Fish coordinated on this and am pleased to support it. Aye.

Fritz: I echo that. It was a very helpful hearing and particularly commissioner Fish's explanation, which was very compelling, that this is a local stimulus package and that, by doing the projects now while the interest rates in construction work fees are low, that means that we can get necessary work done in a manner that's more cost-effective to the taxpayers. Thank you for helping to tease out the factors in this ordinance. Aye.

Fish: Well, I want to thank my colleagues for their support. This ordinance would allow us to leverage up to \$3.8 million to do some immediate parks maintenance facility projects which would otherwise be stalled until such time and we're able to go to the voters on a parks bond measure. We believe we have some more work to do to build and support the case. In the interim, I have key employees of my bureau at four different locations working in deplorable conditions. The one example that I will cite to you is some folks who work at the mount tabor yard. As commissioner Leonard knows, the bulk of that facility is actually converted horse stables once used by the fire bureau, so I have key employees working in a facility that's literally a stable with a roof that leaks and rooms that are not heated. With this, we'll be able to borrow against a very secure revenue source, which is a portion of our allocation for maintenance dollars, and we will be able to take advantage of historically low interest rates to capitalize that, do the projects for the benefit of our workforce at four different sites which we think will result in better productivity and also healthier workforce. At the same time, there is little or no risk to the general fund because we're securing a portion of our annual dollars. We think it's terrific for employees, and we hope to take advantage of not only low interest rates, but we want to be able to pump this money into the local economy, and I appreciate commissioner Fritz referring to this as a mini local stimulus project. We think it's a win/win, and I very much appreciate your support. Aye.

Saltzman: I, too, appreciated the discussion we had on this last week, and i'm going to support these, because it's very important to improve some of the very deplorable working conditions our employees are working in. Aye.

Adams: Hear, hear. Aye. Can you please read the title and call the vote, second reading, 1473?

Item 1473.

Leonard: I'm really very excited by the work that's happening at pge park, including this very innovative project. Anecdotally, I just learned that the timbers have sold 10,000 season tickets so far in a facility that holds 20,000 people, so I would tell the council that we're on a great path with

November 10, 2010

page park just in general, and this project in particular I think is really a smart use of that facility, so I'm very pleased to support it. Aye.

Fritz: Well, as on the record, I have opposed the concept of the page park conversion and the loss of our baseball team and also allows commercial uses in the open-space zone. These decisions have been made, and I support the intent and form in which this agreement has been put together. Providence health and services will treat patients covered under Oregon health plan, medicare, and medicaid, this is good to see. I see providence as a good partner in this arrangement and hope that the facility is successful, and I hope that they will also realize that we and the city are a good partner for that. And I also appreciate the work of city and community staff in working on this contract. Aye.

Fish: Aye. **Saltzman:** Aye.

Adams: Great to see it moving forward. This is part of the original part of our approved vision. There is some skepticism from the community whether or not it would move forward. It is, and it's good to see. Aye. Let's see. We have -- can you please read the title for emergency ordinance item number 1475?

Leonard: Do you want to do all three of them at once David?

Shaff: The last two would be together.

Leonard: Ok.

Item 1475.

Adams: Commissioner Randy Leonard.

Leonard: David chaff, director of the water bureau.

David Shaff, Director, Water Bureau: Good morning I'm David Shaff.

Fish: Can you give us a plain english title on that one?

Shaff: No.

Fish: You can say that to me. You'd never dare say that to him, and I get that.

Leonard: Yes, he would.

Shaff: I am David Shaff, I'm director of the water bureau and with me is Yone Akagi, the project manager for our It-2 treatment variance attempt. We're asking you to approve an ordinance that is a \$300,000 joint funding agreement between the city of Portland and the water research foundation and a company called tetratech incorporated. The water bureau and research foundation each contribute \$150,000 to the project. The water research foundation is a member-supported international nonprofit 501(c)(3) organization. They're the nation's only research foundation devoted to drinking water research and governed by water utilities. Through a tailored collaboration with water research foundation, utilities can embark on specialized research. It also allows utilities like us to take advantage of the foundation's independent review process and management expertise. So early this year, we proposed a project to study the matrix effects in the bull run watershed on our oocyst recovery. The foundation will match the city's research dollars in amount of \$150,000. We will also contribute in kind amount of \$106,815 primarily toward the generation of data that's already being collected for the water bureau's routine water quality monitoring purposes. For a total research budget of \$406,000. This is related to our ongoing monitoring for the pathogen cryptosporidium and our intent to apply for a variance of the It2 rule. The purpose of this research is to determine what it is about our water that's resulting in decreased matrix spike recovery or a measure of data quality and method performance at certain times of the year. So matrix spikes are where we take our required samples and we put 100 dead cryptosporidium oocyst injected or spiked into a sample of bull run water, and then the sample is analyzed to determine how many of the known oocyst are recovered or counted at the end of the analysis process. We have to take a matrix sample, we have to do a matrix sample after 20. So in the first seven years of monitoring for cryptosporidium that we did, we had to do monthly samples, so every 20 times was right around every 20 months. So over the course of seven years, we had

