



CITY OF
PORTLAND, OREGON

**OFFICIAL
MINUTES**

A REGULAR MEETING OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF PORTLAND, OREGON WAS HELD THIS **7TH DAY OF JUNE, 2006** AT 9:30 A.M.

THOSE PRESENT WERE: Mayor Potter, Presiding; Commissioners Adams, Leonard, Saltzman and Sten, 5.

Commissioner Leonard arrived at 9:47 a.m.

Commissioner Sten was excused to leave at 11:15 a.m.

Mayor Potter was excused to leave at 11:26 a.m. and Commissioner Adams Presided.

OFFICERS IN ATTENDANCE: Karla Moore-Love, Clerk of the Council; Harry Auerbach, Chief Deputy City Attorney; and Gary Crane, Sergeant at Arms.

Item 751 was pulled for discussion and on a Y-5 roll call, the balance of the Consent Agenda was adopted.

COMMUNICATIONS		Disposition:
739	Request of Charles E. Long to address Council regarding the perfect place to watch the Portland Rose Parade (Communication)	PLACED ON FILE
740	Request of Jon Jeans to address Council regarding Chapman Elementary 5th Grade Class report on VisionPDX community vision project (Communication)	PLACED ON FILE
741	Request of Mark Sieber to address Council regarding Chapman Elementary 5th Grade Class report on VisionPDX community vision project (Communication)	PLACED ON FILE
742	Request of Bruce Broussard to address Council regarding school funding and city budget (Communication)	PLACED ON FILE
743	Request of Paul Phillips to address Council regarding Daily Journal of Commerce article (Communication)	PLACED ON FILE
TIME CERTAINS		

June 7, 2006

<p>744 TIME CERTAIN: 10:00 AM – Accept the report of employment practices of contractors that should be avoided when considering contractors for City funded projects (Report introduced by Commissioner Adams)</p> <p>Motion to accept the Report: Moved by Commissioner Leonard and seconded by Commissioner Adams.</p> <p>(Y-5)</p>	<p>ACCEPTED</p>
<p>S-★745 TIME CERTAIN: 11:00 AM -- Amend the Operating Agreement for PGE Park between the City and Beavers PCL Baseball, LLC to implement the City Directed Wage Program (Second Reading Agenda 706 introduced by Mayor Potter; amend Contract No. 52570)</p> <p>Motion to accept Substitute Ordinance: Moved by Commissioner Saltzman and seconded by Commissioner Adams.</p> <p>Motion to add an emergency clause to the Ordinance: Moved by Commissioner Sten and seconded by Commissioner Leonard. (Y-5)</p> <p>(Y-5)</p>	<p>SUBSTITUTE 180211 AS AMENDED</p>
<p>CONSENT AGENDA – NO DISCUSSION</p> <p>Mayor Tom Potter</p> <p>City Attorney</p> <p>★746 Extend contract with Miller & Van Eaton for outside legal counsel (Ordinance; amend Contract No. 35646)</p> <p>(Y-5)</p>	<p>180195</p>
<p>Commissioner Sam Adams</p> <p>Bureau of Environmental Services</p> <p>★747 Authorize a contract with David Evans and Associates, Inc., supported with federal grant dollars, to assist with the implementation of a feasibility study to use market forces to implement sustainable stormwater management (Ordinance)</p> <p>(Y-5)</p>	<p>180196</p>
<p>748 Authorize a Master Interagency Agreement between the Bureau of Environmental Services and the Portland Development Commission for Fiscal Year 2006-07 for improvements within designated urban renewal districts and selected housing projects (Ordinance)</p>	<p>PASSED TO SECOND READING JUNE 14, 2006 AT 9:30 AM</p>
<p>749 Authorize contracts with seven firms for On-Call Phase II Environmental Site Assessment and Hazardous Building Materials Survey Services in support of Combined Sewer Overflow and other capital projects (Second Reading Agenda 718)</p> <p>(Y-5)</p>	<p>180197</p>

June 7, 2006

750	Authorize an Intergovernmental Agreement with Multnomah Education Service District for crew revegetation services for the Watershed Revegetation Program (Second Reading Agenda 719) (Y-5)	180198
Office of Transportation		
*751	Grant revocable permit to Champ Car Grand Prix/Global Events, to close SW Ash Street between SW 2nd and SW 3rd Avenues on June 16, 2006 (Ordinance) Motion to accept amendment to change the location of the event: Moved by Commissioner Adams and seconded by Commissioner Saltzman. (Y-5)	180206 AS AMENDED
*752	Grant revocable permit to Champ Car Grand Prix/Global Events to close NW Johnson Street between NW 11th and 12th Avenues on June 17, 2006 (Ordinance) (Y-5)	180199
753	Grant revocable permit to NECN/Historic Mississippi Business Association to close N Mississippi Street between N Fremont and N Skidmore Streets July 15, 2006 (Ordinance)	PASSED TO SECOND READING JUNE 14, 2006 AT 9:30 AM
*754	Amend Intergovernmental Agreement with Oregon Department of Transportation for the US 30 NW 112th Ave - NW 105th Ave project to receive an additional \$183,678 of Hazard Elimination System Program funds (Ordinance; amend Contract No. 52284) (Y-5)	180200
*755	Amend Intergovernmental Agreement with Oregon Department of Transportation for the I-405/Kerby Street Off-ramp project to receive an additional \$59,222 of Hazard Elimination System Program funds (Ordinance; amend Contract No. 52468) (Y-5)	180201
756	Authorize contract with Lloyd District Transportation Management Association for \$165,000 to provide transportation-related services to employers in the Lloyd District (Second Reading Agenda 722) (Y-5)	180202
Commissioner Dan Saltzman		
Office of Sustainable Development		
*757	Amend office lease with EcoTrust Properties, LLC to extend the term of lease by five years (Ordinance; amend Contract No. 51591) (Y-5)	180203
Commissioner Erik Sten		
Bureau of Housing and Community Development		

June 7, 2006

<p>*758 Amend subrecipient contract with Transition Projects, Inc. to provide an additional \$50,000 for a total of \$284,250 and provide payment for services for chronically homeless women (Ordinance; amend Contract No. 36381)</p> <p>(Y-5)</p>	<p>180204</p>
<p>City Auditor Gary Blackmer</p> <p>*759 Clarify registration and reporting requirements for Lobbying Entities and City Officials (Ordinance; amend Code Chapter 2.12)</p> <p>(Y-5)</p>	<p>180205</p>
<p>REGULAR AGENDA</p> <p>760 Accept bid of Stettler Supply Company for Well 38 Pump Equipment and Site Improvements for \$728,500 (Purchasing Report - Bid No. 105259) Motion to accept the Report: Moved by Commissioner Leonard and seconded by Commissioner Saltzman.</p> <p>(Y-3)</p>	<p>ACCEPTED PREPARE CONTRACT</p>
<p>*761 Authorize application to Oregon Department of Transportation-Transportation Enhancement Program for a grant of up to \$4,096,174 for four projects (Ordinance introduced by Mayor Potter and Commissioners Adams and Saltzman)</p> <p>(Y-5)</p>	<p>180207</p>
<p>Mayor Tom Potter</p> <p>Office of Management and Finance – Bureau of Technology Services</p> <p>762 Authorize an Intergovernmental Agreement and Task Order One with USDA Forest Service Mount Hood National Forest for Cooperative Frequency Usage (Ordinance)</p>	<p>PASSED TO SECOND READING JUNE 14, 2006 AT 9:30 AM</p>
<p>763 Amend contract with Buth Systems LLC to assist in the migration to Oracle Collaboration Suite (Second Reading Agenda 730; amend Contract No. 36144)</p> <p>(Y-5)</p>	<p>180212</p>
<p>Office of Management and Finance – Purchasing</p> <p>764 Accept proposal of Cale Parking Systems USA, Inc. for parking pay stations for Portland Office of Transportation, Parking Operations Division with an estimated two year contract amount of \$1,575,000 (Purchasing Report - RFP No. 105126) Motion to accept the Report: Moved by Commissioner Saltzman and seconded by Commissioner Leonard.</p> <p>(Y-3)</p>	<p>ACCEPTED PREPARE CONTRACT</p>

June 7, 2006

Commissioner Sam Adams

Office of Transportation

- *765** Authorize the purchase or lease of parking pay stations for the Portland Office of Transportation and provide for payment (Previous Agenda 732)
(Y-5)

180208

- 766** Authorize the purchase of SmartMeter receipts and related services for the Portland Office of Transportation and provide for payment (Previous Agenda 733)

**PASSED TO
SECOND READING
JUNE 14, 2006
AT 9:30 AM**

- 767** Authorize an Intergovernmental Agreement with Oregon Health & Sciences University to provide for allocation of operating costs and management of the operations of the Portland Aerial Tram (Second Reading Agenda 734)

Accept amendment to the I.G.A. for any American Military Veteran undergoing treatment at V.A. Hospital on Marquam Hill shall be admitted to the Tram without fare and further that that head count should be counted towards OHSU's share of the operating expense it counts towards the 85% share, also the Committee, the E.M.C., will work hard to get the V.A. Hospital to share more of the cost of the Tram but in the meantime Veterans will ride free: Moved by Commissioner Saltzman and seconded by Commissioner Adams. (Y-

5)

(Y-4; N-1, Leonard)

**180210
AS AMENDED**

Commissioner Dan Saltzman

Office of Cable Communications and Franchise Management

- 768** Extend term of Southern Pacific Pipe Lines, Inc. franchise (Ordinance; amend Ordinance No. 155742)

**PASSED TO
SECOND READING
JUNE 14, 2006
AT 9:30 AM**

- 769** Extend term of Olympic Pipe Line Company franchise (Ordinance; amend Ordinance No. 162012)

**PASSED TO
SECOND READING
JUNE 14, 2006
AT 9:30 AM**

- 770** Extend term of Chevron Pipe Line Company franchise (Ordinance; amend Ordinance No. 164747)

**PASSED TO
SECOND READING
JUNE 14, 2006
AT 9:30 AM**

- 771** Extend term of Chevron USA franchise (Ordinance; amend Ordinance No. 164748)

**PASSED TO
SECOND READING
JUNE 14, 2006
AT 9:30 AM**

Parks and Recreation

- *772** Authorize a non-exclusive sponsorship with Freightliner LLC to assist in the funding of a series of summer concerts 2006 (Ordinance)
(Y-5)

180209

June 7, 2006

At 11:34 a.m., Council adjourned.

