

IMPACT STATEMENT

Legislation title: Direct the Bureau of Development Services, in coordination with Portland Parks and Recreation, to conduct stakeholder engagement, analysis, and develop a proposal to address recommendations made by the Planning and Sustainability Commission and Urban Forestry Commission, regarding Trees in Development Situations (PCC 11.50) (Resolution)

Contact name: Emily Sandy, BDS Code & Policy Development

Contact phone: 503-823-7828

Presenter name: Kimberly Tallant, BDS Land Use Services Division Manager

Purpose of proposed legislation and background information:

- Portland City Code (PCC) Chapter 11.50, Trees in Development Situations, regulates, in part, tree removal and tree preservation associated with development projects including when tree preservation is required, and what mitigation is required when certain trees are not preserved.
- In September and October of 2019, Planning and Sustainability Commission (PSC) and Urban Forestry Commission (UFC) heard a proposal to extend the sunset date of the amendments adopted in Ordinance 187675 from December 31, 2019 to December 31, 2021.
- During the hearings for the proposal, both the PSC and the UFC commission made additional recommendations. The additional recommendations pertain to removal of an exemption for tree preservation regulations for certain zones and to a reduction in a critical tree size threshold for some regulations.
- However, there was no stakeholder engagement, analysis or notification of the additional recommendations prior to the PSC and UFC hearings. It is not feasible to complete appropriate stakeholder engagement, analysis, and notification regarding the additional recommendations without sufficient time and resources.
- This Resolution therefore directs the Bureau of Development Services, in coordination with Portland Parks & Recreation Urban Forestry, to pursue a future project with appropriate stakeholder engagement and analysis to examine the additional recommendations made by the PSC and the UFC and return to Council at a later date. The resolution also directs both bureaus to submit budget requests as part of the FY 19-20 Spring BMP.

Financial and budgetary impacts:

There are no financial or budgetary impacts at this time. There may be a future budget impacts if funds are allocated to complete work on the project directed by the Resolution. However, this will be proposed and evaluated during the FY 19-20 Spring BMP budget process.

Community impacts and community involvement:

Work directed by the Resolution will involve a community engagement component where interests from different communities of people are considered. Solutions will be evaluated for alignment with goals the priorities of the City and the purpose of Title 11.

The Resolution, directing future work on recommendations brought forth by the Planning and Sustainability Commission and Urban Forestry Commission, addresses concerns from the community that appropriate engagement and analysis on the subject matter has not been conducted nor fully vetted through the legislative process to-date.

100% Renewable Goal:

This action does not increase or decrease the City's total energy use or renewable energy use.

Budgetary Impact Worksheet

Does this action change appropriations?

- ☐ **YES:** Please complete the information below.
☒ **NO:** Skip this section

Fund	Fund Center	Commitment Item	Functional Area	Funded Program	Grant	Sponsored Program	Amount



Memorandum

Date: August 23, 2019

To: Planning and Sustainability Commission
Urban Forestry Commission
Interested Parties

From: Emily Sandy, Analyst II Bureau of Development Services
(503) 823-7828, Emily.sandy@portlandoregon.gov

Re: **Proposed Draft for Amendments to Title 11, Trees, Chapter 11.50, Trees in Development Situations, Tree Preservation Requirements for Private Trees.**

In 2016, in response to concern about large trees being removed in development situations in Portland neighborhoods, amendments to Title 11, Trees, were developed to strengthen regulations pertaining to tree preservation, especially preservation of large sized trees, **in development situations on private property.**

On April 13, 2016 City Council approved the amendments to Title 11, Trees. The amendments became effective on **May 13, 2016**. Those 2016 amendments to Title 11 are summarized as follows:

1. Continued to require that at least 1/3 of non-exempt trees be preserved or pay a fee in-lieu of preservation, regardless of tree size. Added requirement that all non-exempt trees 36 inches or larger in diameter be preserved or pay a fee in-lieu of preservation.
2. Amended the fee in-lieu of preservation as follows:
 - a. For removal of non-exempt trees with a diameter of 12 inches or larger, but less than 36 inches, a graduated scale is used to determine the payment amount. Based on the current fee schedule, the fees are \$1,800-\$3,600 per tree removed.
 - b. For removal of non-exempt trees with a diameter of 36 inches or larger, an "inch-per-inch mitigation" payment is required. Based on the current fee schedule, fees start at \$16,220 for removal of a 36-inch diameter tree and increase at \$450 per additional diameter inch of tree removed.
3. Required applicants to post a notice on-site and send a notice to the neighborhood association and district coalition 45 days prior to development permit issuance for removal of non-exempt trees 36 inches in diameter or larger.
4. Exempted certain affordable housing projects, as defined by the Portland Housing Bureau, from the fee in-lieu of preservation for removal of non-exempt trees 36" in diameter or larger.
5. Clarified that trees on private property that are impacted by a capital improvement project are regulated the same as a City or Street Tree, not as Private Trees.
6. Included a sunset date of December 31, 2019.

The Bureau of Development Services is proposing to retain the amendments and extend the sunset date an additional 2 years until December 31, 2021.

Please see the attached commentary and proposed code language. The proposed amendments will be heard by the Planning and Sustainability Commission on September 24, 2019, and by the Urban Forestry Commission on October 17, 2019. Urban Forestry Commission may consider the input of the Planning and Sustainability Commission. Each body will make a recommendation to City Council.

**Proposed Amendments to Title 11, Trees, Chapter 11.50,
Trees in Development Situations. Tree Preservation Requirements**

CONTENTS

COMMENTARY.....	2
PROJECT BACKGROUND.....	2
POLICY CONTEXT.....	4
PROPOSAL	5
 PROPOSED CODE CHANGE.....	 9

ATTACHMENTS: Ordinance 187675 and Exhibits, April 13, 2016

COMMENTARY

PROJECT BACKGROUND

In 2016, due to public concern about large trees being removed in development situations in Portland neighborhoods, BDS, together with Portland Parks & Recreation (PP&R) proposed to amend Title 11, Trees, to strengthen development regulations pertaining to tree preservation, especially preservation of large trees, on private property.

Over 2015 and 2016 Tree Code Oversight Advisory Committee began formulating concepts for addressing some issues identified with Title 11 and its implementation, including issues related to the preservation of large sized trees. The concepts were intended to inform a larger, comprehensive package of Title 11 code amendments. In November of 2016, due to acute public concern about the removal of large trees in development situations, the Tree Code Oversight Advisory Committee and the Development Review Advisory Committee, as well as the Urban Forestry Commission, were asked to review a draft proposal from PP&R to create a greater disincentive for removal of especially large trees. The proposal was intended to be a narrowly-focused, quick amendment to address the situation of larger sized trees specifically, with the intent to make further amendments regarding this issue as part of a future more comprehensive update to Title 11. As of August 2019, a comprehensive update to Title 11 is not funded or anticipated.