November 10, 2010

five matrix spikes. We did have some low recovery seasonally but didn't really recognize them because they were so infrequent, almost every two years apart. Now that we're doing monthly and weekly samples or we're doing daily samples, we're now doing matrix spikes on a monthly and/or weekly basis, and we've discovered we have what we think is a seasonal problem where our matrix spike recovery drops precipitously. The epa has made it very clear that they're concerned about our matrix spike recovery. They stated that the Portland would not be allowed to use our cryptosporidium data that falls out of specified range. The acceptable average matrix spike recovery is for what's called epa method 1623. It ranges from 13% to 111%. Our current averages about 26%. During certain times of the year, our spike samples have fallen below the 13% limit. We think it has something to do with our natural water chemistry and it's a seasonal problem. This study will investigate those seasonal water characteristics. We've developed an epa-approved system that will improve our matrix spike recoveries during the time we've been having problems. However, there's still room for improvement. Some matrix spikes are still low. More research is needed to understand why this happens, why the current modification seems to work and whether another type of modification would improve recovery even more. We believe that epa will evaluate our matrix spike data as a major consideration for a variance as well as for keeping a variance if we get one. We also believe that having a strong scientific plan for improving our matrix spike data will give epa a higher level of confidence in our data and improve our chances of making a convincing argument for being granted a variance and for maintaining one if it is granted. If you have any questions, i'd be glad to entertain them. If you ask anything technical at all, that's why I have yone here.

Adams: Anyone wish to testify on 1475? Can you spell cryptosporidium for me without looking down? No, I'm kidding. [laughter]

Shaff: I'm pretty sure we both could do it.

Leonard: He dreams about it in his sleep.

Adams: Please call the vote.

Leonard: Well, I appreciate this ongoing effort that the water bureau has undertaken under yone's leadership. It's great work. Thank you. Aye.

Fritz: This is really fascinating, and thank you both for the presentation and for your explanation to my staff. I'm very grateful that I have patty howard in my office who worked in water quality for quite some time.

Shaff: I don't think I knew that. That's great.

Fritz: Yeah. So what we're doing is taking another step in making sure we are doing everything epa could possibly ask for validating our request. I commend commissioner Leonard for doing that, the water bureau for doing that. I think this is fascinating, and I appreciate your diligence. I think it would be easy at this point perhaps just to say forget it and whatever, but instead you're going the extra mile in validating the way that we're doing our testing and also collaborating. My understanding is that this is not just significant for Portland, that it will be helpful to cities across the nation. It's groundbreaking I and I greatly appreciate your work. Aye.

Fish: Thank you both. Aye.

Saltzman: Thank you. Aye.

Adams: Thank you. Aye. 1475 is approved. Can you please read the titles for 1476, 1477, first readings?

Item 1476. & 1477.

Adams: Commissioner Randy Leonard.

Leonard: Turn it over to david Shaff.

Shaff: I'm still the director of the Portland water bureau. With me is greg drechsler, our engineer in charge of design, and darren kipper, principal engineer in charge of our construction management group. We're doing these both together because they're very similar in nature, although the first one

November 10, 2010

is focused on mains specifically, type i, 16 inches and smaller, type ii, greater than 16-inch mains. And then the other is for a more general on-call flexible services agreements that are not so narrowly focused on mains. We often have routine needs for consultant services. And as you know, taking -- going through the process of hiring consultants on a repetitive basis for routine tasks is an inefficient use of city and contractor services. On-call service contracts have been established through the rfp process to meet those needs. We, as well as parks, bts, bes, pbot, have utilized on-call flexible service contracts for many years. In the past five years, we have used -- on-call services have been a proven project delivery method for us to carry out our mission in providing high water quality -- high quality water to enhance public health and safety and contribute to our economic viability and livability of the Portland metro region. Technical and expert services contracting in this matter does not compromise mwesb participation. We have very good participation in all of our on-call services and the contracts that we're proposing today. They're designed to quickly and efficiently hire consultants to perform routine services by issuing individual task orders as needs are identified. On-call service contracts have enabled expeditious delivery of projects by the water bureau. We typically will turn immediately to our staff first and, if we don't have staff or they don't have the expertise, then we go to these on-call contracts. They can happen for a variety of reasons. One of our more recent examples is a job out on Multnomah boulevard where des is working on a very large project. It was not anticipated that they would involve or interact with the water system. It turns out that it did. They needed to respond immediately so that we didn't stop the bes sewer project. We were able to piggyback onto bes's contract. But if we hadn't been able to, we would have needed the services of one of these on-call contracts. So that's the sort of thing where these things come up with something pops up unexpected, weren't planning on it. We look at our staff. We determine if they have either. We have the resource, i.e. They're not already being utilized or they have the expertise or don't have the expertise that we would need, and then we turn to these on-call contracts. So we have the first one for mains, on-call contracts with three firms for the type i, two firms for the type ii, and then we have four on-call service contracts on the next ordinance for the broader ones. At that, I will be quiet and answer any questions you might have.

Adams: Any questions?

Fritz: I have a question. I am fine with the 1476 one for the mains, 'cause obviously, if a pipe busts, you can't be coming to council next week to ask for going out for bid on something. You need to be able to do it really quickly. Talk to me about how we get good value for money under the consulting services by choosing a particular company rather than putting it out for bid.

Shaff: We do put it out for bid. These are really more project-oriented tasks that were not anticipated, but they have been put out to bid. We did an rfp. We had a fairly extensive process.