GARY BLACKMER
Auditor of the City of Portland

By Karla Moore-Love
Clerk of the Council

For a discussion of agenda items, please consult the following Closed Caption File.

June 7, 2006

<p><u>WEDNESDAY, 2:00 PM, JUNE 7, 2006</u></p> <p>DUE TO LACK OF AN AGENDA THERE WAS NO MEETING</p>	
---	--

June 7, 2006
Closed Caption File of Portland City Council Meeting

This file was produced through the closed captioning process for the televised City Council broadcast.

Key: ***** means unidentified speaker.

[The following text is the byproduct of the closed captioning of this broadcast. The text has not been proofread, and should not be considered a final transcript.]

JUNE 7, 2006 9:30 AM

Potter: City council will come to order. Karla, please call the roll. [roll call]

Potter: Please read the first communication item.

Item 739.

Charles E. Long: I was expecting the young people to address the council first. My name is Charles Long. I live in Portland. When I was walking down the hall this morning at a retirement facility, I asked a lady if she was planning to attend the junior parade today. She said, absolutely. I'm just a kid at heart. Portlanders are addicted to and love the outdoors, and there are so many activities in the outdoors, it would take a long time to list them all. The Shakespeare in the Park, symphony in the park, even opera in the park. And dogs in the park with their handlers, I hope. My concern about all this outdoor activity is that there is often not enough public lavatory facilities to accommodate the needs of the community, and this is most urgent perhaps during the Rose Festival period, when the Pioneer Courthouse Square, their facilities are closed over the weekend, and the central library, and the only facility or the -- for the Grand Floral Parade that is -- is First Baptist Church who has opened their facilities the past couple decades to the public so they can relax before, during, and after the parade, and use their facilities there, and also give them complimentary refreshment and also even live entertainment. And as they relax in the beautiful sanctuary there, on Southwest Taylor Street where the parade runs its way, they can gaze at the lovely biblical scenes of the stained glass windows above Southwest Taylor and 12th Avenue. I would recommend that the city be concerned about providing these needed public facilities and the -- in the parks where necessary and other venues so that we can enjoy our outdoor activities to the fullest. Thank you.

Potter: Thank you, sir. Please read the next.

Item 740 and 741.

Mark Sieber: Good morning. I'm Mark Sieber, the executive director for Neighbors West-Northwest. We're one of 29 organizations receiving a P.D.X. Vision grant to help the team distribute their surveys. Our project partnered with Jonathan Jeans' fifth grade class at Chapman Elementary School. The neighborhood volunteers had an excellent opportunity to talk to the class about community activism. I want to thank the Chapman School and the board for their support. And I'm pleased to introduce Mr. Jeans and thank him and his class for making the time to take on this project.

Jon Jeans: Mayors and commissioners, I'd like to -- I'd like to present my class, one of the best classes to come through the school. I'm proud of the work they've done, taking the Vision P.D.X. Survey results we got from parents and students and compiling the information and having a little presentation, fifth grade style, for you.

Potter: Thank you. Thank you for being here. When you speak, please state your name.

Marian Corella?: Good afternoon. My name is Marian, I'm one of the representatives here for our Vision of Portland survey. We're all from Mr. Jeans' fifth grade at Chapman Elementary. Here we are working on how we can improve Portland for the benefit of all the people who live here and

June 7, 2006

the nature around us. We love Portland but we would like to see if there are any ways we can make it even better for our future generations. We will begin our discussion in Portland's housing, health care, schools, and environment.

Caitlin Small?: My name is kay len. We are here to tell the opinions of our classmates and their families. Through most -- in most of our surveys, people thought mostly about our schools. The one dilemma that came up the most was that people feel the schools need more funding. With that funding, without funding -- with that funding the schools could get better music and other curricular activities. Some wanted art and music.

Evelyn ?: My name is evelyn. Two popular topics people thought needed more funding with sports programs through schools and more tag programs. I'm in tag and only get to go to fag class twice a week for only one subject. I think I as well as other students in the program should be able to learn and be taught to our full potential. I also think sports programs are very important. I know students can always join a sports team through their community rec center. Some people may not be able to afford to pay for their child's jersey or have other complications with costs. So I think we should stop cutting p.e. classes and start funding more sports programs. Thank you.

Small?: Our classes are another thing that could improve. Class sizes are big, my class has 31 kids in it and with all the schools in Portland, there must be bigger classes. One thing smaller classes may do is help teachers help a student one-on-one with work. Classes can easily go noisy with so many children, so it gets hard for the teacher, but if the class sizes decrease the teacher can keep control of the class. Thank you.

Casey Leibert: Hello, mr. Potter and city commissioners. My name is casey.

Calvin Hobbs: And i'm calvin.

*****: We have come to talk to you today about how you can help the environment.

*****: We have narrowed it down to two ways.

*****: Less cars.

*****: We want to help by mitigating cars. We can increase public transportation and bike lanes. This would encourage people to drive less.

*****: Two, better parks.

*****: One way we can make better parks is to set up volunteer groups to clean up the waste at the parks. Another way is to increase funding for the park equipment. Slides, swings, bars, etc. We can also improve sports fields, cut the grass on soccer fields or rake the turf on baseball diamonds.

*****: Please consider these items to help the environment. Thank you.

*****: My name is athena.

*****: And i'm sam.

*****: And we represent some of the many people who think affordable housing is important.

*****: By building affordable housing, people with low-paying jobs could live in houses, which would make people feel more self confident and safe by spreading houses around, neighborhoods would be more diverse and people with low-paying job could have a warm and comfortable place to come home to tonight.

*****: People like my mom don't have good-paying jobs and have to rent homes or apartments.

My mom and I have never, ever owned our own house to feel safe during a thunderstorm, to keep a dog, or hear a friend say "your house is so cool:" so please, make houses affordable for everyone.

*****: Thank you for taking the time to listen to us. We found health care was a very important issue. Health care is necessary to live a full life. People felt ed viesturs one should have access to health care and that mental health should be included. They also wanted the quality of health care to be raised.

*****: I remember my mom discovered I had asthma. I couldn't get my inhaler because we had bad health care. If people including me had quality health care, I would have been able to get my inhaler. Thank you.

June 7, 2006

*****: My name is maggie, we would like to thank you for taking time to listen to our presentation about our concerns for the city we love.

*****: My name is frances, and we would appreciate it if you would try to change Portland. It is already great, but together we can make it better.

Potter: Is that it? Very good. Let's hear a hand. [applause] thank you, mr. Jeans. Thank you for being here.

Adams: Very impressive.

Potter: If you children want to leave, that's ok, if you want to stay --

*****: We'll wait for a natural break, about 10 minutes.

Potter: Good luck. [laughter] is there another communication?

Moore: We have two more.

Potter: That's a hard act to follow.

Item 742.

Bruce Broussard: Mr. Mayor, how are you doing? Appreciate it. I think it's appropriate, by the way, i've got this handout for you. Anyway, "the Oregonian" had an article on june 3, 2006, that was an interesting article. We've been having our issues with auditors within our city, but in this particular article I would like for you to look at the area of -- there was a -- I circled it, it says the auditor dean, who had left the Portland school district, was concerned about an issue that we have been plagued with in our city for a number of years. And that is the achievement gap. I'll just read briefly for you what this piece talked to. It said the article -- audit concluded, teacher placement audit in october 2004, phillips, superintendent, and school board cochairman david, asked dean to audit the district's process for hiring, assigning, and transferring teachers. The audit concluded that schools with more low-income children and lower performing students were getting less experienced teachers than wealthier schools. Dean recommended that the district and teachers' union get goals to distribute the best qualified teachers. In april 2005 he presented his report to phillips dean said, but she asked him not to release it. I can go on and on, but the point i'm making is this is an issue that's been plaguing us for quite some time, and it's a very serious issue, and hopefully the council will entertain the idea of looking further into this issue. The achievement gap has been something that's been plagued, it's -- there's a little the race line, and this and that, but today's Oregonian in the editorial it talked about the chalkboard project. I interviewed those people on several occasions, they're kind of a jump start group, this would be an excellent assignment for them to look at this piece and come back and report to the council. It would save the citizens money. It's a corporate kind of sponsored group, if you will, they're supportive of that, and as you know, there's been some concern about whether or not corporate is really committed to our schools, etc., and we do have problems here as far as our city schools are concerned. I would hope and encourage you to maybe look into this particular matter. I'd really appreciate that. The other thing i'd like to announce, juneteenth, 16, 17, and 18 in the northeast Portland community, and on the 17th there will be a parade. We're going to be fortunate to have leading the parade the first brigadier african-american general in the national guard who is going to be a part of that parade. So I would hope all citizen was participate, it's right after the rose festival, the 17th, the rose festival this saturday and hopefully juneteenth, and we'd like to get the community as a whole to come and see that community, because I think that's what it's all about. Thank you very much.

Adams: I'll see you there.

*****: Thank you.

Item 743.

Paul Phillips: I'm paul phillips, I spoke here the 24th, and i'd like to reread this, we're a nation of laws and we must enforce our laws, from the white house, the president bush had spoke may 15th this year, 2006. Apparently that doesn't include the right to free speech. If you remember, may 24 I was cut short of not even being able to speak 180 seconds. And i'm here to still exercise my right to

June 7, 2006

free speech, and I understand that the f.b.i. Was here. I haven't been able to obtain a copy of that videoconference, this taping of that date, but i'm sure that I didn't do anything improper. I seen a brief excerpt that my brother had recorded for me of my consideration here, and there wasn't any improprieties at all. With this d.j.c. I'd read, this 15 paragraphs, the article, natalie white wrote for the dolan newswire, "plaintiffs use little-known theory to win against construction firm." the article mentions two people were successful at suing their employer, or former employer, in court. And that's only two people of the whole nation. And again, I remind you the c.d.c. Reports that 17,000 people a day are injured on the job. 16 are killed, and i'm sure that officer matt nilsen is probably aware of things like that. I met a man just the other day in my apartment building that was using crutches to walk, and he entered the elevator with I and my service animal, and he had another person with him, and I asked him, "were you injured on the job?" and he said "yeah." I didn't have a copy of the c.d.c. Report stating that the 17,000 people a day are injured on the job and 16 are killed, but I did tell him those facts, and he goes, "is that right?" and I go, "yes, it is." and so i'll obtain a copy of that meeting, the city council meeting of may 24. Thank you.

Moore: That's all.