After hearings and recommendations by the Planning and Sustainability Commission and the Urban Forestry Commission, City Council adopted amendments to Title 11 summarized as follows:

1. Continued to require that at least 1/3 of non-exempt trees be preserved or pay a fee in-lieu of preservation, regardless of tree size. Added requirement that all non-exempt trees 36 inches or larger in diameter be preserved or pay a fee in-lieu of preservation.

2. Amended the fee in-lieu of preservation as follows:
 - a. For removal of non-exempt trees with a diameter of 12 inches or larger, but less than 36 inches, a graduated scale is used to determine the payment amount. Based on the current fee schedule, the fees are \$1,800-\$3,600 per tree removed.
 - b. For removal of non-exempt trees with a diameter of 36 inches or larger, an "inch-per-inch mitigation" payment is required. Based on the current fee schedule, fees start at \$16,220 for removal of a 36-inch diameter tree and increase at \$450 per additional diameter inch of tree removed.
3. Required applicants to post a notice on-site and send a notice to the neighborhood association and district coalition 45 days prior to development permit issuance for removal of non-exempt trees 36 inches in diameter or larger.
4. Exempted certain affordable housing projects, as defined by the Portland Housing Bureau, from the fee in-lieu of preservation for removal of non-exempt trees 36" in diameter or larger. This item was added during the City Council hearing process.
5. Included a sunset date of December 31, 2019 for items 1-4.

There is some data that indicate the amendments have been effective at encouraging preservation of larger diameter trees. However, the Urban Forestry Commission has indicated a desire to further examine the effectiveness of the amendments at achieving this goal, as well as other research, before making them permanent, amending them, or letting them sunset. BDS and PP&R concur with this assessment, but notes that BDS or PP&R work on further analysis may require provision of resources if staff is to support this effort.

The Bureau of Development Services now proposes to extend the sunset date for the 2016 amendments described above from December 31, 2019 to December 31, 2021.

POLICY CONTEXT

Purpose of Title 11

The adopted purpose statement for the Chapter that was amended, 11.50, Trees in Development Situations, is as follows:

11.50.010. Purpose: The regulations of this Chapter support and complement other City development requirements, with a focus on achieving baseline tree preservation and total tree capacity on a site, considering the anticipated use and level of development. This Chapter regulates the removal, protection and planting of trees through the development process to encourage development, where practicable, to incorporate existing trees, particularly high quality or larger trees and groves, into the site design, to retain sufficient space to plant new trees, and to ensure suitable tree replacement when trees are removed. It is the intent of these provisions to lessen the impact of tree removal and to ensure mitigation when tree preservation standards are not met.

The amendments do not prohibit or prevent development envisioned by the comprehensive plan, recognizing that the urban forest is dynamic and evolving. Development is allowed to continue, but there is a greater incentive to preserve larger sized trees, whose ecological value is difficult to replace, where possible. When trees are removed, new trees will be planted.

Tree Preservation Applicability and Exemptions

Tree preservation standards are triggered when there are any trees over 12 inches in diameter that are not dead, dying, dangerous, or a nuisance species, and where ground disturbance projects into the root protection zone of any of those trees. However, tree preservation does not apply in some situations, including locations within some of the higher intensity Commercial, Industrial and Employment Zones (but not all of those zones), on sites less than 5,000 square feet in area, or on sites with 85% building coverage or more. Within those parameters tree preservation requirements are triggered for all project types and sizes; not only new development projects and not only new residential development projects. This could include a new commercial development, a new apartment complex, re-development of a site with a single dwelling unit, a new accessory dwelling unit (ADU), a detached garage on a lot with an existing single dwelling unit, or a small kitchen or family room addition to an existing dwelling.

PROPOSAL

The 2016 amendments that are set to sunset on December 31, 2019 fell into 4 categories:

- 1. Amended the general tree preservation mitigation that applies to 1/3 of the non-exempt trees on the site over 12 inches in diameter.** Previously, all non-exempt trees are treated the same in terms of both preservation priority and mitigation fees. All non-exempt trees were uniformly subject to the 1/3 preservation requirement, regardless of size. An applicant could choose to remove the largest sized trees over the smallest sized trees with no differentiation in fees paid-in-lieu.

The mitigation requirement adopted in 2016 is more responsive to the size of the tree not designated to be preserved and protected. The amendment includes tiers of tree sizes and corresponding mitigation, expressed in the number of two-inch diameter replacement trees required. For especially large diameter trees (36 inches or greater in diameter), the mitigation fee is based on the cost of planting that number of two-inch diameter replacement trees, as stated on the annually adopted Urban Forestry fee schedule. The rate is currently \$450.00 per inch.

Size of Tree Removed (inches in diameter)	Required Mitigation	Associated Cost
At least 12 and less than 20	The cost of (2) two-inch diameter replacement trees	\$1800
At least 20 and less than 36	The cost of (4) two-inch diameter replacement trees	\$3600
At least 36 or more	The cost per inch of tree removed	\$16,200 and up

Trees that are 20-36 inches in diameter are more valuable from an ecological perspective than those below 20 inches. The focus of the amendment in 2016 was primarily on the especially large diameter trees (36 or greater in diameter), though there was a smaller financial incentive to preserve the trees 20-36 or greater in diameter over those with less than 20 inches in diameter. To-date, no data has been reviewed to evaluate the effectiveness of this graduated scale for the lowest two tiers. More time will be necessary to evaluate whether the current graduated scale is effective at incentivizing the preservation of trees 20 inches or more in diameter over the smaller diameter trees, or whether the tree diameter thresholds and/or mitigation requirements should be adjusted.

- 2. Provided an additional disincentive for the removal of especially large trees by requiring mitigation for all non-exempt trees 36 inches or greater in diameter and larger on the site that are not designated to be preserved and protected.** As noted in #1 above, for especially large diameter trees (36 inches or greater), there is an added disincentive for removal of these trees. First, the amendment does not subject non-exempt trees 36" or greater in diameter to only the 1/3 preservation requirement; preservation is required for ALL non-exempt trees 36 inches or greater in diameter. Therefore, if an applicant is choosing what trees to preserve on their site, they are

incentivized to choose the larger diameter tree over the smaller diameter tree. Second, the fee in lieu of preservation is greater, using an inch-per-inch methodology based on the current per-inch fee determined by the annually adopted Urban Forestry fee schedule. Currently, the fee begins at \$16,200 and goes up accordingly as the diameter size of the tree increases.

