



---

CITY OF  
**PORTLAND, OREGON**

---

**OFFICIAL  
MINUTES**

---

A SPECIAL MEETING OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF PORTLAND, OREGON WAS HELD THIS **21ST DAY OF SEPTEMBER, 2009** AT 5:00 P.M.

THOSE PRESENT WERE: Mayor Adams, Presiding; Commissioners Fish, Fritz, Leonard and Saltzman, 5.

Commissioner's Fritz and Leonard arrived late.

OFFICERS IN ATTENDANCE: Deborah Bogstad, Multnomah County Board Clerk.

<b>Joint meeting with Multnomah County Board of Commissioners</b> <b>LOCATION: Multnomah Building, 501 SE Hawthorne, Board Rm.</b>	<b>Disposition:</b>
<b>1321-1 TIME CERTAIN: 5:00 PM -</b> Accept Youth Engagement Report from the Multnomah Youth Commission (Report introduced by Mayor Adams)  <b>Motion to accept the report:</b> Moved by Commissioner Leonard and seconded by Commissioner Fish.  (Y-5)	<b>ACCEPTED</b>
<b>1321-2</b> Adopt the Youth Engagement Manual and PDXyouth as the primary tools to engage and support the role of children and youth in the decision making processes of the City—a key strategy of implementing Our Bill of Rights: Children + Youth (Resolution introduced by Mayor Adams)  (Y-5)	<b>36733</b>
<b>1321-3</b> Announce recipient of Youth Champion Award Portland/Multnomah County (Presentation introduced by Mayor Adams)	<b>PLACED ON FILE</b>
<b>1321-4</b> Welcome new Multnomah Youth Commissioners (Presentation introduced by Mayor Adams)	<b>PLACED ON FILE</b>

At 7:00 p.m., Council recessed.

**September 23, 2009**

A REGULAR MEETING OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF PORTLAND,  
OREGON WAS HELD THIS **23RD DAY OF SEPTEMBER, 2009** AT 9:30 A.M.

THOSE PRESENT WERE: Mayor Adams, Presiding; Commissioners Fish, Fritz,  
Leonard and Saltzman, 5.

Commissioner Fritz arrived at 9:32 a.m.

OFFICERS IN ATTENDANCE: Karla Moore-Love, Clerk of the Council; Linly Rees,  
Deputy City Attorney; and Ron Willis, Sergeant at Arms.

On a Y-5 roll call, the Consent Agenda was adopted.

<b>COMMUNICATIONS</b>		<b>Disposition:</b>
<b>1322</b> Request of Jasun Wurster to address Council regarding conduct of Mayor Adams and Commissioner Leonard (Communication)		<b>PLACED ON FILE</b>
<b>1323</b> Request of Benjamin Grad to address Council regarding Portland Fire Arts Expo (Communication)		<b>PLACED ON FILE</b>
<b>1324</b> Request of William Wheeler to address Council regarding parking and homeless on 23rd and Marshall (Communication)		<b>PLACED ON FILE</b>
<b>1325</b> Request of Tom Erickson to address Council regarding Dignity Village fee waivers for three new buildings in the common area (Communication)		<b>PLACED ON FILE</b>
<b>1326</b> Request of Richard Koenig to address Council regarding public review by Mayor of his ban from all City offices (Communication)		<b>PLACED ON FILE</b>
<b>TIMES CERTAIN</b>		
<b>1327</b> <b>TIME CERTAIN: 9:30 AM</b> – Streamlining Agreement success (Report introduced by Commissioner Saltzman)		<b>ACCEPTED</b>
<b>Motion to accept report:</b> Moved by Commissioner Saltzman and seconded by Mayor Adams.		
(Y-5)		
<b>CONSENT AGENDA – NO DISCUSSION</b>		
<b>Mayor Sam Adams</b>		
<b>Bureau of Transportation</b>		

**September 23, 2009**

<p><b>1328</b> Set a hearing date, 9:30 a.m. Wednesday, October 21, 2009 to vacate a portion of N Gay Ave between N Blandena St and N Wygant St (Report; VAC-10052)</p> <p>(Y-5)</p>	<p><b>ACCEPTED</b></p>
<p><b>*1329</b> Grant revocable permit to Oregon Brewing to close SE 9th Ave between SE Yamhill St and SE Belmont St from 6:00 p.m. on October 2, 2009 until 1:00 a.m. on October 4, 2009 (Ordinance)</p> <p>(Y-5)</p>	<p><b>183191</b></p>
<p><b>1330</b> Accept a \$387,000 grant from the Oregon Department of Transportation to design and construct a pedestrian refuge island on NW Naito Parkway between NW Glisan St and Flanders St (Second Reading Agenda 1302)</p> <p>(Y-5)</p>	<p><b>183192</b></p>
<p align="center"><b>Office of Management and Finance – Business Operations</b></p> <p><b>1331</b> Authorize contract with MCA Architects, PC for \$121,910 to provide consultant services for Fire Station 18 Seismic Upgrade &amp; Facility Remodel (Ordinance)</p>	<p><b>PASSED TO SECOND READING SEPTEMBER 30, 2009 AT 9:30 AM</b></p>
<p align="center"><b>Commissioner Dan Saltzman Position No. 3</b></p> <p align="center"><b>Bureau of Environmental Services</b></p> <p><b>1332</b> Authorize a contract and provide payment for construction of building access and security upgrades to the Ankeny Wastewater Pump Station Project No. E09176 (Second Reading Agenda 1306)</p> <p>(Y-5)</p>	<p><b>183193</b></p>
<p align="center"><b>Bureau of Police</b></p> <p><b>*1333</b> Authorize an Intergovernmental Agreement with Multnomah County District Attorney Office to reimburse \$7,000 for purchased equipment to assist in child abuse investigations (Ordinance)</p> <p>(Y-5)</p>	<p><b>183194</b></p>
<p align="center"><b>Commissioner Amanda Fritz Position No. 1</b></p> <p align="center"><b>Office of Cable Communications and Franchise Management</b></p> <p><b>*1334</b> Extend the term of a temporary revocable permit granted to Qwest Corporation to build and operate telecommunications facilities in City streets (Ordinance; amend Ordinance No. 175757)</p> <p>(Y-5)</p>	<p><b>183195</b></p>
<p align="center"><b>Commissioner Nick Fish Position No. 2</b></p>	

**September 23, 2009**

<b>Portland Housing Bureau</b>	
<p><b>*1335</b> Authorize an Intergovernmental Agreement with the Housing Authority of Portland and the Portland Development Commission for \$74,000 to support a Housing Policy Manager and receive funds (Ordinance)</p> <p>(Y-5)</p>	<b>183196</b>
<p><b>*1336</b> Authorize a subrecipient contract with Housing Development Center in the amount of \$241,726 for technical assistance to non-profit developers of affordable housing projects and provide for payment (Ordinance)</p> <p>(Y-5)</p>	<b>183197</b>
<b>Portland Parks &amp; Recreation</b>	
<p><b>*1337</b> Authorize acquisition of real property adjacent to Gates Park for park purposes (Ordinance)</p> <p>(Y-5)</p>	<b>183198</b>
<b>City Auditor LaVonne Griffin-Valade</b>	
<p><b>*1338</b> Assess property for system development charge contracts and private plumbing loan contracts (Ordinance; Z0773, K0115, W0005, K0114, T0128, Z1178, P0090, P0091)</p> <p>(Y-5)</p>	<b>183199</b>
<p><b>1339</b> Establish guidelines for handling confidential information shared with Audit Services Division (Ordinance; add Code Section 3.05.045)</p>	<p><b>PASSED TO SECOND READING SEPTEMBER 30, 2009 AT 9:30 AM</b></p>
<b>REGULAR AGENDA</b>	
<b>Mayor Sam Adams</b>	
<b>Office of Management and Finance – Business Operations</b>	
<p><b>1340</b> Authorize a contract and provide for payment to furnish replacement vehicles at \$594,800 (Ordinance)</p>	<p><b>REFERRED TO COMMISSIONER OF FINANCE AND ADMINISTRATION</b></p>
<b>Office of Management and Finance – Financial Services</b>	
<p><b>1341</b> Authorize revenue bonds for the Resource Access Center (Second Reading Agenda 1316)</p> <p>(Y-5)</p>	<b>183200</b>
<b>Commissioner Dan Saltzman</b>	
<b>Position No. 3</b>	
<b>Bureau of Environmental Services</b>	

**September 23, 2009**

<p><b>*1342</b> Authorize contracts with 20 firms for on-call environmental site assessment, laboratory and certified industrial hygienist services in support of capital improvement, operating and brownfield projects (Ordinance)</p> <p>(Y-5)</p>	<p align="center"><b>183201</b></p>
<p><b>*1343</b> Amend grant agreement with the Johnson Creek Watershed Council for riparian restoration, education and stewardship services (Ordinance; amend Contract No. 37843)</p> <p>(Y-5)</p>	<p align="center"><b>183202</b></p>
<p><b>1344</b> Authorize Intergovernmental Agreement with Clean Water Services for funding the design and construction of a portion of the South Fork of Ash Creek Parallel Trunk Sewer Project No. E09159 (Second Reading Agenda 1318)</p> <p>(Y-5)</p>	<p align="center"><b>183203</b></p>
<p><b>1345</b> Authorize a contract with Brown and Caldwell for engineering services for the design of the Ankeny Pump Station Upgrade Project No. E07833 (Second Reading Agenda 1319)</p> <p>(Y-5)</p>	<p align="center"><b>183204</b></p>
<p align="center"><b>Commissioner Amanda Fritz</b> <b>Position No. 1</b></p> <p align="center"><b>Office of Healthy Working Rivers</b></p> <p><b>*1346</b> Amend Feasibility Cost Sharing Agreement with the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers to add Port of Portland as co-sponsor and to continue to evaluate ecosystem restoration options in the Lower Willamette River under the Water Resources Development Act (Ordinance; amend Contract No. 52070)</p> <p>(Y-5)</p>	<p align="center"><b>183205</b></p>
<p align="center"><b>Commissioner Nick Fish</b> <b>Position No. 2</b></p> <p align="center"><b>Portland Parks &amp; Recreation</b></p> <p><b>1347</b> Approve "Teachers Fountain" as the name for the fountain located in Director Park (Ordinance)</p>	<p align="center"><b>PASSED TO SECOND READING SEPTEMBER 30, 2009 AT 9:30 AM</b></p>

At 10:23 a.m., Council recessed.

**September 23, 2009**

A RECESSED MEETING OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF PORTLAND,  
OREGON WAS HELD THIS **23RD DAY OF SEPTEMBER, 2009** AT 2:00 P.M.