Potter: Before we get to the consent agenda, I want to advise the commissioners and the public that we will be having some folks leaving, commissioner Sten will be leaving at 11:15 and I will leave at 11:30. We've got a very busy agenda, including three emergencies votes. So we're going to try to keep things on time and on course. So we can get -- have the necessary number of city commissioners here. Goodbye, kids, you did a great job. Thank you very much.

Adams: Thank you very much.

Potter: From the consent agenda, commissioners have requested to pull item 751. That will be heard on the regular agenda.

Adams: Aye. **Leonard:** Aye. **Saltzman:** Aye. **Sten:** Aye.

Potter: Aye. [gavel pounded] we'll now proceed to the 10:00 a.m. Time certain.

Item 744.

Potter: Commissioner Adams.

Adams: Thank you, mayor Potter. The purpose of today's report to council is to provide an opportunity to a variety of stakeholders to talk about the importance of family wage jobs and benefits that go with those jobs, diversity, prevailing wage, safety, issues of those nature for working people focused in the trades. We have, if we could keep testimony to around three minutes, on average, we would be using the allotted time and staying on schedule as the mayor requested. And we have a pending land use application regarding prometheus, so we as quasi legislative body, in order to stay impartial, we would request that you not speak directly to that company or that application. So with that, I want to introduce terry richardson, who helped put this together, I want to thank you for that. Anything you'd like to say?

*******:** I've got some opening statements.

Adams: Thank you.

Terry Richardson: Thank you. Good morning, mr. Mayor, city council members. My name is terry richardson. I work as a labor liaison for commissioner Adams. Recently employment practices of contractors have been brought to my attention by the workers area -- Portland area workers' rights board. There's some critical projects downtown that has brought to the forefront some labor practices or concern for the -- that are -- that contractors are harming construction workers, their families, and the entire community by their practices. Such practices are bringing much of the work force from canada or california, while there's plenty of workers here in Portland to do the job. Additionally, when workers are hired from the local work force, many of them are not provided with health care. That's a concern for labor as well as the community leaders. Contractors have not had an apprenticeship program, and they've also received some numerous violations on some of the contracts downtown. Finally, they've also been found to have some

June 7, 2006

federal violations, illegally firing their workers. Community workers, members of the word are concerned about these practices may set precedent for the future in Portland. They're particularly concerned about the contractors that have -- with aforementioned labor practices that are maybe in preconstruction agreement was the south waterfront developer. Since these are public monies involved with the south waterfront project, the oversight of the council is most critical. It is important the city consider a contractor's safety record, the health care policies, their local hiring practices, and their apprenticeship programs when considering contractors for city-public funding. I've asked the leaders from the community to testify this morning to bring information and to answer any of your questions, and I have several people lined up. I have request that you accept this report and the testimony in regards to how we should avoid contracting errors in the future. Thank you. Any questions so far?

Adams: Thank you for putting this together and for all the good work you do for me and with organized labor.

Richardson: Thank you, sir.

Tom Chamberlain: Good morning, mr. Mayor, members of the council. My name is tom chamberlain, i'm president of the Oregon a.f.l./c.i.o. and a resident of the city of Portland. I've been here many times over the last 20 years, but -- and we talked about a number of important issues that face the city of Portland, but I can think of nothing as important as what we're going to talk about today. I also know many of you. I know that the majority of folks on the council come from working class neighborhoods, I know that three of you grew up in Portland in working class neighborhoods. And I bet that the majority of folks in this room today have a better life than their parents. But we can't guarantee that for the next generation of folks. When I see the fifth graders laying out those issues, it really brought it home to me. The middle class has been under attack since they signed nafta in 1994. Let me just give you some statistics. We've lost 20% of our manufacturing base in this country. 40,000 jobs in Oregon alone. Those are family wage jobs that provide pensions and health care. The globalization, attacks on our pensions, attacks on collective bargaining, attacks on the right to organize, and we see the impact on the middle class. We're losing ground. I love this city. I run in this city two to three times a week, I love the sounds, you know, and if one of those big, red trucks run by and the sirens squealing, that's just dessert -- [feedback]

Potter: Do you like that sound?

Leonard: That was a hallelujah. [laughter]

Adams: Amen.

Chamberlain: I want you to roll that clock back.

Adams: We'll give you some more time.

Chamberlain: All right. But fewer and fewer working people can afford to live in the city, and I know that is an issue for you, sam. Not only do we provide low-income housing, but how do we provide housing for the working folks so that Portland doesn't become a boutique city. There are a lot of experts we're going to talk today about prevailing wage and health care, i'm not going to touch that. But what I will say to you is this is a progressive city. I'm proud of this city. You've done many things to improve the lives of our citizens. Prevailing wage shouldn't be the standard for this city. Prevailing wage should be the minimum for this city. It should include health care, it should include pension benefits, you're talking about taxpayers, you're talking about the very soul of the city of Portland. And I know you'll do the right thing. Thank you.

Adams: Thank you, tom, for the leadership you provide in this area and just the mayor has -- we have certain -- you're not allowed to clap in council chambers, so if you like something, you have to do jazz hands, this thing. So just so you know, it shows us that you -- that whatever you're hearing that you especially agree with that. So that's just the rules of the chamber. Thank you, tom, for being here. I appreciate it very much.

June 7, 2006

Laurie King: Good morning. I'm Laurie King, organizer with Jobs with Justice. Many of you know about us, we're a labor community coalition of over 80 member organizations. All of us speaking today as Tom Chamberlain just said, are concerned about the direction of our city. Portland -- will Portland join the race to the bottom or will the citizens row cyst the force that's lead to substandard employment? We need visible leadership from you, we need you to unite your voices to protect working families. I believe your willingness to have a conversation about protecting the needs of construction workers and their families will be the beginning of much-needed new policy on city-funded construction work in Portland. Thank you very much for hearing the testimony today. Special thanks to Commissioner Adams and Terry Richardson for guiding us through this process. Many people have been talking about a real feeling of breath of fresh air in the city and city hall. Thank you very much. And a cheer to all the people who took time to be here and will want to see this process through. We've all heard about P.D.C.'s attempt to avoid paying prevailing wages true their lawsuit against the state of Oregon. We feel the lawsuit is really -- is not right. And is especially galling when we consider that we're paying the lawyers with our tax dollars. We need oversight of the P.D.C. by the city council to ensure that benefits to developers and contractors on any P.D.C. project never come at the expense of workers. There is more to the picture of the race to the bottom in construction in Portland. Jobs with Jennifer Huskins Phys, the Oregon A.F.L./C.I.O., other unions, community leaders, have supported carpenters, laborers and other workers who have protested the substandard employment of the Benson Tower. The substandard employment includes as Tom was mentioning, the ample -- ample use of nonlocal labor, lack of concerns for worker safety and lack of health care. It was great to hear Commissioners Sten and Leonard speak at the construction site. The workers' rights board also got involved, a panel of over 70 community leaders who shine a public light on violations of workers' rights. Workers' rights board members felt the direction of Portland was at stake when they found out the contractors at the Benson Tower were also bidding on projects at the South Waterfront. Mary Beth Healy, Dr. Mary King, Reverend Schreiber effort met with you and your staff and began this conversation. You'll now hear fifth ever further testimony so what many in the community believe makes a decent job and makes a decent city and a decent place to live and raises a family. Health care, hiring of local workers, and a concern for workers' safety and the ability to learn and advance with an apprenticeship program. Without these features a construction job or any job is bleak. Ultimately the whole community subsidizes the employer without these features. In projects where city money is involved, developers and contractors who do the right thing should be encouraged and the others should be discouraged. We look forward to working with you to figure out ways together to make these values a reality. Thank you.

Adams: Thank you. We have some invited testimony.

Potter: Thank you for being here. When you speak, please state your name. You each have three minutes.

Katie Gauthier: Good morning. Katy, I'm policy and communications director for Oregonians for Health Security. Oregonians for Health Security is a coalition-based organization working to unite health care professionals, caregivers, consumer organizations, and concerned citizens to advocate for quality affordable and secure health care. Our health care system is broken. There are 613,000 Oregonians without health insurance, including 113,000 children. Two-thirds of these uninsured Oregonians have a full-time worker in their household. According to Institute of Medicine, these uninsured families live sicker and die younger, but the ramifications go far beyond that. As a community we suffer when Oregonians lose health care coverage. Our emergency rooms become overcrowded, delaying treatment for true emergency cases. Their report, the Institute of Medicine found uninsured have serious community wide effects. This can provide spillover effects across the community, including reduced access to emergency services, trauma care, loss of access to preventive care and specialists, and reduced availability of hospital-based services. These effects can compromise access to health care community wide and ultimately damage the community's

June 7, 2006

economy. We have seen as principal -- this principle in action. With state budget cuts, eliminating 75,000 people from the Oregon health plan, emergency rooms across the state, particularly in the metro area, began filling with uninsured patients. This is the most costly least effective place for providing treatment. This problem has been exacerbated by more employers dropping coverage and making it -- uncompensated care at hospitals has risen. According to a recently released summary by the office of health policy and research, between 2000-2004, uncompensated care expenditures nearly tripled, growing from 177 million in 2000 to over 500 million in 2004. The presence of a large uninsured population affects the cost and access of care for the entire community because the delivery of care to the insured and uninsured are interrelated. This connection is evident in we look at the streams of funding that pay for the care. Right now in Oregon 1,128 dollars of every family's health insurance premium goes to pay for the cost of the uninsured. As health care costs have increased, some employers are shifting the burden on to workers. This does nothing to reduce the cost of health care. Instead we must work together to attack the problem. Pharmaceutical, hospital, and insurance companies are making millions while far too many Oregonians are forced to declare bankruptcy under a mound of medical bills. The health care crisis impacts families, communities, and our local government budgets. Solving the health care crisis will help our economy and invite more business into the city. Employers must join with us because together we are stronger. The double digit increases in health insurance premiums are making health care more affordable for all of us. Employers have a responsibility to do their fair share. Together we can advocate for common sense solution to improve access to health care. Thank you.

Adams: Thank you.