Select Data: Post-Amendment and Pre-Amendment

36" or Larger Trees Removed and Preserved

	Pre-Amendment (2015)	Post-Amendment (Average/Year)	%Change
# Non-exempt 36" + Trees Removed:	42	15	-64%
#36" + Trees Preserved	91	156	71%

Issued Permits and Fees In-Lieu Collected

	Pre-Amendment (2015)	Post-Amendment (Average/Year)	%Change
# Issued Permits	6,843	6,588	-4%
Fee In Lieu of Preservation Revenue	\$200,400	\$462,376	131%

Data suggests the amendment has been effective at encouraging large diameter trees (36 inches or greater in diameter). The number of large diameter trees preserved increased by 71% while the number of trees large diameter trees removed decreased by 64%. At the same time, the fees in lieu of preservation collected more than doubled, despite the number of permits remaining relatively consistent. However, currently there is no data collected to discern why more large diameter trees have been preserved. In some cases, especially on larger sites, preservation of the larger diameter trees may not be the result of a choice between paying the fee in lieu of preservation or designing the project to retain the tree. On larger sites, larger diameter trees may be far away from the development site and so their likelihood of preservation (or removal) would not have been as affected by the proposed development. An extension of the sunset date will provide an opportunity to examine project sites and determine how effective the amendment is at encouraging preservation of larger diameter sites where the tree is affected by the proposed development.

3. **Added a notification requirement prior to development permit issuance when non-exempt trees 36 inches or greater in diameter are not designated to be preserved and protected.** Previously, there was no notification requirement for any tree removal in any development situation. The 2016 amendment requires that a notice must be posted on-site and sent to the neighborhood association and district coalition when a tree 36 inches or greater in diameter is proposed for removal. The notice must be posted by the property owner or their designee on the site for a minimum of 45 calendar days. The development permit will not be issued until the posting notification period is completed. The informational notice is intended to alert neighbors to the plans for tree removal and provide for an opportunity for interested parties to contact the property owner or their designee about alternate plans for the tree(s) in question. It does not initiate a formal public comment period to the City about the pending development permit, or to provide an opportunity to appeal the issuance of the pending development permit.

Anecdotally, applicants conduct their notification prior to permit application or are informed of the requirement at application intake. If completed before or shortly after permit submittal, the timeline does not have an impact on the timeframe for permit issuance as other bureau and department reviews happen concurrently with the notification period and generally the permits are not issued within 45 days of intake for other reasons. The exception is for priority projects identified by the Mayor, in particular for Portland Housing Bureau and other affordable housing projects, where the goal for permit issuance is sometimes shorter than that. It would be useful to know whether the notification requirement does or does not affect the overall timeline for permit issuance. It would also be useful to know if the notification requirement is effective at reaching community members to alert them of pending tree removal.

4. **Added an exemption for certain affordable housing sites from the fee in lieu of preservation for non-exempt trees 36 inches or greater in diameter.** This item was added during the City Council hearing process. When projects meet the criteria used by the Portland Housing Bureau to be exempt from System Development Charges (SDCs), they are also exempt from the fee in lieu of preservation for large diameter trees. The fees in lieu of preservation for trees less than 36" still apply.

Since the amendment was adopted, 8 trees on 3 sites utilized this exemption. There were 156 affordable housing sites eligible for the SDC exemption. Though the reach of the amendment does not appear far-reaching, further analysis should be done to determine the effects of this waiver on low-income and underserved residents, if there are other examples where City code is effectively waived for certain affordable housing sites, and, if so, to what extent they are waived. This information will be valuable in order to make a recommendation that is consistent with the goals of the City's plans, policies, and overall regulatory approach.

STAFF PROPOSAL

Although the 2016 amendments passed in Ordinance 187675 did not include a directive to monitor and report back on the amendments, there are some remaining questions that may be answered before presenting a proposal to make permanent, amend, or rescind the amendments. Specifically,

- More time will be necessary to evaluate whether the current graduated scale is effective at incentivizing the preservation of trees 20 inches or more in diameter over the smaller diameter trees, or whether the tree diameter thresholds and/or mitigation requirements should be adjusted.
- An extension of the sunset date will provide an opportunity to examine project sites and determine how effective the amendment is at encouraging preservation of larger diameter trees where the tree is affected by the proposed development.
- It would be useful to know whether the notification requirement does or does not affect the overall timeline for permit issuance. It would also be useful to know if the notification requirement is effective at reaching community members to alert them of pending tree removal.
- Further analysis should be done to determine the effects of this waiver on low-income and underserved residents and if there are other examples where City code is effectively waived for certain affordable housing sites, and, if so, to what extent they are waived. This information will be valuable in order to make a recommendation that is consistent with the City's plans, policies, and overall regulatory approach.

Therefore, at this time, BDS proposes to extend the sunset date for the 2016 amendments described above from December 31, 2019 to December 31, 2021.

PROPOSED CODE LANGUAGE

CHAPTER 11.50

TREES IN DEVELOPMENT SITUATIONS

Sections:

- 11.50.010 Purpose. [No Change]
- 11.50.020 When a Tree Plan is Required. [No Change]
- 11.50.030 Development Impact Area Option for Large Sites and Streets. [No Change]
- 11.50.040 Tree Preservation Standards.
- 11.50.050 On-Site Tree Density Standards. [No Change]
- 11.50.060 Street Tree Planting Standards. [No Change]
- 11.50.070 Tree Plan Submittal Requirements. [No Change]
- 11.50.080 Changes to Approved Tree Plans and Emergency Tree Removal. [No Change]

11.50.040 Tree Preservation Standards.

(Amended by Ordinance Nos. 187675, 188278, 188816, 188959 and 189078, effective July 18, 2018.)

- A. [No Change]
- B. [No Change]
- C. Tree Preservation Requirement. Any trees preserved shall be protected in accordance with the specifications in Section 11.60.030. The regulations for Private Trees in Subsection 11.50.040-C.1. sunset after December 31, 2019~~21~~. After December 31, 2019~~21~~ the regulations in effect will be those in effect on January 1, 2015.
 - 1. Private Trees.
 - a. General tree preservation.
 - (1) Retention. An applicant shall preserve and protect at least 1/3 of the non-exempt trees 12 inches and larger in diameter located completely or partially on the development site, unless mitigation occurs per Subsection 11.50.040 C.1.a.(2) below. Retaining trees at least 6 and less than 12 inches in diameter that are documented in a report prepared by an arborist or landscape professional to be Garry Oak (*Quercus garryana*), Pacific Madrone (*Arbutus menziesii*), Pacific Yew (*Taxus brevifolia*), Ponderosa Pine (*Pinus ponderosa*), or Western Flowering Dogwood (*Cornus nuttallii*) species are not included in the total count of trees on the site but may be used toward meeting the preservation standard.