THOSE PRESENT WERE: Mayor Adams, Presiding; Commissioners Fish, Fritz,  
Leonard and Saltzman, 5.

At 2:51 p.m., Council recessed.

At 3:00 p.m., Council reconvened.

OFFICERS IN ATTENDANCE: Karla Moore-Love, Clerk of the Council; Shane  
Abma, Senior Deputy City Attorney; and Ron Willis, Sergeant at Arms.

<p><b>1348 TIME CERTAIN: 2:00 PM</b> – Accept the Proposed Improvements to Public Works Development Review and Permitting Services (Report introduced by Commissioner Leonard)</p> <p><b>Motion to accept amendment to Exhibit A page 5 to clarify that the appeal process is a two level process and add language regarding Policy reviews:</b> Moved by Commissioner Leonard and seconded by Commissioner Saltzman. (Y-5)</p> <p><b>Motion to change Exhibit A page 5 bottom of page to replace “E” with “D”:</b> Moved by Commissioner Leonard and seconded by Commissioner Fritz. (Y-5)</p> <p>(Y-5)</p>	<p><b>Disposition:</b></p> <p><b>ACCEPTED</b> AS AMENDED</p>
<p><b>1349 TIME CERTAIN: 3:00 PM</b> – Accept the Report and Recommendations of the Development Review Advisory Committee on System Development Charges (Report introduced by Commissioner Leonard)</p> <p>(Y-5)</p>	<p><b>ACCEPTED</b></p>

At 3:16 p.m., Council adjourned.

**LAVONNE GRIFFIN-VALADE**  
Auditor of the City of Portland

By Karla Moore-Love  
Clerk of the Council

For a discussion of agenda items, please consult the following Closed Caption File.

September 21, 2009

SEPTEMBER 21, 2009 5:00PM

**CITY OF PORTLAND COUNCIL &**  
**MULTNOMAH COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS**  
**JOINT MEETING**

**JM-2 The City of Portland Council and Multnomah County Board of Commissioners Joint Meeting. Presenters: Joshua Todd, Interim Director, Multnomah County Commission on Children, Families and Community; Reese Lord, Youth Coordinator, Education Strategies.**

Chair Ted Wheeler convened the meeting at 5:03 p.m., with Vice-Chair Judy Shiprack and Commissioners Jeff Cogen, Deborah Kafoury and Diane McKeel present, and City of Portland Mayor Sam Adams and Commissioners Dan Saltzman, Randy Leonard, Nick Fish and Amanda Fritz present.

Officers in Attendance: Deb Bogstad, Board Clerk; Shane Abma, Senior Deputy City Attorney.

Chair Wheeler welcomed everyone to the joint meeting. The purpose of this meeting for Multnomah County and the City of Portland is to reaffirm this commitment to the meaningful engagement of our local youth in City/County government.

**A. Highlight the Achievements of the City/County Youth Engagement Team during the 2008-2009 Program Year, presenting the Youth Engagement Report from Multnomah Youth Commission.**

Presenters Chris Edmonds and Nay'Chelle Harris, MYC Co-Chairs made their report, showed their power point presentation and made their recommendations. The Council and Commissioners thanked them for their report.

**B. RESOLUTION Adopting the Youth Engagement Manual and *PDXyouth.org* as the Primary Tools to Engage and Support the Role of Children and Youth in the Decision Making Processes of the City of Portland and Multnomah County - a Key Strategy of Implementing *Our Bill of Rights: Children + Youth*.**

Commissioner Cogen moved and Commissioner Shiprack seconded, approval of the Resolution.

Mayor Adams introduced the Resolution into the record; Commissioner Leonard moved the motion to adopt the Resolution and Commissioner Fish seconded. He stated that this resolution is an important next step in the implementation of youth involvement at the City. These tools will improve youth access to government services relevant to their lives by consolidating and providing links to resources in a format and language natural to you. It will facilitate opportunities for youth opinions, concerns, and creative impulse to be heard and responded to by Portland's government. It will serve to involve youth in government

**September 21, 2009**

by providing resources that facilitate direct communication with elected officials and City agencies, volunteerism, internships and jobs with government, events, and a participatory governance structure for the youth web portal.

Presenter Ernesto Dominguez, MYC Policy Liaison addressed why they have developed this site, gave a brief history of the project including youth involvement; and emphasized the importance of the partnership between the City and County to the youth of our region.

Jeremy Van Keuren, City of Portland – Office of Management & Finance, discussed how youth developed look feel and organization; reviewed the three main pages; and emphasized the importance of the Voice section and how it supports their mission.

Bill Lesh, Principal – Center for Advanced Learning, spoke to the value of site as a learning opportunity and gave a brief overview of the partnership and what CAL will be doing to support this organization.

Graeme Oberhausen, Terell Wilson and Ashleigh Paschal, Youth Planner Program along with Carolina Iraheta, City of Portland – Bureau of Transportation; and, Gary Marshke - Chair of the Multnomah County Citizen Involvement Committee, gave a brief overview of BOR and YPP; how the manual was developed and detailed discussion of the following sections: 1-Youth input, 2-Hiring youth and 3-How change happens.

After all explanations were heard and Board and Council questions were answered, Resolution 2009-113 was unanimously adopted.

**C. Honor the Recipients of the Youth Champion Award for the City of Portland and Multnomah County: Sgt. George Weatheroy of the Portland Police Bureau and Carla Remeschatis from Multnomah County School-Based Health Centers.**

Mayor Adams stated that "The Youth Champion Award" -- sponsored by the City/County Youth Engagement Team -- recognizes an employee of the City of Portland and an employee of Multnomah County who have helped implement "Our Bill of Rights: Children + Youth" by advocating for the involvement and engagement of young people into the work (planning, decisions, or programs) of the City and/or County. "Our Bill of Rights: Children + Youth" is the nation's first youth-created Bill of Rights which has been adopted by a local government as official City & County policy.

Introductions were made by Chris Edmonds and Nay'Chelle Harres, MYC Co-Chairs. The Awards were presented by immediate past recipients: Tiffani Penson, City of Portland – Office of Management & Financial and Sara Ryan, Multnomah County Library.

The two Honorees were acknowledged and given their awards. The Board and Council members congratulated them for their achievements.

**D. Welcome and Provide the Oath of Office to the 42 Members of the 2009-2010 Multnomah Youth Commissioners, the Official Youth Policy Body for both**



**September 21, 2009**  
**Multnomah County and the City of Portland.**

Mayor Sam Adams gave opening remarks. Introductions were made by Todd Diskin and Emily Ryan.

Chair Wheeler gave the Oath of office to the members; the names of each are attached to the record hereto.

Chair Wheeler stated for the record: "Youth don't see the boundaries between the City and County. More importantly, the need to support young people isn't just the responsibility of government but our entire community. The Youth Bill of Rights provides a shared plan, created by young people, for how every member of our community can support the success of our youth. Youth engagement is a great investment in the future health, livability, and vibrancy of our community."

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 6:06 p.m. for a reception that followed.

Minutes prepared by: Lynda Grow, Board Clerk and Marina Baker, Assistant Board Clerk  
Board of County Commissioners Multnomah County

**September 23, 2009**  
**Closed Caption File of Portland City Council Meeting**

This file was produced through the closed captioning process for the televised City Council broadcast.

Key: \*\*\*\*\* means unidentified speaker.

**SEPTEMBER 23, 2009      9:30 AM**

[roll call]

**Adams:** Can you please read the title for council communications item no. 1322.

**Item 1322.**

**Adams:** Good morning. Welcome to city council. Glad you're here. I think you know the protocols.

**Jasun Wurster:** That I do, thank you. My name is jasun wurster. Thank you, council, and thank you citizens of Portland. Sam, i'm sure you know that the campaign only has 12 days left and let me share with Portlanders where we're at. We've distributed petitions for the potential of over 100,000 signatures and have over 600 citizen volunteers and if everyone who want you recalled looks in today's metro section of the Oregonian, they will find us. Let me set the record straight. This campaign is not about a \$30 million over-budget ebs system that was a year late or your coveted convention center hotel or you being a punchline rather than a leader when dealing with youth issues and a three-car pile up. Bicyclists, transit, minority and neighborhoods. And it's not about another 25 years of bonding public's money on top of a 17-year-old bond to remake pge park again. And it is definitely not about sex. It's not about homophobia and it's not about religion. This recall is about our fair city and the unfairness in which you implored to steal an election. It's about your lies, coverup and abuse of power. And your desperation to stay employed. Yes, sam Adams, this recall is about you. It's also about empowering the citizens of Portland who will continue to hold you accountable for compromising our city's reputation, economy and trust in government. It's about citizens caring about where they live. Volunteers like roy and tom who've gotten more than 1,000 signatures each. It's about signatures like joan and susan who have been inspired by our positive, non-partisan grassroots volunteer campaign. Really, do you want to be known as randy f. Bomb, commissioner Leonard? Really, do you kiss your wife with that mouth? And sam, you come off as a boss of hired thugs who try to intimidate a mother of three. Sam and randy, after your action over the last three weeks, I feel it necessary to say again, stop your uncivil conduct. You've already proven you're bullies and you need to back off and let the citizens do their work. It's amazing the civic awakening that Portland is having. If all of the people who want you recalled just spend one hour this week together we will make our government better. Thank you, Portland.

**Adams:** Thank you for your testimony. [applause] Karla, please read the title for council communications item no. 1323.

**Item 1323.**

**\*\*\*\*\*:** Get those things in their hands.

**Adams:** Good morning. Welcome to city council. Glad you're here.

**\*\*\*\*\*:** Wait a second --

**Adams:** No problem.

**Benjamin Grad:** My name is benjamin grad and I run watershed, but i'm not hear to talk about watershed today. I'm here to talk about a big arts event i'm going to be putting outside of Portland international raceway property in september of next year. This is a big fire arts exposition and if you look at the colored pictures it's going to be about large-scale fire sculpture involving fire and

**September 23, 2009**

propane as well as fire performers and there's a musical component but even more interesting than art on fire, my non-profit toolshed does demonstrations with -- we were doing a liquid aluminum pour and I want to give you an awareness that we're going to pull this together. I've met with the fire department and they're supportive and they've worked with me before and I've given Randy Leonard's office a heads up. To keep them apprised. If you look on the back, you'll see a map of the area and there's neighborhoods like Kenton and Hayden Island that we're going to do communication with so if we do ask for a noise variance, they'll have a lot of warning, and it's looking pretty great. Bacardi wants to give us money so we can serve flaming drinks and I don't need any action from the council but I thought if it's an annual thing it might be nice to tell you about it and say we've been covering a lot of bases here.