Cherrie Harris: Good morning. My name is cherry harris, i'm with the operating engineers local 701. Last january members of the city council met with city union representatives to discuss a perplexing problem, one you just heard about, the rising cost of health care premiums. We all clearly recognized in the room that we couldn't solve this problem alone. But just maybe with all of us working together with could come up with some positive solutions to this enormous problem. About that same time wal-mart was making a pitch to site a new store in the city and discussion of their hiring practices, or low-wage, no-benefit practices, came up at one of the monthly building trades breakfast at which commissioner a -- Adams was in attendance. Many construction contractors performing work in this city on public contractors are no better than wal-mart. And the city is its own worst enemy when it spends public dollars to hire contractors who don't provide family health care benefits. We do not believe this is wise or responsible use of public tax dollars, and ultimately it drives up, as you just heard, the cost of health care premiums for everyone, including the city, which provides health care for employees and their families. We live in some challenging times, and the burden on our public safety net clinics is overwhelming. Yet it is still business as usual at p.d.c., where a quarter billion dollars a year in public dollars tax dollars is appropriated for urban renewal. And there don't appear to be any strings attached to make sure the best interests of the community are served and the workers who live and work in this community. If there ever was a time when public money needed strings attached, that time is now. After that discussion with commissioner Adams, a number of labor leaders in the trades set out to talk to nonunion workers on construction sites in Portland and a p.d.c. jobs. Through this process we conducted a health care survey, and I have copies of our survey that i'm happy to share with you when i'm done. It's neither scientific or all-inclusive, but it provides a representative sampling and I think clearly demonstrates the problem. Of the 54 nonunion contractors on our survey, less than 2% actually fund health care benefits for employees and their families. That is comparable to what a union construction worker or the city's own employees make. We want to ensure that public tax dollar investment is used in ways that enrich the health and economy of working people's lives, not just line the pockets of a few developers and contractors who make their profits on the backs of

June 7, 2006

construction workers. And urban renewal, without fair wages and health care for workers and their families, isn't renewal of anything. And it amounts to nothing more than rearranging the skyline at the public's expense. Thank you.

Adams: Thank you, cherry.

Pete Savage: Pete Savage, the regional manager for the carpenters' union, fourth generation, native Portland born. I think the way we started this morning said it all. From the mouths of babes. It's about affordable housing, it's about health care, and it's about jobs that pay. And funding our schools. We have a scourge that's moving into Portland, this is something that happened in Seattle, it happened in San Diego, it happened in San Francisco. It's a group of contractors based out of B.C., it's a model that seems very attractive on the outside, changing the skyline to the needle-type buildings, very modern, very urban-type setting, gets the density we'd like to get and cuts down on traffic. Unfortunately, their business model does not include treating workers fairly. We have a huge investment in this city, whereas we have at least four preapprenticeship programs operating that are working very hard to take -- if you visualize the students here today 10 years down the road, those people are in these programs trying to learn a skill to where they can get into our apprenticeship programs and make a decent living, buy a house, have health care, have a pension to retire on. They are being denied that by these developers who are moving into Portland. We absolutely oppose giving these developers any type of tax break. If they do not want to live up to the same standard most other developers in the Portland area do, if you look at the South Waterfront project and the cooperation that's going on there with diversifying the work force, holding to 20% apprenticeship, that's the type of model we would like to see expand throughout the city and as the South Waterfront boundary expands to the south, or any other direction, those same standards be held. There's 22 high school juniors from schools boarding along Powell, Franklin, Marshall, Cleveland, and North, that will be on construction job sites this summer. Getting eight weeks of training, education, experience, paid experience, to see what it's like and see if it's something they'd like to do. Those students deserve the chance of a decent-paying job. They deserve the chance that all generations of my family got from Portland of a better life. I urge you to do the right thing and hold any other developers to the same level that local developers are held to.

Adams: Thank you.

John Mohlis: Good morning, Mayor Potter, commissioners. My name is John, I'm the executive secretary/treasurer for the construction trades council. I'm going to focus my remarks on apprenticeship. Pete has already spoke a bit to it. In the June 1, 2006, edition of "The Oregonian," there was an article about -- "South Waterfront project changes labor landscape." It details a program called construction area work force solutions, construction apprenticeship work force solutions. It's a collaborative effort between unions, local developers, and local contractors to try and recruit and put to work more apprentices, particularly women and people of color. But probably even more important than that, we're going to track these people as they enter our programs and work their way through, because we're interested in where these folks are at three years from now, five years from now, seven years from now. We want them to come out with careers, with good wages, and the benefits that the speakers have already detailed to you. It was a collaborative effort from a lot of folks from different interests. When we sat down and worked these agreements out, nobody got everything they wanted, but at the end of the day we decided it was important enough -- enough to work together and try and make something positive happen, and we're proud of the efforts and we think they're going to bear fruit in the years to come. There's a developer that I understand is looking at some projects in the South Waterfront area. I have reached out and tried to make contact with them numerous times. I've had no contact back from them, I've had no success whatsoever. And that's a concern to me. Anyone who develops property in the South Waterfront area is going to benefit from the public investment that's been made by the city of Portland -- the streets, the utilities, the Portland streetcar extension, the greenway, all these things. And to me, public

June 7, 2006

investment should spur public responsibility. So I have copies of the letters that i've sent out trying to make contact. If you are interested in them, i'll leave them with the clerk. And I thank you very much for your time.

Adams: Thank you.

Jim Francesconi: Mayor Potter, commissioners, jim francesconi, an attorney with hag lund and kelly.

Adams: Welcome back.

Francesconi: Thanks. It's the first time back. I agreed to testify only on the area -- on the issue of diversity. And the efforts to diversify the construction trades as opposed to the merits of what you're considering here today. In the efforts that i've seen, there's many terrific efforts in this city that you're leading, that are -- the effort to raids diversity as one -- to a level of sustainability as a Portland value is something that i'm witnessing. Not from the unions, from the preapprenticeship programs, from ohsu, whose idea this cause this program was, from developers like homer williams and dike dane as well as dennis wild, so a coalitions has come together because of requirements that you put on south waterfront. And p.d.c. is an active participant in this effort. So what i'm seeing really the first time in my 34 years in Portland is that we're having kind of white male heads of construction companies, head of development companies say it's important that the work force be developed. John, for example, spent four weeks in an understanding racism course, not course, dialogue at which people were sharing what it's like to be black in Portland, in america, and the idea is to create some relationships where they haven't been before. Bruce warner is scheduled in the next series of dialogues himself, as is steve stateum. So we're trying to set a standard for union contractors and nonunion contractors who are willing to commit to diversity, preapprenticeship, supporting those programs, and a.g.c. is a member as well. So the three strategies are contractors have come together and said they're going to measure who diversity and their work force, where they stand, at apprenticeships, journeymen, management, and supervisors, and then they're going to commit to 10% improvement on all projects, public and private. And cause is going to come in and certify essentially a report scarred as to whether they've met these goals. Not only on south waterfront, but all private projects. The second strategy is to be expand etap, Oregon trades women, and youth build so that more people of color and women can meet the standards with the apprenticeship programs. And the operating engineers, the electricians, the plumbers and steam fitters, the carpenters, operating engineers, the sheet metal workers, deserve special credit, because they're also paying additional resources as are the developers. And then finally, we're tying this effort to our schools in terms of the curriculum in high school, so there's construction pathways, so that more of our young people can benefit from the wages, salaries, and benefits. So if it's all right with you now that my time is expired, the leadership, this coalition, would like to schedule individual briefings with you. We've probably been a little derelict that we haven't done that sooner. So thank you for this opportunity.

Adams: Thank you.

Adams: I just want to note that it was commissioner francesconi working with commissioner Leonard that put in the special provisions in the higher speck tycian south waterfront, and it's because of their leadership that some of the good result that's have been referenced are coming to fruition. So thank you both for that. Jerry.

Jerry Auvil: Greetings, mayor and commissioners. Jerry avel, i'm an organizer, a president of one of the four locals in Portland. And I have a few comments regarding local work. Local work for local workers. Local contracts for local contractors. Local workers buy fuel, food, products, and services locally. They pay local taxes, they purchase homes and rent here. They raise their families in local schools, they invest in local charities, churches, and nonprofits. They donate their time to local issues, local projects, even local political races. The average construction workers earns about \$37,000-\$40,000. He or she takes home approximately \$576 a week. That is about \$2300 a month.

June 7, 2006

If a mortgage is about \$1200, and fuel costs increasing are about \$400 for the average 30-mile commute each construction worker has, utilities for \$200 and food for \$400, \$100 a week, those costs quickly are almost surmounted by the \$2300 income. What we don't need are irresponsible, out of state, and out of country contractors driving down area standard wages and benefits and taking those profits out of our community. Thank you.

Adams: Thank you, jerry.

Kimberly Cambrel: Good morning. I'm kimberly cambrel with anderson. Anderson is a homegrown contractor, and we've recently partnered with hoffman with some projects, and share their passion for safety. I'm fortunate to work for a contractor who has a core value for safety. Some of the things we do to ensure safety at our work sites is one of the first steps is we're prequalifying our subcontractors. This is new to our organization, and I get the opportunity to looking at our safety programs. I've been disappointed greatly. I would stay approximately 50% of those prequalification forms i'm looking at, these contractors do not have an active safety and health program. And their injury rates exceed the industry average. So we're working closely with these subcontractors to try to get those injury rates down, because those are people, those are people whose quality of lives have being changed. One of the other steps we take, we do site specific safety plans and we review the plans to address safety issues for the end user. Can we get roof anchors up there for the maintenance personnel who will have to maintain this building. So we're trying to work with the building owners to do that. Our employees come on site and get an orientation specific to safety, specific to that project. The first thing they hear is our core values. We do it safely or we don't do it and we pass that on to our subcontractors. The employees also get individualized training, whether they need scaffold training, fall protection training, whatever those needs might be. And we do a job hazard analysis. This is key to the day-to-day safety on a construction site. For example, we currently have two projects in the pearl that are boarding busy streets in the trolley lines. When we push out over those streets, a 30-foot by 60-foot concrete form, we had better have our safety plan together or we're going to kill a citizen of Portland. Those are the key things that anderson construction does to try to make sure that our workers are safe, and our citizens are safe. Because fortunately anderson construction we really value safety. And many of the other contractors here in town, they're doing similar practices and they're seeing the payoff. Their injury rates are decreasing, they're not injuring the public or their owners, and we're continuing to contribute to the city of Portland. So thank you very much for hearing me this morning.

Adams: Thank you, kimberly.