Fritz: No. I mean on a particular project. It can be up to -- what? 500,000?

Shaff: The contracts would be up for at much as \$500,000. Is there a task order limit?

Greg Drechsler, Principle Engineer, Water Bureau: Yes, there is. 250.

Fritz: How do we know that by designating -- I understand the process, and I appreciate the selection process, especially the second when we had a woman on the committee, which was really nice to see. But how do we know that by going to just one of these approved consultants we're getting as good or better a price than if we just put it out to bid and ask people to come in for consulting?

Shaff: I'm going to let either of my two experts take a shot at that one.

Drechsler: Basically, at that point, what we would do is put the task order out. We would have an idea of what the cost should be and, if the cost does not come in appropriately, we do not have to issue it to that particular contractor or consultant. So we actually lack at what they bring back and the way of the scope and the schedule and the budget and determine whether it's fair and reasonable in-house. And so we look at the entire scope. We look at the budget that they present, what we call

November 10, 2010

the level of effort. In other words, how much effort are they putting for the for the dollars that we're getting? But we do not have to issue it to that firm. If we cannot come to terms, then we would go to the next one in the, quote, professional process. All of these four, if we go to one, we issue a purchase order to them, and then we go to the next firm. If we do not get something satisfactory from them and cannot come to agreement, we would then go to the next one.

Fritz: How does that benefit the rate payers?

Drechsler: Most of it has to do with timing. We've gotten something expedited, whether something through another bureau. Could be odot, one of those types of projects where we just don't have the time to go out. It takes us between five and six months to go through the regular process of putting an rfp out and to get to anywhere with we can actually do the work. And so this is an expedited fashion for that.

Shaff: Let me give you another example that occurred in the past. I was here last week, I believe it was, with yona, and we were talking about the second phase of the eco wise project. When the first phase of eco wise was actually done under the auspices of one of those on-call agreements -- and I can't remember which particular firm it was, but the reason why we did that was because we would probably still be in negotiations with eco wise a year after the fact, because they are an australian firm. They have different workers comp laws, different liability laws. It was just a nightmare of trying to get a contract with this organization that the epa wanted to do -- wanted us to do our model with. So we were able to use one of our flexible service agreements, and they subcontracted with eco wise. We were able to get up and running without having to go through a nine- to 12-month process that we might still be in had we not had that flexibility. That's just another example where it's a matter of timing and the ability to get something done in a short period of time.

Darren Kipper, Principle Engineer, Water Bureau: I think just one more point on the subject of impact to our customers and rate payers is, here we're selecting four in the on call engineering rather than the type one or type two, we're selecting four teams if you will, at one time, as apposed to going back four times for four teams.

Fritz: That one makes a lot of sense to me, it's the other one I have a few more questions, but you've answered some of them, thank you.

Adams: Any other discussions from council? Anyone wish to testify? All right. These move to a second reading next week. Can you please read the title for resolution item number 1478?

Item 1478.

Adams: Commissioner Nick Fish.

Fish: I want to welcome margaret van vleet and steve ruckman to the podium. Today we're asking the council to once again support the housing authority of Portland and its hope 6 application for hillsdale terrace. For those of you who remember that we did this before back in 2009, yes, we had previously sought council support for an earlier application. We thought it was a very strong one. And because it's an incredibly competitive process half fell just a little short. This application is even stronger, and we have high hopes for it in the next round of funding. As my colleagues know, the housing authority of Portland is a key member of our housing dream team. Together we've been making a difference for low-income families and individuals in our community. The new columbia was the first hope 6 project. We partnered with them on the morrison, which was the he redevelopment of the former civic apartments, which is now 140 units of affordable housing adjacent to pge park. Their second successful hope 6 project was humboldt gardens, the martha Washington, which reopened recently, is a collaboration of Multnomah county, the city of perform, and others which has preserved 108 units of housing downtown. The housing authority of Portland, the city of Portland, Multnomah county, and other partners recently concluded an exercise that lasted about a year and a half, looking at the utilization rate for vouchers under the section 8 program in our community. I set a goal of getting above 85% which we call a success rate. Thanks to the great work of steve and his team, we now are at a utilization rate of over 90%, which puts us

November 10, 2010

in very elite company nationwide. And of course the half is a key partner of the city in our plan to end homelessness. Hap is the developer and will serve as the owner of the affordable housing component of the project. We have a historic opportunity to build through the redevelopment of hillside terrace. If successful, this will be hap's third hope 6 project. And just to remind my colleagues of the math, the city originally invested about \$20 million in the proposal to redevelop the former columbia villa into the new columbia and we invested about \$2 million into humboldt gardens. What we were able to leverage with public and private money was pretty staggering. These projects brought over \$51 million in federal funding to our community, bringing living wage construction jobs and providing 397 units of public housing and almost 800 units of affordable housing. By passing this resolution, you will authorize the Portland housing bureau to invest up to \$5 million in this project which we hope will leverage nearly \$20 million in federal funds if hap is successful. We have already built this into our budget forecast, so we're not asking for any new money from the council as part of this resolution. We will be supporting family-friendly housing in southwest Portland, strengthening the connection to the surrounding community and to the various schools. I'm pleased to welcome margaret and steve who will tell us more about this opportunity.