**Adams:** Sounds promising. If you can find a way to talk to either Jennifer Yokom or Polly Berg who are on the arts team.

**Grad:** I worked with Jennifer before when we had our yearly make stuff event.

**Adams:** See how we can help you.

**Grad:** Thank you.

**Leonard:** I appreciated your email and couldn't help notice that you may need a noise variance. That wouldn't be because of the sirens running out of control, would it?

**Grad:** Not at all. We've done this before. The -- our new name is PDX on Fire. More campy?

**Leonard:** Campy, but it makes me nervous. [laughter]

**Grad:** The plan is in coordination with the fire department. We would pay the marshals and the truck to literally be on -- they can't be on staff. But they tell us how they want to staff it and if necessary, they charge our event. It's 16 acres of grass. September 24th, the sun sets -- there's a lake next door, and again, they're willing to shear the grass to something low and the plan is for this to be a kids', you know, friendly event. We're going to go around to the middle schools and the kind of people who have not seen this kind of art. This is not Burning Man crowd. This is to get Joe from Gresham to come out and have a nice time.

**Leonard:** Don't forget Vancouver.

**Grad:** Thank you very much.

**Fritz:** You modeled nicely that getting on our calendars is a really good thing. So I think the other thing that's going to be on my calendar is a Ducks' game and I'll check on that. My husband is going to be a -- going to be very excited about. He's a Burning Man aficionado. So very, very excited.

**Grad:** In relation to the newspaper article about our business, if you're interested in keeping them, I'll collect them and take them to other places. I've learned the magic words with any officials is "more than a year away." wow, you're planning.

**Fritz:** Thank you very much.

**Adams:** And stop upstairs if you would.

**Saltzman:** Sounds great.

**Adams:** All right. Karla, please read the communications item no. 1324.

**Item 1324.**

**Adams:** I have a different one. Mr. Marshall? Ok, can you please read the item for communications item no. 1326.

**Moore-Love:** 1325?

**Adams:** 1325.

**Item 1325.**

**Adams:** Mr. Erickson? All right. Can you read -- oh, you are here. Welcome to the city council. Glad you're here. Just need to give us your first and last name.

**\*\*\*\*\*:** Ok.

**Adams:** And you have three minutes.

**September 23, 2009**

**Tom Erickson:** My name is tom erickson and i'm legally an electrical contractor in the states of Oregon and Washington and here on behalf of dignity village to seek fee waivers of approximately \$22,000 plus on building fees for projects that have been created and designed in the common area of dignity village. It's quite an architectural and design feat and the city has been helpful in processing this. Now we face fees which i've attached for staff to review and seek to reduce or have the city find funding to pay for it. I'll go through briefly what's happened here. Is that we have developed with -- I have developed with a group at dignity village a master plan of two more buildings which include a bathroom, kitchen, library, common area and some offices. If we were to put this out for bid, it would be about \$300,000 to build this. The village has found commitments to build the first part totally of the bathrooms which is in somewhere around 50 or so thousand dollars and we have a commitment from a group out of west linn. So what we're trying to do is gain a sponsorship again of the city, if you don't know, the village, as I know it is the largest homeless adult center in the country. Longest running with permanent facilities. Self-governing. And what happens is for me as a link to donors is I can't go to donors for money to build something and then say, but gee, we have to find \$23,000 for fees when most donors think it can be covered by the city. I realize money is tough for the city. So what we're trying to do is we have designed this project in 12-by-16 modulars that can be bolted together if you can imagine and be funded by different groups so a group will commit and it can be bolted together and create these buildings over time. Maybe six months or a year or two years and different groups can fund it or build it or the village can build it. It has manpower to build it and has built things and we're creating a partnership that benefit the village. On a personal note, i've done some of this in seattle. Built one the first ball fields in seattle when I was up there on a public grounds, using city monies and in department of neighborhoods when the city hadn't been able to fund it. We raised over \$150,000. I had a public school project with a high needs school and homeless and we raised over \$100,000 of outside money, brought it into the school with the support of the principal and the staff. So basically we're talking about a model -- i'm sorry, my time is up. What i'm asking to do for the city to waive this and -- waive this and the model that's been created takes us one step farther, which wasn't on the agenda.

**Adams:** I need you to wrap it up, sir.

**Erickson:** One second. To build a village for families and families and children and homeless -- Portland is one of few areas where you have raw lands near the airport and I was hoped you could moved to staff and this could be reviewed and processed.

**Leonard:** If I can take a second. I want to first thank you, mr. Erickson, for a commitment you're making to the folks out there. When I arrived here in 2002 on the council, shortly after I arrived here, lars larson filed a -- lars larson filed a complaint that dignity village was illegal and I went out to the site personally and reviewed the site and made recommendations, for instance, smoke detectors and fire extinguishers and we found a provision of the code that legalized dignity village. Unfortunately, we're in an economic environment where we've laid off 150 of the personnel at the bureau of development services and it's dependent on fees such as what you're describing. In a different time and different economic environment I think you would find us be open to your request but I cannot in good conscience support it when i'm laying off -- and showing our revenue is even worse than what we predicted, which was bad. We need to do something more than the 150. So I feel bad to have to tell you that but I don't want to play games and not tell you.

**Erickson:** May I respond?

**Leonard:** Sure, i'd be interested in your response.

**Erickson:** I work within bureaucracies of the electrical utilities and stuff and made a living at it. If I could encourage you to move to a key staff member with one or two of you, there are ways to get around this and even ways to massage this so that donors could be solicited. I'm not sitting here -- I was a part of the building department the day that the ax came down. It was traumatic.

**Leonard:** Yeah.

**September 23, 2009**

**Erickson:** I'm not disagreeing at all. What i'm trying to say, the bureaucracies -- and i'll speak of the electrical industries in conservation -- have trouble doing that. And when they turned it over to the private sector, it seemed to go. Your hands are tied, money for watering and ball fields, and yet there's ways to find the money. Truly. That's my point --

**Leonard:** I want to be clear. My hands are not tied. It was a decision I was asked to make. I don't want to mislead you. We cannot afford to do any waivers at this time. If it was a different time, i'd receive it much differently.

**Erickson:** I'm not arguing. I'm saying we need to find \$22,000. I'm suggesting if you can't, that it can be found within resources of the community.

**Leonard:** I agree with that.

**Erickson:** And that -- but it can't be found just because somebody asks. It has to be massaged through various things that your group and whatever can guide.

**Leonard:** I appreciate that.

**Erickson:** And we can hopefully move to that.

**Adams:** Thanks, mr. Eickson, for your testimony. Appreciate your time. Karla, read the communications item no. 1326.

**Item 1326.**

**Adams:** Good morning and welcome to city council. Glad you're here. You just need to give your first and last name and you'll have three minutes.

**Richard Koenig:** Thank you. My name is richard l. Koenig. I have tried to live my life as an example of how citizens need to help their government. Need to ride herd on their government, so that the power is properly placed and resides and continues to reside in the people. As a result of my lifestyle, apparently, i've been banned from every city office in Portland. Under the signatures of every city commissioner except Fish and Fritz. Including at the time, councilman Adams. According to the terms of the ban, I was permitted to once a year petition the mayor for relief from that ban. At this point, feeling that it was not really appropriate to be petitioning a mayor who signed a ban like that without any due process, I have pretty much waived that privilege. But at this point, owing to business that I have, ongoing business with the city, i'm requesting an opportunity not to petition the mayor or mercy or lifting of the ban, but only for due process of law. Which in this country, means a notice of what I did that warranted the ban, and an opportunity to be heard. I'd like the city council to facilitate a little educational thing about that by scheduling an event after i've been apprised of why I was banned, the banishment document really didn't say what I did, what the nature of my offense was. So i'd like to have a statement of the offense, and put on appeal as an educational function of city council to the people of Portland. Would you like to schedule that now or notify me in the mail?

**Adams:** You have about 30 seconds left, mr. Koenig. Thanks for your testimony. Glad you're here today.

**Koenig:** I'll look for it in the mail.

**Adams:** All right. That gets us to the consent agenda. Does anyone wish to pull an item from the consent agenda? Hearing none, Karla, would you please call the roll on the consent agenda?  
[roll call]

**Adams:** [gavel pounded] consent agenda's approved. We have 9:30 time certain. Can you please read the title for time certain item no. 1327.

**Item 1327.**

**Adams:** Commissioner Saltzman.

**Saltzman:** Thank you, mayor. This morning we'll hear a presentation on the status of the streamlining agreement which the city of Portland entered into in february 2003 with the u.s. Army corps of engineers, the u.s. Fish and wildlife service and the national marine Fisheries service and this agreement established a cooperative agreement to streamline the permit process for the city's

**September 23, 2009**

watershed restoration process and to ensure they comply with the provisions of the endangered species act. We found that streamlining the permitting process required the additional involvement of the Portland department of development services and the state department of lands and also the department of environmental quality and department of Fish and wildlife. So i'd like to acknowledge the representatives of the seven agencies that make up the streamlining team, if they're here in the audience, if you could please stand so we can recognize your work. Thank you very much. And i'll turn it over to dean marriott, the director of environmental services to start things off.

**Dean Marriott, Director, Bureau of Environmental Services:** Thank you, commissioner, mayor Adams and members of the council. I'm dean marriott, environmental services director and with me is mike reid. You'll hear from him in just a minute. When we entered into the agreement, it was the first such agreement in the country and it's greatly enhanced our ability as a community to advance projects through the process. Created a team work type atmosphere and just want to mention a couple of examples. We were having trouble getting the fire boat in and out of fire boat station six on the willamette due to silting in the berth and there was concern about the contaminated sediments and we worked it through the streamlining team and they were able to get the work done and provide safe access there. We've improved the safety of our drinking water. When the water bureau decided to move the -- water bureau decided to move the conduit, again it required a lot of attention and work from the agencies and we ran it through the streamlining process with great success. And the mccormick and baxter superfund site on the willamette required us dealing with two exposed sewer lines laying on the bottom of the willamette river and we coordinated that effort to get that work done through the streamlining team. And countless numbers of restoration projects along the willamette, the columbia slough, johnson and tryon creeks have been worked their way through the streamlining process with the aid of the streamlining team. So a lot of great work has happened in the Portland area since 2003 because this team was put together. As the commissioner mentioned, many members of the team are here. We want to thank them for their efforts and perhaps if you have a moment later this morning when we're finished, you might want to hear from some of them to hear their experiences. And also want to thank mike reid and caitlyn and all of the members of the staff who work on this. This is something that's great for me to be here and take credit for but it's really the hard work of mike reid and those who he works with in the bureau and our team's members in the audience. Mike.