Cliff Puckett: Mayor, commissioners, i'm not ben nilsen, i'm cliff puckett. I have brought some osha reports of a specific project for specific contractors. The benson tower project, i've talked to many of you about it, what you're seeing are some of the more recent violations. There have been numerous citations issued. Most of them have been of the serious classification. Serious violations are those that pose a high risk to workers of serious injury and possible death. A quote from michael wood, director of Oregon osha, in an referring to the benson tower states "these are the type of violation that's kill people." construction is an inherently dangerous occupation. Almost anyone in this room can tell a personal story of themselves or someone they know who has been seriously injured or killed by an accident on a construction site. This is why it is so disturbing to see a contractor during work that has complete disregard for their employees' safety, with more worry going into their bottom line than protecting their workers. Although safety on a job site should be a personal responsibility, it is up to the employer to assure that work is being performed in a safe manner and all necessary safety precautions are being taken. As you can see in the osha reports, mark is a self-proclaimed competent person. He was response -- he is personally responsible for building and inspecting scaffolding that osha determined to be unsafe. This scaffolding was used as work platforms for workers and to support structures that workers were working under. As you

June 7, 2006

can see, most of the citations have been levied against new way forming, a subcontractor at the benson tower. However, the general contractor must bear the ultimate responsibility on site. The general contractor at the benson tower, i.t.c. constructors. They have a full-time safety officer, clark gets. At the time of hire, employees are put through a safety orientation and told to come directly to clark if they have safety concerns that are not being addressed. By being the certified on-site safety officer, clark and i.t.c. are the responsible party in assuring safety on site. But they are not being responsible. That is clear by the number of -- and severity of violations on site. These violations would only be multiplied if they were to take on work in an area such as the south waterfront. The benson tower takes up only a single city lot with approximately 50 to 75 workers on site. The south waterfront is a dense area with numerous hazards and large project taking up full city blocks and upwards of 300 workers on a single project. If i.t.c. Is not able to protect workers on the small benson tower site, how are they expected to do that very important task on the much larger south waterfront projects? Thank you.

Adams: Thanks, cliff. The last person is bob shipwreck.

Bob Shipwreck: Good morning. Thanks for allowing us to say a few words. I'm executive secretary of the Oregon building and construction trades council. Born in the city of Portland and currently a resident on southeast 76th avenue. I was asked to talk a little bit about the task force and prevailing wage in general. I'll make my comments very quick. I was a cochairman of the bureau of labor and industries task force on prevailing wage with a number of high-profile individuals. We spent seven months trying to work out a deal to figure out what projects should have prevailing wages applied to them. It was a failure. Finally we just adjourned. We were going no whir. It deeply disappointed me. I've been involved in politics for over 20 years, and I believe in government. And I believe politics is the art of the possible. You see the gridlock at the federal and state levels in developing public policy, and now I see it happening at the local level. I'm really disappointed about it. The other thing I wanted to say is, out of the whole thing, it takes a lot to get me cranked up sometimes, but when I looked at the fact that a public agency was using our tax dollars at work to sue us, that really bothered me. And that's when I talked to some of you about who is in charge here of the governance of this agency, the Portland development commission, and I just don't think that a lot of you folks would have agreed that that was money well spent. We're going to do some next steps, i've told some of you we're going to do some polling. I got a meeting friday to phil mickelson out some strategy, perhaps a possible initiative or referendum to try to get our hands around the approximate here, but I would hope that you folks would help in trying to implement some of the things that you're hearing today. There's two things that I wanted to mention. We always talk about -- you have always heard it, pensions, health care, apprenticeship. Frankly, we keep talking about it, but I don't know if anybody cares about it anymore. There's two things I want to share that are just a little different. One is congress, the courts and the national labor relations board recognize area standard wages and benefits. We can lawfully do a lot of things to ensure those standards and the standards in this state are the prevailing wage laws. Those are the area standards that we should be thinking about. The second thing that I wanted to bring to your attention that we don't -- we do so many things that we often times don't talk about them, and that's the fact that we put our money where our mouth is. When you folks couldn't fund the infrastructure at south waterfront, we did. We put the rest of that money using our union pension funds. We've put it into the meriwether, the elliott. I spoke to a contractor just this morning, we look -- it looks like we're going to provide the gap financing for a very major project you guys are interested in in old town. So that's coming together. We spent a lot of money in this town, we love it, it's beautiful, and we want to stay here and build these buildings and do what we do, raise our families. The last thing i'll say, there was a lot of damage done in the last year on the issue of prevailing wages. I've personally committed to speak to the affordable housing folks, i've had two meetings with them. I'm meeting with them and the labor commissioner this afternoon at 3:00,

June 7, 2006

hope to get this resolved so that the labor and the affordable housing folks are going to be arm in arm in agreement on the issue of prevailing wages. And the last thing i'll share with you, I have spoke to mr. Warner at p.d.c., myself, and john mollusk, we hope to have another meeting and try once again to resolve this issue and any help you folks could give us in that. I would deeply appreciate it. And let's get on with business. That's all I got to say. Thank you.

Adams: Thanks for your leadership, bob. Mr. Mayor, that concludes the invited testimony.

Potter: We have a sign-up sheet?

Moore: We have one person signed up. Bruce broussard.

Bruce Broussard: Bruce broussard. This is a subject matter that i'm very, very familiar with. I'll just get to the point. The point that i'm looking at is that -- i'm not trying to get personal or whatever, but I am very familiar with the background of bruce warner. He is really not -- he really doesn't have an understanding of the definition of labor. He was at metro and he basically was doing basically the same thing. Not a pro minority kind of person. Then he went to the highway department. And he's got good training with reference to not really understanding what a bona fide, well-qualified, labor force is all about. And in the construction trades, there are two experts. One in management, and normally that's the contractor, that's what they do. But in regards to providing the labor force, that's organized labor. The unions. They've -- they are the expert in regards to making sure that niece people are trained well. That's really the bottom line of this piece. And it really -- as -- i'm really interested in this piece because as you know, mr. Mayor, you are the chairperson of that board. And bruce warner is actually an employee of the board. And so I would suggest that very strongly that we got to be very cautious about who mr. Warner is. He doesn't bring to this city what we need. As you know in our schools, for instance, we don't have trades like we used to have in schools. That's what these folks do. Those women and minorities graduating today that are having real tough times in the community and like, don't have jobs and whatever. They need that apprenticeship adam plumondore. I'm very familiar with that program. It's a trade. It's a legitimate job. And as you know, there's another attack on the custodians, very familiar with that piece. They contracted those jobs out. So we've got an atmosphere within our city, and as far as i'm concerned, our city is the point. We've got to maintain, we've got to maintain the worker, making sure that they've got living wage jobs and retirement. We've got to keep this right in front. I'm so excited about the fact you are, mayor, and -- if we lose the fight for labor, in this state, and it has to be in Portland, we're going to lose the state of Oregon. That's a very, very important piece. And I would suggest very strongly that it should not be bruce warner leading the charge on this piece, it's the employer, which is the board. And we control that board. That's very, very important that we understand that. So let's really keep our eye on the prize and keep focus on what these folks are talking about today. It's very, very important. If we're going to bill our city -- build our city again. Thank you.

Adams: Thank you.

Moore: That's all who signed up.

Adams: Just some quick closing comments. I think we're all committed to resolving the prevailing wage issue as quickly as we can, and as fairly as we can. I really appreciate the efforts of bob shipwrack to at least through his discussions with the afford all housing -- affordable housing community to offer things to -- we're also working with a number of people that you've heard today, terry and others in my office are working on some potential components for a responsible contracting provisions for the city of Portland that the council has discussed in the past, also working with commissioner Leonard's office and soon all offices to discuss that. And we will continue to, as we did this morning with the mayor's leadership, direct conversations with p.d.c. On how to improve our work with them. So I want to thank you all today. Do you have anything you want to say?

Leonard: We're going to vote to accept the report?

June 7, 2006

Potter: This is -- was there a written report in addition to the testimony? I hear a motion to accept?

Leonard: I move to accept the report.

Adams: Second.

Potter: Please call the vote.

Adams: Aye.

Leonard: I do want to say a couple things. I have been deeply disappointed about the issue relative to the Portland development commission challenging certain aspects of the prevailing wage law. To the extent that i've had folks in the community who have supported my prior campaigns for the council who have expressed disappointment to me and about me because of my position on this, then you didn't look very closely at my history before I ran. I mean, I don't think there should be any question in anybody's mind that you just as soon tell tom Potter you think it's ok for the federal government to spy on citizens than you would me that you think workers shouldn't get a decent wage, a pension, and health benefits. It is the core of who I am. And I appreciate it actually when colleagues such as commissioner Adams brings forth these kinds of resolutions, because I any it shows that though it's part of my backdrop of a human being, that these are not isolated values that I share. As bruce broussard so eloquently pointed out, this is a community that believes in working class people. And I don't mean just with lip service, they vote that way. In 1994 when there was an attempt made to repeal the prevailing wage statute, Portlanders, over 70%, said no. In politics, that's called a landslide. I have found myself in the position of trying to convince an agency that works for the city that its strategy to deny working class Portlanders family wages, health benefits, and pensions, is beyond disappointment to me. I'm embarrassed by it. And I would say that everybody on the council to one degree or another feels strongly that this is not an appropriate attack by an agency of this city that relies on taxpayer dollars. I greatly appreciated the comments today. I appreciated tom chamberlain's comments, bob's comments, these are two men who reason just professionals associates of mine, I am not one that calls everybody a friend who's not a friend. It seems to be the way in politics, those two are my friends. Their ethics are beyond question. Bob shipwrack first came on my radar screen as an elected official in the legislature, chair of a committee, one of the most fair, balanced people i've ever worked with. For him to say the things he did today in that context means the world to me. And I appreciate greatly his work, his representation of working class men and women, and again, appreciate very much commissioner Adams bringing this forward to us today to discuss. Aye.

Saltzman: I too appreciate commissioner Adams bringing this information session to us. It's very important that we be aware of this and I think a lot of different themes that were woven here starting with the 5th graders this morning, but it really is the skilled trades, even the unskilled trades are undergoing some tremendous work force changes. People are retiring, and we need to make sure that we have good-paying jobs that attract younger people. And we need to make sure we have -- make sure these jobs become more diverse jobs and make sure minorities and women have equal shots at getting these good-paying skilled jobs. This is a challenge we face throughout the city. We have a lot of players, this group -- it was an outstanding example, something we have to continue to replicate in other public-private partnerships. And make sure the jobs that are local, they are good paying and they pay good benefits. Because we want to make sure the people who grow up in this city don't have to go somewhere else to find work. We've seen those cycles before, we have an historic opportunity to start edging away at that cyclical aspect and really making sure -- and it's a two-way street. We have to make sure economic prosperity continues to remain here to create those good-paying jobs. So we've got to strike the right balance, but we clearly have to make sure these jobs we create can be filled by our young people so they can stay here and raise a family and have good health care benefits. So it's good information session. Aye.