Margaret Van Vliet, Director, Portland Housing Bureau: A couple things I want to highlight. This is a property i'm pretty familiar with from my past work at hap, and I can tell you that the need for redevelopment of the site is really pretty important. It's an important asset in southwest Portland. We don't have a lot of affordable housing in that part of our city. This serves 60 families in conditions that I think are not great, and we really need to redevelop it. For me, the opportunity to improve the housing on that important piece of real estate to bring \$18.5 million of federal money to bear which we wouldn't otherwise have and to care for the residents and help them on their path to self-sufficiency I think is really important. One of the most important things to me in my experience with hope 6 projects is that it becomes with specific money for social services so you can really do wrap-around intense services for the families I and we wouldn't otherwise have these resources for these families.

Steve Rudman: I appreciate you taking the time, and we really appreciate the partnership on our former hope sixes. We lost the grant by two points. We think we can compete strongly this time. We actually took the time to reconvene a community advisory committee of some 30 stakeholders in the community as well as residents and have done some things to strengthen the proposal. We just bought three lots. We just entered into a deal with habitat for humanity to purchase some lots from a nearby church that will provide up to seven affordable homeownership opportunities as part of this endeavor as well. There's a lot of leveraging involved. We got the state to already commit the tax credit equity this time, and I think it will help us compete very well. So we expect that we will get the grant and hear about it in the spring. By 2013, a new community will be built. It will wind up being 122 units on-site, mostly family housing, and we of course have a lot of green connections to the community, trails, whatnot, community gardens on-site and a very strong green building line. So thank you very much.

Adams: Thank you, question?

Fritz: The total cost of the project is 45 million.

Rudman: Yes.

Fritz: And so if there's 120 some units, that looks to be about \$350,000 a unit?

Rudman: Well, there's a lot of off-site costs community services come with the project, \$2 million. We also relocate all the families, so the actual construction cost is much less than the total amount, because it's really a big physical plant. You know the terrain.

Fritz: Right.

Rudman: So the lot really goes to the site improvements as well.

Fritz: I'm happy to support this application and appreciate your good work for it. I'd like more information about that.

November 10, 2010

Van Vliet: We can follow up and give you more detail.

Fish: I appreciate that commissioner Fritz raised that question. People take the total number of units and divide it often and say, wow, this looks expensive. If you actually break out what we're doing in terms of shared space, other community amenities, the de construction followed by the new construction, as well as the kind of ongoing obligations for taking on in services and program work, which also requires space on-site for people who are professionals, it never works out mathematically. What we've got to do a better job at is actually break out the actual costs that relate to the actual housing itself and to be able to show people consistently in this project that we're creating new units at a very respectable price. That's our challenge, and I appreciate, amanda, you asking that.

Fritz: I figured you weren't doing gold-plated faucets and so forth. Thank you very much.

Adams: Anyone wish to testify on this matter? Please call the vote on the resolution.

Item 1478 roll.

Leonard: Well, commissioner Fish, you put together a great team that I think is going to be successful in this application. I appreciate your work on this. Aye.

Fritz: Greatly appreciate the work and the leadership. Aye.

Fish: Mayor, yesterday margaret and I were at an opening of two affordable homes in north Portland which will be on the market for about \$200,000. Absolutely beautiful homes, leed gold, done in collaboration with pcri, part of the minority home ownership collaborative. It is, I think, an example of the promise of the new bureau fulfilled. With your strong support, we launched this new bureau. We set some clear guidelines of how we're going to do business going forward. One of them was unprecedented levels of transparency and accountability, but also we put equity at the heart of everything we're doing. Yesterday was a very proud day as maxine fitzpatrick and her team opened these two homes. Today we are illustrating the strengths of the partnerships that we have. It was a really big deal when we figured out a way to fix the bottleneck in vouchers. Less than 80% of people getting vouchers in our community were able to find a landlord -- a successful transaction with a landlord. Those are some of our most vulnerable people is they can't use their voucher are likely to be on the streets or in a worse place. In addition, we figured out some bottlenecks in the bash voucher program, which is the program that serves veterans. We went from having one of the lowest utilization rates in the country for which we were sanctioned to now having one of the highest and, in fact, as a result, will be getting an additional allocation of these vouchers. We can't do our work without our partners. I'm very proud of what margaret's did in her first year or so, and hap is a great partner in moving our agenda. Thank you for your support, colleagues. I'm pleased to vote aye.

Saltzman: I'm very pleased to support this project. I like what you described about acquiring the property on capitol highway for a community center, partnership with neighborhood house for early childhood learning. That's great. And also the home ownership acquisition as well. Hopefully all those things will get us those extra points we need to win. Good work. Aye.

Adams: Well, commissioner Fish, you're doing a fantastic job of having gotten the bureau off the ground, now making sure it's delivering. You and your team and our great partners, thanks to the staff and partners as well. Job well done. Aye. So approved. Please read the title and call the vote on 1479.

Item 1479 roll.

Leonard: I appreciate you bringing forward this proposal that hopefully we can build on in the future. Aye.

Fritz: Parks and schools go hand in hand when it comes to providing the best outdoor facilities for our young people, and this is another example of commissioner Fish collaborating with another jurisdiction, getting good things to happen in a more efficient manner. Aye.