- (2) Mitigation. For each tree not preserved and protected below the 1/3 requirement, payment to the Tree Planting and Preservation Fund is required as shown in Table 50-1. The fee is calculated using the per-inch Restoration Fee for Tree Removal in the adopted fee schedule for Title 11. In cases where more than one tree is proposed for removal in excess of that allowed by Subsection 11.50.040 C.1.a.(1), the mitigation payment required to meet the 1/3 retention standard is based on the largest tree or trees proposed for removal.

Table 50-1
Required Mitigation

Size of Tree Removed (inches in diameter)	Required Mitigation
At least 12 and less than 20	The cost of (2) two-inch diameter replacement trees
At least 20 and less than 36	The cost of (4) two-inch diameter replacement trees
At least 36 or more	The cost per inch of tree removed

b. Preservation of trees 36 inches or greater.

- (1) Retention. An applicant shall preserve and protect all non-exempt trees 36 inches in diameter or greater located completely or partially on the development site, unless mitigation and notice occurs per Subsections 11.50.040 C.1.b.(2) and 11.50.040 C.1.b.(3), below. Retention or mitigation of these trees may also be used to meet the standards for general tree preservation in Subsection 11.50.040 C.1.a. above.
- (2) Mitigation. For each tree 36 or more inches in diameter not preserved and protected, payment to the Tree Planting and Preservation Fund is required as shown in Table 50-1. The fee is calculated using the per-inch Restoration Fee for Tree Removal in the adopted fee schedule for Title 11.
- (3) Notice. If a tree 36 inches or greater in diameter is not preserved and protected as allowed by Subsection 11.50.040 C.1.b.(2) above, the property owner or the property owner's representative must post a notice on the site and send a notice to the recognized Neighborhood Association and District Coalition in which the site is located. The notices are for notification purposes only. The notices do not provide for public comment on the proposal or for appeal of the proposal. The property owner or the property owner's representative must provide a signed certification to the Bureau of

Development Services that a notice was posted on the site and a notice was sent to the Neighborhood Association and District Coalition. The development permit may not be issued until the business day following the day the notification period is completed.

- (a) The posted notice must:
 - (i) Be posted on the site for at least 45 calendar days prior to development permit issuance;
 - (ii) Be posted within 10 feet of the street lot line nearest the tree or trees to be removed;
 - (iii) Include the date of posting and the date of the end of the notification period;
 - (iv) Include a site plan at least 8.5 x 11 inches in size showing the location and description of the trees(s) to be removed including diameter inch size(s); and
 - (v) Include contact information for the property owner or the property owner's representative.
- (b) The notices to the Neighborhood Association and District Coalition must:
 - (i) Be e-mailed or mailed to the Neighborhood Association and District Coalition using the contact information maintained by the Office of Community & Civic Life. If mailed, the notice must be sent via certified or registered mail. The date of the e-mail or the mailing must be at least 45 calendar days prior to development permit issuance;
 - (ii) Include a description of the trees(s) to be removed including diameter inch size(s); and
 - (iii) Include contact information for the property owner or the property owner's representative.
- (4) Exemption of tree preservation mitigation payments for affordable housing developments. Projects are exempt from the mitigation requirements in Subsection 11.50.040 C.1.b.(2) if the development will be an affordable housing development approved for system

development charge exemptions under Section 30.01.095. The amount of the mitigation exemption shall be pro-rated to a percentage equal to the percentage of dwelling units on the development site that are approved for the systems development charge exemption in Section 30.01.095. The Director of the Portland Housing Bureau may adopt administrative rules for the administration of Subsection 11.50.040 C.1.b.(4).

- c. Exception for Capital Improvement Projects. Trees on private property that are part of a capital improvement project and within the development impact area are regulated as City and Street Trees.

2. City and Street Trees.

[No change]



Bureau of Planning and Sustainability
Innovation. Collaboration. Practical Solutions.

Portland Planning and Sustainability Commission

Katherine Schultz, Chair

Chris Smith, Vice Chair
Jeff Bachrach
Ben Bortolazzo
Mike Houck
Katie Larsell

Eli Spevak, Vice Chair
Oriana Magnera
Daisy Quiñonez
Steph Routh
Akasha Lawrence Spence

October 10, 2019

Mayor Ted Wheeler and Members of Portland City Council
Portland City Hall
1221 SW Fourth Ave
Portland, OR 97204

Dear Mayor Wheeler and City Commissioners:

On September 24, 2019, the Planning and Sustainability Commission (PSC) held a public hearing on a proposed amendment to Title 11, Trees, Tree Preservation in Development Situations (PCC 11.50.040). The proposal was to extend the sunset date of certain regulations for tree preservation of private trees, particularly large diameter trees, in development situations from December 31, 2019 to December 31, 2021 to allow for additional analysis and outreach. The amendments were originally passed in 2016 (ORD 187685) and are summarized as follows:

1. Continued to require that at least 1/3 of non-exempt trees be preserved or pay a fee in-lieu of preservation, regardless of tree size. Added requirement that all non-exempt trees 36 inches or larger in diameter be preserved or pay a fee in-lieu of preservation.
2. Amended the fee in-lieu of preservation as follows:
 - a. For non-exempt trees with a diameter of 12 inches or larger, but less than 36 inches, adopted a graduated scale to determine the amount of the fee-in-lieu of preservation. Based on the current fee schedule, the fees are \$1,800-\$3,600 per tree.
 - b. For non-exempt trees with a diameter of 36 inches or larger, adopted an "inch-per-inch mitigation" fee-in-lieu of preservation. Based on the current fee schedule, fees start at \$16,220 for removal of a 36-inch diameter tree and increase at \$450 per additional diameter inch.
3. Required applicants to post a notice on-site and send a notice to the neighborhood association and district coalition 45 days prior to development permit issuance when non-exempt trees 36 inches in diameter or larger are not designated to be preserved.
4. Exempted certain affordable housing projects, as defined by the Portland Housing Bureau, from the fee in-lieu of preservation for non-exempt trees 36" in diameter or larger.
5. Included a sunset date of December 31, 2019.



City of Portland, Oregon | Bureau of Planning and Sustainability | www.portlandoregon.gov/bps
1900 SW 4th Avenue, Suite 7100, Portland, OR 97201 | phone: 503-823-7700 | fax: 503-823-7800 | tty: 503-823-6868

Printed on 100% post-consumer waste recycled paper.