June 7, 2006

Sten: I want to thank everybody for coming. It's inspirational to see the coalition that's here and the way you're tying these things today. A lot has been said today, I think the fundamental question facing our community, and it can go up and down very quickly, isn't just, is this going to be an attractive city, because I think we're well headed in that direction. It's, how is it going to work for everybody and are we going to be a place that prices everybody out. What I heard today was actually a whole range of strategies that come together, and even go to, as Bob Shipwreck mentioned, unions investing in projects. So it's the affordable housing and the wages and the benefits. I heard commissioner Adams, we have to be careful with the land use case potentially coming up. I have been active in learning about what was going on at the Benson Tower, have recently, which I copied jobs with justice and a letter to the Portland development commission saying I have concerns about how these things get set up, and I think we need to send a clear message to the community that it's -- we have expectations in Portland, and we have expectations that if people work all day and do the right thing and play by the rules, they can pay for their health care. And the health care gets paid for and they can pay for their basic needs. And nobody should be working all day long, and then going on to public assistance to get basic health care. And by the way, we all pay for it anyway. So what we're doing is paying the health care through a very inefficient means so that contractors who don't share our values can make the money. And that's unacceptable. So I think there's a variety of ways we have to look at this. Whatever has transpired today, it's not the place probably to get into all that, council has started an aggressive conversation around the prevailing wage issue, I am personally working directly with BOLI and the affordable housing community to come to some agreements so that there is not a dispute among affordable housing developers, it's just a matter of getting bright lines so people can know going into it what the expectations are for different projects, and I expect we'll come back probably in weeks rather than months with some proposals I think everybody will be very happy with, and I think the same thing can be done with all areas of construction. So I think we're headed in the right direction. This feels good. There's still a lot more work to do, but it's this kind of coalition that can make this kind of change, and at the end of the day this is really certainly about fair wages for workers, but as has always been the case, it's really about the level of quality of life should working people expect, and I think that's in return for their hard labor and contributions. So I think this has ramifications for actually what kind of city this is going to be for a very long time. I appreciate all your efforts and I will not surprise anyone by voting aye.

Potter: You know, I really appreciate all the folks who came in and testified today about the fact that our middle class is disappearing. And that's always been the strength of America, is to have a strong middle class. And those are the people who pay the lion's share of taxes in America -- America, that's also the first group that's affected by the exportation of jobs to other countries. And the fact that our health benefits in this country have eroded to the point where I think it's a serious health crisis for our country, that our children, our families are not covered. That we have families living on the streets of Portland in cars because they don't have a place to live in. So I think it's a serious issue, I appreciate you folks bringing this forward, and my commitment along with the council, is to make sure in Portland, since that's the one area we can affect, is that we do have standards that make Portland family friendly and worker friendly, and friendly to the idea that everybody should have adequate health care, everybody should have adequate nutrition, everybody should have a roof over their heads, and every family should have a living wage job. So thank you for your efforts. I vote aye. [gavel pounded]
[pause for a change of captioners]

Potter: Let's hear item 751.

Item 751.

Potter: Is there someone to speak to this issue?

Moore: Dana Dister was going to be here?

June 7, 2006

Leonard: What are we on?

Potter: 751. Its champ cars asking to change location of some streets closed in Portland for a celebration benefiting the rose festival association. Moving it over to ash between second and third. The local businesses, the block are supportive of this.

Leonard: Who asked for it to be pulled?

Adams: A citizen, I guess.

Potter: Not --

Adams: A citizen?

Moore: The bureau did. They have that amendment out.

Adams: I have to amend it? Mr. Mayor I would like to propose an amendment that we change the streets. The location of the event.

Moore: Did everybody get that amendment?

Adams: Everybody get the amendment?

Leonard: You haven't even gotten the amendment. How the hell would we get the amendment?

Adams: Let the roast begin. I moved an amendment. Does anyone want to second it?

Potter: Second.

Saltzman: Second.

Potter: Call the vote.

Adams: Aye. **Leonard:** Aye. **Saltzman:** Aye. **Sten:** Aye.

Potter: Aye. [gavel pounded]

Adams: Now we vote on it.

Moore: On the amendment. Uh-huh.

Potter: Now we vote?

Moore: Unless there's testimony.

Potter: Is there testimony?

Moore: Roll call on the amendment. Adams.

Adams: Aye. This is roll call on the ordinance. Aye.

Leonard: Aye. **Saltzman:** Aye. **Sten:** Aye.

Potter: Aye. [gavel pounded] please read the next emergency ordinance, 761.

Item 761.

Moore: Authorize application to Oregon department of transportation, transportation enhancement program for a grant of up to \$4,096,174 for four projects.

Adams: This is a good thing. Not a bad thing. And I have staff available to answer any questions by city charter, we are required to put this on regular agenda. It's probably my worst introduction of an ordinance.

Leonard: Quite the contrary. It was your best. [laughter]

Adams: You only say that because it was short.

Leonard: Yes.

Moore: Testimony?

Adams: Anyone to testify?

Potter: Roll call on 761.

Adams: Aye. **Leonard:** Aye. **Saltzman:** Aye. **Sten:** Aye.

Potter: Aye. Please read item 765.

Item 765.

Potter: Commissioner Adams.

Adams: We have staff available to answer questions. This allows us to go out with the next generation to bid out what options there are for the next generation of parking meters.

Leonard: Is this an emergency ordinance?

Moore: It is.

June 7, 2006

Potter: It is it was held over from last week.

Leonard: I see.

Potter: There is anybody signed up to testify on this matter?

Moore: I didn't have a signup sheet.

Potter: Ok. Please call the roll.

Adams: Aye. **Leonard:** Aye. **Saltzman:** Aye. **Sten:** Aye.

Potter: Aye. [gavel pounded] the last emergency vote, read item 772.

Item 772.

Potter: Commissioner Saltzman.

Saltzman: This is something that generous contribution from freightliner sponsor of our summer in the parks concert series.

Potter: Please call the roll.

Saltzman: It's a good thing, too. [laughter]

Potter: How much do they contribute? Last year they gave \$150,000.

Saltzman: I think it's in the same range this year.

Potter: Was there anybody from freightliner here?

*******:** Their c.e.o. is unable to be here. There will be another event scheduled when he can come in front of you.

Potter: Very good. That's very much appreciated and that's something that affects our good citizens of the neighborhoods because they get free concerts and builds community. Please call the roll.

Adams: I very much enjoyed last year's concert in grant park. Aye.

Leonard: Aye. **Saltzman:** Aye. **Sten:** Aye.

Potter: Aye. [gavel pounded] please read item 767.

Item 767.

Adams: So we worked with staff to answer the questions that were raised at the last council meeting, don. If it's ok with the council, we will quickly summarize those.

Don Gardner: Right many i'm don gardner with the Portland office of transportation. I sent out yesterday afternoon a revised spreadsheet. The basic summaries are three items that council were concerned about was the parking meter revenue going to be sufficient to meet the city subsidy? Using the newest numbers we have, which is the street plans have been designed and we can actually count spaces, we believe that in the first five years, it will be a net wash. We will cover operating, no income. After year five, is we expand the meters into the south part of the central waterfront, then we will start to make money on the project. Over the 20-year life span and the agreement, it's about \$6.7 million that we bring in net.

Leonard: Over and above the expenses?

Gardner: Over and above expense. The other question was, there was a question that commissioner Saltzman had about veterans access. We worked with ohsu and commissioner Saltzman's office. There's wording that will go into the i.g.a. commissioner --

Saltzman: I have an amendment.

Gardner: You have the amendment. Ok. To deal with that. And the other one. Oh. The question of commissioner Leonard had how we were going to monitor the ridership because of the 85-15 split. Everybody will have some type of a fare instrument which the tram operators require to record what that is. Whether he takes a ticket or it's an ohsu employee on their i.d. card, he counts. And then what we tend to do at the end of two years we haven't figured out exactly how long it will take but probably a two-week period we will take a random check. We would have independent people out there just surveying people as they get on, different from the operator --

Leonard: You mean literally we, the city?

June 7, 2006

Gardner: We and ohsu and how we are going to work this out with the e.m.c. We will have a small auditing group sitting there basically talking to riders and confirm that the information that the tram operator has been collecting is consistent with what we see at that time. So that we have an audit check. And that's both for ohsu's benefit and for ours in case there's errors either way.

Leonard: Thank you. Don, did you say \$6.7 million net over five years --

Gardner: No. Over 20.

Leonard: \$6.7 net over 20.

Gardner: I send out a spreadsheet.

Leonard: I didn't look at it.

Gardner: I know. But what we are looking at is in the first five years is we only have the central district which is about 122 parking spaces.

Leonard: How many?

Gardner: 192 on street parking spaces. We ran the numbers, using conservative numbers we used the city wide average, not the central core, ran those numbers out for the five and a half years which is the way the contract works. We have the tram operator, we have expense because when we bring the tram operator on now, that we need for the implementation, we have some expenses this year. Then we have annual expenses to run the tram. We are going to start doing meters, we hope, this summer is the intent because the curbs are now in so now we can start metering the area. That will give us the revenue. Over five years, we should break even. And I will be honest with you, my numbers come out that were in the hole \$106. Utilization --

Leonard: That's it? That's it? \$106.

Gardner: It's good enough. Year five --

Adams: I think his interpretation was a little different.

Potter: Could you get his visa number.

Adams: We gave him what we needed. [laughter]

Gardner: After that, though, we add more meters in the south district and then we start to generate revenue.

Leonard: The question I had was, the \$6.7 net over 20 how much growth -- how much is the gross amount that we will receive from the meters? If you calculated 6.7 net that's after the revenue is brought in, the expenses for the tram are paid. I'm trying to get an idea --

Gardner: We are looking at a gross revenue \$16 million.

Leonard: In 20 years?

Gardner: Over 20 years.

Leonard: Basically, 10.7 goes to the tram over that same period from the 16.7? Or 16? How much did you say? 16 another 20 years?

Gardner: Over 20 years, it's \$16, 717,000.

Leonard: Basically \$10 million of the revenue from the meters.

Gardner: Actually what happens is you have experts to run meters and signs and you have a lot of expense that goes with those. And let me see. Net operating expenses to run them for that long would be another \$12 million because you have to do signs and taking the spaces and you have things to do.

Leonard: Ok. So I get this right.

Gardner: The tram itself --

Leonard: Stop for one second. You have \$16 million in revenue. \$12 million goes to the function of operating the meters and maintaining them.