November 10, 2010

Fish: I thank my colleagues. This agreement consolidates and strengthens all of our prior agreements and is something i'm very pleased with that it establishes a new strategic management committee, but they will have a forum for addressing the myriad challenges that come up on a regular basis and will have a regular check-in to deal with those, and I think that and combined with the mayor's -- the leadership role the mayor has taken on education citywide and the promise of this new bond measure looks quite favorable for our community. Thank you. Aye.

Saltzman: Good work, commissioner Fish. Aye.

Adams: Aye. We are in recess until tomorrow at 2:00 p.m.

Fritz: Today.

Adams: Sorry. Today at 2:00 p.m.

At 12:15 p.m., Council recessed.

November 10, 2010
Closed Caption File of Portland City Council Meeting

This file was produced through the closed captioning process for the televised City Council broadcast.

Key: ***** means unidentified speaker.

NOVEMBER 10, 2010 2:00 PM

Adams: Call the roll.

[roll taken]

Adams: We have two time certain at 2:00 and 2:30. They're very different though. Can you please read the emergency ordinance item number 1480?

Item 1480.

Adams: Hi.

Sandra Wood, Supervising Planner, Bureau of Planning and Sustainability: Hi.

Adams: Welcome.

Wood: Thank you.

Adams: Glad you're here. What are we looking at today?

Wood: I'm Sandra Wood with, Bureau of Planning and Sustainability. This is a project that is a joint BPS and BDS project because we both use the FEMA maps in different ways, and I will go through that in a minute. It is a legislative project. We have taken it to the Planning and Sustainability Commission. That commission has made a recommendation to this body and that is what we're here to present today. And basically the recommendation from the Planning and Sustainability Commission is to adopt FEMA's new flood insurance rate maps and to amend city titles to refer to the updated maps. FEMA maintains maps of areas around the country prone to flooding. And those maps are called the flood insurance rate maps. This is the latest version of the map. That is in your documentation also. Basically what this map tells us is where the floodplain is, which we colloquially term the flooding areas. In long range planning, we use it to update our natural resource inventory, which feeds into our environmental overlays and into our greenway overlay mapping. For current planning and for building permit purposes, we use -- we -- the property lies within those areas, there is additional building code regulations that need to be met, which is balance, cut, and fill regulations and the base floor of any new building needs to be raised above the base flood in those areas. During a land division process through the zoning code, we ensure that the lots are outside of these areas or that the building site is outside of these areas, and the property owner or renter can purchase flood insurance that is backed by the federal government if they are within these areas. That is what it means on the long range and current day-to-day process. The most recent set of updated maps will be effective on November 26th of this year. Thus the emergency ordinance. And the map consists of a series of panels. There is 26 panels that cover the whole city geography, but only nine panels were updated and the most -- in the most recent version. Doug will go into more of that later. Areas I affected Columbia River and Slew, drainage districts, and north reaches of the Willamette. Updated maps don't change the base flood elevation. FEMA used data which is more accurate to topographic data, better understanding of where the base flood would land on individual properties. In conjunction with this, amendments to title 24, building code regulations, title 33, zoning code regulations. Depicted in the recommended drafts you have before you. None of the changes to either city title is substantive. The main purpose of the amendments was to refer to the new maps because we need to refer to them by date. Then title 33 we took the opportunity to clear up terminology and make it consistent with FEMA's terminology so that it was easier to implement on a day-to-day basis. Title 24, the department of

November 10, 2010

land conservation development review the ordinance and our code language and we have incorporated some edits and it is now compliant with fema's model ordinance and program. Needless to say, existing regulations are aimed to minimize the damage to people and property in the event of a flood. As I mentioned bds implements this on a daily basis. Doug can give you a little more information on that.

Doug Morgan, Bureau of Development Services: Good afternoon, doug morgan, bureau of development services. The city joined the national flood insurance program back in 1980. This update is of course meant to maintain our participation this that program. The areas affected by this current update, most of the areas have not been updated since 1980. This is a much-needed and a significant improvement in the flood hazard area mapping for these areas. I also wanted to point out that the city also participated in a closely related program to the nfip, national flood insurance program, and that's the community rating system. Under this program, the city gets credits for adopting higher regulatory standards and carrying mitigation activities in flood hazard areas. Currently the city is rated a class 5 under that program. And we are one of only two communities in the state of Oregon that have achieved that high of a class. With that classification our citizens get a 25% reduction in their flood insurance premiums compared to a community that doesn't participate in that program. So, adoption of these maps is important not just for our continued participation in the flood insurance program, but also in the community rating system and maintaining that flood insurance discount for our citizens. Thank you.

Adams: Any questions from council? All right.

Saltzman: I have one. One question. So, did you say at the introduction, sandra, within the boundaries --

Wood: Uh-hmm.

Saltzman: You can purchase flood insurance or you can't?

Wood: You can.

Saltzman: You can, okay.

Morgan: Flood insurance, by participating in the national flood insurance program, insurance becomes available to any citizen within the city of Portland. That would include properties both in and out of flood hazard area.

Saltzman: Because of our community, crs rating being very higher, our citizens can get a 25% reduction in their premium?

Morgan: That's correct.

Saltzman: I remember when we accomplished that rating and it was good news for our citizens.

Morgan: That was back in 2001?

Adams: Any other council discussion?