**Mike Reed:** Thank you, dean. I'm mike reed. Work for the city. Hired to manage this. I'm the chair of the streamlining team. And we have created quite an unique agreement between the state and federal agencies and bureau of development services and i'd thought -- well, we created a five-year status report and i'm here to give the progress that's occurred over the last five year and give a brief background. For instance, why we are involved in such an unique agreement with the federal and state agencies, and I think dean certainly alluded to it, a lot of our responsibilities and activities as a city require we work in the surrounding water bodies, the willamette river, the columbia river, as well as johnson creek and columbia slough and tryon just to mention some and we're building and maintaining bridges and road crossings and stormwater outfalls and habitat restoration projects, just to mention a few and each of those activities require multiple permits, fed, state and local and it's discovered by us as a city and the many parties that have to get permit, there's no way to objective these permits. A common approach is to objective each -- obtain them separately with little or no cooperation and depending on the knowledge and skill, the permit process can be confusing and end up taking a long time. So when we signed the agreement in 2003, we were originally looking at improving the endangered species act section 7 with the army corps of engineers and national Fisheries service and u.s. Fish and wildlife service and that's been going on but we recognize soon after, to meet the intent of the agreement, we needed to bring in the state agencies that have regulatory permit and oversight authority on these type of activities as well as

**September 23, 2009**

the bureau of development services. So now i'm going to go into our -- the process a bit. And one of the things that's asked is what is the streamlining agreement set up to do? And it's actually quite simple. We're trying to meet the city's project objectives and time frames, but at the same time, meeting the agency requirements. And one way to do this is to begin looking at improving the efficiency and effectiveness of the multiple agencies overseeing that activity and the team that was a product of the agreement operates under two guiding principles. The first one is that early reviews by the agencies gives them a chance to provide input into the project design, helping to avoid any future problems. And the second -- and this is a very important one, we've been working on honing quite a bit. By working in an unified manner, multiple agencies working together can work to deliver timely and consistent decisions. So how does the streamlining process work? City project teams will meet with the streamline team early in the planning and design. The team meetings give the agencies a forum to work in an unified manner to deliver timely, consistent and non-conflicting decisions. The team meetings importantly, also give the project teams opportunities to be active participants during the agency's reviews and what we've accomplished over the last five years, and we looked at the period of time between september 2003 and april 2008, we received 93 permits that were issued to 23 city-sponsored projects and on average, the -- those final approvals or permits were issued in six months or less. The corps issues permits to those 23 city-sponsored projects on average, a little over six months. The department of state lands, issued removal fill permits just a little over five months and the bureau of development services issued final decisions for both greenway reviews and environmental reviews in just two and a half months. But it's important to acknowledge no. That not all of the permit reviews have gone smoothly. There are multiple reasons why some permit reviews have to go longer. But what's important to acknowledge is that these team meetings with the multiple agencies involved provides a forum for resolving any issues and allowing the projects to move forward. So what makes this process work is that we have a clear structure ran process in place. Over five years working through many of the complex projects we've been -- the city has been looking to get permits we've developed standard operating procedures that guide not only the project teams but the agencies and each meeting. And we have a meeting monthly, every third tuesday of the months, since 2000 3. We meet across the street in the Portland building on the second floor. So over the last five years, we've created -- in my opinion -- quite a successful process for reviewing and acquiring multiple permits and when the team and myself were putting together the five-year status report we did conclude we had achieved some various successful operating procedures and the agencies offered their support over the next five years and as has been mentioned, most of them are here today and are looking to offer some -- their support if given the opportunity.

**Marriott:** Thank you. I think it's -- it's clear that the permitting process is quite intimidating to a lot of people, but as mike indicated, we've gotten a whole host of important projects through the process quickly and the projects have been improved by this cooperation process and we've gotten the work done and it's good for the community. Just wanted to bring this to your attention. The important things, the agencies have agreed to sign up for another five-year effort, that's how important they think it is to help them with their work too. That concludes our report.

**Saltzman:** Well, thanks.

**Adams:** Thank you.

**Saltzman:** So that's -- that includes the presentation. But -- that concludes the presentation but if there's members of the team or members of public who wish to testify, they can do so at this time.

**Moore-Love:** We had one person who signed up. Tom erickson.

**Adams:** I think he left.

**Saltzman:** If there's team members who want to testify, you can come up three at a time and have three minutes.

**Adams:** There's three seats for four people.

**September 23, 2009**

**Saltzman:** Oh, well, we can pull up another chair.

**Adams:** Welcome to the city council.

**\*\*\*\*\*:** Good morning.

**Mike McCabe:** Thank you, mayor and commissioners. My name is mike mccabe with the Oregon department of state lands out of salem. The department of state lands implements the removal fill program through a permitting process. It was set up in 1967. And what it says, essentially, in order to conduct certain removable fill activities in waters of the state -- creeks and wetlands and so on -- that a permit may be required from dsl. Again, we implement this law through a permitting process, so the applicants have to submit a permit applicant to our staff. We have to go through and review it. It's very common for the -- for these applications -- it's common for them to be considered incomplete. Once they're considered incomplete, the process starts over again. What that results in is time and money to start the application review process again. The city of Portland streamlining team offers permit applicants the chance to receive really sound guidance early in the process that will greatly increase the likelihood of getting through the permitting process in a timely manner. So from dsl's perspective, we appreciate being part of the team and certainly support any further efforts to extend the length of this effort. Appreciate it. Thank you.

**Adams:** Thank you.

**Tom Murtagh:** Good morning, mayor. Council. My name is tom murtagh, a district Fish biologist representing this area around the city of Portland and a greater district area. Our agency has been involved with the streamlining process since its inception and our role is primarily as advisor to a lot of the permitting agencies and the city and project folks on Fish and wildlife and resource issues that these projects will bring upon. So a lot of that was involved in water work timing, considerations, times that are better for conducting a project in a manner that will reduce applicants to the valued resources in this area. And I was -- I would just like to voice our support, Oregon department of Fish and wildlife's support for this process recognizing it is a -- it's a valued process in that this brings all the representing agencies to the table at once, puts a face on the names and does streamline the process for permitting. And as such, I think that it greatly reduces cost for project delivery for the city. It reduces cost to the contractors who are delivering the projects on the ground by removing project delays and that kind of thing. I hear the bell is whistling here. So I just wanted to say that Oregon department of Fish and wildlife supports this process, the way it's set up and designed.

**Adams:** Thank you for your work. Sir?

**Kim Kratz:** My name is kim kratz. The director for the national marine Fishery service and we implement the endangered species act for the marine services. Over all, pleased with the way -- the way the streamlining agreement has worked operating on these urban projects, the process established by the streamlining agreement has provided a collaborative way of addressing the agency that is all agencies and the applicants shares. We feel that the project, the quality and the documentation we've seen has improved over time and we think this has resulted in greater regulatory and fiscal certainty for all agencies involved. And an in a more timely manner. We appreciate the city's demonstrated willingness to work with us and we believe it's a successful venture and we look forward to continued participation.

**Adams:** Thank you for your work and how are Portland salmon doing?

**Saltzman:** What was that?

**Adams:** Portland salmon listed as endangered.

**Kratz:** We're continuing to work with the city on restoration projects to improve the status of Portland stocks.

**Adams:** Thank you. Sir, welcome.

**\*\*\*\*\*:** Council members, mayor Adams, my name is monty, i'm the assistant project leadership for the u.s. Fish and wildlife service here in Oregon and we're pleased to be a part of this agreement as



**September 23, 2009**

well. Very simply, it means we talk early, and we talk often. And that really helps us make sure that our needs are met and your needs are met in a very timely way. That's simply what this agreement does. For us, one of the things, it's similar to the Oregon department of Fish and wildlife, we're an advisor for the most part. We don't have any listed species we've been dealing with in the city of Portland. But we have an agreement on the city on migratory birds, a long-standing agreement and this process helps us collaboratively meet the goals of that agreement for protection of migratory birds which are also found along our streams, waterways and etc. And we'll continue to be participants in this and look forward to five more years of involvement with you. Thank you very much.

**Adams:** Thanks for your work. Mr. Scarlett.

**Paul Scarlett, Director, Bureau of Development Services:** Good morning. Paul scarlett, here to echo my support on behalf of the bureau of development services in support of the streamlining project. Stacy castleberry was part of the team and as you know, the bureau of development services is charged with administering the zoning code where these types of project come into play along the waterways and triggers a lot of different reviews, whether it's environmental or greenway review. The effort in pulling together the multiple agencies where the projects trigger their particular codes is one, I know, that's difficult, but through this process, has allowed for better coordination and efficiency. I must say, it's a coincidence testifying today because later on this afternoon, we'll be talking about collocation, which you might say is a micro-version of this larger macro-version with the states and different agencies and we'll talk about how our bureau sort of mirrors this coordinated efficient model. So couldn't be more pleased and glad to know it's moving forward as well and we look forward to being continuing to be part of the process. Thank you.

**Adams:** Thank you very much.

**Eric Peterson:** Mr. Mayor and council, i'm eric peterson, i'm with the army corps of engineers. Briefly, I want to affirm that the last five years this partnership has resulted in more effective and efficient government at all levels and we look forward to contributing and participating as a partner in the next five years. Thank you.

**Adams:** Anyone else wish to testify? Thank you. I would move acceptance of the report.

**Saltzman:** So moved.

**Adams:** Seconded. Moved and seconded. Any additional council discussion? Karla, please call the roll on council time certain item no. 1327 presentation report.

**Saltzman:** Well, I want to thank all the members of the streamlining team for what is obviously proved to be a very effective process to help get things done in full knowledge of what's necessary to protect Fish, wildlife, other resources, natural resources, but also to provide, you know, a path for things to get done as well. So this is a great team and a really appreciate everybody's efforts and willingness to sign up for another five-year term. I want to thank dean marriott for his leadership as well. It's a great thing. Aye.

**Leonard:** Well, being responsible to the bureau of development services, along with paul, I appreciate the cooperation and we'll get a report on this this afternoon. Thank you for your good work. Aye.

**Fritz:** This is good work. And thank you, dean marriott and previously commissioner Adams for working on this with environmental services. I did note the parallels in our collocation and commissioner Leonard's work and recognizing we do need to work with each and we -- there are different lines of responsibility and we need to be clear and then we need to talk to each other and make sure those are met. It's greatly appreciated and looking forward to working with you with the office of healthy working rivers to build upon this and make sure we continue to work together. Aye.

**Fish:** I'll associate myself with the comments of my eloquent colleagues. Pleased to support this work. Aye.

**September 23, 2009**

**Adams:** Underscore my appreciation and admiration to commissioner Saltzman and his team for moving this forward to completion. To dean marriott and your great team and all of our partners, you're helping to speed the cleanup and restoration of Portland's environment and we're very grateful for your work. It was nice to meet some of you here having seen your names for years. So thank you. Aye. [gavel pounded] report is accepted.