Comments

1. The Commission recognizes there is some data that indicates the regulations have improved tree preservation of 36-inch and larger diameter trees. However, as staff noted, further analysis could be done, including on the effect on equitable outcomes, the impact on other City priorities, and whether the amendments are effective at preserving mid-size and large-sized trees. Members of the Commission noted specifically that a strong equity component should be part of any future work.
2. The Commission received testimony regarding the urgency of strengthening the Tree Code, particularly in light of the effects of climate change. Oral and written testimony identified removing or modifying exemptions from tree preservation requirements in development situations, effectively increasing the scope of those regulations, as one avenue toward strengthening the tree code.
3. In addition to the initial proposal, the Commission deliberated specifically on removing the exemption for tree preservation in development situations for certain industrially and commercially zoned sites (those in IH, IG1, CX and EX). Though staff has not done a thorough analysis to confirm, members of the commission noted that commercial and industrial lands often abut neighborhoods with lower income residents and communities of color – such that loss of tree canopy in these zones would have disproportionate impacts. The Commission also acknowledged that this change was not publicized, and therefore any analysis, including effects on buildable lands inventory, and full range of testimony was not provided. In part to acknowledge these concerns, the Commission voted to recommend removing this exemption, also with a sunset date of December 31, 2021. This will provide for a baseline for analysis, and additional time for analysis and outreach.
4. The Commission urges City Council to fully fund further work on these amendments and potentially other amendments, including a full scoping effort, appropriate data analysis and a focus on equity.
5. Finally, the Commission notes that, in 2016, PSC voted to apply the initial amendments to street trees (trees in the right-of-way), as well as private trees. This was not adopted by City Council. The Commission would like any future work on Title 11 to consider application of these standards to Street Trees.



Recommendation

The Portland Planning and Sustainability Commission recommends that City Council:

1. Amend the Tree Code (Title 11) to extend the sunset date of those certain regulations regarding tree preservation of private trees in development situations, identified above, from December 31, 2019 to December 31, 2021, as shown in Exhibit A.
2. Amend the Tree Code (Title 11) to remove the exemption for tree preservation in development situations for sites zoned IH, IG1, EX and CX (11.50.040.B.1) with the removal of the exemption to sunset on December 31, 2021, as shown in Exhibit A.

Sincerely,



Eli Spevak
Vice Chair

Cc: Urban Forestry Commission



City of Portland, Oregon | Bureau of Planning and Sustainability | www.portlandoregon.gov/bps
1900 SW 4th Avenue, Suite 7100, Portland, OR 97201 | phone: 503-823-7700 | fax: 503-823-7800 | tty: 503-823-6868

Printed on 100% post-consumer waste recycled paper.



PORTLAND PARKS & RECREATION™

Healthy Parks, Healthy Portland

October 21, 2019

Mayor Ted Wheeler and Members of Portland City Council
Portland City Hall
1221 SW Fourth Ave
Portland, OR 97204

Dear Mayor Wheeler and City Commissioners:

On October 17, 2019 the Urban Forestry Commission (UFC or Commission) held a public hearing to consider an urgent amendment to Title 11, our Tree Code. The amendment is for extending the sunset date of tree preservation requirements in development situations (11.50.040.C) from December 31, 2019 to December 31, 2021. These preservation requirements were originally approved by City Council in 2016 (ORD 187685). Our hearing was subsequent to similar approvals by the Bureau of Development Services (BDS), and the Planning and Sustainability Commission (PSC).

The Commission also considered a recommendation by the PSC to remove the exemption from tree preservation requirements in development situations for industrially and commercially zoned sites (IH, IG1, CX and EX).

Following a briefing by City staff, public testimony, and Commission discussion, we approved two separate motions:

- 1) The Commission recommends the following amendments to Title 11. These recommendations are consistent with those approved by the PSC:
 - a. Amend Title 11 to extend the sunset date of those certain regulations regarding tree preservation for private trees in development situations, identified above, from December 31, 2019 to December 31, 2021; and
 - b. Amend Title 11 to remove the exemption for tree preservation in development situations for sites zoned IH, IG1, EX and CX (11.50.040.B.1) with the removal of the exemption to sunset on December 31, 2021
- 2) The Commission recommends that, should a climate emergency be declared by City Council, the following code amendments shall be effective for the duration of the declaration:
 - a. The sunset clause regarding tree preservation for private trees in development situations (11.50.040.C) shall be removed; and
 - b. The 36" DBH threshold for inch-for-inch mitigation shall be reduced to 20" DBH (Table 50-1); and
 - c. The 36" DBH threshold for required preservation or fee-in-lieu payment shall be reduced to 20" DBH. (11.50.040.C.1.b)

These recommendations have the support of public testimonial letters and discussions held during the last UFC meeting. The Commission was in full agreement that trees provide

Urban Forestry Commission
1120 SW 5th Avenue, Suite 1302, Portland, Oregon 97204
Phone 503-823-TREE (8733) | Fax 503-823-4493



multiple ecosystem services, including climate comfort, clean air, wildlife habitat, genetic resources, cultural and social values. In addition, the additional time will allow the Commission to evaluate the potential implications of the code and develop an equitable solution before the next sunset date.

The public also expects that all zone classes follow the same regulations regarding Title 11 to maintain the distribution of ecosystem services along the city. Additional testimony by the PSC underscored the importance of social equity in removing current exemptions for sites zoned IH, IG1, EX and CX because many abut lower income households, who face the harshest effects of increasing temperatures and persistent urban flooding – both climate-induced events that are expected to rise in frequency and intensity over the coming years.

In 2015, before the sunset amendment, BPS data show that trees between 20-29” represented 34% of the canopy loss. Reducing the fee-in-lieu payment to 20” DBH allows smaller trees to grow and increase their contributions to urban forestry services.

Since Portland has a goal to increase urban tree canopy to 33% by 2030, the UFC recommendations meet the Climate Action Plan through ensuring its Equity goals, which include to expand tree preservation programs, provide an equitable distribution of canopy over the city, and integrate ecosystem services in land use, prioritizing historical and current underinvested areas. These changes will have no net impact on City budgets and will have the additional benefit of ensuring consistent application of Title 11 across all zones.

With this additional time, consistency of applying Title 11, and reduction of the loss of tree canopy, Portland can increase its resilience to future climate pressures, which other PNW cities, such as Seattle¹² and Vancouver³, are now struggling address. Reducing the threshold for required preservation or fee-in-lieu, and inch-per-inch mitigation, to 20” DBH creates an additional requirement to save the trees that will provide ecosystem services to future generations and perpetuate environmental values.

The press for a climate emergency has been repeatedly requested on the UFC meetings by local groups, during the public comments. Young generations and East Portland residents are the most vulnerable to a climate crisis⁴. We request that you approve both motions and help to secure a safe, equitable, and resilient Portland for current and future generations.