Fritz: I understand there was testimony at the planning commission. Were there concerns raised or --

Wood: Yeah, we had testimony from two individuals. One was the port of Portland who testified and said that they had about six or seven areas on the ports property where they didn't feel that this map was accurate, and that they would be pursuing the low mark -- letter of map revision process with fema and just wanted that to be part of the record. That was one piece of information. The other was from bob Salinger with the audubon society, the audubon is in litigation with fema over -- over --

Morgan: Characterize it as impacts of the flood insurance program on endangered species.

Wood: Right. That's -- they have reached a settlement. And it is a copycat lawsuit, similar lawsuit that happened in puget sound. There are ramifications but we don't know what they are yet to our long-range planning or day-to-day activities. So, this is kind of a business as usual, just updating the maps. We know that in the long term, something might be coming out of that litigation that we need to react to and amend our regulations for.

November 10, 2010

Fritz: In your professional opinion, both cases we should move forward and those things can happen separately?

Wood: Yes, and we have consulted with deputy city attorney terrence thatcher, and he is in the audience if you have additional questions about that. He is our lead on that.

Fritz: Thank you.

Wood: Uh-hmm.

Adams: Additional council discussion? Has anyone signed up to testify?

Moore-Love: No one signed up.

Adams: Anyone wish to testify? This is an emergency ordinance, please call the vote.

Item 1480 roll.

Leonard: Aye.

Fritz: I participated in something like this on the planning commission, again, I am reminded of the good work of staff in presenting it clearly here today. Thank you very much. Aye.

Fish: Thank you for the presentation, aye.

Saltzman: Thank you for your good work. Aye.

Adams: Aye. Thank you very much. So approved. We stand in recess for 15 minutes.

At 2:14 p.m., Council recessed.

At 2:30 p.m., Council reconvened.

Adams: City council is coming back from recess, its 2:30, wednesday, November 10th, 2010. Can you please read the title for emergency ordinance item number 1481.

Item 1481.

Adams: Commissioner nick Fish.

Fish: Thank you, mayor Adams. Authorized the Portland housing bureau to -- it would not have been possible without the strong leadership of mayor Adams and the support of this council. Margaret van fleet and I, stakeholders to advise the bureau on how to best spend these funds. Frankly we included people who have been critical or skeptical of our programs in the past and asked them to be part of the solution. They advised us to prioritize the funding as follows. First, fund programs that decrease the number of people experiencing homelessness in the central city. Second, to free up bottlenecks in the service system so that people can quickly exit emergency shelters and transitional housing for their own home, as well as exit from subsidized permanent housing to private market units when they have increased their income. Third, to seek opportunities for maximum leverage and high valued solutions. Fourth, to utilize existing capacity in the current system to assure that services provided by these one-time funds are efficiently administered and costs are minimized. And fifth to -- collaboration, law enforcement officials, business owners, nonprofits, and house residents of the Portland city center. Today I ask you to authorize contracts with join and new avenues for youth and their partners to do this important work. Join and new avenues were selected in an open, competitive process by a diverse selection committee. I want to thank the great group of stakeholders on the advisory and selection committees, and again, I want to thank the mayor and city council for making these funds available to bring vital outreach and housing support services to people experiencing homelessness in the central city. I also want to thank the staff at the Portland housing bureau for their hard work on this, director margaret van fleet, jacob fox, sally erikson, ryan and jennifer. Our panel, margaret van fleet, director of the bureau. Bernie represents the Portland business alliance. Ken cowdery represents new avenues for youth. Marc jolin is from join. And I think I saw some reference to you in the willamette week gift giving guide. Congratulations for making that for your organization. And we will be joined shortly by commander jarmer of central precinct. Margaret, why don't you take it away.

November 10, 2010

Margaret Van Vliet, Director, Portland Housing Bureau: Thank you commissioner. Mayor Adams, commissioners, I'm Margaret Van Fleet. I will keep my remarks brief. You hear from me plenty. I would like you to hear from our partners here. I think what was important to me about this exercise was that you gave us a charge to see what we could do to reduce the impact of homelessness downtown both for the human beings who are suffering from homelessness and needing to sleep outside, but also to be responsive to concerns we heard from our neighbors and business partners and law enforcement and the rest. We have pretty quickly succeeded in gathering these folks together how could we have impact quickly how could we not ramp up systems and overhead and hire a bunch of extra staff but really get the money in the hands of people who need it most and help them get safely housed. I am honored and humbled, frankly, by the folks who came together quickly and shared their concerns and their hopes and desires and we came up with a dynamite proposal, request for proposals. Put it out there and we had this fine group of folks help us think about who could -- who could best spend the money to get to the goals that you set out for us. So, I'm focusing as we watch this unfold to the next step impact and I want to be accountable for back to you and the community generally, and I think we're going to be -- well suited to do that. I'm thinking about the collaboration. We have asked people to collaborate in different ways. You will hear a little about that. And I want to take those lessons learned out of this special appropriation and think about where we go in the next half of the ten-year plan to end homelessness. We have a lot of work cut out for us -- I will turn it over to not commander Jarmer, because he is not here, but rather to Ron. Ron.

Fish: You can slide that whole thing down. Yeah.