**Item 1340.**

**Adams:** Unless there are objections, i'll move 1340 back to my office. Karla, would you please read second reading, council calendar item 1341.

**Item 1341.**

**Adams:** Please call the roll.

**Saltzman:** Aye. **Leonard:** Aye.

**Fritz:** I want to recognize commissioner nick Fish for his leadership on this issue. The Portland development commission and the friends of urban renewal, and mayor Adams for his leadership in that and to celebrate that the committee has made a commitment to helping people who are living outside and that this problem is clearly bigger than one facility can solve. But this funding represents a big step toward the goal of helping. Aye.

**Fish:** The council action this morning will pave the way for a groundbreaking that will be scheduled the first week of november and I look forward to joining with my colleagues at that long-awaited event. Thanks for your support. Aye.

**Adams:** Well, this is a national groundbreaking facility and the program to back it up and wouldn't be here today without the perseverance of commissioner nick Fish. So it's worth lauding again your good work. Aye. 1341 is approved. Read the title for emergency ordinance no. 1342.

**Item 1342.**

**Adams:** Commissioner Saltzman.

**Saltzman:** Thank you, mayor. This ordinance authorizes on-call services for site analysis, during construction projects, work crews often encounter contaminated soil and underground storage tanks and they're called for site assessments to make sure the work can continue. This is a flexible services are contract, a number of firms are qualified for us to call on them when we need them.

**Adams:** Any council discussion? Anyone wish to testify on emergency ordinance 1342? Sue, please call the roll.

**Saltzman:** I forgot to mention 35% of the contractors are mwesb.

**Leonard:** Aye.

**Fritz:** I want to commend greg and karen meyer and susan wheaten for their efforts in minority women and emerging small business outreach. 35% is a lot more than we usually do and commend your work. Aye.

**Fish:** Aye.

**Adams:** Aye. [gavel pounded] 1342 approved. Can you read the title for emergency ordinance item 1343.

**Item 1343.**

**Adams:** Commissioner Saltzman.

**Saltzman:** Thank you, mayor. This will amend the contract with the johnson creek watershed council to increase support for managing invasive species, watershed restoration and public outreach. The grant amount will increase by \$5,000.

**Adams:** Anyone on the council have any discussion on this matter? Anyone who wishes to testify on 1343? Karla, please call the roll on emergency ordinance 1343.

**Saltzman:** Aye. **Leonard:** Aye.

**Fritz:** What -- this is a particularly sweet ordinance. \$5,000 doesn't sound like a lot, but to the non-profit like the johnson creek watershed council, it's significant and magnify it with volunteers who are going to help with the work they do. Thank you for this. Aye.

**September 23, 2009**

**Fish:** Aye.

**Adams:** Aye. [gavel pounded] approved. Can you read the title for 1344.

**Item 1344.**

**Adams:** Please call the roll.

**Saltzman:** Aye. **Leonard:** Aye. **Fritz:** Aye. **Fish:** Aye.

**Adams:** Aye. [gavel pounded] 1344 is approved. Can you please read the title for second reading, council calendar 1345.

**Item 1345.**

**Adams:** Please call the roll.

**Saltzman:** Aye. **Leonard:** Aye. **Fritz:** Aye. **Fish:** Aye.

**Adams:** Aye. [gavel pounded] 1345 is approved. Can you please read the title for emergency ordinance council calendar item no. 1346.

**Item 1346.**

**Adams:** Commissioner Fritz.

**Fritz:** It's exciting to have this as the first office of healthy rivers. It sets the stage for future federal funding of our river restoration work and my assistant, patty, will give you a brief summary.

**Patti Howard, Commissioner Fritz's Office:** Mayor Adams and members of city council. This adds the port of Portland as a local cosponsor of restoration efforts in the lower willamette river. The city has partnered with the corps under the water resources development act and to identify and evaluate approximately two dozen potential restoration projects in the lower willamette river. The city's role in this partnership is as a local cosponsor. Our share is 50% and covered with in-kind services planned and budgeted for in current city programs such as the endangered species act and superfund cleanup program. As a cosponsor, the port of Portland will evaluate the potential environmental dredging activities that would remove contaminants and put in place ecological important species. It's supported by our tribal government partners as well as our citizen community partners who have been participating since its inception. Thank you.

**Adams:** Discussion from council? Anyone wish to testify on emergency ordinance 1346?

**Moore-Love:** No one signed up.

**Adams:** Karla, please call the roll on emergency ordinance 1346.

**Saltzman:** Sounds like a great agreement and welcome to the port. Aye.

**Leonard:** Aye.

**Fritz:** I want to recognize our new director ann beyers of the office of healthy working rivers. Very excited about this. Aye.

**Fish:** Aye.

**Adams:** Good work. Aye. [gavel pounded] 1346 is approved. Can you please read the title of first reading of no. 1347.

**Item 1347.**

**Adams:** Commissioner Fish.

**Fish:** It was intended to be on consent, but it ended up on the regular agenda and if I could i'll give you a brief synopsis. We're seeking approval for the naming of the fountain in the north section of the director park as teachers' fountain. The Portland parks foundation initially requested that the fountain be named teachers' fountain and that was in part the desire of jordan schnitzer who made a \$2 million donation that helped to facilitate this new park and he wanted to honor not only the people who had influenced his life, but teachers everywhere. And in response to that, a letter was sent to the downtown neighborhood association as notification of the proposed name and we received no comments during a two-week notice period. Pursuant to parks and rec guidelines, a naming review committee reviewed this request and unanimously recommended to me as the commissioner in charge, that we go with the teachers' fountain to honor teachers everywhere. Alison raise, who is a park planner, is here if you have questions and I would ask for your support.

**September 23, 2009**

We're planning a dedication in october to which you'll all be invited. Alison, if there's questions, otherwise the park will be --

**Adams:** It will be titled so people know it's honoring teachers.

**Fish:** This is the fountain. There'll be an appropriate plaque. A neon plaque, perhaps. Alison, just introduce yourself first.

**Allison Rouse, Portland Parks and Recreation:** I'm alison with the -- a parks manager and director for director park. Mr. Schnitzer and lorrie developed the idea for a sphere, it's a granite sphere that will be mounted at the uphill end of the fountain and the name teachers' fountain will be carved around the equator of this sphere. So it will be interactive with the fountain.

**Adams:** Any additional discussion?

**Fritz:** We're not voting on this, right? I would actually like to comment, if I may. Because i'm very glad this is on the regular agenda. We should not miss the opportunity to honor teachers past and present. I was thinking I can probably name most of my teachers from kindergarten through high school.

**Fish:** That's scary.

**Fritz:** I bet you could too.

**Fish:** I was at high school last night visiting with my daughter's teachers and not only did it bring back a flood of memories, some positive and negative, but I was struck how little I actually know about the basic high school curriculum from i.b.

**Leonard:** Is that currently or back when you were in high school?

**Fish:** The only course I had a fighting chance on was photography. [laughter]

**Fritz:** Well, I think this fountain is going to help people reflect on their teachers. I was thinking of mrs. Watson, when I was eight years old, played eleanor rigby when it came out and at eight years old think about if you were a shut-in in a village -- and it was remarkable and she taught me lots of things. I'm glad to see the naming policy that commissioner Saltzman worked hard on and I as a citizen activist pestered him several years ago continue it had good we have a process and a name that people can support and so thank you very much for working on it.

**Rouse:** You're welcome. Thank you.

**Fish:** Thank you, allison.

**Adams:** All right. 1347 is not an emergency. Moves to next week. We're in recess until 2:00 p.m. [gavel pounded]

At 10:23 a.m., Council recessed.

**September 23, 2009**  
**Closed Caption File of Portland City Council Meeting**

This file was produced through the closed captioning process for the televised City Council broadcast.

Key: \*\*\*\*\* means unidentified speaker.

**SEPTEMBER 23, 2009      2:00 PM**

[roll call]

**Adams:** We have two time certain. Please read the title for both, or just the one.

**Leonard:** Do you want to do them both at once?

\*\*\*\*\*: We're going to do the first one --

**Leonard:** Let's do different times. Go ahead and do the first one.

**Adams:** You can bring them up -- is erico -- and the translator? Who is in charge? Who is the leader of the delegation now? If you wouldn't mind come forward, we'll ask you to come forward and do a couple minutes of questions and answers. To my colleagues on the city council, the Portland state university and the Tokyo Foundation sponsor this exchange, and these are representatives from over a dozen -- two dozen officials -- two dozen local governments in Japan. And they've had an opportunity to meet with commissioners Fritz, and I met with them for a few minutes, and how have -- they were just giving me some advice on how to improve Portland, get more people on scooters. Which is a good idea. And so how do we make decisions about the use of corridors related to transportation and land use? So maybe they could share a few minutes, just a few second was us, sort of their impressions of Portland.

**[Translator]** Good afternoon. For long years I have been dreaming of coming to Portland, both my dream place I wanted to come to the United States. I've heard that Portland has a very active citizen participation, and when I come here I also had a chance to visit different neighborhoods and talk to the people here, and I really felt that the people in Portland are really loving their neighborhood, really loving their cities, and so that kind of impression that I get from the citizens, the fact that they have such an affection to their city really moved me.

**[Translator]** I am from Sapporo, and I think here I notice they look very much the same. There's a lot of green, it's a beautiful city, and I should say that Portland is just a little bit, just a little bit better than Sapporo.

**Adams:** You're very kind.

**[Translator]** And I heard you're coming, the mayor, to arrive 90 November and I was wondering where you're going to visit. If you have any question what's so far, you'd better ask me rather than -- I know better.

**Adams:** We hope that you're finding your visit very productive, and it's an honor for us to work with PSU to host your visit and your learnings. So thank you. Appreciate it.

**Saltzman:** I was also going to acknowledge it was crafts people from Sapporo who helped build out and design our Japanese garden. So we have -- we want to communicate our gratitude to the people there who helped us with our marvelous garden.

**Adams:** Thank you all very much. Commissioner Leonard, that counts as three presenters.

**Leonard:** Then I have 23 to go.

**Adams:** Can you read the council item? Hopefully it won't translate everything you say. The item for 1348.

**Item 1348.**

**Leonard:** The work the bureau of development service has been leading evolved from a discussion the council had earlier this year to locate all the permitting entities under one roof which evolved

**September 23, 2009**

into a colocation policy that paul and his team have been working on with the affected bureaus. It would be unfair not to point out that the date at which we had the discussion earlier this year to now the bureau of development services has been nothing less than a crisis mode with the drop in permit revenue, and it's just amazing to me simultaneous with managing that crisis paul and his team have also been able to produce this report, and I recognize that, and the council recognizes that, and I would have given you more time had you needed it to do This. But you are able to pull this together says a lot about the work you guys do.