Sincerely,



Vivek Shandas, UFC Chair

¹ <https://www.seattle.gov/trees/docs/Seattle2016CCAFinalReportFINAL.pdf>

² <https://www.seattle.gov/trees/canopycover.htm>

³ <https://vancouver.ca/files/cov/urban-forest-strategy.pdf>

⁴ <https://www.opb.org/news/article/east-portland-most-vulnerable-to-flooding-extreme-heat/>

RESOLUTION No.

Direct the Bureau of Development Services, in coordination with Portland Parks & Recreation and Bureau of Planning and Sustainability, to conduct stakeholder engagement, analysis, and develop a proposal to address recommendations made by the Planning and Sustainability Commission and Urban Forestry Commission, regarding Trees in Development Situations (PCC 11.50) (Resolution).

WHEREAS, Portland's urban forest is a unique community asset, providing a broad array of valuable ecological, social, and economic benefit, including cleaner air and water, reduced stormwater runoff, reduced landslide and flood impacts, carbon sequestration, neighborhood beauty and walkable streets, public health benefits, and enhanced property values.

WHEREAS, The City Forester, together with the Bureau of Development Services Director particularly regarding Trees in Development Situations on private property, administers Portland City Code (PCC) Title 11, Trees.

WHEREAS, Portland City Code (PCC) Chapter 11.50, Trees in Development Situations, regulates, in part, tree removal and tree preservation associated with development projects including when tree preservation is required, and what mitigation is required when certain trees are not preserved.

WHEREAS, in response to public concern about removal of especially large sized trees in development situations, City Council passed Amendments to Title 11, Trees, (Ord. 187675, effective May 13, 2016) to strengthen tree preservation regulations on private property in development situations as an interim measure until such time a more comprehensive evaluation and amendment of Title 11, including regulations for tree preservation in development situations could be undertaken. These amendments were adopted with a sunset date of December 31, 2019.

WHEREAS, no comprehensive review of the specific amendments adopted in Ordinance 187675 has taken place. Therefore, the Bureau of Development Services (BDS), with the support of the City Forester, initiated a proposal to extend the sunset date of the amendments adopted in Ordinance 187675 from December 31, 2019 to December 31, 2024 to allow for additional time to complete a more comprehensive evaluation of the regulations. (Exhibit A)

WHEREAS, the Planning and Sustainability Commission (PSC) and Urban Forestry Commission (UFC) held hearings and made recommendations to City Council on the proposal as required by Title 11. (Exhibit B and Exhibit C)

WHEREAS, the PSC and UFC made recommendations on the staff proposal to extend the sunset date for regulations passed on Ord. 187675, and both commissions made additional recommendations. The additional recommendations pertain to removal of an exemption for tree preservation and planting regulations for certain zones and to a reduction in a critical tree size threshold for some regulations.

WHEREAS, the PSC and UFC are valued commissions whose recommendations are integral to the legislative process for amending Title 11, and whose recommendations should be considered by City Council.

WHEREAS, there was no stakeholder engagement, analysis or notification of the additional recommendations prior to the staff report of August 23, 2019, and it is not feasible to complete appropriate stakeholder engagement, analysis, and notification regarding the additional recommendations without sufficient time and resources.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Bureau of Development Services (BDS), in coordination with Portland Parks & Recreation (PP&R) and Bureau of Planning and Sustainability, shall conduct stakeholder engagement, analysis, and develop proposals that address tree preservation in development situations (PCC 11.50.040). Specifically, the first proposal shall address the exemption from regulations for certain zones. BDS shall return to Council with the first proposal no later than prior to July 18, 2020 or earlier if possible. The subsequent proposal shall address the regulations for tree preservation adopted by Ordinance 187675 including evaluating reduction of critical tree size threshold from 36" to 20" and/or identifying critical tree size by species, and the application of those regulations to city and street trees, and other amendments necessary to address concerns and conflicts that have become evident since implementation of Title 11. BDS shall return to Council with the subsequent proposed amendments no later than prior to July 6 ~~December 31, 2022~~.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that BDS shall develop a detailed scope and budget for the second project and submit it to the Council for review and approval as part of the FY 19-20 Spring BMP.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that PP&R shall develop a request for budgeted resources and submit it to the Council for review and approval as part of the FY 19-20 Spring BMP.

Adopted by the Council:

Mayor Ted Wheeler
Prepared by: Emily Sandy, BDS
Date Prepared: December 9, 2019

Mary Hull Caballero
Auditor of the City of Portland
By

Deputy

RESOLUTION No.

Direct the Bureau of Development Services, in coordination with Portland Parks ~~and~~ & Recreation and Bureau of Planning and Sustainability, to conduct stakeholder engagement, analysis, and develop a proposal to address recommendations made by the Planning and Sustainability Commission and Urban Forestry Commission, regarding Trees in Development Situations (PCC 11.50) (Resolution).

WHEREAS, Portland's urban forest is a unique community asset and critical infrastructure, providing a broad array of valuable ecological, social, and economic benefits, including cleaner and cooler air and water, reduced urban heat island impacts, reduced stormwater runoff, reduced landslide and flood impacts, carbon sequestration, neighborhood beauty and walkable streets, public health benefits, wildlife habitat, and enhanced property values. The urban tree canopy requires protection and management for the health and safety of all people living in or visiting Portland.

WHEREAS, Portland's Climate Action Plan and Climate Preparation Strategy contain goals, policies, and actions calling for improved quality, quantity, and equitable distribution of tree canopy throughout the city.

WHEREAS, The City Forester and the Bureau of Development Services (BDS) Director each administer portions of Portland City Code (PCC) Title 11, Trees, as described in PCC 11.10.010. The BDS Director is responsible for reviewing development permits for compliance with PCC 11.50, Trees in Development Situations, for private trees. The bureau responsible for the responsibilities of the Title, in consultation with other City bureaus as described in PCC 11.10.040, coordinates amendments to Title 11.

~~WHEREAS, The City Forester, together with the Bureau of Development Services Director particularly regarding Trees in Development Situations on private property, administers Portland City Code (PCC) Title 11, Trees.~~

WHEREAS, Portland City Code (PCC) Chapter 11.50, Trees in Development Situations, ~~regulates~~, in part, regulates tree removal ~~and~~, tree preservation, and tree planting associated with development projects including regulations for when tree preservation is required, and what mitigation is required when certain trees are not preserved.

WHEREAS, in response to public concern about removal of especially large sized trees in development situations, City Council passed Amendments to Title 11, Trees, (Ord. 187675, effective May 13, 2016) to strengthen tree preservation regulations on private property in development situations as an interim measure until such time a more comprehensive evaluation and amendment of Title 11, including regulations for tree preservation in development situations could be undertaken. These amendments were adopted with a sunset date of December 31, 2019.