Ronald Melton: Technology. Good afternoon mayor and commissioners. As you are aware, travel Portland constantly is challenged with the negative impact from homelessness to our visitors in the downtown business core. It is with our pleasure that we were able to participate in the selection committee as well as help draft some of the solutions to the homelessness in the downtown business core. We thank you for the million dollar investment in the downtown business core and we look forward to the end results that will be reported hopefully this time next year. Thank you.

Fish: Bernie.

Bernie Bottomly: Mayor Adams, members of council, I would second the comments of travel Portland. We appreciated the allocation that city council made to this effort. We're often working with members of council and city officials on some of the same issues in terms of impact that homelessness has on downtown, but I think we need to not forget that the business community is also sensitive to the impact that homelessness has on those individuals that are suffering from homelessness, not just the impact that it has on the businesses in downtown. So, we were heartened by the allocation of funds that council approved and also by the direction that was given by commissioner Fish and carried out by Ms. Van Fleet in terms of making the most efficient use of these funds, leveraging existing partnerships and resources in the community, existing programs. That was one of the things we were impressed with in the allocation process, that we were going to build on the existing networks in the community and those that are -- that have been successful in the past can be plugged up. So, we were very impressed and were heartened to see that that was the process that we were taking. We're happy to be a partner in the implementation of these programs. I think there are some great partnerships and progress that has been made in the last couple of years, knitting the various service programs and components of the downtown community together, and I think this additional funding and this allocations will just plus up that -- those partnerships going forward. We are very much appreciative.

Fish: Bernie, thank you, also, for the investment that the alliance made in our pilot storage program which opened this week. And the steadfast support that you have given us on the resource access -- appreciate it.

November 10, 2010

Bottomly: Thank you.

Fish: I think you're next.

Ken Cowdery: My name is ken, executive director of new avenues for youth. I want to thank you for this allocation. It is going to go a long ways. The way we will use our funding for our colleagues, youth programs, center, is to invest in daytime street outreach. We're going to be doing that in partnership with area businesses. Number two, we are going to expand our job training efforts and hopefully we are going to have good cooperation from the Portland business alliance. We are going to be connecting youth with available benefits that they may not be aware of and that we're going to be moving more youth through the shelters, transitional housing facilities and into permanent housing. What we're experiencing now is a major bottleneck because a lot of these young people are not able to get jobs. The key feature of our effort is to work with the private sector, especially downtown businesses to find job opportunities so that we can move them out of the system and bring more kids into the system. We are experiencing a 25% increase in the number of youth we have been seeing over the last two years. You combine that with the struggle in helping them find a job and their first apartment you can imagine what is going on inside the system. I also, again, want to thank you. I think that we are going to try to attempt something unique with the business community. We understand their concerns, as I think a lot of you know that new avenues youth runs a small business downtown, ben and jerry's scoop shop. We want to be a partner with the business community to find a solution, especially when it comes to employment opportunities.

Fish: Thank you very much.

Cowdery: Uh-hmm.

Fish: Commander.

Vince Jarmer, Commander, Bureau of Police: Hello commissioner, mr. Mayor, and city council, thank you for giving me an opportunity to be supportive of this issue as well. On behalf of chief reese and myself, where a lot of the effort is directed, I want to be supportive and thankful. I think that the opportunity in the partnership arrangement with join and some of the other social service agencies in this effort is just -- is going to be I think very synergistic in a way and it will start to see results right away. By providing people with some housing and some opportunities other than just being on the street every day. It is going to make my life better. It will make the life of downtown better, and it is going to make the lives of the citizens that call home -- call downtown home better. So, I think that this is a real positive first step and that is usually the hardest one to take is that first step. So, on behalf of chief reese who is fully supportive and myself also fully supportive, thank you very much for the opportunity to be here.

Fish: Thank you, sir.

Marc Jolin: I'm hoping -- I don't think I can speak into that and look at you at the same time. Can you hear me okay? Mayor Adams, commissioner Fish, city council, thank you very much for having us here, having me here, and especially for this opportunity to invest some really critical resources in folks who are out on the streets, in our shelters. I want you to know that it is not going unnoticed certainly by us and our partners and folks we work with that at this point in time government is cutting at every level, the city council has found a way to keep the safety net in place for the most vulnerable folks in the community, and in this case actually adding to that safety net. Genuine appreciation from all of us for that. The collaboration that we're a part of is pretty diverse. We have catholic charities housing transitions program working with us, doing outreach in the safe shelter day space, helping women move out of the shelter and directly off the street into permanent housing. The shelter run by the salvation army, placing women out of its beds directly into permanent housing. Central city concern will work to move people off of transitional housing placements and deep subsidy placements into permanent housing of their own, and project working with people chronically homeless, primarily people of color living in

November 10, 2010

the downtown area off the streets and back into permanent housing. We are going to do some targeted work with -- to improve our capacity to outreach to latinos and african americans in the community and across the board the members of this collaboration are extremely invested in making sure that these scarce resources are being adequately allocated to folks experiencing homelessness in the community. For join's part, we have a specific collaboration in this with the Portland police bureau, industrial council, business alliance, Portland patrol. A very productive initial meeting last week where we sat down with folks actually out on the street, law enforcement and outreach workers to identify people who we all know who have significant vulnerabilities and significant challenges accessing our main stream social services, to work with those individuals to help them get back into housing and stay housed over the course of the year. At the end of the day, we're committed to housing at least 100 households with our share of the resources here, and not just getting them into housing, but making sure that they remain housed for at least a year. We are leveraging a lot of existing staffing. Very few staffing dollars in our part of this grant. It is almost entirely rent assistance. We are also leveraging really effective programs at central city concern around helping people get access to benefits and employment to ensure as people make this transition from rent assistance to permanent housing they have the capacity to move forward and carry their own rent moving forward. So, with that, i'll stop. But say again how much we appreciate giving us this opportunity. I think this is one of those situations where every dollar we're spending is going to go to making significant impact, positive impact on people's lives in the community. So, thanks.