Gardner: That's a big spreadsheet. It's on two pages. I have to leave back and forth.

Leonard: I thought that's what you just said.

June 7, 2006

Gardner: I said we would look at a total operating revenue over 20 years of \$16.7 million. Ok. You have \$12 million in operating expense: \$5.6 million for the tram leaving you a net of \$6.7 million.

Leonard: You are going to have to walk me through that. Five point what for the tram?

Gardner: The tram total \$5.691.

Leonard: So apparently i'm missing something because if you have \$16 million in revenue, and you have \$12 million to capture that revenue and expenses and \$5.7 forever the tram that's \$17.7.

Gardner: I'm sorry. The \$12 million is net of operating expenses. You have 12 million four. Net of operating.

Leonard: Gotcha.

Gardner: Then \$5.61 for the tram operations. Leaving you a net of 6.7.

Leonard: Ok. That adds up.

*******:** Yeah. [laughter]

Gardner: If you read my spreadsheet it will add up. Getting me to answer is a little different.

Adams: He's making us do even better work.

Potter: Questions?

Saltzman: Yes, I did have an amendment I wanted to offer to the i.g.a. And let me pass that out that the point. You may remember two weeks ago I was raising the issue, more or less of a political concern that once the tram opened and veterans found out that they are not, the v.a. Hospital is not a contributor to ohsu's cost, of running the tram they would, in fact, have to pay a fare to use it we would be approached, the city, by veterans to do something about that. So I sat down with ohsu and don gardner, mark williams at ohsu, and we ultimately worked something out and I think we came to the realization this is a good and honorable thing to do, notwithstanding the short-term political concerns I had. But that was that's good thing to do. So we have this amendment to the i.g.a. basically says any american military veteran undergoing treatment at v.a. Hospital on marquam hill shall be admitted to the tram without fare and further that that head count should be counted towards ohsu's share of the operating expense it counts towards the 85% share many that's really what this does it also says the committee, the e.m.c. will work hard to get the v.a. Hospital on board to be more of a share of the cost of the tram but in the meantime when the tram opens veterans will ride free.

Adams: Second.

Potter: This is for the amendment.

Saltzman: Yes.

Potter: Yes.

Potter: Please call the vote.

Adams: Great job, dan. Aye.

Leonard: Well, we started voting so fast, I actually had a question.

Potter: About the amendment?

Leonard: Yes.

Potter: I'm sorry.

Leonard: I was sitting --

Adams: Late, late. Snooze you lose.

Leonard: It's a technical question. And that is, do we have the ability with the agreement that we have with ohsu to dictate who rides free and who doesn't? Apparently we do if we are making that amendment.

Saltzman: Well, ohsu has agreed to this.

Leonard: That makes it easier.

Saltzman: What was next?

Leonard: Nothing. That was it.

June 7, 2006

*******:** This becomes an amendment to the intergovernmental agreement so ohsu is totally on board.

Leonard: I missed that part. Aye.

Saltzman: Aye. **Sten:** Aye.

Potter: Aye. [gavel pounded]

Auerbach: Since you have amended it you have to bring it back for another second reading unless you make it an emergency.

Saltzman: We amend the i.g.a.

Auerbach: The ordinance says we will take an intergovernmental agreement substantially in conformance with the attached. This is just what we have agreed to change in the i.g.a. Council has now said it's substantially in conformance. Ohsu board has already approved it. We're there.

Potter: Are you still maintaining that, harry?

Auerbach: Go ahead and vote on it.

Adams: That was enthusiastic. [laughter]

Potter: Seems like we have the votes here. Shall I make it an emergency?

Auerbach: You can vote. You can vote on the second reading.

Potter: Call the vote.

Adams: So we are voting on the core.

Moore: On the ordinance as amended.

Adams: I want to thank don, o.m.f., the legal staff, art and rob for working on this. Legal ellis, everybody. I want to thank the city council for their good, tough questions of this issue. It's made us do even better work. Aye.

Leonard: Well, I appreciate the work commissioner Adams has put into this. I think he has been handed this issue to deal with and he's done the best with it that he possibly can. Die have some concerns about this agreement. And the concerns actually go to -- in the best I guess in the best of worlds I could vote for this because we would have enough revenue to pave east Portland streets that are unpaved, fix potholes in streets in southwest, and southeast outer southeast Portland, that need repair. We don't. We are a dramatically substandard in some neighborhoods in this city -- I don't know to tell don this. Don deals with this every day. In bringing resources so that people can just drive down a street. I have a hard time simultaneous with that agreeing to give money that would otherwise go to street repair to operate a tram that I think is a good thing. I voted for it two times here. But it's a good thing for ohsu, it serves ohsu, it will serve it well. But I think the agreement ought to read that any revenue they capture out of the fare box they keep totally to defray the cost. I would be ok with that but I also think they should pay the entire cost of operating the tram. And that would free up the revenue that is being generated in south waterfront then to do projects that, as we are having citizens who view across the river a wonderful tram, they are forced to drive on streets that haven't been paved because we don't have the money to pay it. And I can't justify that to our citizens. And I appreciate the hard work that's gone into this, but I can't support it. No.

Saltzman: Aye.

Sten: Aye.

Potter: Aye. [gavel pounded]

*******:** Thank you.

Potter: Thank you very much.

Adams: Thanks.

Potter: 11:00 a.m. Time certain.

Item 745.

Potter: We have a motion to accept the substitute ordinance.

Saltzman: So moved.

June 7, 2006

Leonard: Second.

Potter: There is a sign-up?

Moore: There may be.

Potter: Want to find out if there's anybody here to speak on this issue.

Leonard: I thought we were going to have staff. There is a staff presentation? I thought we talked about that last time.

Moore: We have four people who signed up to testify.

Potter: Please come forward. If you can keep your remarks as brief as possible we are going to be having commissioners leaving over the next 10 minutes and we'll lose the ability to vote on this.

Moore: Would margaret butler, maggie freedrich and john scott.

Potter: Please state your name.

Margaret Butler: I'm margaret butler, a fifth generation Oregonian, born and raised in a working class neighborhood in Portland. Director of Portland jobs with justice. Seems not long ago that I was here in 2001 when the first public private-private partnership was entered into. The jobs at the park were significantly down graded in terms of wages, working conditions, and a collective voice when the stadium changed hands. So I want to echo what was said in the earlier testimony about construction standards. Here's my snapshot view of the current situation. In my 30 years as a labor activist, standards for workers have continued to degrade. Wages, health care, pensions, union density has fallen to record lows due to concerted and successful attempt to take away the right for u.s. workers to freely engage in collective bargaining. In spite of all of our best efforts and continued work to turn around the downslide the attack on workers and their unions continues to mean growing economic inequality, more and more wealth 2349 hands of very few and a continued decline for the rest of us. For the last 15 years, jobs with justice has been building a movement to, that will eventually turn this around. One of the ways we do that is the living wage work. In 1994 as part of our support for the pony express organizing the teamsters did and those workers were so poor they were living in their cars, jobs with justice urged the city and county to do something about wages for city contracted workers. First fair wage contracting ordinance was passed in 1996, and improved several times and in 2001, the escalator was built into it. The intention was simple, that tax dollars not be spent paying poverty wages. The fair wage was never actually a living wage and especially not a family wage. The 10.28 an hour is close to what a single person needs to make basic needs. The latest job gap study for Oregon puts that number at \$10.77 for a single person. A family of one adult and two kids should be making over \$22 an hour to meet the livable wage standard. The whole of living wage work that the city is a very small step affecting only a small group of workers. But it's important that the city use all the leverage it has to stand with working people against the global forces that in the words of one corporate c.e.o. want to lower average wages in the u.s. To the levels of brazil and korea. Thank you for your help in resisting these forces and the stands you have taken for workers. It does make a difference. I urge you to vote yes.

Potter: Please proceed.

*******:** This is really new to me. But I feel real important being here. How important is this meeting to me?

Potter: Excuse me, ma'am --

Marilyn Freidrich: Marilyn freidrich. How important is this meeting to me? Six weeks ago six employees at the park planned a birthday trip to seattle for a couple of mariner games. Five left town this morning, but I felt compelled to stay and speak to you as I feel there is a light at the end of this tunnel. This costs me the price of my tickets, my share of the gas, and lodging expenses. My name is maggie freed rick. I am called maggie at the park. I am single, 74, and an employee of p.g.e. park. I have been at the park since 2001, hiring on at an acceptable wage with Portland family entertainment. In 2003, I had to take time off. I came back in the spring 2004 after hip

June 7, 2006

replacement surgery to find I had to reapply for my job. Pacific coast league had got involved in the park at that time. This cost me a pay cut of \$1 an hour which now was not acceptable. I was treated as a new employee, even if I did not quit my job, but had to be approved, I had approved time off. My role in the work force was nil. As I stayed home and raised eight children, building no social security benefits, so I have to work to supplement my monetary needs. What does this wage mean to me? It would ease every day costs that are ever, ever increasing. Well, when I quit if this does not happen here? No. I love the rewards of providing customer service to our guests so they leave the park having a good experience. Is it hard work? Yes. Standing on concrete four to six hours is not easy. You buy a good pair of shoes and you suck it up. Is our wage fair? No. Compare our little over minimum wage to metro ushers and gates, employees starting pay is \$10.37 an hour. Employees who started at P.g.e. Park after the 2001 renovation to the present have received no pay increase. Go back the last six years and see what your electric, phone, and water bills have increased. The costs of living has not come down in six years, why is it so hard for mayor Potter not to see this? Comments in "the Oregonian" last week said that he was not convinced this raise was necessary. Gas used to be \$1 a gallon. It's about \$3 a gallon now. Thank you for your time and hopefully you will for a few minutes put yourself into our shoes.

John Scott: My name is John Scott. And I'll be short. I've been employed as an usher at p.g.e. park since 2001 at an hourly wage of \$9.50. While this was a good family wage in 2001, it no longer is a wage that has kept up with inflation over the past five years or with the rising price of gasoline today. Also it has fallen below other wages in the city for comparable work. For instance metro pays its ushers \$10.37 an hour for work at Schnitzer and the Oregon convention center and they are due to receive an increase of 2.5% next month. I ask to you vote in favor of increasing our wages, reaccepted from the beginning of this baseball season so that we can continue to receive a fair living wage and be on a par with other workers in this city. Thank you.

Moore: Would Eliana Machuca.

Potter: Please state your name for the record.