WHEREAS, the Bureau of Development Services has conducted an initial review of some of the specific amendments adopted in Ordinance 187675, but has not been able to conduct a ~~comprehensive~~ review of the specific amendments adopted in Ordinance 187675 ~~has taken place~~. Therefore, the Bureau of Development Services (BDS), with the support of the City Forester, initiated a proposal to extend the sunset

date of the amendments adopted in Ordinance 187675 from December 31, 2019 to December 31, 2024 to allow for additional time to complete a more comprehensive evaluation of the regulations. (Exhibit A)

WHEREAS, the Planning and Sustainability Commission (PSC) and Urban Forestry Commission (UFC) held hearings and made recommendations to City Council on the proposal as required by Title 11. (Exhibit B and Exhibit C)

WHEREAS, the PSC and UFC made recommendations on the staff proposal to extend the sunset date for regulations passed on Ord. 187675, ~~and B~~ both ~~C~~ commissions made additional recommendations. The additional recommendations pertain to removal of an exemption for tree preservation and planting regulations for certain zones and to a reduction in a critical tree size threshold for some regulations. Specifically, the PSC and UFC recommended removing the existing exemptions for Title 11 Tree Preservation Regulations on industrial and commercially zoned lands. The UFC also recommended removing the exemption from Tree Density (Planting) Regulations on industrial and commercially zoned lands and reducing the tree size threshold that triggers inch-for-inch mitigation when trees subject to tree preservation requirements are removed.

WHEREAS, the PSC and UFC are valued ~~C~~ commissions whose recommendations are integral to the legislative process for amending Title 11, and whose recommendations should be considered by City Council.

WHEREAS, there was not stakeholder engagement ~~or~~, adequate analysis or notification of the additional recommendations prior to the staff report of August 23, 2019, and it is not feasible to complete appropriate stakeholder engagement, analysis, and notification regarding the additional recommendations without sufficient time and resources.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Bureau of Development Services (BDS), in coordination with Portland Parks and Recreation (PP&R), the City Forester, and Bureau of Planning and Sustainability (BPS), shall conduct stakeholder engagement, analysis, and develop three proposals that address tree preservation in development situations (PCC 11.50.040).

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED ~~Specifically that, the first proposal~~ BDS and BPS shall immediately prepare a proposal to address the exemption from regulations for certain zones. BDS and BPS, in coordination with the City Forester, shall conduct technical analysis and coordinate legal review to develop an analysis that addresses the exemption from regulations for certain zones no later than March 31, 2020. Based on this initial analysis, stakeholder feedback, and legal review, BDS shall return to Council with this first proposal ~~prior to no later than July 8~~ July 7, 2020.

BE IT FUTHER RESOLVED, ~~The subsequent~~ after conclusion of the first proposal or as early as deemed feasible by the BDS Bureau Director, BDS in coordination with PP&R, the City Forester, and BPS shall ~~proposal shall immediately prepare a proposal to~~ address the regulations for tree preservation adopted by Ordinance 187675, including evaluating reduction of critical tree size threshold from 36" to 20" and the application of those regulations to city and street trees. BDS shall ~~return to Council with the~~ develop an

analysis to address this subsequent second proposal prior to no later than December 31 January 29, 2021². Based on this analysis, stakeholder feedback, and legal review, BDS shall return to Council with this second proposal no later than July 30, 2021.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, after conclusion of the second proposal or as early as deemed feasible by the BDS Bureau Director, BDS together with the City Forester shall immediately coordinate with PP&R, the City Forester, and BPS to develop a scope to develop additional updates to strengthen Title 11. BDS shall bring a scope for Council review no later than January 31, 2022.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that BDS shall develop a detailed scope and budget for the project second and third proposals and submit it to the Council for review and approval as part of the FY 19-20 Spring BMP and any future budget process.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that PP&R shall develop a request for budgeted resources and submit it to the Council for review and approval as part of the FY 19-20 Spring BMP and any future budget process.

Adopted by the Council:

Mayor Ted Wheeler

Prepared by: Emily Sandy, BDS and Sam Diaz,
Office of Mayor Ted Wheeler

Date Prepared: December 31⁹, 2019

Mary Hull Caballero

Auditor of the City of Portland
By

Deputy

RESOLUTION No.

Direct the Bureau of Development Services, in coordination with Portland Parks & Recreation and Bureau of Planning and Sustainability, to conduct stakeholder engagement, analysis and develop a proposal to address recommendations made by the Planning and Sustainability Commission and Urban Forestry Commission for Trees in Development Situations (Resolution; Code Chapter 11.50)

WHEREAS, Portland's urban forest is a unique community asset and critical infrastructure, providing a broad array of valuable ecological, social, and economic benefits, including cleaner and cooler air and water, reduced urban heat island impacts, reduced stormwater runoff, reduced landslide and flood impacts, carbon sequestration, neighborhood beauty and walkable streets, public health benefits, wildlife habitat, and enhanced property values. The urban tree canopy requires protection and management for the health and safety of all people living in or visiting Portland.

WHEREAS, Portland's Climate Action Plan and Climate Preparation Strategy contain goals, policies, and actions calling for improved quality, quantity, and equitable distribution of tree canopy throughout the city.

WHEREAS, The City Forester and the Bureau of Development Services (BDS) Director each administer portions of Portland City Code (PCC) Title 11, Trees, as described in PCC 11.10.010. The BDS Director is responsible for reviewing development permits for compliance with PCC 11.50, Trees in Development Situations, for private trees. The bureau responsible for the responsibilities of the Title, in consultation with other City bureaus as described in PCC 11.10.040 coordinates amendments to Title 11.

WHEREAS, Portland City Code (PCC) Chapter 11.50, Trees in Development Situations, in part, regulates tree removal, tree preservation, and tree planting associated with development projects including regulations for when tree preservation is required and what mitigation is required when certain trees are not preserved.

WHEREAS, in response to public concern about removal of especially large sized trees in development situations, City Council passed Amendments to Title 11, Trees, (Ord. 187675, effective May 13, 2016) to strengthen tree preservation regulations on private property in development situations as an interim measure until such time a more comprehensive evaluation and amendment of Title 11, including regulations for tree preservation in development situations could be undertaken. These amendments were adopted with a sunset date of December 31, 2019.