Adams: Thank you very much. All right. > does anybody wish to testify on this matter?

Moore-Love: No one else signed up.

Adams: Any additional discussion from council? This is an emergency ordinance, please call the vote.

Item 1481 roll.

Leonard: I appreciate the complexity that may not be readily apparent that is contained within this proposal of providing temporary shelter, having for the first number of years I was on the council, finding myself in a position to bring an ordinance forward every year, though I had not even a tangential relationship with housing to provide shelter for homeless women winter months.

I would always scratch my head why I was doing that and I won't get into the details of why I did that, other than to say that not everybody agreed that providing temporary shelter was the right strategy, that we should focus on permanent housing. There are some people that just don't fit easily into the category of acute permanent housing. I think commissioner Fish has recognized that and led the effort to bring this multi-pronged approach to address this issue and others which I again appreciate with my own experience with just the small amount of exposure I have had dealing with some of the issues that he has tackled. I am very pleased to vote aye.

Fritz: Thank you for all being here. Thank you commissioner Fish for leading this effort. The process that went into deciding how this money was divided -- excuse me -- and the different partners in the community and the focus on getting money to groups like the salvation army, fema, central city concern -- excuse me -- a program -- the housing transition program, black parent initiative, cascade aids project, native american youth services, outside in. That is meeting a lot of needs in a lot of different communities. I appreciate the focus and partnership with the business community, travel Portland, and thanks to dennis from the Portland mercury for being here this afternoon. It is a really good thing. It is unfortunate that the council gets chastised for some of the things that we do that are not so good. This is something that the mayor and commissioner Fish put into the budget last year and working diligently on how to implement in the most cost effective and efficient and effective matter to get the services to not only get people off the street and get them into permanent supportive housing. Under commissioner Fish's leadership this program is coming together. Director van fleet, your leadership at the bureau,

November 10, 2010

sally erikson -- commissioner Fish's office, your team is working really well. It is good to see so many partners involved. Where all in this together and we need to make this work. Aye.

Fish: Thank you very much. This whole exercise began because the mayor had confidence in our ability to spend an extra supplemental appropriation in an efficient and innovative way to address homelessness. Had he not put this in his budget, we would not be faced with the pleasant task of spending an additional million dollars to meet the urgent needs of people living on the streets. Sam, thank you for your strong support of our work. The work would not have reached this satisfactory conclusion had Margaret and Sally and Danielle and the whole team at the Portland housing bureau done such a great job of bringing a diverse collection of stakeholders together. Since I attended those meetings, we did not come in with a road map. We did not prescribe an outcome. We had lots of different voices and we presented them with data and options and answered questions and made staff presentations, and we -- all we gave them was the sort of framework. We said it is a million dollars one time. We are not going to create a new bureaucracy because we can't confidently say it is going to continue. We are not going to create something new and staff it up and divert money from directly addressing the problem. \$1 million is great. But the need is even greater. We better be able to leverage the dollars as much as possible.

We said, you know, we've got a continuum of care, lots of different pieces, but the reality is maybe rather than thinking of significant additions to the system, let's find the bottlenecks and clear them out so we can move people through the continuum more effectively. And then let's see if we can use this to build on what is historically been a strong, collaborative spirit of getting the job done and raise the bar. And I compliment my team in facilitating a process -- I couldn't be more pleased with the coalitions that new avenues for youth and jobs have put together. They are the lead agencies in terms of implementing the recommendations, you have put together coalitions of partners who will be working with you. I am very optimistic that we will make a dent in this problem. This seems like we have taken this work to the next level and it is because of you. It is because of what you brought to the table. Mayor, we will come back in a year and we will report to council on what we learned and what the outcomes are. We have some goals in terms of number of people housed, number of people who find work and some other things. We put those benchmarks out there as part of this process. They're pretty ambitious. We will come back and work to you what our progress has been in a year. Again, I want to not just thank the team that brought this forward, but I want to thank my colleagues for having the confidence in our work that allows us to spend a \$1 million supplemental this year. Thank you. Aye.

Saltzman: Good work. A lot of good quality organizations around this table. I'm pleased we're supporting it. Aye.

Adams: By the end of January, people will have exhausted their unemployment. Unemployed for at least 99 weeks, almost two years. 20,000 people in the region. The demand for the social safety net services that are provided by government at the same time are being cut. We can't solve all of the problems of the region and the state, but what you, commissioner Fish, have put forward is a smart and strategic leverage of our resources we do have. And it is a testament to your leadership and the leadership of all of your partners involved. And I as one Portlander am very grateful for the whole package and the whole effort. It might not be enough to solve the problem, but it is a lot more than a lot of other people are doing. So, thank you and thank all of you. Aye. So approved. We are adjourned.

At 2:53 p.m. Council adjourned.