**Paul Scarlett, Director, Bureau of Development Services:** Good afternoon, council. Mayor, paul scarlet, bureau director for bureau of development services, here to give an update on the colocation report. As commissioner Leonard stated, this has been a collaborative effort between bds and other bureaus, water, parks, and fire, and others, and we're excited to also share that we've reached out -- I remember commissioner Fritz was very adamant about working with the community in the advisory committee as a member that's participated under the development of this report. I must say this is probably going to be one of the easiest and more pleasant things to present on, is commissioner Leonard eluded to, we have been in crisis mode, having to make some really difficult decisions relative to layoffs and managing our budget. However, work does go on. We have folks who are very skilled and passionate about what we do in managing and promoting the cities' efforts to improve its livability and economic vitality. So i'm really pleased to share with you the report which is now -- is more completed. Last time we were here was july, to give you an update on some of the areas that were accomplished based on the due dates. And we report order turnaround time lines, predictable fees, appeals, and status of the move of the colocation bureau staff to the 1900 building. And to update you on the other parts, which is a conflict resolution and sdc program standardization, and the couple things I do want to share, it has reported to me, and it's pretty obvious that part of the resolution called for me as a director of bds to report back to council with a recommendation as to whether we continue with colocation or go consolidation, whichs was initially being reviewed. And based on the dramatic downturn in the economy and relative to that, the workload, also the revenues, these presenters will articulate more that we are recommended delaying that time line to better have more data to review. So we'll talk more about that probably the second piece is, I said it was easy, prior to april there was a lot of cancer station, I couldn't be more pleased, the collaborative effort, the open, the good communication, any issue has been approached with a solution oriented approach through andy and the other members from the bureau -- other bureaus, and it's really resulted in a plan that's of course hasn't been lost. The objective hasn't been lost until now, and it's how do we improve the permitting processes, development review process for the city of Portland from homeowner, to the developer, to in the -- land use neighborhood folks, every aspect of development in our city is being covered by this objective, this plan. I must say it's gone really well. I'm pleased to turn it over to these folks.

**Leonard:** We do have an amendment. Do you want to do that now? Does that make sense?

**\*\*\*\*\*:** We dock that now.

**Andy Peterson, Bureau of Development Services:** Andy peterson, bureau of development services.

**Leonard:** Explain it, and then we'll adopt it and we can have it as part of the report.

**Peterson:** On page 5 of exhibit a there's a change to a sentence there that basically reflects more of what the team has discussed and the graphics represent, which is really the appeals process. It's intended to be a two-level appeals process as opposed to a three-level. What we had done was incorporated policy discussions with directors of the different bureaus with the chief engineers as part of that overall appeals process. It's just an edit to the last sentence in what's shown on page 5 of exhibit a. There's one other item --

**Leonard:** Can I do that first? Can I move this amendment?

**Saltzman:** Second.

**September 23, 2009**

**Fritz:** As -- is this consistent what they've been informed about?

**Peterson:** Yes.

**Fritz:** Thank you.

**Adams:** Please call the roll on the amendment.

**Saltzman:** Aye. **Leonard:** Aye. **Fritz:** Aye. **Fish:** Aye.

**Adams:** Aye. Amendment is approved. [gavel pounded]

**Peterson:** There is one other item that needs to be housekeeping here that identifies an exhibit e. It's on page 5 of the exhibit a replacing the continuous improvement process where it should be identified as exhibit d.

**Leonard:** At the bottom of the page?

**Peterson:** The last sentence.

**Leonard:** I would move to replace e with d at the bottom of page 5, exhibit a.

**Fritz:** Second.

**Adams:** Moved and seconded. Any discussion?

**Adams:** We are replacing the e with d that's dog. Please call the roll.

**Saltzman:** Aye. **Leonard:** Aye. **Fritz:** Aye. **Fish:** Aye.

**Adams:** Aye. [gavel pounded] amendment is approved.

**\*\*\*\*\*:** Is there a way -- this computer says it's going to install updates and patches.

**Moore-Love:** Pull that to the bottom.

**Peterson:** All right. Thank you. So getting started here, just to respond to commissioner Leonard's appreciation of the efforts of putting this report together, I have to say it wouldn't be possible without our partners in the interagency group as well, from p bought, water, b.e.s., all of the plays -- folks have played a big role in helping us get there. The neighborhood associations have participated, everybody has been collaborative in getting this to this point. So I want to start off with that. So starting today, we're here to talk about the colocation and the time line. Essentially in april we missed -- council passed the colocation directive. We have been working on this, we'll hit on updates for what we presented in july as well as talk today about more specifically about the september dates and issues that are directed to come back on. We'll also hear from carrie on the drac perspective on the improvements that we're proposing. So today we'll talk about creating an effective conflict resolution process as well as the standardizing systems development charge program. In this conflict resolution arena, the main goal of the team as a whole was to find site and project specific issues, address those quickly, through the formulation of a quick response team, solving those at the lowest level possible that we can as well as tracking any of the project decisions that are made so we can carry those forward into a policy discussion framework. What we have now is trying to build on a tool that's been used by the bureau of planning and bds, some -- creating something similar to that. Address those through the stakeholder groups or more than one stakeholder group, and refer those back to policymakers, whether it be planning commission, planning and development directors, or even ultimately coming back to council on those. This policy group would address These modifications necessary across the city bureaus because most of them are -- affect more than one bureau at any given time. It does help get a comprehensive view of what's happening at each of the policy levels, and addressing the goals of the city. The next step we have for the conflict resolution would be to look towards january, where we had implement the quick project resolution process, which would include section managers and staff at each of the bureaus. And then implement the policy referral process beginning next fiscal year. Currently the broaf planning and sustainability has not had funding for what they have as a recap process. We would like something similar to that. But preferably dealing more with infrastructure bureau issues, working on a tighter time frame, moving through the process faster. We'll work through the details on that as we go into the next fiscal year budgeting. The next element was standarding sdc's, was to create a uniform approach, including the low-income waivers, sdc deferrals, as well as sdc

**September 23, 2009**

financing packages. We'll be bringing forward in January, ordinances to council to deal with those.

What we're looking for is the ability to have consistent financing packages across the board, packages that relate to deferrals that are relative to the size of the project, whether they be nine, 12, or 18 months in deferral. Based on the size of the project. Our proposal would be to look at those and create some sort of master financing option for projects, from the customers' point of view they look at sdc charges as one piece rather than multiple bureau pieces. Creating a uniform approach to those across the whole sdc spectrum. We'd come back in July of 2010 with implementation to the sdc program elements. Which is on the annual cycle of when those things come through the council. Later today the drac will report on and talk about recommendations they have on the sdc package as a whole. Our work was limited to those three elements. The process as a whole, I said the interagency team was key in this as well as the drac subcommittee, which included a group of people. We also did draw in participants in most of the efforts including Andre Baugh from the planning commission, so we made sure to address those planning areas, the planning areas where there might be conflicts between our code, infrastructure bureau codes and planning zoning code. We also included labor in that conversation. I'll open this to Carrie to have her comments.

**Carrie Schilling:** Carrie Schilling, currently the vice chair of drac and the chair of the subcommittee. Our role in this process has been to continue to represent the development community and the residents of Portland. And those interests as they surround permit review and development review. Generally what -- it's been a very productive experience. There have been a lot of progress made. Our goals were to make sure we were creating a transparent decision-making process throughout the entire review experience. Than a predictable review process that manages expectations so there aren't big surprises. Compile a reliable cost effective consistent review fees and time lines. And making those available early on in the process. Providing applications with information and assistance, particularly with public works. There wasn't a lot of information or people didn't have a clear idea on how to start the process. Creating a consistent appeal process appropriate for the issue being resolved and this is where that dual-tier appeals process came in. Providing -- there's a lot of programs floating out completely independent from each other and pulling those together, so they could be understood in a similar way. And creating a method and protocol for resolving interagency conflicts when they arise instead of last year we were talking about falling on the customers' shoulders to work through those issues. Making each agency responsible for themselves. We have very pleased with the effort and the collaboration. I think the future work left to do is creating a concise method of understanding what is being successful and what's not so there's an evaluation moving forward. And we are committed to continuing to work with the team to resolve those challenges. Thank you.

**Peterson:** To look back momentarily at the directives that we had and reported on in July, basically to work with the teams to establish standard turnaround times, predictable fee schedules and a formal appeals process. The aspect we've been working on is that we had established the conceptual programs, we continue to work on the details, primarily focusing on the appeals process, and I have to credit everyone in looking at the appeals process in a unique format where we've -- we're proposing to include the public at the first level of appeal with the infrastructure bureau staff. And then if that decision is appealed further, it would go up the chain to the chief engineers and the city engineer. But it puts the public in a unique situation of being able to participate in more of the appeals and have their input heard early on as the appeals go forward. We'll be back in November to -- with an ordinance to talk about how to select and address those citizens in that appeal process.

Also we'll return in November to talk to adopting public works fees as we go through the permitting process. January 2010 will be implementing those pieces through the public works bureau and in the public works process. As far as -- this is a graphic of the appeals process where basically staff will work with the customer, the applicant to reach a decision on a project and again the appeals process would then move to a two-level appeal, the first level being with representatives from p



**September 23, 2009**

bought, b.e.s. And water as well as a representative from drac and a representative from the citywide land use group. And again, if a decision is reached there that the applicant is not satisfied with, we would move that forward to a public works appeals board made up of the chief engineering who would on policy issues consult with the directors of each bureau. All of these decisions get recorded and pushed to a collection point and this is a continuous improvement process, but it also allows us to make sure policy stays current with implementation or implementation stays current with policy. It's a feedback loop. We get the opportunity to collect, address, and assess what's happening on the ground vis-a-vis our policies and making sure those are in concert with the goals of the city. And they do push to the policymakers to help us continue to be in alignment with the overall, overarching city goals, or making sure they really are addressing what we want to do in our city. On the 16th we talked about colocation of programs in personnel and support plan for those folks. Staff have been identified. We continue to look towards moving starvetion bds is moving, will have moved our folks in the month of october. Repositioning our staff in the building to make room for the interagency team to arrive in the november and part of december range. Grand we'll have space for them on the floors in our building. We'll be back in november to talk about fees for implementation, again, identify the appeals board position and talk about code and rule changes for the sdc structure and fees n january we'll be implementing those directives and those initial intentions as well as the project specific part of the conflict resolution. July 2010 implementation of sdc program changes so we're a uniform and have standardization there. As well as the policy referral part, the conflict resolution. And as paul mentioned at the beginning, he'll report back on the status our colocation efforts at that point with an update on what work has come in and what metrics we've collected and how we're moving forward in an improved -- improving customer service and project related manner. This basically brings us back to the point where the directive, or the council report from april directed us to come back in july, june of 2010 with a report and a recommendation on consolidation or colocation. And what we're really looking for on this piece is acceptance or approval from council to defer that for at least a year so we get more data that we can Look at and be able to make an assessment that's based on real data and real activity. And then proposing july 2011 come back and report on those improvements and those metrics in our overall process. So that would be our -- the end of our presentation. If you have any questions we're more than happy to address those.