WHEREAS, the Bureau of Development Services has conducted an initial review of some of the specific amendments adopted in Ordinance 187675, but has not been able to conduct a comprehensive review of the specific amendments adopted in Ordinance 187675. Therefore, the Bureau of Development Services (BDS), with the support of the City Forester, initiated a proposal to extend the sunset date of the amendments adopted in Ordinance 187675 from December 31, 2019 to December 31, 2024 to allow for additional time to complete a more comprehensive evaluation of the regulations.
(Exhibit A)

WHEREAS, the Planning and Sustainability Commission (PSC) and Urban Forestry Commission (UFC) held hearings and made recommendations to City Council on the proposal as required by Title 11. (Exhibit B and Exhibit C)

WHEREAS, the PSC and UFC made recommendations on the staff proposal to extend the sunset date for regulations passed on Ord. 187675. Both Commissions made additional recommendations. The additional recommendations pertain to removal of an exemption for tree preservation and planting regulations for certain zones and to a reduction in a critical tree size threshold for some regulations. Specifically, the PSC and UFC recommended removing the existing exemptions for Title 11 Tree Preservation Regulations on industrial and commercially zoned lands. The UFC also recommended removing the exemption from Tree Density (Planting) Regulations on industrial and commercially zoned lands and reducing the tree size threshold that triggers inch-for-inch mitigation when trees subject to tree preservation requirements are removed.

WHEREAS, the PSC and UFC are valued Commissions whose recommendations are integral to the legislative process for amending Title 11, and whose recommendations should be considered by City Council.

WHEREAS, there was not stakeholder engagement or adequate analysis or notification of the additional recommendations prior to the staff report of August 23, 2019, and it is not feasible to complete appropriate stakeholder engagement, analysis, and notification regarding the additional recommendations without sufficient time and resources.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Bureau of Development Services (BDS), in coordination with Portland Parks & Recreation (PP&R), the City Forester, and Bureau of Planning and Sustainability (BPS), shall conduct stakeholder engagement, analysis, and develop three proposals that address tree preservation in development situations (PCC 11.50.040).

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that BDS and BPS shall immediately prepare a proposal to address the exemption from regulations for certain zones. BDS and BPS, in coordination with the City Forester, shall conduct technical analysis and coordinate legal review to develop an analysis that addresses the exemption from regulations for certain zones no later than March 31, 2020. Based on this initial analysis, stakeholder feedback, and legal review, BDS shall return to Council with this first proposal no later than July 7, 2020.

BE IT FUTHER RESOLVED, after conclusion of the first proposal or as early as deemed feasible by the BDS Bureau Director, BDS in coordination with PP&R, the City Forester, and BPS shall immediately prepare a proposal to address the regulations for tree preservation adopted by Ordinance 187675, including evaluating reduction of critical tree size threshold from 36" to 20" and the application of those regulations to city and street trees. BDS shall develop an analysis to address this second proposal no later than January 29, 2021. Based on this analysis, stakeholder feedback, and legal review, BDS shall return to Council with this second proposal no later than July 30, 2021.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, after conclusion of the second proposal or as early as deemed feasible by the BDS Bureau Director, BDS shall immediately coordinate with PP&R, the City Forester, and BPS to develop a scope to develop additional updates to strengthen Title 11. BDS shall bring a scope for Council review no later than January 31, 2022.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that BDS shall develop a detailed scope and budget for the second and third proposals and submit it to the Council for review and approval as part of the FY 19-20 Spring BMP and any future budget process.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that PP&R shall develop a request for budgeted resources and submit it to the Council for review and approval as part of the FY 19-20 Spring BMP and any future budget process.

Adopted by the Council:

Mayor Ted Wheeler

Prepared by: Emily Sandy, BDS and Sam Diaz,
Office of Mayor Ted Wheeler

Date Prepared: December 31, 2019

Mary Hull Caballero

Auditor of the City of Portland

By

Deputy

23
Agenda No.
RESOLUTION NO.
Title

1140
This document was substituted
with a revised version.
See final document:
Resolution 37473 As Amended

Direct the Bureau of Development Services, in coordination with Portland Parks & Recreation and Bureau of Planning and Sustainability, to conduct stakeholder engagement, analysis and develop a proposal to address recommendations made by the Planning and Sustainability Commission and Urban Forestry Commission for Trees in Development Situations (Resolution; Code Chapter 11.50)

<p style="text-align: center;">INTRODUCED BY Commissioner/Auditor: Mayor Ted Wheeler</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">COMMISSIONER APPROVAL</p> <p>Mayor—Finance & Administration – Wheeler </p> <p>Position 1/Utilities - Fritz</p> <p>Position 2/Works - Fish</p> <p>Position 3/Affairs - Hardesty</p> <p>Position 4/Safety - Eudaly</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">BUREAU APPROVAL</p> <p>Bureau of Development Services Rebecca Esau, Director</p> <p>Prepared by: Leanne Torgerson Date Prepared: November 22, 2019</p> <hr/> <p>Impact Statement Completed <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Amends Budget <input type="checkbox"/></p> <hr/> <p>Portland Policy Document If "Yes" requires City Policy paragraph stated in document. Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p> <hr/> <p>City Auditor Office Approval: required for Code Ordinances</p> <hr/> <p>City Attorney Approval: required for contract, code, easement, franchise, charter, Comp Plan </p> <hr/> <p>Council Meeting Date December 5, 2019, 3:00 TC <u>Jan 8th, 2020</u></p>	<p>CLERK USE: DATE FILED <u>DEC 30 2019</u></p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: right;">Mary Hull Caballero Auditor of the City of Portland</p> <p>By: Deputy</p> <p>ACTION TAKEN:</p>
---	--

AGENDA	FOUR-FIFTHS AGENDA	COMMISSIONERS VOTED AS FOLLOWS:																			
<p>TIME CERTAIN <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Start time: 3:00 TC <u>3:45 PM</u></p> <p>Total amount of time needed: 50 minutes (for presentation, testimony and discussion)</p> <hr/> <p>CONSENT <input type="checkbox"/></p> <hr/> <p>REGULAR <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Total amount of time needed: _____ (for presentation, testimony and discussion)</p>	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <th style="width: 60%;"></th> <th style="width: 20%; text-align: center;">YEAS</th> <th style="width: 20%; text-align: center;">NAYS</th> </tr> <tr> <td>1. Fritz</td> <td style="text-align: center;">1. Fritz</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>2. Fish</td> <td style="text-align: center;">2. Fish</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>3. Hardesty</td> <td style="text-align: center;">3. Hardesty</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>4. Eudaly</td> <td style="text-align: center;">4. Eudaly</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Wheeler</td> <td style="text-align: center;">Wheeler</td> <td></td> </tr> </table>		YEAS	NAYS	1. Fritz	1. Fritz		2. Fish	2. Fish		3. Hardesty	3. Hardesty		4. Eudaly	4. Eudaly		Wheeler	Wheeler			
	YEAS	NAYS																			
1. Fritz	1. Fritz																				
2. Fish	2. Fish																				
3. Hardesty	3. Hardesty																				
4. Eudaly	4. Eudaly																				
Wheeler	Wheeler																				