Eliana Machuca: Eliana Machuca. Hello, mayor, commissioners many I am a Portland resident and organizer with jobs for justice and my position, we work in collaboration with community organizations workers and their representatives to secure a living wage, access to health care. The right to organize and favorable working conditions. Thank you for responding quickly last week to the proposal of pulling this item from the agenda indefinitely and ensuring that we were able to listen to this today. While talking with workers last week to let them know this issue would be on the agenda, I discovered that many more wanted to be here today but could not. One worker thought a petition would be a good way to let you know who wanted to be here but couldn't. In an email correspondence with this worker she decided not to circulate the petition so I will read that correspondence now. "I appreciate what you ask your organization are doing. I too am concerned with how management would react to my circulating a petition. I got some strange looks just passing out your flyers. No one said anything to me. Just a weird vibe for lack of a better term. With that in mind, I think it would be best for me not to circulate the petition today. Ideally, it would be nice if the city council was informed as to why many of us cannot attend the hearing. Most of us work at p.g.e. park as a second job. For myself, I work several part time jobs. I have to do this as a single mom to support my family. Thanks again forever your support. And a wage increase would be a great thing." This illustrates two things for me. That p.g.e. park continues to be a hostile place to work, but also that most people at p.g.e. Park work there, it's a second job and it's just to make ends meet. On behalf of -- actually, while these workers couldn't be here today their messages are similar and their request is the same. Please vote yes. This pay increase will help alleviate the burden of these working families. On behalf of jobs with justice I would like to encourage the city to make sure that the living wage ordinances that do exist in the city are working and to look where living wage ordinances need to be applied. One example is within p.g.e. Park

June 7, 2006

with the concessionaires who used to be covered but are no longer covered. So they're still earning pretty close to minimum wage and on a game day, the concessionaires are more than half of the work force at p.g.e. Park. And so -- it's not fair for workers to be balancing several low paid jobs just do make enough to survive. Every small stop gap measure we manage to take that says no to the erosion of wages and benefits makes a real difference for specific workers. Difference between eight and 10 is significant. That's why jobs where w-justice continues to raise the bottom wherever we can. We don't believe the city should be subsidizing wages. We believe employers in our community have the responsibility to be paying livable wages. But this directed wage program has been voted on several times. It will make a difference in people's lives. And maybe next time we can figure out a better solution than a city subsidy. Thank you.

Potter: Is that it?

Moore: That's all who signed up.

*****: Substituted. [inaudible]

Sten: Will an emergency clause be added to the substituted ordinance?

Leonard: Second.

Potter: We have to vote on that? [inaudible] this is a second reading of an non-emergency ordinance but you have amended it so by charter you have to have acknowledge emergency clause to it in case you can vote on it today or bring it back for --

Potter: We have to vote on whether we are adding the emergency.

Adams: We have the vote on the substituted.

*****: You have adopted the substitute.

Potter: This is just a vote --

*****: You want to amend the substitute to add an emergency clause.

Potter: Ok. This is just to make it an emergency clause. Period. Please call the roll.

Adams: Aye. **Leonard:** Aye. **Saltzman:** Aye. **Sten:** Aye.

Potter: Aye. [gavel pounded]

*****: Now you can vote on the ordinance.

Potter: Please call the vote.

Adams: Aye. **Leonard:** Aye.

Saltzman: Well, I want to thank everybody who worked on this so hard, particularly I no he nancy hamilton in the mayor's office got thrown into in by several of us commissioners saying we needed to see this come out and this is a good, fair, balanced package and I am glad to see it finally worked out. Aye.

Sten: I'm also glad to get here. I want to thank joe and jobs with justice and the community members who kept that attention on this. Because I think it would have slipped by otherwise to be honest. And I wanted to commit with the council to try and get this on the front end of the next round of the agreements so we doesn't have to do this again but I am glad that we're here. Aye.

Potter: Yes, I understand that. [laughter]

Adams: I was talking in your ear. I was worried.

Potter: Yes. I have to leave in a few minutes but I wanted to vote on this important issue. You can put it anyone your record and I am sure this is the only thing going in "the Oregonian" for me tomorrow I changed my mind on this. I think it has -- it's the merit has been proven. And that it's ok to say every once in a while you are wrong and in this case I was, and I think these folks deserve that. I do agree, however, with the commissioner Sten's statements from last week that the next renewal of this contract we have to change that so that that becomes part of the condition of the contract itself. So with that, I vote aye. [gavel pounded] commissioner Sten and I have to leave and so I am going to turn it over to good commissioner calms to finish up -- Adams to finish up.

Adams: Thank you, mayor. I think we are back on; 762. Would you please read --

Moore: I have 760 first.

June 7, 2006

Adams: We have 760. Ok. Purchasing report. Could you read the title.

Adams: If you could please leave the chamber so we can get on with our meeting we would be very grateful. Thank you.

Leonard: I want to point out, mayor Potter, you hadn't even left the room before commissioner Adams lost control. [laughter]

Potter: You may want to read that at some point.

Adams: And --

Moore: I didn't finish it. I'm sorry.

Adams: I'm getting it back in control. Would you turn off his mic and please read the title.

Adams: Mr. Baer.

Jeff Baer: Good morning, commissioner Adams, members of city council. I' jeff baer with the bureau of purchases. I will be real brief with this recommendation to award well 38 pump equipment on behalf of the water bureau to stettler imply. And we have representatives from the water bureau here to address any technical questions related to the project in case there are any questions to answer in that regard. They did have 12.5% emerging small business subcontractor participation and all lo that they didn't have any minority or women owned business participation, they did do a good job in their good faith effort to outreach and also we had also identified that one of the firms they were going to hire is owned by a native american and we actually are following up with them. They chose not to go through the certification process so we are working with them to see if we can help them become certified so in the future bids we can actually be a part of our good faith effort program.

Adams: Questions from council? Anyone signed up to testify on this?

Moore: I did not have a sign-up sheet.

Adams: Anyone in the room like to testify on this issue? I think because this is a purchasing report we fully vote.

Moore: Motion to accept the report.

Leonard: Move to accept.

Saltzman: Second.

Adams: Moved and seconded. Call the roll. Aye.

Leonard: Aye. **Saltzman:** Aye.

Adams: Passes. We are moving on to item 762, another i.g.a.

Item 762.

Adams: This is a non-emergency. Do we have any staff here to present? Do we have any questions that council would like answered for which there is no staff to provide answers? [laughter] are we comfortable based on -- I got your attention, didn't i? Are we comfortable with the information we have on hand to proceed with the vote?

Moore: It's a non-emergency.

Adams: Non-emergency. Are we comfortable moving it to a second reading?

Leonard: I am comfortable with that.

Adams: Item 763.

Item 763.

Adams: This is a second reading. Please call the roll. Aye.

Leonard: Aye. **Saltzman:** Aye.

Adams: Approved item 764.

Item 764.

Adams: Jeff.

Jeff Baer: Jeff baer with the bureau of purchases and this is a award of contracted kelly meters located in florida. And we did a competitive request for proposal process on this program. And one of the things that we were trying out or doing a little bit differently as opposed to going the low-bid

June 7, 2006

route was to do a competitive proposal process. And one of the outcomes of this is actually a partnership or a strategic alliance kelly has chose tone partner up with feather light industries. Many of you might know bruce feathers and he is on the small business advisory council and he has created this strategic alliance with kelly to provide a number of different items for local warehousing of parts and storing and maintaining spare meters. So I think overall this looks like a real positive step and we are looking forward to doing some additional so sollicitation to this in the future.

Adams: I want to thank ellis mccoys and, you jeff, and your team for putting that strategic partnership together. It's new and I think it's great. Do I have a motion to accept -- or oh. Anybody would like to testify? Purchasing report, I need to motion to accept.

Saltzman: So moved.

Leonard: Second.

Adams: Please call the roll. Aye.

Leonard: Aye. **Saltzman:** Aye.

Adams: I think that pushes us to 766.

Item 766.

Adams: A first reading? Any questions? Ellis, you want to give us a quick overview of this?

Ellis McCoy: Very quick. Ellis mccoys. Parking operations manager, transportation. Actually this is a purchase authorization request associated with an r.f.p. for annual supplies of sets for our smartmeters out on the street in addition to supplying the smartmeters we have designed the r.f.p. to include storage and distribution services. I personally felt that this was what a unique opportunity where we can get commercial useful functions for w.m.b.e., bruce feathers was mentioned before was the, had engaged in a strategic partnership with precise park link to actually store the receipts and ship them to us. So this is another one of those opportunities where they're getting a complete commercial use.

Adams: Any council questions of ellis?

Saltzman: One question.

McCoy: Yes.

Saltzman: I still cringe because these receipts are not recyclable. There is something, anything that can be done?

McCoy: Actually, there is something that can be done. I'm actually looking to explore that early on.

Leonard: I don't think you should say that here in front of commissioner Saltzman.

McCoy: I'm looking into that, yes. There is an alternative for the receipts that we are using. Our, the receipts we are currently using are fairly unique and the reason we are using them because we have the ability to paste them on the windshield so they can be easily seen and enforced. There's actually a method to do that with the standard receipts. Actually, we can issue some see through envelopes that can affix to the windshield that can stay there for customers and you can use the standard receipt that is recyclable.

Adams: We looked into glue sticks.

McCoy: It's not actually a glue stick type of thing. I am looking at alternatives to that.

Saltzman: Those can be incorporated over time into this?

McCoy: Yes, yes. Actually, the manufacturer that we are actually dealing with here provides this type of solution.

Saltzman: Ok. Great. Appreciate it.

Adams: This is a nonemergency. So with council support we will move to second reading. That moves us to a second reading vote on item 767.

Moore: We already did that.

Adams: That's about how good we are. We already did it.

June 7, 2006

Leonard: I think my vote would change the outcome on this.

Adams: We're not voting on it.

Items 768, 759, 770 and 771.

David Solos: David solos with the office of cable communications and franchise management and this item and the next three are all routine items, all of these oil pipeline franchises were granted over a period of about 10 years, approximately 10 to 20 years ago. We are trying to standardize them. Doing that takes longer than we thought so we would like this extension until december for all four of these franchises.

Adams: Questions from council. Unless objection we will move to second reading. You have to call -- you have to read the other two. Right?

Moore: Yes.

Adams: On to second reading. By my calculations that's the end of our agenda. Is that your?

Moore: That's all I have.

Adams: Council is here by recessed until -- we are recessed until next wednesday. Thank you. We are adjourned.

At 11:34 a.m., Council adjourned.