**Fish:** I have just a comment. For paul, I think we've got both matters now before us. Both items, even though the second is a time certain for 3:00. In the power point on page 8 it says that for january 2010, there will be a presentation at council and an ordinance outlining sdc program changes. So that's been framed and I just want to note that in the portion of this presentation to follow on the report and recommendations of the drac on sdc's, at page 10 of the report it states that the Portland development commission provides sdc exemption for qualifying low-income housing projects and review, and it charges the bureau of reviewing the goals, measures, and results to optimize the program. I want to clarify that my understanding is that responsibility has now been shifted to the Portland bureau of housing. So we'll make sure we're at the table as you undertake that process. And the timing of commissioner Leonard I think is very good, because phb has also been charged with taking a look at the tax abatement policies, which is another related first cousin of what we're talking about. If we're look at how we develop affordable housing sdc's and tax abatements are related issues. I just wanted to clarify that I believe responsibility has shifted to phb with the birth of the new bureau.

**Scarlett:** Appreciate that information. That's good.

**Adams:** Any other discussion from council? Commissioner Fritz?

**Fritz:** I greatly appreciate the work you've done. Absolutely outstanding. Regardless of the crisis in bes, but spectacular considering it. So thank you very much for all your work, and I especially like the scoping and process refinement approach to getting permit applicants on the right track

**September 23, 2009**

before they submit their permit. My understanding it correctly that there will be the possibility for the public to find out about applications before the permit is issued?

**Peterson:** On the public works permits the intent is to start with an inquiry meeting and then along the way of concept development to have 30, 60, and 90 percent meetings. The public works permitting process will be available online to look at. You can -- the public can look at those and identify those in neighborhood. The golden picture is to have that available through a gis system where neighborhoods can actually look and find those permits relative to their Geographic area. That's google kind of the golden level. We may be at the time silver or tin level for a while as we work through our economic status. They can still find those, they just won't be as geographically oriented in the information system.

**Fritz:** That will be in line with mayor Adams' director for citizens to be able to find out more about what's going on?

**Peterson:** Certainly.

**Adams:** Unless there's additional discussion, we'll move to the public testimony. Karla? Please call the first.

**Moore-Love:** Two people signed up.

**Adams:** Good afternoon. Welcome back to the city council.

**\*\*\*\*\*:** Thank you very much.

**Adams:** Nice to see you both. Glad you're here.

**Bonny McKnight:** Bonnie mcknight i'm here as coordinator citywide land use group, which is nice to say. Mayor Adams, members of the council, I appreciate your addition of a specific role for citywide land use group in the development of colocation processes and especially thank commissioner Fritz for the assistance. The decision making to develop how colocation was -- will work has been excellent, open, and supportive of finding common ground. It's an example of how we can work with multiple interests and still find good solutions. Citywide land use groups works on that premise as well. We began from and are connected with neighborhood association And coalition land use reviewers and others. In addition, we're strengthening our partnership with our neighborhoods, small businesses, we're also involving the building and development community and other groups in new way. I appreciate the positive work the colocation committee has accomplished to date and have found the mix of infrastructure bureau folks and drac nebs to be outstanding. I'm grateful for the special support from lana and dan of the bureau of environmental services and christine and kurt of the Portland bureau of transportation for suggestions I have made on behalf of citywide land use group. Citywide is mention as part of every step of the recommended colocation process. Land use reviewers will be track the public works permit process from the initial permit application through the review process and subsequently through issue balancing and appeals if they are needed. We will be a specific part of the first stage in balancing policy issues between bureaus if it takes place and we'll have a specific role in compiling evaluating, and commenting on progress measures and performance reports that will eventually come to all of you. On august 21st, 2009, our entire citywide land use group meeting was a discussion of the draft colocation report elements as presented by bes, pbos and staff. On sunday I sent an email break can out the seven areas in which Neighborhood involvement was support by the recommendation -- by the recommended colocation processes. The email went to my citywide email list of 471 people. I asked for their responses. These individuals have specifically expressed their support to date. The buckman neighborhood association, lynn ca of -- linda of hosford abernethy, brian measure tua of humboldt neighborhood say, lynn of sullivan's gulf neighborhood association, mary ellen of east columbia neighborhood association. Mary of tryon watershed council. Susan pierce of hosford Abernathy, pete colt of northwest district. Jerry powell, vehicle other linda baur of pleasant valley neighborhood association, and john gibbon of southwest neighborhood, greg, and lauren mclaren of northeast coalition of neighborhoods. I've also got

**September 23, 2009**

emails. I'm reading these to you to demonstrate the breadth -- breadth of the people involved in the system. Tom scar of the pacific west homes. These are supports. Ken and gwen jones of concordia. Kathy of -- simone of southwest hills residential league. Ronald and karen meyers of if the east columbia neighborhood association. Karen of bridle mail neighborhood association. She's the land use chair. Nancy chapin of small business. Tom badrick of sunnyside Neighborhood association. Who commissioner Fritz will remember. Ron and january clemson of centennial neighborhood association. January of the historical community, and carol emery of the north Portland coalition. And darlene -- duane hunting, who is vice-president of the hillsdale neighborhood association. Citywide will not sit on these committees, citywide will have somebody who can provide positive interaction with these committees with the appeal process, and we will be working that out. We will go back to work through the coalitions, because that's our system. So thank you very much.

**Adams:** Thank you for your ongoing tenacious persistent and creative leadership of the citywide land use.

**McKnight:** You didn't add irritating as hell?

**Adams:** That would be impolite in front of our visitors from japan.

**\*\*\*\*\*:** All right.

**Fish:** Tenacious is a code word.

**Fritz:** I did explain yesterday how our neighborhood associations are a participatory democracy and they say whatever they want.

**Greg Schifsky:** Greg, southwest reece street, Portland. Mayor Adams and councilors, I don't know who put me on this hot seat, but they did. The question I have, this is going to move things forward for all of the bureaus, and i've been there many times. Will this ever go back to where it was if the economy turns itself around? Don't have to answer the question, but it's just popped out. I'm going to put this in an all gear if that's the right word. It's like a car that's been around for a long time, and bonnie is just one heck of a good deal for the city. Unpaid, knows everything that's going on, she's got a little rust here and there, but that will probably disappear, or get worse, we don't know. But she's putting a coat of wax on this city. If you have an old car like a '57 chevy, when you put a coat of wax on it, it looks better.

**Adams:** Well said. Thank you both very much. Commissioner Leonard, anything you want to add before we move to a vote? Unless there's additional council discussion, or anyone else that wishes to testify, Karla, will you please call the vote.

**Saltzman:** Thank you for the hard work of everybody. And we look forward to the continued progress on colocation. Aye.

**Leonard:** Of course this is an effort to balance a number of competing interests that some concerns on some side the processes may be too easy, some that they're not improved enough, and andy and paul and the whole team, drac as represented by carrie today, have all been focused on finding that balance. As they work they'll get even closer. I appreciate all the work under very trying circumstances. Aye.

**Fritz:** Thank you to commissioner Leonard and staff for moving this item to today to accommodate my schedule. To staff particularly andy peterson and dan who briefed me, and everybody who has contributed this to -- to this effort. And particularly the staff development services have done amazing work. Thank you to bonnie mcknight for your ongoing work, and I have to congratulate commissioner Leonard for that very impressive list of respected neighborhood supporters who are thrilled with this process. So thank you very much. And it's in some way stems back to the discussions that you and I had many years ago as far as the makeup of the development review advisory committee, and it's proving evident when we get good-hearted Portlanders together we can come up with some great stuff that we can all support. So I appreciate your work on that for many, many years. I especially like the point of contact for intake and tracking of the appeals process.

**September 23, 2009**

Totally thrilled with having citizens in it at the first level. It's something i've been hoping for for 15 years. I'm not sure I would live to see the day. Here I am. Actually on the council to see the day. So it's wonderful. And thank you very much. I think that's spectacular. Hopefully it will cut the level one review will cut down on the further appeals, really you're making sure the problems are being taken care of at the level where people are experiencing them, so I appreciate that very, very much. It's also evident that colocation is working really well. Commissioner Leonard's direction showed great improvement, even under these extremely difficult circumstances. And we are doing excellent work in coording with all of the review bureaus. So thank you to each of the bureaus for really committing to making this work. And i'm very pleased in such a short time with what good work has been done with colocation and showing that is an excellent direction that we can support. Thank you for all your good work. Aye.

**Fish:** Lost in our discussion today is that in the spring of this year there was a pretty substantial debate and a fair amount of controversy over the question of colocation versus consolidation. And in our discussion, and engagement with the community, we learned a lot, and I want to begin my complementing my colleague, commissioner Leonard, who working with the community and his colleagues brought forth a proposal that remarkably generated the unanimous support of this council. And that is what we call Colocation plus. The presentation today is the next major milestone in the process that was outlined when we adopted in april a colocation plus proposal. Predictably because it's paul shop, the work is excellent, and the level of involvement engagement of the community is reflected what bonnie has identified, both in terms of the land use, the citywide land use group and the communication she's received. So we understood this was going to be a challenge and difficult, and we also understood that reasonable people had different points of view on how this should unfold. But I think is a testament of the professionalism of the bureau that they've engaged -- embraced it and moved it to this point. I would be remiss if I didn't thank paul for being my mentor on high school sports issues. As a noted coach helping a father deal with the vagueries of having a child who is a student athlete. So congratulations, and commissioner Leonard, thanks again for your leadership in this area. Aye.

**Adams:** Well, this required a great leap forward, and then the ground shifted backwards. So the distance that had to be traveled doubled. And I honestly had doubts that commissioner Leonard and paul and his team and all the other bureaus could keep moving, much less tackle the process reform goals that we set forth earlier in the spring. So very impressive work. This is the end of the beginning and it's very exciting. And it's been very hard. I want to underscore everyone's contribution, the citizens have played a very important part and I want to thank you for your input as well, and I guess the fun stuff begins now, because we now actually have too crank the machine up and make it work for everybody, all the constituents. So look forward to working with commissioner Leonard and all of you in the months to come. Thank you for your good work. Aye. [gavel pounded] 1348 is approved. We will take a 10-minute recess. There is not an additional presentation, is there? For 1049? There is an additional presentation for 1049? Then we're taking a 10-minute recess. [recess]

At 2:51 p.m., Council recessed.

At 3:00 p.m., Council reconvened.

**Adams:** City council will come back from recess. Please read title for item number 1349.

**Item 1349.**

**Leonard:** Who do we have? This report is narrower in focus in that it is trying to not so much reduce system development charges or even make them more restrictive, but make they're more predictable. So when one begins a project one can predict ultimately what the system development charges will be and shockingly, that doesn't happen in all bureaus. And the reason is because

September 23, 2009

there's a enough of different criteria. In fact some bureaus are actually very subjective in how they determined what sdc charges are. So the attempt is to try to corral at least and get it somehow predictable so that we at least are talking to a developer about a project we can give them an idea of what the cost is going to be, which is something that doesn't happen today often until late noon the project, which can cause a lot of problems. We're going to hear today on our next steps to address that issue.

**Don Geddes:** Don geddes, vice-president of walsh construction company, and subcommittee chair for the drac on this issue. The drac has been working on this for about a year and a half now. Part of the drac's role is to investigate and work with city bureau and just look at processes that we go through. So in the work plan two years ago we decided to go ahead and look at sdc's and how they were calculated, how they were charged and also what they were used for. And it wasn't at all to try and pay less sdc's. Just so people are aware that most much the people on the drac were pretty aware that if with want services we've got to pay for those services. So that was not the issue. The issue was more about tragedies partner si and how things were figured out. So as we start as a committee, and I don't know that i'm following the slide show at all -- .

**Adams:** She's going back and forth.

**Geddes:** As we went through it, we set up in interviews with each of the bureaus that have sdc's to try and figure out, we asked them a list of questions, and went through those with each bureau. And it turns out that a couple of things came up as we looked at them. And we came back with five recommendations. Two of which, number four and five, the previous discussion the previous process you talked about, that they are going to go ahead and focus on how you can pay for those sdc's, what the dirt's, switch them from pdc to the housing bureau now, that whole issue whether it's for profit or nonprofit, whether you can get them deferred until the end of the project. Those are being looked at. The interagency is looking at that. I don't think our report has to focus a lot on it. What we found, three other issues I think are important. One is lack of coordination with the sdc's. So each bureau does a wonderful job with what they have. They have their committees, they have committees on how they're going to spend them. They certify how they're going to pay for them. A couple of the bureaus, bus and water actually present to the public utility board. Who then I believe presents to council once a year. We don't find anybody who looked at all of them together. When you look at doing development in this city, I Don't think anybody has any idea what the combined effect, the total effect on a project of sdc's is. We could not find anybody, and I would be curious if any of you have any idea what the collective effect is and how you're using those revenues citywide to meet the goals of the city. As a concerted -- so if we want to develop the lents center, for instance, what effect are the sdc's having and how are you using those concertedly to make sure you're spending them in the right place? Because each group as we see it has a committee. So parks has a committee, and every committee usually has vested interests. So if i'm on a parks committee it means i'm probably pretty interested in parks. May not be too interested in traffic. May not care about suer lines, but i'm pretty interested in parks if that's the committee i'm on. So am I looking at the big picture? I don't think we are. So as a committee, that is one of the things we're look at. A couple of the recommendations is that if we can look at them, an annual report of sdc's back to council with a report of how they're spent, and then also a report to drac so we can review it annually. And see where it's going. And see in some respect if we think it's going in the right direction. And we don't have it as a recommendation, but I don't know how somehow there ought to be a committee that looks at the entire picture. I'm not sure how you do that, but I think as the city moves forward it may be something you want to look at. This city is very tied to development. We could be missing the ball and not knowing it. We may be right on target. I'm not sure if I of us are sure on that one. I think on the part we're working hard, and like I said, the interagency group is going to do a pretty good job of figuring out so that a contractor or developer can know what those sdc's are going to be up front. I think we're going in the right direction. You'll be able to go online

**September 23, 2009**

on the internet and say, i've got 35 new bad rooms in my housing project, my bes sewer 73 going to be x. That's going to be pretty easy, and I think we're moving in that direction.

**Adams:** If I could interject, I think you raise a very important point. I think that for the 42 neighborhood or district plans the city council has approved since 1984, there's something to have that conversation let's say using your example of lents. How did the various people, do they or don't think and the most efficient and effective way taken together sort of meet the goals of lents plan. Other parts of town don't have that, so it's harder to judge it. Regardless I think your point is Incredibly important, and that is they at least should make -- send one to the other. If you're doing parks, transportation, sdc plans which we approve at different times and we're not seeing the opportunities for economies of scale for the linkages and interlinkages. So I think that's important, and what you said, would I even add to it. Hopefully wall street get something out of the Portland plan that will help guide this -- all the sdc efforts as well.

**Geddes:** In our report we list our recommendations. I don't think wii you need me to explain them. If you have questions we're happy to answer them.

**Adams:** Questions from council? Anything you want to add?

**Schilling:** No. I don't think so. I think part of the report was -- one thing I thought was interesting and kind of taking a global look at how all of these add up for projects, looking at three different types of projects, single family residential projects and a mid range development and then very high density development, percentage of an overall project cost, the sdc's take a bite out of, actually very greatly across the scales, and there's definitely hitting a threshold and having not that there's anything particularly incorrect about any particular bureau's sdc's, bust but taking as that big picture, it's kind of an interesting out of balance Equation.

**Adams:** One interesting, by interesting I mean unintended consequences, I was talking to a developer who is trying to build a lot of smaller sized units on a parcel, maximize the allowed density. And of course through sdc's can be punished, the smaller units, because the charges feel a lot more flat than the code or policy reads. So there's a lot of unintended consequences that actually make it harder to do the sustainable thing. So I think looking at that anew will be an important task for someone to do.

**Fish:** -- touch tone work, which is predictability. Tomorrow i'm hosting 19 developers who just recently learned that Multnomah county no longer acknowledges a commercial tax abatement on an affordable housing development, and therefore in midstream they have to revisit the question of the financing on the project and how it's being put together. As I relatively new member of the council have been look at these questions of sdc's and waivers, and tax abatements and all the tools in our chest, I think we welcome in each of these areas a robust discussion about the utility of these tools, how they're structured and the movement toward uniformity. But we also have to be I think very mindful of predictability. The ground rules have to be the people that people expect throughout the process. And we're having a lively discussion with our jurisdictional partners about that. It seems to me at the end of the day predictability is sort of the coin of the realm in terms of what we do. Setting the ground rules and sticking to them. I appreciate this presentation and how it sort of lupe nait's that value that as it spills over to other issues, where we're also doing good battle. Thank you.

**Adams:** Thank you for all your work on this. Commissioner Fritz?

**Fritz:** Thank you. This is a really excellent and very helpful report, and as evidence the -- of all the good work that's been done. It has recommendations including citywide coordination and assessment of the funded projects and process improvements related to calculations collections, waivers, and incentives. And i'm wondering from my council colleagues which bureau is going to do this and how is it going to be funded? I think these are essential things to help move us forward. So this will be a particular package in the next budget process.

**Fish:** It will be funded by the water bureau. [laughter]

**September 23, 2009**

**Adams:** The last-minute amendment, half of it comes from oni.

**Fritz:** We are learning a lot in particular with development services as far as some of the things that we count order permits to pay for things which are general citywide concern and to I want to call out that it's not realistic to expect development services to be able to continue to fund work on this project, and it still needs to be funded and it still needs to be done. So i'm -- I understand --

**Adams:** It will be in the budget.

**Fritz:** That's another nine months. That's that's going to mean that it has to wait. Let's keep it on the table.

**Leonard:** That's why we did colocation. I don't want people here misled. By having them come together now, it was more important than ever. I actually had people say because of the downturn are you going to stop colocation? Just the opposite. We need to have it happen phil mickelsoner. When you have people sitting in the same place talking about the same issue, comparing policies, I don't know what the budget impact could be of having people just think about their jobs different and take an approach p. I'm not understanding why they have a budget impact.

**Fritz:** I'm moving to the next item, I agree with you on colocation.

**Leonard:** I'm talking about sdc's. The bureaus that are there colocated are the ones that oversee sdc's. They will now start talking to each other about the various policy they have, and I expect them to do that as part of their job.

**\*\*\*\*\*:** You are continuing to happen In --

**Leonard:** That's my expectation. This is the first i've heard any different.

**Geddes:** And that's what I was saying, that was part of recommendation four and five, and those two, the colocation, if you notice the last deal where we talked about sdc's, they're focusing on that and trying to standardize the process and how you know how much it is and when it's collected, and maybe they can all collect at the same time whereas right now you pay some with your permit, pay some in the middle, pay some at the end.

**Fritz:** Thank you, that's a helpful clarification. That was the point of my question, does it have to wait for the next budget. Have you the capacity --

**Leonard:** It's not that we have the capacity, it's a redefined way of approaching how you do business. We expect every day for things like that to happen without meeting -- because people are talking to each other, working next to each other, comparing their policies and achieving hope gillless as a goal some predictability and consistency between the various bureaus.

**Fritz:** Thank you for the clarification.

**Adams:** No money. Too bad.

**Fritz:** Especially not from oni.

**Adams:** Anyone signed up to testify?

**Moore-Love:** No one else signed up.

**Adams:** All right. Unless there's additional council discussion, someone wishes to testify that has not signed up, Karla please call the roll. Thank you all very much for your work. Really appreciate it.

**Saltzman:** Thank you all for your work. Aye.

**Leonard:** Again, tremendous work led by the bureau of development services and all of the people that have been working on this. It's going to -- it's a mundane subject for people unless you happen to be director of the bureau of development services wherein you get complaints from homeowners and contractors about the wide disparity in sdc charges and the lack of predictability. For me this is a very important thing and I appreciate the work that's done. Aye.

**Fritz:** And I also want to thank both the staff and the volunteers on the development review advisory committee, including the neighborhood volunteers that it's very important to all of us to get these processes done right, and there has been acknowledge that it affects developers as well as

**September 23, 2009**

neighborhoods as well as developers. So greatly appreciate your service. Thank you very much. Aye.

**Fish:** Thank you for your good work. Aye.

**Adams:** Great work all around. Commissioner Leonard, your team and especially the volunteers. Really appreciate it. Thanks, aye. So approved. We are adjourned for the week. Right?

**Leonard:** Yes.

At 3:16 p.m., Council adjourned.